

Data Resource Guide

Fiscal Year 2019

Successful Youth
Strong Leaders
Safer Communities

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services



Data Resource Guide Fiscal Year 2019

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

State of Maryland
Larry Hogan, Governor
Sam Abed, Secretary
December 2019

This guide fulfills the statutory reporting requirements set forth in Md. Human Services Code Ann. §9-204 regarding the agency's comprehensive juvenile services plan as well as reporting juvenile recidivism rates.

This Data Resource Guide as well as previous editions can be found on the DJS website:
djs.maryland.gov/Pages/Data-Resource-Guides.aspx

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

EXECUTIVE STAFF

Sam Abed, Secretary

Jay Cleary, Chief of Staff

Lynette Holmes, Deputy Secretary for Support Services

Wallis Norman, Deputy Secretary for Operations

Scott Beal, Executive Director of Community Services

Betsy Tolentino, Executive Director of Pre-Adjudication Services and Reform

James Johnston, Director of Legislation and Policy

PROJECT LEADERS

Lynette Holmes

Fang Qian

John Irvine

Urjita Rami

Susan Nicely

REVIEW TEAM

DeVon Bailey

Susan Nicely

Patricia Elmore

Falguni Patel

Kate Figiel-Miller

Fang Qian

Shane Hall

Urjita Rami

Lynette Holmes

Claire Souryal-Shriver

John Irvine

Marci Stables

Lavinia Jackson

Xiaohui "Naomi" Yu

LAYOUT DESIGN

Susan Nicely

The DJS Executive Staff and Project Leaders would like to thank everyone who contributed to this document. Our appreciation goes to the members of the agency who contributed a wealth of information with special thanks to Kara Aanenson, Michael DiBattista, Cory Fink, Patricia Flanigan, Oneika Ford, Lisa Garry, Denise Victory, and Anthony Wynn. We would also like to thank Jill Farrell at the University of Maryland, School of Social Work, The Institute for Innovation and Implementation, and Boyce Williams at the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services. We are especially grateful to the field staff who gather the data necessary to complete the *Data Resource Guide*.

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

Letter from the Governor	iv
A Word from the Secretary	v
Commonly Used Acronyms	vi
Terms and Concepts	vii

SECTION I: AN OVERVIEW OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services (DJS)	3
Organizational Chart and Agency Organization	6
DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2019	7
Juvenile Justice in Maryland - A Historical Evolution	8
Flow of FY 2019 Case Referrals	12
Maryland Juvenile Justice System Process Flowchart	14
Professional Training and Education Unit	16

SECTION II: INTAKE AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision	19
Statewide, Regional, and County Activity	22
Regional, County, and Long-Term Trends	88

SECTION III: DETENTION

Introduction to Detention	105
Statewide Activity for Detention Centers	108
Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer	116
Specific Detention Facility Information	118
Alternatives to Detention (ATD)	132

SECTION IV: COMMITTED PROGRAMS

Introduction to Committed Programs	139
Committed Statewide and by Type	144
State-Operated Facilities	162
Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider)	176
Community-Based Family Therapy Programs	178

SECTION V: RECIDIVISM RATES

Measuring Recidivism Rates	183
Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases	185
Recidivism Rates for Youth with Probation Dispositions	196

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Community Services Staffing Distribution as of June 30, 2019	201
Appendix B: Detention Center Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2019	202
Appendix C: Committed Program Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2019	202
Appendix D: State-Operated Facility Expenditures, FY 2019	203
Appendix E: State-Operated Facility and Committed Program Per Diem Rates, FY 2019	204
Appendix F: Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI)	205
Appendix G: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Youth in Detention	209
Appendix H: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Committed Youth	211
Appendix I: DJS Youth Vulnerability Assessment Tool	213
Appendix J: Racial Impact Assessment Lens	217
Appendix K: Crimes of Violence	219
Appendix L: Maryland Citations Pertaining to DJS and Juvenile Jurisdiction	219
Appendix M: Maryland Comprehensive Assessment & Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen	222
Appendix N: Questions from the DJS MCASP Needs Assessment	225
Appendix O: Relative Rate Index (RRI)	229
Appendix P: Census Information 2018 Population Estimates by Race (Age 11-17)	232
Appendix Q: Number and Ratio of Youth, Cases, and Placements by County, FY 2019	233
Appendix R: Classification of Offenses	234
Appendix S: Offenses Under Original Adult Court Jurisdiction for Youth Under 18	239
Appendix T: Accountability Incentives Management (AIM)	240
Appendix U: Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking (DMST) Tool	242
Appendix V: Re-Entry Pre- and Post-Discharge Checklists	245
DJS STATISTICAL SUMMARY INFORMATION SHEET (PULLOUT)	251

Letter from the Governor...

Dear Fellow Marylanders:

It is my pleasure to present the Department of Juvenile Services' (DJS) FY 2019 Data Resource Guide.

Since taking office, our administration has invested tens of millions of dollars in public safety, education, economic development, and the fight against the opioid crisis. We continue to work together to ensure the safety and well-being of our children and the community, and DJS plays an important role in our efforts.

A critical part of our success in creating a safer Maryland is supporting programs that help build up young people, giving them the confidence to lead and reach their full potential.

Through the Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention (GOCCP) and DJS, we are working hard every day to improve the lives of young Marylanders. The Data Resource Guide is an invaluable tool to help us properly allocate resources where they are needed and hold system stakeholders in the state and in localities accountable.

In Baltimore City, we continue to invest resources in reducing the number of violent offenders. As part of an aggressive and coordinated surge, federal, state, and local law enforcement contributed 500 officers to investigate and apprehend violent offenders. This surge resulted in 3,300 arrests—including 259 of Baltimore City's most violent offenders—and the seizure of 700 guns with 272 gun cases referred for federal prosecution.

Our administration has also provided more than \$1 billion for public safety and crime control efforts in Baltimore City, including funding for outreach programs like Safe Streets and technology upgrades for the Baltimore Police Department. Since March 2019, nearly \$750,000 in funding from GOCCP has helped support and expand programming for more than 3,000 Boys and Girls Club members in Anne Arundel County, Baltimore City, Carroll County, Frederick County, Harford County, and Washington County.

DJS plays a critical role in public safety and is involved in nearly every stage of the juvenile justice process. The Department continues to lead the way with effective programs to collaborate with families, law enforcement, and community partners.

With the help of DJS and our other state agencies, we can improve the lives of one of our most vulnerable populations and change Maryland for the better.

Sincerely,



Larry Hogan
Governor



Larry Hogan

A Word from the Secretary...

Welcome to the ninth edition of the Department of Juvenile Services' Data Resource Guide (DRG). Our Department is a data-driven agency, and the data we collect not only impacts our decision-making process but also decisions made by many of our stakeholders related to policy and practice. The information contained in the DRG provides a detailed look at our system and the ongoing trends in recent years. We publish the DRG every year to meet statutory obligations and provide transparency to our partners both on the local and State level as well as the general public. I hope that the DRG provides the information you need as well as insight into Maryland's juvenile justice system.


This year's data shows the population detained in DJS detention centers has stabilized over the past three years. Youth awaiting adult court transfer hearings consistently make up about 37% of the population or a little over 100 youth. Furthermore, the average number of youth in committed out-of-home placements fell in FY 2019 to below 400 for the first time in decades. This is an over 50% decline from as recent as FY 2014.

As the agency makes progress in its mission of successful youth, strong leaders, and safer communities, the Department continues to reevaluate and strengthen its programs. During the 2019 session of the Maryland General Assembly the legislature passed and Governor Hogan signed Senate Bill 856/House Bill 606 into law, which established the Juvenile Justice Reform Council (JJRC). The JJRC is comprised of a diverse group of juvenile justice stakeholders and experts, which include legislators, experts on juvenile law and policy, and representatives of law enforcement, the judiciary, advocacy organizations, child serving agencies, and formerly system-involved youth.

The JJRC will provide recommendations to DJS by using a data-driven approach to develop a statewide framework of policies designed to increase public safety and reduce recidivism of youth offenders. Additionally, the JJRC will research best practices on the treatment of juveniles who are subject to the criminal and juvenile justice systems and identify risk factors that contribute to juvenile contact with the criminal and juvenile justice systems. Over the course of the next year, DJS looks forward to engaging with all of the stakeholders on the JJRC to develop an evidence-based treatment model that achieves those goals.

As always, I would like to thank everyone involved in the creation of this year's DRG, especially the Department's Research and Evaluation Unit, who analyzed and compiled the data. Without their tireless efforts, the DRG would not become a reality. I would also like to thank all of the hard-working employees of the Department of Juvenile Services for contributing to the success of the youth in our care. I look forward to building on those successes to improve the lives of youth, making communities safer and changing Maryland for the better.

Sincerely,



Sam Abed



Sam Abed

Commonly Used Acronyms

ACA	American Correctional Association
ADP	Average Daily Population
AIM	Accountability Incentives Management
ALOS	Average Length of Stay
APD	Assistant Public Defender
ARIMA	Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average
ART®	Aggression Replacement Training
ASA	Assistant State's Attorney
ASSIST	Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools
ATD	Alternatives to Detention
AWOL	Absent Without Leave
BCDC	Baltimore City Detention Center
BCJJC	Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center
BHDI	Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative
BMHS	Baltimore Mental Health Systems
CD	Community Detention
CDS	Controlled Dangerous Substances
CHHS	Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School
CINA	Child in Need of Assistance
CINS	Child in Need of Supervision
CJAMS	Child, Juvenile, and Adult Management System
CJCA	Council of Juvenile Correctional Administrators
CJIS	Criminal Justice Information System
CMS	Case Management Specialist
CMSS	Case Management Specialist Supervisor
COMAR	Code of Maryland Regulations
COP	Certificate of Placement
COV	Crime of Violence
CRC	Central Review Committee
CYPM	Crossover Youth Practice Model
D/ERC	Day/Evening Reporting Center
DBM	Department of Budget and Management
DDA	Developmental Disabilities Administration
DHS	Department of Human Services (formerly DHR)
DJS	Department of Juvenile Services
DMC	Disproportionate Minority Contact
DPSCS	Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services
DRAI	Detention Risk Assessment Instrument
DRG	Data Resource Guide
EBS	Evidence-Based Services
EM	Electronic Monitoring
FAFP	For Authorization of Formal Petition
FCT	Family Centered Treatment
FFT	Functional Family Therapy (an EBS)

FY	Fiscal Year
GOC	Governor's Office for Children
GOCCP	Governor's Office of Crime Control & Prevention
GPS	Global Positioning System
ICFA	Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions
IRC	Interagency Rates Committee
JCR	Joint Chairmen's Report
JDAI	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative
JJDPA	Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act
JJMU	Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit
JSES	Juvenile Services Education System
LESCC	Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center
MAST	Multi-Disciplinary Assessment Staffing Team
MCASP	Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning
MDH	Maryland Department of Health (formerly DHMH)
METS	Maryland Evaluation & Treatment Services (formerly SMART)
MHA	Mental Hygiene Administration
MLDS	Maryland Longitudinal Data System
MSDE	Maryland State Department of Education
MST	Multisystemic Therapy (an EBS)
MYRC	Maryland Youth Residence Center
NCCD	National Council on Crime and Delinquency
NFS	Non-Fatal Shooting
OJJDP	Office of Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention
OPD	Office of the Public Defender
PBIS	Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports
PREA	Prison Rape Elimination Act
RA	Resident Advisor
RICA	Regional Institute for Children and Adolescents
RRI	Relative Rate Index
RTC	Residential Treatment Center
RTSP	Residential Treatment Service Plan
SAB	State Advisory Board (DJS)
SAO	State's Attorney's Office
SHI	Social History Investigation
SOS	Spotlight on Schools Program
SPI	Safety Planning Initiative
START	Social Skills Training & Aggression Replacement Techniques
TAMAR-Y	Trauma, Addiction, Mental Health, and Recovery - Youth
TFC	Treatment Foster Care
TGH	Therapeutic Group Home
TIC	Trauma Informed Care
TSP	Treatment Service Plan
VOP	Violation of Probation
WMCC	Western Maryland Children's Center

Terms and Concepts

Absent Without Leave (AWOL): A youth who absconds from a non-secure residential program.

Accountability Incentives Management (AIM): A structured statewide system of responses to deter negative behaviors and encourage positive behaviors of youth under court-ordered community supervision. AIM seeks to reduce technical violations of supervision by ensuring certain, fair, and immediate responses to youth behavior that promote successful completion of supervision.

Adjudicatory Hearing: Proceeding before a juvenile judge or magistrate to determine whether allegations made against a youth are true. If the allegations concerning the commitment of a delinquent act are found to be true, the youth may be adjudicated delinquent.

Admission: See definition for “commitment versus admission” and “placement versus admission.”

Aftercare: Supervision and individualized treatment services provided to youth in the community following discharge from a residential program. A youth is assigned an aftercare worker at the time of commitment.

Alternatives to Detention (ATD): Community-based program options that provide structured supervision and accountability of youth who would otherwise be detained pending adjudicatory hearings in the juvenile court.

Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST): DJS client database.

Average Daily Population (ADP): Daily population of youth averaged over the number of days in a given time period.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS): Average total number of days in residential placement between admission and release. Youth detained in more than one facility during a contiguous stay are counted as a single placement.

Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative (BHDI): An initiative funded by the Annie E. Casey Foundation to screen and divert youth for mental health services.

Case Management Specialist (CMS): DJS staff who provide case management services to youth in community and residential settings. Case managers provide supervision, develop treatment plans, link youth with necessary resources and services, monitor progress, and modify treatment plans as needed.

Central Review Committee (CRC): A central committee that convenes weekly to hear case reviews of youth at risk of removal from a committed placement, direct changes in the youths’ provision of services, and make youth placement transfer decisions. Members include the Directors of the Behavioral Health and Resource Offices, the Executive Directors of Residential Placements and Community Supervision, and a representative from the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE).

Certificate of Placement (COP): The document which reflects a youth’s placement location, services, and authorizes service payment.

CHALLENGE: A behavior modification program focused on improving youth behavior and maintaining safety and security for youth in DJS detention facilities. It helps youth develop positive behaviors through a system of rewards and support and teaches youth to take responsibility for their behavior. In DJS committed facilities the program has been updated to incorporate PBIS principles and was renamed STARR in 2018. It is slated to be modified in detention facilities.

Child in Need of Assistance (CINA): A youth who has been physically, sexually, or emotionally abused or neglected by a parent or other person responsible for the youth’s care.

Child in Need of Supervision (CINS): A youth who is habitually truant, disobedient, ungovernable, or who commits an offense applicable to youth only.

Commitment versus Admission: A commitment is a court order placing a delinquent youth in DJS’ care, which usually results in placement into an out-of-home program, but in some cases services may instead be provided in home. An admission occurs when a juvenile physically arrives at a facility and is officially entered onto the facility’s roster, and this normally occurs some weeks after the court order. A single admission to an out-of-home program could be the result of multiple commitments - a juvenile may be committed by more than one court, or be committed on multiple charges - and a youth may be admitted to several programs under a single commitment order. Thus, the number of commitments will not equal the number of admissions to committed programs.

Community Detention (CD): A DJS program that monitors a delinquent child or a child alleged to be delinquent who is placed in the home of a parent, guardian, custodian, other fit person, or in shelter care, as a condition of probation or as an alternative to detention (ATD). Community detention includes electronic monitoring (EM).

Complaint: A written statement made by any person or agency to a DJS intake officer which, if true, would support allegations of a juvenile petition.

Crimes of Violence: Offenses designated as crimes of violence in Maryland's Annotated Code (see Appendix K for more detail). Includes primarily felony offenses, but some misdemeanors.

Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM): The goal is to coordinate casework of youth who are dually involved in both the juvenile justice system and the child welfare system and foster increased communication and collaboration between the staff members of DJS and local Department of Social Services. DJS partnered with Georgetown University to implement the CYPM in Prince George's County in 2015. Since then, the model is being slowly rolled out in other counties.

Day/Evening Reporting Center (D/ERC): A program that serves youth as an alternative to detention. Youth are required to report daily to ensure the youth is monitored and gets back to court for hearings.

Delinquent: A youth who has been adjudicated for an act which would be a crime if committed by an adult and who requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation.

Detention: The temporary care of respondents who, pending court dispositions and other hearings, require secure custody for the protection of themselves or the community, in physically restricting facilities or circumstances. A youth may be detained if a court determines that they might fail to appear for a future hearing, continue to break the law, or pose a threat to the public or themselves. Detention may be utilized pending a court hearing or after disposition. A youth's detention status is required to be reviewed every 30 days.

Detention Hearing: A court proceeding to determine whether a youth shall be placed in or continue in detention.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI): An assessment of a youth's risk to reoffend and/or fail to appear for future court dates used to guide whether the youth should be detained, placed in a detention alternative, or released to a parent/guardian.

Direct Care Staff: An employee whose primary duty is to provide direct supervision of youth.

Disposition: The action taken by the juvenile court that outlines whether the youth requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation and, if so, the nature of such assistance, e.g., probation terms or commitment. (Note: In adult courts, this is known as a "sentence.")

Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC): A rate of contact with the juvenile justice system among youth of a specific minority group that is significantly different than the rate of contact for whites (i.e., non-Hispanic Caucasians) or for other minority groups (See RRI definition).

Diversion: A program or practice where the primary goal is to reduce the occurrence of juvenile delinquency by diverting a youth from the traditional juvenile justice system and providing an alternative to formal processing. Diversion programs and practices vary in terms of the juvenile justice contact point at which the youth is diverted and the types of services provided. Juveniles may be diverted by law enforcement before arrest, during DJS intake, or between adjudication and disposition.

Ejection from Committed Placement: A youth's removal from an out-of-home placement upon determination that he/she failed to comply with the rules and conditions of the program. Following an ejection, a youth may require a new out-of-home placement. A youth may remain in detention pending a new placement.

Electronic Monitoring (EM): A statewide program providing close monitoring of youth in the community as an alternative to residential placement/detention. Youth wear an ankle bracelet that electronically monitors their movement and compliance to established location parameters. This may involve the use of global positioning systems (GPS).

Escape: Absconding from a secure DJS residential program (including Youth Centers) or detention facility (including Community Detention).

Evidence-Based Services (EBS): Programs that have been found to be effective based on the results of rigorous evaluations.

Family Centered Treatment (FCT): A family preservation model of in-home treatment provided by the Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc. (IFCS). The IFCS team utilizes FCT to help families learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns.

Family Engagement: A collaborative relationship between families and DJS throughout the youth's involvement in the system.

Felony vs. Misdemeanor: In Maryland a crime is either a felony or a misdemeanor. Generally, felonies are the more serious of these two types of crimes. However, there is no clear line for determining whether a crime is a felony or misdemeanor based on the statutory maximum penalty associated with the offense. Unless specified in a statute or the offense was a felony at common law, a crime is considered a misdemeanor. Most statutes specify whether a crime is a misdemeanor or a felony. Common law crimes retain common law grades as either felonies or misdemeanors unless changed through the legislative process. The General Assembly may choose to label a statutory crime a felony or misdemeanor independent of the amount of punishment the statute provides. The General Assembly may also choose to change the status of a crime from a misdemeanor to a felony or a felony to a misdemeanor.

Fiscal Year (FY): The time period measured from July 1st of one year to June 30th of the following year. FY 2019 runs from July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019.

For Authorization of Formal Petition (FAFP): A case not resolved or diverted through informal pre-court agreement that is referred by a DJS intake officer to a juvenile court for formal processing. All juvenile complaints are referred to intake.

Functional Family Therapy (FFT - an EBS): An outcome-driven prevention/intervention program for youth demonstrating the entire range of maladaptive behaviors such as delinquency, violence, substance use, Conduct Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, or Disruptive Behavior Disorder. Flexible delivery of service is provided by one- or two-person teams to clients in home, clinic, school, juvenile court, community-based programs, and at re-entry from institutional placement.

Global Positioning System (GPS): A global navigation satellite system that provides location and time information for youth monitored with a global positioning system receiver.

Group Home: A residential program licensed by DHS, DJS, or MHA/MDH to provide 24-hour supervised out-of-home care for 4 or more youth providing a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services.

Hardware Secure Facility: A facility that relies primarily on the use of construction and hardware such as locks, bars, and fences to restrict freedom.

Informal (or "Pre-Court") Supervision: An agreement between DJS and a youth and family to complete certain conditions such as entering into counseling and/or DJS monitoring without court involvement. This agreement takes place at the point of intake.

Intake: The process for reviewing a complaint against a youth and determining whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public and/or the youth. During intake, youth and their family may be offered services. DJS has statutory authority to screen all juvenile complaints referred.

Juvenile Court: A division of the Circuit Court in Maryland.

Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI): A best practice model that addresses inappropriate and unnecessary use of detention and reduces the failures of juveniles to appear in court. A primary goal of JDAI is to reduce overcrowding in detention centers by safely maintaining youth in the community in detention alternatives without jeopardizing public safety or increasing the number of youth who fail to appear for court.

Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit (JJMU): Administratively housed in the Office of the Attorney General, the unit investigates the needs of children under the jurisdiction of DJS and determines whether their needs are being met in compliance with State law. This includes evaluating the conditions of youth housing, reporting on treatment of and services to youth, and investigating allegations of child abuse.

Juvenile Justice Reform Council: Established by law in 2019 for two years, the council has members from all three branches of State government, other key stakeholders, and national experts. It is charged to develop a statewide framework of policies to invest in strategies to increase public safety and reduce recidivism of youth offenders, using a data-driven approach.

Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP): MCASP uses integrated case management to assess risks and needs of youth throughout their DJS involvement and develop interventions to accomplish the goals of public safety and youth rehabilitation. MCASP enables DJS to strengthen individualized service plans for youth and families and match them with appropriate services/programs; to track youth progress; and to ensure that each youth receives the level of supervision consistent with his or her risk to public safety.

Maryland Evaluation and Treatment Services (METS - formerly SMART): A web-based platform that provides key case management functions, including assessments, contacts, and treatment service planning in coordination with the DJS ASSIST system.

Maryland Longitudinal Data System (MLDS): A research data center established by State law in 2010 to allow research and policy evaluation using matched, then de-identified data from the State education, workforce, and (as of October 2019) DJS data systems.

Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC): Under DPSCS, MPCTC is vested with the authority to set standards of initial selection and training for all governmental law enforcement, correctional, parole and probation, and juvenile services employees in the State of Maryland, and to otherwise upgrade the professionalism of these officers. MPCTC provides certification and ongoing training to DJS staff.

Misdemeanor: See definition for “felony versus misdemeanor.”

Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST): A specialized regional diagnostic team responsible for assessing and evaluating youth who are detained and at risk of out-of-home placement, prior to disposition. Following the in-depth review, the MAST prepares security and treatment recommendations to the juvenile court. The MAST includes psychologists, social workers, community and facility case managers and supervisors, resource specialists, MSDE representatives, and individuals from other disciplines as needed.

Multisystemic Therapy (MST - an EBS): An intensive family- and community-based treatment program that addresses the serious anti-social behavior of juvenile offenders. The major goal of MST is to empower parents and youth with the skills and resources needed to independently resolve the difficulties that arise in coping with family, peer, school, and neighborhood problems. Intervention strategies include family therapy, structural family therapy, behavioral parent training, and cognitive behavior therapies. MST is a home-based model of service delivery.

Pending Placement: A temporary placement status for youth who have been committed for placement in an out-of-home residential facility and are awaiting placement, including youth ejected from a committed placement. Youth may be “pending placement” in a variety of settings including: detention facility, home, home with additional services, home under community detention and/or electronic monitoring, family shelter care, structured shelter care, acute care hospitals, or psychiatric respite care programs.

Petition: A formal written request filed by the State’s Attorney’s Office with the juvenile court alleging that a child is delinquent, in need of supervision (CINS) or in need of assistance (CINA).

Placement versus Admission: A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention or a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Thus, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions, and these counts will not match.

Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS): An implementation framework for maximizing the selection and use of evidence-based prevention and intervention practices along a multi-tiered continuum that supports the academic, social, emotional, and behavioral competence of youth in DJS facilities. PBIS principles guide the provision of medical, behavioral health, education, and recreation services, as well as Trauma Informed Care (TIC) and DJS’ STARR program. Facility teams use data on youth behavior to evaluate the effectiveness of facility operations, and youth behaviors and progress.

Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Training (PACT): PACT Evening Reporting Center serves youth 14 to 17 years of age in Baltimore City as an alternative to detention. It utilizes a youth development model and collaborates with participants, their families, DJS, and other partners to develop a plan to address the underlying issues which lead to anti-social or delinquent behavior.

Probation: Court-ordered supervision of youth in the community requiring youth to meet court-ordered probation conditions (general and case specific), including, for example, school attendance, employment, community service, restitution, counseling, or participation in substance abuse treatment.

Racial Equity: A condition that would be achieved if one's racial or ethnic identity no longer predicted, in a statistical sense, how one fares.

Recidivism: Subsequent juvenile/adult delinquent or criminal involvement of youth released from committed residential programs or youth placed on probation.

Relative Rate Index (RRI): A measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool used to assess the level of racial disparity introduced at each decision point (detention, court referral, etc.) For example, RRI compares the detention rate for white youth with the detention rate for youth of a specific minority group. (See Appendix O)

Re-entry: A broad term used to refer to issues related to the transition from out-of-home committed placement to community supervision. Generally, re-entry involves a plan to ensure youth are connected to school, employment, and continued treatment services when returning to the community.

Resident Advisor: DJS staff member who provides supervision, support, care, and monitoring of youth placed in DJS-operated facilities.

Residential Treatment Center (RTC): A mental health facility for children and adolescents with long-term serious emotional, behavioral, and psychological problems. RTCs provide intensive services and should only be considered when therapeutic services available in the community are insufficient to address a youth's needs. In addition to Maryland RTCs, DJS uses a variety of out-of-state providers, including RTCs funded through Medical Assistance, with rates set by the Maryland Interagency Rates Committee, and facilities that are not RTCs and serve moderate-to-high-risk multi-problem youth. These are youth who may be exhibiting moderate psychiatric symptomatology and aggressive behavior, or who have histories of unsuccessful/repeated placements and/or hospitalizations. Treatment models vary depending on the client focus of the program, but all provide individualized treatment plans, are comprehensive in services, highly structured, treatment oriented, and behaviorally focused.

Residential Treatment Service Plan (RTSP): A structured treatment planning tool to guide treatment services for youth placed in DJS-operated committed programs.

Resolved at Intake: A determination by the DJS Intake officer that furthering the case by forwarding it to the State's Attorney's Office for formal processing would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety.

SafeMeasures: An analytic service provided by the National Council on Crime & Delinquency that provides timely management reports and indicators to DJS case management, supervisory, and executive staff. SafeMeasures pulls data from DJS' existing databases and creates visual indicators and reports to ensure that agency's requirements for effective facility operations, case management, and supervision are tracked and met.

Safety Planning Initiative (SPI): An intense supervision initiative for youth in DJS care who are witnesses to, or victims of violent acts, or otherwise at risk of violence.

Shelter Care: Temporary, short-term (1-30 days), non-secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition. Shelter beds serve as an alternative to detention or other short-term circumstance where family or other housing is not available.

Social History Investigation (SHI - formerly PDI): The written study of a youth and his/her family that is presented to the juvenile court. A SHI emphasizes social and legal histories as well as the domain areas of: family functioning, substance abuse, mental health, somatic health, education, employment, and life skills.

Social Skills Training and Aggression Replacement Techniques (START): Based on Aggression Replacement Training (ART), which is an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral, group intervention for committed youth with chronic aggression, START focuses on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning, including skills streaming, anger control training, and moral reasoning training.

Spotlight on Schools (SOS) Program: An initiative to place case managers on-site at certain key schools across the state. DJS Spotlight workers monitor and respond immediately to attendance issues, referrals for disruptive behavior, suspensions, and drop-out issues for youth under DJS supervision, and offer prevention and early intervention services to other at-risk students. In addition, SOS workers provide immediate, on-site intake services for students involved in delinquent activity.

Staff Secure: Residential programs where youth movement is controlled by staff supervision rather than by restrictive architectural features.

STARR Program: An enhanced version of the CHALLENGE behavior modification program that incorporates PBIS principles and is focused on improving youth behavior. It is used throughout each committed facility, as all staff and youth are involved in the program. The STARR program teaches youth skills to address negative behaviors and emotions and develop positive behaviors through a structured reinforcement system that includes rewards, supports, and levels. Youth are taught to take responsibility for their behavior, and a safe, predictable, and positive environment is maintained within facilities. It accomplishes these goals by focusing on the following positive behaviors that are captured in the STARR acronym: Solve Problems in a Mature and Responsible Manner; Task Focused; Act as a Role Model; Respect Self, Others, Facility Property, and Rules; Responsible for my Behavior.

State Advisory Board: The Board consists of 19 members appointed by the Governor for 3-year terms. The Board recommends to the Secretary of Juvenile Services policies and programs to improve State juvenile services. The Board helps plan development, use of resources, and helps inform the public of the Department's work.

Trauma, Addictions, Mental Health, and Recovery for Youth (TAMAR-Y): A structured trauma education group co-led by behavioral health clinicians and case managers that teaches youth to identify stress and trauma triggers. Youth also learn skills such as deep breathing and mindfulness techniques to address their reactions to stress and trauma triggers. TAMAR-Y uses a multimedia approach that includes videos to stimulate discussion, and incorporates expressive arts therapies that involve writing and drawing activities to engage youth and help them develop a better understanding of their experiences. Some of the topics covered in groups include: Understanding Trauma's Impact, Dealing with Triggers, Trauma and Addiction - How We Cope, Keeping It Together - Containment, Who I Am, and Connections.

Treatment Service Plan (TSP): A written document identifying treatment objectives, services, and service linkages that address the needs of the youth and family. It also examines the safety and appropriateness of the youth's placement, guides DJS' recommendations to the juvenile court for permanency planning (where appropriate), and monitors level of supervision and services required.

Under 13 (U-13) Initiative: A school-based intervention designed to provide support and services for juvenile offenders ages 12 years and younger through collaborative partnerships between DJS, Baltimore City/PG County Public Schools, the local Department of Social Services, and other child-serving stakeholders.

Ungovernable: Being beyond the control of parents, guardians, or custodians or being disobedient of parental authority.

Violation of Probation (VOP): A hearing conducted by the court to determine if the conditions of community supervision have been violated. A VOP is considered a technical violation if it does not involve a new delinquent offense.

Youth: The preferred term for individuals under 18 years of age as used by DJS.

Section I: An Overview of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services



Youth and staff from Backbone Mountain Youth Center donated their time to help families in the local community by volunteering at the Bruce Outreach Center in Westernport, Maryland. Youth passed out food, boxed up items, and carried items to people's vehicles for those needing assistance. It was a great opportunity for the youth to give back to the community, and they enjoyed talking to the families, knowing they'd made a positive impact.

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES (DJS)

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

The Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) is an executive agency charged with the responsibility of appropriately managing, supervising and treating youth who are involved in the juvenile justice system in Maryland. DJS provides individualized care and treatment to youth who have violated the law or who pose a safety risk to themselves or others. Objective screening and assessment tools are utilized to manage youth with the guidance of the data collected. DJS works with partners in the community to achieve meaningful improvements to the outcomes of the youth served.

Vision

Successful Youth, Strong Leaders, Safer Communities

DJS Strategic Plan Goals

1. Improve positive outcomes for justice-involved youth.
2. Only use incarceration when necessary for public safety.
3. Keep committed and detained youth safe while delivering services to meet youth needs.
4. Ensure a continuum of care for justice-involved youth that is age- and developmentally appropriate.
5. Build, value, and retain a diverse, competent, and professional workforce.
6. Enhance the quality, availability, and use of technology to improve services for staff, youth, and families.

DJS Values

- The safety of the citizens of Maryland and the fair, safe, and humane treatment for all youth in our care
- The families, communities, stakeholders, and staff who support positive change in our youth
- The experience, expertise, diversity, and integrity of our staff
- Fairness and cultural competence regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual identity, or religion
- Creating opportunities for youth and families to promote positive growth and development
- A community-based approach with the least restrictive appropriate interventions
- Continuous improvement and learning in all individuals
- Excellence, innovation, and quality practices based on data and research
- Change for the growth opportunities it brings
- Accountability
- Collaboration and teamwork

OBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT TOOLS

Objective screening and assessment tools guide key decisions. For example, DJS Intake Officers are statutorily empowered to screen juvenile offenses and determine which complaints should be referred to the State’s Attorney’s Office for formal court petitioning. This decision is guided by a risk assessment tool that is part of the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) process. It factors in a youth’s history of delinquency, history of social risk factors, and the seriousness of the current offense(s) to identify those cases requiring court action.

The Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) evaluates whether a youth should be held in secure detention or placed in a supervised detention alternative while awaiting a hearing on the merits of a juvenile complaint. This tool helps the Department improve its detention practices by taking subjectivity out of the critical detention decision, with a goal of detaining only those youth who pose a risk to public safety, to themselves, or who would not otherwise appear in court.

If a youth has been adjudicated delinquent, the Department utilizes the MCASP Needs Assessment to identify the youth’s treatment and security needs, which serve as the basis for the Treatment Service Plan (TSP) development. Using an objective instrument allows the Department to make better matches between youth and the course of treatment available within the continuum of care. The continuum of care spans in-home probation supervision with services, community-based out-of-home treatment, and state- and privately operated secure programs, all designed to address youth needs and the factors that led the youth to delinquent behavior.

MANAGING WITH DATA

The information developed from assessment tools, as well as information collected by caseworkers, investigators, auditors, and facility staff, is used in all levels of DJS management. Data reports are available to field staff and managers through the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST), the Maryland Evaluation and Treatment Services (METS), and SafeMeasures reporting systems. Research and Evaluation staff regularly analyze data, generating reports for agency decision makers, the Governor’s Office, and reports to the Maryland General Assembly. This *Data Resource Guide* represents the most comprehensive report of the Department’s aggregated data. County- and program-level data are readily available to all. This is crucial for practitioners because statewide trends could mask local trends. As innovative new ways of examining information are uncovered, these will be incorporated into this publication.

COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS

The Department’s partnerships start in the community. Throughout the State, the Department works with local law enforcement, courts, health departments, attorneys, and other stakeholders to keep Department youth safe and supported. Field staff make referrals to community-based providers who help meet the treatment needs of youth under supervision in the community.

State partners, such as the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), the Maryland Department of Human Services (DHS), and the Maryland Department of Health (MDH), collaborate at many levels to deliver services in the most effective manner. DJS also works closely with the Maryland State Police (MSP) and the Governor’s Office of Crime Control and Prevention (GOCCP) to ensure information is shared across agencies and public safety goals are met.

EQUITY

The DJS Racial Equity Framework is a series of actions taken to examine and develop solutions to agency policy and practices that may directly or indirectly yield racially disparate outcomes for youth and families of color. DJS actions for race equity include extensive staff capacity-building to engage in race equity dialogue and solutions, routine youth of color data collection and reporting to help better understand the problem and to monitor progress of implemented equity reforms, use of a specialized equity lens to vet agency policy and practice for specific impact on youth of color, and adoption of model practices that are proven or promoted to be effective for youth and families of color. This work is led by the DJS Office of Equity and Reform, which is a dedicated unit of agency staff who focus on youth outcomes and targeted reforms for specific youth populations distinguished by race, ethnicity, gender, sex, and sexuality.

FAMILY ENGAGEMENT

DJS recognizes that family engagement is critical to positive outcomes for youth involved in the juvenile justice system. Keeping families engaged and informed throughout the legal process is a priority for the Department. The Office of Family Engagement works to ensure family members are knowledgeable about their loved one’s detention, court orders, treatment, supervision, and re-entry into their communities and schools. It also promotes the active participation of families in the process to support youth and reduce their risks for recidivism.

Re-Entry Strategic Plan Goals


DJS' Strategic Re-Entry Plan was developed and implemented in FY 2016 in collaboration with agency stakeholders. Representatives from the Judiciary, Office of the Public Defender, State's Attorney's Office, service providers, the advocacy community, and many levels of DJS staff were all included. The plan provides a framework of goals, objectives, performance measures, and strategic activities to ensure that youth released from committed placement can successfully transition to life in their home communities. Key plan objectives focus on transition planning, family engagement, school re-enrollment, and connecting youth to community service providers and work opportunities. Many of the planned initiatives have been implemented, including the creation of regional re-entry specialist and family engagement positions, checklists to ensure comprehensive discharge planning, and to collect key performance data both prior to and after release, and performance reports to ensure accountability and measure success.

- Goal 1: Reduce recidivism by providing supervision to all youth returning home from committed care.
- Goal 2: Engage families of committed youth at all key case planning decision points.
- Goal 3: Connect all committed youth needing educational services to local education resources.
- Goal 4: Connect all youth to local employment services and resources.
- Goal 5: Connect all youth in need of behavioral or somatic health services to local resources to provide continuity of care.



Changing Habits and Making Progressive Strides (CHAMPS) held a drawing contest for National Youth Art Month where youth had to take a picture from a magazine, study it, fold it in half, and then draw the missing half. A youth from Savage Mountain Youth Center chose to draw the Maryland flag.

Organizational Chart As of OCTOBER, 2019



Agency Organization

The Department of Juvenile Services serves the entire state by providing support for community programs and services, community supervision and case management, and custody and care of committed juveniles.



Headquarters, located in Baltimore City, houses the Office of the Secretary and functions as the hub for all support services.

Agency functions are divided among the following:

- The Secretary oversees the Chief of Staff, Deputy Secretaries, Executive Directors of Community Supervision, Pre-Adjudication Services & Reform, Office of the Inspector General, and the Office of Legislation & Policy.
- The Chief of Staff oversees the Office of Fair Practice/Equal Employment, the Office of Communications/Special Projects, the Office of Family Engagement, and the Office of Minority Business Enterprise.
- The Deputy Secretary for Support Services manages the following offices: Research and Evaluation, Budget and Fiscal Services, Capital Planning and Budgeting, Information Technology, General Services, Human Resources, Professional Training and Education, and Inventory Control and Fleet Management.
- The Deputy Secretary for Operations oversees residential operations including the managing director of the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC)/transportation services, as well as Somatic Health, Behavioral Health/Substance Abuse, and Mental Health Services.

DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2019

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2019, the Department expended a total of \$269.1 million. The first pie chart reflects the major cost centers for which these funds were expended, and the second shows the expenditures by major object group.



Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution

1800s

- 1830: Maryland Legislature passed “An Act to Establish a House of Refuge for Juvenile Delinquents” that created for the first time an authority to provide troubled children with homes, education, and job training.
- 1850: House of Refuge opened.
- 1850-1882: Maryland built four “reform schools” for young people, governed by private boards and segregated by race and sex.
- 1866: Maryland Industrial School for Girls opened, and operated under various names, finally as the Montrose School, and closed in 1988.
- 1870: House of Reformation & Instruction for Colored Children opened. It operated under various names, renamed to the Cheltenham Youth Facility in 1992 and became Cheltenham Youth Detention Center in 2016.
- 1882: Industrial Home for Colored Girls opened. It merged with Montrose School for Girls in 1962.

1910-1918

- House of Refuge (opened in 1850) became Maryland School for Boys (1910) and in 1918 became the Maryland Training School for Boys.

1922

- State Department of Education operated the training schools.

1943

- State Department of Public Welfare, Bureau of Child Welfare, Division of Institutions operated the training schools.

1960s

- 1966-1969: State Department of Juvenile Services became the central coordinating agency for juvenile investigation, probation and aftercare services, and for State juvenile, diagnostic, training, detention, and rehabilitation institutions.
- 1967: State Department of Juvenile Services assumed administrative responsibilities for all State children’s centers and boys’ forestry camps.
- 1968: Patterson House (Group Home for Girls) opened. It closed in 1992.
- 1969: Department of Health and Mental Hygiene organized the Juvenile Services Administration to administer all schools, youth detention centers, forestry camps, and probation/aftercare programs.

1970s

- 1970: Alfred D. Noyes Children’s Center opened in Montgomery County.
- 1972: Group Home for Boys opened; it became the William Donald Schaefer House in 1992.
- 1972: Maryland Youth Residence Center (MYRC) opened.

1980s

- 1982: J. DeWeese Carter Center opened.
- 1985: Maryland Training School for Boys became the Charles H. Hickey Jr. School (CHHS).
- 1987: Juvenile Services Administration became an independent agency.
- 1988: The Montrose School closed.
- 1989: The Department of Juvenile Services became a cabinet-level department.

1990s

- 1992: Victor Cullen Academy, which was a former tuberculosis sanatorium, opened.
- 1992: Boys’ Village of Maryland renamed to Cheltenham Youth Facility (CYF).
- 1999: In November, DJS replaced its existing mainframe application, Information System for Youth Services (ISYS), with a client-server system referred to as the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST).

2002

- Victor Cullen Academy closed.
- HB 1081 - Required the establishment of a community detention program.

- HB 1011 - Required the Department to operate the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC) as a centralized regional juvenile justice intake, assessment, court, and detention facility for Baltimore City and specified the powers and duties related to the Center.
- HB 962 - Authorized the juvenile court to adopt a treatment service plan recommended by the Department in making a disposition on a specified petition. It also required DJS to ensure that a treatment service plan adopted by the court was implemented within 25 days after disposition.

2003

- Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center opened in October.
- Under Education Article §22-305, the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) began to assume responsibility for educating juveniles in DJS facilities.

2004

- Day/Evening Center in Baltimore City opened in December.
- SB 767 - Required a child discharged from a committed residential placement to receive step-down aftercare according to specified parameters.

2005

- Charles H. Hickey Jr. School Committed and Impact Programs closed in July.
- HB 1339 - Required the Secretary of Juvenile Services to establish a Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program in Baltimore City and County.

2007

- Victor Cullen Center reopened in July.
- Maryland Youth Residence Center closed in October.
- SB 359 - Reorganization and Regionalization required DJS to serve youth with specified programming that delivered services on a regional basis.

2008

- Group Home Reform - Keeping Maryland Youth in Maryland Per Diem Reduction Initiative.
- Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) began in Baltimore City in January and statewide in November.
- Day/Evening Reporting Center opened in Prince George's County in November.
- SB 742 - Provided that all contracted residential child care programs must post a "Residents' Bill of Rights" in the facility and provide residents and their parents/guardians a handbook of the policies of the provider.
- Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center closed in December.

2009

- Collaboration with Operation Safe Kids (OSK) to serve VPI youth in Baltimore City and Prince George's County.
- Silver Oak Academy opened as a private provider serving only DJS youth.

2010

- Child Safety Net Dashboard launched in February.
- HB 1382 - Allowed DJS to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in the District of Columbia and Virginia.

2011

- SB 62 - Authorized the State Department of Education and the Department of Juvenile Services to share educational records when necessary to ensure the appropriate delivery of services.
- HB 1190 - Expanded the Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program to include Cecil, Montgomery, and Prince George's Counties.
- The committed female population moved from Waxter Facility to J. DeWeese Carter Center in November.
- CHALLENGE behavioral management program implemented at Carter Center in November.

Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution (continued)

2012

- SB 245 - Allowed DJS to begin to develop a continuum of care by granting the agency the ability to move youth between committed placements.
- Central Review Committee was formed to implement the terms of SB 245 and commenced its operation in July.
- CHALLENGE implemented at Victor Cullen Center in March, the Youth Centers in August, and William Donald Schaefer House in October.
- The Tier I Human Sex Trafficking Screening Tool was adopted at the Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center in March to screen for victims of human sex trafficking and was expanded to the Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center in December.

2013

- HB 245 - Expanded DJS' ability to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in Delaware, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.
- Silver Oak Academy received approval to increase their treatment capacity from 48 beds to 96 beds.
- MSDE completed assumption of responsibility for educating juveniles in all DJS facilities.
- Under-13 (U-13) Initiative commenced in Baltimore City in May.
- From March to May, over 56 training sessions were held where approximately 1,100 Department employees learned to recognize the signs of possible human sex trafficking victimization among youth involved in the juvenile justice system and apply appropriate responses to support possible victims.

2014

- The Department began screening for victims of human sex trafficking at the Charles H. Hickey Jr. School in March.
- The U-13 Initiative expanded to Prince George's County in May.

2015

- Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM) Initiative implemented in Prince George's County in April.
- Accountability Incentives Management (AIM) - DJS Graduated Responses Initiative implemented statewide in July.
- SB 172 - Required eligible youth who were charged as adults and required detention to be held in juvenile detention facilities.
- Seven of the thirteen DJS-operated facilities were audited and earned 100% compliance with federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards.

2016

- DJS Re-entry Strategic Plan approved in January.
- Offices of Family Engagement and Equity and Systems Reform created in February.
- William Donald Schaefer House closed on May 26, 2016.
- SB 245 - Continuum of Care Legislation expired in June.
- VPI ended at the close of FY 2016.
- On November 17, 2016 the new Cheltenham Youth Detention Center opened with a capacity of 72 beds, replacing the 115-bed Cheltenham Youth Facility.
- The remaining six DJS-operated facilities not audited in 2015 were audited and earned 100% compliance with federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards.

2017

- CYPM Initiative implemented in Montgomery County in April.
- Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative (BHDI) pilot implemented in Baltimore City and Wicomico County.

2018

- Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) implemented in DJS committed facilities in January. The CHALLENGE behavioral management program modified to be consistent with PBIS principles, and renamed to STARR.

- Family Peer Support Program implemented in September in Baltimore City and Prince George's, Baltimore, Anne Arundel, and Wicomico Counties to link youth and families involved in the juvenile justice system to community resources.
- After several months of construction, Savage Mountain Youth Center reopened as a hardware secure facility with enhanced educational and therapeutic programming.
- DJS began screening for victims of human sex trafficking at Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center and Cheltenham Youth Detention Center.
- CYPM kick-off meeting is held in February for Harford, Howard, and Carroll counties to begin implementing the program.


2019

- American Correctional Association (ACA) national accreditation awarded to Victor Cullen Center on January 12 and J. DeWeese Carter Center on August 3.
- HB 606 - Established the Juvenile Justice Reform Council for two years.
- SB 704 - Added DJS data and research and evaluation opportunities to the Maryland Longitudinal Data System.
- CYPM kick-off meeting was held in April for Allegany, Frederick, and Washington counties to begin implementing the program.



Historical photo from Cheltenham Youth Detention Center

Flow of FY 2019 Case Referrals*




In FY 2019:


- There were a total of 18,899 juvenile complaints processed by DJS.
 - 38.9% (7,349) of those juvenile complaints were referred to the State’s Attorney for the authorization of a formal petition (as opposed to being resolved at intake or juvenile receiving pre-court supervision).
- Of the 7,349 juvenile complaints referred to the State’s Attorney, 88.3% (6,486) were petitioned and resulted in a court disposition. The remaining 11.7% includes 6.2% not petitioned (459), 4.8% denied by State’s Attorney (355), and 0.7% initial petition withdrawn (49).
- Of the 6,486 court dispositions:
 - 29.4% (1,904) received probation and 11.2% (727) were committed to DJS.
 - The remaining 59.4% (3,855) were dismissed/closed, continued, transferred, nolle pros., pending disposition, jurisdiction waived, writ pending, inter-region/state, or other.
- Of the 727 committed court dispositions, there were 76.3% (555) committed admissions (359 distinct youth). The remaining 23.7% (172) include community commitments and youth who had already been in a committed placement prior to the new intake.
 - Of the 555 committed admissions, 51.4% (285) were placed into DJS operated facilities while 48.6% (270) were placed into non-DJS operated facilities. Of those placed into non-DJS operated facilities:
 - 41.9% (113) went to a group home including ICFA, 24.4% (66) went to a RTC and 17.0% (46) went to Silver Oak Academy.
 - The remaining 16.7% (45) were placed out-of-state, in foster care, or in independent living facilities.

* Many FY 2019 cases were pending court action at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*.

Department of Juvenile Services Historical Evolution Highlights Since 2008



Maryland Juvenile Justice System Process Flowchart




STEPS IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM (SEE PROCESS FLOWCHART)

1. Youth can be referred to DJS by law enforcement, schools, citizens, and parents. Some police departments run diversion programs, and only those youth who fail out of the program would be referred to DJS. Youth may also be apprehended by law enforcement agencies (on a writ or warrant) for failing to appear in court or violating the conditions of supervision while in an alternative to detention program, for example.
2. If requested by law enforcement, DJS makes an emergency detention decision to determine if a youth requires secure detention until the next court day. This decision is guided by a Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI).
3. The intake complaint is assessed by an intake officer, who has statutory authority to determine how the case should be handled. The options are:
 - Disapprove as legally insufficient;
 - Resolve, when it is determined that furthering the case would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety;
 - Informal pre-court supervision, where the family signs a 90-day agreement to certain conditions without court involvement. This is the main DJS court diversion program; or
 - Formally authorize the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court for a hearing.
4. At the detention hearing, the juvenile court determines if detention is required until the adjudicatory or dispositional hearing. Youth may also be detained directly by the juvenile court in cases where the youth is presented during court hours, either on a new charge, a writ or warrant, or due to a violation or sanction of a supervision order (probation, community detention, drug court, etc.). DJS operates all secure juvenile detention centers.
5. Youth who present a lower risk may also be supervised in programs providing alternatives to secure detention. These programs ensure that the youth is available to attend the adjudicatory hearing. Youth must comply with certain restrictions, which include house arrest, electronic monitoring, or day and/or evening reporting centers. Structured shelter is also used for cases where the youth cannot return home after arrest but otherwise represents a lower risk.
6. DJS formally authorizes the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court. The State's Attorney then reviews the complaint and may dismiss it or file a petition to the juvenile court.
7. At the adjudicatory hearing (a fact-finding hearing), the juvenile court determines the outcome of the petitioned charges, which can be sustained or not sustained.
8. While a youth is awaiting disposition and/or supervision or placement, DJS conducts a series of assessments and investigations which will guide the DJS recommendation to the court on how the case should be handled.
9. For cases where a charge is sustained, a disposition hearing is held to determine if the youth is delinquent. If the youth is found delinquent, the court determines whether the youth requires DJS supervision and treatment under a probation order or a commitment order, which usually indicates an out-of-home placement.
10. Youth with a court-ordered disposition of probation receive supervision and services while they reside at home. Supervision intensity varies depending on the risk level of youth. Standard community supervision levels include low, moderate, high, and intensive supervision.
11. Youth with a court-ordered disposition of commitment who require placement in an out-of-home program may continue to wait in detention (pending placement) until an appropriate placement becomes available.
12. Youth who are committed to an out-of-home placement may be placed in a broad variety of programs (state-run or private, secure or non-secure) depending on the risk level and treatment needs of the youth.
13. DJS has committed diversion programs for youth who might otherwise be placed out of home. These in-home programs provide services and treatment to the youth and family, and include Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), and Family Centered Treatment (FCT).
14. Youth returning home from a committed placement are supervised on aftercare by DJS case managers who, along with regional re-entry specialists, ensure that youth are connected in the community with required services including education, employment, and health services.

Professional Training and Education Unit (PTEU)

The PTEU supports DJS by engaging its employees in innovative training opportunities around the policies that ensure the use of effective procedures and practices in juvenile justice. PTEU manages and guides a spectrum of tactical professional development opportunities that help to sustain the strategic direction of DJS leadership. PTEU plans, designs, and implements professional training opportunities for managers, supervisors, and staff along a continuum ranging from Entry Level Training (ELT) for its newest employees to Executive Training for its leaders.



PTEU plays a critical role in the Department's ability to attract and retain skilled and talented employees. Upon initial recruitment, all staff already possess personal attributes that are assets to the agency. PTEU's aim is to increase knowledge, enhance skills, and enrich staff attributes so that they are equipped and motivated to achieve DJS' vision, mission, and goals. In its training delivery, PTEU strives to uphold DJS core values and contribute to an optimistic organizational culture by delivering:

- **Entry-Level Training** is the first training experience that new staff members have once they successfully complete the hiring process. Each year, PTEU conducts several 6-week ELT sessions for newly hired employees. ELT embraces the core value of continuous improvement and learning for all individuals by collaborating with Carroll Community College to offer a 12-hour grammar class and awarding 5 college credits for students who successfully complete ELT and OJT.
- **On-the-Job Training (OJT)** continues to build proficiency and confidence by providing more individualized hands-on learning.
- **In-Service Training** is coordinated by training administrators within each region. Administrators ensure 100% compliance with in-service training requirements set forth by the Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commission's (MPCTC) regulations for mandated staff within their purview. In addition, they manage and coordinate annual in-service training programs to comply with state and national standards for juvenile justice training.
- **Aspiring Supervisor Training** is a four-day program offered to staff seeking to build their knowledge, skills, and abilities around supervisor duties.
- **Supervisor Training** sessions offered each quarter so that employees who have been promoted to supervisory positions can fulfill the requirement within one year as required by COMAR.
- **Specialized Training/Initiatives** offered as appropriate. Examples of specialized training include:
 - Collaborating with the Mental Health Association of MD to offer a 3-year certification course for Youth Mental Health First Aid (YMHFA) and Adult Mental Health First Aid (AMHFA), which prepares staff to be the first responders of mental health crises in DJS facilities and community offices. To date, all staff receive YMHFA during their ELT, AMHFA as an in-service offering, as well as re-certification classes every three years through in-service training.
 - Conducting semi-annual MPCTC approved Basic Instructor Train the Trainer (T for T) courses that certified 29 new adjunct instructors in 2019.
 - Collaborating with national experts from The Moss Group to achieve PTEU's goal of building capacity to meet federal standards for the delivery of mandated PREA refresher training for facility staff and authorized providers. In 2019, PREA 2.0 training delivery focused on sexual safety, including Trauma, Effective Communication Strategies, Implicit Bias and Organizational Culture, and Professional Boundaries.
 - Continuing to be an active participant in Trauma Informed Care (TIC) and Positive Behavioral Interventions & Supports (PBIS) by delivering Behavior Management training to staff and collaborating with the Behavioral Health Unit to enhance its training opportunities related to PBIS and CHALLENGE training.

The **PTEU Certification Unit** is responsible for maintaining the accuracy of the Skills Manager training database. Skills Manager is a robust system used for collecting, managing, and reporting of records related to professional development, education, and training of all employees for the length of their careers. The Unit reports duty, training, and certification status of mandated employees to the MPCTC on a continuous basis. The Unit also submits requests for training program approvals, instructor certifications, and the year-end training compliance report for mandated employees.



Entry Level Training Graduating Class of February 2019

Section II: Intake and Community Supervision



Youth from the Metro Region were rewarded for their consistent compliance while under DJS supervision with some friendly competition and food at a local bowling alley.

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision

The DJS Community Services Division provides a continuum of services to youth across multiple stages of the juvenile justice system. Juvenile justice processing begins at the point of intake. At this stage, DJS intake officers receive complaints from persons or agencies (e.g. private citizens, schools, victims, or law enforcement agencies) and assess whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is warranted. Community Services staff supervise DJS-involved youth who have been placed on informal (or pre-court) supervision, community detention and electronic monitoring (CD/EM), probation, and aftercare supervision. Case supervision and management tasks will be described in more detail below.

Juvenile Intake

DJS intake officers review all delinquent and Child in Need of Supervision (CINS) complaints, citations, referrals for service, and peace order requests. Intake officers are directed to make a determination within 25 days as to whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction, and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public or the child. DJS intake officers are authorized to either: (a) disapprove a complaint as legally insufficient; (b) resolve the matter at intake; (c) propose an informal adjustment period (also called pre-court supervision); or (d) authorize the filing of a petition by the State's Attorney's Office. DJS is required to forward all felony and handgun violation complaints to the State's Attorney's Office for review.

The intake decision-making process may involve an interview with the youth, parent and/or guardian, and where applicable, the victim(s). The intake decision is also guided by the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen (see Appendix M). The MCASP Intake Risk Screen is completed at intake for all alleged offenses except citations, CINS offenses (e.g., runaway and truant complaints), and traffic offenses. MCASP Intake Risk Screen items are used to create a delinquency history score and a social history score. The instrument generates a recommended intake decision based on the intersection of these two scores and the nature of the most serious alleged offense (whether it is a felony or misdemeanor).

In addition to assessing the merit of complaints received at intake and making the case forwarding decision, DJS intake officers are responsible for determining whether juveniles who have been taken into custody require secure detention or may be released to a parent, guardian, or other responsible adult and, if so, under what conditions. Some youth are released to a caregiver without any additional restrictions or conditions. Other youth are released to a caregiver and required to participate in an alternative to detention (ATD) program such as CD/EM pending a court hearing on the next court date. Lastly, some youth are detained or placed in shelter care pending a court hearing on the next court date.

Intake officers are directed by statute (Md. Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings, section 3-8A-15) to authorize detention if detention is deemed necessary to protect the youth or others, or if the youth

is deemed likely to leave the jurisdiction of the court. Shelter care may be utilized for youth requiring care, but not in a secure setting. Additionally, shelter care can be utilized if a parent, guardian, or custodian is not available to provide supervision and care until the child returns to court.

The decision to authorize detention is guided by a decision-making tool called the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI). The DRAI is designed to provide an objective assessment of the probability that a youth will reoffend or fail to appear for future court dates. The DRAI was most recently validated in FY 2017 and implemented in July 2017 (see Appendix F).

Intake services are provided by DJS regions during normal working hours (i.e., Mon-Fri., 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.). The Baltimore City Region provides 24/7 intake services and houses a centralized intake unit at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center. The centralized intake unit provides statewide intake services during non-traditional work hours and/or holidays for all regions across the state.

Community Supervision - Informal Adjustment (or Pre-Court Supervision)

As discussed above, one option for a case received at DJS intake is to handle the case informally without involving the juvenile court through an informal adjustment/pre-court supervision period of up to 90 days. Pre-court supervision is an agreement executed by the DJS intake officer that stipulates conditions of the supervision period. The agreement requires consent by the youth, parent/guardian, and victim (where applicable). Approval by the State's Attorney's Office is required for a felony offense. Agreements are tailored to the individual circumstances of the case, and may include the payment of restitution, the completion of community service hours, as well as participation in specialized counseling or treatment programs such as substance abuse treatment. In some circumstances, the period of informal supervision may be extended to 180 days to allow for the youth to participate in a substance abuse or mental health treatment program. Note that if a youth fails to meet the conditions of the agreement, the DJS intake officer may elect to forward the case to the State's Attorney's Office for review.



The Most Valuable Kids program provided DJS youth the opportunity to attend a Baltimore Ravens game.

Community Supervision – Community Detention

DJS provides regional CD/EM services typically for youth whose cases have been forwarded to the juvenile court at intake and are pending adjudication or disposition. The program operates as the primary \ ATD. CD/EM allows youth to continue participating in community activities such as school or work, and helps maintain community ties and support systems. Note that CD/EM may also be ordered by the court as a condition of probation or aftercare supervision.

Community detention supervision includes face-to-face and telephone contacts, as well as random unannounced visits at home, school, and work. The level of supervision depends on the assessed supervision needs of each youth and the court-ordered release conditions. Community detention officers (CDOs) are trained to detect violations of court-ordered release conditions and report any changes in a youth's home or school situation. Youth who violate the conditions of release may be withdrawn from the program and placed in secure detention.

The electronic monitoring component provides an additional layer of supervision. Compliance with the program is monitored electronically using an ankle bracelet worn by the youth and a receiver located in the youth's home. All electronic notifications related to the youth's schedule and equipment functionality are received in real time. The Command Center and assigned CDO carefully review the information to ensure that supervision violations receive proper intervention and follow-up.

The CD/EM command center is located in Baltimore City. This centrally-operated command center provides 24/7 staffing.

Community Supervision – Probation

Youth who are adjudicated and found delinquent by the juvenile court may be placed on probation under the supervision of a DJS case management specialist (CMS). Probation is the most frequently used juvenile court disposition. A probation term requires youth to abide

by general supervision conditions, as well as any special conditions imposed by the court.

At the start of probation supervision (or in some instances, prior to disposition if ordered by the juvenile court), a Social History Investigation and report are completed by the assigned CMS. This report describes the social adjustment and circumstances of the youth and their family.

In addition, the CMS completes the MCASP Needs Assessment that includes components of the MCASP Intake Risk Screen completed at intake but provides a much broader and more comprehensive assessment of individual risk needs and strengths. The MCASP Needs Assessment categorizes youth as having either low, moderate, or high need on each of the following treatment domains (which have been shown to influence risk for reoffending): (a) School; (b) Use of Free Time; (c) Employment; (d) Community Relationships; (e) Family; (f) Alcohol and Drugs; (g) Mental Health; (h) Attitudes and Aggression; and (i) Neighborhood Safety. See Appendix N for a list of MCASP Needs Assessment items.

The CMS uses the Social History Investigation and MCASP Needs Assessment to develop recommendations to the juvenile court and to create a Treatment Service Plan (TSP). A TSP is completed for each youth under court-ordered supervision and includes the recommended supervision level for the youth, specific goals for the youth and family to meet, and a statement of services to be provided to the youth and family. In developing the TSP, input from youth, parents and/or guardians, and service providers (as appropriate) is also solicited.

Community Supervision – Aftercare and Re-entry

Aftercare supervision is similar to probation supervision in that the CMS performs many of the same supervisory tasks and relies on the same decision-making tools (e.g., the MCASP Needs Assessment). Aftercare services are provided for youth who have been committed to or released from the custody of DJS for placement outside the home, or for the receipt of in-home services in some jurisdictions. A CMS is assigned to the case upon commitment. Aftercare planning is initiated before the youth is placed in a residential program.

Aftercare case management begins at commitment. Plans are guided by risk and needs assessments, are updated according to youth's progress in residential care, and also include identification and monitoring of services needed upon release. Building on services provided in the residential placement, the CMS is also responsible for managing the re-entry process so youth and family are linked to services in the community. They monitor the youth's adjustment, and ensure compliance with any court directives. Additionally, a variety of programs is available to assist youth returning to the community;

including independent living programs, transitional educational services, and employment programs (e.g., job readiness training, career exploration, and vocational training). As a step-down to community supervision, some youth are also monitored with GPS supervision. GPS may also be ordered by the court, or as a sanction by a case manager for high risk cases, and for youth on home passes from secure placement.

Interstate Compact (IC) Unit

The IC unit provides for the cooperative supervision of probation and aftercare youth who move from state to state as well as the return of runaways, absconders, and escapees. As of 2014, a new compact was enacted forming the Interstate Commission for Juveniles (ICJ). All 50 states are currently members. This Commission governs each member state as to the provision of proper supervision or return of juveniles, delinquents, and status offenders on probation or aftercare who have absconded, escaped, or run away and, in so doing, have endangered their own safety or the safety of others. It is the responsibility of each


member state to provide supervision and services to ICJ cases using the same standards that prevail for its own juveniles placed on probation or aftercare supervision.







Note to Readers:

Starting in the 2017 DRG, the table “Court Action for Formaled Cases” is organized as follows:

1. Like actions are grouped together. For example: “Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, and Non Est” all represent cases that did not proceed to court, and “Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros” cases went to court, but did not make it to an adjudicatory decision.
2. In cases with more than one result (e.g. Continued then Dismissed), the ultimate action is now shown for all cases, (as of the time of data extraction.) Previously, the first action was shown for most cases, except for Continued/Stet.

DJS Offices by County and Region



 Region I Baltimore City	 Region II Central	 Region III Western	 Region IV Eastern	 Region V Southern	 Region VI Metro
HQ - MD State DJS	5 - Hunt Valley	12 - Allegany	16 - Denton	25 - Annapolis	30 - Rockville
1 - Central	6 - Dundalk/E ssex	13 - Frederick	17 - Cambridge	26 - Glen Burnie	31 - Silver Spring
2 - Southern	7 - Arbutus	14 - Oakland	18 - Centreville	27 - Prince Frederick	32 - Largo
3 - Plaza	8 - Garrison	15 - Hagerstown	19 - Easton	28 - La Plata	33 - Upper Marlboro
4 - MYRC	9 - Westminster		20 - Snow Hill	29 - Leonardtown	
	10 - Bel Air		21 - Elkton		
	11 - Ellicott City		22 - Chestertown		
			23 - Princess Anne		
			24 - Salisbury		

Total Statewide Activity

There are six regions across the state that encompass 24 jurisdictions.

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	1.5%	2.0%	1.7%
Citizen	2.6%	2.2%	2.1%
Police*	91.8%	91.3%	92.5%
Violation of Probation**	4.0%	4.6%	3.7%
Total Complaints	21,532	19,667	18,899

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	38.7%	39.7%	45.2%
Informaled	14.5%	15.2%	15.9%
Authorized Formal Petition	46.8%	45.1%	38.9%
Total Complaints	21,532	19,667	18,899

Court Action for Formal Cases

Court Action for Formal Cases	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.0%	11.6%	11.7%
Stet	8.5%	8.7%	9.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.8%	0.9%	0.7%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	28.0%	26.7%	23.6%
Pending Disposition*	6.2%	7.4%	16.6%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	1.2%	1.4%	1.5%
Probation	29.7%	29.1%	25.9%
Committed to DJS	12.5%	13.3%	9.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other**	1.0%	0.7%	0.8%
Total Formal Complaints	10,080	8,864	7,349

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, Average, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	347.2
Pre-Court	721.4
Probation	1,964.0
Aftercare	598.0
Committed-Aftercare	395.9

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Maryland population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.4% (from 530,949 to 528,690).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 38.9% of complaints were formalized, while 15.9% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 12.2%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 46.8% to 38.9%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 28.4 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.3 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 82.0 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.56), Secure Detention (2.46) and Petition (1.57).
 - See Appendix O for details on the Relative Rate Index (RRI).
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 12.0% to 10.8%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 9.1% to 8.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 67.5% to 67.4%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 26.2% (329) to 31.4% (228).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.2%	62.1%	61.7%
White	29.8%	29.2%	29.4%
Hispanic/Other	8.0%	8.7%	8.9%
Sex			
Male	74.2%	73.6%	70.9%
Female	25.8%	26.4%	29.1%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	3.9%	4.3%
12	4.4%	4.7%	5.6%
13	8.2%	8.4%	9.6%
14	13.2%	12.9%	12.9%
15	19.7%	18.9%	19.1%
16	22.3%	22.1%	21.2%
17	25.4%	25.9%	24.7%
18-20	3.3%	3.2%	2.6%
Total Complaints	21,532	19,667	18,899

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	47.0%	47.2%	47.8%
Assault (Felony)	1.6%	0.6%	1.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.6%	22.6%	18.0%
Carjacking	0.4%	0.3%	2.9%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%	2.0%	1.3%
Handgun Violation	1.0%	2.9%	4.7%
Harassment	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%
Other Person ⁺	14.6%	8.7%	4.2%
Robbery	4.1%	6.3%	11.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.3%	2.5%	1.2%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.9%	0.5%
Property Offenses	29.9%	32.5%	31.5%
Arson	0.5%	0.4%	0.1%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.2%	2.8%	4.3%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.0%	3.2%	2.7%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.5%	3.9%	1.9%
Malicious Destruction	5.3%	5.1%	3.7%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.6%	2.7%	2.2%
Theft (Felony)	2.2%	1.9%	2.4%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.6%	12.4%	14.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.4%	5.5%	4.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.8%	2.5%	1.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.6%	2.9%	2.6%
Citations	8.4%	1.4%	0.8%
Alcohol Violation	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.2%	0.6%	0.5%
Tobacco Violation	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	0.7%	0.3%
Vaporizer Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.7%	0.1%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.6%	0.1%	0.2%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.1%	13.3%	15.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.8%	5.6%	8.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	2.2%	1.6%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.6%	1.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.7%	4.9%	3.6%
Total Offenses	31,346	2,348	990

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	10.8%	12.7%	25.9%
Felony	8.2%	14.2%	16.1%
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	2.6%	1.2%
- Property	4.2%	4.8%	6.1%
- Drugs	2.7%	3.0%	2.5%
- Unspecified	0.3%	3.8%	6.3%
Misdemeanor	67.4%	72.0%	57.6%
- Person-to-Person	42.5%	41.5%	30.0%
- Property	21.4%	21.7%	21.6%
- Drugs	1.9%	3.1%	2.8%
- Unspecified	1.7%	5.7%	3.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.0%	0.1%
Citations	10.9%	1.1%	0.3%
CINS	2.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/Dispositions	18,899	1,904	727

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Female Statewide Activity

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%
Citizen	4.1%	3.6%	3.7%
Police*	92.9%	93.2%	93.4%
Violation of Probation**	2.6%	2.8%	2.3%
Total Complaints	5,548	5,186	5,501

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	51.2%	53.3%	56.1%
Informed	16.8%	16.6%	18.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	32.1%	30.1%	25.3%
Total Complaints	5,548	5,186	5,501

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Court Action for Formaled Cases	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.4%	13.9%	13.1%
Stet	9.1%	12.6%	12.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	31.9%	26.5%	25.3%
Pending Disposition*	7.0%	7.9%	16.6%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	1.3%	1.3%	1.9%
Probation	28.8%	28.7%	23.7%
Committed to DJS	7.3%	7.7%	6.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other**	1.8%	0.8%	0.6%
Total Formal Complaints	1,779	1,563	1,390

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, Average Caseload, FY 2019

Community	Average Caseload*
Investigation (SHI)	46.2
Pre-Court	235.4
Probation	353.7
Aftercare	76.4
Committed-Aftercare	50.8

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the female population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.4% (from 260,190 to 259,195).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 25.3% of complaints were formaled, while 18.7% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 0.8%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 32.1% to 25.3%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 26.5 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.4 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 86.9 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Data on racial and ethnic disparity are not available by sex.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 4.7% to 4.6%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 4.7% to 4.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 78.3% to 76.6%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 38.0% (49) to 52.9% (45).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	60.4%	60.4%	61.8%
White	32.9%	32.4%	31.3%
Hispanic/Other	6.7%	7.2%	6.9%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	3.0%	3.4%	4.4%
12	5.0%	5.6%	6.9%
13	9.8%	10.4%	11.8%
14	14.5%	14.2%	14.1%
15	19.8%	18.7%	18.1%
16	22.6%	21.8%	19.6%
17	22.7%	23.7%	23.2%
18-20	2.6%	2.0%	1.9%
Total Complaints	5,548	5,186	5,501

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	54.1%	63.5%	46.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	1.0%	3.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	28.3%	38.6%	29.7%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.0%	1.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	0.5%	1.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Harassment	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	20.1%	18.7%	5.9%
Robbery	1.4%	2.1%	4.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	25.5%	25.9%	41.6%
Arson	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	0.8%	1.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.3%	2.1%	1.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.6%	1.8%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.4%	5.2%	18.8%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.3%	3.6%	7.9%
Theft (Felony)	1.2%	1.3%	3.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	12.5%	10.9%	9.9%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.3%	1.0%	1.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.6%	0.3%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.7%	8.3%	10.9%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.2%	2.1%	7.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%	3.4%	1.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.3%	1.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.3%	2.6%	1.0%
Total Offenses	8,261	386	101

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.6%	5.8%	12.9%
Felony	4.0%	7.0%	11.8%
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.8%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	3.0%	4.7%
- Drugs	0.8%	0.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	1.2%	7.1%
Misdemeanor	76.6%	86.3%	74.1%
- Person-to-Person	51.9%	65.0%	41.2%
- Property	22.4%	18.8%	32.9%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.3%	2.4%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	10.6%	0.9%	1.2%
CINS	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>5,501</i>	<i>329</i>	<i>85</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>29.1%</i>	<i>17.3%</i>	<i>11.7%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Statewide Activity for Youth Under Age 13

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	3.6%	2.3%	2.9%
Police*	96.1%	97.5%	96.9%
Violation of Probation**	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Total Complaints	1,711	1,702	1,882

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	50.1%	54.2%	55.2%
Informaled	20.2%	21.6%	21.0%
Authorized Formal Petition	29.6%	24.3%	23.8%
Total Complaints	1,711	1,702	1,882

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	13.0%	16.9%	14.3%
Stet	17.6%	14.0%	10.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	29.4%	27.8%	23.2%
Pending Disposition*	5.1%	8.2%	22.3%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	1.6%	1.7%	1.8%
Probation	27.2%	24.9%	23.2%
Committed to DJS	3.7%	3.9%	2.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Other**	2.4%	2.4%	2.2%
Total Formal Complaints	507	413	448

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	12.7
Pre-Court	90.2
Probation	77.1
Aftercare	27.9
Committed-Aftercare	1.5

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the juvenile population (ages 11-12) increased 2.6% (from 147,759 to 151,619).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):
 - 23.8% of complaints were formalized, while 21.0% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):
 - Total complaints increased 10.0%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 29.6% to 23.8%.
 - Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 25.8 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.6 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 109.9 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):
 - Data on racial and ethnic disparity are not available by age.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 9.5% to 8.8%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 3.5% to 3.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 83.8% to 82.8%.
- Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 57.9% (11) to 22.2% (2).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS[†], FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	65.2%	66.1%	65.8%
White	30.5%	28.8%	28.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.4%	5.1%	6.1%
Sex			
Male	74.1%	72.3%	66.9%
Female	25.9%	27.7%	33.1%
Age			
8 and under	60	70	76
9	115	114	86
10	186	197	204
11	411	393	450
12	939	928	1,066
Total Complaints	1,711	1,702	1,882

[†] Data for age are not presented in percentages due to the small size of some categories.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	66.5%	59.8%	53.8%
Assault (Felony)	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	34.4%	37.8%	46.2%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.0%	7.7%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	19.2%	11.8%	0.0%
Robbery	1.8%	2.4%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.9%	3.1%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.5%	3.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	23.0%	26.8%	30.8%
Arson	1.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.8%	1.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.9%	6.3%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.9%	8.7%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.1%	5.5%	30.8%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.4%	13.4%	15.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.7%	9.4%	7.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.4%	3.9%	7.7%
Total Offenses	2,937	127	13

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	8.8%	4.8%	11.1%
Felony	3.1%	15.4%	11.1%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	3.8%	0.0%
- Property	1.0%	4.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	6.7%	11.1%
Misdemeanor	82.8%	79.8%	77.8%
- Person-to-Person	61.1%	55.8%	66.7%
- Property	19.6%	19.2%	11.1%
- Drugs	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,882</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>10.0%</i>	<i>5.5%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Statewide Activity for Youth of Color

The data shown on these pages provide additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth).

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	2.0%	2.5%	2.3%
Citizen	3.0%	2.3%	2.5%
Police*	90.4%	90.0%	90.8%
Violation of Probation**	4.6%	5.1%	4.4%
Total Complaints	15,114	13,923	13,340

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	36.2%	36.8%	42.7%
Informaled	12.2%	13.5%	13.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	51.5%	49.7%	43.5%
Total Complaints	15,114	13,923	13,340

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	11.5%	11.4%	11.1%
Stet	7.6%	8.1%	8.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.9%	1.0%	0.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	29.7%	27.6%	24.5%
Pending Disposition*	6.2%	7.4%	17.4%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	1.3%	1.2%	1.5%
Probation	28.0%	28.6%	24.9%
Committed to DJS	13.8%	13.9%	10.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Other**	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%
Total Formal Complaints	7,791	6,922	5,804

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.
* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG
** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, Average Caseload, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	292.1
Pre-Court	453.0
Probation	1,516.3
Aftercare	499.9
Committed-Aftercare	316.4

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Youth of Color population (ages 11-17) increased 5.2% (from 282,563 to 297,311).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):
 - 43.5% of complaints were formalized, while 13.8% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):
 - Total complaints decreased 11.7%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 51.5% to 43.5%.
 - Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.8 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.2 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 82.0 days.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 14.0% to 13.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.6% to 9.4%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 68.1% to 69.2%.
- Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 24.4% (263) to 28.4% (174).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	88.7%	87.7%	87.4%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	11.3%	12.3%	12.6%
Sex			
Male	75.4%	74.8%	71.7%
Female	24.6%	25.2%	28.3%
Age			
11 and under	3.4%	4.1%	4.4%
12	4.5%	4.6%	5.8%
13	8.3%	8.1%	9.8%
14	13.5%	13.3%	12.7%
15	20.5%	19.6%	19.2%
16	22.1%	22.6%	21.8%
17	24.3%	24.5%	23.8%
18-20	3.4%	3.2%	2.6%
Total Complaints	15,114	13,923	13,340

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	50.1%	49.4%	47.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.8%	0.6%	1.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.1%	22.5%	16.0%
Carjacking	0.5%	0.4%	3.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	2.4%	1.5%
Handgun Violation	1.3%	3.5%	5.6%
Harassment	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%
Other Person ⁺	15.4%	9.2%	3.9%
Robbery	5.3%	8.0%	13.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.2%	2.1%	0.8%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.5%	0.4%
Property Offenses	30.6%	31.4%	30.6%
Arson	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.6%	2.7%	3.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.9%	2.5%	2.7%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.4%	4.2%	2.1%
Malicious Destruction	4.8%	3.4%	3.4%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.5%	2.8%	1.8%
Theft (Felony)	2.5%	2.0%	2.7%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	12.3%	13.5%	14.1%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.5%	5.1%	5.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.0%	2.1%	2.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.5%	2.9%	3.0%
Citations	5.0%	0.9%	0.6%
Alcohol Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.2%	0.5%	0.2%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.3%	0.1%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.1%	13.0%	16.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.2%	6.6%	10.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%	1.2%	1.2%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.4%	1.2%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.6%	4.8%	3.6%
Total Offenses	23,152	1,781	842

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	13.0%	14.2%	28.1%
Felony	9.4%	13.4%	16.7%
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	1.9%	0.8%
- Property	5.0%	5.0%	6.0%
- Drugs	3.1%	2.5%	2.8%
- Unspecified	0.3%	4.0%	7.0%
Misdemeanor	69.2%	71.6%	54.7%
- Person-to-Person	44.0%	40.6%	27.1%
- Property	21.9%	21.6%	21.1%
- Drugs	1.7%	2.9%	3.1%
- Unspecified	1.6%	6.5%	3.4%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%
Citations	6.5%	0.7%	0.3%
CINS	1.5%	0.1%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>13,340</i>	<i>1,444</i>	<i>612</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>70.6%</i>	<i>75.8%</i>	<i>84.2%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region I-Baltimore City Summary

Regional Director: Bernice Walker

300 North Gay Street, Baltimore, MD 21202 (ph) 443-263-8871; 6502 Reisterstown Rd, Baltimore, MD 21215 (ph) 410-362-4400;
530 N. Hilton Street, Baltimore, MD 21229 (ph) 410-585-2100

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	5.6%	10.0%	8.2%
Citizen	6.6%	6.8%	7.4%
Police*	81.4%	75.4%	78.2%
Violation of Probation**	6.4%	7.8%	6.3%
Total Complaints	2,212	1,782	1,387

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	11.3%	15.9%	17.7%
Informaled	6.6%	5.9%	8.1%
Authorized Formal Petition	82.1%	78.1%	74.3%
Total Complaints	2,212	1,782	1,387

Court Action for Formal Cases

Court Action	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	11.2%	9.1%	10.2%
Stet	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	47.3%	40.2%	35.7%
Pending Disposition*	7.2%	11.1%	25.9%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	1.4%	1.6%	0.8%
Probation	19.2%	19.1%	15.6%
Committed to DJS	12.8%	17.7%	10.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Other**	0.6%	0.9%	0.2%
Total Formal Complaints	1,816	1,392	1,030

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Community	Average Caseload*
Investigation (SHI)	117.0
Pre-Court	31.5
Probation	390.2
Aftercare	158.0
Committed-Aftercare	88.2

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Baltimore City population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.5% (from 48,825 to 46,613).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 74.3% of complaints were formalized, while 8.1% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 37.3%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 82.1% to 74.3%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.5 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 9.3 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 65.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (5.99) and under-represented at Delinquent Findings (0.64).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 30.0% to 30.1%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 28.8% to 27.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 40.1% to 42.0%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 22.0% (51) to 16.1% (18).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	94.0%	93.9%	94.4%
White	4.7%	4.0%	3.2%
Hispanic/Other	1.2%	2.0%	2.4%
Sex			
Male	83.2%	83.9%	80.3%
Female	16.8%	16.1%	19.7%
Age			
11 and under	2.2%	2.0%	1.9%
12	2.7%	2.0%	4.0%
13	6.8%	5.7%	9.5%
14	15.1%	11.7%	12.8%
15	21.3%	21.4%	22.1%
16	22.1%	26.0%	21.8%
17	26.9%	27.1%	24.2%
18-20	2.8%	4.2%	3.6%
Total Complaints	2,212	1,782	1,387

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	47.0%	43.1%	55.4%
Assault (Felony)	4.2%	1.6%	2.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	15.7%	18.1%	8.8%
Carjacking	2.2%	1.1%	7.4%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.5%	1.1%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	5.2%	11.7%	10.1%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	0.0%	1.4%
Other Person ⁺	2.9%	0.5%	2.0%
Robbery	12.1%	9.0%	21.6%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.7%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.4%	0.0%	1.4%
Property Offenses	29.2%	19.7%	17.6%
Arson	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	6.4%	1.1%	1.4%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.1%	0.5%	1.4%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.7%	3.7%	1.4%
Malicious Destruction	2.8%	1.6%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	1.1%	0.5%	1.4%
Theft (Felony)	3.9%	2.7%	2.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.0%	9.6%	10.1%
Drug-Related Offenses	13.7%	16.0%	10.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	7.5%	8.0%	6.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	6.2%	8.0%	4.7%
Citations	1.4%	2.1%	0.7%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.9%	2.1%	0.7%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	1.3%	0.5%	1.4%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.4%	18.6%	14.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	4.2%	5.9%	8.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.0%	0.5%	0.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.0%	1.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.0%	12.2%	4.1%
Total Offenses	2,870	188	148

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	30.1%	14.9%	39.3%
Felony	27.0%	18.0%	17.9%
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%
- Property	11.5%	3.7%	3.6%
- Drugs	14.6%	8.1%	8.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	5.6%	6.2%
Misdemeanor	42.0%	65.2%	42.0%
- Person-to-Person	26.1%	30.4%	18.8%
- Property	11.1%	14.9%	14.3%
- Drugs	3.5%	8.1%	3.6%
- Unspecified	1.2%	11.8%	5.4%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	0.0%	0.9%
Citations	0.2%	1.9%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,387</i>	<i>161</i>	<i>112</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>7.3%</i>	<i>8.5%</i>	<i>15.4%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region II-Central Summary

10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130, Hunt Valley, MD 21031 (ph) 410-527-4300

Regional Director: Mathew Fonseca
Counties of Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, and Howard

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	1.0%	1.2%	1.3%
Citizen	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%
Police*	95.2%	93.6%	94.5%
Violation of Probation**	3.3%	5.0%	3.9%
Total Complaints	6,009	5,426	4,923

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.7%	40.0%	48.6%
Informaled	10.5%	11.5%	10.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	49.9%	48.5%	40.8%
Total Complaints	6,009	5,426	4,923

Court Action for Formal Cases

Court Action for Formal Cases	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	3.4%	6.8%	4.2%
Stet	12.2%	14.6%	14.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	28.2%	27.4%	26.8%
Pending Disposition*	4.2%	5.1%	12.7%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	1.0%	1.1%	1.1%
Probation	37.9%	32.4%	30.1%
Committed to DJS	9.9%	10.6%	8.4%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	2.1%	1.2%	1.5%
Total Formal Complaints	2,997	2,631	2,007

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, Average Caseload, FY 2019

Community	Average Caseload*
Investigation (SHI)	53.2
Pre-Court	133.6
Probation	448.2
Aftercare	107.2
Committed-Aftercare	64.9

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Central Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.5% (from 141,989 to 141,251).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 40.8% of complaints were formalized, while 10.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 18.1%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 49.9% to 40.8%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.0 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.6 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 95.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Data on ethnic and racial disparity are not available by region.
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 12.6% to 10.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.0% to 9.6%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 73.2% to 75.7%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 24.8% (74) to 29.6% (50).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	66.6%	68.8%	71.8%
White	28.9%	26.6%	23.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.5%	4.6%	5.0%
Sex			
Male	72.5%	72.3%	69.4%
Female	27.5%	27.7%	30.6%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	3.6%	3.5%
12	4.8%	5.2%	4.8%
13	8.6%	9.5%	10.6%
14	13.0%	13.4%	12.9%
15	20.0%	19.2%	20.5%
16	22.6%	21.7%	21.3%
17	24.8%	24.7%	24.1%
18-20	2.5%	2.7%	2.3%
Total Complaints	6,009	5,426	4,923

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	44.1%	43.0%	47.3%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	0.9%	1.3%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.8%	23.2%	20.1%
Carjacking	0.5%	0.5%	4.6%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	2.7%	1.7%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	1.2%	2.5%
Harassment	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%
Other Person ⁺	10.8%	6.2%	3.8%
Robbery	3.9%	6.2%	10.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.9%	0.4%
Property Offenses	40.4%	42.2%	38.9%
Arson	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.2%	5.9%	4.2%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.5%	3.5%	0.8%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.3%	4.6%	1.3%
Malicious Destruction	6.1%	3.1%	2.9%
Other Property ⁺⁺	5.4%	3.0%	3.8%
Theft (Felony)	2.9%	3.4%	3.8%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	16.4%	18.5%	22.2%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.3%	4.0%	3.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.9%	1.9%	0.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.4%	2.2%	2.5%
Citations	4.0%	1.2%	1.3%
Alcohol Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.3%	0.4%	1.3%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.8%	9.6%	9.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	1.9%	1.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.1%	2.6%	3.3%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.1%	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.1%	5.0%	3.8%
Total Offenses	7,785	742	239

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	10.3%	13.1%	23.7%
Felony	9.6%	14.0%	10.7%
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	1.3%	1.2%
- Property	6.3%	9.3%	5.9%
- Drugs	2.6%	2.3%	1.2%
- Unspecified	0.1%	1.2%	2.4%
Misdemeanor	75.7%	72.1%	65.7%
- Person-to-Person	44.0%	38.8%	34.3%
- Property	29.1%	26.1%	27.8%
- Drugs	1.4%	2.6%	2.4%
- Unspecified	1.2%	4.5%	1.2%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.2%	0.8%	0.0%
CINS	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>4,923</i>	<i>605</i>	<i>169</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>26.0%</i>	<i>31.8%</i>	<i>23.2%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Baltimore County

900 Walker Ave., Baltimore, MD 21228 (ph) 410-455-7800; 431 Eastern Blvd. Ste. 100, Baltimore, MD 21221 (ph) 410-780-1200; 10999 Red Run Blvd. Ste. 115, Owings Mills, MD 21117 (ph) 410-356-5774; 10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130, Hunt Valley, MD 21031 (ph) 410-527-4300

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	1.6%	1.7%	1.5%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	94.8%	92.9%	94.3%
Violation of Probation**	3.7%	5.4%	4.2%
Total Complaints	3,636	3,560	3,470

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	37.2%	38.1%	49.6%
Informed	8.6%	9.3%	7.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	54.2%	52.6%	43.1%
Total Complaints	3,636	3,560	3,470

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	2.0%	5.1%	3.7%
Stet	15.9%	19.4%	16.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.0%	1.0%	1.1%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	25.7%	25.8%	27.5%
Pending Disposition*	3.4%	4.9%	12.8%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
Probation	41.2%	33.2%	31.4%
Committed to DJS	9.1%	8.7%	5.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.2%	0.5%	0.5%
Total Formal Complaints	1,972	1,871	1,496

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, Average, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	28.6
Pre-Court	64.1
Probation	283.5
Aftercare	51.7
Committed-Aftercare	28.3

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Baltimore County population (ages 11-17) increased 1.4% (from 70,673 to 71,659).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 43.1% of complaints were formaled, while 7.3% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 4.6%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 54.2% to 43.1%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.0 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.1 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 98.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (4.18), Secure Detention (1.20) and under-represented at Diversion (0.58).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 15.2% to 11.6%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.5% to 10.7%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 72.5% to 75.4%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 22.2% (40) to 32.5% (27).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	73.5%	76.4%	78.1%
White	22.5%	19.6%	17.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.0%	4.0%	4.4%
Sex			
Male	72.9%	72.6%	70.0%
Female	27.1%	27.4%	30.0%
Age			
11 and under	3.5%	4.2%	3.1%
12	4.5%	5.2%	4.6%
13	9.2%	10.0%	10.8%
14	12.7%	13.7%	12.5%
15	20.6%	19.4%	21.5%
16	22.7%	21.5%	21.4%
17	24.2%	23.0%	23.8%
18-20	2.7%	2.9%	2.2%
Total Complaints	3,636	3,560	3,470

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	43.4%	41.7%	48.4%
Assault (Felony)	1.5%	0.9%	0.8%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.7%	20.7%	18.9%
Carjacking	0.6%	0.7%	9.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	3.2%	0.8%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	1.5%	3.3%
Harassment	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	10.7%	5.8%	2.5%
Robbery	4.4%	7.4%	9.8%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.7%	0.0%
Property Offenses	43.5%	45.5%	45.1%
Arson	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.8%	7.4%	4.9%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.9%	4.3%	0.8%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.8%	4.8%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.6%	3.2%	2.5%
Other Property ⁺⁺	5.7%	2.7%	5.7%
Theft (Felony)	3.3%	3.8%	5.7%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	16.9%	19.1%	25.4%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.2%	3.6%	1.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.2%	2.2%	1.6%
Citations	2.1%	1.4%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.5%	7.9%	4.9%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	1.5%	1.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.9%	1.7%	2.5%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.0%	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.2%	4.6%	0.0%
Total Offenses	5,576	585	122

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	11.6%	16.0%	26.5%
Felony	10.7%	14.3%	12.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	0.9%	2.4%
- Property	7.3%	11.1%	7.2%
- Drugs	2.8%	1.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.6%	2.4%
Misdemeanor	75.4%	68.7%	61.4%
- Person-to-Person	42.3%	35.4%	33.7%
- Property	30.7%	26.0%	27.7%
- Drugs	1.1%	2.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.3%	4.5%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	2.2%	1.1%	0.0%
CINS	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>3,470</i>	<i>469</i>	<i>83</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>18.4%</i>	<i>24.6%</i>	<i>11.4%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Carroll County

101 N. Court St., Westminster, MD 21157 (ph) 410-871-3600

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Citizen	4.1%	1.6%	2.7%
Police*	93.6%	95.1%	94.6%
Violation of Probation**	2.3%	3.0%	2.7%
Total Complaints	389	366	258

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	27.5%	26.8%	29.1%
Informed	33.2%	38.0%	49.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	39.3%	35.2%	21.7%
Total Complaints	389	366	258

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Court Action	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	4.6%	13.2%	10.7%
Stet	15.7%	5.4%	3.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	2.6%	0.0%	3.6%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	23.5%	24.8%	19.6%
Pending Disposition*	15.0%	3.9%	14.3%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	26.1%	35.7%	30.4%
Committed to DJS	10.5%	17.1%	17.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	153	129	56

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, Average Caseload*, FY 2019

Community	Average Caseload*
Investigation (SHI)	7.4
Pre-Court	33.3
Probation	18.2
Aftercare	7.6
Committed-Aftercare	4.3

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Carroll County population (ages 11-17) decreased 8.8% (from 16,671 to 15,210).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 21.7% of complaints were formaled, while 49.2% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 33.7%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 39.3% to 21.7%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.4 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 24.2 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 81.8 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most under-represented at Diversion (0.54).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 11.5% to 3.5%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 8.2% to 6.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 63.4% to 71.7%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 25.0% (4) to 20.0% (2).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	23.1%	17.5%	11.6%
White	73.5%	77.9%	82.6%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	4.6%	5.8%
Sex			
Male	76.9%	79.0%	70.5%
Female	23.1%	21.0%	29.5%
Age			
11 and under	3.9%	1.4%	5.4%
12	5.1%	6.0%	2.7%
13	5.7%	9.6%	8.9%
14	17.0%	12.3%	15.1%
15	12.3%	16.7%	19.4%
16	24.7%	22.4%	21.7%
17	29.8%	29.2%	25.2%
18-20	1.5%	2.5%	1.6%
Total Complaints	389	366	258

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	36.3%	52.6%	33.3%
Assault (Felony)	1.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	23.9%	36.8%	33.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	2.5%	5.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	27.9%	21.1%	26.7%
Arson	1.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.5%	5.3%	6.7%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.6%	5.3%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	4.1%	5.3%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.4%	0.0%	20.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	5.8%	5.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	4.8%	5.3%	0.0%
Citations	14.5%	5.3%	20.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	7.6%	0.0%	20.0%
Tobacco Violation	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	5.3%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.7%	15.8%	20.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.3%	10.5%	20.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.8%	5.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	394	19	15

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	3.5%	5.9%	10.0%
Felony	6.2%	23.5%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	11.8%	0.0%
- Property	2.3%	5.9%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	5.9%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	71.7%	70.6%	90.0%
- Person-to-Person	45.0%	52.9%	60.0%
- Property	19.8%	11.8%	30.0%
- Drugs	4.7%	5.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>258</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.4%</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>1.4%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Harford County

2 South Bond Street, Bel Air, MD 21014 (ph) 410-836-4680

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.1%	0.0%	1.4%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	97.0%	95.1%	94.5%
Violation of Probation**	2.9%	4.9%	4.1%
Total Complaints	1,068	823	583

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	56.6%	57.7%	56.3%
Informed	5.2%	2.8%	9.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	38.1%	39.5%	34.1%
Total Complaints	1,068	823	583

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	5.9%	9.5%	4.0%
Stet	3.9%	2.2%	0.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.0%	0.9%	1.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	19.9%	24.6%	23.1%
Pending Disposition*	3.4%	5.2%	12.1%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	43.5%	33.2%	29.6%
Committed to DJS	8.8%	17.5%	18.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	13.3%	6.8%	11.1%
Total Formal Complaints	407	325	199

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	14.0
Pre-Court	13.0
Probation	81.7
Aftercare	22.3
Committed-Aftercare	21.2

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Harford County population (ages 11-17) decreased 3.7% (from 23,630 to 22,752).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 34.1% of complaints were formaled, while 9.6% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 45.4%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 38.1% to 34.1%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 31.4 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.9 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 89.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.16), Secure Detention (2.00), and Petition (1.34).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 5.1% to 6.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.4% to 6.3%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 82.6% to 78.4%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 27.8% (10) to 27.0% (10).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	57.5%	58.2%	57.3%
White	40.0%	38.5%	39.5%
Hispanic/Other	2.5%	3.3%	3.3%
Sex			
Male	67.6%	69.1%	66.7%
Female	32.4%	30.9%	33.3%
Age			
11 and under	5.0%	2.6%	6.5%
12	7.0%	6.3%	7.5%
13	8.3%	8.6%	11.7%
14	14.8%	13.1%	8.9%
15	19.8%	18.3%	17.0%
16	19.9%	22.4%	20.2%
17	22.7%	26.9%	24.9%
18-20	2.6%	1.8%	3.3%
Total Complaints	1,068	823	583

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	59.0%	54.9%	52.1%
Assault (Felony)	1.9%	0.0%	4.2%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	33.9%	42.3%	22.9%
Carjacking	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.8%	1.4%	4.2%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	0.0%	4.2%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	0.0%	2.1%
Other Person ⁺	13.7%	5.6%	0.0%
Robbery	2.3%	0.0%	8.3%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.8%	1.4%	4.2%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.6%	4.2%	2.1%
Property Offenses	22.4%	23.9%	27.1%
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.0%	1.4%	2.1%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.5%	5.6%	2.1%
Malicious Destruction	3.4%	1.4%	8.3%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.8%	1.4%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.9%	2.8%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.1%	11.3%	14.6%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.1%	4.2%	8.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.8%	2.8%	4.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.3%	1.4%	4.2%
Citations	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.3%	16.9%	12.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.5%	7.0%	4.2%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.0%	2.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.5%	8.5%	6.2%
Total Offenses	790	71	48

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	6.3%	0.0%	21.6%
Felony	6.3%	8.5%	10.8%
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	1.7%	0.0%
- Property	3.3%	3.4%	2.7%
- Drugs	1.9%	3.4%	5.4%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
Misdemeanor	78.4%	91.5%	67.6%
- Person-to-Person	57.5%	64.4%	37.8%
- Property	18.7%	20.3%	24.3%
- Drugs	1.7%	1.7%	5.4%
- Unspecified	0.5%	5.1%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>583</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>37</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.1%</i>	<i>3.1%</i>	<i>5.1%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Howard County

3451 Court House Dr., Ellicott City, MD 21043 (ph) 410-480-7878

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Citizen	0.9%	1.0%	1.3%
Police*	95.7%	94.4%	95.6%
Violation of Probation**	2.8%	3.8%	2.8%
Total Complaints	916	677	612

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	34.7%	35.6%	44.0%
Informed	14.5%	19.2%	14.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	50.8%	45.2%	41.8%
Total Complaints	916	677	612

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	6.7%	11.1%	6.2%
Stet	2.6%	2.3%	14.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.6%	0.7%	0.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	47.5%	41.8%	27.0%
Pending Disposition*	4.7%	6.5%	12.1%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	23.0%	24.8%	23.4%
Committed to DJS	14.2%	12.7%	15.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%
Total Formal Complaints	465	306	256

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	3.3
Pre-Court	23.1
Probation	64.8
Aftercare	25.6
Committed-Aftercare	11.1

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Howard County population (ages 11-17) increased 2.0% (from 31,015 to 31,630).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 41.8% of complaints were formaled, while 14.2% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 33.2%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 50.8% to 41.8%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.9 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.1 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 95.5 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Refer to Juvenile Court/Intake (4.52), Petition (1.68) and under-represented at Diversion (0.64).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 8.4% to 9.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 12.1% to 7.7%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 70.9% to 76.5%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 30.3% (20) to 28.2% (11).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.4%	69.1%	75.8%
White	22.4%	21.3%	14.2%
Hispanic/Other	9.2%	9.6%	10.0%
Sex			
Male	74.7%	71.2%	68.3%
Female	25.3%	28.8%	31.7%
Age			
11 and under	2.6%	3.0%	2.6%
12	3.3%	3.1%	3.9%
13	7.6%	8.4%	9.0%
14	10.4%	13.1%	17.6%
15	21.0%	20.2%	18.6%
16	24.9%	21.1%	21.7%
17	27.9%	28.5%	24.5%
18-20	2.3%	2.5%	2.0%
Total Complaints	916	677	612

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	39.6%	38.8%	44.4%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	1.5%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.7%	20.9%	16.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	0.0%	1.9%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	11.1%	11.9%	11.1%
Robbery	4.3%	4.5%	14.8%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	42.2%	38.8%	38.9%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.0%	0.0%	5.6%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.2%	3.0%	3.7%
Malicious Destruction	5.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	6.1%	6.0%	3.7%
Theft (Felony)	3.0%	1.5%	3.7%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	19.9%	25.4%	22.2%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.2%	7.5%	3.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.8%	6.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.4%	1.5%	3.7%
Citations	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.6%	14.9%	13.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	6.0%	1.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.9%	6.0%	11.1%
Total Offenses	1,025	67	54

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	9.3%	5.0%	23.1%
Felony	7.7%	15.0%	10.3%
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	1.7%	0.0%
- Property	4.6%	1.7%	7.7%
- Drugs	2.5%	6.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	5.0%	2.6%
Misdemeanor	76.5%	80.0%	66.7%
- Person-to-Person	40.7%	36.7%	25.6%
- Property	33.8%	36.7%	30.8%
- Drugs	1.3%	1.7%	5.1%
- Unspecified	0.7%	5.0%	5.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>612</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.2%</i>	<i>3.2%</i>	<i>5.4%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region III-Western Summary

1 James Day Drive, Cumberland, MD 21502 (ph) 301-722-1600

Regional Director: Singleton Golden
Counties of Allegany, Frederick, Garrett, and Washington

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	1.0%	1.0%	0.4%
Citizen	6.7%	4.5%	2.5%
Police*	84.2%	85.2%	90.7%
Violation of Probation**	8.1%	9.3%	6.4%
Total Complaints	2,181	1,970	2,282

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	32.7%	33.0%	37.5%
Informed	19.5%	18.2%	22.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	47.8%	48.8%	39.7%
Total Complaints	2,181	1,970	2,282

Court Action for Formaled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	21.4%	16.7%	23.9%
Stet	7.7%	5.3%	7.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.6%	1.2%	0.4%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	17.4%	22.1%	19.3%
Pending Disposition*	3.2%	2.4%	7.2%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.4%	0.4%	1.1%
Probation	32.9%	33.5%	27.8%
Committed to DJS	16.3%	17.5%	12.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Other**	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	1,042	962	907

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.
* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG
** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	23.3
Pre-Court	112.1
Probation	147.2
Aftercare	41.7
Committed-Aftercare	36.1

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Western Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.4% (from 45,151 to 44,973).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):
 - 39.7% of complaints were formaled, while 22.7% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):
 - Total complaints increased 4.6%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 47.8% to 39.7%.
 - Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.8 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.9 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 70.7 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):
 - Data on ethnic and racial disparity are not available by region.
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.4% to 4.6%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 7.7% to 5.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 68.8% to 67.6%.
- Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 33.5% (57) to 60.0% (66).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	36.7%	44.6%	40.0%
White	56.6%	49.0%	52.6%
Hispanic/Other	6.7%	6.4%	7.4%
Sex			
Male	72.0%	72.5%	68.8%
Female	28.0%	27.5%	31.2%
Age			
11 and under	4.1%	4.2%	4.2%
12	4.5%	4.7%	6.6%
13	8.8%	10.5%	11.0%
14	13.5%	13.6%	14.7%
15	21.3%	18.8%	17.9%
16	24.3%	22.5%	22.0%
17	21.0%	23.6%	21.8%
18-20	2.5%	2.3%	1.8%
Total Complaints	2,181	1,970	2,282

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	41.5%	46.8%	40.6%
Assault (Felony)	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.1%	25.1%	23.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	1.1%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	2.0%	7.0%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	16.6%	14.4%	7.7%
Robbery	1.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.7%	2.3%	0.7%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	2.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.2%	28.2%	43.4%
Arson	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.3%	1.7%	2.8%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.0%	4.8%	4.2%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.8%	6.5%	4.9%
Malicious Destruction	7.5%	5.4%	14.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	4.0%	2.8%	2.8%
Theft (Felony)	1.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.5%	6.2%	14.7%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.3%	4.8%	6.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	2.8%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.2%	2.0%	6.3%
Citations	11.9%	2.5%	0.7%
Alcohol Violation	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.5%	0.8%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.5%	1.7%	0.7%
Vaporizer Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	5.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	1.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.4%	16.9%	9.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.9%	3.7%	7.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.7%	4.5%	0.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.8%	0.7%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.7%	7.9%	0.0%
Total Offenses	3,393	355	143

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.6%	6.0%	8.2%
Felony	5.1%	13.9%	5.5%
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	3.2%	0.9%
- Property	2.2%	3.2%	3.6%
- Drugs	1.3%	4.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	3.6%	0.9%
Misdemeanor	67.6%	77.8%	86.4%
- Person-to-Person	43.2%	47.6%	36.4%
- Property	20.2%	22.2%	37.3%
- Drugs	1.7%	2.8%	8.2%
- Unspecified	2.5%	5.2%	4.5%
Ordinance Offenses	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	14.3%	2.0%	0.0%
CINS	7.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,282</i>	<i>252</i>	<i>110</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>12.1%</i>	<i>13.2%</i>	<i>15.1%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Allegany County

1 James Day Dr., Cumberland, MD 21502 (ph) 301-722-1663

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	2.3%	2.6%	1.3%
Police*	95.4%	96.2%	96.5%
Violation of Probation**	2.3%	1.2%	2.3%
Total Complaints	477	421	399

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	46.8%	48.9%	56.6%
Informed	19.7%	15.7%	14.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	33.5%	35.4%	28.6%
Total Complaints	477	421	399

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Court Action	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	29.4%	13.4%	16.7%
Stet	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	23.8%	34.9%	32.5%
Pending Disposition*	1.2%	0.7%	4.4%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	29.4%	28.2%	28.9%
Committed to DJS	15.0%	19.5%	17.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Other**	1.2%	2.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	160	149	114

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	1.7
Pre-Court	8.1
Probation	42.3
Aftercare	6.7
Committed-Aftercare	11.1

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Allegany County population (ages 11-17) decreased 3.4% (from 5,856 to 5,655).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 28.6% of complaints were formaled, while 14.8% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 16.4%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 33.5% to 28.6%.
 - Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 10.2 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.0 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 71.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.96).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 4.3% to 1.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 5.9% to 7.3%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 62.9% to 65.9%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 33.3% (8) to 35.0% (7).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	20.5%	21.1%	31.8%
White	77.8%	76.5%	63.2%
Hispanic/Other	1.7%	2.4%	5.0%
Sex			
Male	61.4%	73.9%	71.2%
Female	38.6%	26.1%	28.8%
Age			
11 and under	6.9%	5.9%	4.0%
12	4.8%	6.9%	6.5%
13	8.2%	12.4%	15.0%
14	15.9%	13.8%	15.0%
15	18.4%	19.0%	15.8%
16	24.1%	20.7%	25.8%
17	21.0%	21.1%	17.5%
18-20	0.6%	0.2%	0.3%
Total Complaints	477	421	399

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	42.1%	55.8%	29.6%
Assault (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	3.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.1%	18.6%	11.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	2.3%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	16.9%	23.3%	14.8%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.2%	4.7%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	7.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	23.3%	16.3%	37.0%
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.9%	2.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.4%	9.3%	3.7%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.2%	4.7%	33.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.3%	14.0%	33.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.7%	9.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.6%	4.7%	33.3%
Citations	13.6%	7.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	7.2%	4.7%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.9%	2.3%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.0%	7.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%	2.3%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.9%	4.7%	0.0%
Total Offenses	580	43	27

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	1.0%	0.0%	5.0%
Felony	7.3%	21.2%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.3%	6.1%	0.0%
- Property	3.3%	3.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.8%	12.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	65.9%	72.7%	95.0%
- Person-to-Person	43.1%	51.5%	35.0%
- Property	18.8%	15.2%	15.0%
- Drugs	1.0%	6.1%	45.0%
- Unspecified	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	14.8%	6.1%	0.0%
CINS	11.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>399</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.1%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>2.8%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Frederick County

801 N. East St., Suite 3, Frederick, MD 21701 (ph) 240-629-3030

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	1.7%	2.0%	0.5%
Citizen	1.4%	1.8%	0.8%
Police*	87.7%	86.7%	90.4%
Violation of Probation**	9.1%	9.5%	8.3%
Total Complaints	692	610	757

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.5%	37.2%	39.9%
Informed	14.5%	14.6%	20.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	45.1%	48.2%	39.6%
Total Complaints	692	610	757

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Court Action	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	6.7%	6.1%	14.3%
Stet	16.0%	11.9%	15.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.6%	1.4%	1.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	15.4%	20.7%	13.7%
Pending Disposition*	2.2%	2.0%	9.7%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	39.4%	37.8%	32.7%
Committed to DJS	18.6%	20.1%	13.3%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	312	294	300

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	9.7
Pre-Court	35.6
Probation	53.6
Aftercare	17.6
Committed-Aftercare	14.0

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Frederick County population (ages 11-17) increased 0.9% (from 23,837 to 24,042).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 39.6% of complaints were formaled, while 20.5% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints increased 9.4%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 45.1% to 39.6%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 37.4 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.6 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 73.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.17), Secure Detention (2.11), and Petition (1.50).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.7% to 5.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 8.4% to 6.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 60.8% to 62.6%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 37.9% (22) to 50.0% (20).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	44.2%	52.1%	41.6%
White	43.9%	37.2%	45.0%
Hispanic/Other	11.8%	10.7%	13.3%
Sex			
Male	78.8%	73.6%	70.3%
Female	21.2%	26.4%	29.7%
Age			
11 and under	2.3%	1.3%	1.7%
12	3.0%	1.5%	4.4%
13	6.5%	7.0%	5.7%
14	13.3%	8.9%	10.6%
15	21.4%	19.3%	19.8%
16	25.3%	26.2%	26.4%
17	24.3%	31.5%	27.7%
18-20	3.9%	4.3%	3.7%
Total Complaints	692	610	757

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	38.2%	35.3%	54.4%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	18.2%	16.9%	31.6%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	3.7%	12.3%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	14.4%	9.6%	5.3%
Robbery	1.6%	0.0%	3.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.4%	2.9%	1.8%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.1%	34.6%	28.1%
Arson	0.7%	1.5%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	2.9%	7.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.6%	4.4%	7.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.8%	11.8%	5.3%
Malicious Destruction	5.1%	2.9%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.2%	2.2%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.7%	8.1%	8.8%
Drug-Related Offenses	6.2%	8.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.1%	4.4%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	4.1%	3.7%	0.0%
Citations	10.8%	1.5%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	7.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.7%	0.7%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.0%	20.6%	17.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.5%	5.9%	15.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.8%	6.6%	1.8%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.6%	7.4%	0.0%
Total Offenses	1,093	136	57

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	5.3%	6.1%	15.0%
Felony	6.2%	20.4%	12.5%
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	4.1%	2.5%
- Property	2.2%	6.1%	10.0%
- Drugs	2.6%	6.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	4.1%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	62.6%	72.4%	72.5%
- Person-to-Person	37.1%	35.7%	40.0%
- Property	20.6%	25.5%	20.0%
- Drugs	2.9%	5.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.0%	6.1%	12.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.7%	1.0%	0.0%
CINS	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>757</i>	<i>98</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>4.0%</i>	<i>5.1%</i>	<i>5.5%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Garrett County

7000 Thayer Center, Oakland, MD 21550 (ph) 301-334-8608

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	14.5%	18.3%	5.4%
Police*	82.1%	74.5%	94.1%
Violation of Probation**	3.4%	7.2%	0.5%
Total Complaints	179	153	222

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.7%	37.3%	41.9%
Informed	43.0%	32.0%	41.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	17.3%	30.7%	16.7%
Total Complaints	179	153	222

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Court Action	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	6.5%	14.9%	27.0%
Stet	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	12.9%	4.3%	10.8%
Pending Disposition*	6.5%	6.4%	5.4%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	45.2%	55.3%	45.9%
Committed to DJS	25.8%	17.0%	10.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	31	47	37

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	1.3
Pre-Court	19.4
Probation	19.3
Aftercare	6.2
Committed-Aftercare	1.7

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Garrett County population (ages 11-17) decreased 8.2% (from 2,439 to 2,238).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 16.7% of complaints were formaled, while 41.4% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints increased 24.0%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 17.3% to 16.7%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 12.7 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.8 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 59.0 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.97).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 1.3% to 4.5%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 8.5% to 3.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 58.2% to 40.5%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 25.0% (2) to 0.0% (0).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	10.1%	15.0%	11.3%
White	88.3%	81.7%	86.9%
Hispanic/Other	1.7%	3.3%	1.8%
Sex			
Male	73.7%	69.3%	59.9%
Female	26.3%	30.7%	40.1%
Age			
11 and under	11.2%	6.5%	4.5%
12	5.6%	3.3%	5.4%
13	7.8%	15.7%	13.1%
14	14.5%	9.8%	20.3%
15	26.3%	22.2%	14.0%
16	16.2%	26.8%	22.1%
17	15.6%	15.7%	20.7%
18-20	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	179	153	222

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	29.1%	22.7%	50.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	12.4%	4.5%	16.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	7.7%	0.0%	33.3%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.3%	9.1%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.3%	9.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	27.1%	59.1%	50.0%
Arson	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.0%	18.2%	33.3%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	11.7%	36.4%	16.7%
Other Property ⁺⁺	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	2.7%	4.5%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	31.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	22.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	8.4%	4.5%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	3.7%	13.6%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	9.1%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.3%	4.5%	0.0%
Total Offenses	299	22	6

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.5%	23.5%	50.0%
Felony	3.2%	11.8%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.7%	11.8%	0.0%
- Property	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	40.5%	58.8%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	26.6%	29.4%	25.0%
- Property	13.5%	23.5%	25.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	5.9%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	40.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	11.3%	5.9%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>222</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Washington County

44 N. Potomac St., Suite 300, Hagerstown, MD 21740 (ph) 301-791-7171

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	1.1%	0.9%	0.6%
Citizen	12.0%	5.0%	3.9%
Police*	75.4%	80.2%	87.5%
Violation of Probation**	11.5%	14.0%	8.1%
Total Complaints	833	786	904

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	16.8%	20.4%	26.0%
Informed	18.5%	19.6%	23.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	64.7%	60.1%	50.4%
Total Complaints	833	786	904

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Court Action for Formaled Cases	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	28.4%	24.6%	31.8%
Stet	5.6%	3.4%	5.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	16.9%	20.8%	20.4%
Pending Disposition*	4.1%	2.8%	6.4%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.8%	2.2%
Probation	29.5%	30.3%	22.8%
Committed to DJS	14.8%	15.3%	10.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Other**	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	539	472	456

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, Average, FY 2019

Community	Average Caseload*
Investigation (SHI)	10.5
Pre-Court	49.0
Probation	32.0
Aftercare	11.2
Committed-Aftercare	9.4

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Washington County population (ages 11-17) increased 0.1% (from 13,019 to 13,037).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 50.4% of complaints were formaled, while 23.6% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints increased 8.5%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 64.7% to 50.4%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 21.1 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.0 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 68.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.70), Secure Detention (1.45), and at Petition (1.27).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 5.1% to 5.8%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 8.0% to 3.7%
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 80.3% to 79.1%
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 31.3% (25) to 84.8% (39).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	45.4%	57.0%	49.3%
White	48.1%	37.2%	45.9%
Hispanic/Other	6.5%	5.9%	4.8%
Sex			
Male	72.0%	71.6%	68.7%
Female	28.0%	28.4%	31.3%
Age			
11 and under	2.5%	5.0%	6.3%
12	5.3%	6.2%	8.8%
13	11.3%	11.1%	13.1%
14	12.0%	17.8%	16.7%
15	21.8%	17.7%	18.1%
16	25.2%	19.7%	16.7%
17	19.6%	20.2%	18.9%
18-20	2.3%	2.3%	1.3%
Total Complaints	833	786	904

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	46.5%	57.8%	30.2%
Assault (Felony)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.4%	37.0%	20.8%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.6%	5.7%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	20.1%	18.2%	3.8%
Robbery	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	30.5%	21.4%	62.3%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.7%	4.5%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.1%	4.5%	7.5%
Malicious Destruction	8.5%	1.9%	34.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	5.1%	4.5%	7.5%
Theft (Felony)	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.5%	5.2%	13.2%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.8%	2.6%	1.9%
Alcohol Violation	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.4%	2.6%	1.9%
Vaporizer Violation	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	2.7%	1.3%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.6%	16.9%	5.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.6%	3.2%	3.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%	2.6%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	1.3%	1.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.8%	9.7%	0.0%
Total Offenses	1,421	154	53

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	5.8%	4.8%	0.0%
Felony	3.7%	5.8%	2.2%
- Person-to-Person	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	1.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	4.8%	2.2%
Misdemeanor	79.1%	87.5%	97.8%
- Person-to-Person	52.4%	60.6%	34.8%
- Property	22.0%	21.2%	63.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.3%	5.8%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	9.1%	1.9%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>904</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>4.8%</i>	<i>5.5%</i>	<i>6.3%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region IV-Eastern Shore Summary

301 Bay Street, Suite 201, Easton, MD 21601 (ph) 410-822-5010

Regional Director: Cory Fink
Counties of: Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.4%	0.5%
Citizen	1.8%	1.5%	1.1%
Police*	96.0%	96.0%	96.9%
Violation of Probation**	1.9%	2.1%	1.5%
Total Complaints	2,885	2,857	3,146

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	47.5%	52.5%	56.6%
Informaled	18.3%	14.8%	14.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	34.2%	32.7%	28.7%
Total Complaints	2,885	2,857	3,146

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	9.0%	12.3%	15.5%
Stet	16.5%	14.7%	13.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	2.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	26.1%	25.1%	21.5%
Pending Disposition*	6.0%	5.3%	15.1%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	1.4%	1.4%	2.0%
Probation	29.4%	29.5%	22.6%
Committed to DJS	8.3%	8.4%	7.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%
Other**	0.2%	0.4%	1.1%
Total Formal Complaints	988	935	903

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	39.3
Pre-Court	111.2
Probation	211.4
Aftercare	48.9
Committed-Aftercare	36.2

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Eastern Shore Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.3% (from 40,146 to 40,022).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 28.7% of complaints were formalized, while 14.7% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints increased 9.0%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 34.2% to 28.7%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 19.8 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.3 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 69.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Data on ethnic and racial disparity are not available by region.
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.2% to 4.7%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 5.3% to 5.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 69.0% to 64.8%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 17.1% (14) to 28.6% (20).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	51.9%	52.5%	52.4%
White	43.1%	42.2%	42.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.1%	5.3%	5.0%
Sex			
Male	70.1%	71.5%	67.2%
Female	29.9%	28.5%	32.8%
Age			
11 and under	5.4%	7.1%	7.6%
12	5.9%	6.5%	7.6%
13	8.3%	8.9%	10.3%
14	13.3%	13.2%	13.5%
15	16.7%	16.1%	15.9%
16	20.8%	18.5%	17.5%
17	27.0%	26.6%	25.6%
18-20	2.6%	3.2%	2.0%
Total Complaints	2,885	2,857	3,146

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	47.1%	42.1%	50.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	0.4%	2.1%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.3%	18.3%	23.4%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	1.7%	7.4%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.6%	0.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	21.7%	17.4%	7.4%
Robbery	0.9%	0.4%	8.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.4%	2.6%	1.1%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Property Offenses	21.9%	35.7%	34.0%
Arson	0.8%	0.9%	1.1%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	0.0%	2.1%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.4%	2.1%	3.2%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.8%	4.3%	2.1%
Malicious Destruction	6.1%	13.2%	3.2%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.3%	5.5%	4.3%
Theft (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	5.3%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.5%	9.8%	12.8%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.4%	5.5%	3.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	3.0%	2.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.2%	2.6%	1.1%
Citations	15.7%	0.9%	1.1%
Alcohol Violation	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	6.4%	0.0%	1.1%
Tobacco Violation	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	0.9%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	2.9%	0.4%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.4%	15.3%	11.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.4%	6.4%	2.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.8%	2.1%	2.1%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	3.0%	3.2%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.8%	3.8%	4.3%
Total Offenses	5,139	235	94

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.7%	3.9%	17.1%
Felony	5.1%	12.3%	20.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	2.9%	1.4%
- Property	1.7%	2.5%	11.4%
- Drugs	1.7%	3.4%	2.9%
- Unspecified	0.3%	3.4%	4.3%
Misdemeanor	64.8%	82.8%	62.9%
- Person-to-Person	42.9%	44.1%	42.9%
- Property	18.3%	28.4%	15.7%
- Drugs	2.0%	2.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	7.4%	4.3%
Ordinance Offenses	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	19.4%	0.5%	0.0%
CINS	3.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>3,146</i>	<i>204</i>	<i>70</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>16.6%</i>	<i>10.7%</i>	<i>9.6%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Caroline County

317 Carter Ave., Suite 105, Denton, MD 21629 (ph) 410-819-6556

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	0.7%	1.2%	0.0%
Police*	97.4%	95.1%	98.1%
Violation of Probation**	1.9%	3.7%	1.9%
Total Complaints	268	245	208

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	68.7%	59.2%	64.4%
Informaled	6.0%	10.2%	10.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	25.4%	30.6%	25.0%
Total Complaints	268	245	208

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	2.9%	13.3%	25.0%
Stet	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	61.8%	37.3%	25.0%
Pending Disposition*	4.4%	1.3%	19.2%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
Probation	23.5%	38.7%	21.2%
Committed to DJS	5.9%	4.0%	3.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	1.5%	1.3%	1.9%
Total Formal Complaints	68	75	52

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	4.6
Pre-Court	5.9
Probation	12.5
Aftercare	3.0
Committed-Aftercare	2.0

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Caroline County population (ages 11-17) increased 2.9% (from 3,008 to 3,097).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 25.0% of complaints were formalized, while 10.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 22.4%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 25.4% to 25.0%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 19.1 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.4 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 88.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of Color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.87) and under-represented in Diversion (0.45).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.3% to 2.9%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 5.3% to 12.5%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 70.6% to 64.9%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 50.0% (2) to 100.0% (2).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	25.0%	36.7%	38.9%
White	71.3%	58.4%	54.3%
Hispanic/Other	3.7%	4.9%	6.7%
Sex			
Male	71.3%	73.9%	77.4%
Female	28.7%	26.1%	22.6%
Age			
11 and under	4.9%	4.5%	7.2%
12	6.0%	6.1%	14.4%
13	9.7%	6.5%	13.9%
14	19.8%	18.0%	12.0%
15	14.9%	24.1%	13.5%
16	22.8%	20.0%	17.3%
17	17.9%	18.8%	19.2%
18-20	4.1%	2.0%	2.4%
Total Complaints	268	245	208

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	35.5%	13.3%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.5%	6.7%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	7.2%	6.7%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	30.0%	60.0%	50.0%
Arson	3.1%	6.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.6%	53.3%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	50.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	7.2%	6.7%	50.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	4.8%	6.7%	50.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	18.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	4.4%	20.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.7%	6.7%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.7%	6.7%	0.0%
Total Offenses	293	15	2

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	12.5%	18.2%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.9%	0.0%	50.0%
- Drugs	6.7%	9.1%	50.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	64.9%	81.8%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	38.0%	18.2%	0.0%
- Property	25.0%	45.5%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	18.2%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	13.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Cecil County

106 E. Main St., Suite 102, Elkton, MD 21921 (ph) 410-996-2800

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	0.9%	1.1%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	98.7%	98.3%	98.4%
Violation of Probation**	0.8%	0.9%	0.4%
Total Complaints	387	350	445

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	35.4%	56.0%	56.6%
Informed	12.1%	8.3%	13.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	52.5%	35.7%	30.1%
Total Complaints	387	350	445

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	13.8%	8.0%	10.4%
Stet	11.8%	15.2%	14.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	20.2%	27.2%	24.6%
Pending Disposition*	10.3%	6.4%	20.9%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	34.5%	36.0%	23.9%
Committed to DJS	8.9%	4.8%	4.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	1.6%	0.7%
Total Formal Complaints	203	125	134

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	4.3
Pre-Court	14.2
Probation	37.9
Aftercare	9.4
Committed-Aftercare	4.4

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Cecil County population (ages 11-17) decreased 3.4% (from 9,736 to 9,409).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 30.1% of complaints were formaled, while 13.3% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints increased 15.0%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 52.5% to 30.1%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.4 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.6 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 69.5 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Secure Detention (2.32), Petition (1.83), and Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.61).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 4.6% to 8.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 4.9% to 4.7%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 76.9% to 57.3%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP did not change as it was 0 for both years.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	29.2%	34.0%	28.3%
White	66.1%	62.3%	69.9%
Hispanic/Other	4.7%	3.7%	1.8%
Sex			
Male	77.5%	79.7%	77.5%
Female	22.5%	20.3%	22.5%
Age			
11 and under	4.7%	6.0%	6.1%
12	4.4%	6.0%	5.2%
13	7.5%	12.9%	9.7%
14	17.1%	13.4%	19.3%
15	17.1%	15.4%	19.3%
16	22.0%	18.6%	20.9%
17	24.5%	25.7%	17.8%
18-20	2.8%	2.0%	1.8%
Total Complaints	387	350	445

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	40.8%	47.2%	54.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.7%	2.8%	9.1%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	17.9%	16.7%	9.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	13.1%	13.9%	18.2%
Robbery	3.2%	2.8%	18.2%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.1%	5.6%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.5%	2.8%	0.0%
Property Offenses	24.8%	16.7%	36.4%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.4%	2.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.9%	5.6%	9.1%
Other Property ⁺⁺	5.0%	0.0%	18.2%
Theft (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.2%	8.3%	9.1%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.5%	8.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.5%	5.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Citations	22.1%	2.8%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	13.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.7%	2.8%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.6%	25.0%	9.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.4%	13.9%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.7%	5.6%	9.1%
Total Offenses	665	36	11

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	8.3%	9.4%	33.3%
Felony	4.7%	18.8%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	6.2%	0.0%
- Property	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.0%	6.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	6.2%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	57.3%	68.8%	66.7%
- Person-to-Person	33.5%	37.5%	33.3%
- Property	21.1%	15.6%	33.3%
- Drugs	2.2%	3.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	12.5%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	26.5%	3.1%	0.0%
CINS	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>445</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.4%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Dorchester County

310 Gay St., Cambridge, MD 21613 (ph) 410-228-6452

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Citizen	2.6%	0.4%	0.3%
Police*	96.9%	98.5%	98.4%
Violation of Probation**	0.6%	0.9%	1.4%
Total Complaints	352	455	365

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.3%	40.7%	38.9%
Informaled	18.2%	19.1%	16.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	41.5%	40.2%	44.9%
Total Complaints	352	455	365

Court Action for Formal Cases

Court Action	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	11.0%	12.6%	19.5%
Stet	18.5%	13.7%	11.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	2.7%	0.0%	0.6%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	22.6%	21.9%	18.9%
Pending Disposition*	3.4%	6.6%	25.0%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	6.8%	3.3%	6.7%
Probation	30.1%	33.3%	10.4%
Committed to DJS	3.4%	8.2%	6.7%
Committed to Other Agency	1.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Total Formal Complaints	146	183	164

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	3.2
Pre-Court	15.0
Probation	35.2
Aftercare	4.0
Committed-Aftercare	5.0

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Dorchester County population (ages 11-17) increased 4.4% (from 2,501 to 2,612).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 44.9% of complaints were formalized, while 16.2% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints increased 3.7%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 41.5% to 44.9%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 13.2 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.3 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 65.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.50), Petition (1.24), and under-represented in Delinquency Findings (0.68).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 9.7% to 7.1%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.0% to 5.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 77.8% to 80.0%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 20.0% (1) to 36.4% (4).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.8%	69.5%	71.5%
White	27.8%	27.0%	27.9%
Hispanic/Other	3.4%	3.5%	0.5%
Sex			
Male	75.9%	74.5%	69.0%
Female	24.1%	25.5%	31.0%
Age			
11 and under	11.9%	15.8%	20.8%
12	8.2%	9.7%	9.3%
13	10.5%	9.9%	14.0%
14	13.1%	14.9%	10.7%
15	14.8%	14.1%	16.4%
16	24.1%	15.6%	13.7%
17	16.8%	18.5%	14.5%
18-20	0.6%	1.5%	0.5%
Total Complaints	352	455	365

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	61.6%	61.1%	60.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	24.1%	50.0%	20.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	0.0%	40.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	28.4%	11.1%	0.0%
Robbery	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	26.9%	38.9%	33.3%
Arson	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	0.0%	6.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.5%	11.1%	6.7%
Malicious Destruction	7.5%	16.7%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.1%	5.6%	6.7%
Theft (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	6.7%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.1%	5.6%	6.7%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.0%	0.0%	6.7%
Alcohol Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.8%	0.0%	6.7%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	640	18	15

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	5.2%	0.0%	18.2%
- Person-to-Person	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	0.0%	18.2%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	80.0%	100.0%	81.8%
- Person-to-Person	58.9%	64.7%	54.5%
- Property	19.5%	35.3%	27.3%
- Drugs	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>365</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.9%</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>1.5%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Kent County

315 High St., Suite 202, Chestertown, MD 21620 (ph) 410-778-6103

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	3.8%	1.4%	1.7%
Police*	93.7%	98.6%	98.3%
Violation of Probation**	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	79	70	117

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	60.8%	61.4%	66.7%
Informed	16.5%	18.6%	21.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	22.8%	20.0%	12.0%
Total Complaints	79	70	117

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Court Action	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	11.1%	35.7%	42.9%
Stet	0.0%	42.9%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	22.2%	14.3%	35.7%
Pending Disposition*	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	55.6%	7.1%	21.4%
Committed to DJS	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	18	14	14

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	0.4
Pre-Court	5.8
Probation	5.5
Aftercare	2.1
Committed-Aftercare	0.0

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Kent County population (ages 11-17) decreased 3.1% (from 1,588 to 1,538).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 12.0% of complaints were formaled, while 21.4% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints increased 48.1%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 22.8% to 12.0%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 13.3 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.2 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 72.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (4.83) and under-represented in Diversion (0.46).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 2.9% to 0.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies did not change.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 78.6% to 82.1%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP did not change as it was 0 for both years.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	51.9%	52.9%	55.6%
White	43.0%	44.3%	36.8%
Hispanic/Other	5.1%	2.9%	7.7%
Sex			
Male	65.8%	58.6%	63.2%
Female	34.2%	41.4%	36.8%
Age			
11 and under	2.5%	7.1%	10.3%
12	1.3%	1.4%	9.4%
13	7.6%	12.9%	10.3%
14	8.9%	15.7%	12.8%
15	15.2%	5.7%	29.9%
16	24.1%	22.9%	10.3%
17	39.2%	34.3%	15.4%
18-20	1.3%	0.0%	1.7%
Total Complaints	79	70	117

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	62.8%	66.7%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	33.5%	33.3%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	26.2%	33.3%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	17.1%	33.3%	0.0%
Arson	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.8%	33.3%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	10.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	164	3	0

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	82.1%	100.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	59.8%	66.7%	0.0%
- Property	19.7%	33.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>117</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Queen Anne's County

120 Broadway, Suite 9, Centreville, MD 21617 (ph) 410-819-4180

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	3.9%	5.9%	0.6%
Police*	90.6%	90.4%	98.2%
Violation of Probation**	5.5%	3.7%	1.2%
Total Complaints	127	136	164

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	26.8%	47.1%	67.7%
Informed	28.3%	24.3%	19.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	44.9%	28.7%	12.8%
Total Complaints	127	136	164

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	15.8%	10.3%	4.8%
Stet	7.0%	0.0%	28.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	22.8%	30.8%	23.8%
Pending Disposition*	1.8%	5.1%	4.8%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	26.3%	30.8%	19.0%
Committed to DJS	26.3%	23.1%	9.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	57	39	21

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	0.9
Pre-Court	9.5
Probation	8.8
Aftercare	6.1
Committed-Aftercare	2.2

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Queen Anne's County population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.2% (from 4,465 to 4,457).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):
 - 12.8% of complaints were formaled, while 19.5% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):
 - Total complaints increased 29.1%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 44.9% to 12.8%.
 - Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.0 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.6 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 66.7 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Petition (2.49).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 3.7% to 1.2%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 5.9% to 2.4%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 46.3% to 36.6%.
- Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 13.3% (2) to 50.0% (1).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	34.6%	16.9%	12.2%
White	59.1%	71.3%	76.8%
Hispanic/Other	6.3%	11.8%	11.0%
Sex			
Male	87.4%	86.0%	69.5%
Female	12.6%	14.0%	30.5%
Age			
11 and under	2.4%	0.7%	3.0%
12	5.5%	2.2%	3.7%
13	2.4%	2.2%	5.5%
14	10.2%	13.2%	12.8%
15	26.0%	18.4%	20.1%
16	27.6%	22.1%	20.1%
17	23.6%	39.0%	34.1%
18-20	2.4%	2.2%	0.6%
Total Complaints	127	136	164

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	27.9%	20.0%	50.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	10.3%	20.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	14.2%	0.0%	50.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	14.2%	20.0%	50.0%
Arson	0.9%	20.0%	50.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.9%	20.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.6%	20.0%	0.0%
Citations	44.6%	20.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.6%	20.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	233	5	2

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	2.4%	25.0%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.6%	0.0%	50.0%
- Drugs	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	36.6%	75.0%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	23.2%	25.0%	50.0%
- Property	11.6%	25.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.2%	25.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	54.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>164</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Somerset County

12155 Elm St., Suite B, Princess Anne, MD 21853 (ph) 410-845-4680

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Citizen	4.2%	7.3%	5.6%
Police*	93.7%	92.1%	92.3%
Violation of Probation**	2.1%	0.6%	1.8%
Total Complaints	191	178	339

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	26.2%	38.2%	50.1%
Informed	42.9%	25.3%	16.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	30.9%	36.5%	33.3%
Total Complaints	191	178	339

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	6.8%	20.0%	27.4%
Stet	37.3%	10.8%	8.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	33.9%	27.7%	17.7%
Pending Disposition*	3.4%	1.5%	8.8%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.0%	3.1%	1.8%
Probation	8.5%	30.8%	28.3%
Committed to DJS	3.4%	6.2%	6.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	59	65	113

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	4.3
Pre-Court	11.7
Probation	21.5
Aftercare	3.8
Committed-Aftercare	3.6

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Somerset County population (ages 11-17) increased 0.4% (from 2,099 to 2,108).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 33.3% of complaints were formaled, while 16.5% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints increased 77.5%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 30.9% to 33.3%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 10.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.0 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 71.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.02) and Petition (1.55).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 3.4% to 4.1%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 2.8% to 4.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 86.0% to 76.7%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 0.0% (0) to 14.3% (1).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	70.7%	75.3%	79.4%
White	28.3%	21.3%	16.8%
Hispanic/Other	1.0%	3.4%	3.8%
Sex			
Male	63.4%	62.4%	63.7%
Female	36.6%	37.6%	36.3%
Age			
11 and under	7.3%	1.1%	14.7%
12	7.9%	3.4%	9.4%
13	8.9%	14.6%	10.3%
14	15.7%	19.1%	18.9%
15	16.8%	24.2%	14.5%
16	22.5%	21.3%	15.9%
17	19.4%	16.3%	15.6%
18-20	1.6%	0.0%	0.6%
Total Complaints	191	178	339

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	67.8%	67.6%	55.6%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	25.5%	23.5%	33.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	5.9%	11.1%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	2.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	38.1%	29.4%	11.1%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	5.9%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	13.3%	17.6%	44.4%
Arson	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	11.1%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.8%	5.9%	11.1%
Malicious Destruction	5.1%	5.9%	11.1%
Other Property ⁺⁺	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	11.1%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	2.8%	5.9%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.8%	2.9%	0.0%
Citations	9.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.5%	11.8%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	8.8%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.2%	2.9%	0.0%
Total Offenses	646	34	9

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.1%	0.0%	14.3%
Felony	4.1%	6.2%	14.3%
- Person-to-Person	1.8%	6.2%	0.0%
- Property	1.5%	0.0%	14.3%
- Drugs	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	76.7%	93.8%	71.4%
- Person-to-Person	60.2%	65.6%	57.1%
- Property	12.7%	18.8%	14.3%
- Drugs	0.9%	3.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.9%	6.2%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>339</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.8%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>1.0%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Talbot County

301 Bay St., Suite 201, Easton, MD 21601 (ph) 410-822-5010

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	2.5%	1.3%	0.6%
Police*	97.5%	98.7%	99.4%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	162	153	156

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	54.9%	56.9%	57.1%
Informaled	20.4%	17.6%	17.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	24.7%	25.5%	25.6%
Total Complaints	162	153	156

Court Action for Formal Cases

Court Action	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.5%	12.8%	17.5%
Stet	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	32.5%	35.9%	27.5%
Pending Disposition*	2.5%	0.0%	7.5%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
Probation	35.0%	43.6%	40.0%
Committed to DJS	5.0%	7.7%	2.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	40	39	40

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.
* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG
** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Community	Average Caseload*
Investigation (SHI)	1.8
Pre-Court	6.7
Probation	8.2
Aftercare	1.1
Committed-Aftercare	1.4

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Talbot County population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.7% (from 2,777 to 2,646).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 25.6% of complaints were formalized, while 17.3% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 3.7%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 24.7% to 25.6%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 17.5 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.0 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 62.8 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.36).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 4.6% to 2.6%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 11.1% to 9.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 59.5% to 66.0%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP did not change as it was 0 for both years.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	44.4%	47.1%	39.7%
White	43.2%	45.1%	48.1%
Hispanic/Other	12.3%	7.8%	12.2%
Sex			
Male	72.8%	74.5%	61.5%
Female	27.2%	25.5%	38.5%
Age			
11 and under	3.7%	5.9%	10.3%
12	4.9%	3.3%	11.5%
13	3.7%	3.9%	8.3%
14	9.9%	10.5%	12.2%
15	19.1%	16.3%	11.5%
16	24.1%	21.6%	18.6%
17	33.3%	34.0%	25.6%
18-20	1.2%	4.6%	1.9%
Total Complaints	162	153	156

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	46.1%	13.6%	100.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.9%	13.6%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	17.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	4.1%	0.0%	100.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	20.3%	54.5%	0.0%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.9%	22.7%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	7.1%	31.8%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	5.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.8%	9.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	18.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	12.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.9%	22.7%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.6%	4.5%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	4.5%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.1%	13.6%	0.0%
Total Offenses	241	22	1

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	9.0%	43.8%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.6%	0.0%	100.0%
- Property	4.5%	31.2%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	12.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	66.0%	56.2%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	41.7%	25.0%	0.0%
- Property	19.9%	31.2%	0.0%
- Drugs	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	19.9%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>156</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Wicomico County

201 Baptist St., Room 1134, Suite 21, Salisbury, MD 21801(ph) 410-713-3800

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.6%	0.3%	0.7%
Citizen	0.4%	0.8%	0.4%
Police*	95.6%	94.5%	96.2%
Violation of Probation**	3.4%	4.4%	2.8%
Total Complaints	846	766	759

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	51.9%	52.5%	57.4%
Informed	13.6%	9.9%	9.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	34.5%	37.6%	32.8%
Total Complaints	846	766	759

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	6.8%	11.8%	8.4%
Stet	27.1%	25.7%	24.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.7%	4.9%	1.6%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	21.2%	20.5%	19.3%
Pending Disposition*	8.2%	7.3%	10.0%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Probation	23.3%	15.6%	22.9%
Committed to DJS	11.0%	12.5%	12.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.3%	0.8%
Total Formal Complaints	292	288	249

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	12.6
Pre-Court	17.8
Probation	58.2
Aftercare	16.3
Committed-Aftercare	15.1

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Wicomico County population (ages 11-17) increased 3.2% (from 10,218 to 10,550).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 32.8% of complaints were formaled, while 9.7% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 10.3%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 34.5% to 32.8%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.0 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 65.8 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (5.76), Delinquent Findings (1.64), and Secure Detention (1.54).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 5.4% to 5.5%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 5.7% to 4.5%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 79.0% to 77.7%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 25.0% (8) to 21.9% (7).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	72.1%	73.0%	77.5%
White	23.8%	22.5%	17.3%
Hispanic/Other	4.1%	4.6%	5.3%
Sex			
Male	63.5%	64.2%	58.6%
Female	36.5%	35.8%	41.4%
Age			
11 and under	6.0%	9.4%	3.8%
12	8.2%	10.4%	10.3%
13	11.3%	11.1%	12.9%
14	14.3%	13.2%	17.0%
15	18.8%	15.3%	17.7%
16	17.1%	17.5%	17.9%
17	22.2%	19.2%	19.1%
18-20	2.0%	3.9%	1.3%
Total Complaints	846	766	759

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	51.8%	35.4%	51.2%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	2.3%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.6%	10.8%	30.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	24.7%	23.1%	4.7%
Robbery	1.1%	0.0%	14.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	24.6%	52.3%	27.9%
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	0.0%	2.3%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.8%	13.8%	2.3%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.7%	7.7%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	4.7%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.0%	23.1%	18.6%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.6%	1.5%	4.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	2.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.6%	1.5%	2.3%
Citations	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.2%	10.8%	16.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	3.3%	7.7%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	1.5%	4.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	0.0%	7.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.0%	1.5%	4.7%
Total Offenses	1,408	65	43

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	5.5%	0.0%	21.9%
Felony	4.5%	0.0%	15.6%
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.7%	0.0%	9.4%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%	3.1%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	3.1%
Misdemeanor	77.7%	100.0%	62.5%
- Person-to-Person	51.3%	42.1%	46.9%
- Property	21.9%	45.6%	9.4%
- Drugs	2.6%	1.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.0%	10.5%	6.2%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>759</i>	<i>57</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>4.0%</i>	<i>3.0%</i>	<i>4.4%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Worcester County

203 River St., Snow Hill, MD 21863 (ph) 410-632-0206

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%
Citizen	3.6%	1.8%	1.5%
Police*	95.6%	96.4%	96.5%
Violation of Probation**	0.8%	1.0%	1.2%
Total Complaints	473	504	593

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	52.0%	61.5%	62.1%
Informaled	25.8%	17.3%	18.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	22.2%	21.2%	19.6%
Total Complaints	473	504	593

Court Action for Formal Cases

Court Action	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	2.9%	10.3%	12.9%
Stet	5.7%	5.6%	2.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	10.5%	7.5%	3.4%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	28.6%	26.2%	24.1%
Pending Disposition*	1.0%	4.7%	15.5%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	1.9%	0.0%	2.6%
Probation	45.7%	43.0%	27.6%
Committed to DJS	2.9%	2.8%	7.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	1.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Total Formal Complaints	105	107	116

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, Average Caseload, FY 2019

Community	Average Caseload*
Investigation (SHI)	7.3
Pre-Court	24.7
Probation	23.5
Aftercare	3.1
Committed-Aftercare	2.5

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Worcester County population (ages 11-17) decreased 3.9% (from 3,753 to 3,605).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 19.6% of complaints were formalized, while 18.4% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints increased 25.4%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 22.2% to 19.6%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.0 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.7 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 76.0 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Secure Detention (2.17), Petition (1.75), and Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.32).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 2.8% to 2.7%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 5.6% to 5.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 41.1% to 41.7%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 33.3% (1) to 55.6% (5).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	36.4%	29.8%	29.7%
White	55.8%	62.5%	64.4%
Hispanic/Other	7.8%	7.7%	5.9%
Sex			
Male	68.5%	73.4%	69.1%
Female	31.5%	26.6%	30.9%
Age			
11 and under	1.5%	2.2%	1.5%
12	1.7%	2.2%	1.0%
13	4.0%	3.6%	5.6%
14	6.6%	7.3%	4.4%
15	12.1%	13.5%	9.8%
16	18.6%	18.5%	18.2%
17	50.1%	46.6%	54.3%
18-20	5.5%	6.2%	5.2%
Total Complaints	473	504	593

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	24.1%	45.9%	27.3%
Assault (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	6.9%	18.9%	18.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	13.5%	18.9%	9.1%
Robbery	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.2%	5.4%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	18.5%	21.6%	45.5%
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.4%	10.8%	18.2%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.1%	2.7%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.3%	2.7%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.8%	0.0%	9.1%
Theft (Felony)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.8%	5.4%	18.2%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.2%	10.8%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.3%	5.4%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.9%	5.4%	0.0%
Citations	30.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	17.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	2.7%	2.7%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.8%	18.9%	27.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	0.0%	18.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.1%	5.4%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.9%	10.8%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.5%	2.7%	9.1%
Total Offenses	849	37	11

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	2.7%	15.6%	22.2%
Felony	5.1%	21.9%	22.2%
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	6.2%	0.0%
- Property	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	6.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	9.4%	22.2%
Misdemeanor	41.7%	59.4%	55.6%
- Person-to-Person	23.9%	40.6%	22.2%
- Property	12.8%	9.4%	22.2%
- Drugs	2.0%	6.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.9%	3.1%	11.1%
Ordinance Offenses	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	35.4%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.4%	3.1%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>593</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.1%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region V-Southern Summary

49 Old Solomons Island Rd., Suite 300, Annapolis, MD 21401 (ph) 410-295-5740

Regional Director: Douglas Mohler
Counties of Anne Arundel, Calvert, Charles, and St. Mary's

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	96.8%	97.6%	97.5%
Violation of Probation**	2.5%	1.6%	1.6%
Total Complaints	4,359	4,261	4,038

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	58.0%	57.1%	58.5%
Informed	14.7%	15.5%	14.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	27.3%	27.5%	27.1%
Total Complaints	4,359	4,261	4,038

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	17.2%	13.7%	12.6%
Stet	14.0%	13.2%	10.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	13.2%	17.6%	16.2%
Pending Disposition*	9.6%	10.5%	18.2%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Probation	31.8%	30.8%	32.2%
Committed to DJS	12.9%	11.8%	10.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other**	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%
Total Formal Complaints	1,189	1,171	1,096

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	36.8
Pre-Court	135.6
Probation	320.7
Aftercare	88.9
Committed-Aftercare	65.8

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Southern Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.2% (from 84,382 to 84,208).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 27.1% of complaints were formaled, while 14.3% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 7.4%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition remained relatively stable (decreased from 27.3% to 27.1%).
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.5 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.3 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 86.3 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Data on ethnic and racial disparity are not available by region.
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 6.5% to 7.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 4.6% to 4.4%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 76.2% to 73.5%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 28.1% (43) to 22.7% (25).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	55.9%	55.5%	56.0%
White	39.6%	38.9%	37.4%
Hispanic/Other	4.5%	5.7%	6.7%
Sex			
Male	72.9%	70.6%	69.5%
Female	27.1%	29.4%	30.5%
Age			
11 and under	4.6%	4.9%	5.4%
12	4.9%	5.9%	6.9%
13	9.0%	10.2%	10.1%
14	14.5%	13.5%	13.3%
15	18.3%	19.2%	18.4%
16	21.0%	19.7%	21.7%
17	25.8%	24.7%	22.5%
18-20	2.1%	1.9%	1.7%
Total Complaints	4,359	4,261	4,038

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	56.3%	50.2%	50.3%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	0.5%	2.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	24.6%	27.3%	20.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	2.1%	5.3%
Harassment	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	2.0%
Other Person ⁺	23.3%	12.1%	6.0%
Robbery	1.9%	2.4%	11.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.1%	0.7%	1.3%
Property Offenses	23.2%	32.7%	25.2%
Arson	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.1%	1.4%	12.6%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.8%	3.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.6%	3.3%	1.3%
Malicious Destruction	4.8%	7.8%	2.6%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.8%	2.1%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.4%	2.6%	2.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.3%	11.6%	6.6%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.9%	5.9%	3.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.0%	3.3%	2.0%
Citations	9.5%	1.2%	1.3%
Alcohol Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.0%	0.5%	1.3%
Vaporizer Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.9%	10.0%	19.9%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	6.9%	12.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.9%	1.7%	2.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.5%	1.2%	5.3%
Total Offenses	6,622	422	151

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	7.3%	6.8%	22.7%
Felony	4.4%	11.6%	23.6%
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	2.3%	1.8%
- Property	2.4%	2.3%	11.8%
- Drugs	1.2%	3.1%	1.8%
- Unspecified	0.1%	4.0%	8.2%
Misdemeanor	73.5%	80.2%	51.8%
- Person-to-Person	51.7%	50.7%	34.5%
- Property	17.8%	23.8%	10.9%
- Drugs	1.6%	2.5%	2.7%
- Unspecified	2.4%	3.1%	3.6%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	13.2%	1.4%	1.8%
CINS	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>4,038</i>	<i>353</i>	<i>110</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>21.4%</i>	<i>18.5%</i>	<i>15.1%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Anne Arundel County

49 Old Solomons Island Rd., Suite 300, Annapolis, MD 21401(ph) 410-295-5740;
7500 Ritchie Hwy., Suite 306, Glen Burnie, MD 21061 (ph) 410-412-7115

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	1.0%	1.0%	1.2%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	96.3%	97.1%	96.5%
Violation of Probation**	2.7%	1.9%	2.3%
Total Complaints	2,626	2,559	2,292

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	62.6%	59.8%	53.8%
Informaled	12.4%	13.6%	13.9%
Authorized Formal Petition	24.9%	26.5%	32.3%
Total Complaints	2,626	2,559	2,292

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	16.0%	9.7%	8.0%
Stet	11.5%	9.9%	6.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	15.1%	21.9%	18.6%
Pending Disposition*	12.8%	15.2%	21.5%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%
Probation	27.8%	29.5%	33.6%
Committed to DJS	15.9%	13.3%	11.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Other**	0.5%	0.0%	0.1%
Total Formal Complaints	655	679	741

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.
* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG
** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	16.3
Pre-Court	77.9
Probation	188.8
Aftercare	59.0
Committed-Aftercare	44.3

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Anne Arundel County population (ages 11-17) increased 1.1% (from 48,238 to 48,763).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 32.3% of complaints were formalized, while 13.9% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 12.7%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 24.9% to 32.3%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.1 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 86.5 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented at Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.64), Petition (1.19), and under-represented at Diversion (0.72).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 6.0% to 8.9%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 5.2% to 5.4%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 80.3% to 77.4%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 35.6% (37) to 26.7% (23).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	55.1%	53.3%	54.4%
White	39.2%	39.5%	36.8%
Hispanic/Other	5.8%	7.2%	8.8%
Sex			
Male	71.4%	69.9%	69.7%
Female	28.6%	30.1%	30.3%
Age			
11 and under	4.0%	5.2%	5.7%
12	5.1%	6.4%	6.9%
13	10.0%	10.1%	9.9%
14	15.5%	13.0%	14.4%
15	19.7%	20.4%	19.4%
16	19.8%	20.3%	21.3%
17	23.8%	22.9%	20.6%
18-20	2.1%	1.7%	1.8%
Total Complaints	2,626	2,559	2,292

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	58.9%	51.2%	59.1%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	0.3%	2.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	25.8%	31.3%	23.6%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	1.0%	7.3%
Harassment	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	2.7%
Other Person ⁺	23.4%	8.9%	5.5%
Robbery	2.4%	3.1%	15.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	2.7%	0.9%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.6%	1.0%	0.9%
Property Offenses	24.1%	31.6%	20.9%
Arson	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.2%	1.4%	9.1%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.0%	3.4%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.7%	2.7%	0.9%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%	10.0%	2.7%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.4%	3.1%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.5%	2.1%	0.9%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.5%	8.6%	7.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.6%	7.2%	4.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	3.4%	1.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.4%	3.8%	2.7%
Citations	6.4%	1.0%	1.8%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.9%	0.7%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	0.3%	1.8%
Vaporizer Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.9%	8.9%	13.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	6.2%	9.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.9%	0.7%	1.8%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.7%	1.7%	2.7%
Total Offenses	3,960	291	110

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	8.9%	7.2%	27.9%
Felony	5.4%	12.9%	17.4%
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	2.4%	1.2%
- Property	2.8%	2.0%	7.0%
- Drugs	1.7%	4.0%	2.3%
- Unspecified	0.1%	4.4%	7.0%
Misdemeanor	77.4%	78.7%	52.3%
- Person-to-Person	54.9%	47.8%	36.0%
- Property	18.1%	24.5%	9.3%
- Drugs	1.9%	2.8%	3.5%
- Unspecified	2.5%	3.6%	3.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.3%	1.2%	2.3%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,292</i>	<i>249</i>	<i>86</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>12.1%</i>	<i>13.1%</i>	<i>11.8%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Calvert County

200 Duke St., Suite 2800, Prince Frederick, MD 20678 (ph) 443-550-6275

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Police*	100.0%	99.2%	99.8%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	389	380	456

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	56.6%	54.2%	57.0%
Informaled	19.5%	18.4%	22.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	23.9%	27.4%	20.4%
Total Complaints	389	380	456

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	9.7%	26.9%	16.1%
Stet	25.8%	15.4%	24.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	17.2%	13.5%	19.4%
Pending Disposition*	2.2%	0.0%	1.1%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	2.2%	4.8%	1.1%
Probation	35.5%	28.8%	36.6%
Committed to DJS	7.5%	8.7%	1.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	93	104	93

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	1.4
Pre-Court	26.6
Probation	36.6
Aftercare	3.9
Committed-Aftercare	4.0

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Calvert County population (ages 11-17) decreased 5.8% (from 9,467 to 8,920).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 20.4% of complaints were formalized, while 22.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints increased 17.2%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 23.9% to 20.4%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 15.4 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 31.1 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 85.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented at Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.52).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 3.9% to 3.7%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 6.1% to 4.4%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 55.3% to 54.2%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP did not change as it was 0 for both years.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	20.6%	25.3%	30.3%
White	76.6%	71.3%	64.5%
Hispanic/Other	2.8%	3.4%	5.3%
Sex			
Male	73.3%	68.2%	67.8%
Female	26.7%	31.8%	32.2%
Age			
11 and under	4.4%	2.6%	3.9%
12	3.9%	4.5%	5.5%
13	4.4%	5.5%	9.9%
14	18.3%	10.3%	12.1%
15	19.0%	21.6%	14.9%
16	26.2%	23.4%	22.6%
17	22.9%	31.1%	29.8%
18-20	1.0%	1.1%	1.3%
Total Complaints	389	380	456

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	38.0%	44.4%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	17.6%	36.1%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	16.4%	8.3%	0.0%
Robbery	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	20.4%	41.7%	100.0%
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.2%	16.7%	100.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%	2.8%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.0%	22.2%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.2%	5.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.8%	5.6%	0.0%
Citations	20.6%	2.8%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	2.8%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.5%	5.6%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	5.6%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	602	36	1

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	54.2%	97.1%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	37.9%	52.9%	0.0%
- Property	13.6%	38.2%	100.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	5.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	24.1%	2.9%	0.0%
CINS	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>456</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.4%</i>	<i>1.8%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Charles County

200 Kent Ave., La Plata, MD 20646 (ph) 301-392-6900

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.8%	0.4%	0.8%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	96.9%	99.2%	99.0%
Violation of Probation**	2.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Total Complaints	784	768	720

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	59.1%	64.3%	76.8%
Informed	8.5%	4.8%	1.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	32.4%	30.9%	21.5%
Total Complaints	784	768	720

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	18.5%	16.0%	21.3%
Stet	14.2%	16.9%	14.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.6%	5.5%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	8.3%	10.1%	4.5%
Pending Disposition*	8.3%	7.6%	20.6%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	38.2%	36.3%	31.6%
Committed to DJS	9.8%	7.2%	7.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	254	237	155

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, Average Caseload, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	12.5
Pre-Court	0.7
Probation	45.5
Aftercare	14.7
Committed-Aftercare	7.7

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Charles County population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.8% (from 15,935 to 15,656).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 21.5% of complaints were formaled, while 1.7% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 8.2%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 32.4% to 21.5%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.9 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 26.9 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 95.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.52).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 10.4% to 8.1%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 4.4% to 3.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 74.7% to 80.0%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP did not change as it was 0 for both years.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	79.2%	81.6%	82.1%
White	17.5%	13.8%	14.0%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	4.6%	3.9%
Sex			
Male	77.6%	74.5%	71.1%
Female	22.4%	25.5%	28.9%
Age			
11 and under	2.7%	3.5%	6.0%
12	3.2%	4.7%	5.4%
13	7.5%	11.6%	9.7%
14	11.4%	13.8%	10.8%
15	17.5%	17.6%	16.2%
16	23.7%	18.9%	26.0%
17	31.6%	27.1%	23.9%
18-20	2.4%	2.9%	1.9%
Total Complaints	784	768	720

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	57.1%	40.5%	7.7%
Assault (Felony)	1.7%	1.4%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	23.9%	13.5%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.2%	2.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.1%	8.1%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	24.4%	10.8%	7.7%
Robbery	1.7%	1.4%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.6%	1.4%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	27.4%	37.8%	42.3%
Arson	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.2%	1.4%	23.1%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.9%	5.4%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.9%	2.7%	3.8%
Other Property ⁺⁺	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.0%	6.8%	7.7%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	12.2%	20.3%	7.7%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Citations	6.1%	1.4%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.9%	17.6%	50.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.3%	14.9%	34.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.8%	2.7%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.6%	0.0%	15.4%
Total Offenses	1,205	74	26

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	8.1%	12.2%	0.0%
Felony	3.1%	14.3%	75.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.5%	4.1%	50.0%
- Drugs	0.1%	2.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	6.1%	25.0%
Misdemeanor	80.0%	71.4%	25.0%
- Person-to-Person	53.8%	51.0%	0.0%
- Property	23.1%	16.3%	25.0%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%	4.1%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.9%	2.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>720</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.8%</i>	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

St. Mary's County

23110 Leonard Hall Dr., Suite 1040, Leonardtown MD 20650 (ph) 301-880-2850

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	96.8%	96.8%	97.9%
Violation of Probation**	3.0%	3.1%	1.8%
Total Complaints	560	554	570

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALIZED CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	36.1%	36.1%	55.8%
Informed	30.5%	36.6%	25.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	33.4%	27.3%	18.8%
Total Complaints	560	554	570

Court Action for Formalized Cases

Court Action	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	23.0%	19.2%	29.0%
Stet	17.1%	21.2%	18.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	11.2%	12.6%	14.0%
Pending Disposition*	3.7%	1.3%	6.5%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	35.3%	29.8%	19.6%
Committed to DJS	9.1%	14.6%	10.3%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.5%	0.0%	1.9%
Total Formal Complaints	187	151	107

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, Average Caseload, FY 2019

Community	Average Caseload*
Investigation (SHI)	6.7
Pre-Court	30.4
Probation	49.7
Aftercare	11.4
Committed-Aftercare	9.8

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the St. Mary's County population (ages 11-17) increased 1.2% (from 10,742 to 10,869).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 18.8% of complaints were formalized, while 25.4% were informalized.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints increased 1.8%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 33.4% to 18.8%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 40.3 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.5 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 67.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.48), Diversion (1.66), and under-represented in Delinquent Findings (0.63).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.1% to 2.6%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 1.4% to 2.5%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 74.2% to 64.7%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 35.3% (6) to 18.2% (2).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	51.8%	50.0%	49.8%
White	47.0%	48.4%	47.4%
Hispanic/Other	1.2%	1.6%	2.8%
Sex			
Male	72.9%	69.7%	68.2%
Female	27.1%	30.3%	31.8%
Age			
11 and under	10.2%	7.0%	4.4%
12	7.0%	6.7%	9.6%
13	9.5%	11.6%	11.6%
14	11.2%	17.5%	13.3%
15	12.5%	14.1%	20.2%
16	18.8%	15.5%	17.4%
17	28.7%	25.5%	22.1%
18-20	2.1%	2.2%	1.4%
Total Complaints	560	554	570

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	55.7%	81.0%	64.3%
Assault (Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	24.7%	4.8%	35.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	4.8%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	25.8%	66.7%	7.1%
Robbery	0.6%	0.0%	7.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.5%	4.8%	7.1%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	7.1%
Property Offenses	15.2%	14.3%	21.4%
Arson	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	4.8%	21.4%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.8%	4.8%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	5.3%	4.8%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	21.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.8%	4.8%	14.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	4.8%	7.1%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.3%	0.0%	7.1%
Total Offenses	855	21	14

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	2.6%	0.0%	9.1%
Felony	2.5%	9.5%	18.2%
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	4.8%	9.1%
- Property	1.6%	4.8%	9.1%
- Drugs	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	64.7%	90.5%	72.7%
- Person-to-Person	47.0%	81.0%	63.6%
- Property	13.7%	9.5%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.3%	0.0%	9.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	29.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>570</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.0%</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>1.5%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region VI-Metro Summary

14735 Main Street, Suite M0400, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (ph) 301-952-2580

Regional Director: Delmonica Hawkins
Counties of Montgomery and Prince George's

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	2.1%	2.5%	2.9%
Citizen	5.2%	4.6%	5.9%
Police*	87.8%	87.7%	86.0%
Violation of Probation**	4.8%	5.2%	5.2%
Total Complaints	3,886	3,371	3,123

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	27.8%	23.2%	29.0%
Informed	19.5%	24.2%	26.0%
Authorized Formal Petition	52.7%	52.6%	45.0%
Total Complaints	3,886	3,371	3,123

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	18.9%	16.3%	12.7%
Stet	3.6%	2.3%	6.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.6%	0.1%	1.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	25.5%	24.6%	19.8%
Pending Disposition*	7.8%	9.6%	21.3%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	2.4%	3.0%	3.4%
Probation	24.4%	28.5%	23.4%
Committed to DJS	15.8%	15.1%	11.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Other**	0.9%	0.6%	1.1%
Total Formal Complaints	2,048	1,773	1,406

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, Average Caseload, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	77.6
Pre-Court	197.4
Probation	446.3
Aftercare	153.3
Committed-Aftercare	104.8

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Metro Region population (ages 11-17) increased 0.7% (from 170,456 to 171,624).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 45.0% of complaints were formaled, while 26.0% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 19.6%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 52.7% to 45.0%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 51.0 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 26.3 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 83.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Data on ethnic and racial disparity are not available by region.
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 17.9% to 18.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 8.5% to 7.8%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 59.5% to 60.4%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 27.9% (90) to 31.4% (49).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	66.5%	61.1%	63.7%
White	9.6%	11.8%	10.4%
Hispanic/Other	24.0%	27.1%	25.9%
Sex			
Male	77.8%	76.6%	76.0%
Female	22.2%	23.4%	24.0%
Age			
11 and under	1.5%	1.4%	2.0%
12	2.8%	2.4%	3.5%
13	6.9%	4.2%	5.6%
14	11.1%	11.4%	10.3%
15	21.0%	19.0%	20.5%
16	23.4%	26.7%	23.3%
17	26.1%	29.4%	29.9%
18-20	7.1%	5.5%	4.8%
Total Complaints	3,886	3,371	3,123

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	43.3%	57.1%	45.1%
Assault (Felony)	2.1%	0.0%	2.3%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	16.7%	19.0%	14.4%
Carjacking	0.5%	0.5%	3.3%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	2.2%	0.9%
Handgun Violation	1.3%	5.2%	3.7%
Harassment	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	7.7%	3.7%	1.4%
Robbery	7.9%	18.5%	15.8%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.0%	6.9%	2.3%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.5%	1.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.9%	22.2%	28.4%
Arson	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.8%	1.7%	2.8%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.0%	3.2%	6.5%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.1%	1.0%	1.4%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%	2.7%	1.4%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.5%	2.0%	1.4%
Theft (Felony)	3.1%	0.7%	1.9%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.9%	10.3%	13.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.1%	3.2%	1.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.5%	1.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.1%	2.7%	0.0%
Citations	7.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	12.2%	16.7%	25.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	5.1%	12.3%	19.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.0%	0.7%	0.5%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	0.5%	1.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.5%	3.2%	4.2%
Total Offenses	5,537	406	215

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	18.0%	27.7%	37.2%
Felony	7.8%	17.0%	21.2%
- Person-to-Person	1.7%	5.8%	1.9%
- Property	3.9%	2.7%	3.2%
- Drugs	1.6%	0.6%	1.9%
- Unspecified	0.7%	7.9%	14.1%
Misdemeanor	60.4%	55.0%	41.7%
- Person-to-Person	34.3%	35.6%	19.9%
- Property	22.3%	10.0%	19.2%
- Drugs	2.4%	2.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%	7.0%	2.6%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.4%	0.3%	0.0%
CINS	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>3,123</i>	<i>329</i>	<i>156</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>16.5%</i>	<i>17.3%</i>	<i>21.5%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Montgomery County

7300 Calhoun Pl., Suite 500, Rockville, MD 20855 (ph) 301- 610-8500; 8905 Fairview Rd., 6th Floor, Silver Spring, MD 20910
(ph) 301- 650-6760

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	1.4%	0.8%	1.7%
Citizen	0.2%	0.9%	1.6%
Police*	94.7%	94.2%	93.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.6%	4.1%	3.8%
Total Complaints	2,435	2,324	2,050

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	23.8%	21.9%	27.0%
Informed	21.2%	26.5%	29.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	55.0%	51.5%	43.5%
Total Complaints	2,435	2,324	2,050

Court Action for Formaled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	20.8%	18.1%	10.8%
Stet	4.5%	2.1%	5.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.9%	0.1%	0.9%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	27.2%	27.0%	22.1%
Pending Disposition*	6.2%	8.9%	20.3%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	3.7%	4.3%	5.4%
Probation	20.3%	26.1%	24.4%
Committed to DJS	16.1%	13.2%	10.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%
Total Formal Complaints	1,339	1,198	892

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.
* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG
** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	36.6
Pre-Court	140.0
Probation	189.5
Aftercare	59.0
Committed-Aftercare	55.9

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Montgomery County population (ages 11-17) increased 3.2% (from 90,801 to 93,678).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 43.5% of complaints were formaled, while 29.5% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 15.8%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 55.0% to 43.5%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 44.8 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 29.3 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 83.2 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.94), Secure Detention (3.29), and Petition (3.29).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 12.8% to 14.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 7.2% to 6.7%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 60.2% to 59.6%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 32.9% (71) to 32.6% (31).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	58.2%	52.7%	54.3%
White	12.8%	15.4%	13.1%
Hispanic/Other	29.0%	31.9%	32.6%
Sex			
Male	76.5%	76.8%	75.9%
Female	23.5%	23.2%	24.1%
Age			
11 and under	1.6%	0.8%	1.8%
12	3.3%	2.4%	3.1%
13	7.5%	3.8%	5.0%
14	11.7%	11.2%	9.9%
15	21.0%	18.1%	19.5%
16	23.5%	25.8%	24.6%
17	26.2%	31.9%	31.3%
18-20	5.1%	6.0%	4.9%
Total Complaints	2,435	2,324	2,050

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	34.0%	50.0%	38.2%
Assault (Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	2.8%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	13.5%	19.1%	15.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	3.3%	1.4%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	2.9%	2.1%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	7.3%	3.3%	1.4%
Robbery	5.5%	11.8%	12.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.4%	8.5%	2.1%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	33.5%	24.6%	31.2%
Arson	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.7%	2.2%	2.1%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.2%	3.3%	9.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.8%	1.1%	1.4%
Malicious Destruction	3.8%	2.6%	2.1%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.3%	2.6%	2.1%
Theft (Felony)	3.1%	0.4%	1.4%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	15.4%	12.1%	13.2%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.3%	3.7%	2.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.7%	2.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.3%	2.9%	0.0%
Citations	12.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	8.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	16.1%	20.6%	28.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	7.1%	15.4%	23.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.7%	4.4%	4.2%
Total Offenses	3,307	272	144

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	14.0%	20.2%	34.7%
Felony	6.7%	20.6%	18.9%
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	6.4%	1.1%
- Property	3.4%	3.7%	1.1%
- Drugs	1.5%	0.9%	3.2%
- Unspecified	0.6%	9.6%	13.7%
Misdemeanor	59.6%	58.7%	46.3%
- Person-to-Person	31.9%	35.3%	20.0%
- Property	24.0%	11.0%	22.1%
- Drugs	2.4%	2.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.3%	9.6%	4.2%
Ordinance Offenses	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	18.1%	0.5%	0.0%
CINS	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,050</i>	<i>218</i>	<i>95</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>10.8%</i>	<i>11.4%</i>	<i>13.1%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Prince George's County

14735 Main St., Suite M0400, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (ph) 301-952-2580; 1100 Mercantile Lane, Suite 120, Upper Marlboro, MD 20774 (ph) 301-386-6240

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	3.4%	6.3%	5.4%
Citizen	13.6%	12.9%	14.2%
Police*	76.2%	73.4%	72.6%
Violation of Probation**	6.8%	7.4%	7.8%
Total Complaints	1,451	1,047	1,073

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	34.5%	25.9%	32.7%
Informed	16.7%	19.2%	19.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	48.9%	54.9%	47.9%
Total Complaints	1,451	1,047	1,073

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Court Action for Formaled Cases	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	15.4%	12.5%	16.0%
Stet	1.8%	2.8%	8.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	22.3%	19.7%	15.8%
Pending Disposition*	10.9%	11.0%	23.2%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Probation	32.2%	33.6%	21.6%
Committed to DJS	15.1%	19.0%	11.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
Other**	2.1%	1.4%	2.3%
Total Formal Complaints	709	575	514

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 21.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, Average, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	41.0
Pre-Court	57.4
Probation	256.9
Aftercare	94.3
Committed-Aftercare	48.9

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2014 and July 2018, the Prince George's County population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.1% (from 79,655 to 77,945).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2019):*
 - 47.9% of complaints were formaled, while 19.4% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2017-2019):*
 - Total complaints decreased 26.1%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 48.9% to 47.9%.
 - *Note: Many 2019 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2019):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 62.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.7 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 82.9 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2019):*
 - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.83), Petition (1.75) and under-represented at Diversion (0.72).
 - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 29.0% to 25.8%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 11.2% to 10.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 58.1% to 62.0%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2017-2019):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 17.8% (19) to 29.5% (18).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	80.4%	79.8%	81.6%
White	4.1%	3.8%	5.3%
Hispanic/Other	15.5%	16.4%	13.0%
Sex			
Male	79.9%	76.1%	76.3%
Female	20.1%	23.9%	23.7%
Age			
11 and under	1.4%	2.8%	2.6%
12	1.9%	2.4%	4.4%
13	5.9%	5.1%	6.7%
14	10.2%	11.8%	11.0%
15	21.1%	21.1%	22.4%
16	23.2%	28.7%	20.8%
17	26.1%	23.8%	27.4%
18-20	10.3%	4.4%	4.8%
Total Complaints	1,451	1,047	1,073

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	57.0%	71.6%	59.2%
Assault (Felony)	3.3%	0.0%	1.4%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.5%	18.7%	12.7%
Carjacking	1.1%	1.5%	9.9%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.4%	9.7%	7.0%
Harassment	0.9%	0.7%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	8.3%	4.5%	1.4%
Robbery	11.4%	32.1%	22.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.8%	3.7%	2.8%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Property Offenses	29.5%	17.2%	22.5%
Arson	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.1%	0.7%	4.2%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.7%	3.0%	1.4%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.2%	0.7%	1.4%
Malicious Destruction	4.3%	3.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺⁺	3.9%	0.7%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	3.1%	1.5%	2.8%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.6%	6.7%	12.7%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.8%	2.2%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.9%	2.2%	0.0%
Citations	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses ⁺⁺⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.3%	9.0%	18.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.2%	6.0%	9.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.5%	0.7%	1.4%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	1.5%	2.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.2%	0.7%	4.2%
Total Offenses	2,230	134	71

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ Other Person, ⁺⁺ Other Property, and ⁺⁺⁺ Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 238.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	25.8%	42.3%	41.0%
Felony	10.1%	9.9%	24.6%
- Person-to-Person	2.6%	4.5%	3.3%
- Property	4.8%	0.9%	6.6%
- Drugs	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	4.5%	14.8%
Misdemeanor	62.0%	47.7%	34.4%
- Person-to-Person	39.0%	36.0%	19.7%
- Property	19.2%	8.1%	14.8%
- Drugs	2.2%	1.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	1.8%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,073</i>	<i>111</i>	<i>61</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>5.7%</i>	<i>5.8%</i>	<i>8.4%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2019 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2019 RELEASES



DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2019 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Regional Summary Trends

Youth from out-of-state with complaints in Maryland are listed by the Maryland jurisdiction where they are charged or supervised, except for youth in detention or committed placement, who are listed by their region of residence/jurisdiction.

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL PENDING PLACEMENT (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2017-2019

County / Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
				Placements			ADP			Placements*			ADP*		
	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY19
R-I-Balt. City	2,212	1,782	1,387	923	751	648	37.5	38.3	33.3	200	220	178	14.8	19.9	18.6
R-II-Central	6,009	5,426	4,923	402	412	331	20.7	25.2	19.3	127	136	133	9.3	10.4	10.7
R-III-Western	2,181	1,970	2,282	190	183	203	13.4	11.2	12.5	89	66	56	5.5	3.8	2.6
R-IV-Eastern	2,885	2,857	3,146	262	253	258	12.9	14.8	16.1	90	69	76	5.5	5.4	6.5
R-V-Southern	4,359	4,261	4,038	305	233	208	10.9	10.4	8.7	153	128	105	9.3	8.7	8.4
R-VI-Metro	3,886	3,371	3,123	501	437	370	27.1	22.9	22.9	203	160	162	15.4	12.5	13.2
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	75	91	95	2.6	2.5	3.9	11	7	12	1.0	0.6	1.3
Statewide	21,532	19,667	18,899	2,658	2,360	2,113	125.1	125.3	116.6	873	786	722	60.7	61.2	61.3

* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP. ** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction

POST-EJECTION DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) AND AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD FY 2017-2019; CASES WITH PROBATION DISPOSITIONS FY 2017-2018 - BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION

County / Region	Post-Ejection Detention Placement Placements			ADP			Cases with Probation Dispositions*		Average Supervised Caseload**		
	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY17	FY18	FY19
R-I-Balt. City	33	26	43	2.8	3.0	5.3	348	266	700	661	548
R-II-Central	30	42	38	2.8	4.2	3.8	1,136	852	609	620	555
R-III-Western	13	16	6	1.4	1.4	0.7	343	322	200	208	189
R-IV-Eastern	29	22	18	2.1	2.0	2.6	290	276	349	316	260
R-V-Southern	26	27	23	2.7	2.6	2.3	378	361	501	454	410
R-VI-Metro	48	38	39	3.2	3.8	3.1	500	506	663	615	600
Out-of-State***	2	2	0	0.2	0.2	0.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Statewide	181	173	167	15.1	17.1	18.0	2,995	2,583	3,021	2,873	2,562

* Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. Many FY 2019 cases are pending court action. ** Includes all probation and community aftercare. *** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction

CASES WITH COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS AND VOPs RESULTING IN COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS FY 2017-2018; COMMITTED PLACEMENTS AND ADP FY 2017-2019 - BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION

Region	Cases with Committed Dispositions*		VOPs Resulting in Committed Disposition*		Committed Placements**			ADP**		
	FY17	FY18	FY17	FY18	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY19
R-I-Balt. City	232	247	51	49	213	211	166	89.2	94.7	86.5
R-II-Central	298	280	74	93	150	154	142	77.7	72.9	67.3
R-III-Western	170	168	57	72	101	80	72	46.0	40.7	35.5
R-IV-Eastern	82	79	14	22	90	79	83	44.3	29.0	35.5
R-V-Southern	153	138	43	36	172	134	110	98.6	73.1	63.4
R-VI-Metro	323	267	90	90	244	155	173	112.7	92.8	94.3
Out-of-State***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	13	21	10.7	8.5	13.4
Statewide	1,258	1,179	329	362	987	826	767	479.3	411.7	395.9

* Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. Many FY 2019 cases are pending court action. ** "Placements" and "ADP" reflect annual activity, and don't reflect just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed *** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

County/Regional Trends

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL PENDING PLACEMENT (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2017-2019⁺

County / Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
	Complaints			Placements			ADP			Placements*			ADP*		
	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY19
R-I-Balt. City	2,212	1,782	1,387	923	751	648	37.5	38.3	33.3	200	220	178	14.8	19.9	18.6
Baltimore City	2,212	1,782	1,387	923	751	648	37.5	38.3	33.3	200	220	178	14.8	19.9	18.6
R-II-Central	6,009	5,426	4,923	402	412	331	20.7	25.2	19.3	127	136	133	9.3	10.4	10.7
Baltimore Co.	3,636	3,560	3,470	234	263	206	14.3	17.9	11.7	57	71	56	4.7	5.1	5.4
Carroll	389	366	258	29	23	14	1.6	1.0	0.7	19	7	6	1.1	0.7	0.2
Harford	1,068	823	583	62	57	57	2.8	3.4	4.6	28	29	46	1.8	2.1	3.7
Howard	916	677	612	77	69	54	2.0	2.8	2.4	23	29	25	1.6	2.6	1.5
R-III-Western	2,181	1,970	2,282	190	183	203	13.4	11.2	12.5	89	66	56	5.5	3.8	2.6
Allegany	477	421	399	16	34	26	1.4	2.3	2.7	16	13	15	0.9	0.7	0.9
Frederick	692	610	757	67	48	75	5.8	3.8	5.2	38	28	22	2.0	1.9	1.0
Garrett	179	153	222	3	9	7	0.2	0.5	0.8	1	1	4	0.0	0.0	0.1
Washington	833	786	904	104	92	95	5.9	4.6	3.7	34	24	15	2.6	1.3	0.7
R-IV-Eastern	2,885	2,857	3,146	262	253	258	12.9	14.8	16.1	90	69	76	5.5	5.4	6.5
Caroline	268	245	208	12	8	6	1.0	0.2	0.4	6	2	3	0.3	0.2	0.1
Cecil	387	350	445	39	53	36	1.8	3.5	2.8	15	10	13	0.9	1.3	0.8
Dorchester	352	455	365	19	27	28	1.3	1.6	1.3	7	10	6	0.6	0.4	0.5
Kent	79	70	117	2	6	0	0.3	0.4	0.0	0	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	127	136	164	10	12	11	0.5	0.5	0.5	5	8	3	0.2	0.4	0.2
Somerset	191	178	339	19	16	28	0.3	1.2	1.3	3	6	7	0.2	0.4	1.1
Talbot	162	153	156	2	6	7	0.1	0.3	0.7	1	6	3	0.0	0.2	0.2
Wicomico	846	766	759	130	103	109	6.8	5.9	7.3	52	22	36	3.1	2.2	3.3
Worcester	473	504	593	29	22	33	0.8	1.2	1.6	1	4	5	0.1	0.2	0.3
R-V-Southern	4,359	4,261	4,038	305	233	208	10.9	10.4	8.7	153	128	105	9.3	8.7	8.4
Anne Arundel	2,626	2,559	2,292	132	96	109	6.7	4.5	5.1	89	82	77	6.6	6.6	6.3
Calvert	389	380	456	37	29	15	0.9	1.3	0.3	14	3	5	0.7	0.1	0.5
Charles	784	768	720	66	57	45	1.1	2.2	1.7	19	22	9	1.0	1.3	0.7
St. Mary's	560	554	570	70	51	39	2.2	2.3	1.6	31	21	14	1.0	0.7	0.8
R-VI-Metro	3,886	3,371	3,123	501	437	370	27.1	22.9	22.9	203	160	162	15.4	12.5	13.2
Montgomery	2,435	2,324	2,050	219	202	160	13.8	14.3	12.8	105	83	89	6.7	5.3	6.4
Prince George's	1,451	1,047	1,073	282	235	210	13.3	8.7	10.1	98	77	73	8.7	7.2	6.8
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	75	91	95	2.6	2.5	3.9	11	7	12	1.0	0.6	1.3
Statewide	21,532	19,667	18,899	2,658	2,360	2,113	125.1	125.3	116.6	873	786	722	60.7	61.2	61.3

* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP. ** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction; * If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

- Between FY 2017 and FY 2019:
 - Intake Complaints decreased 12.2%
 - Pre-Dispositional Detention Placements decreased 20.5% and ADP decreased 6.8%
 - Post-Dispositional Pending Placements decreased 17.3% and ADP increased 1.0%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

County/Regional Trends (cont.)

POST-EJECTION DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) AND AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD FY 2017-2019+; CASES WITH PROBATION DISPOSITIONS FY 2017-2018 - BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION

County/ Region	Post-Ejection Detention Placements						Cases with Probation		Average Supervised		
	Placements			ADP			Dispositions*		Caseload**		
	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY17	FY18	FY19
R-I-Balt. City	33	26	43	2.8	3.0	5.3	348	266	700	661	548
Baltimore City	33	26	43	2.8	3.0	5.3	348	266	700	661	548
R-II-Central	30	42	38	2.8	4.2	3.8	1,136	852	609	620	555
Baltimore Co.	14	19	20	1.5	1.7	2.3	812	622	399	392	335
Carroll	4	3	1	0.5	0.5	0.1	40	46	26	29	26
Harford	4	7	12	0.2	0.5	0.9	177	108	96	106	104
Howard	8	13	5	0.6	1.5	0.5	107	76	87	93	90
R-III-Western	13	16	6	1.4	1.4	0.7	343	322	200	208	189
Allegany	1	2	1	0.1	0.2	0.2	47	42	53	58	49
Frederick	7	8	4	0.5	0.8	0.5	123	111	59	72	71
Garrett	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14	26	27	24	26
Washington	5	6	1	0.8	0.3	0.1	159	143	61	53	43
R-IV-Eastern	29	22	18	2.1	2.0	2.6	290	276	349	316	260
Caroline	1	1	0	0.1	0.1	0.0	16	29	19	18	16
Cecil	5	5	2	0.2	0.9	0.1	70	45	89	86	47
Dorchester	3	2	0	0.4	0.2	0.0	44	61	33	42	39
Kent	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10	1	12	10	8
Queen Anne's	2	4	1	0.1	0.3	0.1	15	12	12	15	15
Somerset	0	1	2	0.1	0.0	0.6	5	20	17	11	25
Talbot	0	3	1	0.0	0.1	0.1	14	17	5	8	9
Wicomico	17	6	12	1.1	0.4	1.7	68	45	110	95	74
Worcester	1	0	0	0.1	0.0	0.0	48	46	51	33	27
R-V-Southern	26	27	23	2.7	2.6	2.3	378	361	501	454	410
Anne Arundel	21	19	17	2.3	2.1	1.9	182	200	234	236	248
Calvert	1	1	1	0.0	0.1	0.1	33	30	63	46	41
Charles	2	3	1	0.3	0.3	0.0	97	86	112	93	60
St. Mary's	2	4	4	0.1	0.2	0.2	66	45	92	80	61
R-VI-Metro	48	38	39	3.2	3.8	3.1	500	506	663	615	600
Montgomery	23	18	14	1.5	1.7	1.1	272	313	235	270	248
Prince George's	25	20	25	1.7	2.1	2.0	228	193	428	345	351
Out-of-State***	2	2	0	0.2	0.2	0.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Statewide	181	173	167	15.1	17.1	18.0	2,995	2,583	3,021	2,873	2,562

* Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. Many FY 2019 cases are pending court action. **Includes all probation and community aftercare. *** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction. * If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

- Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, Post-Ejection Detention Placements decreased 7.7%, ADP increased 18.9%, and Average Supervised Caseload decreased 15.2%.

- Between FY 2017 and FY 2018, Probation Dispositions decreased 13.8%.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

CASES WITH COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS AND VOPs RESULTING IN COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS FY 2017-2018; COMMITTED PLACEMENTS AND ADP FY 2017-2019⁺ - BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION

County / Region	Cases with Committed Dispositions*		VOPs Resulting in Committed Disposition*		Committed Placements**			ADP**		
	FY17	FY18	FY17	FY18	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY17	FY18	FY19
R-I-Balt. City	232	247	51	49	213	211	166	89.2	94.7	86.5
Baltimore City	232	247	51	49	213	211	166	89.2	94.7	86.5
R-II-Central	298	280	74	93	150	154	142	77.7	72.9	67.3
Baltimore Co.	180	162	40	53	67	73	67	35.6	38.0	31.4
Carroll	16	22	4	7	19	15	9	13.9	8.9	4.8
Harford	36	57	10	16	34	28	42	15.3	12.0	20.3
Howard	66	39	20	17	30	38	24	12.9	14.1	10.9
R-III-Western	170	168	57	72	101	80	72	46.0	40.7	35.5
Allegany	24	29	8	4	22	16	21	10.4	7.1	11.1
Frederick	58	59	22	20	36	30	23	17.7	16.4	11.9
Garrett	8	8	2	1	5	3	6	1.6	1.7	2.0
Washington	80	72	25	47	38	31	22	16.2	15.5	10.5
R-IV-Eastern	82	79	14	22	90	79	83	44.3	29.0	35.5
Caroline	4	3	2	2	6	1	3	2.4	1.9	2.0
Cecil	18	6	0	1	11	13	11	6.7	3.9	4.1
Dorchester	5	15	1	3	7	13	9	4.6	3.2	5.3
Kent	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0.2	0.3	0.0
Queen Anne's	15	9	2	4	6	12	5	1.7	2.6	2.2
Somerset	2	4	0	0	5	6	9	3.0	3.0	3.6
Talbot	2	3	0	0	1	2	5	1.4	0.4	1.4
Wicomico	32	36	8	12	52	28	34	20.8	11.5	14.4
Worcester	3	3	1	0	2	3	7	3.6	2.2	2.5
R-V-Southern	153	138	43	36	172	134	110	98.6	73.1	63.4
Anne Arundel	104	90	37	29	96	81	82	53.2	42.9	42.4
Calvert	7	9	0	0	17	6	5	5.6	4.5	3.4
Charles	25	17	0	2	26	27	12	24.3	14.4	7.8
St. Mary's	17	22	6	5	33	20	11	15.5	11.4	9.8
R-VI-Metro	323	267	90	90	244	155	173	112.7	92.8	94.3
Montgomery	216	158	71	61	123	78	100	53.4	45.5	52.0
Prince George's	107	109	19	29	121	77	73	59.3	47.4	42.3
Out-of-State***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	13	21	10.7	8.5	13.4
Statewide	1,258	1,179	329	362	987	826	767	479.3	411.7	395.9

*Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. Many FY 2019 cases are pending court action. **"Placements" and "ADP" reflect annual activity, and don't reflect just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed. *** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction. + If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

- Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, Committed Placements decreased from 987 to 767 and ADP decreased from 479.3 to 395.9
- Between FY 2017 and FY 2018, Cases with Committed Dispositions decreased from 1,258 to 1,179 and VOPs resulting in Committed Dispositions increased from 329 to 362.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Change in Percentage for Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction

INTAKE COMPLAINTS CHANGES FROM FY2017-2019, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-37.3%	-825
R-VI-Metro	-19.6%	-763
R-II-Central	-18.1%	-1,086
R-V-Southern	-7.4%	-321
R-III-Western	4.6%	101
R-IV-Eastern	9.0%	261
Statewide	-12.2%	-2,633

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Harford	-45.4%	-485
Baltimore City	-37.3%	-825
Carroll	-33.7%	-131
Howard	-33.2%	-304
Prince George`s	-26.1%	-378
Caroline	-22.4%	-60
Allegany	-16.4%	-78
Montgomery	-15.8%	-385
Anne Arundel	-12.7%	-334
Wicomico	-10.3%	-87
Charles	-8.2%	-64
Baltimore County	-4.6%	-166
Talbot	-3.7%	-6
St. Mary`s	1.8%	10
Dorchester	3.7%	13
Washington	8.5%	71
Frederick	9.4%	65
Cecil	15.0%	58
Calvert	17.2%	67
Garrett	24.0%	43
Worcester	25.4%	120
Queen Anne`s	29.1%	37
Kent	48.1%	38
Somerset	77.5%	148

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENT CHANGES FROM FY2017-2019, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-V-Southern	-31.8%	-97
R-I-Balt. City	-29.8%	-275
R-VI-Metro	-26.1%	-131
R-II-Central	-17.7%	-71
R-IV-Eastern	-1.5%	-4
R-III-Western	6.8%	13
Statewide	-20.5%	-545

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-100.0%	-2
Calvert	-59.5%	-22
Carroll	-51.7%	-15
Caroline	-50.0%	-6
St. Mary`s	-44.3%	-31
Charles	-31.8%	-21
Howard	-29.9%	-23
Baltimore City	-29.8%	-275
Montgomery	-26.9%	-59
Prince George`s	-25.5%	-72
Anne Arundel	-17.4%	-23
Wicomico	-16.2%	-21
Baltimore County	-12.0%	-28
Washington	-8.7%	-9
Harford	-8.1%	-5
Cecil	-7.7%	-3
Queen Anne`s	10.0%	1
Frederick	11.9%	8
Worcester	13.8%	4
Dorchester	47.4%	9
Somerset	47.4%	9
Allegany	62.5%	10
Garrett	>100%	4
Talbot	>100%	5

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADP CHANGES FROM FY2017-2019, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-V-Southern	-19.94%	-2
R-VI-Metro	-15.49%	-4
R-I-Balt. City	-11.38%	-4
R-III-Western	-6.98%	-1
R-II-Central	-6.67%	-1
R-IV-Eastern	24.57%	3
Statewide	-6.80%	-8

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-100.00%	0
Calvert	-71.34%	-1
Caroline	-56.99%	-1
Carroll	-55.00%	-1
Washington	-37.32%	-2
St. Mary's	-26.72%	-1
Prince George's	-23.81%	-3
Anne Arundel	-23.43%	-2
Baltimore County	-18.30%	-3
Baltimore City	-11.38%	-4
Frederick	-9.81%	-1
Montgomery	-7.48%	-1
Dorchester	3.25%	0
Wicomico	7.76%	1
Queen Anne's	9.29%	0
Howard	16.31%	0
Charles	53.62%	1
Cecil	56.50%	1
Harford	63.31%	2
Allegany	86.07%	1
Worcester	86.80%	1
Garrett	>100%	1
Somerset	>100%	1
Talbot	>100%	1

POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENT^{1*} CHANGES FROM FY2017-2019, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-III-Western	-37.1%	-33
R-V-Southern	-31.4%	-48
R-VI-Metro	-20.2%	-41
R-IV-Eastern	-15.6%	-14
R-I-Balt. City	-11.0%	-22
R-II-Central	4.7%	6
Statewide	-17.3%	-151

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Carroll	-68.4%	-13
Calvert	-64.3%	-9
Washington	-55.9%	-19
St. Mary's	-54.8%	-17
Charles	-52.6%	-10
Caroline	-50.0%	-3
Frederick	-42.1%	-16
Queen Anne's	-40.0%	-2
Wicomico	-30.8%	-16
Prince George's	-25.5%	-25
Montgomery	-15.2%	-16
Dorchester	-14.3%	-1
Anne Arundel	-13.5%	-12
Cecil	-13.3%	-2
Baltimore City	-11.0%	-22
Allegany	-6.2%	-1
Baltimore County	-1.8%	-1
Howard	8.7%	2
Harford	64.3%	18
Somerset	>100%	4
Talbot	>100%	2
Garrett	>100%	3
Worcester	>100%	4
Kent	N/A	0

* Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.
¹ Includes post-ejection detention placements.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Change in Percentage for Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction (cont.)

POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADP^{1*} CHANGES FROM
FY2017-2019, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-III-Western	-52.06%	-3
R-VI-Metro	-14.46%	-2
R-V-Southern	-10.25%	-1
R-II-Central	15.93%	2
R-IV-Eastern	16.96%	1
R-I-Balt. City	26.07%	4
Statewide	0.96%	1

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Carroll	-85.75%	-1
Caroline	-81.90%	0
Washington	-73.67%	-2
Frederick	-51.09%	-1
Calvert	-31.32%	0
Queen Anne`s	-28.24%	0
Charles	-27.60%	0
Prince George`s	-21.60%	-2
Dorchester	-19.91%	0
St. Mary`s	-16.38%	0
Cecil	-5.83%	0
Howard	-5.39%	0
Montgomery	-5.23%	0
Anne Arundel	-4.40%	0
Allegany	1.19%	0
Wicomico	7.54%	0
Baltimore County	13.92%	1
Baltimore City	26.07%	4
Worcester	77.78%	0
Harford	100.00%	2
Garrett	>100%	0
Somerset	>100%	1
Talbot	>100%	0
Kent	N/A	0

POST-EJECTION DETENTION PLACEMENT* CHANGES FROM
FY2017-2019, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-III-Western	-53.8%	-7
R-IV-Eastern	-37.9%	-11
R-VI-Metro	-18.8%	-9
R-V-Southern	-11.5%	-3
R-II-Central	26.7%	8
R-I-Balt. City	30.3%	10
Statewide	-7.7%	-14

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Dorchester	-100.0%	-3
Caroline	-100.0%	-1
Worcester	-100.0%	-1
Washington	-80.0%	-4
Carroll	-75.0%	-3
Cecil	-60.0%	-3
Charles	-50.0%	-1
Queen Anne`s	-50.0%	-1
Frederick	-42.9%	-3
Montgomery	-39.1%	-9
Howard	-37.5%	-3
Wicomico	-29.4%	-5
Anne Arundel	-19.0%	-4
Allegany	0.0%	0
Calvert	0.0%	0
Prince George`s	0.0%	0
Baltimore City	30.3%	10
Baltimore County	42.9%	6
St. Mary`s	100.0%	2
Harford	>100%	8
Garrett	N/A	0
Kent	N/A	0
Talbot	N/A	1
Somerset	N/A	2

¹ Includes post-ejection detention placements. * Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

**POST-EJECTION DETENTION ADP* CHANGES FROM
 FY2017-2019, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-III-Western	-50.10%	-1
R-V-Southern	-15.18%	0
R-VI-Metro	-1.81%	0
R-IV-Eastern	27.48%	1
R-II-Central	35.95%	1
R-I-Balt. City	87.80%	2
Statewide	18.93%	3

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Dorchester	-100.00%	0
Worcester	-100.00%	0
Caroline	-100.00%	0
Washington	-90.94%	-1
Charles	-84.78%	0
Carroll	-84.18%	0
Cecil	-46.48%	0
Montgomery	-28.42%	0
Anne Arundel	-15.56%	0
Howard	-12.11%	0
Frederick	-1.71%	0
Queen Anne`s	16.67%	0
Allegany	22.22%	0
Prince George`s	22.61%	0
Baltimore County	50.27%	1
Wicomico	53.43%	1
Baltimore City	87.80%	2
St. Mary`s	>100%	0
Calvert	>100%	0
Harford	>100%	1
Somerset	>100%	1
Garrett	N/A	0
Kent	N/A	0
Talbot	N/A	0

**CASES WITH PROBATION DISPOSITION¹ CHANGES FROM
 FY2017-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-II-Central	-25.0%	-284
R-I-Balt. City	-23.6%	-82
R-III-Western	-6.1%	-21
R-IV-Eastern	-4.8%	-14
R-V-Southern	-4.5%	-17
R-VI-Metro	1.2%	6
Statewide	-13.8%	-412

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-90.0%	-9
Harford	-39.0%	-69
Cecil	-35.7%	-25
Wicomico	-33.8%	-23
St. Mary`s	-31.8%	-21
Howard	-29.0%	-31
Baltimore City	-23.6%	-82
Baltimore County	-23.4%	-190
Queen Anne`s	-20.0%	-3
Prince George`s	-15.4%	-35
Charles	-11.3%	-11
Allegany	-10.6%	-5
Washington	-10.1%	-16
Frederick	-9.8%	-12
Calvert	-9.1%	-3
Worcester	-4.2%	-2
Anne Arundel	9.9%	18
Carroll	15.0%	6
Montgomery	15.1%	41
Talbot	21.4%	3
Dorchester	38.6%	17
Caroline	81.2%	13
Garrett	85.7%	12
Somerset	>100%	15

* Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

¹ Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. Many FY 2019 cases are pending disposition.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Change in Percentage for Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction (cont.)

AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD CHANGES FROM FY2017-2019, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-IV-Eastern	-25.4%	-88
R-I-Balt. City	-21.6%	-151
R-V-Southern	-18.3%	-91
R-VI-Metro	-9.6%	-64
R-II-Central	-8.7%	-53
R-III-Western	-5.8%	-12
Statewide	-15.2%	-459

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Worcester	-48.0%	-25
Cecil	-46.8%	-42
Charles	-46.2%	-52
Kent	-37.4%	-4
Calvert	-35.8%	-23
St. Mary's	-33.8%	-31
Wicomico	-32.3%	-36
Washington	-29.3%	-18
Baltimore City	-21.6%	-151
Caroline	-19.1%	-4
Prince George's	-17.9%	-77
Baltimore County	-16.1%	-64
Allegany	-7.9%	-4
Garrett	-6.8%	-2
Carroll	-2.7%	-1
Howard	3.9%	3
Montgomery	5.5%	13
Anne Arundel	6.0%	14
Harford	8.8%	8
Queen Anne's	20.1%	2
Dorchester	20.3%	7
Frederick	21.0%	12
Somerset	46.2%	8
Talbot	82.5%	4

CASES WITH COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS¹ CHANGES FROM FY2017-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-17.3%	-56
R-V-Southern	-9.8%	-15
R-II-Central	-6.0%	-18
R-IV-Eastern	-3.7%	-3
R-III-Western	-1.2%	-2
R-I-Balt. City	6.5%	15
Statewide	-6.3%	-79

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-100.0%	-1
Cecil	-66.7%	-12
Howard	-40.9%	-27
Queen Anne's	-40.0%	-6
Charles	-32.0%	-8
Montgomery	-26.9%	-58
Caroline	-25.0%	-1
Anne Arundel	-13.5%	-14
Baltimore County	-10.0%	-18
Washington	-10.0%	-8
Garrett	0.0%	0
Worcester	0.0%	0
Frederick	1.7%	1
Prince George's	1.9%	2
Baltimore City	6.5%	15
Wicomico	12.5%	4
Allegany	20.8%	5
Calvert	28.6%	2
St. Mary's	29.4%	5
Carroll	37.5%	6
Talbot	50.0%	1
Harford	58.3%	21
Somerset	100.0%	2
Dorchester	>100%	10

¹ Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. Many FY 2019 cases are pending disposition.

VOPs RESULTING IN COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS¹ CHANGES FROM FY2017-2018, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-V-Southern	-16.3%	-7
R-I-Balt. City	-3.9%	-2
R-VI-Metro	0.0%	0
R-II-Central	25.7%	19
R-III-Western	26.3%	15
R-IV-Eastern	57.1%	8
Statewide	10.0%	33

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Worcester	-100.0%	-1
Allegany	-50.0%	-4
Garrett	-50.0%	-1
Anne Arundel	-21.6%	-8
St. Mary's	-16.7%	-1
Howard	-15.0%	-3
Montgomery	-14.1%	-10
Frederick	-9.1%	-2
Baltimore City	-3.9%	-2
Caroline	0.0%	0
Baltimore County	32.5%	13
Wicomico	50.0%	4
Prince George's	52.6%	10
Harford	60.0%	6
Carroll	75.0%	3
Washington	88.0%	22
Queen Anne's	100.0%	2
Dorchester	>100%	2
Calvert	N/A	0
Kent	N/A	0
Somerset	N/A	0
Talbot	N/A	0
Cecil	N/A	1
Charles	N/A	2

COMMITTED PLACEMENT⁺ CHANGES FROM FY2017-2019, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-V-Southern	-36.0%	-62
R-VI-Metro	-29.1%	-71
R-III-Western	-28.7%	-29
R-I-Balt. City	-22.1%	-47
R-IV-Eastern	-7.8%	-7
R-II-Central	-5.3%	-8
Statewide	-22.3%	-220

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Calvert	-70.6%	-12
St. Mary's	-66.7%	-22
Charles	-53.8%	-14
Carroll	-52.6%	-10
Caroline	-50.0%	-3
Washington	-42.1%	-16
Prince George's	-39.7%	-48
Frederick	-36.1%	-13
Wicomico	-34.6%	-18
Baltimore City	-22.1%	-47
Howard	-20.0%	-6
Montgomery	-18.7%	-23
Queen Anne's	-16.7%	-1
Anne Arundel	-14.6%	-14
Allegany	-4.5%	-1
Baltimore County	0.0%	0
Cecil	0.0%	0
Garrett	20.0%	1
Harford	23.5%	8
Dorchester	28.6%	2
Somerset	80.0%	4
Worcester	>100%	5
Talbot	>100%	4
Kent	N/A	0

¹Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. Many FY 2019 cases are pending disposition; Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0. ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

⁺"Placements" reflects annual activity, and don't reflect just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed. Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

Change in Percentage for Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction (cont.)

COMMITTED ADP⁺ CHANGES FROM FY2017-2019,
ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-V-Southern	-35.69%	-35
R-III-Western	-22.81%	-10
R-IV-Eastern	-20.03%	-9
R-VI-Metro	-16.30%	-18
R-II-Central	-13.34%	-10
R-I-Balt. City	-3.09%	-3
Statewide	-17.38%	-83


County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-100.00%	0
Charles	-67.95%	-17
Carroll	-65.30%	-9
Calvert	-39.74%	-2
Cecil	-39.03%	-3
St. Mary's	-36.56%	-6
Washington	-35.34%	-6
Frederick	-32.98%	-6
Wicomico	-30.47%	-6
Worcester	-28.89%	-1
Prince George's	-28.62%	-17
Anne Arundel	-20.27%	-11
Caroline	-17.40%	0
Howard	-15.66%	-2
Baltimore County	-11.88%	-4
Baltimore City	-3.09%	-3
Montgomery	-2.63%	-1
Talbot	2.77%	0
Allegany	6.51%	1
Dorchester	14.90%	1
Somerset	19.43%	1
Queen Anne's	24.41%	0
Garrett	25.71%	0
Harford	32.36%	5

⁺ "Placements" reflects annual activity, and don't reflect just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.


Long-Term Trends

JUVENILE COMPLAINTS TOTAL AND BY SEX FY 2010-2019




- Juvenile complaints decreased 53.5% between FY 2010 and FY 2019. Both sexes saw a decrease between FY 2010 and FY 2019 and the percent reduction was very similar for males and females (54.9% for males and 49.8% for females).

JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY RACE FY 2010-2019



- Between FY 2010 and FY 2019, juvenile complaints decreased for all races: Blacks (52.2%), Whites (59.4%), and youth of other races (34.6%).

DJS CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS FY 2010-2019



- Authorized formal petitions comprised the highest number of case forwarding decisions until FY 2018. Between FY 2010 and FY 2019, authorized formal petitions decreased 58.1%, the number of cases being resolved or disapproved decreased 46.9%, and informals decreased 57.3%.
- Data Sources: Data prior to FY 2017 will reflect previously reported values unless there was a methodological change.

Long-Term Trends (cont.)


ALOS FOR PROBATION RELEASES, PRE-D PLACEMENTS AND COMMITTED PLACEMENTS FY 2010-2019

ALOS (Days)	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Probation Releases	452.5	427.3	406.0	391.9	387.8	396.8	407.4	430.9	437.5	450.6
Pre-D Placements	14.6	16.0	16.0	14.1	15.8	16.3	15.9	18.3	18.3	19.7
Committed Placements*	183.8	203.4	198.9	192.8	216.8	229.2	210.8	195.3	169.4	185.2

* ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

- Between FY 2010 and FY 2019, ALOS for probation releases remained relatively stable (decreased 0.4%), pre-D detention ALOS increased 34.4%, and committed ALOS remained relatively stable (increased 0.8%).


CASES WITH COMMITTED DISPOSITION AND VOPs RESULTING IN COMMITTED DISPOSITION, FY 2010-2018*



* Many 2019 cases are still pending disposition; therefore, data for FY 2019 are not presented here.

- Between FY 2010 and FY 2018, cases with committed dispositions decreased 47.0%, and VOPs resulting in a committed disposition decreased 28.5%.

PERCENTAGE OF COMMITTED DISPOSITION FOR VOP FY 2010-2018*




* Many 2019 cases are still pending disposition; therefore, data for FY 2019 are not presented here.

- Between FY 2010 and FY 2018, the percentage of committed dispositions for VOP increased from 22.7% to 30.7%. Since its peak in FY 2015, the percentage of committed dispositions for VOP decreased from 36.0% to 30.7%.


Data Sources: Data prior to FY 2017 will reflect previously reported values unless there was a methodological change.

DETAINED AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2010-2019




- Between FY 2010 and FY 2019, ADP for the total detained population decreased 37.6%. Pre-Disposition ADP has consistently been higher than Pending Placement ADP and both groups saw a decrease between FY 2010 and FY 2019 (57.2% decrease for Pre-Disposition and 65.4% for Pending Placement). The population of youth charged as adults began being tracked in FY 2014 and ADP increased 178.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2019.

COMMITTED RESIDENTIAL AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2010-2019



- Between FY 2010 and FY 2019, ADP for the total committed population decreased 57.3%. ADP decreased 65.5% for Private In-State facilities and 45.8% for DJS-Operated facilities, while ADP for Private Out-of-State facilities decreased 34.0%.

DJS SUPERVISED YOUTH FY 2010-2019



- Between FY 2010 and FY 2019, total caseload decreased 61.9%, informal cases decreased 65.5%, aftercare cases decreased 61.0%, and probation cases decreased 60.8%.

Data Sources: Data prior to FY 2017 will reflect previously reported values unless there was a methodological change.



Section III: Detention

Meet Clay. This puppy was introduced in 2019 at Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center as a therapy dog.

Introduction to Detention

DJS operates seven detention facilities across the State to provide temporary and secure custody of youth subject to court jurisdiction. Youth may be detained at various points in the juvenile justice system. The juvenile court may order detention for youth pending a court hearing (pre- or post-disposition), pending initial placement in a committed program, or pending placement in a committed program post-ejection. Youth are most commonly detained for new complaints alleging delinquent behavior, writs and warrants issued by the court, or violations of alternative to detention (ATD) program conditions. Note that DJS detention facilities may additionally serve youth pending adult criminal charges while the transfer of jurisdiction from adult to juvenile court is being considered (see “Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer” on page 116).

DETENTION PROCESS

The decision whether to detain a youth is driven primarily by the juvenile court. However, juvenile detention may be authorized by DJS intake officers on a temporary basis at the request of a law enforcement officer or community detention officer. DJS is empowered by statute to detain a youth who either poses a clear risk to themselves/others, or is deemed likely to leave court jurisdiction. This emergent decision is guided by the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) (see Appendix F) and is subject to court review on the next court day. The DRAI objectively informs detention decisions by taking into account three factors: (1) the risk that a youth will reoffend or fail to appear for a court hearing, based on known risk factors in the youth’s history; (2) seriousness of the current alleged offense; and (3) factors requiring a mandatory hold regardless of risk or offense, including an outstanding writ or warrant, interstate hold, etc. Based on these three factors, the DRAI recommends either release, placement in an ATD, or detention.

DETENTION ADMISSIONS

Each detention facility operates an admissions area 24 hours a day where all youth admitted to detention are processed. An admissions officer interviews youth to collect and verify basic identifying information. The admissions officer also performs a search of the DJS information system, ASSIST, to obtain available information on a youth’s delinquency and placement history. A photograph of each youth is taken and included in the case record for identification purposes. The rules of the detention facility, including the grievance procedure, are provided to each youth in the facility handbook. Youth are issued clothing to wear in the facility. Personal clothing is cleaned, catalogued, packaged, and placed in secure storage.

The admissions process includes an initial health screening. It is performed by admissions nursing staff and consists of a review of physical health, mental health, and substance abuse needs. A full medical history and physical examination is conducted by a licensed physician or nurse practitioner within seven days of admission. In addition, youth receive preventative care by a dentist or dental trained personnel within 14 calendar days of admission.


DETENTION CASE MANAGEMENT

Youth who are detained by the juvenile court are assigned a DJS community case manager and a facility case manager. The community

case manager is based in the local DJS office within the youth’s home jurisdiction. To complete a Social History Investigation, the community case manager visits the youth in detention, interviews the youth and family, and completes the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Risk Screen and Needs Assessment. The facility case manager acts as a liaison with the court, family, community case manager, and treatment service providers while the youth is in detention.

Services Provided in Detention Facilities

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2017-2019




Total ADP has consistently been below capacity.

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

DJS Behavioral Health Services (BHS) is responsible for establishing and implementing policies governing the delivery of mental health, substance abuse, and evidence-based treatment services to youth under DJS supervision. BHS maintains a staff of licensed, certified counselors and contractors to provide complete assessments and necessary services. BHS provides integrated mental health and substance use disorder screening, assessments, and short-term crisis services in DJS detention facilities.

Upon admission to a detention center, each youth is screened by a qualified mental health professional. The screening consists of an interview, the administration of tests as appropriate, and the review

JUVENILE DETENTION POPULATION ADP*, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED**, FY 2013-2022



*ADP includes all youth in pre-disposition and post-disposition (pending placement) status, and does not include the youth charged as adults pending transfer population as that is presented separately at the bottom of this page.


** Projected values were generated by an ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model.

ADP is projected to increase 3.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2022.

of any prior assessments or records (e.g., psychosocial or clinical assessments). If the initial mental health screen reveals mental health concerns, recommendations are made for more in-depth assessments or additional services. These assessments, conducted by psychiatrists or licensed psychologists, examine the emotional, adaptive, and cognitive functioning of detained youth with greater mental health issues.

Additionally, certified addictions counselors screen and assess youth in detention and determine appropriate levels of substance abuse treatment needs. This information assists case managers in linking youth with appropriate community and residential services. Addictions counselors work closely with the Department's medical director for those youth requiring medication management as a result of their drug addiction.

YOUTH CHARGED AS ADULTS PENDING TRANSFER DETENTION POPULATION ADP, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED*, FY 2015-2022



* Projected values were generated by an ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model.

ADP is projected to increase 3.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2022.

EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

The Maryland State Department of Education's (MSDE) Juvenile Services Education System (JSES) provides educational programming to youth in DJS detention facilities consistent with the requirements of the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), Title 13A. The program includes instruction in core content subjects, Career and Technology Education, library/media services, life skills, and special education services. Students are pre-tested upon entry to the JSES program to assess baseline academic skills. Teachers use the results to design learning plans for each student that form the basis of daily instruction. After each 30-day period of enrollment, the student is post-tested to determine academic gains. Students in a JSES program can earn credit toward the Maryland High School Diploma that they may receive upon return to their home high school. Alternately, some students are enrolled in classes designed to prepare them to take their GED examination.

RECREATIONAL SERVICES

Structured recreation programs are available to all youth in detention. The recreation program provides a variety of activities promoting physical and health wellness. Youth are required to participate in recreational activities for a minimum of one hour per day.

Pending Placement

PENDING PLACEMENT (POST-DISPOSITION)

Youth who have been committed to the custody of the Department for residential placement may be placed in detention on a temporary basis by the juvenile court. Youth detained post-disposition are considered to be 'pending placement' as they are waiting to be admitted or placed in one of many possible out-of-home committed treatment programs.

Youth who are pending placement receive the same core services as youth detained prior to disposition, including educational services, screening, evaluation, and treatment for substance abuse, mental health, and somatic health (including dietary and dental).

Youth receive bi-weekly visits from their community case manager. Each facility also allows family visitation during the week or on weekends (the visitation schedule varies by facility).

A review hearing is held in accordance with Md. Code and Judicial Proceedings, 3-8A-15(k) for any youth in detention 25 days after the court has made a disposition. At the hearing, DJS is required to explain the reasons for continued detention. The court will continue to conduct a hearing every 25 days thereafter until a youth has been placed.

During the pending placement period, the community case manager maintains contact with the youth's family and is also responsible for reporting to the juvenile court regarding the youth's placement status. In addition to court review, pending placement cases are reviewed by the Department on a weekly basis at facility management meetings. Regional-level meetings are held on a monthly basis to review placement statistics and identify barriers to placement and ways to expedite the process.

PENDING PLACEMENT AFTER EJECTION FROM A COMMITTED PROGRAM

Some youth committed to the custody of the Department cannot be safely maintained in their program and must be ejected. Youth ejected


from a committed program who require a new committed placement are often placed in detention. Those with a new offense would be considered Pre-Disposition (Pre-D).

While detained pending a new placement, the Department's Central Review Committee (CRC) re-evaluates the youth's case, and works to secure acceptance to the most appropriate, alternative program. Youth placed in DJS operated facilities are transferred within 7 days of the CRC decision, provided there is available bed space.

A Note to Readers:

Placements versus Admissions: A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

DJS Secure Detention Facilities by Region




Total Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity: 411 beds


DJS operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility houses a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2019



PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	76.5%	77.5%	77.6%
White	17.4%	15.0%	15.0%
Hispanic/Other	6.1%	7.5%	7.4%
Sex			
Male	84.8%	83.6%	84.7%
Female	15.2%	16.4%	15.3%
Age			
11 and under	0.2%	0.3%	0.5%
12	1.0%	0.7%	1.6%
13	4.6%	4.0%	5.2%
14	12.1%	12.6%	11.0%
15	20.9%	21.1%	21.3%
16	23.4%	24.9%	22.3%
17	25.0%	24.2%	24.9%
18-20	12.7%	12.3%	13.2%
Total Placements	2,965	2,663	2,406

• Source of Placements (FY 2019):

- 95.8% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 86 were from Washington, D.C.; 5 were from Virginia; 2 each were from Delaware and West Virginia; and 1 each was from Colorado, North Carolina, New York, Ohio, and South Carolina.

• Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 18.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 9.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Pre-D placements decreased 20.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 10.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D placements decreased 19.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 9.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Ejection placements decreased 7.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 3.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 2.1 percentage points between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 1.4 percentage points between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 14.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 7.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D ALOS increased 19.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 9.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- ALOS for ejections increased 27.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 12.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2017-2019:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 30.8% to 30.9%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 19.7% to 20.2%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 45.4% to 44.3%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 4.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 4.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2019*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.2%	4.3%	4.8%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.6%	4.5%	4.2%
Carjacking	4.1%	5.0%	7.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	6.8%	5.4%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	1.6%	2.4%
Disturbing the Peace	2.4%	3.4%	2.4%
First Degree Assault	8.8%	3.2%	1.8%
Handgun Violation	3.2%	4.5%	3.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.5%	4.5%	4.8%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	1.6%	1.2%
Murder	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	7.0%	1.4%	2.4%
Narcotics Possession	2.5%	2.5%	1.2%
Other / Missing ¹	5.1%	3.2%	1.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Robbery	10.1%	13.2%	15.6%
Second Degree Assault	17.4%	17.5%	25.7%
Sex Offense	2.3%	1.6%	1.2%
Theft Felony	3.7%	2.2%	1.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.5%	12.1%	9.6%
Trespassing	1.5%	1.3%	0.6%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.5%	2.7%	3.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	30.9%	27.7%	28.7%
Felony	20.2%	16.8%	15.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.1%	1.6%	0.6%
- Property	10.9%	6.7%	6.6%
- Drugs	7.0%	1.4%	2.4%
- Unspecified	0.2%	7.0%	5.4%
Misdemeanor	44.3%	55.0%	56.3%
- Person-to-Person	27.0%	28.6%	34.7%
- Property	14.4%	20.0%	16.8%
- Drugs	1.3%	2.5%	1.2%
- Unspecified	1.7%	3.8%	3.6%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Citations	1.7%	0.2%	0.0%
CINS	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.5%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	2,113	555	167

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Gambling, Judicial Process, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating Against a Victim/Witness, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2017-2019*

Year	Placement	Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2019	Pre-D	82.5%	17.5%	2,158	19.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	580	27.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	163	43.1
FY 2018	Pre-D	81.1%	18.9%	2,299	18.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	591	25.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	183	38.3
FY 2017	Pre-D	80.4%	19.6%	2,707	17.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	707	23.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	179	33.9

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.


ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Female Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity for Females: 64 beds


DJS operates three detention facilities that serve girls: Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center (co-ed), Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center (co-ed), and Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center. Some youth are detained awaiting disposition (Pre-D), and other youth are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2019



PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	64.5%	68.5%	68.8%
White	27.7%	23.1%	22.0%
Hispanic/Other	7.8%	8.4%	9.2%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%
12	0.4%	1.1%	3.0%
13	4.9%	4.3%	9.2%
14	13.7%	14.6%	12.7%
15	18.8%	23.5%	18.7%
16	27.3%	22.1%	23.6%
17	21.7%	22.1%	23.0%
18-20	13.1%	11.6%	9.5%
Total Placements	451	438	369

• Source of Placements (FY 2019):

- 94.3% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 14 were from Washington, D.C.; 4 were from Virginia; and 1 each was from Colorado, North Carolina, and New York.

• Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 18.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 15.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Pre-D placements decreased 13.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 15.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D placements decreased 13.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 35.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Ejection placements decreased 17.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 27.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 1.7 percentage points between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 1.6 percentage points between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 10.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 25.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D ALOS increased 6.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 24.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- ALOS for ejections increased 36.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 8.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2017-2019:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 18.2% to 22.4%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 11.6% to 13.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 64.3% to 56.3%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 4.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 16.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2019*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	5.5%	6.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.1%	1.1%	7.1%
Carjacking	3.8%	4.4%	7.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	4.4%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.6%	8.8%	28.6%
First Degree Assault	7.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.7%	2.2%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%	3.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.5%	2.2%	7.1%
Other / Missing ¹	9.3%	3.3%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Robbery	7.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	29.2%	26.4%	50.0%
Sex Offense	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.2%	2.2%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	5.8%	17.6%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.6%	6.6%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	22.4%	14.3%	14.3%
Felony	13.4%	16.5%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.3%	2.2%	0.0%
- Property	8.7%	8.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	5.5%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	56.3%	68.1%	85.7%
- Person-to-Person	40.2%	39.6%	78.6%
- Property	14.6%	19.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.9%	2.2%	7.1%
- Unspecified	0.6%	6.6%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%
CINS	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>4.7%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	343	91	14

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Gambling, Judicial Process, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating Against a Victim/Witness, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2017-2019*

Year	Placement	Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2019	Pre-D	80.5%	19.5%	362	20.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	89	35.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	16	55.6
FY 2018	Pre-D	78.8%	21.2%	394	16.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	69	28.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	11	51.4
FY 2017	Pre-D	78.7%	21.3%	394	18.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	109	33.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	18	40.6


* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Under Age 13 Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


Youth under 13 who are detained by the court represent very few of the youth in DJS detention centers. Rather than placing these youth in a detention facility, DJS most frequently uses an alternative to detention (ATD) with placement at home or in a shelter. However, if a youth must be in a detention center they are housed with youth closest in age and size. If deemed highly vulnerable they may be placed in the infirmary, with one-on-one staff supervision or sent to a smaller facility with a lower population. Wherever placed, youth under 13 receive all the same services as other detained youth.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2019



PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	88.6%	66.7%	76.0%
White	11.4%	33.3%	16.0%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%
Sex			
Male	94.3%	74.1%	76.0%
Female	5.7%	25.9%	24.0%
Age*			
8 and under	1	0	0
9	0	0	1
10	0	1	4
11	5	8	6
12	29	18	39
Total Placements	35	27	50

* Due to the small number of youth in each age category, actual numbers are presented instead of percentages.

• Source of Placements (FY 2019):

- 94.0% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, all 3 were from Washington, D.C.

• Placement Trends:

- Total placements increased 42.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 85.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Pre-D placements increased 37.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 84.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D placements decreased 25.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 50.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- There were no ejection placements in FY 2017 and FY 2018 and one in FY 2019.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 5.2 percentage points between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 2.4 percentage points between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 20.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 35.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D ALOS increased 113.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 21.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 83.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019. There were no ejection releases in FY 2018.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2017-2019:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 48.6% to 41.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 11.4% to 0.0%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 40.0% to 58.3%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 11.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 4.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2019*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	6.2%	33.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	31.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	16.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.4%	33.3%	0.0%
Trespassing	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	41.7%	33.3%	0.0%
Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	58.3%	66.7%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	43.8%	33.3%	100.0%
- Property	12.5%	33.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Gambling, Judicial Process, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating Against a Victim/Witness, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2017-2019*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2019	Pre-D	93.8%	6.2%	48	15.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	3	33.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	1	12.9
FY 2018	Pre-D	96.2%	3.8%	27	24.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	2	27.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	0	0.0
FY 2017	Pre-D	88.6%	11.4%	35	19.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	4	15.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	1	77.0


* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Youth of Color Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


The data shown in this section provide additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth). Although each summary page in the Data Resource Guide Detention Section provides an overall race/ethnicity breakdown for detention placements in the Demographics table, the tables in this section show more detailed information on placements and ADP by type and Region, offense type and severity, and length of stay for youth of color as a whole. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2019



PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	92.6%	91.2%	91.3%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	7.4%	8.8%	8.7%
Sex			
Male	86.7%	85.1%	85.9%
Female	13.3%	14.9%	14.1%
Age			
11 and under	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%
12	1.1%	0.5%	1.6%
13	4.8%	3.8%	5.1%
14	12.0%	13.1%	10.9%
15	21.8%	21.6%	21.1%
16	23.7%	25.0%	22.8%
17	24.8%	23.8%	24.5%
18-20	11.6%	11.9%	13.6%
Total Placements	2,449	2,264	2,044

• Source of Placements (FY 2019):

- 95.2% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 86 were from Washington, D.C.; 4 were from Virginia; 2 each were from Delaware and West Virginia; and 1 each was from Colorado, North Carolina, New York, Ohio and South Carolina.

• Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 16.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 9.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Pre-D placements decreased 18.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 10.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D placements decreased 18.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 15.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Ejection placements decreased 4.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and did not change between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 1.3 percentage points between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 1.8 percentage points between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 12.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 5.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D ALOS increased 20.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 8.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- ALOS for ejections increased 23.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 12.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2017-2019:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 33.0% to 32.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 21.2% to 21.3%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 42.3% to 41.9%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 3.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 7.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2019*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.2%	3.9%	4.9%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.5%	4.6%	3.5%
Carjacking	4.8%	6.4%	8.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	8.7%	6.3%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	1.6%	2.1%
Disturbing the Peace	2.2%	3.7%	2.8%
First Degree Assault	9.2%	3.2%	2.1%
Handgun Violation	3.6%	5.7%	3.5%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.0%	3.7%	3.5%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	0.7%	0.7%
Murder	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	7.7%	1.8%	2.8%
Narcotics Possession	2.2%	1.6%	1.4%
Other / Missing ¹	4.6%	3.4%	1.4%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Robbery	11.1%	15.5%	17.6%
Second Degree Assault	16.5%	14.8%	26.8%
Sex Offense	2.2%	0.9%	0.7%
Theft Felony	4.0%	2.5%	0.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.2%	11.0%	8.5%
Trespassing	1.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.1%	2.1%	2.1%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	32.7%	32.2%	31.7%
Felony	21.3%	18.0%	15.5%
- Person-to-Person	2.1%	1.1%	0.7%
- Property	11.2%	6.4%	5.6%
- Drugs	7.7%	1.8%	2.8%
- Unspecified	0.2%	8.7%	6.3%
Misdemeanor	41.9%	49.1%	52.8%
- Person-to-Person	26.0%	26.0%	35.2%
- Property	13.6%	18.0%	13.4%
- Drugs	1.2%	1.6%	1.4%
- Unspecified	1.3%	3.4%	2.8%
Ordinance Offenses	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Citations	1.3%	0.2%	0.0%
CINS	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.6%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>1,807</i>	<i>438</i>	<i>142</i>

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Gambling, Judicial Process, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating Against a Victim/Witness, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2017-2019*

Year	Placement	Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2019	Pre-D	82.1%	17.9%	1,847	19.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	458	27.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	140	43.1
FY 2018	Pre-D	80.3%	19.7%	1,952	18.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	493	25.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	150	38.4
FY 2017	Pre-D	80.8%	19.2%	2,268	17.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	550	23.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	149	34.8

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer

Youth ages 17 and under who are charged with a crime excluded from juvenile court jurisdiction may be held in adult detention centers pending trial. Youth charged as adults who are eligible to file for transfer to juvenile court may be held in a secure juvenile detention facility if ordered by the court (Md. Code, Criminal Procedure, §4-202). These youth may be held in secure juvenile detention pending a transfer hearing to determine if jurisdiction should remain in the adult court or be transferred to the juvenile court. Data in this section represent youth held in DJS detention facilities for the adult court pending a transfer hearing. This section also provides information on youth whose complaints were transferred to the juvenile court (who may or may not have been detained during the report year).

Starting in July 2013, DJS entered into agreements with local criminal justice agencies to utilize the current law more consistently resulting in higher numbers of such youth held in DJS facilities. In 2015, the law regarding pre-transfer detention for youth charged as adults was amended to create a presumption that youth charged as adults should be held in a juvenile detention facility. Effective October 1, 2015, the court shall order a youth charged as adult who is eligible for transfer to the juvenile court to be held in a secure juvenile detention facility pending that transfer decision unless: (1) the youth is released; (2) there is no capacity in the secure juvenile facility; or (3) the court finds that detention in a secure juvenile facility would pose a risk of harm to the child or others, and states the reasons for the finding on the record.

PLACEMENTS FOR FY 2018-2019 AND ADP, ALOS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019 (LEFT SIDE). CASES TRANSFERRED TO THE JUVENILE COURT WITH COURT DISPOSITIONS BY REGION OF JURISDICTION, FY 2019 (RIGHT SIDE).

Region/County	Placements		FY 2019		FY 2019 Complaints			
	FY 2018	FY 2019	ADP	ALOS	Transferred to Juvenile Court	Committed	Probation	Dismissed/Other
R-I- Balt. City	137	120	42.5	129.2	114	33.3%	23.7%	43.0%
Baltimore City	137	120	42.5	129.2	114	33.3%	23.7%	43.0%
R-II- Central	55	70	20.3	120.4	63	31.7%	58.7%	9.5%
Baltimore Co.	48	54	16.3	123.0	53	24.5%	67.9%	7.5%
Carroll	0	1	0.0	4.6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Harford	3	10	3.2	133.4	8	62.5%	12.5%	25.0%
Howard	4	5	0.9	68.2	2	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
R-III- Western	17	19	3.6	94.5	9	33.3%	44.4%	22.2%
Allegany	2	6	1.0	67.9	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Frederick	8	7	1.1	121.3	4	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Garrett	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Washington	7	6	1.5	102.0	5	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%
R-IV- Eastern	12	12	2.9	108.9	16	43.8%	56.3%	0.0%
Caroline	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cecil	0	1	0.1	40.9	5	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%
Dorchester	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kent	0	1	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Queen Anne's	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Somerset	0	2	0.6	176.7	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Talbot	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wicomico	12	6	1.6	108.9	5	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%
Worcester	0	2	0.5	0.0	5	20.0%	80.0%	0.0%
R-V- Southern	13	34	10.5	111.5	36	55.6%	33.3%	11.1%
Anne Arundel	9	21	6.7	122.1	28	60.7%	25.0%	14.3%
Calvert	1	0	0.0	119.9	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Charles	2	10	2.7	98.3	6	16.7%	83.3%	0.0%
St. Mary's	1	3	1.1	101.7	2	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
R-VI- Metro	85	88	17.6	78.6	92	22.8%	52.2%	25.0%
Montgomery	25	35	8.0	86.1	34	20.6%	58.8%	20.6%
Prince George's	60	53	9.6	73.7	58	24.1%	48.3%	27.6%
Out-of-State	15	21	5.4	84.8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Statewide	334	364	102.8	108.2	330	109	137	84

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2019

Most Serious Alleged Juvenile Offense of Transferred Cases	FY 2019
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	1.2%
Carjacking	8.2%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%
First Degree Assault-Felony	29.7%
Handgun Violation	22.4%
Kidnapping	0.9%
Manslaughter	0.3%
Murder	4.5%
Narcotics Distribution	0.9%
Narcotics Possession	1.2%
Other/Missing	1.2%
Resisting Arrest	0.3%
Robbery	21.2%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	0.9%
Sex Offense	3.9%
Theft - Misdemeanor	0.3%
Total Transferred Cases	330

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019

Crime of Violence*	77.3%
Felony	3.9%
- Person-to-Person	1.2%
- Property	0.3%
- Drugs	0.9%
- Unspecified	1.5%
Misdemeanor	18.8%
- Person-to-Person	17.3%
- Property	0.3%
- Drugs	1.2%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
Missing	0.0%
Total Transferred Cases	330

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

Note: The population data for youth detained by the adult court are not included in the data presented in the rest of the Detention section, which only include youth detained under jurisdiction of the juvenile court. The offense data shown here reflect the resulting juvenile court offense for those cases that were transferred down from adult court jurisdiction, and do not reflect the original adult charge.

YOUTH CHARGED AS ADULTS PENDING TRANSFER DEMOGRAPHICS BY DETENTION FACILITY, FY 2019

Demographics	Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center	Balt. City Juvenile Justice Center	Charles H. Hickey Jr. School	Cheltenham Youth Detention Center	Lower East Shore Children's Center	Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center	Western Maryland Children's Center	Statewide*
Race								
Black	67.9%	88.4%	87.8%	75.0%	81.3%	64.3%	72.0%	79.9%
White	3.8%	6.2%	7.3%	6.0%	18.8%	0.0%	12.0%	6.9%
Hispanic/Other	28.3%	5.4%	4.9%	19.0%	0.0%	35.7%	16.0%	13.2%
Sex								
Male	86.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	87.5%	0.0%	100.0%	94.0%
Female	13.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	100.0%	0.0%	6.0%
Age								
14	3.8%	2.3%	0.0%	1.0%	12.5%	7.1%	8.0%	1.6%
15	1.9%	0.8%	4.9%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
16	17.0%	42.6%	45.1%	38.0%	25.0%	35.7%	32.0%	39.3%
17	60.4%	45.7%	43.9%	55.0%	56.3%	42.9%	48.0%	49.7%
18-20	17.0%	8.5%	6.1%	3.0%	6.3%	14.3%	12.0%	7.4%
Total Admissions	53	129	82	100	16	14	25	364
ADP	8.2	39.6	23.1	22.1	2.5	3.7	3.6	102.8
ALOS	60.7	111.8	111.9	79.9	65.4	74.8	62.3	108.2

* Statewide admissions exclude facility transfers

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center

Superintendent: Antonia Reason


Rated Capacity: 41 Males and 16 Females

Region VI: Metro

9925 Blackwell Road
Rockville, MD 20850
301-315-1610


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

ADMISSIONS, FY 2017-2019



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2019



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.1%	66.2%	67.6%
White	11.3%	12.8%	10.3%
Hispanic/Other	20.6%	21.0%	22.1%
Sex			
Male	65.7%	71.3%	72.4%
Female	34.3%	28.7%	27.6%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
12	0.3%	0.8%	2.2%
13	4.8%	3.8%	5.8%
14	13.4%	9.5%	9.3%
15	20.1%	22.1%	21.8%
16	22.0%	29.2%	33.0%
17	26.5%	25.9%	18.9%
18-20	12.9%	8.7%	8.7%
Total Admissions	373	390	312

• Source of Admissions (FY 2019):

- 86.2% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 39 were from Washington, D.C.; 2 were from Virginia; and 1 each was from Pennsylvania and South Carolina.

• Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 16.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 20.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 15.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 17.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D admissions decreased 1.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and did not change between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Ejection admissions increased 5.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 16.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- Pre-D admissions with a DRAI decreased 0.9 percentage points between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 6.7 percentage points between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 23.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 22.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D ALOS increased 4.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 10.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- ALOS for ejections increased 49.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 67.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2017-2019:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 29.5% to 33.2%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.0% to 9.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 55.6% to 50.5%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 6.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 1.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- FY 2019 Pre-D ADP for males was 12.8 and for females was 3.6.
- FY 2019 Post-D ADP for males was 4.2 and for females was 1.8.
- FY 2019 Ejection ADP for males was 1.7 and for females was 0.1.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2019*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	4.7%	2.1%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	9.7%	8.4%	5.0%
Carjacking	1.1%	2.1%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	15.8%	20.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	2.1%	10.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.4%	0.0%	10.0%
First Degree Assault	6.5%	3.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.2%	4.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%	3.2%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	1.1%	5.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.1%	2.1%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	4.7%	1.1%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	4.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.5%	2.1%	0.0%
Robbery	17.0%	13.7%	20.0%
Second Degree Assault	18.4%	22.1%	20.0%
Sex Offense	2.5%	1.1%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.5%	2.1%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.0%	9.5%	10.0%
Trespassing	0.7%	2.1%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	33.2%	29.5%	25.0%
Felony	9.4%	21.1%	15.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	2.1%	0.0%
- Property	7.2%	4.2%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.1%	2.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	12.6%	15.0%
Misdemeanor	50.5%	48.4%	60.0%
- Person-to-Person	30.7%	28.4%	45.0%
- Property	17.0%	15.8%	10.0%
- Drugs	1.4%	1.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%	3.2%	5.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	3.6%	1.1%	0.0%
CINS	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.4%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>277</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>20</i>

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Gambling, Judicial Process, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating Against a Victim/Witness, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2017-2019*

Year	Placement	Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2019	Pre-D	57.8%	42.2%	289	22.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	96	21.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	19	35.2
FY 2018	Pre-D	51.0%	49.0%	324	18.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	88	19.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	25	21.0
FY 2017	Pre-D	58.7%	41.3%	342	18.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	97	20.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	20	23.5

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center

Superintendent: Jeremy Smith


Rated Capacity: 120 Males

Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

Region I: Baltimore City


300 North Gay Street
Baltimore, MD 21202
443-263-8706

ADMISSIONS, FY 2017-2019



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2019



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	94.2%	95.1%	96.1%
White	4.5%	2.8%	1.9%
Hispanic/Other	1.3%	2.1%	2.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%
12	1.5%	0.0%	1.5%
13	5.7%	3.7%	5.1%
14	13.5%	11.3%	10.9%
15	20.5%	21.7%	21.2%
16	22.3%	25.5%	17.4%
17	27.9%	25.8%	27.0%
18-20	8.1%	11.6%	16.4%
Total Admissions	947	760	688

Source of Admissions (FY 2019):

- 99.7% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 1 each was from Pennsylvania and Puerto Rico.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 27.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 9.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 33.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 12.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D admissions decreased 24.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 35.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Ejection admissions increased 29.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 58.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 2.6 percentage points between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 3.5 percentage points between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 27.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 7.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D ALOS increased 69.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 28.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- ALOS for ejections increased 32.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 14.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2017-2019:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 35.7% to 32.9%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 33.8% to 35.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 28.9% to 30.3%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 1.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 12.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2019*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	9.0%	5.8%	10.5%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.1%	4.2%	1.8%
Carjacking	6.4%	5.0%	12.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	6.7%	8.8%
Deadly Weapon	2.4%	2.5%	1.8%
Disturbing the Peace	0.7%	2.5%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	9.0%	4.2%	3.5%
Handgun Violation	7.4%	10.8%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.9%	5.8%	3.5%
Manslaughter	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.5%	0.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	18.5%	2.5%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.1%	2.5%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	2.2%	5.0%	1.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	9.3%	15.0%	17.5%
Second Degree Assault	8.1%	10.8%	26.3%
Sex Offense	2.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Theft Felony	5.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	5.2%	7.5%	8.8%
Trespassing	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.7%	4.2%	3.5%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	32.9%	34.2%	35.1%
Felony	35.1%	19.2%	21.1%
- Person-to-Person	2.2%	0.8%	0.0%
- Property	14.2%	6.7%	10.5%
- Drugs	18.5%	2.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	9.2%	10.5%
Misdemeanor	30.3%	45.0%	43.9%
- Person-to-Person	19.4%	22.5%	28.1%
- Property	8.7%	15.0%	12.3%
- Drugs	1.6%	2.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	5.0%	3.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.9%	1.7%	0.0%
Citations	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>578</i>	<i>120</i>	<i>57</i>

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Gambling, Judicial Process, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating Against a Victim/Witness, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2017-2019*

Year	Placement	Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2019	Pre-D	89.4%	10.6%	591	16.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	129	33.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	53	38.7
FY 2018	Pre-D	86.0%	14.0%	653	17.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	174	26.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	44	34.0
FY 2017	Pre-D	86.8%	13.2%	872	12.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	165	19.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	37	29.2

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School

Superintendent: Danjuma Gaskins

Rated Capacity: 72 Males

Region II: Central


9700 Old Harford Road

Parkville, MD 21234

410-663-7700


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

ADMISSIONS, FY 2017-2019



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2019



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	71.9%	77.2%	76.0%
White	22.9%	19.5%	17.1%
Hispanic/Other	5.2%	3.3%	7.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
12	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%
13	5.4%	2.5%	4.7%
14	12.7%	12.8%	9.4%
15	22.2%	20.4%	22.9%
16	22.9%	24.9%	19.1%
17	24.4%	23.7%	30.8%
18-20	11.4%	14.4%	13.0%
Total Admissions	537	514	445

Source of Admissions (FY 2019):

- 98.7% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 3 were from Washington, D.C., and 1 each was from Delaware, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 17.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 13.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 19.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 12.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D admissions decreased 21.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 2.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Ejection admissions increased 14.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 25.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 2.3 percentage points between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 4.3 percentage points between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 3.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 0.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D ALOS increased 1.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 8.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- ALOS for ejections increased 1.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 19.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2017-2019:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 28.9% to 31.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 17.9% to 22.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 49.6% to 43.0%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 16.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 19.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2019*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.9%	3.1%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	10.9%	2.8%	3.1%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	9.9%	6.5%	9.4%
Carjacking	5.2%	8.3%	6.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	1.9%	3.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	0.9%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	9.4%	4.6%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.6%	3.7%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.9%	6.5%	3.1%
Manslaughter	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	4.9%	1.9%	9.4%
Narcotics Possession	1.6%	0.9%	3.1%
Other / Missing ¹	4.7%	2.8%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	9.4%	12.0%	9.4%
Second Degree Assault	16.4%	19.4%	31.2%
Sex Offense	1.6%	0.9%	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.9%	1.9%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.2%	18.5%	12.5%
Trespassing	3.9%	1.9%	3.1%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.6%	0.9%	3.1%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	31.8%	33.3%	25.0%
Felony	22.4%	8.3%	15.6%
- Person-to-Person	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	14.8%	4.6%	3.1%
- Drugs	4.9%	1.9%	9.4%
- Unspecified	0.0%	1.9%	3.1%
Misdemeanor	43.0%	58.3%	59.4%
- Person-to-Person	22.1%	25.9%	31.2%
- Property	18.5%	30.6%	21.9%
- Drugs	0.8%	0.9%	3.1%
- Unspecified	1.6%	0.9%	3.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	384	108	32

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Gambling, Judicial Process, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating Against a Victim/Witness, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2017-2019*

Year	Placement	Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2019	Pre-D	83.3%	16.7%	394	19.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	111	22.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	32	28.4
FY 2018	Pre-D	87.7%	12.3%	432	19.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	110	24.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	46	35.2
FY 2017	Pre-D	81.0%	19.0%	469	19.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	144	22.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	25	28.0

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Cheltenham Youth Detention Center

Superintendent: Andre Mullings

Rated Capacity: 72 Males

Region VI: Metro


11003 Frank Tippet Road

Cheltenham, MD 20623

301-782-2400


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117). As of November 17, 2016, the facility changed from Cheltenham Youth Facility, which had a capacity of 115 beds.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2017-2019



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2019



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	72.3%	74.4%	75.7%
White	19.3%	15.7%	17.2%
Hispanic/Other	8.4%	9.9%	7.2%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
12	1.0%	0.0%	1.2%
13	3.9%	3.6%	2.0%
14	8.7%	12.4%	10.2%
15	20.6%	19.1%	20.7%
16	18.8%	26.8%	24.3%
17	24.9%	24.1%	25.4%
18-20	22.2%	13.8%	16.2%
Total Admissions	618	523	489

• Source of Admissions (FY 2019):

- 90.2% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 46 were from Washington, D.C., and 1 each was from South Carolina and Virginia.

• Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 20.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 6.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 23.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 6.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D admissions decreased 33.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 19.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Ejection admissions increased 5.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 2.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 6.1 percentage points between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 3.2 percentage points between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 15.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 24.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D ALOS increased 34.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 32.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 9.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 21.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2017-2019

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 36.7% to 44.2%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 11.3% to 12.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 44.7% to 39.1%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 8.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 0.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2019*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	4.5%	4.9%	5.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	5.6%	1.6%	5.0%
Carjacking	4.8%	8.1%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.5%	7.3%	2.5%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	1.6%	2.5%
Disturbing the Peace	0.8%	1.6%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	12.1%	1.6%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.3%	3.3%	5.0%
Kidnapping	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.3%	2.4%	5.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	0.8%	2.5%
Murder	0.5%	2.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.5%	1.6%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	4.8%	3.3%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Robbery	19.4%	29.3%	12.5%
Second Degree Assault	18.4%	16.3%	27.5%
Sex Offense	2.8%	2.4%	5.0%
Theft Felony	2.8%	2.4%	5.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.6%	4.9%	17.5%
Trespassing	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.5%	1.6%	5.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	44.2%	42.3%	12.5%
Felony	12.4%	17.1%	15.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.5%	1.6%	2.5%
- Property	7.3%	7.3%	10.0%
- Drugs	2.0%	0.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	7.3%	2.5%
Misdemeanor	39.1%	40.7%	72.5%
- Person-to-Person	24.5%	26.8%	40.0%
- Property	12.1%	9.8%	27.5%
- Drugs	1.0%	1.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.5%	2.4%	5.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>3.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	396	123	40

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Gambling, Judicial Process, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating Against a Victim/Witness, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2017-2019*

		Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2019	Pre-D	84.6%	15.4%	395	17.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	127	28.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	36	31.4
FY 2018	Pre-D	87.8%	12.2%	413	14.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	149	21.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	40	40.0
FY 2017	Pre-D	78.4%	21.6%	537	15.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	185	21.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	39	34.6

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center

Superintendent: Derrick Witherspoon

Rated Capacity: 18 Males and 6 Females

Region IV: Eastern Shore


405 Naylor Mill Road

Salisbury, MD 21801

443-523-1526


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

ADMISSIONS, FY 2017-2019



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2019



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	72.9%	76.2%	73.9%
White	23.4%	19.9%	21.9%
Hispanic/Other	3.7%	3.8%	4.2%
Sex			
Male	80.9%	79.3%	75.6%
Female	19.1%	20.7%	24.4%
Age			
11 and under	0.3%	1.5%	2.1%
12	1.0%	1.9%	4.9%
13	4.3%	4.2%	8.1%
14	13.7%	12.6%	12.4%
15	22.4%	19.2%	22.6%
16	24.7%	27.2%	20.8%
17	24.1%	24.1%	22.3%
18-20	9.4%	9.2%	6.7%
Total Admissions	299	261	283

• Source of Admissions (FY 2019):

- 98.6% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 2 were from Delaware, and 1 each was from Washington, D.C. and Ohio.

• Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 5.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 8.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Pre-D admissions increased 1.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 9.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D admissions decreased 14.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 1.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Ejection admissions decreased 15.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 14.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 2.1 percentage points between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 0.1 percentage points between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 9.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 0.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D ALOS increased 4.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 12.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- ALOS for ejections increased 8.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 43.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2017-2019:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 23.8% to 21.1%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 14.3% to 15.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 55.3% to 57.1%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 5.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 5.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- FY 2019 Pre-D ADP for males was 8.8 and for females was 2.7.
- FY 2019 Post-D ADP for males was 1.7 and for females was 0.6.
- FY 2019 Ejection ADP for males was 1.2 and for females was 0.3.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2019*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	5.7%	7.7%	6.2%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.3%	3.8%	6.2%
Carjacking	2.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	0.0%	6.2%
Disturbing the Peace	3.6%	13.5%	12.5%
First Degree Assault	6.9%	1.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.6%	1.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.5%	5.8%	12.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	9.7%	3.8%	6.2%
Resisting Arrest	2.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Robbery	3.2%	3.8%	12.5%
Second Degree Assault	21.5%	21.2%	25.0%
Sex Offense	3.6%	3.8%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.8%	3.8%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.9%	13.5%	12.5%
Trespassing	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	21.1%	13.5%	12.5%
Felony	15.4%	25.0%	6.2%
- Person-to-Person	3.6%	5.8%	0.0%
- Property	8.5%	13.5%	6.2%
- Drugs	3.2%	1.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	57.1%	61.5%	81.2%
- Person-to-Person	32.8%	38.5%	50.0%
- Property	20.2%	21.2%	31.2%
- Drugs	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.6%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	247	52	16

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Gambling, Judicial Process, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating Against a Victim/Witness, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2017-2019*

Year	Placement	Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2019	Pre-D	91.9%	8.1%	245	16.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	56	17.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	18	32.6
FY 2018	Pre-D	92.0%	8.0%	218	16.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	53	20.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	14	22.7
FY 2017	Pre-D	89.8%	10.2%	247	15.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	61	16.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	22	30.1

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center

Superintendent: Lisa Steeple

Rated Capacity: 42 Females

Region V: Southern


375 Red Clay Road, S.W.

Laurel, MD 20724

301-362-6160


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

ADMISSIONS, FY 2017-2019



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2019



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.9%	74.2%	70.3%
White	27.4%	18.3%	21.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.8%	7.5%	8.2%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%
12	0.6%	0.6%	1.5%
13	3.3%	3.0%	6.3%
14	13.1%	15.3%	11.2%
15	17.0%	23.4%	20.8%
16	29.8%	22.2%	21.6%
17	22.9%	21.6%	27.1%
18-20	13.4%	13.5%	11.2%
Total Admissions	336	333	269

Source of Admissions (FY 2019):

- 96.3% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 5 were from Washington, D.C.; 2 were from Virginia; and 1 each was from Colorado, North Carolina and New York.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 19.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 19.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 15.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 19.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D admissions decreased 14.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 34.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Ejection admissions decreased 31.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 22.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 2.0 percentage points between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 1.2 percentage points between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 15.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 29.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D ALOS increased 7.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 48.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- ALOS for ejections increased 51.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 15.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2017-2019

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 19.7% to 23.3%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 12.1% to 15.5%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 64.5% to 55.5%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 4.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2018 and increased 16.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2019*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.1%	7.5%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.5%	1.5%	9.1%
Carjacking	4.5%	4.5%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	1.5%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.7%	11.9%	27.3%
First Degree Assault	7.8%	4.5%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%	1.5%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.6%	3.0%	9.1%
Other / Missing ¹	6.9%	3.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	6.1%	3.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	31.8%	20.9%	54.5%
Sex Offense	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	4.1%	3.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	5.3%	17.9%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.8%	9.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	23.3%	14.9%	9.1%
Felony	15.5%	16.4%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.0%	1.5%	0.0%
- Property	10.2%	10.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	4.5%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	55.5%	68.7%	90.9%
- Person-to-Person	38.8%	37.3%	81.8%
- Property	14.7%	19.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.2%	3.0%	9.1%
- Unspecified	0.8%	9.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>4.5%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>245</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>11</i>

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Gambling, Judicial Process, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating Against a Victim/Witness, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2017-2019*

Year	Placement	Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2019	Pre-D	81.6%	18.4%	261	19.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	66	33.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	12	46.6
FY 2018	Pre-D	82.8%	17.2%	291	15.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	51	22.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	10	55.0
FY 2017	Pre-D	79.7%	20.3%	293	17.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	82	31.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	15	30.8

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Western Maryland Children's Center

Superintendent: Mark Bishop


Rated Capacity: 24 Males

Region III: Western

18420 Roxbury Road
Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-745-6071


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 116-117).

ADMISSIONS, FY 2017-2019



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2019



ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS*, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	59.9%	59.8%	51.6%
White	33.5%	31.0%	35.7%
Hispanic/Other	6.7%	9.2%	12.7%
Sex			
Male	98.1%	97.9%	97.3%
Female	1.9%	2.1%	2.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
12	0.7%	2.1%	0.9%
13	1.5%	7.9%	6.3%
14	10.4%	14.2%	12.7%
15	24.5%	14.2%	14.0%
16	31.2%	23.4%	28.5%
17	19.7%	28.5%	25.8%
18-20	11.5%	9.2%	11.8%
Total Admissions	269	239	221

*Although the facility is for males only, females have been on rare occasions temporarily admitted in emergencies.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

• Source of Admissions (FY 2019):

- 99.5% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 1 was from West Virginia.

• Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 17.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 7.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 11.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 0.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D admissions decreased 29.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 8.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Ejection admissions decreased 42.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 21.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 7.2 percentage points between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 6.6 percentage points between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 7.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 18.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 19.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 24.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- ALOS for ejections increased 22.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 11.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2017-2019:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 24.3% to 21.2%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 16.5% to 10.9%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 56.0% to 58.5%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 10.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 4.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2019*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	5.2%	4.4%	4.5%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.4%	6.7%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.5%	0.0%	4.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	8.3%	8.9%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	7.8%	4.4%	9.1%
Handgun Violation	3.1%	4.4%	4.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.2%	11.1%	9.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.7%	8.9%	0.0%
Other / Missing ¹	8.8%	2.2%	4.5%
Resisting Arrest	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	4.7%	6.7%	22.7%
Second Degree Assault	15.0%	13.3%	27.3%
Sex Offense	1.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.1%	0.0%	4.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.3%	15.6%	9.1%
Trespassing	2.1%	2.2%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	21.2%	13.3%	36.4%
Felony	10.9%	8.9%	9.1%
- Person-to-Person	2.6%	2.2%	0.0%
- Property	7.3%	4.4%	9.1%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	58.5%	77.8%	54.5%
- Person-to-Person	32.1%	31.1%	36.4%
- Property	17.1%	35.6%	18.2%
- Drugs	3.1%	8.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	6.2%	2.2%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>193</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>22</i>

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Forgery, Fraud, Gambling, Judicial Process, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating Against a Victim/Witness, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019



AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2017-2019*

Year	Placement	Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2019	Pre-D	71.0%	29.0%	192	19.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	49	11.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	24	18.5
FY 2018	Pre-D	64.4%	35.6%	193	16.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	51	14.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	27	16.5
FY 2017	Pre-D	63.8%	36.2%	225	18.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	61	13.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	40	15.1

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Alternatives to Detention (ATD)

DJS has a variety of programs designed to safely supervise youth in the community as an alternative to detention. ATDs include Community Detention (CD) - with or without Electronic/GPS Monitoring (EM), and Day & Evening Reporting Centers (D/ERC). In addition, DJS collaborates with private programs to provide additional alternative programming. These programs include the Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Transition Center (PACT) and Hearts and Homes for Youth, Inc., which operates a D/ERC in Montgomery County. Shelter beds may also serve as an ATD in circumstances where release-eligible youth are unable to return home. ATD programs are used either by DJS intake staff for medium-risk youth presented for detention (placements must be reviewed by the juvenile court the next court day) or by the juvenile court directly. These alternatives allow youth to continue with school or work and maintain community ties, support systems, or alternative care. Youth on ATD supervision are expected to appear for scheduled hearings and not have any new arrests.

ADMISSIONS (ADM), ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM TYPE AND REGION, FY 2019⁺

Region of Residence	ADM	ADP	ALOS*
R-I - Baltimore City	838	67.0	27.5
CD	136	8.0	21.3
CD/EM	476	38.5	28.6
D/ERC	141	9.2	22.8
PACT	54	9.6	48.5
Shelter Care	31	1.8	20.8
R-II - Central	367	31.4	30.6
CD	54	1.9	14.7
CD/EM	288	27.4	33.4
Shelter Care	25	2.0	32.6
R-III - Western	182	13.2	26.6
CD	1	0.0	2.8
CD/EM	169	12.3	26.7
Shelter Care	12	0.9	27.4
R-IV - Eastern	236	21.5	33.1
CD	25	1.5	21.6
CD/EM	205	19.4	34.2
Shelter Care (Seasonal)	6	0.7	41.8
R-V - Southern	214	19.8	34.2
CD	17	1.0	24.3
CD/EM	193	18.5	35.3
Shelter Care	4	0.2	22.7
R-VI - Metro	354	38.8	43.7
CD	38	1.9	18.8
CD/EM	187	20.1	43.0
D/ERC	93	14.0	60.4
Shelter Care	36	2.8	31.1
Out-of-State	48	3.8	31.8
Total CD**	271	14.3	19.7
Total CD/EM**	1,562	139.9	32.7
Total D/ERC	234	23.2	37.5
Total PACT	54	9.6	48.5
Total Shelter Care**	118	8.5	28.1

⁺Youth can be in multiple ATDs simultaneously

* ALOS is measured in days

**Totals include out-of-state youth (see page 135 for breakdown)

- *Region of Residence (FY 2019):*
 - Over half (51.3%) of ATD program youth came from Baltimore City and Central Regions combined.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) FY 2017-FY 2019:*
 - CD/EM decreased from 33.8 days to 32.7 days.
 - D/ERC decreased from 40.9 days to 37.5 days.
 - PACT decreased from 52.3 days to 48.5 days.
 - Shelter Care increased from 20.7 days to 28.1 days.
- *Average Daily Population (ADP) FY 2017-FY 2019:*
 - CD/EM decreased 25.0%.
 - D/ERC decreased 7.2%.
 - PACT increased 44.8%.
 - Shelter Care decreased 56.7%.
- *ATD Program Youth:*
 - The total number of ATD youth decreased 25.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 10.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- *Most Serious Offense Trends FY 2017-2019:*
 - CD and CD/EM: the percentage of crimes of violence decreased from 32.5% to 31.2%; the percentage of felonies increased from 19.7% to 21.0%.
 - D/ERC: the percentage of crimes of violence decreased from 43.9% to 39.7%; the percentage of felonies increased from 21.1% to 30.3%.
 - PACT: the percentage of crimes of violence decreased from 37.3% to 31.5%; the percentage of felonies increased from 47.5% to 51.9%.
 - Shelter Care: the percentage of crimes of violence increased from 21.1% to 30.5%; the percentage of felonies decreased from 23.0% to 16.1%.
- See page 134 and 135 for specific program names and locations as well as Admissions, ADP, and ALOS data by Program Type and County for FY 2019.

ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE*, FY 2019


Most Serious Alleged Offense	CD & CD/EM**	D/ERC	PACT	Shelter Care
Arson	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	6.6%	9.0%	9.3%	5.1%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.6%	5.6%	1.9%	2.5%
Carjacking	3.7%	3.4%	3.7%	0.8%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.8%
Disturbing the Peace	2.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.8%
First Degree Assault-Felony	9.0%	9.4%	3.7%	10.2%
Handgun Violation	3.5%	2.1%	1.9%	2.5%
Malicious Destruction	3.5%	2.1%	0.0%	5.1%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.8%
Murder	0.4%	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	8.9%	16.7%	27.8%	8.5%
Narcotics Possession	3.5%	2.1%	1.9%	2.5%
Other ¹	4.5%	0.4%	1.9%	5.1%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	11.7%	21.8%	22.2%	16.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misd	15.3%	10.7%	3.7%	22.0%
Sex Offense	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%	4.2%
Theft	9.7%	7.7%	18.5%	11.0%
Trespassing	1.2%	1.7%	0.0%	0.8%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdem.	1.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.8%
Total Admissions	1,703	234	54	118

* A single admission may involve multiple offenses.

¹ "Other" includes affray, alcoholic beverage violation, BB/pellet gun, cruelty to animals, destructive devices, electronic mail abuse, escape, false report, false alarm, forgery, gambling, judicial process influence/intimidate kidnapping, railroad obstructing, reckless endangerment, retaliating against a victim/witness, rioting, runaway, telephone misuse, threat of mass violence, tobacco violation, truant, ungovernable, and violation of local ordinance

** Admissions counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including CD alone and CD with EM.

YOUTH COUNT BY REGION OF RESIDENCE*, FY 2019



* Includes CD, CD/EM, D/ERC, PACT, and Shelter Care

ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE CATEGORY*, FY 2019

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Alleged Offense)	CD & CD/EM**	D/ERC	PACT	Shelter Care
Crime of Violence⁺	31.2%	39.7%	31.5%	30.5%
Felony	21.0%	30.3%	51.9%	16.1%
- Person-to-Person	1.8%	0.4%	3.7%	1.7%
- Property	9.9%	12.0%	20.4%	5.9%
- Drugs	8.9%	16.7%	27.8%	8.5%
- Unspecified	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	43.6%	28.2%	16.7%	47.5%
- Person-to-Person	26.1%	16.7%	5.6%	27.1%
- Property	14.4%	9.8%	9.3%	17.8%
- Drugs	1.6%	0.9%	1.9%	1.7%
- Unspecified	1.5%	0.9%	0.0%	0.8%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%
Citations	2.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.8%
CINS	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%
Interstate/Missing	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	1,703	234	54	118

* A single admission may involve multiple offenses.

⁺ See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

** Admissions counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including both CD alone and CD with EM.

YOUTH COUNT BY DEMOGRAPHICS*, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	76.5%	77.9%	79.2%
White	17.9%	16.6%	14.8%
Hispanic/Other	5.6%	5.5%	6.0%
Sex			
Male	83.2%	84.1%	84.9%
Female	16.8%	15.9%	15.1%
Age			
11 and under	0.3%	0.5%	0.9%
12	1.5%	1.0%	2.1%
13	5.9%	4.8%	6.3%
14	12.6%	12.7%	10.5%
15	20.8%	20.9%	21.5%
16	23.0%	25.5%	24.2%
17	28.5%	26.3%	26.5%
18-20	7.3%	8.3%	8.0%
Total Youth¹	1,854	1,548	1,391

* Includes CD, CD/EM, D/ERC, PACT, and Shelter Care

¹ Total represents a count of youth served, since juveniles may be served by multiple ATD programs.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION AND SHELTER CARE BY REGION, FY 2019

Region I - Baltimore City	Location
Baltimore City Community Detention	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Evening Reporting Center	Baltimore City
Baltimore City PACT Center	Baltimore City
Region II - Central	
Baltimore County Community Detention	Baltimore Co.
Baltimore County Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore Co.
Carroll County Community Detention	Carroll
Carroll County Electronic Monitoring	Carroll
Children's Home (Group Home)*	Baltimore Co.
Harford County Community Detention	Harford
Harford County Electronic Monitoring	Harford
Howard County Community Detention	Howard
Howard County Electronic Monitoring	Howard
MAGIC- Unity Home for Girls (Group Home)*	Baltimore Co.
The Board of Child Care - Sh. Term High Inten. GH*	Baltimore Co.
Region III - Western	
Allegany County Community Detention	Allegany
Allegany County Electronic Monitoring	Allegany
Dallas and Mary Bunch Shelter Care	Allegany
Frederick County Community Detention	Frederick
Frederick County Electronic Monitoring	Frederick
Garrett County Community Detention	Garrett
Garrett County Electronic Monitoring	Garrett
John and Nancy Castle - Shelter	Washington
Joseph and Debra McCarney - Shelter	Washington
Pressley Ridge - Treatment Foster Care (Shelter)	Allegany
The Maryland Salem Children's Trust Shelter, Inc	Garrett
Washington County Community Detention	Washington
Washington County Electronic Monitoring	Washington

*This facility, which is a group home, is used for short-term or shelter placements as needed and based on bed availability.

Region IV - Eastern Shore	Location
Caroline County Community Detention	Caroline
Caroline County Electronic Monitoring	Caroline
Cecil County Community Detention	Cecil
Cecil County Electronic Monitoring	Cecil
DJS Assessment Unit - Eastern Shore	Worcester
Dorchester County Community Detention	Dorchester
Dorchester County Electronic Monitoring	Dorchester
Kent County Community Detention	Kent
Kent County Electronic Monitoring	Kent
Queen Anne's County Community Detention	Qn. Anne's
Queen Anne's County Electronic Monitoring	Qn. Anne's
Somerset County Community Detention	Somerset
Somerset County Electronic Monitoring	Somerset
Talbot County Community Detention	Talbot
Talbot County Electronic Monitoring	Talbot
Wicomico County Community Detention	Wicomico
Wicomico County Electronic Monitoring	Wicomico
Worcester County Community Detention	Worcester
Worcester County Electronic Monitoring	Worcester
Region V - Southern	
Anne Arundel County Community Detention	An. Arundel
Anne Arundel County Electronic Monitoring	An. Arundel
Calvert County Community Detention	Calvert
Calvert County Electronic Monitoring	Calvert
Charles County Community Detention	Charles
Charles County Electronic Monitoring	Charles
St. Mary's County Community Detention	St. Mary's
St. Mary's County Electronic Monitoring	St. Mary's
Region VI - Metro	
Hearts & Homes for Youth, Inc (Kemp Mill GH)*ERC	Montgomery
Montgomery County Community Detention	Montgomery
Montgomery County Electronic Monitoring	Montgomery
Montgomery County Evening Reporting Center	Montgomery
Prince George's County Community Detention	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Electronic Monitoring	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Evening Reporting Center	Pr. George's

ADMISSIONS (ADM), ADP, AND ALOS FOR ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION BY REGION/COUNTY*, FY 2019

Region/ County	CD ¹			EM ¹			D/ERC			PACT			Shelter		
	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS
R-I- Balt. City	136	8.0	21.3	476	38.5	28.6	141	9.2	22.8	54	9.6	48.5	31	1.8	20.8
Baltimore City	136	8.0	21.3	476	38.5	28.6	141	9.2	22.8	54	9.6	48.5	31	1.8	20.8
R-II- Central	54	1.9	14.7	288	27.4	33.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	25	2.0	32.6
Baltimore Co.	41	1.5	15.0	169	16.9	33.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	19	1.5	24.9
Carroll	1	0.0	0.8	14	1.2	35.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	210.1
Harford	10	0.4	15.9	46	4.1	32.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Howard	2	0.0	8.9	59	5.2	32.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	6	0.5	29.9
R-III- Western	1	0.0	2.8	169	12.3	26.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	12	0.9	27.4
Allegany	0	0.0	0.0	16	1.4	27.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.2	18.4
Frederick	0	0.0	0.0	86	6.2	26.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.0
Garrett	0	0.0	0.0	6	0.5	37.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Washington	1	0.0	2.8	61	4.1	25.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	8	0.7	30.4
R-IV- Eastern	25	1.5	21.6	205	19.4	34.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	6	0.7	41.8
Caroline	2	0.3	36.5	1	0.3	56.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Cecil	10	0.5	17.9	24	1.5	23.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	13.0
Dorchester	6	0.5	27.7	31	3.5	42.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.1	0.0
Kent	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	1	0.0	13.9	2	0.2	20.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	13.8
Somerset	0	0.0	0.0	17	1.8	36.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.1	18.9
Talbot	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.3	47.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Wicomico	6	0.3	16.5	96	8.0	30.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.4	61.8
Worcester	0	0.0	0.0	32	3.8	44.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
R-V- Southern	17	1.0	24.3	193	18.5	35.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.2	22.7
Anne Arundel	3	0.2	34.9	90	7.4	30.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Calvert	9	0.5	21.0	22	1.6	25.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Charles	0	0.0	0.0	42	5.1	45.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.1	18.0
St. Mary's	5	0.3	21.3	39	4.4	39.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.2	27.4
R-VI- Metro	38	1.9	18.8	187	20.1	43.0	93	14.0	60.4	0	0.0	0.0	36	2.8	31.1
Montgomery	13	0.7	17.9	76	9.2	44.6	22	4.8	75.1	0	0.0	0.0	14	1.8	48.7
Prince George's	25	1.2	19.2	111	10.9	41.9	71	9.2	56.7	0	0.0	0.0	22	1.1	18.2
Out of State	0	0.0	0.0	44	3.7	34.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.1	0.1
Statewide	271	14.3	19.7	1,562	139.9	32.7	234	23.2	37.5	54	9.6	48.5	118	8.5	28.1

*ADP may not add to total due to rounding.

¹The offense tables on the other ATD pages report CD/EM combined, so if a youth is transferred from CD to EM or vice versa, the youth is counted as one admission. This table separates out CD from EM, so the sum of this total will be slightly higher than the offense table totals presented on the other ATD pages.

Section IV: Committed Programs



Youth from J. DeWeese Carter Center visited the Sassafra Environmental Education Center where they had the opportunity to learn about wildlife, food, and the benefits of nature. The young women enjoyed a canoe trip as well as a hike to explore the area.


Introduction to Committed Programs

The DJS Division of Operations is responsible for selecting the most appropriate out-of-home placement for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS by the juvenile court. Program placements vary based on the treatment services provided, as well as by security level. The full range of DJS placement options include: (a) Foster Care Homes (traditional and treatment foster care); (b) Group Homes (general service and therapeutic, as well as Intermediate Care Centers for Addictions (ICFAs)); (c) Independent Living Programs/ Alternative Living Units (often used as a step-down from a more restrictive program placement); (d) Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) and non-Medicaid Residential Treatment Facilities (out-of-state); (e) Behavioral Programs, e.g. DJS-operated Youth Centers (including the Green Ridge Mountain Quest program); (f) out-of-state programs in a staff secure setting; and (g) hardware-secure treatment programs, both DJS-operated and privately contracted out-of-state.

DJS has established three levels of residential program placements based largely on the level of program restrictiveness (see Figure below). Level I includes all programs where youth reside in a community setting and attend community schools. Level II includes programs where educational programming is provided on-grounds and youth movement and freedom are restricted primarily by staff monitoring and supervising. Level III programs provide the highest level of security by augmenting staff supervision with physical attributes of the facility, i.e., locks, bars and fences.

PLACEMENT PROCESS

The DJS placement process is designed to select the most appropriate program and treatment services for committed youth. The process begins after adjudication with a comprehensive assessment of each



CAPACITY, ADP, AND SECURITY TYPE FOR DJS-OPERATED COMMITTED FACILITIES¹, FY 2019

Facility	Rated Capacity	ADP	Security Type
Backbone Mountain YC	48	34.3	Staff
Green Ridge Mountain Quest	10	5.8	Staff
Green Ridge YC	30	22.8	Staff
J. DeWeese Carter Center	14	7.2	Hardware
Meadow Mountain YC	40	27.7	Staff
Savage Mountain YC**	24	3.5	Hardware
Victor Cullen Center	48	18.7	Hardware
<i>Total</i>	<i>214</i>	<i>119.9*</i>	<i>N/A</i>

¹This table does not include contracted committed programs.

*Averages may not add to totals due to rounding.

**Data reflect the closure of Savage Mountain from Sept. 2017- Dec. 2018

The utilization rate for state-operated facilities (excluding Savage Mountain) was 61.2% in FY 2019.

youth. A “staffing” meeting is then held to bring together key personnel responsible for resource and treatment service planning. Parents and/or guardians are also invited to participate. Staffing meetings are a key means of engaging families of committed youth. At the staffing meeting, all pertinent information collected as part of the assessment is reviewed, including the current offense(s), delinquency history, social history, MCASP recommended supervision level, MCASP assessment of treatment needs, educational records, clinical assessments, and the involvement of any other state agency. The staffing meeting culminates with recommendations to the juvenile court for program participation and/or treatment services tailored to the circumstances of each youth. After court disposition, DJS refers each case to the recommended program(s) for consideration. For youth in the community at the time of adjudication, the staffing is conducted in the local DJS office. For detained youth, a MAST staffing takes place in the detention center (see description below). A re-entry staffing meeting also is scheduled to plan for the release and aftercare of committed youth.

Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST): MAST is a specialized diagnostic team responsible for assessing youth who are detained pending court disposition and are at risk of placement. The MAST includes a psychologist, social worker, substance abuse counselor, community case manager, detention facility case manager supervisor, resource specialist, and a Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) representative. Youth who require more specialized assessments (e.g., a neurological, psycho-sexual, or medical assessment) are referred for evaluation, and the results are presented during a

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



Youth at Green Ridge Youth Center participated in a service learning project with MSDE where they created 10 fleece blankets that were donated to children placed in foster care.

MAST staffing. Following this in-depth review, the team submits a report for the juvenile court to consider at disposition, including security and treatment recommendations.

DJS contracts with an array of programs, both in and out-of-state, to provide services to committed youth. Programs may either accept or reject an applicant based on program eligibility criteria or capacity. Program services are authorized by DJS prior to the youth's placement in the program. A Certificate of Placement (COP) database is used to manage the referral and placement of youth with private providers. The database facilitates the placement process by automatically pulling staffing information from the DJS information system (ASSIST), creating program referral letters, and tracking all acceptances and rejections from potential programs.

Central Review Committee (CRC): The initial committed program placement may not be successful for a number of reasons. Some youth may run away from their placement or are ejected due to misbehavior. Additionally, the behavioral, emotional, and/or medical needs of the youth may change. To handle these cases, DJS established the CRC. Chaired by the Director of Behavioral Health, the CRC conducts weekly case reviews of youth who are at risk of removal from a committed residential placement; directs changes in the provision of services; and makes placement transfer recommendations. The CRC was originally established by DJS in 2012, after legislation was passed granting DJS the authority to transfer youth to a higher security level without first requesting the court to modify the commitment order. That legislation expired in 2016; however, the CRC continues to meet regularly to manage the department's ejection cases, and requests for court order modification are made in those cases requiring increased security.

SERVICES PROVIDED IN DJS-OPERATED COMMITTED PROGRAMS

Youth served in a DJS-operated committed program receive a multitude of services, including behavioral and somatic health, and education.

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

DJS Behavioral Health Services (BHS) provides integrated behavioral health treatment in DJS-operated, committed facilities. Most youth receive TAMAR-Y, which combines psychoeducation with cognitive behavioral interventions and expressive art therapy. START, which is based on ART, is an evidence-based cognitive behavioral program provided in all committed facilities. Behavioral Health Services include: substance abuse services, suicide assessment and prevention, crisis intervention and stabilization, medication evaluation and monitoring, individual and group therapy, and family therapy when appropriate. As staff of the facility, BHS staff and contractors provide support, technical assistance, and educational services to the other facility staff on topics related to mental health concerns and medication.


Trauma Informed Care (TIC): TIC has been implemented in DJS committed facilities since March 2017. The National Association for the States of Mental Health Program Directors (NASMHPD) trained all DJS committed facility staff in a TIC model that was originally developed for the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), a nationally recognized leader in Trauma Informed Care. TIC teaches staff to recognize the signs and symptoms of trauma, as well as common reactions to trauma. It also teaches staff about the impact of trauma on the developing brain, and how it impacts the behaviors, reactions, and lives of youth. Through training with the NASMHPD trauma trainers, DJS staff have developed and implemented specific strategies to help youth manage their reactions to trauma triggers and facilities have developed more trauma-informed environments.

Substance Abuse Services: All DJS committed facilities offer Seven Challenges substance abuse treatment, an evidence-based program implemented in 2009. Seven Challenges adopts a decision-making model, encouraging youth to make a well-informed decision by weighing the costs (e.g., physical, psychological, and social harm) and benefits of drug use.

The level of substance abuse treatment services provided by each facility is shown below:

- Backbone Mountain Youth Center: Early Intervention, Outpatient, Intensive Outpatient, and Residential Low Intensity
- Green Ridge Youth Center: Early Intervention, Outpatient, Intensive Outpatient, and Residential Low Intensity
- J. DeWeese Carter Center: Early Intervention and Outpatient

COMMITTED POPULATION ADP*, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED, FY 2013-2022**



*ADP includes all state-operated programs as well as in- and out-of-state private committed programs.

** Projected values were generated by the average of an ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model and an exponential smoothing model.

ADP is projected to decrease 12.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2022.

- Meadow Mountain Youth Center: Intensive Outpatient and Residential Medium Intensity
- Savage Mountain Youth Center: Early Intervention, Outpatient, Intensive Outpatient, and Residential Medium Intensity
- Victor Cullen Center: Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient

DJS is seeking accreditation through the Council on Accreditation (COA) at the 4 previously certified facilities (Backbone Mountain Youth Center, Green Ridge Youth Center, Meadow Mountain Youth Center, and Savage Mountain Youth Center) and adding J. DeWeese Carter Center and Victor Cullen Center for ASAM Levels: Early Intervention, Outpatient, Intensive Outpatient, Residential Low Intensity, and Residential Medium Intensity at each committed facility.

Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS): Implemented in all committed, DJS-operated facilities (see table on page 139) since January 2018, PBIS is an evidence-based framework that is designed to facilitate the development of pro-social youth behavior and maintain order and security within facilities. For DJS, the PBIS framework includes a behavior modification program, STARR, which is grounded in the principles of positive reinforcement and modeling. The STARR program helps youth learn problem-solving and leadership skills, as well as accept responsibility for their behavior. Facility staff are trained to teach and model problem-solving and social skills.

SOMATIC HEALTH

The Somatic Health Services Unit provides comprehensive, quality health care and nutritional services to youth at DJS residential facilities. Its mission is to protect, promote, and advance the health of all youth in the care of the Department.

Medical, dental, optometry, and dietary services are provided in an interdisciplinary fashion that is developmentally appropriate for youth. These services are delivered in accordance with standards set forth by the National Commission on Correctional Health Care, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Centers for Disease Control, and the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academy of Sciences.

Youth admitted to DJS residential facilities require ongoing, routine preventative health care similar to other children their age. In some cases, youth may have unmet or chronic health care needs upon admission, or may develop an acute health condition that needs to be addressed. DJS ensures that each program



Youth celebrating with a family member at Victor Cullen Center's Family Day held in September 2018.

has licensed nurses, physicians, dieticians, dental providers, laboratory and pharmacy services to meet the health care needs of the youth. For specialty, surgical, emergency, or in-patient care that may be required, youth are transported to community providers as needed.

Each youth must have a current nursing assessment, history and physical examination, vision screening, and dental screening upon admission or soon thereafter. Youth are also screened for tuberculosis, anemia, and sexually transmitted infections. Girls are provided comprehensive gynecological care either on-site or in the community in order to meet individual needs.

Vaccine records are requested on all youth, and DJS makes every effort to fully immunize youth who are not up to date on recommended and required vaccinations. DJS participates in the Federal Vaccines for Children Program.

Youth are seen at regularly scheduled clinic times and as needed for “sick call” requests. In addition, they are seen at least monthly by nursing staff to check on their overall health status and weight.

EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

Educational services are provided to youth by the Maryland State Department of Education, Juvenile Services Education System (MSDE JSES). JSES provides youth residing in all of the department’s residential facilities with the ability to earn a high school diploma via the earning of credits or passage of the GED. In addition to academics, the educational programs provide opportunities for each youth to develop career and technology skills that will further assist them when they transition back to the community.

The MSDE JSES curriculum is based on the Maryland State College and Career Readiness Standards (CCRS). The curriculum includes grade-level instruction in English, Social Studies, Mathematics, and Science. Middle school coursework and remediation for high school students are also offered in reading, written language, and math as appropriate.

Youth who have a current Individualized Education Program (IEP) are provided with the required services listed in their IEP. Additionally, eligible youth participate in mandated Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) state assessments.

DJS YOUTH CENTERS - CAREER & TECHNOLOGY AND THE GARRETT COLLEGE PROGRAMS

The DJS Youth Centers offer on-site Career and Technology programming to all participants. The two primary goals of this programming are high school completion and job skill

development. Certificates are awarded for successful completion of the program, signifying employment competency. In addition, all youth have opportunities to participate in community projects that employ their acquired skills.

The Backbone Mountain Youth Center also offers an innovative program that provides youth with the unique opportunity to be simultaneously enrolled in high school classes and introductory college courses through Garrett College of Maryland. Youth are screened through a referral, record review, and interview process for acceptance into the program. The Garrett College initiative has been operational since September 2006.

CLASSIFICATION

All DJS-operated facilities utilize the Housing Classification Assessment, an objective internal classification system to assess a youth’s potential vulnerability and supervision needs (see Appendices H and I). The results of the classification assessment are used to guide appropriate housing decisions and room assignments. The classification assessment is implemented for all youth upon admission to the facility, and it allows for reclassification in response to circumstances or special needs that may require modification of housing or room assignment. All DJS facilities have been audited by the Department of Justice and found to be fully compliant with juvenile facility standards.



Backbone Mountain youth and staff worked with Deep Creek Pottery to create their own pots and bowls. The finished products were gifted to the youth’s family/friends.

RE-ENTRY PROCESS

DJS recognizes the importance of effectively transitioning youth from placement back to the community. Youth who are released from commitment face a number of challenges in returning to daily life such as re-enrolling in school or accessing needed somatic or behavioral health services. DJS has implemented focused efforts to assist with this transition.

The re-entry process is managed by a team of regional re-entry specialists under the direction of the Executive Director of Community Supervision who oversee each youth's return to the community. A re-entry staffing, similar to MAST, is held 45 days prior to release during which the youth's housing plan, educational/occupational needs, ongoing behavioral/somatic health service requirements, and family relationships are reviewed. Families of committed youth are invited to and encouraged to participate in the re-entry planning process, which is a key area for family engagement.

After the youth has been in the community for 30 days, a DJS re-entry specialist follows up to ensure that the youth has accessed all needed


services, has successfully re-enrolled in their local public school when appropriate, and has remained in stable and suitable housing.

A Note to Readers

Placement versus Admission: A placement is based on a decision made by the Department or a judge to place a youth into a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions, and these counts will not match.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) Data: is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfers.

DJS State-Operated Committed Programs by Region




Statewide Total

The juvenile court may commit a youth to the care and custody of DJS, thereby transferring legal custody to the Department. A range of out-of-home program options have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operated six committed facilities in Maryland during FY 2019. DJS also contracts with other facilities both in state and out of state.

Note: Community-Based Family Therapy Programs are presented within this section (pages 178-179) because one of their primary goals is to divert youth from out-of-home committed placement. These data are not included on the statewide tables which only include youth in committed out-of-home placements.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.3%	72.5%	70.5%
White	21.6%	18.9%	21.1%
Hispanic/Other	9.1%	8.6%	8.3%
Sex			
Male	84.0%	88.7%	86.6%
Female	16.0%	11.3%	13.4%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%
13	3.0%	2.5%	2.7%
14	8.2%	9.6%	7.7%
15	20.6%	17.9%	17.2%
16	27.0%	29.7%	30.1%
17	26.8%	28.2%	29.6%
18-20	13.9%	11.9%	12.4%
Total Placements	987	826	767

• Trends for Committed Placements:

- Committed placements decreased 22.3% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 7.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• First-Time Placements (FY 2019):

- Of the 767 committed placements 53.8% were first-time placements. (Due to a methodology change, data may not be comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2018).

• Region of Residence (FY 2019):

- 97.3% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 15 were from Washington, D.C.; 2 were from West Virginia; 2 were from Arizona; 1 was from New York; and 1 was from Pennsylvania.

• Offense Type (FY 2019):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (21.3%).

• Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 25.1% to 26.5%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 13.2% to 15.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 60.4% to 58.1%.
- See the *Terms and Concepts* pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population (FY 2018-2019):

- ADP for all committed placements decreased 3.8% from 411.7 to 395.9.

• Average Length of Stay (FY 2018-2019):

- The average LOS for all committed placements increased 9.4% from 169.4 to 185.2.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	21.6%	25.5%	21.6%
Reg-II - Central	15.2%	18.6%	18.5%
Reg-III - Western	10.2%	9.7%	9.4%
Reg-IV - Eastern	9.1%	9.6%	10.8%
Reg-V - Southern	17.4%	16.2%	14.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	24.7%	18.8%	22.6%
Out-of-State	1.7%	1.6%	2.7%
Total Placements	987	826	767

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	0.8%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.5%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.4%
Carjacking	5.6%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.2%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%
Disturbing the Peace	3.1%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.7%
Handgun Violation	3.7%
Malicious Destruction	4.7%
Manslaughter	0.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%
Murder	0.7%
Narcotics Distribution	1.7%
Narcotics Possession	2.5%
Other/Missing ¹	2.6%
Resisting Arrest	0.7%
Robbery	12.9%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	21.3%
Sex Offense	2.5%
Theft Felony	1.6%
Theft Misdemeanor	12.5%
Trespassing	1.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.5%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	26.5%
Felony	15.1%
- Person-to-Person	2.3%
- Property	5.2%
- Drugs	1.7%
- Unspecified	5.9%
Misdemeanor	58.1%
- Person-to-Person	32.5%
- Property	20.2%
- Drugs	2.5%
- Unspecified	3.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%
Citations	0.1%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	767

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2017-2019, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2019

	Placements**			FY2019	
	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	40	31	20	14.5	172.5
Group Home*	264	203	151	76.0	172.8
Indep. Living	15	12	6	8.2	402.1
RTC	208	157	156	83.3	191.8
State Operated	406	357	344	119.9	125.0
<i>Staff Secure</i>	310	283	253	90.5	125.2
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	96	74	91	29.3	124.3
Silver Oak	71	43	48	28.0	211.2
Out-of-State	60	74	85	66.0	243.7
<i>RTC</i>	8	6	12	4.6	178.3
<i>Staff Secure</i>	37	53	53	42.4	226.3
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	16	17	21	18.9	315.8
<i>Independ. Liv.</i>	0	0	1	0.1	0.0
Total	987	826	767	395.9	185.2


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

* Data previously reported under the separate category of ICFA data were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home cannot be compared to previous DRGs.

**All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types, and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to previous DRGs due to a methodological change.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019




¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program

* Juveniles transferred to another program

Statewide Females Only

Female youth represented approximately 13% of the population of youth committed to the care and custody of the Department during FY 2019. DJS offers the same continuum of placement options to both girls and boys, with the exception of staff-secure behavioral facilities such as the DJS-operated Youth Centers. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), and the J. DeWeese Carter Center, a hardware secure, DJS-operated facility for girls. Out-of-state contracted programs are also available.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	57.6%	59.1%	61.2%
White	35.4%	31.2%	33.0%
Hispanic/Other	7.0%	9.7%	5.8%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.6%	1.1%	1.0%
13	1.3%	0.0%	3.9%
14	8.9%	8.6%	9.7%
15	13.9%	21.5%	16.5%
16	31.6%	22.6%	35.9%
17	29.1%	32.3%	19.4%
18-20	14.6%	14.0%	13.6%
Total Placements	158	93	103

• Trends for Committed Placements:

- Committed placements decreased 34.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 10.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• First-Time Placements (FY 2019):

- Of the 103 committed placements 54.4% were first-time placements. (Due to a methodology change, data may not be comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2018).

• Region of Residence (FY 2019):

- 96.1% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 2 were from Arizona; 1 was from Washington, D.C.; and 1 was from New York.

• Offense Type (FY 2019):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (36.9%).

• Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 6.5% to 12.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 5.4% to 12.6%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 82.8% to 73.8%.
- See the *Terms and Concepts* pages in the introduction section for an explanation of *Crime of Violence*, *felony*, and *misdemeanor*, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population (FY 2018-2019):

- ADP for all committed placements decreased 12.2% from 57.9 to 50.8.

• Average Length of Stay (FY 2018-2019):

- The average LOS for all committed placements decreased 6.4% from 202.2 to 189.3.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	16.5%	12.9%	16.5%
Reg-II - Central	8.2%	21.5%	18.4%
Reg-III - Western	18.4%	25.8%	13.6%
Reg-IV - Eastern	8.9%	7.5%	17.5%
Reg-V - Southern	27.2%	18.3%	17.5%
Reg-VI - Metro	19.0%	12.9%	12.6%
Out-of-State	1.9%	1.1%	3.9%
Total Placements	158	93	103

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	1.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	4.9%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	1.9%
Carjacking	4.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	2.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%
Disturbing the Peace	11.7%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.9%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.9%
Manslaughter	1.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.9%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.9%
Other/Missing ¹	2.9%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	1.9%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	36.9%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.6%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.9%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	12.6%
Felony	12.6%
- Person-to-Person	1.9%
- Property	6.8%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.9%
Misdemeanor	73.8%
- Person-to-Person	51.5%
- Property	18.4%
- Drugs	1.9%
- Unspecified	1.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	1.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>103</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

FEMALE COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2017-2019, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2019

	Placements**			FY2019	
	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	18	14	13	3.8	137.3
Group Home*	66	32	24	11.3	136.5
Indep. Living	8	5	0	4.0	438.2
RTC	62	38	37	16.1	142.5
State Operated	24	14	20	7.2	143.8
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>7.2</i>	<i>143.8</i>
Out-of-State	10	8	20	8.6	189.1
<i>RTC</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>2.3</i>	<i>191.2</i>
<i>Staff Secure</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>5.9</i>	<i>136.4</i>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>391.8</i>
<i>Independ. Liv.</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
Total	158	93	103	50.8	189.3


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

* Data previously reported under the separate category of ICFA data were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home cannot be compared to previous DRGs.

** All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types, and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to previous DRGs due to a methodological change.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019




¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program

* Juveniles transferred to another program

Statewide Under Age 13 Only

Although juvenile court jurisdiction includes youth ages 7-17, youth under age 13 are rarely committed to an out-of-home placement. Because of the needs of youth under age 13, they are most frequently placed in residential treatment centers, group homes, or therapeutic group homes. State-owned and operated committed facilities are designed for youth ages 13 years and older. Wherever placed, youth under age 13 receive all the same services that other committed youth receive.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	60.0%	50.0%	50.0%
White	20.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Hispanic/Other	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	80.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Female	20.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Age*			
8 and under	0	0	0
9	0	0	0
10	0	0	0
11	0	0	0
12	5	2	2
Total Placements	5	2	2

*Due to the small number of youth in each age category, actual numbers are presented instead of percentages.

- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
 - Committed placements decreased 60.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and did not change between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- *First-Time Placements (FY 2019):*
 - All of the committed placements were first-time placements. (Due to a methodology change, data may not be comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2018).
- *Region of Residence (FY 2019):*
 - 100% of placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from out of state.
- *Offense Type (FY 2019):*
 - The most common offense types for juveniles placed were Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (50.0%) and Sex Offense (50.0%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence did not change.
 - The percentage of Felonies did not change.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors did not change.
 - See the *Terms and Concepts* pages in the introduction section for an explanation of *Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population (FY 2018-2019):*
 - ADP for all committed placements decreased 44.4% from 2.7 to 1.5.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The average LOS for all committed placements increased 175.1% from 245.9 to 676.3.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	40.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Reg-II - Central	20.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Reg-III - Western	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Reg-V - Southern	20.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	5	2	2

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	50.0%
Sex Offense	50.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	0.0%
Felony	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	100.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>2</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

UNDER 13 COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2017-2019, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2019*

	Placements**			FY2019	
	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	0	1	0	0.2	303.0
Group Home	0	0	0	0.4	1,111.8
Indep. Living	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
RTC	3	1	2	1.0	192.9
State Operated	1	0	0	0.0	0.0
<i>Staff Secure</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
Silver Oak	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Out-of-State	1	0	0	0.0	0.0
<i>RTC</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
<i>Staff Secure</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
Total	5	2	2	1.5	676.3


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

* ADP and ALOS reflect youth who were released in FY 2019 and were under 13 years of age at the time of admission. Total ALOS excludes transfers within and between programs and/or program types; therefore, it may not be compared to the ALOS by program type provided in the table.

** All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types, and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to previous DRGs due to a methodological change.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program


* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Statewide Youth of Color Only

The data shown in this section provide additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth). Although each summary page in the Data Resource Guide Committed Section provides an overall race/ethnicity breakdown for committed placements in the Demographics table, the tables in this section provide more detailed information on placements and ADP by type and region, offense type and severity, and length of stay for youth of color as a whole.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	88.4%	89.4%	89.4%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	11.6%	10.6%	10.6%
Sex			
Male	86.8%	90.4%	88.6%
Female	13.2%	9.6%	11.4%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%
13	3.2%	2.1%	2.5%
14	7.2%	10.3%	7.3%
15	21.7%	17.5%	18.3%
16	26.7%	30.7%	30.6%
17	27.1%	28.2%	29.3%
18-20	13.4%	11.0%	11.9%
Total Placements	774	670	605

- *Trends for Committed Placements:*

- Committed placements decreased 21.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 9.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

- *First-Time Placements (FY 2019):*

- Of the 605 committed placements 54.4% were first-time placements. (Due to a methodology change, data may not be comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2018).

- *Region of Residence (FY 2019):*

- 96.5% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 15 were from Washington, D.C.; 2 were from West Virginia; 2 were from Arizona; 1 was from New York; and 1 was from Pennsylvania.

- *Offense Type (FY 2019):*

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (19.3%).

- *Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):*

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 27.2% to 30.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 13.9% to 15.9%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 58.1% to 53.2%.
- See the *Terms and Concepts* pages in the introduction section for an explanation of *Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*

- *Average Daily Population (FY 2018-2019):*

- ADP for all committed placements decreased 2.1% from 323.1 to 316.4.

- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2018-2019):*

- The average LOS for all committed placements increased 8.5% from 167.1 to 181.4.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	26.4%	30.3%	26.1%
Reg-II - Central	12.5%	16.7%	17.7%
Reg-III - Western	7.4%	7.6%	5.3%
Reg-IV - Eastern	8.8%	8.5%	9.4%
Reg-V - Southern	12.5%	13.1%	10.4%
Reg-VI - Metro	30.5%	21.9%	27.6%
Out-of-State	1.9%	1.8%	3.5%
Total Placements	774	670	605

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	0.7%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.1%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.1%
Carjacking	7.1%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.6%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.5%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.8%
Handgun Violation	4.5%
Malicious Destruction	3.3%
Manslaughter	0.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.0%
Murder	0.7%
Narcotics Distribution	2.1%
Narcotics Possession	2.0%
Other/Missing ¹	2.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.7%
Robbery	15.4%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	19.3%
Sex Offense	1.7%
Theft Felony	1.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.7%
Trespassing	1.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.3%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	30.6%
Felony	15.9%
- Person-to-Person	1.8%
- Property	4.6%
- Drugs	2.1%
- Unspecified	7.3%
Misdemeanor	53.2%
- Person-to-Person	30.4%
- Property	17.9%
- Drugs	2.0%
- Unspecified	3.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%
Citations	0.2%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>605</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

YOUTH OF COLOR COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2017-2019, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2019

	Placements**			FY2019	
	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	29	23	10	10.2	219.7
Group Home*	194	152	110	57.5	174.6
Indep. Living	13	9	5	6.5	442.3
RTC	142	112	106	58.6	193.2
State Operated	335	304	287	101.0	124.6
<i>Staff Secure</i>	<i>256</i>	<i>240</i>	<i>211</i>	<i>76.4</i>	<i>125.4</i>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>64</i>	<i>76</i>	<i>24.5</i>	<i>121.8</i>
Silver Oak	64	41	45	25.1	207.7
Out-of-State	50	67	71	57.5	238.7
<i>RTC</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>3.9</i>	<i>178.3</i>
<i>Staff Secure</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>37.6</i>	<i>230.6</i>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>15.9</i>	<i>294.4</i>
<i>Independ. Liv.</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.0</i>
Total	774	670	605	316.4	181.4


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

* Data previously reported under the separate category of ICFA data were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home cannot be compared to previous DRGs.

** All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types, and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to previous DRGs due to a methodological change.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodological changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Foster Care

Traditional Foster Care is continuous 24-hour care with supportive services provided to a youth in a DJS-approved family home. The foster family serves low-risk youth who cannot be managed in their own homes. Youth served may be experiencing behavioral problems and need a respite from family/neighborhood issues. Foster Care also serves youth who need long-term placement, primarily because it may not be appropriate for youth to return home. Treatment Foster Care (TFC) is continuous 24-hour care with intensive support services operated by a licensed child placement agency or local Department of Social Services (DSS) in a family setting for children with serious emotional, behavioral, medical, and/or psychological conditions. The behaviors of the youth served are not so severe that removal from the community is necessary.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM, FY 2019

Treatment Foster Care	County	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
Arrow Child & Fam. Ministries	Balt. Co.	2	0.9	182.5
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.	1	1.1	42.9
Children's Choice	Balt. City	1	0.4	184.4
Children's Home	Balt. Co.	1	0.0	0.0*
Greenleaf	Mont.	1	1.3	0.0†
Hearts & Homes - Family Ties	Mont.	4	0.7	66.0
Ment. MD - Balt Teens in Trans	Balt. Co.	2	5.5	254.7
Ment. MD - Salisbury Teens	Wicomico	4	1.5	175.7
Pressley Ridge [‡]	Allegany	0	1.1	168.0
San Mar	Washington	2	0.2	27.5
Woodbourne Center	Balt. City	2	1.8	207.5
Traditional Foster Care				
Individual Families ¹	N/A	0	0.0	0.0
Total Admissions	All	20	14.5	172.5

*No youth were released.

†Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2019, ADP reflects continuing placements from prior FYs.

‡Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2019, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.



A foster home operated by Hearts and Homes Family Ties

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
 - Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, overall placements to foster care decreased 50.0%.
- *Program Admissions (FY 2019):*
 - Mentor Maryland - Salisbury Teens and Hearts & Homes for Youth had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2019):*
 - 100% of placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from out of state.
- *Offense Type (FY 2019):*
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (40.0%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 16.1% to 0.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 6.5% to 15.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 71.0% to 85.0%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
 - ADP decreased 47.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 38.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
 - The average LOS decreased 44.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 36.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	32.5%	19.4%	0.0%
Reg-II - Central	5.0%	9.7%	15.0%
Reg-III - Western	17.5%	29.0%	20.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	7.5%	9.7%	30.0%
Reg-V - Southern	17.5%	16.1%	35.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	15.0%	16.1%	0.0%
Out-of-State	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	40	31	20

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	10.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	5.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	5.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	40.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	30.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	0.0%
Felony	15.0%
- Person-to-Person	5.0%
- Property	10.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	85.0%
- Person-to-Person	50.0%
- Property	35.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>20</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.5%	61.3%	50.0%
White	27.5%	25.8%	50.0%
Hispanic/Other	10.0%	12.9%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	55.0%	54.8%	35.0%
Female	45.0%	45.2%	65.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%
13	2.5%	6.5%	10.0%
14	7.5%	6.5%	10.0%
15	5.0%	22.6%	10.0%
16	20.0%	22.6%	15.0%
17	37.5%	16.1%	25.0%
18-20	27.5%	22.6%	30.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>20</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Group Homes

General group homes are non-profit residential programs for youth in placements licensed by DHS, DJS, or the Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ), which is part of the Maryland Department of Health (MDH). They provide out-of-home care for four or more youth who are moderate to high risk, and need more structure and supervision than a relative, foster parent, or treatment foster care program could offer. General group homes also provide a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services. Therapeutic group homes (TGH) are residential programs for youth in out-of-home care that are licensed by OHCQ, and must be non-profit organizations. TGHs provide access to a range of diagnostic and therapeutic mental health services to youth who are moderate to high risk and have an emotional or developmental disability. Those TGHs that provide educational programs on their grounds are considered to be staff secure programs.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM, FY 2019

Group Homes	County	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
ARC of Washington Co.	Washington	2	1.5	927.0
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.	1	0.3	0.0*
Catoctin Summit Adol. Prog	Balt. Co.	17	3.7	77.4
Cedar Ridge	Washington	9	4.6	201.6
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	Balt. Co.	12	2.4	76.4
Hearts and Homes	Mont/Pr. G	14	4.1	80.8
MAGIC+	Balt. Co.	0	1.0	196.0
Morning Star Youth Academy	Dorchester	26	14.3	168.2
Oak Hill House	Washington	9	7.1	204.2
One Love	Balt. City	8	4.9	156.9
Our House	Mont.	10	5.6	144.4
Salem Trust	Garrett	9	7.1	245.8
St. Ann's Infant & Maternity Pr.	Pr. George's	3	1.2	166.8
Therapeutic Group Homes				
Avis Birely**	Mont.	10	6.5	195.3
Board of Child Care	Charl/Calv	4	1.8	164.5
Cedar Ridge	Washington	20	8.4	245.3
Mary's Mount Manor	An. Arund	5	1.4	89.5
Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFAs)				
MTC-Mountain Manor	Balt. City	2	0.1	23.6
Total Admissions¹	All	161	76.0	172.8

* No youth were released

** Operated by Hearts and Homes (Avis Birely was previously named Redl House)

+ Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2019, ADP reflects continuing placements from prior FYs.

¹ Includes ICFA data and therefore may not be comparable to previous DRGs.



Morning Star Youth Academy

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
 - Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, overall placements to group homes decreased 42.8%.
- *Program Admissions (FY 2019):*
 - Morning Star Youth Academy had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2019):*
 - 96.0% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 3 were from Washington, D.C.; 1 was from Delaware; 1 was from West Virginia; and 1 was from Virginia.
- *Offense Type (FY 2019):*
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (25.8%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 19.7% to 17.9%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 14.3% to 19.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 64.0% to 62.9%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
 - ADP decreased 33.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 17.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
 - The average LOS increased 8.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 9.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

Data previously reported under a separate category of ICFA were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Homes are not comparable to previous DRGs.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	23.1%	23.2%	12.6%
Reg-II - Central	19.7%	23.2%	23.2%
Reg-III - Western	8.7%	10.8%	13.2%
Reg-IV - Eastern	5.3%	6.9%	11.3%
Reg-V - Southern	23.1%	11.3%	13.9%
Reg-VI - Metro	17.8%	21.7%	21.9%
Out-of-State	2.3%	3.0%	4.0%
Total Placements	264	203	151

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	1.3%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	3.3%
Carjacking	2.6%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%
Disturbing the Peace	4.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.7%
Handgun Violation	3.3%
Malicious Destruction	4.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%
Murder	1.3%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.0%
Other/Missing ¹	2.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.7%
Robbery	9.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	25.8%
Sex Offense	6.0%
Theft Felony	3.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.2%
Trespassing	0.7%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.3%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	17.9%
Felony	19.2%
- Person-to-Person	5.3%
- Property	6.6%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	7.3%
Misdemeanor	62.9%
- Person-to-Person	37.1%
- Property	20.5%
- Drugs	2.0%
- Unspecified	3.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>151</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	65.5%	62.1%	62.9%
White	26.5%	25.1%	27.2%
Hispanic/Other	8.0%	12.8%	9.9%
Sex			
Male	75.0%	84.2%	84.1%
Female	25.0%	15.8%	15.9%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	4.9%	2.5%	2.0%
14	5.7%	6.9%	4.6%
15	15.9%	14.8%	14.6%
16	28.8%	28.1%	31.8%
17	28.0%	31.0%	29.8%
18-20	16.7%	16.7%	17.2%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>264</i>	<i>203</i>	<i>151</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Independent Living Programs (Including Alternative Living Units)

Independent Living Programs are operated by DHS-licensed child placement agencies for youth 15 to 21 years of age who need to become self-sufficient and learn responsible living skills, as they are unlikely to return home. Youth reside in either group homes or supervised apartment units, and must be enrolled in high school, college, vocational training, or be gainfully employed. During the program, youth learn about interpersonal skills, money management, job readiness, conflict management, positive leisure opportunities, and communication skills. Alternative Living Units are residences owned, leased, or operated by a licensee that: (a) provides residential services for children who, because of a developmental disability, require specialized living arrangements; (b) admits not more than three children; and (c) provides 24 hour care.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM, FY 2019

Independent Living	County	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
Damamli ⁺	Mont.	0	0.7	638.9
Jumoke	Balt. City	2	2.1	394.4
Mentor MD - Baltimore	Balt. Co.	1	0.4	346.8
New Pathways - Independ. Plus	Balt. Co.	3	4.0	297.7
Alternative Living Units				
Arrow Child & Family Ministri. ⁺	Balt. Co.	0	1.0	0.0*
Total Admissions	All	6	8.2	402.1

* No youth were released

⁺ Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2019, ADP reflects continuing placements from prior FYs.

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
 - Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, overall placements to Independent Living Programs decreased 60.0%.
- **Program Admissions (FY 2019):**
 - New Pathways-Independence Plus had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2019):**
 - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from out of state.
- **Offense Type (FY 2019):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Sex Offense (33.3%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 16.7% to 50.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 0.0% to 33.3%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 83.3% to 16.7%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 29.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 29.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS increased 62.8% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 28.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

“Being in Mentor Independent Living Program has benefited me a lot honestly. It’s teaching me to be more independent, to manage my responsibilities, my money, my food, etc. It helps with just what the name says - Independent Living.”

- Response from a youth when asked for his thoughts on the program

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	46.7%	58.3%	50.0%
Reg-II - Central	13.3%	25.0%	0.0%
Reg-III - Western	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-V - Southern	20.0%	8.3%	33.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	6.7%	0.0%	16.7%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	15	12	6

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	16.7%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	16.7%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	16.7%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	16.7%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	0.0%
Sex Offense	33.3%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	50.0%
Felony	33.3%
- Person-to-Person	16.7%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	16.7%
Misdemeanor	16.7%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	16.7%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>6</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	86.7%	75.0%	66.7%
White	13.3%	25.0%	16.7%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Sex			
Male	46.7%	58.3%	100.0%
Female	53.3%	41.7%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
16	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
17	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%
18-20	86.7%	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>6</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program
 Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Residential Treatment Centers (Includes Psychiatric Hospitals & Diagnostic Units/CEUs)

Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) provide intensive psychiatric and psychological treatment services. These centers are required to have psychiatrists, psychologists, and psychiatric nurses on staff to lead treatment. Maryland RTCs are approved for operation through the State Certificate of Need (CON) process and are licensed through the Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ). Some RTCs concentrate on specific youth populations. For example, some staff secure and hardware secure RTCs focus on youth with behavioral problems and/or considered to be potentially harmful to self or others. The RTCs are intended to stabilize the youth's emotional condition, to provide services that increase the youth's ability to manage his/her mental illness as a potentially life-long challenge, to help the youth develop social skills for coping with both daily or difficult situations and interpersonal relationships, and to transition the youth to a less restrictive environment or home.


ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM, FY 2019

Residential Treatment Ctr.	County	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
Jefferson School	Frederick	8	10.6	304.8
New Dir. Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.	13	12.6	417.9
RICA - Rockville RTC	Mont.	1	0.5	0.0 [*]
RICA Baltimore RTC	Balt. City	7	3.8	218.0
Right Moves Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.	15	9.4	235.5
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	Balt. Co.	41	20.4	182.5
Woodbourne	Balt. City	24	19.3	292.4
Psychiatric Hospitals¹				
Brook Lane Hospital	Washington	2	0.0	5.7
Clinton T. Perkins Hospital Ctr.	Howard	1	0.1	35.9
Eastern Shore Acute Unit	Dorchester	1	0.2	83.9
Shep. Pratt Hosp. Ell. City & Towson	Balt. Co.	1	0.0	12.2
Spring Grove Hospital Center	Balt. Co.	29	2.5	30.1
Diagnostic Unit / Clinical Evaluation Unit (CEU)¹				
Arrow Child & Fam Ministries	Balt. Co.	13	3.0	89.0
Children's Home Diag Center	Balt. Co.	4	0.6	56.9
RICA Rockville	Mont.	1	0.3	82.1
Total Admissions	All	161	83.3	191.8

^{*} No youth were released

¹ Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Unit/CEUs are included on the RTC table because similar services are provided at these facilities.

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
 - Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, overall placements to residential treatment centers decreased 25.0%.
- **Program Admissions (FY 2019):**
 - Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2019):**
 - 98.1% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 2 were from Washington, D.C. and 1 was from Arizona.
- **Offense Type (FY 2019):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (37.8%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 14.0% to 17.9%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 15.3% to 12.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 69.4% to 69.2%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 19.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 1.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 2.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 6.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.



Woodbourne RTC

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	15.4%	16.6%	21.2%
Reg-II - Central	13.5%	15.9%	16.0%
Reg-III - Western	10.1%	8.3%	10.9%
Reg-IV - Eastern	13.9%	15.3%	13.5%
Reg-V - Southern	24.0%	29.3%	23.7%
Reg-VI - Metro	21.6%	12.1%	12.8%
Out-of-State	1.4%	2.5%	1.9%
Total Placements	208	157	156

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	2.6%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.9%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	1.9%
Carjacking	5.1%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	1.3%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%
Disturbing the Peace	3.8%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.6%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.1%
Murder	1.3%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.3%
Other/Missing ¹	1.9%
Resisting Arrest	0.6%
Robbery	8.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	37.8%
Sex Offense	8.3%
Theft Felony	1.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.4%
Trespassing	1.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.9%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	17.9%
Felony	12.2%
- Person-to-Person	5.8%
- Property	3.8%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.6%
Misdemeanor	69.2%
- Person-to-Person	51.3%
- Property	14.7%
- Drugs	1.3%
- Unspecified	1.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.6%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>156</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	61.1%	61.8%	62.2%
White	31.7%	28.7%	32.1%
Hispanic/Other	7.2%	9.6%	5.8%
Sex			
Male	70.2%	75.8%	76.3%
Female	29.8%	24.2%	23.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.4%	0.6%	1.3%
13	5.3%	3.2%	6.4%
14	16.3%	13.4%	10.9%
15	19.2%	21.7%	23.1%
16	26.9%	26.8%	27.6%
17	22.1%	25.5%	25.0%
18-20	8.7%	8.9%	5.8%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>156</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Out-of-State (OOS) Programs

Maryland law prohibits an out-of-state placement for youth unless a court finds the youth's individualized needs cannot be met through in-state resources. Additionally, DJS policy states that youth may not be placed out of state without the approval of the Secretary or designee. The Department adheres to Interstate Compact requirements and agreements with other states regarding requests for permission and notification when youth are placed in another state. Youth placed in out-of-state facilities are visited by DJS staff at least quarterly, and parents/guardians are provided with opportunities to visit youth at least once per quarter. OOS programs are licensed by local authorities and not by Maryland agencies. DJS contracts with vendors to provide services in two broad categories: (1) RTC-level specialized mental health services and (2) staff- and hardware-secure programming.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM, FY 2019

Hardware Secure RTC	State	Adm.	ADP	ALOS	
Kid Link - Coastal Harbor Treat Ctr	GA	3	1.3	261.4	
UHS of Del-Harbor Point Behav. Hlth	VA	7	3.0	154.5	
UHS of Del-Laurel Oaks Behav. Hlth	AL	2	0.3	0.0*	
Staff Secure					
Canyon State Academy	AZ	2	1.4	119.3	
Clarinda Academy	IA	3	2.1	220.0	
Drug & Rehab Services-Manos House	PA	1	0.6	114.4	
Glen Mills School	PA	14	18.8	237.0	
Natchez Trace Youth Academy	TN	7	5.4	226.8	
New Outlook Academy	PA	4	1.8	126.4	
Sequel - Mingus Mountain Acad.	AZ	4	2.5	147.2	
Summit Academy	PA	3	1.1	113.4	
Woodward Academy	IA	16	8.7	257.1	
Hardware Secure					
Cornell Abraxas	PA	5	4.1	418.8	
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	PA	5	4.5	259.8	
Mid-Atlantic Western	PA	9	8.2	206.3	
Wolverine Treat Ctr	MI	2	2.1	490.7	
Independent Living					
Cornell Abraxas-Trans. Living Unit	PA	1	0.1	0.0*	
Total Admissions		All	88	66.0	243.7

* No youth were released



The dining hall at Mid-Atlantic Luzerne

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
 - Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, overall placements to out-of-state programs increased 41.7%.
- *Program Admissions (FY 2019):*
 - Woodward Academy had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2019):*
 - 95.3% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 2 were from Washington, D.C. and 2 other youth had a parent/guardian relocate out-of-state.
- *Offense Type (FY 2019):*
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (17.6%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 36.5% to 27.1%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 18.9% to 16.5%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 44.6% to 56.5%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
 - ADP increased 65.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 34.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
 - The average LOS decreased 10.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 11.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	40.0%	41.9%	38.8%
Reg-II - Central	3.3%	16.2%	20.0%
Reg-III - Western	5.0%	5.4%	0.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	5.0%	5.4%	9.4%
Reg-V - Southern	18.3%	10.8%	8.2%
Reg-VI - Metro	21.7%	17.6%	18.8%
Out-of-State	6.7%	2.7%	4.7%
Total Placements	60	74	85

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.5%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	2.4%
Carjacking	5.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.9%
Deadly Weapon	4.7%
Disturbing the Peace	5.9%
First Degree Assault-Felony	7.1%
Handgun Violation	2.4%
Malicious Destruction	2.4%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%
Murder	1.2%
Narcotics Distribution	3.5%
Narcotics Possession	2.4%
Other/Missing ¹	2.4%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	11.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	17.6%
Sex Offense	1.2%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.1%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.7%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	27.1%
Felony	16.5%
- Person-to-Person	1.2%
- Property	3.5%
- Drugs	3.5%
- Unspecified	8.2%
Misdemeanor	56.5%
- Person-to-Person	31.8%
- Property	17.6%
- Drugs	2.4%
- Unspecified	4.7%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>85</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	71.7%	83.8%	76.5%
White	16.7%	9.5%	16.5%
Hispanic/Other	11.7%	6.8%	7.1%
Sex			
Male	83.3%	89.2%	76.5%
Female	16.7%	10.8%	23.5%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
13	1.7%	2.7%	1.2%
14	10.0%	12.2%	7.1%
15	20.0%	17.6%	11.8%
16	35.0%	21.6%	35.3%
17	20.0%	32.4%	30.6%
18-20	11.7%	13.5%	14.1%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>85</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Backbone Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Todd Foote
Rated Capacity: 48 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

Rte. 1, 124 Camp 4 Road
Swanton, MD 21561
301-359-9190

The Youth Center opened in 1966 as a 35-bed forestry camp on Backbone Mountain in Garrett County with funds appropriated by the General Assembly and became a youth center in 1977. Programs include the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and STARR, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment, and a level of care is determined. Backbone is certified to offer Substance Abuse Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient Services. Drug treatment focuses on clinically managed low-intensity residential substance abuse treatment services. Youth participate in individual and group interventions. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. Youth with high aggression needs participate in START, an evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, and life skills. MSDE also offers OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam. The College Program is offered in conjunction with Garrett College of Maryland. Youth are screened for acceptance into the program. Selected youth are admitted for one college semester while in DJS' care and earn a MD High School Diploma, as well as up to 15 college credits.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	75.7%	79.0%	74.8%
White	13.6%	13.7%	13.6%
Hispanic/Other	10.7%	7.3%	11.7%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	1.9%	6.5%	2.9%
15	17.5%	18.5%	3.9%
16	25.2%	33.1%	20.4%
17	44.7%	32.3%	51.5%
18-20	10.7%	9.7%	21.4%
Total Placements	103	124	103

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements did not change between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 16.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2019):**
 - 96.1% of placements were from regions within Maryland. The 4 from out of state were from Washington, D.C.
- **Offense Type (FY 2019):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Robbery (20.4%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 33.9% to 31.1%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 8.1% to 15.5%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 56.5% to 53.4%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP increased 27.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 9.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS increased 18.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 25.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2018-FY 2019):**
 - Backbone Mountain's utilization rate increased from 65.4% in FY 2018 to 71.4% in FY 2019 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	1.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	4.9%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.7%
Carjacking	2.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%
Disturbing the Peace	1.9%
First Degree Assault-Felony	3.9%
Handgun Violation	4.9%
Malicious Destruction	4.9%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	4.9%
Narcotics Possession	5.8%
Other/Missing ¹	1.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.0%
Robbery	20.4%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	7.8%
Sex Offense	1.0%
Theft Felony	1.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.7%
Trespassing	1.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.9%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	31.1%
Felony	15.5%
- Person-to-Person	1.0%
- Property	6.8%
- Drugs	4.9%
- Unspecified	2.9%
Misdemeanor	53.4%
- Person-to-Person	19.4%
- Property	23.3%
- Drugs	5.8%
- Unspecified	4.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>103</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	24.3%	25.8%	20.4%
Reg-II - Central	14.6%	21.0%	15.5%
Reg-III - Western	3.9%	4.0%	7.8%
Reg-IV - Eastern	5.8%	6.5%	6.8%
Reg-V - Southern	14.6%	16.9%	15.5%
Reg-VI - Metro	33.0%	25.0%	30.1%
Out-of-State	3.9%	0.8%	3.9%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>124</i>	<i>103</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Green Ridge Mountain Quest (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Judith Hodel
Rated Capacity: 10 Males


Staff Secure - Region III - Western

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road
Flintstone, MD 21530
301-478-2930

Mountain Quest is a 90-day program for committed males ages 13-18 located at Green Ridge Youth Center. Mountain Quest is a first-time commitment program that provides youth time away from their families and communities, giving them the opportunity to change their behavior while learning responsibility for their actions. The Mountain Quest program gives youth the opportunity to work on thought patterns and behaviors that will help them become successful in treatment when they return to the community. Family work and interventions are critical at this time to better assess needs and provide an open line of communication.

The facility offers individual and group substance abuse interventions, and drug education is provided to program participants. Mental health counseling is provided on an as-needed basis. Youth with high aggression needs participate in START, an evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers OSHA and ServSafe Certification and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.0%	75.0%	62.5%
White	24.0%	25.0%	29.2%
Hispanic/Other	8.0%	0.0%	8.3%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	12.0%	20.8%	12.5%
14	24.0%	25.0%	20.8%
15	16.0%	29.2%	37.5%
16	28.0%	16.7%	16.7%
17	16.0%	8.3%	8.3%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
Total Placements	25	24	24

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements decreased 4.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and did not change between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2019):**
 - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no placements from out of state at this facility.
- **Offense Type (FY 2019):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Theft Misdemeanor (33.3%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 12.5% to 8.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.2% to 8.3%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 79.2% to 83.3%.
 - See the *Terms and Concepts* pages in the introduction section for an explanation of *Crime of Violence*, *felony*, and *misdemeanor* as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 8.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 18.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 7.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 2.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2018-FY 2019):**
 - Green Ridge Mountain Quest's utilization rate increased from 48.7% in FY 2018 to 57.6% in FY 2019 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	4.2%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.2%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.2%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	4.2%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	4.2%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	8.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	29.2%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	33.3%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	8.3%
Felony	8.3%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	4.2%
- Drugs	4.2%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	83.3%
- Person-to-Person	33.3%
- Property	50.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>24</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	0.0%	4.2%	4.2%
Reg-II - Central	8.0%	25.0%	41.7%
Reg-III - Western	44.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	4.0%	12.5%	12.5%
Reg-V - Southern	8.0%	12.5%	8.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	36.0%	20.8%	8.3%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>24</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Green Ridge Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Judith Hodel
Rated Capacity: 30 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road
Flintstone, MD 21530
301-478-2930

Built originally as a Civilian Conservation Corps Camp, Green Ridge Youth Center has had a variety of uses in its 90-year history. Currently, Green Ridge is a treatment center for males ages 13-18. The facility offers programming that includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and STARR, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment, and a level of care is determined. Green Ridge Youth Center is certified to offer Substance Abuse Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient Services. Drug treatment focuses on clinically managed low-intensity residential substance abuse treatment services. Youth participate in individual and group interventions. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. Youth with chronic aggression are provided START: an evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	65.1%	76.5%	69.1%
White	19.0%	15.3%	16.2%
Hispanic/Other	15.9%	8.2%	14.7%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
14	9.5%	16.5%	19.1%
15	34.9%	16.5%	30.9%
16	28.6%	32.9%	35.3%
17	20.6%	28.2%	13.2%
18-20	6.3%	3.5%	1.5%
Total Placements	63	85	68

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements increased 7.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 20.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2019):**
 - 97.1% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. The 2 from out of state were from Washington, D.C.
- **Offense Type (FY 2019):**
 - The most common offense types for juveniles placed was Robbery (19.1%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 30.6% to 38.2%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 12.9% to 10.3%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 56.5% to 50.0%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP increased 11.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 5.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 7.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 12.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2018-FY 2019):**
 - Green Ridge Youth Center's utilization rate decreased from 80.5% in FY 2018 to 76.1% in FY 2019 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.5%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.4%
Carjacking	5.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	7.4%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%
Disturbing the Peace	1.5%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.9%
Handgun Violation	5.9%
Malicious Destruction	7.4%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.5%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	2.9%
Resisting Arrest	1.5%
Robbery	19.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	14.7%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.7%
Trespassing	1.5%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.5%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	38.2%
Felony	10.3%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	2.9%
- Drugs	1.5%
- Unspecified	5.9%
Misdemeanor	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	23.5%
- Property	23.5%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.9%
Ordinance Offenses	1.5%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>68</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	4.8%	21.2%	20.6%
Reg-II - Central	11.1%	18.8%	17.6%
Reg-III - Western	17.5%	7.1%	8.8%
Reg-IV - Eastern	9.5%	4.7%	5.9%
Reg-V - Southern	7.9%	21.2%	10.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	49.2%	27.1%	33.8%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>63</i>	<i>85</i>	<i>68</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

J. DeWeese Carter Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Annette Miller
Rated Capacity: 14 Females

Hardware Secure - Region IV - Eastern

300 Scheeler Road
Chestertown, MD 21620
410-778-6444

J. DeWeese Carter Center opened as a secure residential facility in November 2011 to serve females ages 14-18 who are committed to DJS. The program provides gender-responsive, trauma-informed programming and treatment services. The Center implements STARR, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation. The program's goals include accepting responsibility for behavior, learning problem-solving strategies and peer leadership skills, and developing/improving pro-social skills. Services include individual and group therapy, substance abuse education and treatment, health education, medication assessment and monitoring, and family therapy. MSDE provides academic and vocational services. The school provides a 12-month schedule that includes six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, life skills, as well as individualized GED programs that prepare youth for successful completion of a high school diploma examination. MSDE offers vocational programming in computer literacy, Introduction to Telecommunications, and the ServSafe Program, all of which allow girls to earn certificates to help them secure employment at discharge.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	66.7%	50.0%	65.0%
White	20.8%	42.9%	35.0%
Hispanic/Other	12.5%	7.1%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
14	8.3%	7.1%	10.0%
15	16.7%	28.6%	20.0%
16	33.3%	21.4%	20.0%
17	29.2%	21.4%	25.0%
18-20	12.5%	21.4%	20.0%
Total Placements	24	14	20

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements decreased 16.7% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 42.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2019):**
 - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from out of state.
- **Offense Type (FY 2019):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (30.0%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 14.3% to 40.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 0.0% to 10.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 85.7% to 50.0%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 21.0% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 11.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 12.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 14.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2018-FY 2019):**
 - J. DeWeese Carter Center's utilization rate decreased from 57.7% in FY 2018 to 51.2% in FY 2019 (based on the facility's rated capacity).



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	5.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.0%
Carjacking	20.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	10.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.0%
Manslaughter	5.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	5.0%
Other/Missing ¹	5.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	30.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	40.0%
Felony	10.0%
- Person-to-Person	5.0%
- Property	5.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	30.0%
- Property	15.0%
- Drugs	5.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>20</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	12.5%	14.3%	25.0%
Reg-II - Central	8.3%	14.3%	10.0%
Reg-III - Western	16.7%	42.9%	20.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	4.2%	14.3%	25.0%
Reg-V - Southern	20.8%	14.3%	5.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	37.5%	0.0%	15.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>20</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Meadow Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Todd Bowman


Staff Secure - Region III - Western

234 Recovery Road
Grantsville, MD 21535
301-895-5669

Rated Capacity: 40 Males

Meadow Mountain Youth Center opened as a forestry camp for boys in 1958 and reopened in 1984 as an intensive out-patient program providing youth with at least nine hours of drug treatment weekly, which includes Seven Challenges group therapy. Additionally, Meadow Mountain uses STARR, DJS' behavioral management program, and houses the Reflections Program, which includes a high and low ropes challenge course, an aquatic challenge, and different outdoor activities. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment, and a level of care is determined. Meadow Mountain is certified to offer Intensive Outpatient Services as well as clinically managed high-intensity residential services. Family counseling is offered for every youth as well as psychiatric services. Youth with high-aggression needs participate in START, an evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers OSHA and ServSafe Certification as well as individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	72.0%	67.8%	69.2%
White	20.7%	20.7%	17.9%
Hispanic/Other	7.3%	11.5%	12.8%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
14	4.9%	10.3%	2.6%
15	23.2%	14.9%	15.4%
16	28.0%	31.0%	38.5%
17	30.5%	35.6%	34.6%
18-20	13.4%	6.9%	9.0%
Total Placements	82	87	78

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements decreased 4.9% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 10.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2019):**
 - 98.7% of placements were from regions within Maryland. The 1 from out of state was from Washington, D.C.
- **Offense Type (FY 2019):**
 - The most common offense types for juveniles placed was Second Degree Assault (19.2%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 16.1% to 17.9%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 20.7% to 17.9%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 62.1% to 62.8%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP increased 7.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 22.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS increased 1.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 33.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2018-FY 2019):**
 - Meadow Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate increased from 56.5% in FY 2018 to 69.2% in FY 2019 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	1.3%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	6.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	1.3%
Carjacking	3.8%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	9.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%
Disturbing the Peace	1.3%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.6%
Malicious Destruction	5.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.1%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.6%
Narcotics Possession	3.8%
Other/Missing ¹	3.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	12.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	19.2%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.1%
Trespassing	1.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.8%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	17.9%
Felony	17.9%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	9.0%
- Drugs	2.6%
- Unspecified	6.4%
Misdemeanor	62.8%
- Person-to-Person	32.1%
- Property	20.5%
- Drugs	3.8%
- Unspecified	6.4%
Ordinance Offenses	1.3%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	78

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	18.3%	17.2%	11.5%
Reg-II - Central	13.4%	16.1%	11.5%
Reg-III - Western	12.2%	9.2%	7.7%
Reg-IV - Eastern	18.3%	13.8%	20.5%
Reg-V - Southern	17.1%	21.8%	11.5%
Reg-VI - Metro	19.5%	21.8%	35.9%
Out-of-State	1.2%	0.0%	1.3%
<i>Total Placements</i>	82	87	78

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Savage Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Walter Alston


Hardware Secure - Region III - Western

Rated Capacity: 24 Males

164 Freedom Lane
Lonaconing, MD 21539
301-463-2244

The success of the first forestry camp for boys at Green Ridge prompted the General Assembly to appropriate funds for additional facilities in 1956 (Chapter 98, Acts of 1956). The Camp was renamed Savage Mountain Youth Center in 1977. Programming includes STARR (DJS' behavioral management program), Seven Challenges (evidence-based drug treatment), START (evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning), and TAMAR-Y (group intervention to address trauma issues). Behavioral Health staff provide individual, group, and family counseling services. Substance abuse treatment is offered on a continuum from early intervention to clinically managed medium intensity, as appropriate for the individual participant. Family engagement in treatment planning is encouraged. Regular family interaction is encouraged through family visits, phone calls, special family day activities at the facility, and home visits to help youth successfully transition back to the community. While individual cases are assessed on a case-by-case basis, typical placements involve participants who present a high risk of AWOL/escape, demonstrate high aggression, have high mental health needs, have had a previous mental health placement, have an intense substance abuse disorder, or display developmental or maturity factors that are considered best served by a hardware secure placement. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	79.3%	90.9%	92.3%
White	10.3%	9.1%	7.7%
Hispanic/Other	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	3.4%	9.1%	15.4%
14	10.3%	18.2%	15.4%
15	40.2%	27.3%	46.2%
16	16.1%	27.3%	23.1%
17	23.0%	9.1%	0.0%
18-20	6.9%	9.1%	0.0%
Total Placements	87	11	13

• Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 85.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 18.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Region of Residence (FY 2019):

- 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from out of state.

• Offense Type (FY 2019):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Robbery (23.1%).

• Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 27.3% to 53.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.1% to 15.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 54.5% to 30.8%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 80.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 39.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS increased 47.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 99.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Utilization Rate (FY 2018-FY 2019):

- Savage Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate increased from 10.5% in FY 2018 to 14.7% in FY 2019 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

Savage Mountain Youth Center closed from September of 2017 through December 2018 when it was reopened as a state-operated hardware secure facility. Data for FY 2018 and FY 2019 represent only the time when the facility was opened; therefore, comparisons to previous years are not possible.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	7.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.7%
Carjacking	15.4%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	7.7%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.7%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	7.7%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	23.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	15.4%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	53.8%
Felony	15.4%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	7.7%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	7.7%
Misdemeanor	30.8%
- Person-to-Person	23.1%
- Property	7.7%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	13

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019


Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	23.0%	81.8%	23.1%
Reg-II - Central	12.6%	9.1%	38.5%
Reg-III - Western	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	13.8%	0.0%	7.7%
Reg-V - Southern	10.3%	0.0%	15.4%
Reg-VI - Metro	36.8%	9.1%	15.4%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	87	11	13

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019[^]



[^] Please refer to the note at the bottom of page 172 regarding the temporary closure of the facility.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Victor Cullen Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Troy Stiffler
Rated Capacity: 48 Males

Hardware Secure - Region III - Western

6000 Cullen Drive
Sabillasville, MD 21780
301-739-8122

The Victor Cullen Center (VCC) was originally a sanatorium for tuberculosis patients named after the physician in charge of the institution, Dr. Victor F. Cullen. In July 2007, VCC reopened under state administration as a regional committed treatment center. VCC is a secure facility for delinquent males primarily serving youth ages 15-21 in a four- to six-month treatment program for mental health and/or substance abuse issues. The facility offers programming that includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and STARR, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive individual and group substance abuse interventions, within a range of substance abuse services from early intervention to the intensive outpatient level. All youth participate in TAMAR-Y, a trauma-focused psychoeducation program, as well as START, an evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. In addition, individual counseling, family counseling, and psychiatric services are available to all youth. Regular family interaction is encouraged through visits, phone calls, family counseling, and family day activities. Recreational programming includes camping and intramural sports competitions. Specialized programs include Yoga and Mindfulness Training and SHYNE. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers OSHA Certification, ServSafe, Flagger Force, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	75.0%	88.3%	79.3%
White	16.7%	6.7%	12.1%
Hispanic/Other	8.3%	5.0%	8.6%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	2.8%	1.7%	1.7%
15	16.7%	18.3%	10.3%
16	26.4%	35.0%	31.0%
17	26.4%	20.0%	34.5%
18-20	27.8%	25.0%	22.4%
Total Placements	72	60	58

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements decreased 19.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 3.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2019):**
 - 96.6% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 1 was from West Virginia and 1 was from Pennsylvania.
- **Offense Type (FY 2019):**
 - The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Robbery (19.0%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 50.0% to 44.8%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 6.7% to 17.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 43.3% to 37.9%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 33.5% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 24.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS decreased 17.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 13.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2018-FY 2019):**
 - Victor Cullen Center's utilization rate decreased from 51.3% in FY 2018 to 38.9% in FY 2019 (based on the facility's rated capacity).



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	6.9%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.2%
Carjacking	8.6%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.4%
Deadly Weapon	3.4%
Disturbing the Peace	1.7%
First Degree Assault-Felony	6.9%
Handgun Violation	10.3%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.7%
Murder	1.7%
Narcotics Distribution	3.4%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	1.7%
Resisting Arrest	1.7%
Robbery	19.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	10.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.3%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.7%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	44.8%
Felony	17.2%
- Person-to-Person	1.7%
- Property	8.6%
- Drugs	3.4%
- Unspecified	3.4%
Misdemeanor	37.9%
- Person-to-Person	24.1%
- Property	12.1%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.7%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	58

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	27.8%	35.0%	27.6%
Reg-II - Central	16.7%	11.7%	22.4%
Reg-III - Western	4.2%	10.0%	12.1%
Reg-IV - Eastern	8.3%	13.3%	0.0%
Reg-V - Southern	9.7%	8.3%	8.6%
Reg-VI - Metro	33.3%	18.3%	25.9%
Out-of-State	0.0%	3.3%	3.4%
<i>Total Placements</i>	72	60	58

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider)

Program Director: Kevin McLeod


Staff Secure

Rated Capacity: 96 Males

999 Crouse Mill Road
Keymar, Maryland 21757
410-775-1745

Silver Oak Academy (SOA) is a private residential program owned and operated by Rite of Passage, Inc. SOA is an achievement-based program designed to highlight and develop positive pro-social skills and activities to assist youth in transitioning to the community and being successful. Youth have individualized treatment plans with measured goals that include learning social skills, gaining academic knowledge, vocational-technical competence, independent life skills and family engagement. SOA serves youth ages 14-18. Vocational training services include the following: food service and hospitality management, carpentry/electrical, construction/masonry, cosmetology/barbering, and electronics. SOA has a large campus that includes a year-round high school approved by MSDE, a competition gym (with wrestling and weight rooms), outdoor competition fields, and a running track. During FY 2014, the DJS contracted capacity at SOA increased from 48 to 96.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2017-2019



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	85.9%	95.3%	89.6%
White	9.9%	4.7%	6.2%
Hispanic/Other	4.2%	0.0%	4.2%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%
15	16.9%	16.3%	14.6%
16	25.4%	30.2%	35.4%
17	36.6%	41.9%	35.4%
18-20	21.1%	11.6%	12.5%
Total Placements	71	43	48

• Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 32.4% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and increased 11.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Region of Residence (FY 2019):

- 95.8% of placements were from regions within Maryland. The 2 from out of state were from Washington, D.C.

• Offense Type (FY 2019):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed was Robbery (18.8%).

• Offense Category (FY 2018-2019):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 39.5% to 39.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 16.3% to 20.8%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 41.9% to 39.6%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, felony, and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 41.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 19.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 24.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2019 and decreased 7.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2019.

• Utilization Rate (FY 2018-FY 2019):

- Silver Oak's utilization rate decreased from 36.4% in FY 2018 to 29.2% in FY 2019 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2019

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2019
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.1%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.3%
Carjacking	8.3%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	14.6%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	4.2%
Handgun Violation	6.3%
Malicious Destruction	6.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	4.2%
Other/Missing ¹	6.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	18.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	8.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.4%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.1%
Offense Category*	
Crime of Violence	39.6%
Felony	20.8%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	2.1%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	18.8%
Misdemeanor	39.6%
- Person-to-Person	12.5%
- Property	18.8%
- Drugs	4.2%
- Unspecified	4.2%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>48</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Threat of Mass Violence, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017-2019

Region	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Reg-I - Baltimore City	22.5%	39.5%	43.8%
Reg-II - Central	28.2%	34.9%	10.4%
Reg-III - Western	11.3%	11.6%	2.1%
Reg-IV - Eastern	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-V - Southern	11.3%	7.0%	12.5%
Reg-VI - Metro	21.1%	7.0%	27.1%
Out-of-State	1.4%	0.0%	4.2%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>48</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017-2019



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2019



¹ Juveniles released home or to a step-down program; * Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Community-Based Family Therapy Programs

DJS uses research-supported, community-based family therapy programs to address the needs of youth, and to reduce further system involvement and out-of-home placements. Evidence-Based Services (EBS) constitute those designated as “model” programs by Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development (Center for the Study and Prevention of Violence at the University of Colorado Boulder) and currently include Functional Family Therapy (FFT) and Multisystemic Therapy (MST). These services are family-based therapeutic models shown to be effective with youth involved with the juvenile justice system. In addition, Family Centered Treatment (FCT), provided by the Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc., is a family preservation model of in-home treatment, which helps families to learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns.

PLACEMENTS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2019

EBS Providers		County	Place.	ADP
FFT	Center for Children, Inc.	Anne Arundel	63	19.6
		Calvert	20	5.6
		Charles	35	11.5
		St. Mary's	26	6.3
	VisionQuest National	Baltimore City	8	4.6
		Baltimore Co.	15	5.7
		Carroll	7	3.5
		Harford	5	1.9
		Howard	30	8.2
		East. Shore	47	16.9
		Montgomery	31	11.3
		Pr. George's	28	15.5
	MST	Community Counseling and Mentoring Service, Inc.	Montgomery	10
Pr. George's			24	6.3
Community Solutions, Inc.		Baltimore Co.	12	5.2
Way Station, Inc.		Frederick	11	3.4
		Washington	9	2.6
FCT	Institute for Family Centered Services (IFCS), Inc.	Baltimore City	67	23.4
		Baltimore Co.	48	12.9
		Carroll	19	8.7
		Harford	6	2.5
		Howard	7	2.1
		Allegany	11	3.8
		Frederick	21	9.5
		Washington	25	6.6
		Caroline	2	0.5
		Cecil	5	2.1
		Dorchester	8	4.4
		Queen Anne's	1	0.6
		Somerset	5	1.8
		Wicomico	10	3.7
		Worcester	3	1.5
		Anne Arundel	11	5.0
		Calvert	6	1.9
		Charles	13	4.8
		St. Mary's	19	7.8
		Montgomery	19	7.7
Pr. George's	42	13.7		
Total Placements		<i>All shown</i>	729	256.5

• *Community-Based Family Therapy Program Placements (FY 2019):*

- 43.2% of placements were to FFT.
- 9.1% of placements were to MST.
- 47.7% of placements were to FCT.

• *Juveniles Placed (FY 2019):*

- At the time of placement to a community-based family therapy program, 53.8% of juveniles were under probation, 28.3% were on aftercare supervision, 13.9% were under pre-court supervision, and 4.1% were referred by DJS Intake without a formal supervision status.

• *Most Common Offense Type (FY 2019):*

- FFT was Second Degree Assault (29.8%).
- MST was Second Degree Assault (25.8%).
- FCT was Second Degree Assault (21.6%).

• *Average Length of Stay (FY 2019):*

- ALOS was highest for FCT was lowest for MST.

• *Average Daily Population (FY 2019):*

- ADP was highest for FCT and lowest for MST.

• *Completion Status According to Program Specific Criteria (FY 2019):*

- 82.9% of juveniles discharged from FFT* completed the program.
- 71.9% of juveniles discharged from MST* completed the program.
- 59.8% of juveniles discharged from FCT* completed the program.

*Note that these percentages reflect cases discharged within therapist control, which excludes youth who moved prior to completing the program, those referred to / placed in another program, or discharged for other administrative reasons.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2019

	FFT	MST	FCT	ADP
Reg I-Balt. City	2.5%	0.0%	19.3%	28.0
Reg II-Central	18.1%	18.2%	23.0%	50.7
Reg III-Western	0.0%	30.3%	16.4%	26.0
Reg IV-E. Shore	14.9%	0.0%	9.8%	31.5
Reg V-Southern	45.7%	0.0%	14.1%	62.5
Reg VI-Metro	18.7%	51.5%	17.5%	57.9
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0
Total Placements	315	66	348	256.5

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE[†], FY 2019

Most Serious Offense	FFT	MST	FCT
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	3.0%	0.9%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	1.3%	7.6%	2.6%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.4%	7.6%	5.5%
Carjacking	1.0%	1.5%	1.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.9%	4.5%	6.6%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	4.5%	1.4%
Disturbing the Peace	4.1%	0.0%	4.6%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.2%	1.5%	2.3%
Handgun Violation	2.2%	0.0%	3.4%
Malicious Destruction	5.7%	6.1%	4.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.9%	0.0%	1.7%
Murder	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.3%	1.5%	2.9%
Narcotics Possession	2.2%	6.1%	2.9%
Other/Missing ¹	9.5%	3.0%	5.7%
Resisting Arrest	1.6%	1.5%	0.9%
Robbery	7.9%	9.1%	10.6%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	29.8%	25.8%	21.6%
Sex Offense	2.9%	0.0%	2.6%
Theft Felony	0.6%	0.0%	1.1%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.8%	15.2%	10.9%
Trespassing	1.9%	0.0%	1.4%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	5.4%	0.0%	4.3%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	12.7%	16.7%	17.8%
Felony	7.6%	15.2%	15.5%
- Person-to-Person	2.2%	0.0%	1.4%
- Property	2.2%	9.1%	4.6%
- Drugs	0.3%	1.5%	2.9%
- Unspecified	2.9%	4.5%	6.6%
Misdemeanor	75.2%	65.2%	65.5%
- Person-to-Person	46.7%	33.3%	36.8%
- Property	21.3%	28.8%	20.4%
- Drugs	1.6%	3.0%	2.6%
- Unspecified	5.7%	0.0%	5.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Citations	0.6%	3.0%	0.3%
CINS	3.8%	0.0%	0.6%
Intestate Compact Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Total Placements	315	66	348

[†] Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to previous Data Resource Guides due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Electronic Mail Abuse, Extortion by Verbal Threat, False Alarm, False Report, Harassment, Motor Vehicle Tampering, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Truant, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


Note: FFT and MST data come from the Institute for Innovation and Implementation's EBS Database, except for offense data, which comes from ASSIST. The source for all FCT data is ASSIST.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2019

Demographics	FFT	MST	FCT
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	64.1%	65.2%	71.3%
White	25.7%	25.8%	22.4%
Hispanic/Other	10.2%	9.1%	6.3%
Sex			
Female	20.6%	25.8%	17.2%
Male	79.4%	74.2%	82.8%
Age			
11 and under	1.6%	0.0%	1.1%
12	5.1%	0.0%	2.0%
13	5.4%	7.6%	6.9%
14	14.3%	19.7%	12.1%
15	21.0%	25.8%	17.8%
16	22.9%	22.7%	28.2%
17	23.8%	24.2%	25.0%
18-20	6.0%	0.0%	6.9%
Total Placements	315	66	348

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2019



SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS AT DISCHARGE*, FY 2019



* Each program has its own measure of completion.

Section V: Recidivism Rates



Young women from the Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center, Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center, and J. DeWeese Carter Center participated in the CHAMPS (Changing Habits and Making Progressive Strides) Field Day event. CHAMPS is a rewards-based incentives program for youth in detention and committed facilities. The Field Day competition included an obstacle course, tug of war, volleyball match, basketball game, and trivia challenge. Field Day events teach teamwork, respect, and unity for all participants.

Measuring Recidivism Rates

Measuring recidivism for juvenile offenders is a vital part of program evaluation, and it is an essential part of understanding the effectiveness of interventions to address delinquency. Though other outcomes are also important to study, including education, employment, substance abuse, and other non-offense-related outcomes, recidivism remains a key measure of success for juvenile justice programs. Measuring recidivism rates involves examining a cohort of youth from a particular year, tracking their new arrests for the following year, then tracking resulting court dispositions for an additional year, to account for often lengthy court processing time. For this reason, Maryland publishes rearrest rates with a one-year lag, and reconviction and reincarceration with a two-year lag.

POST-COMMITMENT RECIDIVISM

DJS has undertaken and published annual recidivism reports for many years, which focused on youth returning from committed out-of-home placements. These studies have been refined and expanded over the years to encompass all out-of-home committed programs (from foster placements to secure confinement), to include information on both juvenile and adult reoffending, and to show three levels of recidivating: a new alleged offense, whether that new offense resulted in conviction, and whether a new out-of-home placement resulted. New offenses that occur on the same day of commitment release while youth are in a committed program are not counted as recidivism. Youth are followed for three years from release, and results are shown by year, level of recidivism, demographics, county, program type, and by individual program. It is important to note that although rates are presented by committed program, recidivism is affected by more than just the quality of the program. The risk levels of youth served, the quality of aftercare supervision after a youth is released, the community and/or family to which youth return, local economic opportunities, and other factors beyond the Department's control can all affect outcomes.

PROBATION RECIDIVISM

Because committed out-of-home placements make up a relatively small portion of the cases managed by the Department, in recent years DJS expanded the annual recidivism study to capture youth on probation. This cohort includes all youth with a new juvenile probation disposition during the year. Recidivism events are tracked from the disposition date for up to three years, regardless of how long a youth remains under supervision.

RECIDIVISM DEFINITION

The juvenile justice community has not reached a consensus on how best to define recidivism with one measure. Therefore, consistent with other studies, DJS focuses on several measures, including subsequent juvenile and/or criminal involvement of youth. Because some youth may age out of juvenile court jurisdiction during the recidivism follow-up period, it is important to include information from the adult criminal justice system and to report a combined juvenile and adult recidivism rate. For purposes of these recidivism studies, a new arrest includes all new delinquent or criminal felony or misdemeanor offenses. Not counted in recidivism rates are status offenses, traffic citations, ordinance, and violations of probation not including a new delinquent or criminal offense. It is important to note that only those new adjudications, convictions, commitments, and incarcerations that stem from a new arrest are included. Those stemming from offenses that occurred prior to the probation or commitment episode studied would not be counted. All recidivism rates are calculated at the youth level, rather than the case level. Youth who recidivate in both systems are counted only once by the first offense or arrest date during the follow-up period. It is, however, possible for a youth to appear in both the probation and committed study cohorts. The following chart includes data definitions used to analyze recidivism rates.

Revised Recidivism Methodology

Since FY 2015, recidivism events are reported according to the date of the new offense (for juvenile offenses) or arrest (for adult charges), rather than the date of any resulting court decision or placement. For example: a new offense in the first year after release, which is not adjudicated until the second year, is counted as a first-year adjudication. Also, only misdemeanor and felony offenses are counted for recidivism. Technical violations, citations or other non-delinquent referrals are not counted. In prior editions, the date of the court action or placement was used for reporting, and non-delinquent referrals were included. Also, the measure of probation recidivism has been expanded in the current Guide to include all probation cases, and not just first-time dispositions. This Guide includes a five-year chart using the revised methodology for all years to show the long-term trend.

Cohort	Definition	Clock Starts	Assigned to	Further Breakdown/ Summary
Probation	Youth with a probation disposition in FY. The first probation disposition is selected if youth have more than one.	Date of probation disposition	Region of jurisdiction of case manager	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Assessed Risk
Committed	Youth released from committed program in FY who stayed at least 16 days	Date of final release, excluding transfers	Final program from which youth was released	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Program Type, Program, Assessed Risk

Recidivism	Definition	Date Used	Excludes	Data Source
Juvenile Arrest	Subsequent offense referred to DJS	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes violations of probation or aftercare not involving a new delinquent offense; CINS referrals, citations, local ordinance violations, arrests in other states, arrests for offenses occurring prior to release, and arrests diverted by police and not referred to DJS.	DJS ASSIST
Adult Arrest	Adult arrest in Maryland	Arrest date within follow-up period	Excludes violations of probation or parole, alcohol citations, civil citations, arrests outside of Maryland, Federal holds, arrests for offenses that occur on the same day of release from a committed program.	CJIS
Juvenile Adjudication	Sustained delinquent adjudication included in reoffense	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes continued cases	DJS ASSIST
Adult Conviction	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest	Arrest date within follow-up period	Same as adult arrest	CJIS
Juvenile Commitment	Commitment stemming from offense included in reoffense and readjudication	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes commitments not resulting in out-of-home placement	DJS ASSIST
Adult Incarceration	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count resulting in a sentence of incarceration (or possible confinement)	Arrest date within follow-up period	Same as adult arrest	CJIS

For purposes of this *Data Resource Guide*, rates will be combined for the juvenile and adult system into three overall categories using juvenile and/or criminal justice recidivism measures and labeled as:

1. Rearrest
2. Reconviction
3. Reincarceration

DATA SOURCES

Information from two different databases (juvenile and adult) is retrieved, processed, and compiled for each of the selected released cohorts. Data gathering involves the following procedures:

- A list of all youths with a probation disposition, or released from the DJS committed residential programs is obtained from the Department's ASSIST management information system.
- Master cohort files are created containing gender, race, date of birth, county of jurisdiction, region of jurisdiction, county of residence, and region of residence. For committed youth, the last program name and program type from which youth was released during the release cohort is also included. Risk level is added using the MCASP assessment completed closest to the committed release date for committed youth and disposition date for probation youth.
- For both committed and probation cohort files, juvenile recidivism events are added from the ASSIST database, including subsequent arrests, adjudications, and commitments. Dates of offense are used to code recidivism events as occurring one, two, and three years after release.
- Adult arrest and court disposition information is obtained from the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), using DJS youth name, date of birth, race, and gender as identification or index fields. These records are added to a text file and returned to DJS with the rest of the response data. Name match is as follows: Smith, Gregory will match with Smith, Gregory and Smith Greg. Smith, Greg will match with Smith, Greg and Smith, Gr, but not with Smith, Gregory. DJS youth names are given in full and checked for any abbreviation as Gr or Greg for example.
- Youth who recidivate in both systems are counted only once by the first offense or arrest date during the follow-up period.

Overall Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases

6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2016-2018 RELEASES

Follow-up Period	FY2016 (N=980)			FY2017 (N=870)			FY2018 (N=671)		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration
6 Months	33.0%	12.8%	9.0%	32.5%	11.6%	7.9%	30.4%		
12 Months	46.5%	20.3%	15.1%	47.4%	19.0%	12.5%	43.8%		
24 Months	60.4%	30.0%	23.7%	61.4%	29.4%	19.7%			
36 Months	65.9%	34.5%	26.6%						

- Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, the 12-month rearrest rate decreased 2.7 percentage points. Between FY 2016 and FY 2017, the 12-month reconviction rate decreased 1.3 percentage points and the 12-month reincarceration rate decreased 2.6 percentage points.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017 RELEASES

Demographics	FY2017 Releases							
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Race/Ethnicity								
Black	618	314	50.8%	128	20.7%	90	14.6%	
White	186	72	38.7%	27	14.5%	13	7.0%	
Hispanic/Other	66	26	39.4%	10	15.2%	6	9.1%	
Sex								
Male	738	377	51.1%	155	21.0%	105	14.2%	
Female	132	35	26.5%	10	7.6%	4	3.0%	
Age at Release								
11 and Under	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
12	7	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	
13	25	16	64.0%	8	32.0%	2	8.0%	
14	73	41	56.2%	22	30.1%	17	23.3%	
15	148	94	63.5%	41	27.7%	26	17.6%	
16	215	118	54.9%	45	20.9%	26	12.1%	
17	247	90	36.4%	30	12.1%	21	8.5%	
18 or older	154	49	31.8%	17	11.0%	16	10.4%	
Total	870	412	47.4%	165	19.0%	109	12.5%	

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table to the left.

- Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures. Black youth had the highest rates for all recidivism measures.
- Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Note to Readers:

Throughout this recidivism section, analysis of trends information appearing in bullets is based on the actual data and not the rounded figures presented in the graphs/tables.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR RELEASES¹ BY PROGRAM TYPE SUMMARY, FY 2016 - 2018

Total for Each Program Type	FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Foster Care	59	35.6%	16.9%	10.2%	38	34.2%	5.3%	0.0%	25	28.0%		
Group Home*	265	37.4%	14.7%	9.4%	234	44.4%	19.7%	13.7%	149	31.5%		
Independent Living	21	23.8%	0.0%	0.0%	12	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	11	9.1%		
RTC	150	51.3%	15.3%	12.0%	154	46.1%	16.2%	9.1%	117	49.6%		
Out-of-State	75	52.0%	28.0%	21.3%	49	49.0%	26.5%	16.3%	40	52.5%		
State-Operated	410	52.4%	25.9%	20.2%	383	51.2%	20.4%	14.1%	329	48.6%		

¹Totals presented in the table above include each type of facility reported in that broad category. For example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as In-Home Foster Care. State-Operated includes Silver Oak Academy (a privately operated facility in Maryland).

* Data previously reported under a separate category of ICFA were merged under group homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for group homes are not comparable to previous DRGs.

- Analyses of trends are presented on the following pages for each specific program type.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2016-2018 RELEASES, BY REGION AND COUNTY

Region/ County	FY2016				FY2017				FY2018			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
R-I-Balt. City	172	59.3%	26.2%	22.1%	169	58.6%	25.4%	20.1%	149	51.0%		
Baltimore City	172	59.3%	26.2%	22.1%	169	58.6%	25.4%	20.1%	149	51.0%		
R-II-Central	126	54.8%	30.2%	23.8%	124	48.4%	24.2%	21.0%	123	51.2%		
Baltimore Co.	65	55.4%	36.9%	30.8%	55	56.4%	32.7%	29.1%	68	55.9%		
Carroll	22	63.6%	18.2%	13.6%	19	26.3%	5.3%	5.3%	10	20.0%		
Harford	23	47.8%	17.4%	4.3%	26	42.3%	15.4%	15.4%	20	45.0%		
Howard	16	50.0%	37.5%	37.5%	24	54.2%	29.2%	20.8%	25	56.0%		
R-III-Western	90	43.3%	21.1%	15.6%	94	52.1%	25.5%	11.7%	69	43.5%		
Allegany	16	50.0%	31.3%	31.3%	21	47.6%	28.6%	14.3%	12	25.0%		
Frederick	31	38.7%	22.6%	19.4%	33	54.5%	24.2%	9.1%	30	36.7%		
Garrett	4	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	4	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4	75.0%		
Washington	39	43.6%	15.4%	7.7%	36	58.3%	27.8%	13.9%	23	56.5%		
R-IV-Eastern	123	52.0%	16.3%	13.0%	92	55.4%	16.3%	4.3%	50	40.0%		
Caroline	4	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2	0.0%		
Cecil	13	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%	14	78.6%	28.6%	7.1%	5	80.0%		
Dorchester	17	47.1%	17.6%	17.6%	9	44.4%	11.1%	11.1%	8	12.5%		
Kent	3	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	0.0%		
Queen Anne's	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	6	50.0%		
Somerset	5	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4	75.0%	50.0%	0.0%	3	66.7%		
Talbot	8	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	3	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	1	0.0%		
Wicomico	60	61.7%	15.0%	13.3%	46	50.0%	10.9%	2.2%	21	47.6%		
Worcester	10	70.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3	0.0%		
R-V-Southern	178	39.3%	14.6%	8.4%	171	35.1%	9.9%	5.8%	119	38.7%		
Anne Arundel	98	40.8%	14.3%	9.2%	84	36.9%	7.1%	3.6%	66	39.4%		
Calvert	8	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13	53.8%	30.8%	15.4%	7	42.9%		
Charles	45	42.2%	20.0%	11.1%	39	33.3%	7.7%	5.1%	28	32.1%		
St. Mary's	27	33.3%	11.1%	3.7%	35	25.7%	11.4%	8.6%	18	44.4%		
R-VI-Metro	274	39.1%	17.9%	12.0%	204	42.6%	15.2%	9.8%	151	37.7%		
Montgomery	87	47.1%	23.0%	13.8%	86	51.2%	19.8%	10.5%	79	46.8%		
Prince George's	187	35.3%	15.5%	11.2%	118	36.4%	11.9%	9.3%	72	27.8%		
Out-of-State	17	29.4%	11.8%	11.8%	16	37.5%	31.3%	25.0%	10	20.0%		
Statewide	980	46.5%	20.3%	15.1%	870	47.4%	19.0%	12.5%	671	43.8%		

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore, if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.


COMMITTED PROGRAM RELEASES BY RISK LEVEL *, FY 2013-2017 RELEASES



* Percentages for each FY may not add to 100% due to cases with missing or incomplete risk assessments.

- The percentage of high risk cases has increased 8.3 percentage points between FY 2013 and FY 2017. The highest percentage of youth fall into the high-risk category in each fiscal year. This is especially important when considered in conjunction with the data presented in the graph below.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL *, FY 2017 RELEASES



* There were 12 youth who did not have MCASP risk levels. Percentages are calculated excluding these youth.

- Youth with a high risk level had higher recidivism rates for all three measures.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES, FY 2013-2017 RELEASES



- Rearrest and reconviction rates were lowest in FY 2015. Reincarceration rates were at their lowest in FY 2017.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR FOSTER CARE RELEASES,
FY 2016 - FY 2018***

Treatment Foster Care	FY2016				FY2017				FY2018			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Arrow Child & Fam. Ministries	4	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Board of Child Care	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0		
Children's Choice - Baltimore	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Children's Choice - Salisbury	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0	2	0		
Children's Home	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Greenleaf	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1		
Hearts & Homes for Youth - Family	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Ment. MD-Balt	11	7	4	3	10	4	1	0	1	0		
Ment. MD-Lanham	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Ment. MD-Salisbury Teens	17	4	1	1	10	5	1	0	9	3		
Multi-Dimensional	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
PSI Services III	3	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Pressley Ridge	10	5	4	1	5	1	0	0	5	3		
San Mar	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0		
Woodbourne Center	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0		
Treatment Foster Care Total	56	37.5%	17.9%	10.7%	36	33.3%	5.6%	0.0%	25	28.0%		
In-Home Foster Care												
In-Home Foster	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	N/A		
Total Foster Care	59	35.6%	16.9%	10.2%	38	34.2%	5.3%	0.0%	25	28.0%		

For total Foster Care releases (including Treatment and In-Home Foster Care):

- Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, the rearrest rate decreased 7.6 percentage points.
- Between FY 2016 and FY 2017:
 - The reconviction rate decreased 11.7 percentage points.
 - The reincarceration rate decreased from 10.2% to 0.0%.

Note: Throughout this section, programs that had no releases within a fiscal year will have N/A reported for all recidivism measures. For FY 2018, reconviction and reincarceration rates are not available due to court dispositions requiring an additional year to account for lengthy court processing time.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2016 - FY 2018*

Group Home	FY2016				FY2017				FY2018			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
ARC of Washington	3	1	0	0	8	3	2	2	0	N/A		
Board of Child Care	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0		
Catoctin Summit Adol. Prog	14	2	1	1	12	4	1	0	13	2		
Cedar Ridge	12	7	2	2	14	6	4	4	10	4		
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	8	3	1	1	8	5	4	4	9	1		
Greentree Adolescent	3	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Hearts and Homes	25	12	5	2	19	5	3	3	15	6		
MAGIC	3	1	0	0	4	2	1	1	1	0		
Morning Star Youth Academy	15	8	6	4	34	18	8	2	27	10		
Oak Hill House	11	7	2	1	15	8	2	2	12	9		
One Love	9	2	1	1	4	1	0	0	8	4		
Our House	16	4	2	0	16	8	3	3	6	1		
Salem Trust	19	7	2	2	12	5	1	1	6	1		
San Mar-Jone Bowman	2	2	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Shining Tree	8	4	2	2	11	5	3	1	0	N/A		
St. Ann's Infant & Maternity Program	5	2	2	1	3	1	0	0	1	1		
Group Home	156	41.0%	17.3%	10.9%	161	44.1%	19.9%	14.3%	111	35.1%		
Therapeutic Group Home (TGH)												
Avis Birely**	8	4	3	2	12	7	4	2	8	1		
Board of Child Care	13	5	0	0	7	1	0	0	3	1		
Cedar Ridge	9	5	2	2	14	4	1	1	8	2		
Mary's Mount Manor**	17	5	2	1	6	4	1	1	3	0		
San Mar-Allegany Girls	5	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
TGH Total	52	38.5%	13.5%	9.6%	39	41.0%	15.4%	10.3%	22	18.2%		
Intermediate Care for Addictions Facility (ICFA)												
Lois E. Jackson Unit	48	13	3	2	29	16	7	5	4	1		
MTC-Mountain Manor	9	2	2	1	5	1	1	0	12	3		
ICFA Total	57	26.3%	8.8%	5.3%	34	50.0%	23.5%	14.7%	16	25.0%		
Total Group Home TGH, and ICFA	265	37.4%	14.7%	9.4%	234	44.4%	19.7%	13.7%	149	31.5%		

** Operated by Hearts and Homes; Avis Birely was formerly Redl House
 For total Group Home releases including TGH and ICFA:

- Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, the rearrest rate decreased 5.8 percentage points.
- Between FY 2016 and FY 2017, the reconviction rate increased 4.9 percentage points and the reincarceration rate increased 4.2 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

Data previously reported under the separate category of ICFA were merged under group homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for group homes are not comparable to previous DRGs.

**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING RELEASES,
 FY 2016 - FY 2018***

Independent Living	FY2016				FY2017				FY2018			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Damamli	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Future Bound	5	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	N/A		
Jumoke	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1		
Mentor Maryland - Baltimore	5	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	0		
Mentor Maryland-Salisbury	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0		
New Pathways - Independence Plus	3	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	3	0		
San Mar - Anderson	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Independent Living Total	19	26.3%	0.0%	0.0%	12	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	9	11.1%		
Alternative Living Units												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0		
Alternative Living Units	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0.0%		
Total Independent Living & Alternative Living Units	21	23.8%	0.0%	0.0%	12	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	11	9.1%		

For total Independent Living releases including Alternative Living Units:

- Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, the rearrest rate decreased 14.7 percentage points.
- Between FY 2016 and FY 2017:
 - The conviction rate increased from 0.0% to 8.3%.
 - The reincarceration rate increased from 0.0% to 8.3%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR RTC RELEASES, FY 2016 - FY 2018*

Residential Treatment Centers (RTC)	FY2016				FY2017				FY2018			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
GoodShep. Ctr Females	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	0	N/A		
GoodShep. Ctr Males	20	5	0	0	8	3	0	0	0	N/A		
Jefferson School	7	3	2	2	7	3	1	0	14	5		
New Directions Chesapeake	5	1	0	0	17	8	3	2	10	4		
Potomac Ridge	8	5	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	N/A		
RICA Baltimore	3	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	2		
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	21	16	3	2	28	12	3	0	33	19		
Right Moves Ches. Tr. Ctr - Hickey	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	3	1	1	7	6		
Villa Maria	3	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1		
Woodbourne	18	8	4	4	27	13	8	6	12	9		
Residential Treat. Total	89	49.4%	16.9%	13.5%	97	46.4%	18.6%	11.3%	80	57.5%		
Psychiatric Hospitals												
Behavioral Health Hospital Rockville	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Brook Lane Hosp.	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
East. Shore Acute Unit	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Sheppard Pratt Ellicott City and Towson	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	1	1	0	0	N/A		
Spring Grove Hosp. Ctr	26	12	4	4	30	13	2	1	18	3		
Springfield Adult Hospital Center	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Thomas Finan Ctr.	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Psychiatric Hosp. Total	32	50.0%	15.6%	15.6%	39	35.9%	7.7%	2.6%	18	16.7%		
Diagnostic Units/CEU												
Arrow Child & Family Ministries	23	13	2	0	13	9	3	2	17	9		
Children's Home	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	0		
RICA Rockville	5	3	1	1	3	2	0	0	1	0		
Diag. Units/CEU Total	29	58.6%	10.3%	3.4%	18	66.7%	22.2%	11.1%	19	47.4%		
Total RTC	150	51.3%	15.3%	12.0%	154	46.1%	16.2%	9.1%	117	49.6%		

For total RTC releases including Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Units/CEU:

- Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, the rearrest rate decreased 1.8 percentage points.
- Between FY 2016 and FY 2017, the reconviction rate remained relatively stable (increased 0.9 percentage points), and the reincarceration rate decreased 2.9 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2016 - FY 2018*

Residential Treatment Facility OOS	FY2016				FY2017				FY2018			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Boys' Town	1	1	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Devereux (Florida)	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Devereux (Georgia)	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	N/A		
Kid Link - Coastal Harbor Treat Ctr	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
UHS of DE - Harbor Point	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	3		
UHS of DE-Laurel	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
UHS of DE - Sandy Pines	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	0	1	1		
Residential Treat. Facility Total	4	75.0%	75.0%	50.0%	4	50.0%	50.0%	25.0%	5	80.0%		
Staff Secure OOS												
Canyon State	7	7	6	5	3	1	1	0	4	2		
Clarinda Academy	3	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	2	2		
Cornell Abraxas	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Glen Mills School	16	9	6	4	10	6	6	5	7	2		
KidLink Network- Found. for Living	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A		
Natchez Trace Yth Acad	4	2	1	1	6	1	0	0	5	3		
New Outlook Acad.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0	0	0	5	1		
Summit Academy	3	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	0		
Woodward Academy	6	3	1	1	2	1	1	0	3	2		
Staff Secure Total	41	56.1%	34.1%	26.8%	30	43.3%	26.7%	16.7%	27	44.4%		

- The Out-of-State Facility Releases table is continued on the next page, and trend analyses are presented there.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2016 - FY 2018* (CONTINUED)

Hardware Secure - OOS	FY2016				FY2017				FY2018			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Acadia Health Care	6	3	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Capstone Academy												
CCS Turning Point	9	5	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Cornell Abraxas Acad.	7	2	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1		
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	6	2	1	1	9	7	2	1	1	1		
Mid-Atlantic West. PA	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	2		
Wolverine Treat. Ctr.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1		
Hardware Secure OOS Total	30	43.3%	13.3%	10.0%	15	60.0%	20.0%	13.3%	8	62.5%		
Out-of-State	75	52.0%	28.0%	21.3%	49	49.0%	26.5%	16.3%	40	52.5%		

For Out-of-State releases including Residential Treatment Facilities, Staff Secure, and Hardware Secure:

- Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, the rearrest rate remained relatively stable (increased 0.5 percentage points).
- Between FY 2016 and FY 2017, the reconviction rate decreased 1.5 percentage points, and the reincarceration rate decreased 5.0 percentage points.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR STATE-OPERATED AND PRIVATELY OPERATED FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2016 - FY 2018*

State-Operated Facilities	FY2016				FY2017				FY2018			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Backbone Mtn Yth Ctr.	63	32	16	11	67	33	16	10	74	36		
Green Ridge Mtn Quest	26	17	6	5	22	14	7	4	21	13		
Green Ridge- Yth Ctr.	26	16	9	3	52	20	8	5	51	28		
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr.	17	9	3	3	17	4	1	1	16	5		
Meadow Mtn Yth Ctr.	57	28	13	10	59	30	9	7	48	20		
Savage Mtn Yth Center	47	28	14	11	53	37	12	8	5	3		
Victor Cullen Center	77	37	25	24	54	33	15	12	68	37		
William Donald Schaefer House	34	19	6	6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Total State Operated	347	53.6%	26.5%	21.0%	324	52.8%	21.0%	14.5%	283	50.2%		
Privately Operated Facility												
Silver Oak Academy	63	29	14	10	59	25	10	7	46	18		
Total Privately Operated	63	46.0%	22.2%	15.9%	59	42.4%	16.9%	11.9%	46	39.1%		
Total State and Privately Operated	410	52.4%	25.9%	20.2%	383	51.2%	20.4%	14.1%	329	48.6%		

For State-Operated Facility and Silver Oak releases:

- Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, the rearrest rate decreased 3.8 percentage points.
- Between FY 2016 and FY 2017, the reconviction rate decreased 5.5 percentage points, and the reincarceration rate decreased 6.1 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

**ONE-, TWO-, AND THREE-YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR
FY 2016 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE**

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest			Reconviction			Reincarceration		
		1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr
Foster Care Total	59	35.6%	44.1%	49.2%	16.9%	20.3%	23.7%	10.2%	11.9%	13.6%
Treatment Foster Care	56	37.5%	46.4%	51.8%	17.9%	21.4%	25.0%	10.7%	12.5%	14.3%
In-Home Foster Care	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Group Home Total*	265	37.4%	50.6%	57.0%	14.7%	24.2%	27.2%	9.4%	17.4%	18.5%
Group Home	156	41.0%	53.2%	60.9%	17.3%	26.9%	31.4%	10.9%	19.2%	21.2%
Therapeutic Group Home	52	38.5%	50.0%	55.8%	13.5%	23.1%	25.0%	9.6%	17.3%	17.3%
ICFA	57	26.3%	43.9%	47.4%	8.8%	17.5%	17.5%	5.3%	12.3%	12.3%
Independent Living Total	21	23.8%	33.3%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
Independent Living	19	26.3%	31.6%	36.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%
Alternative Living Units	2	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
RTC Total	150	51.3%	64.0%	68.7%	15.3%	24.7%	30.0%	12.0%	20.0%	23.3%
Residential Treatment Facility	89	49.4%	61.8%	66.3%	16.9%	25.8%	31.5%	13.5%	21.3%	24.7%
Psychiatric Hospital	32	50.0%	62.5%	68.8%	15.6%	25.0%	31.3%	15.6%	21.9%	25.0%
Diagnostic Units/CEU	29	58.6%	72.4%	75.9%	10.3%	20.7%	24.1%	3.4%	13.8%	17.2%
Out-of-State Total	75	52.0%	61.3%	62.7%	28.0%	40.0%	44.0%	21.3%	34.7%	37.3%
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	4	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Staff Secure - OOS	41	56.1%	61.0%	63.4%	34.1%	41.5%	43.9%	26.8%	36.6%	39.0%
Hardware Secure - OOS	30	43.3%	56.7%	56.7%	13.3%	33.3%	40.0%	10.0%	30.0%	33.3%
State Operated Total	347	53.6%	70.6%	76.4%	26.5%	36.9%	42.1%	21.0%	30.0%	33.7%
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr.	63	50.8%	66.7%	71.4%	25.4%	30.2%	33.3%	17.5%	20.6%	25.4%
Green Ridge Youth Center	26	61.5%	73.1%	76.9%	34.6%	50.0%	50.0%	11.5%	34.6%	34.6%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	26	65.4%	73.1%	80.8%	23.1%	46.2%	50.0%	19.2%	34.6%	34.6%
J. DeWeese Carter Center	17	52.9%	52.9%	58.8%	17.6%	17.6%	29.4%	17.6%	17.6%	29.4%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	57	49.1%	68.4%	75.4%	22.8%	35.1%	38.6%	17.5%	29.8%	31.6%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	47	59.6%	78.7%	87.2%	29.8%	36.2%	40.4%	23.4%	29.8%	34.0%
Victor Cullen Center	77	48.1%	72.7%	76.6%	32.5%	44.2%	48.1%	31.2%	40.3%	44.2%
William Donald Schaefer House	34	55.9%	70.6%	76.5%	17.6%	29.4%	47.1%	17.6%	23.5%	29.4%
Silver Oak (Privately-Operated DJS Youth Only)	63	46.0%	60.3%	66.7%	22.2%	36.5%	42.9%	15.9%	30.2%	36.5%
Statewide Total	980	46.5%	60.4%	65.9%	20.3%	30.0%	34.5%	15.1%	23.7%	26.6%

* Data previously reported under the separate category of ICFA data were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home Total cannot be compared to previous DRGs.

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2016 releases at 1 and 3 years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 46.5% and within 3 years it was 65.9%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 20.3% and within 3 years it was 34.5%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 15.1% and within 3 years it was 26.6%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

ONE- AND TWO-YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2017 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest		Reconviction		Reincarceration	
		1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr
<i>Foster Care Total</i>	38	34.2%	44.7%	5.3%	15.8%	0.0%	5.3%
Treatment Foster Care	36	33.3%	44.4%	5.6%	13.9%	0.0%	5.6%
In-Home Foster Care	2	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Group Home Total*</i>	234	44.4%	56.4%	19.7%	31.2%	13.7%	19.2%
Group Home	161	44.1%	55.9%	19.9%	29.8%	14.3%	19.3%
Therapeutic Group Home	39	41.0%	59.0%	15.4%	33.3%	10.3%	20.5%
ICFA Total	34	50.0%	55.9%	23.5%	35.3%	14.7%	17.6%
<i>Independent Living Total</i>	12	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%
Independent Living	12	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%
Alternative Living Units	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>RTC Total</i>	154	46.1%	59.1%	16.2%	28.6%	9.1%	18.2%
Residential Treatment Facility	97	46.4%	60.8%	18.6%	33.0%	11.3%	21.6%
Psychiatric Hospital	39	35.9%	51.3%	7.7%	17.9%	2.6%	10.3%
Diagnostic Units / CEU	18	66.7%	66.7%	22.2%	27.8%	11.1%	16.7%
<i>Out-of-State Total</i>	49	49.0%	67.3%	26.5%	34.7%	16.3%	24.5%
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	4	50.0%	75.0%	50.0%	75.0%	25.0%	50.0%
Staff Secure - OOS	30	43.3%	63.3%	26.7%	33.3%	16.7%	23.3%
Hardware Secure - OOS	15	60.0%	73.3%	20.0%	26.7%	13.3%	20.0%
<i>State Operated Total</i>	324	52.8%	66.7%	21.0%	30.2%	14.5%	21.3%
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr.	67	49.3%	64.2%	23.9%	29.9%	14.9%	20.9%
Green Ridge Youth Center	52	38.5%	51.9%	15.4%	21.2%	9.6%	13.5%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	22	63.6%	72.7%	31.8%	40.9%	18.2%	22.7%
J. DeWeese Carter Youth Center	17	23.5%	35.3%	5.9%	17.6%	5.9%	5.9%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	59	50.8%	67.8%	15.3%	23.7%	11.9%	18.6%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	53	69.8%	79.2%	22.6%	39.6%	15.1%	26.4%
Victor Cullen Center	54	61.1%	77.8%	27.8%	37.0%	22.2%	31.5%
William Donald Schaefer House	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Silver Oak (Privately-Operated DJS Youth)</i>	59	42.4%	67.8%	16.9%	27.1%	11.9%	22.0%
<i>Statewide Total</i>	870	47.4%	61.4%	19.0%	29.4%	12.5%	19.7%

* Data previously reported under the separate category of ICFA data were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home Total cannot be compared to previous DRGs.

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2017 releases at 1 and 2 years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 47.4% and within 2 years it was 61.4%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 19.0% and within 2 years it was 29.4%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 12.5% and within 2 years it was 19.7%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

Overall Recidivism Rates for Youth with Probation Dispositions

6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2016-2018 PROBATION YOUTH⁺

Follow-up Period	FY2016 (N=2,520)			FY2017 (N=2,240)			FY2018 (N=2,134)		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*
6 Months	30.4%	12.1%	5.5%	32.6%	13.6%	6.9%	30.1%		
12 Months	44.4%	19.4%	9.6%	45.7%	20.4%	10.7%	43.3%		
24 Months	56.8%	27.3%	14.8%	57.7%	28.6%	15.4%			
36 Months	62.2%	31.4%	17.9%						

- Between FY 2016 and FY 2018, the 12-month rearrest rate decreased 1.1 percentage points.
- Between FY 2016 and FY 2017:
 - The 12-month reconviction rate increased 0.9 percentage points.
 - The 12-month incarceration rate increased 1.1 percentage points.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS FOR PROBATION YOUTH⁺, FY 2017

Demographics	FY2017						
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*			
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	1,532	776	50.7%	363	23.7%	195	12.7%
White	553	194	35.1%	75	13.6%	34	6.1%
Hispanic/Other	155	53	34.2%	18	11.6%	10	6.5%
Sex							
Male	1,842	878	47.7%	405	22.0%	222	12.1%
Female	398	145	36.4%	51	12.8%	17	4.3%
Age at Release							
11 and Under	20	9	45.0%	3	15.0%	1	5.0%
12	37	18	48.6%	10	27.0%	4	10.8%
13	139	67	48.2%	32	23.0%	15	10.8%
14	263	127	48.3%	64	24.3%	36	13.7%
15	445	236	53.0%	113	25.4%	58	13.0%
16	514	257	50.0%	119	23.2%	57	11.1%
17	559	246	44.0%	93	16.6%	49	8.8%
18 or older	263	63	24.0%	22	8.4%	19	7.2%
Total	2,240	1,023	45.7%	456	20.4%	239	10.7%

Note: The probation cohort includes youth placed on probation (not youth released from probation). Therefore, recidivism is measured starting at the disposition date.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
 - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
 - Black youth had the highest rates for all measures.
 - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

⁺Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides. * Since the probation cohort includes youth who may not have been previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects a subsequent commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR PROBATION YOUTH, BY REGION AND COUNTY⁺, FY 2016-2018

Region/ County	FY2016				FY2017				FY2018			
	Place- ments	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration*	Place- ments	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration*	Place- ments	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration*
R-I-Balt. City	347	57.3%	33.1%	20.7%	304	59.5%	28.9%	18.1%	266	54.9%		
Baltimore City	347	57.3%	33.1%	20.7%	304	59.5%	28.9%	18.1%	266	54.9%		
R-II-Central	777	45.6%	21.1%	8.8%	757	45.2%	21.9%	10.0%	671	44.3%		
Baltimore Co.	511	43.8%	21.7%	7.6%	514	46.3%	21.6%	10.3%	449	47.2%		
Carroll	51	45.1%	19.6%	17.6%	40	35.0%	20.0%	10.0%	52	26.9%		
Harford	102	41.2%	15.7%	7.8%	107	39.3%	20.6%	7.5%	87	42.5%		
Howard	113	57.5%	23.9%	10.6%	96	50.0%	26.0%	11.5%	83	41.0%		
R-III-Western	244	45.1%	20.1%	8.2%	189	49.2%	21.7%	12.2%	226	43.4%		
Allegany	64	37.5%	14.1%	10.9%	50	44.0%	16.0%	8.0%	56	35.7%		
Frederick	93	37.6%	19.4%	5.4%	71	45.1%	28.2%	14.1%	82	41.5%		
Garrett	9	55.6%	22.2%	0.0%	14	28.6%	14.3%	0.0%	20	45.0%		
Washington	78	59.0%	25.6%	10.3%	54	64.8%	20.4%	16.7%	68	51.5%		
R-IV-Eastern	284	44.0%	12.7%	5.6%	255	38.8%	14.5%	4.7%	242	41.3%		
Caroline	15	40.0%	6.7%	0.0%	15	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11	45.5%		
Cecil	66	42.4%	12.1%	1.5%	51	41.2%	27.5%	3.9%	55	30.9%		
Dorchester	33	54.5%	12.1%	0.0%	33	57.6%	15.2%	0.0%	44	56.8%		
Kent	10	30.0%	10.0%	0.0%	7	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	2	50.0%		
Queen Anne's	10	40.0%	30.0%	30.0%	13	30.8%	15.4%	15.4%	13	46.2%		
Somerset	10	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	13	46.2%		
Talbot	9	55.6%	11.1%	11.1%	8	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12	41.7%		
Wicomico	70	58.6%	18.6%	14.3%	73	39.7%	9.6%	5.5%	53	43.4%		
Worcester	61	29.5%	8.2%	1.6%	48	20.8%	10.4%	4.2%	39	30.8%		
R-V-Southern	473	40.6%	16.1%	7.2%	355	42.3%	14.1%	7.0%	339	39.2%		
Anne Arundel	208	47.1%	17.8%	8.2%	151	44.4%	18.5%	10.6%	178	37.6%		
Calvert	39	17.9%	12.8%	2.6%	34	38.2%	14.7%	11.8%	33	39.4%		
Charles	123	39.0%	17.9%	8.1%	98	51.0%	13.3%	4.1%	85	42.4%		
St. Mary's	103	37.9%	11.7%	5.8%	72	27.8%	5.6%	1.4%	43	39.5%		
R-VI-Metro	395	35.4%	12.7%	7.8%	380	41.6%	19.5%	12.6%	390	38.5%		
Montgomery	154	48.7%	22.7%	14.9%	190	45.3%	22.1%	15.8%	225	43.6%		
Prince George's	241	27.0%	6.2%	3.3%	190	37.9%	16.8%	9.5%	165	31.5%		
Statewide	2,520	44.4%	19.4%	9.6%	2,240	45.7%	20.4%	10.7%	2,134	43.3%		

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of placements. Some counties have a small number of placements; therefore, if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

⁺Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides. * Since the probation cohort includes youth who may not have been previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects a subsequent commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.


PROBATION YOUTH BY RISK LEVEL¹, FY 2013-2017



¹ Percentages for each FY may not add to 100% due to cases with missing or incomplete risk assessments.

- The proportion of high risk probation cases has increased 3.2 percentage points between FY 2016 and FY 2017. This is important to note as assessed risk predicts recidivism, so high risk youth tend to recidivate at higher rates.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL¹ FOR PROBATION YOUTH⁺, FY 2017



¹ There were 19 youth who did not have MCASP risk levels. Percentages are calculated excluding these youth.

- For all three measures, youth with high risk levels had the highest recidivism rates though consideration needs to be given to the smaller number of youth with a high risk.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR PROBATION YOUTH⁺, FY 2013-2017



- Rearrest rates have increased slightly each year since FY 2013. Reconviction rates were lowest in FY 2016, and incarceration rates were lowest in FY 2015.

⁺ Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides. * Since the probation cohort includes youth who may not have been previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects a subsequent commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.

Appendices



Youth at Green Ridge Youth Center participated in Human Hungry Hungry Hippos game where a player has to grab and place a basket over as many balls as possible and return them to his team's corner.

Appendix A

COMMUNITY SERVICES STAFFING DISTRIBUTION AS OF JUNE 30, 2019

Facilities (By Region)	CMS ⁺		Mgmt ⁺	Admn	RA/CD		Res. Spec.		Services ⁺	Secure ⁺	Other ⁺	Total
	Spec	Sup			Offr	Sup	Spec	Sup				
R-I-Baltimore City												
CD - BCJJC	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
CD - MYRC	0	0	1	1	34	6	0	0	0	0	0	42
Evening Reporting Center Office	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Gay Street Office	37	8	1	11	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	63
Hilton Street Office	9	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	18
Plaza Office	7	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	13
R-II-Central												
Arbutus Office	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Bel Air Office	7	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Ellicott City Office	7	2	0	2	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	21
Essex Office	12	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
Garrison Office	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Hunt Valley Office	8	4	1	5	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	23
Westminster Office	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
R-III-Western												
Allegany Co Office	4	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	9
Frederick Office	7	2	2	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	16
Hagerstown Office	7	2	0	3	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	18
Oakland Office	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Cambridge Office	4	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
CD - LESCC	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Centreville Office	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Chestertown Office	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Denton Office	2	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
Easton Office	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7
Elkton Office	5	1	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
Ocean City Office*	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Princess Anne Office	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5
Salisbury Office	10	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Snow Hill Office	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
R-IV-Eastern												
Annapolis Office	13	3	2	6	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	29
Glen Burnie Office	8	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
LaPlata Office	8	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Leonardtown Office	6	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Prince Frederick Office	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
R-V-Southern												
Largo Office	20	5	0	9	5	0	1	1	0	0	1	42
Rockville Office	22	3	1	4	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	33
Silver Spring Office	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
Upper Marlboro Office	10	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	18
R-VI-Metro												
Total Filled	250	62	17	77	72	10	13	5	7	4	3	520
Total Vacancies	21	14	2	6	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	51

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

*Ocean City Office is a seasonal office, staffed by contractual employees.

+ "CMS" means Case Management Specialist; "Mgmt" includes management and Assistant Area Directors; "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Secure" includes Police Officer Trainee or Building Security Officer classifications; "Other" includes recreation and program specialists.

Appendix B

DETENTION CENTER STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2019

Facility (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services ⁺	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Rec*	Total
	Advis	Sup										
R-I-Baltimore City												
BCJJC**	108	9	8	11	3	5	18	17	9	4	2	194
R-II-Central												
Hickey School**	102	6	6	6	4	5	8	12	4	3	2	158
R-III-Western												
WMCC	31	4	2	1	3	1	2	5	5	1	0	55
R-IV-Eastern												
LESCC	29	4	2	1	1	1	1	4	5	1	1	50
R-V-Southern												
Waxter Center	50	6	3	3	6	2	2	7	3	2	1	85
R-VI-Metro												
Cheltenham**	79	7	6	10	4	1	5	9	7	3	1	132
Noyes Children's Ctr.	45	5	4	4	5	1	1	0	4	2	1	72
Total Filled	444	41	31	36	26	16	37	54	37	16	8	746
Total Vacancies	7	3	8	4	4	1	3	4	9	0	2	45

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

* "GLM" represents Group Life Manager; "CMS" represents Case Management Specialist; "Facil" represents Facility Maintenance at all facilities, and at BCJJC it represents Facility Maintenance and Building Security Officers; "Rec" represents recreation.

+ "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health.

**Direct clinical services are provided by contracted vendors. For the MAST initiative, evaluations are conducted by DJS behavioral health staff which include full-time psychologist and social work positions.

Appendix C

COMMITTED PROGRAM STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2019

Facility (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services ⁺	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Rec*	Total
	Advis	Sup										
R-III (Western)												
Backbone Mt. Yth Ctr	36	3	4	5	2	1	2	3	0	2	1	59
Green Ridge Yth Ctr	30	2	3	5	3	1	2	6	0	2	1	55
Meadow Mt. Yth Ctr	26	3	3	5	2	1	2	6	0	2	2	52
Savage Mt. Yth Ctr	25	1	4	4	2	1	2	3	0	2	0	44
Victor Cullen	44	7	2	4	0	2	6	7	7	3	1	83
Yth Ctrs Central Office	0	0	0	1	0	3	4	0	0	1	0	9
R-IV (Eastern)												
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr	14	2	3	1	0	1	1	0	2	2	1	27
Total Filled	175	18	19	25	9	10	19	25	9	14	6	329
Total Vacancies	19	2	4	1	15	1	0	1	2	0	2	47

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

* "GLM" represents Group Life Manager; "CMS" represents Case Management Specialist; "Facil" represents Facility Maintenance; "Rec" represents recreation.

+ "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health.

Appendix D

STATE-OPERATED FACILITY EXPENDITURES, FY 2019

	General Admin.	Mainten.	Education Services*	Somatic Health	Direct Care	Dietary Services	Mental Health Services	Juvenile Incent.	Grand Total
Baltimore City Juv. Justice Ctr.	\$3,313,923	\$1,678,672	\$462,866	\$1,899,794	\$12,486,163	\$1,770,036	\$1,960,246	\$13,431	\$23,585,131
Carter Ctr.	\$440,613	\$285,175	\$34,465	\$519,594	\$1,637,831	\$147,848	\$207,350	\$0	\$3,272,876
Cheltenham	\$2,095,424	\$811,277	\$265,448	\$1,757,117	\$9,750,996	\$861,429	\$2,072,370	\$1	\$17,614,060
Green Ridge	\$443,759	\$176,047	\$153,658 ⁺	\$1,370	\$3,636,551	\$450,492	\$260,160	\$1,341	\$4,969,720
Hickey	\$1,174,671	\$1,548,309	\$371,276	\$1,308,317	\$10,392,451	\$974,921	\$1,213,710	\$2,943	\$16,986,598
Lower Eastern Shore Child. Ctr.	\$402,528	\$389,707	\$110,482	\$837,451	\$3,559,011	\$461,177	\$310,254	\$7	\$6,070,617
Noyes	\$913,746	\$166,562	\$188,546	\$1,097,770	\$5,958,261	\$593,530	\$508,990	\$754	\$9,428,159
Statewide Youth Centers**	\$1,165,532	\$2,962,683	\$374,651 ⁺	\$1,420,278	\$11,910,956	\$1,304,396	\$1,225,936	\$1,005	\$20,519,096
Victor Cullen	\$1,380,373	\$1,030,639	\$66,491	\$1,027,822	\$5,361,886	\$593,735	\$493,789	\$0	\$9,954,735
Waxter	\$1,692,907	\$702,490	\$141,903	\$1,126,087	\$4,888,330	\$479,308	\$664,652	\$147	\$9,695,825
Western MD Children's Ctr.	\$539,794	\$280,945	\$103,134	\$791,241	\$2,820,771	\$430,999	\$192,150	\$1,536	\$5,160,570
Grand Total	\$13,563,271	\$10,032,505	\$2,272,920	\$11,786,840	\$72,403,206	\$8,067,872	\$9,109,607	\$21,166	\$127,257,388

*Education costs reflect the amounts collected from Local Education Agencies. The funds are transferred to MSDE, which is responsible for operation of the education programs in DJS facilities.

**Only includes statewide Youth Centers (Backbone Mountain, Meadow Mountain, and Savage Mountain).

⁺In previous DRGs, expenditures for Green Ridge education services were included under Statewide Youth Centers.

Appendix E

DJS has changed the way it reports daily costs for facility operations. The method used in DRGs prior to FY 2019 reported cost based on a simple per-diem rate calculation. This was determined to misrepresent the actual daily operations cost. Facility populations fluctuate daily, and it costs the same to staff, heat, and maintain a 12-bed unit, whether it houses 7 youth or 12 youth on a given night. The new method uses the bed capacity to calculate the daily rate: Total annual expenditures (not including education costs budgeted to MSDE) / 365 days / Number of beds.

STATE-OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY 2019

	Total Expenditures ¹	Capacity	Per Diem Cost Per Bed
Baltimore City Juv. Justice Ctr.	\$23,122,265	120	\$527.91
Carter	\$3,238,411	14	\$633.74
Cheltenham	\$17,348,612	72	\$660.15
Green Ridge	\$4,969,720	40	\$340.39
Hickey	\$16,615,322	72	\$632.24
Lower Eastern Shore Child. Ctr.	\$5,960,136	24	\$680.38
Noyes	\$9,239,613	57	\$444.11
Statewide Youth Centers*	\$19,990,787	112	\$489.01
Victor Cullen	\$9,888,244	48	\$564.40
Waxter	\$9,553,921	42	\$623.22
Western MD Children's Ctr.	\$5,057,435	24	\$577.33

¹Does not include education costs

*Only includes Backbone Mountain, Meadow Mountain, and Savage Mountain

COMMITTED PROGRAM PER DIEM RATES, FY 2019

Program Type		FY19 Per Diem Range*, ***,	Avg. FY19 Per Diem Rate**
In-State	Treatment Foster Care	\$119.85 - \$257.69	\$158.97
	Group Home	\$188.83 - \$285.83	\$222.20
	Therapeutic Group Home	\$263.76 - \$281.30	\$275.08
	Independent Living	\$100.97 - \$191.10	\$134.38
	Alternative Living	\$257.67	\$257.67
	Residential Treatment Center	\$413.00 - \$511.98	\$467.21
	Diagnostic Unit/CEU	\$311.64 - \$323.88	\$317.76
Out-of-State	Residential Treatment Center	\$339.70 - \$435.00	\$382.91
	Staff Secure	\$151.00 - \$380.42	\$227.24
	Hardware Secure	\$360.00 - \$369.61	\$362.51

**Per Diem Rates are presented for privately-operated programs that DJS reimburses for service using a single rate. Rates are not presented for programs that are funded in whole or in part by other sources such as private health care insurance or Medicaid. IFCA and Psychiatric Hospitals are excluded as a class for this reason, and rates for in-state Residential Treatment Centers are based on 5 of 7 programs utilized by DJS during FY 2019.

**The average per diem rate should not be used to calculate the total cost by program type given the range of per diem rates. The average per diem rate does not take into account individual program utilization and the total cost may vary substantially based on the number of youth who actually participate in each program.

***Educational costs are not included in the per diem rates. Educational costs may vary within a program depending on the combination of services provided (e.g., regular education versus special education) and the educational needs of youth placed in the program.

Appendix F

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 1

Youth Name: _____ ASSIST ID: _____
 Date of Birth: _____ Race: _____
 Gender: _____ Complaint ID/Complaint Date: _____
 Worker Name: _____ County of Jurisdiction: _____
 Date DRAI Completed: _____ Date of Decision: _____

Police Requested Detention: Yes No

Completed by Centralized Intake: Yes No

DETENTION RISK SCORING

1. Charges Pending Adjudication (excluding charges pending at intake)

- Two or more prior charges pending adjudication for Category I or II Offenses: 8 Points
- One or more prior charge pending adjudication for a Category III, IV, or V Offense: 4 Points
- One prior charge pending adjudication for a Category I or II Offense: 2 Points
- No additional pending charges: 0 Points

2. Prior Sustained Adjudications / Current Supervision

- One prior sustained adjudication for a Category III or IV Offense OR Probation Supervision: 5 Points
- One or more prior sustained adjudication for a Category V Offense OR Pre-Court or ATD Supervision: 3 Points
- One or more prior sustained adjudications for a Category I or II Offense OR Intensive Supervision: 1 Point
- Two or more prior sustained adjudications for Category III or IV Offenses OR Aftercare Supervision: 1 Point
- No prior sustained adjudication AND no current supervision: 0 Points

3. History of Failure to Appear for a Court Hearing (within past 12 months)

- One or more FTA for court hearing in past 12 months : 5 Points
- No FTA for court hearing in past 12 months: 0 Points

4. History of Escape/AWOL (within past 12 months)

- One or more instances of escape/AWOL in past 12 months: 4 Points
- No escapes/AWOLS in past 12 months: 0 Points

5. Prior Detentions (within past 6 months)

- One or more prior detention in past 6 months: 2 Points
- No prior detentions in past 6 months: 0 Points

6. Age at First Felony Complaint

- 16 or under: 4 Points
- Over 16 or no prior felony complaint: 0 Points

DRAI RISK SCORE:

DRAI RISK LEVEL:

Low: 0-7 points
 Moderate: 8-12 points
 High: 13+ points

Appendix F (cont.)

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 2

DRAI RATIONALES	
New Complaint:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Most Serious New Alleged Offense:	<input type="text"/> Offense Category: <input type="text"/> (Cat. 1 or 2 = Mandatory Detention)
Mandatory Court and Policy Holds (Check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	ATD Violation (Court Order Requires Detention at First Infraction)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-ATD GPS Violation (Court Order Requires Detention at First Infraction)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Writ or Warrant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detained for Adult Court Pending Transfer Hearing, or Transferred Pending Juvenile Charging
<input type="checkbox"/>	Court-Ordered Detention at Hearing (include Committed Youth Held for Court Hearing)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Interstate Hold
<input type="checkbox"/>	Firearm use / possession (exclude BB guns)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Escape – Secure Facility
<input type="checkbox"/>	Ejected – Committed Placement
Non-Mandatory Rationales (Check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transferred From Adult Court
<input type="checkbox"/>	Violation of Probation / Violation of Commitment
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pre-Adjudicated ATD Violation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Post-Disposition ATD Violation

INDICATED DECISION:

DECISION COMPONENTS:
(Risk Score, Offense Seriousness, Mandatory Hold)

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 3

ACTUAL DECISION:

- RELEASE
 DETENTION ALTERNATIVE (ATD)
 DETAIN

Please check ALL aggravating and mitigating factors below that impact your decision to override.

REASON(S) FOR OVERRIDE UP TO ATD OR SECURE DETENTION	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent refusal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent unavailable
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth has a history of violence in the home or victim resides in the home
<input type="checkbox"/>	ATD refusal (specify name of ATD(s)): _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shelter refusal (specify name of shelter(s)): _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shelter unavailable
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify, required): _____

REASON(S) FOR OVERRIDE DOWN TO ATD OR RELEASE	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Age of youth
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent willing/able to provide supervision
<input type="checkbox"/>	Category I or II offense is less serious than indicated by charge (please specify, required): _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	New charge referred is not recent
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify, required): _____

OVERRIDES:

SUPERVISOR APPROVAL OBTAINED Yes No

Intake Worker Signature: _____

Authorizing Supervisor (please print): _____

Supervisor Signature: _____

Appendix F (cont.)

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 4

Intake DRAI Follow Up:

<p>Current Detention Status (Prior to Court Action)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detained</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/> CD <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter <input type="checkbox"/> DRAP <input type="checkbox"/> ERC <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Released</p>
<p>DJS Recommendation to Court</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detained</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/> CD <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter <input type="checkbox"/> DRAP <input type="checkbox"/> ERC <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Release to: <input type="checkbox"/> Parent <input type="checkbox"/> Sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Grandparent <input type="checkbox"/> Aunt/Uncle <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p>
<p>Court Detention Decision</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detained</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/> CD <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter <input type="checkbox"/> DRAP <input type="checkbox"/> ERC <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Release to: <input type="checkbox"/> Parent <input type="checkbox"/> Sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Grandparent <input type="checkbox"/> Aunt/Uncle <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p> <p>Special Circumstances Affecting Detention Decision: _____</p>

Appendix G

DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH IN DETENTION

DJS Housing Classification Assessment for Youth in Detention		
Name of Youth	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; border-bottom: 1px solid black;"> _____ _____ _____ </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: small;"> <i>first</i> <i>last</i> <i>MI</i> </div>	Youth PID: _____ Youth DOB: _____
Date of Admission:	_____	Staff Name: _____
1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Charge (for detained youth), or Adjudication (for pending placement youth): <i>Category I = 4 points</i> <i>Category III = 2 points</i> <i>Category II = 3 points</i> <i>Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point</i>		
		Points: _____
2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense: _____		
<i>Category I = 4 points</i> <i>Category II = 3 points</i> <i>Category III = 2 points</i> <i>Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point</i> <i>None = 0 points</i>		Points: _____
3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody* (See summary on Face Sheet) <small>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</small>		
<i>6 or more = 6 points</i> <i>2 or 3 = 2 points</i> <i>4 or 5 = 4 points</i> <i>0 or 1 = 0 points</i>		Points: _____
4. Current Age of Youth: _____		
<i>Under 14 = 4 Points, 15-17 = 2 Points, 18 and Over = 0 Points.</i>		Points: _____
		Total Score: _____
Recommended Classification: <i>Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i>		
Special Housing Issues. Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.		
Y/N	Issue:	Details
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____
Behavioral Health review of Special Issues: _____		
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>		
Double Bunking Concerns. Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions		
Y/N	Issue:	
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.	
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together	
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.	
_____	Other. Details:	_____
Unit Assignment: _____		Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____ <small>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</small>
Room Number: _____		Single Room Only? (Y/N) _____

Appendix G (cont.) DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH IN DETENTION

Housing Classification Re-Assessment for Youth in Detention

Youth Name: _____ **Date:** _____
Current Supervision Level: _____
Current Unit Assignment: _____

1. Current Score - From Last Classification Assessment **Current Score:** _____

2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment
1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points. **Points:** _____

3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment*
**Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.*

5 or more incidents	= 4 Points	
2 - 4 incidents	= 2 Points	Points: _____
0 - 1 incidents	= - 4 Points	

Total Score: _____

Recommended Supervision Level: _____ *Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 10 or above*

Special Housing Issues. *Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions*

Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____
Behavioral Health review of Special Issues: _____		
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>		

Double Bunking Concerns. *Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions*

Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.
_____	Other. Details: _____

Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____

Unit Assignment: _____ *If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve*
Room Number: _____
Completed by: _____ **Single Room Only? (Y/N)** _____

Appendix H

DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR COMMITTED YOUTH

DJS Housing Classification Assessment For Committed Youth			
Name of Youth	_____	_____	Youth PID: _____
	<i>first</i>	<i>last</i>	Youth DOB: _____
		<i>MI</i>	
Date of Admission: _____	Staff Name: _____		
1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Committing Offense:			
<i>Category I = 4 points</i>	<i>Category III = 2 points</i>		Points: _____
<i>Category II = 3 points</i>	<i>Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point</i>		
2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense:			
<i>Category I = 4 points</i>	<i>Category III = 2 points</i>		Points: _____
<i>Category II = 3 points</i>	<i>Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point</i>		
3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody* (See summary on Face Sheet)			
<i>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</i>			
<i>6 or more = 6 points</i>	<i>2 or 3 = 2 points</i>		Points: _____
<i>4 or 5 = 4 points</i>	<i>0 or 1 = 0 points</i>		
4. Current Age of Youth:			
<i>Under 14 = 4 Points, 15-17 = 2 Points, 18 and Over = 0 Points.</i>			Points: _____
			Total Score: _____
Recommended Classification: <i>Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i>			
Special Housing Issues. Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.			
Y/N	Issue:	Details	
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	
_____	Protective Custody	_____	
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____	
Behavioral Health review of Special Issues: _____			
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>			
Special Concerns. Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.			
Y/N	Issue:		
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old		
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other		
_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth		
_____	Other. Details: _____		
Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____			
Unit Assignment:	_____	<i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i>	
Room Number:	_____		

Appendix H (cont.) DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR COMMITTED YOUTH

Housing Classification Re-Assessment For Committed Youth	
Current Supervision Level:	
Current Unit Assignment:	
1. Current Score - From last Classification Assessment	Current Score: _____
2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment <i>1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points.</i>	Points: _____
3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment*	
<small>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.</small>	
<i>5 or more incidents</i> = 4 Points	
<i>2 - 4 incidents</i> = 2 Points	Points: _____
<i>0 - 1 incidents</i> = - 4 Points	
	Total Score: _____
Recommended Supervision Level:	<small>Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</small>
Special Housing Issues. <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions</i>	
Y/N	Issue:
	Medical Condition/Injury
	Protective Custody
	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:
Behavioral Health review of Special Issues: _____	
<small>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</small>	
Double Bunking Concerns. <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.</i>	
Y/N	Issue:
	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old
	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other
	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth
	Other. Details: _____
Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____	
Unit Assignment:	<small>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</small>
Room Number:	
Completed by:	Single Room Only? (Y/N) _____

Appendix I

DJS YOUTH VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL, PAGE 1

Department of Juvenile Services
Youth Vulnerability Assessment Instrument: Risk of
Victimization and/or Sexually Aggressive Behavior/Overall Risk

Youth's Name: _____
 ASSIST ID#: _____ Sex: ___ Race: ___ DOB: _____
 Facility: _____
 Date of Assessment: _____
 Date of Re-Assessment _____

Results:		
Yes	No	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vulnerable to Victimization
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sexually Aggressive
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Roommate
	Low	Medium
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		High
		<input type="checkbox"/>

Youth Interview: (Must be completed in a private setting)

		Score
1. Experience in Institution		
Ask: Have you been in a locked juvenile facility?		
NO	SCORE 2	
YES	SCORE 0	
2. Social Skills		
Lead in with: How do you feel being in a facility with so many other juvenile justice youth?		
Then ask:		
• Do you feel you get along well with other people?	Yes/No (Yes score 0, No score 1)	
• Do you find it easy to make friends?	Yes/No (Yes score 0, No score 1)	
• Do you feel OK about being in groups of people you don't know well?	Yes/No (Yes score 0, No score 1)	
Award a score of 1 for each No answer.		
SCORE (0-3)		
3. Perception of Risk		
Ask: Do you feel at risk from attack or abuse from other youth? For example, have you received threats, insults, and harassment from other youth? Prompt with options if necessary		
NOT AT ALL	SCORE 0	
SOMETIMES	SCORE 1	
OFTEN	SCORE 2	
If sometimes or often, ask for more details and note youth's statements below:		

4. History of Victimization		
Ask: Do you have concerns about your safety or concerns you will be attacked, bullied or abused by your peers?		
Prompt with options if necessary.		
NEVER	SCORE 0	
A FEW TIMES	SCORE 2	
OFTEN	SCORE 4	
Ask: Have you ever had a sexual experience that you did not want to have? If yes, ask what & if this information was reported to the Abuse Registry and law enforcement. If the youth reports abuse that has never been reported, a report must be made to the Abuse Registry.		
NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 4	

Appendix I (cont.) DJS YOUTH VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL, PAGE 2

5. **Offense Type**

Ask: **Have you ever been arrested on a sexual offense?** Also verify by checking the youth's file.

NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 4	

Ask: **Have you ever been arrested on a violent offense?**

NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 4	

6. Ask: **Have you ever engaged in behavior that you would consider violent or sexually aggressive?**

NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 4	

7. **Age of Youth**

19 YEARS AND UP TO 21	SCORE 0	
16, 17, 18 YEARS	SCORE 1	
13, 14, 15 YEARS	SCORE 2	
10 – 12 YEARS	SCORE 3	

8. **Intellectual Impairment:** From the file, review is there any evidence that this youth has been previously reported to have an intellectual impairment (Low IQ), learning disability or Special Education classes?

NO EVIDENCE	SCORE 0	
EVIDENCE	SCORE 2	

9. **Review of Features.** This item requires a judgment by the screener that this youth's features may place the youth at higher risk of vulnerability with the peer group.

(Place a check in applicable box)

Look for features of the youth's physical appearance such as:		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Small Build	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Looks younger than stated age	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Impaired vision (requires glasses)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pronounced disfigurement	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Physical disability	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Deaf or Hard of Hearing	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Limited English Proficiency	
Look for features of the youth's presentation and behaviors such as:		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inappropriate verbal behavior (e.g., giggling, odd remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Any gender non-conforming appearance or manner	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Hunched fearful posture (e.g., very fearful, very shy)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Acts of Aggression – observation	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth's interaction with sibling(s)/youth	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth's behavior in school	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Speech impediment	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Has difficulty understanding questions	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Behaviors that are likely to irritate and annoy other youth (e.g., immature, silly)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Behaviors that appear related to mental illness (e.g., jittery, crying, bizarre)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Do you consider yourself lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex? (Youth should be informed that this question is asked of ALL youth, and that he/she is not compelled to answer.)	
Look for features of the youth which make him or her stand out		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Having a lack of exposure to criminal lifestyle	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Being from an ethnic minority not well represented in the offender population (e.g., Vietnamese, Indian, Middle Eastern)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Membership in a gang that is likely to be a target of attack from others.	
Note other features not listed above:		
	NONE OR ONLY ONE FEATURE	SCORE 0
	TWO OR THREE FEATURES	SCORE 2
	MULTIPLE FEATURES (FOUR OR MORE FEATURES)	SCORE 4

ITEMS 1-9		
TOTAL SCORE		

DJS YOUTH VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL, PAGE 3

10. File Review:

Does the file indicate the youth has been charged with a sex offense?

INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE	SCORE 0	
NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 2	

Any information suggests prior sexual aggression or sexual victimization of others?

INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE	SCORE 0	
NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 2	

overall Risk Score

Vulnerability to Victimization:

- | | |
|--|--------------|
| 1. Experience in institution | Score: _____ |
| 2. Social Skills | Score: _____ |
| 3. Perception of Risk | Score: _____ |
| 4. History of Victimization | Score: _____ |
| 7. Age of Youth | Score: _____ |
| 8. Intellectual Impairment | Score: _____ |
| 9. Review of Features with juvenile justice facility culture | Score: _____ |

Interview: _____

Overall Vulnerability To Victimization Score: _____

Maximum Score - 20

Sexually Aggressive Behavior:

- | | |
|---------------------|--------------|
| 5. Offense Type | Score: _____ |
| 6. Violent Behavior | Score: _____ |
| 10. File Review | Score: _____ |

Overall Sexually Aggressive Score: _____

Maximum Score - 16

Appendix I (cont.)
DJS YOUTH VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL, PAGE 4

<p>Risk Level: Low (1-8) Medium (9-16) High (17 & above)</p>

Total Risk Score:	_____
Risk Level:	_____

No Roommate (NR): High overall score and/or high score in either Vulnerability to Victimization and/or Sexually Aggressive Behavior would indicate need to place on NR status.

NR Documentation: Yes No Double Room NR

In the event that you need movement to a lesser or higher risk unit or room assignment, the Shift Commander will determine movement. Proper documentation must be stated below as to the reason why youth is moved, i.e., behavior, maturity, facility count, etc. In support of an override please attempt to obtain collateral information from file review and/or parent/guardian contact.

Override Documentation: _____

Supervisor: _____ Date/Time of Override: _____

Signature of Screener: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____

<p>Adapted from the "Prison Youth Vulnerability Scale", New Zealand Department of Corrections © Crown copyright 2003 Florida Department of Juvenile Justice – Form RC 8050-2</p>
--

Appendix J

RACIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT LENS, PAGE 1

RACIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT LENS¹

Racial and ethnic disparities are created and perpetuated over time for a number of reasons, including personal and interpersonal biases, longstanding and divisive cultural factors, and institutional racism (e.g., routine, often invisible, systemic production of inequitable social opportunities and outcomes by race and ethnicity). The Racial Impact Assessment Lens (RIAL) is an examination tool used by DJS managers and staff to anticipate, assess, and prevent adverse consequences for youth and families of color as a result of agency policy, practice, and programs.

This tool is also used to guide agency leaders in the identification and adoption of measures that advance specific benefits and outcomes for racial and ethnic groups to eliminate disparate treatment and outcomes. While the juvenile justice system is comprised of multiple public agencies with statutorily-mandated decision making, DJS utilizes this tool to maximize its public accountability to ensure equitable decisions and outcomes for youth and families of color that it serves.

Note: “Proposed action” is the development, implementation or modification of an existing agency policy, set of protocols, or program to serve DJS youth and families.

A. INCLUSION

1. Which racial/ethnic group(s) may be most affected by or concerned with the issues related to this proposed action?
2. Are there specific regions, counties, or neighborhoods within counties that are most affected by or concerned with this policy/practice/program?
3. Explain how stakeholders from different racial/ethnic groups- especially those most affected- have been informed and/or engaged in the planning or implementation of this action. Specifically, how have they been:
 - Meaningfully involved in constructing this action?
 - Authentically represented in conversations and processes of this action?
4. Which group(s) are missing from the planning and discussion of this proposed action, and how can they be meaningfully and authentically engaged?

B. DOCUMENTING INEQUITIES

1. Which racial/ethnic group(s) are currently most *advantaged* by the issues that this proposed action seeks to address, and how?
2. Which racial/ethnic group(s) are currently most *disadvantaged* by the issues that this proposed action seeks to address, and how?
3. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of disparate impact exists? What further data are needed to document inequities here?

¹ The DJS Racial Impact Assessment Lens (RIAL) is adapted from the Applied Research Center’s *Racial Equity Impact Assessment Guide*, © 2009. www.arc.org

Appendix J (cont.)

RACIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT LENS, PAGE 2

C. EXAMINING ROOT CAUSES

1. Which factors may be producing and perpetuating racial/ethnic inequities associated with the issue that this proposed action addresses?
2. Are the factors identified as “root causes” expanding or narrowing? If so, how? What quantitative or qualitative evidence exists to support this assessment?
3. Does this proposed action address or seek to correct root causes? If not, how could it be modified to ensure root causes are addressed and corrected?

D. CLARIFYING PURPOSE

1. What does this proposed action seek to broadly accomplish?
2. Does this proposed action specifically seek to accomplish the following?
 - Protect decision making from use of personal and/or interpersonal biases?
 - Reduce the impact of cultural factors that produce or perpetuate disparate treatment?
 - Dismantle institutional barriers to racial and ethnic equity?

E. MITIGATING HARM & ADVANCING EQUITABLE IMPACT

1. What adverse impacts or unintended consequences could result from this proposed action? Which racial/ethnic groups stand to be adversely affected?
2. How could adverse impacts be minimized for identified racial/ethnic groups?
3. What positive impacts on equity and inclusion, if any, could result from the proposed action? Which racial/ethnic groups could benefit?
4. Are there further ways to maximize equitable opportunities and impacts for racial/ethnic groups?
5. What provisions could be changed or added to this proposed action to ensure positive impacts on racial equity and inclusion?

F. VIABILITY & SUSTAINABILITY

1. Is this proposed action realistic? Is it adequately funded?
2. Are there mechanisms in place to ensure successful implementation and to expediently address resistance or lack of clarity in implementation?
3. Are there provisions to ensure ongoing data collection, public reporting, and inclusion of actual members or representatives of affected racial/ethnic groups in implementation and monitoring?

G. INDICATORS & BENCHMARKS

1. What are the success indicators and progress benchmarks of this proposed action?
2. Are indicator and benchmark data routinely disaggregated by race and ethnicity? Are there other demographic data variables associated with this proposed action being disaggregated for routine review (e.g., gender, offense, age, geography)?
3. How will impacts be documented and evaluated? How often?
4. How will the level, diversity, and quality of ongoing stakeholder engagement be assessed? How often?

The DJS Office of Equity & Reform leads use of this tool and staff training to periodically review agency policy, practice, and programs as a part of the DJS *Race Equity and Inclusion (REI) Framework*.

Appendix K

CRIMES OF VIOLENCE

The definition of “crimes of violence” occurs in two separate places in Maryland’s Annotated Code. The Maryland State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy uses the definition found in the Maryland Annotated Code, Correctional Services Article, Section 7-101(m). This definition, which is used here, references section 14-101 of the Criminal Law Article and also includes burglary in the 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree.

Maryland Annotated Code, Criminal Law Article, Section 14-101 provides a list of crimes identified as crimes of violence. They are as follows:

(1) abduction; (2) arson in the first degree; (3) kidnapping; (4) manslaughter, except involuntary manslaughter; (5) mayhem; (6) maiming, as previously proscribed under former Article 27, §§385 and 386 of the Annotated Code; (7) murder; (8) rape; (9) robbery under §3-402 or §3-403 of the Criminal Law Article; (10) carjacking; (11) armed carjacking; (12) sexual offense in the first degree; (13) sexual offense in the second degree; (14) use of a handgun in the commission of a felony or other crime of violence; (15) child abuse in the first degree under §3-601 of the Criminal Law Article; (16) sexual abuse of a minor under §3-602 of the Criminal Law Article if:

(i) the victim is under the age of 13 years and the offender is an adult at the time of the offense; and

(ii) the offense involved:

1. vaginal intercourse, as defined in §3-301 of the Criminal Law Article;
2. a sexual act, as defined in §3-301 of the Criminal Law Article;
3. an act in which a part of the offender’s body penetrates, however slightly, into the victim’s genital opening or anus; or
4. the intentional touching, not through the clothing, of the victim’s or the offender’s genital, anal, or other intimate area for sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse;

(17) home invasion under § 6-202(b) of the Criminal Law Article; (18) an attempt to commit any of the crimes described in items (1) through (16) above; (19) continuing course of conduct with a child under §3-315 of the Criminal Law Article; (20) assault in the first degree; (21) assault with intent to murder; (22) assault with intent to rape; (23) assault with intent to rob; (24) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the first degree; and (25) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the second degree.

Appendix L

MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS AND JUVENILE JURISDICTION

Human Services Article

• Title 9 - Juvenile Services

- Establishes the functions of the Department of Juvenile Services; enumerates the authority of the Secretary; details the organization and administration of DJS; establishes the operation of state facilities, advisory boards, juvenile care facilities, and regional services; and specifies the procedures for Interstate Compact and the Juvenile Services facility capital program.

Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article

• Title 3 - Courts of General Jurisdiction

- Establishes the jurisdiction and functions of the juvenile court.

• Subtitle 8 – Juvenile Causes – Children in Need of Assistance (CINA)

- **§3-823. Permanency plan for out-of-home placement:** Requires the court to hold a permanency planning hearing to determine the permanency plan for a child no later than 11 months after a child is committed.

• Subtitle 8A - Juvenile Causes – Children Other than CINAs and Adults

- **§3-8A-01. Definitions:** Provides the meanings of words commonly used in juvenile justice (e.g. adjudicatory hearing, community detention, detention, disposition, petition, violation.)
- **§3-8A-02. Purposes and construction of subtitle:** Establishes the goals of the Juvenile Justice System.
- **§3-8A-03. Jurisdiction of court:** Specifies the jurisdiction of the court over delinquent, child in need of supervision (CINS), Peace Order, and Interstate Compact juveniles and details exceptions to this jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-05. Determination of Jurisdiction:** Specifies the age of the person at the time the alleged delinquent act was committed and controls the determination of jurisdiction under this subtitle.

Appendix L (cont.)

MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS AND JUVENILE JURISDICTION

- **§3-8A-06. Waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes how the juvenile court may waive jurisdiction of a youth to the adult court.
- **§3-8A-07. Retention, termination or waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes when the court may terminate jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-08. Venue:** Establishes the venue for a CINS petition, a petition concerning delinquency, a Peace Order Request, and certain offenses.
- **§3-8A-09. Transfer of Proceedings:** Describes when the court may transfer certain proceedings to the youth's county of residence or domicile.
- **§3-8A-10. Complaint; preliminary procedures:** Procedural requirements when an intake office receives a complaint from a person or agency or certain citations issued by a police officer.
- **§3-8A-10.1. Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program:** Describes the CINS pilot program.
- **§3-8A-12. Certain information inadmissible in subsequent proceedings:** Describes when certain statements or information are inadmissible in certain proceedings.
- **§3-8A-13. Petition; general procedures:** Describes the general procedures for filing a petition that alleges delinquency or CINS and for filing peace order requests.
- **§3-8A-14. Taking child into custody:** Defines methods by which youth may be taken into custody (e.g. by court order/law enforcement officer.)
- **§3-8A-14.1. Taking child into custody-Arrest Warrant:** Describes the procedure by which an arrest warrant may be obtained for crimes originating in juvenile jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-15. Detention and shelter care prior to hearing:** Provides the authorization for placing a youth in detention, community detention, or shelter care for a child who may be in need of supervision or delinquent.
- **§3-8A-16. Transfer to other facilities:** Requires notice to be given upon arrest of individual under the age of 18. Also provides guidelines for when transfer to adult facility is required.
- **§3-8A-16.1. Blood lead level testing:** Authorizes a court to order a child to undergo blood lead level testing after a petition has been filed, but before an adjudication.
- **§3-8A-17. Study and Examination of child, etc:** Describes when the court may direct DJS or another qualified agency to make a study concerning the child, the child's family, the child's environment, and other matters relating to the disposition of the case.
- **§3-8A-17.1 - §3-8A-17.12. Juvenile Competency:** Procedural requirements regarding juvenile competency; including evaluations, reports, hearings, services, court findings, and dismissal.
- **§3-8A-18. Adjudication:** Requires the court to hold an adjudicatory hearing; requires the allegations in the petition be proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the youth has committed a delinquent act. Also prescribes situations when application for body attachment can be made.
- **§3-8A-19. Disposition; costs:** Requires the court to hold a separate disposition hearing after an adjudicatory hearing unless the court has dismissed/waived the petition/citation; allows disposition to be held on the day of the adjudicatory hearing; and describes permitted dispositions.
- **§3-8A-19.1 - §3-8A-19.5. Peace Order Request:** Describes procedures for an intake officer to file a Peace Order Request, peace order hearings, forms of relief the order may include, service of a peace order; modification or recessions, and violations of an order.
- **§3-8A-20. Right to counsel:** Specifies when a youth is entitled to the assistance of counsel.
- **§3-8A-20.1. Treatment service plan:** Defines "treatment service plan" and describes the procedures for development and implementation of a treatment service plan.
- **§3-8A-21. Emergency medical treatment:** Authorizes court to order medical treatment in certain circumstances when child's guardian is unavailable or refuses to grant authorization without good cause.
- **§3-8A-22. Limitations on place of commitment:** Specifies the limitations on where the court may detain, commit, or transfer a youth.
- **§3-8A-23. Effect of proceedings under subtitle:** Describes the effect of an adjudication and disposition of a child.
- **§3-8A-24. Effective period of order of commitment; renewal of order:** Specifies the length of time for an order of commitment, procedures for renewal of an order, and when the order is effective.

MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS AND JUVENILE JURISDICTION

- **§3-8A-25. Progress reports:** Specifies requirements for visitation by a juvenile counselor of a child who is committed to an individual or to a public or private agency or institution. Authorizes the court to require the custodian to file periodic reports.
- **§3-8A-26. Order controlling conduct of a person before the court:** Authorizes the court to make an appropriate order controlling conduct under certain circumstances.
- **§3-8A-27. Confidentiality of records:** Describes confidentiality requirements, procedures, and exceptions for police and court records pertaining to or concerning a child.
- **§3-8A-27.1. Expungement of records:** Describes procedure for expunging juvenile records.
- **§3-8A-28. Judgment of restitution:** Authorizes the court to enter a judgment of restitution against the parent of a child, the child, or both.
- **§3-8A-29. Parents liable for support after commitment:** Authorizes the court to order either parent/both parents to pay support of the child.
- **§3-8A-30. Contributing to certain conditions of a child:** Describes the offense of contributing to certain conditions of a child and provides for a penalty.
- **§3-8A-32. Appointment of attorney or advocate to represent child's interest:** Authorizes a court to appoint an attorney to represent a child's interest under certain conditions.
- **§3-8A-33. Citation for certain violations:** Authorizes a law enforcement officer to issue a citation to a child if the officer has probable cause to believe that the child is violating certain alcoholic beverage, tobacco, salvia divinorum, or marijuana possession violations.
- **§3-8A-34. Rights of victim or witness of delinquent act:** Refers to the rights of victims and witnesses of delinquent acts.

Appendix M

MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 1

MCASP Intake Risk Screen

Part I: DELINQUENT HISTORY

ITEM	Scoring
1. Age at First Offense: The age at the time of the offense for which the youth was referred to DJS for the first time on a misdemeanor or felony.	<input type="checkbox"/> Over 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 13 to 14 <input type="checkbox"/> Under 13
2. Misdemeanor Referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor.	<input type="checkbox"/> One or fewer <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or four <input type="checkbox"/> Five or more
3. Felony Referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a felony.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
4. Weapon Referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense includes the possession or use of a firearm or explosive.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One or more
5. Against-person misdemeanor referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was an against-person misdemeanor. An against-person misdemeanor involves threats, force, or physical harm to another person such as assault, sex, coercion, harassment, obscene phone call, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
6. Against-person felony referrals: Total number of referrals for an against-person felony. An against-person felony involves force or physical harm to another person such as homicide, murder, manslaughter, assault, rape, sex, robbery, kidnapping, domestic violence, harassment, criminal mistreatment, intimidation, coercion, obscene harassing phone call, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One or two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
7. Sexual misconduct misdemeanor referrals: Total number of referrals for which the most serious offense was a sexual misconduct 4 th degree misdemeanor.	
8. Felony sex offense referrals: Total number of referrals for a felony sex offense – first, second, or third degree.	
9. Detention: Number of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
10. Placement: Number of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJS (including pending placement in a detention facility).	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
11. Escapes: Total number of referrals for escape.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
12. Failure to appear in court warrants: Total number of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-criminal matters.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more

MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 2

Part II - SOCIAL HISTORY

ITEM	Responses
<p>1a. Youth's <u>current</u> school enrollment status, regardless of attendance. If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check <i>Expelled</i> or <i>Dropped out</i>; otherwise check <i>Enrolled full or part-time</i>.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Graduated/GED <input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled full-time <input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled part-time <input type="checkbox"/> Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Dropped out <input type="checkbox"/> Expelled <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>1b. Youth's school conduct, last 3 months. <i>Check all that apply.</i> Conduct problems include things like fighting or threatening students/teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; crimes (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying, cheating.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No school conduct problems <input type="checkbox"/> Conduct problems reported by teachers <input type="checkbox"/> Calls to parents about conduct <input type="checkbox"/> Calls to police about conduct <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>1c. Youth's attendance in last 3 months. Partial-day absence means missing less than half the school day. Full-day absence means missing all or most of school day.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No full-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Some full-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Some partial-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Chronic full-day unexcused absences or youth is currently dropped out <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>1d. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> A average <input type="checkbox"/> B average <input type="checkbox"/> C average, no F's <input type="checkbox"/> C or D average, with one or more F's <input type="checkbox"/> Mostly D's and F's <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>2. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with—last 3 months. <i>Check all that apply.</i> <i>Anti-social:</i> Friends that are hostile to the social order, disdain authority, engage in criminal/delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No consistent friends or companions <input type="checkbox"/> Pro-social friends <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-social friends <input type="checkbox"/> Gang member/associate <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>3. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime). Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJS commitments.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No placements ever <input type="checkbox"/> 1 or more placements <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>4. Number of times youth has run away or gotten kicked out of home (youth's lifetime). Include <u>all</u> incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No incidents <input type="checkbox"/> 1 incident <input type="checkbox"/> 2 or more incidents <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>5. Current household members with history of jail/prison/detention. <i>Check all members that apply or check "None"</i>. Mother and father refer to current parent, legal guardian or youth's primary female and male caretakers residing in household.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Mother/female caretaker <input type="checkbox"/> Father/male caretaker <input type="checkbox"/> Older sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Younger sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Other member <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>6. Youth's current compliance with guardian's/caretaker's rules—last 3 months.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth usually obeys rules <input type="checkbox"/> Youth sometimes obeys rules <input type="checkbox"/> Youth consistently disobeys, is hostile to parental authority <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown

Appendix M (cont.)

MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 3

<p>7. Current alcohol/drug use. First assess whether the youth has used alcohol or drugs in the last 3 months. If there is an indication of recent use, then examine (1) whether drug use contributes or is related in some way to the youth's recent criminal behavior, and (2) whether the current/recent use is serious enough to disrupt the youth's life, causing problems related to education, family life, social life or health.</p>	
<p>7a. Current alcohol use—last 3 months.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>7b. Current drug use—last 3 months.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>7c. Alcohol or drug use contributes to current/recent criminal behavior. Substance use either motivates criminal behavior (e.g. stealing to support a habit) or is related to criminal behavior in some other way (e.g., substance use is connected with violent, risky or impulsive behaviors that get the youth into trouble).</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>7d. Alcohol or drug use disrupts other areas of youth's life. Substance use creates problems with any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School (e.g., problems with school attendance, conduct or grades); • Family (e.g., stealing at home to support use, withdrawing, arguing over use); • Peers (e.g., loss of pro-social friends, inability to form pro-social relationships); • Health (e.g., trips to emergency room, medical problems related to use). 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>8. Victim of physical or sexual abuse during lifetime. Check all that apply. Include any history of suspected abuse, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of abuse proven to be false. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Physical abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>9. Victim of neglect during lifetime. Include any history of suspected neglect, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of neglect proven to be false. Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p>10. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever during lifetime). Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, depression, personality and other diagnosed disorders. <u>Exclude</u> substance abuse, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, ADD/ADHD and special education needs. Confirm by a professional in the social service/healthcare field that the youth was diagnosed, prescribed medicine or treated for a mental health problem.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown

Appendix N

QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2019, PAGE 1

Item
DOMAIN 1: Delinquent History
1. Age at first adjudication: Age at first adjudication for a misdemeanor or felony offense.
2. Adjudications: Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was a felony or misdemeanor.
A. Misdemeanor adjudications: Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor.
B. Felony adjudications: Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was a felony.
3. Against-person misdemeanor adjudications: Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was an against-person misdemeanor.
4. Against-person felony adjudications: Total # of adjudications for an against-person felony.
5. Detention: # of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.
6. Placement: # of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJS (including pending placement in a detention facility).
7. Escapes: Total # of referrals for escape.
8. Failure to appear in court warrants: Total # of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-delinquent matters.
DOMAIN 2: School (History)
1. Youth is/was a special education student or has had a formal diagnosis of a special education need (in any grade). If the specific type of special ed. need is not known, check Other/ Unspecified. Check all that apply.
2. Total number of times expelled or suspended for conduct problems. Only consider out-of-school suspensions for conduct problems.
A. Since first grade.
B. In most recent school term.
3. Age at first expulsion or suspension. Only consider out-of-school suspensions for conduct problems.
DOMAIN 2: School (Current)
4. Youth has been enrolled in school during the last 3 months or most recent school term, regardless of attendance.
5. Youth's current school enrollment status, regardless of attendance. If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check Expelled or Dropped out; otherwise check Enrolled full-time or Enrolled part-time.
A. Type of school in which youth is currently enrolled. Select the school in which the youth spends most of his/her day.
6. Youth believes there is value in getting an education.
7. Youth believes school environment is encouraging.
8. Number of school staff (e.g., teachers, coaches, counselors, and support staff) the youth likes/feels comfortable with.
9. Youth's involvement in school activities, most recent school term. E.g., Ongoing participation in school leadership activities, school clubs, arts-related activities, athletics, other extracurricular school activities.
10. Youth's school conduct, most recent school term. Conduct problems include things like fighting or threatening students/teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; delinquent acts (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying, cheating. Check all that apply.
11. Youth feels safe in school, most recent school term.
12. Youth's attendance in the most recent school term. Partial-day absence means missing less than half the school day. Full-day absence means missing all or most of school day.
13. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.
DOMAIN 3: Use of Free Time
1. Current interest and involvement in structured recreational activities. Youth regularly participates in structured, supervised pro-social community-based activity, such as church, community, or cultural group, or an organized music, art, sports, or volunteer activity.
2. Current interest and involvement in pro-social unstructured recreational activities. Youth regularly engages in unstructured activities that positively occupy his or her time, such as reading, hobbies, unsupervised sports, etc.
DOMAIN 4: Employment (History and Current)
1. History of employment.
2. Youth's history of success on the job. Check all that apply.
3. Current employment status. Employment problems include things like youth not getting along with boss or co-workers, poor performance on the job, poor attendance, etc.

Appendix N (cont.)

QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2019, PAGE 2

Item
4. Current interest in employment.
DOMAIN 5: Relationships in the Community (History and Current)
1. Current positive relationships with adults in the community. Adults who provide support and model pro-social behavior (such as a religious or youth leader, coach, neighbor, mentor, etc.)
2. History of delinquent friends/companions—last few years. Delinquent: Friends who engage in delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc. Check all that apply.
3. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with, last 3 months. Delinquent: Friends who engage in delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc. Check all that apply.
4. Currently admires/emulates delinquent/criminal peers.
5. Current resistance to delinquent/criminal peer influence.
DOMAIN 6: Family (History)
1. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime). Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJS detentions and commitments.
2. Number of times youth has either run away or gotten kicked out of home: Include all incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement.
A. Incidents across lifetime.
B. Any incidents in last 3 months.
C. Currently a runaway or kicked out.
3. Household members who ever lived with youth (at least 3 months) and had a history of criminal conviction/prison. Mother and father refer to parent, legal guardian or youth's primary female and male caretakers residing in household. Check all members that apply or check "None".
4. Siblings who ever lived with youth (at least 3 months) and have a history of adjudication/commitment. Check all members that apply or check "None".
DOMAIN 6: Family (Current Household)
5. Youth has been living under adult supervision during last 3 months. Adult supervision: Someone is responsible for the youth's day-to-day welfare, either legally or with parental consent.
6. Youth has been living in a stable environment during last 3 months.
7. Persons with whom youth is currently living. Check all that apply.
8. Youth's current parenting status.
9. Annual combined income of youth and family.
10. Problem history of parents/caretakers who currently live with youth. Check if either of the parents/caretakers in the current household have had a problem in the past or currently have a problem. Check all that apply or check "No problems".
11. Current support network for youth's family. Extended family and/or friends who can provide additional support (emotional, financial, or other forms of assistance) to the family.
12. Family willingness to support youth.
13. Family member(s) youth currently feels close to or has good relationship with. Family member does NOT have to live in youth's household. Check all that apply.
14. Current level of conflict between parents, between youth and parents, among siblings, last 3 months. Select the most serious level of conflict.
15. Current parental supervision, last 3 months. Good supervision: Parents know whom youth is with, when youth will return, where youth is going, and what youth is doing.
16. Youth's current compliance with parent's rules and authority.
17. Current parental approach to discipline. Appropriate discipline involves clear communication, timely response, and response proportionate to youth's conduct.
18. Current parental approach to rewarding youth. Appropriate rewards involve acknowledging positive behavior, giving praise and positive feedback (as well as tangible rewards), providing a reward in response to and proportionate to positive conduct.

QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2019, PAGE 3

Item
DOMAIN 7: Alcohol & Drugs
1. History of alcohol use <u>prior to the last 3 months</u> .
A. Past alcohol use.
B. Age at first alcohol use.
C. Past alcohol use disrupted functioning.
2. History of drug use <u>prior to the last 3 months</u> .
A. Past drug use.
B. Age at first drug use.
C. Past drug use disrupted functioning.
3. History of alcohol/drug assessment/diagnosis.
4. History of attending alcohol/drug education classes.
5. History of participating in alcohol/drug treatment program.
6. Any drug or alcohol use within last 3 months.
7. Current alcohol use (within last 3 months):
A. Any <u>current</u> alcohol use.
B. Alcohol use disrupts current functioning.
C. Frequency of alcohol use <u>in the last 30 days</u> .
D. Use of alcohol.
8. Current drug use (within last 3 months):
A. Any <u>current</u> drug use.
B. Drug use disrupts current functioning.
C. Frequency of drug use <u>in the last 30 days</u> .
D. Use of drugs.
9. Type of drugs currently used. Check all that apply.
10. Current participation in alcohol/drug treatment, last 3 months.
DOMAIN 8: Mental Health (History)
1. Victim of neglect during lifetime. Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision.
2. Exposure to violence during lifetime. Check all that apply.
3. Victim of violence/physical abuse during lifetime. Check all that apply.
4. Victim of sexual abuse during lifetime. Check all that apply.
5. Traumatic experiences during lifetime. Ever experienced events such as rape, abuse or observed violence, dreams or flashbacks.
6. History of lead exposure/poisoning.
7. History of ADD/ADHD. Confirm by a professional.
8. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever in lifetime). Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, depression, personality and other diagnosed disorders. <u>Exclude</u> substance abuse, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, ADD/ADHD, and special education needs. Confirm by a professional.
DOMAIN 8: Mental Health (Current)
9. Current mental health status, last 3 months.
10. ADD/ADHD medication currently prescribed. Confirm by a professional.
11. Mental health treatment currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD treatment. Confirm by a professional.
12. Mental health medication currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD medication. Confirm by a professional.
13. Current health insurance.
DOMAIN 9: Attitudes and Aggression
Part A: Attitudes
1. Youth's primary emotion when committing delinquent act(s).
2. Youth's primary purpose(s) for committing delinquent act(s). Check all that apply.
3. Youth's optimism about future. Youth talks about future in positive way, has plans or aspirations, has pro-social goals (for education, employment, family or other life domains.)

Appendix N (cont.)

QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2019, PAGE 4

Item
4. Impulsive; acts before thinking.
5. Youth's belief in control over his/her own anti-social behavior.
6. Youth's empathy, remorse, or sympathy for the victim(s).
7. Youth's respect for adults. Consider youth's general attitude towards parents, teachers, coaches, employer, etc.
8. Youth's attitude toward laws/social norms.
9. Youth's view of his/her anti-social behavior.
10. Youth's belief in successfully meeting conditions of court supervision.
Part B: Aggression
11. Interpretation of actions and intentions of others in common, non-confrontational settings.
12. Tolerance for frustration.
13. Belief in yelling and verbal aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.
14. Belief in fighting and physical aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.

Appendix O

RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

The Relative Rate Index (RRI) is a measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool for measuring how ethnic minorities compare with white youth at various contact points (court referral, diversion, etc.) The RRI was developed by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.

DEFINITIONS FOR KEY CONTACT POINTS

The definitions below describe each key contact point included in the tables that follow along with a brief explanation of each point. Understanding each contact point and how it is defined in the calculations will provide the basis for proper interpretation of the rates. Though not a 'contact point,' the general youth population is included because it is a key data point for calculating the rate of contact.

Contact Point	Definition
Population	Population of youth ages 11-17 as of July 2018. Source: MD Dept. of Planning. <i>Note: DJS uses 11-17 as a population denominator due to the low number of cases under 11.</i>
Referrals to Juvenile Court/Intake	Juvenile complaints referred to DJS intake, from police or other sources, including delinquent & non-delinquent offenses, recorded in ASSIST. Rate is based on youth in the general population.
Diversion	Complaints diverted by DJS Intake (resolved or informally adjusted to pre-court supervision) without formal petition. Rate is per referral.
Detention	Cases resulting in detention both pre-disposition or pending placement, but not including youth ejected to detention from a committed placement. Rate is per referral.
Petitioned	Complaints resulting in juvenile court petitions. Rate is per referral.
Delinquent	Complaints resulting in delinquent findings. Rate is per petition.
Probation	Probation dispositions. Rate is per delinquent finding.
Confinement	Youth placed in Secure Committed program: Victor Cullen, Carter Center, or Savage Mountain; or staff and hardware secure private out-of-state programs. Rate is per delinquent finding.
Transferred	Juvenile petitions waived up to adult court. Does not include charges under original adult jurisdiction. Rate is per petition.

RRI TABLE FOR ALL MINORITY YOUTH

The data presented here shows the RRI for minority youth compared to white youth. An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disproportional contact. A rate higher than 1.0 indicates that minority youth are disproportionately over-represented at that decision point, and a rate below 1.0 shows they are under-represented. The RRI for each decision point is based on the number of youth of each race/ethnicity represented at that point compared with the number at the previous decision point.

RATES OF CONTACT FOR ALL YOUTH

This table shows the number and rate of representation for all youth, regardless of color, at each key juvenile justice decision point. The rates are based on the general population for this table, rather than based on the previous decision point.

Appendix O (cont.)

RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

RRI's FOR ALL MINORITIES COMPARED WITH WHITE YOUTH, AT KEY CONTACT POINTS¹, FY 2019

Region/ County	Referred to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
R-I-Balt. City								
Baltimore City	5.99	**	1.05	1.05	0.64	**	**	**
R-II-Central								
Baltimore Co.	4.18	0.58	1.20	0.96	1.01	0.99	**	**
Carroll	1.16	0.54	**	1.16	**	**	**	**
Harford	3.16	0.65	2.00	1.34	1.07	1.04	**	**
Howard	4.52	0.64	**	1.68	**	**	**	**
R-III-Western								
Allegany	2.96	1.02	1.07	1.04	0.87	**	**	**
Frederick	2.17	0.66	2.11	1.50	1.36	0.64	**	**
Garrett	1.97	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Washington	2.70	0.62	1.45	1.27	1.16	0.85	**	**
R-IV-Eastern								
Caroline	1.87	0.45	**	0.87	**	**	**	**
Cecil	1.61	0.72	2.32	1.83	0.86	**	**	**
Dorchester	2.50	0.65	**	1.24	0.68	**	**	**
Kent	4.83	0.46	**	**	**	**	**	**
Queen Anne's	1.36	0.77	**	2.49	**	**	**	**
Somerset	3.02	1.06	**	1.55	**	**	**	**
Talbot	2.36	1.16	**	0.93	**	**	**	**
Wicomico	5.76	0.89	1.54	1.20	1.64	**	**	**
Worcester	1.32	0.69	2.17	1.75	1.11	**	**	**
R-V-Southern								
Anne Arundel	2.64	0.72	1.18	1.19	0.92	1.14	**	**
Calvert	1.52	0.86	**	0.78	**	**	**	**
Charles	2.52	**	**	1.10	**	**	**	**
St. Mary's	2.48	1.66	1.16	1.15	0.63	**	**	**
R-VI-Metro								
Montgomery	3.94	0.83	3.29	3.29	0.81	**	**	**
Prince George's	1.83	0.72	**	1.75	**	**	**	**
State Total	2.56	0.66	2.46	1.57	0.98	0.85	1.38	**

¹ At all decision points minority youth include: African American, Asian or Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Hispanic/Latino and Other/Unknown youth.

- Statistically significant results are shaded; Results that are not statistically significant are shown in regular font
- Insufficient number of cases for analysis are shown as **; an RRI of 1.0 indicates no disproportional contact. A rate higher than 1.0 indicates that minority youth are disproportionately over-represented at that decision point, and a rate below 1.0 shows they are under-represented.

RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

RATE OF CONTACTS PER 10,000 YOUTH AT KEY CONTACT POINTS, COMPARED WITH GENERAL POPULATION, FY 2019*

Region/ County	Population (ages 11-17)	Referred to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
R-I-Balt. City	46,613	297.6	24.0	139.0	221.0	81.1	34.5	11.2	0.0
Baltimore City	46,613	297.6	24.0	139.0	221.0	81.1	34.5	11.2	0.0
R-II-Central	141,251	348.5	37.1	23.4	142.1	61.3	42.8	2.5	1.6
Baltimore Co.	71,659	484.2	35.4	28.7	208.8	78.3	65.4	3.2	2.2
Carroll	15,210	169.6	83.5	9.2	36.8	23.7	11.2	0.7	1.3
Harford	22,752	256.2	24.6	25.1	87.5	63.3	25.9	2.2	0.9
Howard	31,630	193.5	27.5	17.1	80.9	39.5	19.0	1.9	0.6
R-III-Western	44,973	507.4	115.4	45.1	201.7	84.5	56.0	2.4	0.9
Allegany	5,655	705.6	104.3	46.0	201.6	97.3	58.4	5.3	0.0
Frederick	24,042	314.9	64.5	31.2	124.8	72.4	40.8	2.1	1.2
Garrett	2,238	991.7	411.0	31.3	165.3	89.3	75.9	4.5	0.0
Washington	13,037	693.4	163.4	72.9	349.8	100.5	79.8	1.5	0.8
R-IV-Eastern	40,022	786.1	115.7	64.5	225.6	88.7	51.0	3.2	2.7
Caroline	3,097	671.6	71.0	19.4	167.9	64.6	35.5	0.0	0.0
Cecil	9,409	473.0	62.7	38.3	142.4	50.0	34.0	2.1	0.0
Dorchester	2,612	1,397.5	225.9	107.2	627.9	195.3	65.1	3.8	3.8
Kent	1,538	760.6	162.5	0.0	91.0	19.5	19.5	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	4,457	367.9	71.8	24.7	47.1	22.4	9.0	0.0	4.5
Somerset	2,108	1,608.1	265.7	132.8	536.0	189.8	151.8	9.5	0.0
Talbot	2,646	589.6	102.0	26.5	151.2	90.7	60.5	3.8	0.0
Wicomico	10,550	719.4	70.1	103.3	236.0	98.6	54.0	5.7	3.8
Worcester	3,605	1,645.1	302.4	91.5	321.8	155.4	88.8	2.8	11.1
R-V-Southern	84,208	479.5	68.6	24.7	130.2	61.5	41.9	1.7	0.0
Anne Arundel	48,763	470.0	65.2	22.4	152.0	73.0	51.1	2.1	0.0
Calvert	8,920	511.2	115.5	16.8	104.3	37.0	38.1	1.1	0.0
Charles	15,656	459.9	7.7	28.7	99.0	53.7	31.3	0.6	0.0
St. Mary's	10,869	524.4	133.4	35.9	98.4	41.4	19.3	1.8	0.0
R-VI-Metro	171,624	182.0	47.3	21.6	81.9	37.7	19.2	2.0	0.8
Montgomery	93,678	218.8	64.5	17.1	95.2	44.0	23.3	2.2	0.9
Prince George's	77,945	137.7	26.7	26.9	65.9	30.1	14.2	1.8	0.8
State Total	528,690	357.5	56.9	40.0	139.0	59.5	36.0	3.1	1.0

*Rates are calculated for each decision point based on general population data from the Census (see Appendix P).

- This table calculates the rate of DJS contact for all youth at each stage, compared with the general population (Census ages 11-17). For example, for every 10,000 youth in Baltimore City, there were 139.0 youth detained, and in Prince George's County there were 26.9 youth detained per 10,000 youth.

Appendix P

CENSUS INFORMATION, 2018* POPULATION ESTIMATES BY RACE (AGE 11-17)¹

Region / County	White ²	Black ²	American Indian/ Alaska ²	Asian ²	Hawaiian/ OPI ²	Two or More ²	Hispanic/ Latino	Total
R-I - Balt. City	7,795	32,940	145	946	7	1,394	3,386	46,613
Baltimore City	7,795	32,940	145	946	7	1,394	3,386	46,613
R-II - Central	75,484	35,711	327	11,817	61	6,981	10,871	141,251
Baltimore Co.	33,743	24,250	190	4,555	17	3,130	5,772	71,659
Carroll	12,869	563	22	360	3	583	810	15,210
Harford	15,320	3,889	50	784	21	1,205	1,484	22,752
Howard	13,551	7,009	64	6,118	20	2,064	2,804	31,630
R-III - Western	31,279	4,863	74	1,719	28	2,449	4,560	44,973
Allegany	4,723	449	7	71	5	279	120	5,655
Frederick	15,402	2,648	50	1,304	13	1,323	3,302	24,042
Garrett	2,080	78	1	14	0	29	37	2,238
Washington	9,075	1,688	16	330	10	818	1,101	13,037
R-IV - Eastern	26,540	7,771	75	650	19	1,875	3,092	40,022
Caroline	2,137	456	8	27	3	134	331	3,097
Cecil	7,421	746	15	104	7	442	674	9,409
Dorchester	1,286	899	6	31	1	132	256	2,612
Kent	1,134	200	2	27	0	71	104	1,538
Queen Anne's	3,651	233	7	62	1	231	273	4,457
Somerset	799	1,050	4	20	1	96	138	2,108
Talbot	1,814	371	4	46	2	110	298	2,646
Wicomico	5,759	3,206	16	276	3	497	793	10,550
Worcester	2,540	609	12	57	1	161	225	3,605
R-V - Southern	48,151	20,173	225	2,723	65	5,055	7,817	84,208
Anne Arundel	29,538	9,167	103	1,729	40	2,795	5,391	48,763
Calvert	6,546	1,065	33	223	5	543	506	8,920
Charles	4,558	8,316	62	494	12	1,007	1,206	15,656
St Mary's	7,508	1,624	26	277	9	711	714	10,869
R-VI - Metro	42,130	63,684	323	15,591	24	7,031	42,840	171,624
Montgomery	34,868	18,659	146	12,676	11	4,752	22,565	93,678
Prince George's	7,261	45,025	177	2,915	14	2,279	20,275	77,945
Statewide	231,379	165,142	1,168	33,446	205	24,785	72,565	528,690

* Data Sources: Maryland Department of Planning, Population Estimation for State of Maryland. Files used: (1) July 1, 2018 Population by Age (single year), Race, Sex and Hispanic Origin for State of Maryland; (2) July 1, 2018 Population by Age, Sex, Race and Hispanic/Latino Origin for Maryland's Jurisdictions. Available online: https://planning.maryland.gov/MSDC/Pages/pop_estimate/CensPopEst.aspx (accessed in August 2019).

¹ The proportions of age ranges 11-14 and 15-17 have been computed from the population by age (single year), race and ethnicity for State of Maryland. The proportions were then applied to estimate each Jurisdiction's/County's pop, from the population by age (5-year age group), race and ethnicity of Maryland Jurisdictions.

² Excludes Hispanic and Latino

Note: Estimates with fractional values are rounded to whole numbers; therefore, totals may not sum exactly.

Appendix Q

NUMBER AND RATIO OF YOUTH, CASES, AND PLACEMENTS BY COUNTY*, FY 2019

County/Region	Complaints			Pre-D Detention Placements			Pending Placement			Committed Placements		
	Cases	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Placements to Youth	Placements ¹	Youth	Ratio of Placements to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Placements to Youth
R-I (Balt. City)	1,387	862	1.6	648	418	1.6	178	134	1.3	166	134	1.2
Baltimore City	1,387	862	1.6	648	418	1.6	178	134	1.3	166	134	1.2
R-II (Central)	4,923	3,210	1.5	331	238	1.4	133	104	1.3	142	119	1.2
Baltimore Co.	3,470	2,149	1.6	206	150	1.4	56	41	1.4	67	54	1.2
Carroll	258	204	1.3	14	10	1.4	6	5	1.2	9	8	1.1
Harford	583	461	1.3	57	46	1.2	46	36	1.3	42	35	1.2
Howard	612	396	1.5	54	32	1.7	25	22	1.1	24	22	1.1
R-III (Western)	2,282	1,420	1.6	203	131	1.5	56	45	1.2	72	56	1.3
Allegany	399	240	1.7	26	19	1.4	15	14	1.1	21	18	1.2
Frederick	757	499	1.5	75	50	1.5	22	17	1.3	23	19	1.2
Garrett	222	148	1.5	7	4	1.8	4	3	1.3	6	5	1.2
Washington	904	533	1.7	95	58	1.6	15	11	1.4	22	14	1.6
R-IV (Eastern)	3,146	2,193	1.4	258	177	1.5	76	65	1.2	83	71	1.2
Caroline	208	140	1.5	6	6	1.0	3	3	1.0	3	3	1.0
Cecil	445	301	1.5	36	26	1.4	13	11	1.2	11	10	1.1
Dorchester	365	250	1.5	28	18	1.6	6	6	1.0	9	8	1.1
Kent	117	85	1.4	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Queen Anne's	164	139	1.2	11	5	2.2	3	3	1.0	5	3	1.7
Somerset	339	209	1.6	28	14	2.0	7	6	1.2	9	7	1.3
Talbot	156	122	1.3	7	7	1.0	3	3	1.0	5	5	1.0
Wicomico	759	466	1.6	109	76	1.4	36	28	1.3	34	28	1.2
Worcester	593	481	1.2	33	25	1.3	5	5	1.0	7	7	1.0
R-V (Southern)	4,038	2,899	1.4	208	154	1.4	105	83	1.3	110	88	1.3
Anne Arundel	2,292	1,614	1.4	109	83	1.3	77	60	1.3	82	66	1.2
Calvert	456	328	1.4	15	10	1.5	5	5	1.0	5	5	1.0
Charles	720	526	1.4	45	35	1.3	9	8	1.1	12	8	1.5
St. Mary's	570	431	1.3	39	26	1.5	14	10	1.4	11	9	1.2
R-VI (Metro)	3,123	2,170	1.4	370	268	1.4	162	131	1.2	173	143	1.2
Montgomery	2,050	1,342	1.5	160	129	1.2	89	73	1.2	100	86	1.2
Prince George's	1,073	828	1.3	210	139	1.5	73	58	1.3	73	57	1.3
Out-of-State	N/A	N/A	N/A	95	75	1.3	12	11	1.1	21	17	1.2
Statewide	18,899	12,754	1.5	2,113	1,461	1.4	722	573	1.3	767	628	1.2

* If a youth had multiple complaints/placements during same FY, the location from the first complaint/placement will be used in order to count each youth only once in data.

¹ Post-ejection detention placements are included.

Appendix R

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Crimes of Violence			
	Offense Severity[^]		Offense Severity[^]
Arson 1st Degree	3	Murder 2nd Degree	1
Assault 1st Degree	2	Murder 2nd Degree - Attempted	2
Burglary 1st Degree	3	Prostitution - Abduct, Persuade, Entice for Prostitution or Certain Sex Crimes	1
Burglary 2nd Degree	4	Rape 1st Degree	1
Burglary 3rd Degree	4	Rape 1st Degree - Attempted	1
Burglary - Home Invasion	2	Rape 2nd Degree	1
Burglary With Explosives	3	Rape 2nd Degree - Attempted	2
Carjacking - Armed	1	Robbery	3
Carjacking - Unarmed	2	Robbery with Deadly Weapon	2
Child Abduction of Individual Under 16	1	Sex Offense - Continuing Course of Conduct*	1
Child Abuse 1st Degree	1	Sex Offense 1st Degree**	1
Child Abuse - Physical with Death	1	Sex Offense 1st Degree - Attempted**	1
In-State Abduction of Child Under 12	2	Sex Offense 2nd Degree**	1
Kidnapping	1	Sex Offense 2nd Degree - Attempted**	2
Manslaughter - Voluntary	3	Sex Trafficking - Felony*	1
Murder 1st Degree	1	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, 1st Offense	2
Murder 1st Degree - Attempted	1	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, Subsequent	1
Person-to-Person Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity[^]	Felony	Offense Severity[^]
Assault on Police Officer	4	Manslaughter - Involuntary	3
CDS - Weapons Use	2	Manslaughter - Negligent Homicide by Automobile	4
Child Abuse 2nd Degree	3	Manslaughter by Automobile	3
Child Abuse - Sell, Barter, Trade, etc. a Child for Money, Property, etc.*	4	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc. Subsequent Offense	3
Child Pornography	3	Out-Of-State Family Abduction	5
Destructive Devices	2	Poisoning	1
Escape - 1st Degree	3	Prostitution- Human Trafficking ¹	1
Gang Offense - Commission of Crime	2	Removing Firearm from Possession of Law Enforcement Officer	3
Gang Offense - Commission of Crime - Resulting in Death of Victim	1	Sex Abuse by Household Member	1
Gang Offense - Manage, Fund Gang	2	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (no force or threat)	4
Hate Crime or Threat to Commit Hate Crime Involving a Separate Felony Crime	3	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (w/ force or threat)	3
Hate Crime or Threat to Commit Hate Crime Involving Death of a Victim	2	Sex Offense-Use of Personal Identifying Information of an Individual to Invite another to Commit Sexual Crime	3
Incest	3	Sexual Solicitation of a Minor	3
Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate - Felony	2	Sodomy; Unnatural or Perverted Sexual Practice	3
Labor Trafficking*	1	Use of Machine Gun for a Crime	2

[^]The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

* Active as of 10/1/2019 ** Offense date prior to 10/1/2017; ¹ Inactive as of 10/1/2019

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland legislative session.

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Person-to-Person Offenses			
Misdemeanor	Offense Severity [^]	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity [^]
Affray	4	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry, Subsequent (incl. on school prop.)	2
Assault 2nd Degree / Battery	4	Handgun Violation - Wear, Carry with Intent to Injure, Kill	2
Assault - Knowingly / Willfully Causing Another to Ingest Bodily Fluid	4	Handgun Violation - Wearing, Carrying Under the Influence	5
Assault Weapons - Possess, Sell Assault Weapon, etc.; Manufacture, Possess, Sell, etc. a Rapid Fire Trigger Activator	4	Harassment	5
Assault Weapons - Use of Assault Weapon, Rapid Fire Trigger Activator, etc. in the Commission of a Felony or Crime of Violence, 1st Offense	2	Harassment - Use of Electronic Communication to Alarm, Seriously Annoy, or Inflict Emotional Distress on a Minor, etc.*	4
Assault Weapons - Use of Assault Weapon, Rapid Fire Trigger Activator, etc. in the Commission of a Felony or Crime of Violence, Subsequent	1	Harassment - Use of Electronic Communication to Alarm, Seriously Annoy, or Inflict Emotional Distress on a Minor, etc. with the Intent to Induce a Minor to Commit Suicide*	3
Child Neglect	4	Harassment - Revenge Porn	5
Committing a Crime of Violence in Presence of Minor	4	Hate Crime or Threat to Commit Hate Crime	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Concealed Dangerous Weapon - Wear or Carry	5	In-State Family Abduction	5
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Openly with Intent to Injure - Dangerous Weapon, Mace/ Chemical Device, Wear or Carry	5	Indecent Exposure	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Certain Ordinance	5	Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate - Misdemeanor	4
Deadly Weapon on Public School Property	4	Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle/Vessel	4
Disorderly Intoxication	5	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc.	4
Disturbing the Peace	5	Obstructing Justice - Tamper with or Fabricate Physical Evidence ²	4
Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	5	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering, Common Law	4
Driving under Influence CDS	5	Prostitution – Receive money for	3
Driving under Influence Drugs and/ or Alcohol	5	Prostitution – Solicitation	5
Driving While Impaired	5	Reckless Endangerment	4
Driving While Intoxicated	5	Resisting Arrest	4
Driving While Using Text Messaging Device, etc. that Causes Accident Resulting Death, Serious Bodily Injury	5	Retaliating Against a Victim or Witness ²	4
Electronic Mail Abuse ¹	5	Rioting	3
Escape 2nd Degree - Remove Monitoring Device	4	Sextortion - Causing Another to Engage in Sexual Activity, etc. by Threatening Behavior	4
Escape 2nd Degree - Secure Facility	4	Sex Offense 4th Degree	5
Failure to Appear - Connection Felony	4	Sex Trafficking - Misdemeanor	2
Failure to Appear – Connection Misdemeanor	5	Stalking	4
Failure to Appear - Citation	5	Threat of Mass Violence	4
Gang Offense	5	Use of Machine Gun for Aggressive Purposes	3
Gang Offense - School	4	Visual Surveillance, Unlawful	5
Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry 1st Offense (incl. on school prop.)	5		

[^]The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

¹ Inactive as of 10/1/2019; ^{*} Active as of 10/1/2019; ² Inactive as of 10/1/2017

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland legislative session.

Appendix R (cont.)

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Property Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity [^]	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity [^]
Arson 2nd Degree	4	Arson Misdemeanor	5
Bad Check Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Arson - Threat	4
Bad Check Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Attempted Arson	5
Bad Check Felony - \$1,500 to < \$25,000	5	Bad Check Misdemeanor	5
Bad Check Felony - Multiple w/ in 30 Days (\$1,500 to \$25,000)	5	Breaking and Entering Motor Vehicles	5
Breaking and Entering a Research Facility	5	Burglary 4th Degree	5
Cloned Wireless Phone - Possession with Intent to Distribute, Distribution, Manufacturing or Sell	4	Code Grabbing Device	5
Credit Card Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Contraband - Possess, Possess with Intent to Deliver, Receive Telecommunications Device, Accessories	5
Credit Card Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Credit Card Misdemeanor	5
Credit Card Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000	5	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	5
Credit Card - Unlawfully Use or Disclose	4	Cruelty to Animals - Surgery on Dogs; Unauthorized Surgical Devocalization	5
Cruelty to Animals - Felony Racehorse	5	False Alarm	4
False Statement - Fake Bomb	4	False Report	5
False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb	4	Forgery - Prescription	5
Forgery, Counterfeit &/ or Uttering-Felony	4	Forgery, Counterfeit &/ or Uttering - Misd	5
Fraud Felony (Medicaid)	4	Fraud	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Fraud Misdemeanor (Medicaid)	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$25,000 to 100,000	4	Gambling - Make or Sell a Book or Pool on the Result of a Race, etc.; Establish, Keep, etc. a Building, Vessel or Place for Purpose of Betting, etc.*	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000	5	Gambling ¹	5
Identity Fraud - Intent to Manufacture/Distribute Personally Identifying Information	4	Harassment - Use of Interactive Computer Service to Disclose Personal Identifying Information to Annoy, Threaten, Harass ¹	5
Malicious Burning - Felony	5	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	5
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	Identity Fraud Misdemeanor	5
Railroad Obstructing	4	Malicious Burning - Misdemeanor	5
Theft Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Malicious Destruction	5
Theft Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Possession of Dangerous or Wild Animals	5
Theft Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000	5	Telephone Misuse	5
Trademark Counterfeiting-Felony	4	Theft Misdemeanor	5
Verbal Threat - Extortion	4	Trademark Counterfeiting-Misdemeanor	5
Written Threat	4	Transfer Recorded Sound/Images w/o Consent	5
		Trespassing	5
		Unauthorized Removal of Property	5

[^]The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

¹ Inactive as of 10/1/2019; * Active as of 10/1/2019

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland legislative session.

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Drug Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity[^]	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity[^]
CDS - Import Certain Amount into State	3	CDS - False Prescription	5
CDS - Using Minors for Manufacture or Distribution	3	CDS - Possession Marijuana > 10 Grams	5
CDS (Cocaine, Heroin, PCP, LSD, Oxy., Meth.)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	3	CDS - Possession Other	5
CDS (Marijuana) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Distribution of Inhalants	5
CDS (Other) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Drug Paraphernalia	5
CDS - Distribution (Fake CDS)	4	Non-CDS, Possession	5
CDS (Marij/ Amphet/ Valium/ Ecstasy < 750 grams/ Bup./ Countrft)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	4	Paraphernalia Controlled - Possess or Distribute - Marijuana	5
Receipt of Proceeds From CDS Offenses	4	Paraphernalia Controlled - Possess or Distribute - Non-Marijuana	5
		Use of Inhalants	5
Unspecified Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity[^]	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity[^]
Conspiracy to Commit Any Felony Offense	3	Conspiracy to Commit Any Misdemeanor Offense	5
Unspecified Felony	3	Traffic Violation Incarcerable	5
		Unspecified Misdemeanor	5
Other Offenses			
Citation Offenses	Offense Severity[^]	Ordinance Offenses	Offense Severity[^]
Alcohol Possession on School Premises	5	BB Gun / Pellet Gun	5
Alcoholic Beverage Violation	5	Discharging Firearms	5
CDS - Possession Marijuana < 10 Grams	5	Fireworks Violation	5
Gambling - Bet, Wager or Gamble*	5	Loitering	5
Lottery Restrictions (Tables Games or Video Lottery Terminals, Facility) Less than 21 Years	5	Tampering	5
Pager at School	5	Violation of Local Ordinance	5
Tobacco Violation ¹	5	Violation of Natural Resources Article	5
Traffic Violation Non-Incarcerable	5		
Vaporizer Violation (Electronic Smoking Device)	5		
Child in Need of Supervision (CINS)	Offense Severity[^]	Violation of Probation	Offense Severity[^]
Runaway	5	Violation of Felony Probation	3
Truant	5	Violation of Misdemeanor Probation	5
Ungovernable	5		

[^]The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

¹ Inactive as of 10/1/2019; * Active as of 10/1/2019

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland legislative session.

Appendix R (cont.)

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES

OFFENSES INCLUDED IN THE INTAKE & COMMUNITY SUPERVISION OFFENSE TYPE TABLES AS OTHER PERSON, OTHER PROPERTY, AND ORDINANCE. THE OFFENSES ARE LISTED BASED ON FY 2019 INTAKE CASES.

The following information references back to the Intake and Community Supervision Section's Offense Type tables. Space was limited on those pages and these caveats were not able to be placed directly under those tables. They provide a detailed list of the specific offenses that were categorized under "Other Person", "Other Property" and "Ordinance Offenses" respectively. The statewide count of each offense at intake only is shown in parentheses following the offense name. It should be noted that one intake case/complaint could have multiple alleged offenses.

⁺Other Person includes: Affray (667); Burglary - Home Invasion - Breaking and Entering with Intent to Commit Crime of Violence (26); Destructive Device Possession (3); Disturbing School Activities or Personnel (1,700); Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House (1,379); Electronic Mail Abuse (36); Escape 1st Degree (2); Escape 2nd Degree - Secure Facility (5); Failure to Appear in Connection with a Misdemeanor (1); Gang Offense (2); Hate Crime (2); Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate - Felony (1); Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate - Misdemeanor (1); Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering, Common Law (168); Reckless Endangerment (227); Resisting Arrest (271); Rioting (7); Sextortion - Extortion of Sexual Act by Threat (2); Stalking (4); Threat of Mass Violence (53); Unlawful Visual Surveillance of Private Place (7); and Violation of Natural Resources Article (6).

⁺⁺Other Property includes: Bad Check - Misdemeanor - \$100 to <\$1,500 (1); Cruelty to Animals - Felony Racehorse (2); Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor (13); Extortion by Verbal Threat (4); Extortion by Written Threat (3); False Alarm (27); False Report (118); False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb (5); False Statement - Fake Bomb (3); Forgery-Counterfeit (14); Forgery-Counterfeit &/or Uttering-Felony (2); Fraud-Misdemeanor (13); Gambling (18); Identity Fraud - Felony (1); Identity Fraud - Misdemeanor (30); Motor Vehicle Tampering (23); Railroad Obstructing (1); Telephone Misuse (40); and Trespassing (815).

⁺⁺⁺Ordinance Offenses category includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun (28); Discharging Firearms (4); Fireworks Violation (5); Loitering (2); and Violation of Local Ordinance (144).

Appendix S

OFFENSES UNDER ORIGINAL ADULT COURT JURISDICTION FOR YOUTH UNDER 18

AUTOMATIC ADULT JURISDICTION

Source: § 3-8A-03 of the Maryland Courts & Judicial Proceedings Article

AGE 14 AND OLDER	AGE 16 AND OLDER	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Murder – 1ST Degree • Rape – 1ST Degree • Any attempts of above • Any conspiracies of above <p>Abbreviations: CL = Criminal Law Article CP = Criminal Procedure Article PS = Public Safety Article</p>	<p>Serious/Violent Offenses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kidnapping • Assault – 1st Degree (CL, §3-202) • Carjacking & Armed Carjacking (CL, § 3-405) • Murder – 2nd Degree <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted Murder –2nd Degree (CL, §2-206) • Voluntary Manslaughter • Rape – 2nd Degree <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted Rape – 2nd Degree (CL, §3-310) • Robbery w/ Dangerous Weapon <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted Robbery w/ Dangerous Weapon (CL, §3-403) • Sex Offense-- 3rd (CL, 3-307(a)(1)) (IE force, a weapon, or threat of force used) 	<p>Firearms Offenses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wear, Carry, Transport Handgun (CL, §4-203) • Possession of Unregistered Short-barreled Shotgun or Short-barreled Rifle (PS, §5-203(a)) • Possession of Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-133--convicted of prior disqualifying offense, etc.) • Minor in Possession of Regulated Firearm (PS, § 5-133(d)(1)) • Use Wear, Carry, Transport Firearm during Drug Trafficking Crime (CL, §5-621) • Use of Firearm in Commission of Crime of Violence or Felony (CL, §4-204) • Possess, Own, Carry, Transport Firearm by Drug Felon (CL, §5-622) • Possess, Sell, Transfer Stolen Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-138) • Sell, Rent, Transfer Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-134(b)) • Sell, Rent, Transfer Regulated Firearm to Minor (PS, §5-134(d)) • Use or Possess Machine Gun in commission/attempted commission of Crime of Violence (CL, §4-404) • Use or Possess Machine Gun for Offensive or Aggressive Purpose (CL, §4-405) <p>Miscellaneous:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any felony IE prior felony conviction as an adult • Non-incarcerable Traffic & Boating Offenses • Domestic Violence Peace Order requests are heard in the adult court where the victim is: the current or former spouse of the respondent; a cohabitant of the respondent; a person related to the respondent by blood, marriage or adoption; a parent, stepparent, child, or stepchild of the respondent or victim who resides or resided with the respondent or victim for at least 90 days within 1 year before the filing of the petition; a vulnerable adult; or an individual who has a child in common with the respondent. CP, §3-8A-03(d)(6). The juvenile court retains jurisdiction over violations of this section by a juvenile.
<p><u>Automatic Adult Jurisdiction – When Transfer to Juvenile Court is Prohibited (CP, §4-202(c))</u></p>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In an unrelated case, Juvenile was convicted of any of the above offenses (excluding “non-incarcerable traffic & boating” and excluding if adult jurisdiction is created only by a prior, non-excluded felony offense). • Offense is 1st degree murder and the juvenile was 16 or 17 years of age when the alleged crime was committed 		

Appendix T

ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVES MANAGEMENT (AIM)

AIM grids are designed to structure case manager decision-making when responding to positive or negative behaviors of supervised youth. The Graduated Responses grid determines an appropriate response based on the level of infraction and the level of youth supervision. Responses range from a verbal warning at the lowest level, to requesting a formal violation at the highest. This structured process supports the Department's efforts to reward positive behaviors and objectively identify and sanction violations, which reduces inequalities and increases successful completion rates among youth under supervision.

GRADUATED RESPONSES - COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CASES

	Low Supervision	Moderate Supervision	High/Intensive Supervision
Minor Infraction*	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Make up Missed Appointments 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay or Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 4 hours) 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 1 day – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay or Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 10 hours) – Restrict Activity (Short-Term) – Modify Curfew (Extended-Term)
Moderate Infraction**	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 3 days – Community Service (up to 4 hours) 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 1 day – Community Service (up to 8 hours) – Written Essay or Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Restrict Activity (Short-Term) – Modify Curfew (Short-Term) – Increase Supervision Contacts 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 1 day – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay/Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 12 hours) – Restrict Activity (Short-Term) – Modify Curfew (Extended-Term) – Increase Supervision Contacts – Increase drug screenings (if applicable) – GPS for 30 Days (Intensive Level ONLY) – File VOP
Serious Infraction***	Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 1 day – Community Service (up to 6 hours) – Modify Curfew (Short-Term) – Increase Supervision Level to Moderate 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 2 days – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay or Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 10 hours) – Restrict Activity (Extended-Term) – Modify Curfew (Extended-Term) – Increase Supervision Contacts – Increase drug screenings (if applicable) – Increase Supervision Level to High 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 1 day – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay/Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 14 hours) – Restrict Activity (Short-Term) – Modify Curfew (Extended-Term) – Increase Supervision Contacts – Increase drug screenings (if applicable) – GPS for 30 Days (Intensive Level ONLY) – File VOP

* Programs/Interventions for minor infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services; for moderate supervision, additional options include Evening Reporting Center and Community-Based Advocacy; for high/intensive supervision Evidence-Based Programs are available as well.

** Programs/Interventions for moderate infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services, Outpatient Treatment (if applicable); for moderate supervision, additional options include Evening Reporting Center (if available), Evidence-Based Program Services, Family Services, and Drug Court; for high/intensive supervision, Care Management Entities are available as well.

*** Programs/Interventions for serious infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Crisis Intervention Services, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services, Outpatient Treatment (if applicable), and Youth Advocacy Program Services; for moderate supervision, additional options include Evidence-Based Program Services and Crisis Intervention Services; for high/intensive supervision, Care Management Entities are available as well.

ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVES MANAGEMENT (AIM)

DJS GRID OF INCENTIVIZED* BEHAVIORS - COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CASES

	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 0-30 days	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 31 – 90 days (1-3 mos.)	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 91-180 days (4-6 mos.)	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 181 to Indefinite (>6 months)
COMPLIANCE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational Program - Improves school attendance - Attends court-ordered Cognitive Programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments with reminders - Abides by curfew - Improved behavior in school - Improved grades in school - Abides by set family/house rules - Locates and/or begins court-ordered community service - Begins paying restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Improves school attendance - Attends and/or completes court-ordered Cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments without reminders - Abides by curfew - Improved behavior in school - Improved grades in school - Abides by set family/house rules - Clean urine screen/drug free - Completes at least 50% of court-ordered community service - Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Attends school and all classes as scheduled - Attends and/or completes court-ordered Cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments without reminders - Abides by curfew - Improved behavior in school - Maintains grade improvements - Abides by set family/house rules - Clean urine screen/drug free - Completes at least 75% of court-ordered community service - Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Attends school and all classes as scheduled - Attends and/or completes court-ordered cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments without Reminders - Abides by curfew - Improved behavior in school - Maintains grade improvements - Abides by set family/house rules - Clean urine screen/drug free - Completes 100% of court-ordered community service - Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions
PROSOCIAL INVOLVEMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joined/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school/community) - Participates in additional services - Detaches from negative peer groups - Establishes at least one personal goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joined/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community) - Participates in additional services - Remains detached from negative peer groups - Makes progress toward or completes at least one personal goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joined/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community) - Participates in additional services - Remains detached from negative peer groups - Makes progress toward or completes at least one personal goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joined/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community) - Participates in additional services - Remains detached from negative peer groups - Completes at least one personal goal
SELF-ADVOCACY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition

*The incentives listed below are not a comprehensive list and are only representative of the types of incentives offered, the availability of which varies by region:

Incentives for minor achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0 - 30 days, incentives range from verbal praise to a positive letter sent home on their behalf;
- Within 31 - 90 days, incentives range from verbal praise to invitation to a monthly Recognition Ceremony;
- 91 days or greater, incentives range from verbal praise to being given additional school supplies.

Incentives for modest achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0 - 30 days, incentives range from being given school supplies to being provided with additional personal hygiene supplies; for modest behaviors achieved;
- Within 31 - 90 days, incentives range from being offered school supplies to being given formal Court recognition;
- 91 - 180 days, incentives range from being given books/magazines to being provided a job shadowing opportunity;
- 181 days or greater, incentives range from being given books/magazines to being given college/university gear.

Incentives for major achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0 - 30 days, incentives are meal coupons or meals for the youth's family;
- Within 31 - 90 days, incentives range from being given recreation center passes to participation in field trips;
- 91 - 180 days, incentives range from being given tickets to sporting events to having their case formally petitioned for closure;
- 181 days or greater, incentives range from being given movie tickets to being offered the opportunity to have lunch with their case manager and judge.

Appendix U

DOMESTIC MINOR SEX TRAFFICKING (DMST) TOOL (ANSWERS ARE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL), PAGE 1

DMST SCREENING TOOL: FACILITY

TIER ONE

YOUTH NAME:			ASSIST NUMBER:
DOB:	AGE:	RACE/ETHNICITY:	INTERVIEW DATE:
GENDER: Female Male Self Identify: <i>Please ask client how they would like to be identified</i>			Jurisdiction of Residence:
Facility Name:			INTERVIEWER NAME:

INTERVIEWER OBSERVATIONS:

PERSONAL ITEMS: (hotel keys, large amounts of cash, Viagra pills, condoms, etc.)	
VISUAL EVIDENCE OF BRANDS/TATTOOS?	No Yes
SUSPECTED OR DISCLOSED GANG AFFILIATION?	No Yes
NAME OF GANG, IF KNOWN: _____	
EVIDENCE OF ABUSE? (e.g., ligature marks, burns, bruises)	No Yes
IS CPS/DSHS INVOLVED?	No Yes
NAME OF CASEWORKER, IF APPLICABLE: _____	
GENERAL OBSERVATIONS: (<i>attire, hygiene, affect, etc.</i>)	

DOMESTIC MINOR SEX TRAFFICKING (DMST) TOOL (ANSWERS ARE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL), PAGE 2

Directions: Staff completing this tool should (1) ask each question in the interview and indicate “NR” if the youth does not respond after prompting, (2) be aware of the attitude and demeanor of the youth during the interview and record observations, and (3) let the youth guide the level of information they provide.

READ TO YOUTH: I’m going to ask you a set of 11 questions about some of the things going on in your life. Anything you’d like to share will be helpful in identifying how we can help you best.

1. Where were you staying overnight prior to detention?
2. Are you living or staying with anyone? No Yes (*Ask Question 2a*)
 - 2a. What is your relationship to them?
3. Have you ever been homeless, placed in foster care or an out of home placement?
4. Have you ever run away or left home, out of home placement/foster care?
No (*Skip to Question 7*) Yes (*Ask Questions 4a-e*)
 - 4a. What made you feel like you had to leave?
 - 4b. About how many times? (*If youth has difficulty, ask if only 1 time, 2-3 times, 4-6 times, 7-10 times, more than 10*)
 - 4c. How long did you usually stay away?
 - 4d. Where did you go?
 - 4e. Who did you stay with?
5. While you were on your own did anything keep you from going back?
6. How did you get what you needed (*food, personal care items, clothing, etc.*)?
7. Who do you go to when you need help?
 - 7a. Do you owe them anything in return? No Yes (*Ask Question 7b*)
 - 7b. What do you owe them?
8. Have you ever had to do anything you didn’t want to in order to have your daily needs met? No Yes

Appendix U (cont.)**DOMESTIC MINOR SEX TRAFFICKING (DMST) TOOL (ANSWERS ARE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL), PAGE 3**

9. Prior to this arrest have you had any contact with police? No Yes (*Ask Question 9a*)
9a. In what cities/states did this contact occur?
- 9b. Did the contact with police result in arrest? No Yes (*Ask Question 9c*)
- 9c. What was the arrest for?
10. Have you ever traveled outside of Maryland without family? No Yes (*Ask Questions 10a-c*)
10a. What cities/states have you visited?
- 10b. Who did you travel with?
- 10c. Why did you travel there?
11. We've talked about some difficult topics in your life. What are a few of your hopes for the future?

READ TO YOUTH AT THE END OF THE INTERVIEW:

Another staff member is going to review the answers you shared. If they need more clarification on some of your answers, they might ask to talk with you a little more. At that point, you can decide whether you want to talk with them. OK?

Youth Delinquency History (run report in system and attach the query to this document):

Current charge that youth was referred to DJS on:

History of Prostitution arrests: No Yes

How to FLAG a Potential Victim of Sex Trafficking

IF a youth reports:

Runaway for a period of longer than 3 days PLUS

- History of child abuse/History of sexual assault **AND/OR**
- History of/currently in foster care **AND/OR**
- Presence of an older "boyfriend" ", "homeboy" or "girlfriend" **AND/OR**
- Significant Substance Abuse of youth and/or family (heroin, meth, and crack)

Then you should FLAG the youth for a follow-up assessment

Immediate FLAGS include ANY of the following:

- Runaway for a period of 2 weeks or more/each time
- Returns from running away with more/nicer items/nicer than youth left with
- Returns from running with hair/nails done
- Indicates sleeping in hotels while on the run
- Has an excess amount of condoms/lubricant
- Indicates traveling long distances (especially other states) while on the run
- Using prostitution/pimping terminology
- Talks about involvement in stripping/prostitution
- Talks about meeting people quickly on-line; may talk about websites such as: backpage.com, tagged, Instagram, plenty of fish, meetme.com, or reference "out calls"
- History of prostitution arrest(s)

Appendix V

RE-ENTRY PRE-DISCHARGE CHECKLIST

Re-Entry Pre-Discharge Checklist

Plan Date: _____ Youth's name: _____ Youth's date of birth: _____ ASSIST PID: _____ Youth's Placement: _____

PARTICIPANTS INVOLVED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE RE-ENTRY/TRANSITION PLAN

Youth Parent Guardian Treatment Team Community Case Manager Residential Case Manager Other

Date of Youth's Last Treatment Service Plan (TSP), which lists the youth's strengths, concerns, immediate needs: _____

REGION: Select the Region of the Re-entry Specialist for this case:

Baltimore City Central Eastern Shore Metro Southern Western

DISCHARGE DATE: _____

This date should reflect the consensus between DJS and the committed placement team, and it should not be arbitrarily entered into the ASSIST placement event. Enter the youth's anticipated discharge date, which should reflect the anticipated discharge date recorded in ASSIST.

PERMANENCY PLAN

Has the Case Management Permanency Planning/Housing checklist been completed to determine the youth's living arrangement following discharge?

Yes No

FAMILY NOTIFICATION

Select all of the means by which the youth's family has been informed of the youth's treatment needs and progress during the youth's placement. If the youth's family has NOT been informed of the youth's treatment needs and progress during the youth's placement, briefly explain why.

In person By phone By e-mail By mail By video conferencing None of the above. Reason: _____

LIVING ARRANGEMENT

Select the youth's living arrangement following discharge. The response should be guided by the Case Management Permanency Planning/Housing checklist.

HOME (PARENT/GUARDIAN) STEP-DOWN PLACEMENT FOSTER CARE INDEPENDENT LIVING
 NON-HOUSEHOLD RELATIVE NON-HOUSEHOLD NONRELATIVE

Is the youth returning to original jurisdiction?

Yes No

REUNIFICATION PLAN

If the reunification plan involves home, family or relative, has a CMS home visit been scheduled prior to discharge?

Yes **DATE OF HOME VISIT:** _____ (includes if residing with relative, alternative family, etc.)
 No
 N/A Youth is not returning to a home at discharge

If the reunification plan does not involve return to the family, has the family stated a refusal to accept the youth into the home?

Yes- family refuses; they are UNABLE to provide housing
 Yes- family refuses; they are UNWILLING to provide housing
 No
 N/A

FAMILY SUPPORT SERVICES

Are family support services or family counseling required as part of the discharge plan?

Yes No

SUPPORTIVE RELATIONSHIPS / COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS

Upon release, who will be the youth's support system? Identify additional adult supports and mentors, including but not limited to, church/synagogue/mosque/spiritual organizations, clubs, social organizations, interest areas, and social networks youth in which the youth can participate.

Appendix V (cont.) RE-ENTRY PRE-DISCHARGE CHECKLIST

EDUCATION AND EMPLOYMENT

Has the youth graduated or obtained his or her GED?

- Graduated HS Obtained GED Neither

Is re-enrollment in a local school required? *Indicate whether youth will need to be re-enrolled in a local public school system. Note that the youth's school records must be presented to the appropriate local school system within 2 days of the date of discharge.*

- Yes No

Is enrollment in a GED program required?

- Yes No GED already earned

If re-enrollment in local school is required, has the community case manager or other staff notified DJS transition staff of the expected discharge?

- No N/A (re-enrollment in local school is not required)
 Yes: *The Facility Case Manager or Re-entry Specialist must notify the Education Re-Entry Unit.*

At the time of discharge, will the youth be actively seeking employment and/or postsecondary education?

Although youth may be interested in employment and/or postsecondary education, this question applies only to youth who have already earned their high school diploma or GED at the time of discharge. Select no if the youth has yet to earn his or her high school diploma or GED.

- No Actively employed Actively seeking employment Actively seeking postsecondary education.
 Actively seeking both employment and postsecondary education. N/A, youth is under the age of 14

CAREER DEVELOPMENT

Select the career development resources to which the youth was introduced during placement

- Evidence-based self-efficacy and personal responsibility programming (i.e., Forward Thinking Re-entry Planning Interactive Journal)
 Self-assessment component (i.e., O*Net Interest Profiler)
 Career exploration component (i.e., U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Career Exploration website)
 Youth was registered as a jobseeker on the Maryland Workforce Exchange.
 Other career preparation, job readiness, or job search skills.
 None of the above

MONEY MANAGEMENT/BUDGETING

At release, will the youth have a source of income?

- Yes, employed No Yes, receives monthly benefit

Does the youth have a monthly budget? Yes No

Has the youth received any life skills training on monthly budgeting? Yes No

Does the youth have a savings account? Yes No

Does the youth have a checking account? Yes No
 If yes, has the youth received any life skills training on keeping a checking account? Yes No

Does the youth have a completed Credit Report? Yes No

RESTITUTION

Does the youth currently owe restitution? Yes No

MEDICAL ASSISTANCE

Will the youth require Maryland Medical Assistance (MA) or be enrolled or re-enrolled in private medical insurance upon discharge?

- MA Private insurance

If the youth requires MA upon discharge, select the status of the coverage.

- MA is active in the Foster Care coverage group. MA is active, but not in the Foster Care coverage group.
 Youth is returning to the community from a DJS committed program and an MA application needs to be filed.

Note: MA should be active in the MA Foster Care (FC) coverage group. If the youth is not in the FC coverage group, the case manager should immediately file a DJS Youth in Foster Care (Out of Home Placement) form along with an MA application at the local DSS. The application and form are available on the DJS intranet. For youth requiring MA upon discharge from a DJS committed facility an MA application will need to be filed through Maryland Health Connection.

Appendix V (cont.) RE-ENTRY POST-DISCHARGE CHECKLIST

Re-Entry Post-Discharge Checklist

Plan Date: _____ Youth's name: _____ Youth's date of birth: _____ ASSIST PID: _____

Youth's Discharge Facility: _____ Youth's Discharge Date: _____

REGION: Select the Region of the Re-entry Specialist for this case:

Baltimore City Central Eastern Shore Metro Southern Western

REGION: Select the Discharge Region of the Youth:

Baltimore City Central Eastern Shore Metro Southern Western

LIVING ARRANGEMENT

Select the youth's current living arrangement. *The response should be guided by the Case Management Permanency Planning/Housing checklist.*

HOME (PARENT/GUARDIAN) STEP-DOWN PLACEMENT FOSTER CARE INDEPENDENT LIVING
 NON-HOUSEHOLD RELATIVE NON-HOUSEHOLD NONRELATIVE OTHER

Was a parent/guardian or other custodian invited to the pre-discharge staffing?

Yes No N/A

Did the parent/guardian or other custodian attend the pre-discharge staffing? *"Attendance" can include participation by phone or video conference.*

Yes No N/A

Has the assigned Case Management Specialist completed a home visit since discharge?

Yes No

Has the Family Engagement Survey been completed with the family? *If not, complete the Family Engagement survey with the family.*

Yes No N/A

Have family services or family counseling been initiated?

Yes No N/A (neither family services nor family counseling are part of the discharge plan)

For youth who returned to the community not under the care of a parent or guardian, did that youth remain in stable housing for at least 30 days following discharge?

Yes No N/A

Is the youth employed?

Yes No N/A (youth is not out of school or is not seeking employment)

Does the youth currently owe restitution?

Yes No

Is the youth enrolled in medical insurance coverage?

Yes No

EDUCATION

For youth requiring re-enrollment in a local school, were the youth's school records forwarded to the local school system within two days following discharge? *Contact the DJS Transition Specialist with questions relating to local school re-enrollment.*

Yes No N/A (youth did not require re-enrollment in a local school system)

For youth requiring re-enrollment in a local school, has the youth re-enrolled in school?

Yes No N/A (youth did not require re-enrollment in a local school system)

For youth pursuing a GED or postsecondary education, has the youth enrolled in a GED or postsecondary program?

Yes No N/A (youth is not pursuing a GED or postsecondary education at this time)

RE-ENTRY POST-DISCHARGE CHECKLIST

SERVICES

For youth requiring mental health services following discharge, has the youth been scheduled for an appointment with a mental health treatment provider? *Refer to the pre-discharge survey or the youth's discharge summary or treatment service plan to determine whether mental health treatment services were required as part of the youth's discharge plan.*

Yes No N/A (youth did not require mental health services following discharge)

For youth requiring substance abuse treatment following discharge, has the youth been scheduled for an appointment with a substance abuse treatment provider? *Refer to the pre-discharge survey or the youth's discharge summary or treatment service plan to determine whether substance abuse treatment services were required as part of the youth's discharge plan.*

Yes No N/A (youth did not require substance abuse treatment following discharge)

For youth requiring somatic health treatment (preventive, acute, or chronic) following discharge, has the youth been scheduled for an appointment with a somatic health treatment provider? *Refer to the pre-discharge survey or the youth's discharge summary or treatment service plan to determine whether somatic health treatment services were required as part of the youth's discharge plan.*

Yes No N/A (youth did not require somatic health treatment upon discharge)

For youth requiring prescription medication upon discharge, has the youth or family secured medication and/or a prescription?

Yes No N/A (youth did not require prescription medication upon discharge)

DOCUMENTATION

Does the youth, or parent/guardian have originals of the following documents? *Check all that apply.*

- Birth certificate Social Security Card Annual credit report Health insurance card Foster Care Verification Letter
- Maryland identification card (or driver's license) (select if youth has already obtained a driver's license or identification card)
- Most recent information relating to a youth's medical history, including (1) names/address of health providers, (2) immunization record, (3) known medical problems, (4) medication(s), and (5) any other relevant health information.
- None of the above

NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS DOCUMENT AND DATE COMPLETED

_____/_____/_____
Community Case Management Specialist or Supervisor (Print / Signature) _____
Date

_____/_____/_____
Re-Entry Specialist or Supervisor (Print / Signature) _____
Date

_____/_____/_____
Other (Title/ Print / Signature) _____
Date

_____/_____/_____
Other (Title/ Print / Signature) _____
Date

_____/_____/_____
Other (Title/ Print / Signature) _____
Date

This document contains Protected Health Information (PHI). As a person/agency entitled to receive PHI you are responsible for ensuring that privacy and confidentiality are fully protected.

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services FY 2019 Statistical Information

MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

Intake & Community Supervision Information

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2017-2019

Complaint Source	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Adult Court Transfers	1.5%	2.0%	1.7%
Citizen	2.6%	2.2%	2.1%
Police*	91.8%	91.3%	92.5%
Violation of Probation**	4.0%	4.6%	3.7%
Total Complaints	21,532	19,667	18,899

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.2%	62.1%	61.7%
White	29.8%	29.2%	29.4%
Hispanic/Other	8.0%	8.7%	8.9%
Sex			
Male	74.2%	73.6%	70.9%
Female	25.8%	26.4%	29.1%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	3.9%	4.3%
12	4.4%	4.7%	5.6%
13	8.2%	8.4%	9.6%
14	13.2%	12.9%	12.9%
15	19.7%	18.9%	19.1%
16	22.3%	22.1%	21.2%
17	25.4%	25.9%	24.7%
18-20	3.3%	3.2%	2.6%
Total Complaints	21,532	19,667	18,899

Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALIZED CASES⁺, FY 2017-2019

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	38.7%	39.7%	45.2%
Informaled	14.5%	15.2%	15.9%
Authorized Formal Petition	46.8%	45.1%	38.9%
Total Complaints	21,532	19,667	18,899
Court Action for Formalized Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.0%	11.6%	11.7%
Stet	8.5%	8.7%	9.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.8%	0.9%	0.7%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	28.0%	26.7%	23.6%
Pending Disposition*	6.2%	7.4%	16.6%
Sustained, Services Not Ordered	1.2%	1.4%	1.5%
Probation	29.7%	29.1%	25.9%
Committed to DJS	12.5%	13.3%	9.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other**	1.0%	0.7%	0.8%
Total Formal Complaints	10,080	8,864	7,349

* Reflects the most serious disposition at court

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2019

Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	10.8%	12.7%	25.9%
Felony	8.2%	14.2%	16.1%
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	2.6%	1.2%
- Property	4.2%	4.8%	6.1%
- Drugs	2.7%	3.0%	2.5%
- Unspecified	0.3%	3.8%	6.3%
Misdemeanor	67.4%	72.0%	57.6%
- Person-to-Person	42.5%	41.5%	30.0%
- Property	21.4%	21.7%	21.6%
- Drugs	1.9%	3.1%	2.8%
- Unspecified	1.7%	5.7%	3.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.0%	0.1%
Citations	10.9%	1.1%	0.3%
CINS	2.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Dispositions	18,899	1,904	727

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses; Many 2019 cases are still pending decision

Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2019

Average Caseload*	
Community	
Investigation (SHI)	347.2
Pre-Court	721.4
Probation	1,964.0
Aftercare	598.0
Committed-Aftercare	395.9

* Average daily active cases for the jurisdiction

COMPLAINTS AND PLACEMENTS BY COUNTY/REGION, FY 2019

County/ Region	Intake Complaints	Pre-D Detention Placements	Post-D Pending Placements ⁺	Post- Ejection Placements	Committed Placements ⁺
RI-Balt. City	1,387	648	178	43	166
Baltimore City	1,387	648	178	43	166
R-II-Central	4,923	331	133	38	142
Baltimore Co.	3,470	206	56	20	67
Carroll	258	14	6	1	9
Harford	583	57	46	12	42
Howard	612	54	25	5	24
R-III-Western	2,282	203	56	6	72
Allegany	399	26	15	1	21
Frederick	757	75	22	4	23
Garrett	222	7	4	0	6
Washington	904	95	15	1	22
R-IV-Eastern	3,146	258	76	18	83
Caroline	208	6	3	0	3
Cecil	445	36	13	2	11
Dorchester	365	28	6	0	9
Kent	117	0	0	0	0
Queen Anne's	164	11	3	1	5
Somerset	339	28	7	2	9
Talbot	156	7	3	1	5
Wicomico	759	109	36	12	34
Worcester	593	33	5	0	7
R-V-Southern	4,038	208	105	23	110
Anne Arundel	2,292	109	77	17	82
Calvert	456	15	5	1	5
Charles	720	45	9	1	12
St. Mary's	570	39	14	4	11
R-VI-Metro	3,123	370	162	39	173
Montgomery	2,050	160	89	14	100
Prince George's	1,073	210	73	25	73
Out-of-State**	N/A	95	12	0	21
Statewide	18,899	2,113	722	167	767

⁺ Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP.

**N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction.

⁺ Placements reflect annual activity and don't reflect just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed.

Secure Detention Information

The Maryland Department of Juvenile Services owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility serves a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are Post-Disposition awaiting placement in a committed program (pending).

PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	76.5%	77.5%	77.6%
White	17.4%	15.0%	15.0%
Hispanic/Other	6.1%	7.5%	7.4%
Sex			
Male	84.8%	83.6%	84.7%
Female	15.2%	16.4%	15.3%
Age			
11 and under	0.2%	0.3%	0.5%
12	1.0%	0.7%	1.6%
13	4.6%	4.0%	5.2%
14	12.1%	12.6%	11.0%
15	20.9%	21.1%	21.3%
16	23.4%	24.9%	22.3%
17	25.0%	24.2%	24.9%
18-20	12.7%	12.3%	13.2%
Total Placements	2,965	2,663	2,406

PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2017-2019*

Year	Disposition	Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2019	Pre-D	82.5%	17.5%	2,158	19.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	580	27.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	163	43.1
FY 2018	Pre-D	81.1%	18.9%	2,299	18.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	591	25.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	183	38.3
FY 2017	Pre-D	80.4%	19.6%	2,707	17.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	707	23.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	179	33.9

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

CAPACITY, ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND UTILIZATION, FY 2019

Facility	Capacity	Admissions*	ADP	Utilization
Alfred D. Noyes	57	312	24.3	42.6%
Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Ctr	120	688	43.1	35.9%
Charles H. Hickey School	72	445	29.5	41.0%
Cheltenham Youth Facility	72	489	31.8	44.1%
Lower Eastern Shore Children's Ctr	24	283	15.3	63.8%
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr	42	269	20.8	49.6%
Western MD Children's Center	24	221	13.2	54.9%
Total	411	2,406**	178.0	43.3%

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

** Total represents placements not admissions

Committed Placement Information

A range of out-of-home program options (or placements) has been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a Foster Home, Group Home, or Independent Living Program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operates six facilities in Maryland and contracts with others both in-state and out-of-state.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017-2019

Demographics	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.3%	72.5%	70.5%
White	21.6%	18.9%	21.1%
Hispanic/Other	9.1%	8.6%	8.3%
Sex			
Male	84.0%	88.7%	86.6%
Female	16.0%	11.3%	13.4%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%
13	3.0%	2.5%	2.7%
14	8.2%	9.6%	7.7%
15	20.6%	17.9%	17.2%
16	27.0%	29.7%	30.1%
17	26.8%	28.2%	29.6%
18-20	13.9%	11.9%	12.4%
Total Placements	987	826	767

COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2017-2019, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2019

Placement	Placements**			FY2019	
	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	40	31	20	14.5	172.5
Group Home*	264	203	151	76.0	172.8
Indep. Living	15	12	6	8.2	402.1
RTC	208	157	156	83.3	191.8
State Operated	406	357	344	119.9	125.0
Staff Secure	310	283	253	90.5	125.2
Hardware Sec.	96	74	91	29.3	124.3
Silver Oak	71	43	48	28.0	211.2
Out-of-State	60	74	85	66.0	243.7
RTC	8	6	12	4.6	178.3
Staff Secure	37	53	53	42.4	226.3
Hardware Sec.	16	17	21	18.9	315.8
Independ. Liv.	0	0	1	0.1	0.0
Total	987	826	767	395.9	185.2

* Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.


* Data previously reported under the separate category of ICFA data were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home cannot be compared to previous DRGs.

** All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types, and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to previous DRGs due to a methodological change.

Financial Information

FY 2019 OPERATING EXPENDITURES*

TOTAL:
\$269.1 MILLION



* Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

STATE OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY 2019

Facility	Total Expenditures ¹	Capacity	Per Diem Cost Per Bed
Baltimore City Juv. Justice Ctr.	\$23,122,265	120	\$527.91
Carter	\$3,238,411	14	\$633.74
Cheltenham	\$17,348,612	72	\$660.15
Green Ridge	\$4,969,720	40	\$340.39
Hickey	\$16,615,322	72	\$632.24
Lower Eastern Shore Child. Ctr.	\$5,960,136	24	\$680.38
Noyes	\$9,239,613	57	\$444.11
Statewide Youth Centers*	\$19,990,787	112	\$489.01
Victor Cullen	\$9,888,244	48	\$564.40
Waxter	\$9,553,921	42	\$623.22
Western MD Children's Ctr.	\$5,057,435	24	\$577.33

¹ Does not include education costs

* Only includes Backbone Mountain, Meadow Mountain, and Savage Mountain

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services
Division of Support Services
Redwood Tower
217 East Redwood Street
Baltimore, MD 21202
djs.maryland.gov

Baltimore County Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Benville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ilchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill Cheverly Chillum Clinton College Park College Park Woods Colmar Manor Coral Hills Cottage City Croom Daniels Park District Heights Eagle Harbor East Riverdale Edmonston Fairmount Heights Forest Heights Forestville Fort Washington Friendly Glassmanor Glenarden Glenn Dale Goddard Greenbelt Hardesty Hillcrest Heights Hollywood Hyattsville Kaywood Gardens Kettering Lake Arbor Landover Landover Hills Landover Park Langley Park Lanham Largo Laurel Marlboro Meadows Marlow Heights Marlton Mitchellville Morningside Mount Rainier New Carrollton New Hampshire Gardens North Brentwood Oxon Hill Peppermill Village Queenstown Riverdale Park Rosaryville Seabrook Seat Pleasant South Laurel Springbrook Terrace Springdale Springhill Lake Suitland Temple Hills University Park Upper Marlboro Walker Mill West Laurel Westphalia Woodlawn Woodmore **Queen Anne's County** Barclay Centreville Chester Church Hill Grasonville Kent Narrows Kingstown Queen Anne Queenstown Stevensville Sudlersville **Somerset County** Chance Crisfield Dames Quarter Deal Island Eden Fairmount Frenchtown Mount Vernon Princess Anne Rumbley Smith Island West Pocomoke **St. Mary's County** California Charlotte Hall Golden Beach Leonardtown Lexington Park Mechanicsville Piney Point Saint George Island Tall Timbers **Talbot County** Cordova Easton Oxford Saint Aubins Heights Saint Michaels Tilghman Island Trappe **Washington County** Antietam Bagtown Bakersville Beaver Creek Big Pool Big Spring Blue Hill Boonsboro Breathedsville Brownsville Cascade Cavetown Cearfoss Charlton Chewsville Clear Spring Conococheague Dargan Downsview Eakles Mills Edgemont Ernstville Fairplay Fairview Fountain Head Funkstown Gapland Garretts Mill Greensburg Hagerstown Halfway Hancock Highfield Indian Springs Jugtown Keedysville Leitersburg Long Meadow Mapleville Maugansville Mercersville Mount Aetna Mount Briar Mount Lena Myersdale Orchard Hills Paramount Pecktonville Pinesburg Pondsville Reid Ringgold Robinwood Rohrsersville Rohrsersville Station Saint James San Mar Sandy Hook Sharpsburg Smithsburg Tilghmanton Trego Williamsport Wilson Yarrowsburg **Wicomico County** Allen Bivalve Delmar Fruitland Hebron Jestersville Lincoln Heights Mandela Springs Nanticoke Nanticoke Acres Parsonsburg Pittsville Powellville Quantico Salisbury Sharptown Spring Grove Taskin Waterview Whitehaven Willards **Worcester County** Berlin Bishopville Girdletree Newark North Ocean City Ocean City Ocean Pines Pocomoke City Snow Hill Stockton West Ocean City Whaleyville **Allegany County** Barreilville Barton Bel Air Bier Bowling Green Bowmans Addition Carlos Clarysville Corriganville Cresaptown Cumberland Danville Dawson Detmold Eckhart Mines Etherslie Flintstone Franklin Frostburg Gilmore Grahamtown Klondike La Vale Little Orleans Lonaconing Luke McCoole Midland Midlothian Moscow Mount Savage National Nikep Ocean Oldtown Pleasant Grove Potomac Park Rawlings South Cumberland Spring Gap Vale Summit Westernport Woodland Zihlman **Anne Arundel County** Admiral Heights Annapolis Arden on the Severn Arnold Brooklyn Park Cape Saint Claire Crofton Crownsville Deale Eastport Edgewater Ferndale Forest Villa Friendship Galesville Gambrells Germantown Glen Burnie Green Haven Herald Harbor Highland Beach Hillsmere Shores Jessup Lake Shore Linthicum Londontowne Maryland City Mayo Odenton Parole Pasadena Primrose Acres Pumphrey Riva Riviera Beach Selby-on-the-Bay Severn Severna Park Shady Side South Gate Truxton Heights Tyler Heights Victor Haven Wardour West Annapolis **Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Presto Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Bebedict Benville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ilchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill