

Regency County Barrelville Barton Bel Air Bier Bowling Green Bowmans Addition Carlos Clarysville Corriganville Cresaptow Cumberland Danville Dawson  
Detmold Eckhart Mines Ellerslie Flintstone Franklin Frostburg Gilmore Grahamamtown Klondike La Vale Little Orleans Lonaconing Luke McCoole Midland Midlothian  
Detschwood Mount Savage National Nikep Ocean Oldtown Pleasant Grove Potomac Park Rawlings South Cumberland Spring Lake Summit Westernport Woodlawn  
Zihlman **Anne Arundel County** Admiral Heights Annapolis Arden on the Severn Arnold Brooklyn Park Cape Saint Claire Crofton Crownsville Deale Eastport  
Edgewater Ferndale Forest Villa Friendship Galesville Gambrills Germantown Glen Burnie Green Haven Herald Harbor Highland Beach Hillsmere Shores Jessup  
Lake Shore Linthicum Londontowne Maryland City Mayo Odenton Parole Pasadena Primrose Acres Pumphrey Riva Riviera Beach Selby-on-the-Bay Severn Severna  
Park Shady Side South Gate Truxton Heights Victor Haven Wardour West Annapolis **Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Clifton Heights Clifton Park Foxcroft  
Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgerly Essex Garrison Heights Hillside Kingsville Lansdowne Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill  
Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore**  
**City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights  
Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Lynn Heights Hunting  
Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Lehigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood  
Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville  
Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown  
Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro  
Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New  
Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing  
Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Bennsville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian  
Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview  
Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown  
Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore  
Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett**  
**County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland  
Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman  
Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ilchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton  
Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Asper Hill  
Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section  
Five Chevys Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood  
Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills  
Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington  
North Potomac Kenly Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmore Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring  
Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore West Park Westmore White Oak  
Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco  
Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol  
Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clinton College Park Heights Park Heights Park Woods Colmar Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol  
Eagle Harbor East Riverdale Edmonston Fairmount Heights Forest Heights Forestville Fort Washington Friendly Glassmanor Glenarden Glenn Dale Goddard  
Greenbelt Hardesty Hillcrest Heights Hollywood Hyattsville Kaywood Gardens Kettering Lake Arbor Landover Landover Hills Landover Park Langley Park Lanham  
Landon Park Landon Park Langley Park Lanham Park Langley Park Lanham Park Langley Park Lanham Park Langley Park Lanham Park Langley Park Lanham Park

# Data Resource Guide

## Fiscal Year 2017

Successful Youth  
Strong Leaders  
Safer Communities

# Maryland Department of Juvenile Services



# **Data Resource Guide Fiscal Year 2017**

## **Maryland Department of Juvenile Services**

State of Maryland  
Larry Hogan, Governor  
Sam Abed, Secretary  
December 2017

This guide fulfills the Statutory Reporting Requirements set forth in Md. Human Services Code Ann. §9-204 regarding the Agency's comprehensive juvenile services plan as well as reporting juvenile recidivism rates.

This Data Resource Guide as well as previous editions can be found on the DJS website:  
<http://djs.maryland.gov/Pages/Data-Resource-Guides.aspx>

# Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

## EXECUTIVE STAFF

Sam Abed, Secretary

Jay Cleary, Chief of Staff

Lynette Holmes, Deputy Secretary for Support Services

Linda McWilliams, Deputy Secretary for Operations

Scott Beal, Executive Director of Community Operations

Betsy Tolentino, Executive Director of Pre-Adjudication Services and Reform

Gavin Patashnick, Director of Legislation and Policy

## PROJECT LEADERS

Lynette Holmes

Fang Qian

John Irvine

Urjita Rami

Susan Nicely

## REVIEW TEAM

Matthew Ancona

Susan Nicely

Patricia Elmore

Falguni Patel

Oneika Ford

Fang Qian

Lynette Holmes

Urjita Rami

John Irvine

Claire Souryal-Shriver

Lavinia Jackson

Marci Stables

## LAYOUT DESIGN

Susan Nicely

The DJS Executive Staff and Project Leaders would like to thank everyone who contributed to this document. Our appreciation goes to the members of the agency who contributed a wealth of information with special thanks to Gilbert Becker, Michael DiBattista, Esther Diggs, Cory Fink, Patricia Flanigan, Lisa Garry, Donna Hamilton, Michael Ito, Lakshmi Iyengar, Janaki Kannan, Wallis Norman, John Stevenson, and John Wisniewski. We would also like to thank Jill Farrell at the University of Maryland, School of Social Work, The Institute for Innovation and Implementation, Jessie Ash at the Maryland Department of Planning, and Boyce Williams at the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services. We are especially grateful to the field staff who gather the data necessary to complete the *Data Resource Guide*.

# Table of Contents

## INTRODUCTION

Letter from the Governor .....	iv
A Word from the Secretary .....	v
Commonly Used Acronyms .....	vi
Terms and Concepts .....	vii

## SECTION I: AN OVERVIEW OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) .....	3
Organizational Chart and Agency Organization .....	5
DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2017 .....	6
Professional Training and Education Unit .....	7
DJS Historical Evolution and Timeline .....	8
Maryland Juvenile Justice Services Process Flowchart .....	12
Flow of FY 2017 Case Referrals .....	14

## SECTION II: INTAKE AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision .....	17
Statewide, Regional, and County Activity .....	20
Regional, County, and Long-Term Trends .....	86

## SECTION III: DETENTION

Introduction to Detention .....	101
Statewide Activity for Detention Centers .....	104
Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer .....	112
Specific Detention Facility Information .....	114
Alternatives to Detention (ATD) .....	128

## SECTION IV: COMMITTED PROGRAMS

Introduction to Committed Programs .....	133
Statewide and Committed Programs by Type .....	138
State-Operated Facilities .....	158
Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider) .....	172
Community-Based Family Therapy Programs for Committed & Probation Youth .....	174

## SECTION V: RECIDIVISM RATES

Measuring Recidivism Rates .....	179
Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases .....	181
Recidivism Rates for Youth with New Probation Dispositions .....	193

## APPENDICES

Appendix A: Community Services Staffing Distribution as of June 30, 2017 .....	199
Appendix B: Detention Center Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2017 .....	200
Appendix C: Committed Program Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2017 .....	200
Appendix D: State-Operated Facility Expenditures, FY 2017 .....	201
Appendix E: State-Operated Facility Per Diems & Average Annual Costs, FY 2017 .....	201
Appendix F: Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) .....	202
Appendix G: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Youth in Detention .....	206
Appendix H: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Committed Youth .....	208
Appendix I: Maryland Comprehensive Assessment & Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen .....	210
Appendix J: Questions from the DJS MCASP Needs Assessment .....	213
Appendix K: Crimes of Violence .....	217
Appendix L: Maryland Citations Pertaining to DJS .....	217
Appendix M: Alternatives to Detention and Shelter Care by Region and County .....	219
Appendix N: Racial Impact Assessment Lens .....	221
Appendix O: Relative Rate Index (RRI) .....	223
Appendix P: Census Information by Race, 2016 .....	226
Appendix Q: Number and Ratio of Youth, Cases, and Placements by County, FY 2017 .....	227
Appendix R: Classification of Offenses .....	228
Appendix S: Offenses Related to Youth Detained Pending Adult Criminal Charges .....	232
Appendix T: Accountability Incentives Management (AIM) .....	233

## DJS STATISTICAL SUMMARY INFORMATION SHEET (PULLOUT) .....

## Letter from the Governor...

Dear Friends:

It is my pleasure to present the Department of Juvenile Services' FY 2017 Data Resource Guide.

Since taking office in January 2015, our administration has worked hard to help Maryland's communities thrive by focusing on public safety, economic development, improving education, and common sense solutions to improve the quality of life for all Marylanders. Our executive departments each have a unique role to achieve our goal of changing Maryland for the better.

Maryland's Department of Juvenile Services serves families and youth involved in the juvenile justice system and plays a vital role in public safety by providing treatment programs and supportive services designed to address the underlying needs of these young individuals. These services range from housing at-risk youth in detention and treatment facilities to providing and facilitating supervision in their communities. These interventions contribute to improved safety in our neighborhoods and increase the ability of our young people to prosper and contribute to their communities.

From initial intake to aftercare planning and supervision, the department is leading the way to improve outcomes for youth who have contact with the juvenile justice system, and will continue to implement significant reforms that impact every stage of the juvenile justice process.

Our administration remains committed as ever to keeping Maryland's communities safe and giving youth and families the resources they need to succeed. With the help of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services and other executive agencies, we can continue improve the lives of all citizens throughout Maryland.

Sincerely,



Larry Hogan  
Governor



Larry Hogan

---

---


## A Word from the Secretary...

Welcome to the seventh edition of the Department of Juvenile Services' Data Resource Guide (DRG). This annual publication forms the backbone of our data-driven decision-making and can assist in the decision-making process for many of our stakeholders. The information contained in the DRG provides a detailed look at our system and the ongoing trends in recent years. We publish the DRG every year to not only meet statutory obligations but to provide more transparency to our partners both on the local and State level as well as the general public. I hope that the DRG provides the information you need and insight into Maryland's juvenile justice system.

If you are looking for a basic overview of the history, structure, and operation of the Department of Juvenile Services, Section I is a good place to start to get an understanding of how the agency works within Maryland's juvenile justice system. In Section II, data from our Intake and Community Supervision operations is provided for the State, regional, and county levels, respectively. For our seven secure youth detention facilities in Maryland, Section III provides data ranging from admissions and demographics for our detention populations to offense levels and length of stay. Similar data is provided in Section IV for our six committed programs, which provide secure, court-ordered treatment services to youth in the juvenile justice system. Lastly, Section V provides the most recent recidivism data for youth under DJS care and supervision.

As always, I would like to thank everyone involved in the creation of this year's DRG, especially the department's Research and Evaluation Unit, who analyzed and compiled the data. Without their tireless efforts, the DRG would not become a reality. I would also like to thank all of the hard working employees of the Department of Juvenile Services for contributing to success of the youth in our care. Our success is measured by the success of our youth. I look forward to building on our successes to improve the lives of youth, making communities safer and changing Maryland for the better.

Sincerely,



Sam Abed



Sam Abed

## Commonly Used Acronyms

ACA	American Correctional Association
ADP	Average Daily Population
AIM	Accountability Incentives Management
ALOS	Average Length of Stay
APD	Assistant Public Defender
ART®	Aggression Replacement Training
ASA	Assistant State’s Attorney
ASSIST	Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools
ATD	Alternatives to Detention
AWOL	Absent Without Leave
BCDC	Baltimore City Detention Center
BCJJC	Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center
BHDI	Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative
BMHS	Baltimore Mental Health Systems
CD	Community Detention
CDS	Controlled Dangerous Substances
CHHS	Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School
CINA	Child In Need of Assistance
CINS	Child In Need of Supervision
CJCA	Council of Juvenile Correctional Administrators
CJIS	Criminal Justice Information System
CMS	Case Management Specialist
CMSS	Case Management Specialist Supervisor
COMAR	Code of Maryland Regulations
COP	Certificate of Placement
CRC	Central Review Committee
D/ERC	Day/Evening Reporting Center
DBM	Department of Budget and Management
DDA	Developmental Disabilities Administration
DHS	Department of Human Services (formerly DHR)
DJS	Department of Juvenile Services
DMC	Disproportionate Minority Contact
DPSCS	Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services
DRAI	Detention Risk Assessment Instrument
DRAP	Detention Reduction Advocacy Program
DRG	Data Resource Guide
EBS	Evidence Based Services
EM	Electronic Monitoring
FAFP	For Authorization of Formal Petition
FCT	Family Centered Treatment

FFT	Functional Family Therapy (an EBS)
FY	Fiscal Year
GOC	Governor’s Office for Children
GOCCP	Governor’s Office of Crime Control & Prevention
GPS	Global Positioning System
ICFA	Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions
IRC	Interagency Rates Committee
JCR	Joint Chairmen’s Report
JDAI	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative
JJDPA	Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act
JJMU	Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit
LESCC	Lower Eastern Shore Children’s Center
MAST	Multi-Disciplinary Assessment Staffing Team
MCASP	Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning
MDH	Maryland Department of Health (formerly DHMH)
METS	Maryland Evaluation & Treatment Services (formerly SMART)
MHA	Mental Hygiene Administration
MSDE	Maryland State Department of Education
MST	Multisystemic Therapy (an EBS)
MYRC	Maryland Youth Residence Center
NCCD	National Council on Crime and Delinquency
NFS	Non-Fatal Shooting
OJJDP	Office of Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention
OPD	Office of the Public Defender
PREA	Prison Rape Elimination Act
RA	Resident Advisor
RICA	Regional Institute for Children and Adolescents
RRI	Relative Rate Index
RTC	Residential Treatment Center
RTSP	Residential Treatment Service Plan
SAB	State Advisory Board (DJS)
SAO	State’s Attorney’s Office
SOS	Spotlight on Schools Program
SPI	Safety Planning Initiative
START	Social Skills Training & Aggression Replacement Techniques
TFC	Treatment Foster Care
TGH	Therapeutic Group Home
TSP	Treatment Service Plan
VOP	Violation of Probation
WMCC	Western Maryland Children’s Center



---

---

## Terms and Concepts

**Absent Without Leave (AWOL):** A youth who absconds from a non-secure residential program.

**Accountability Incentives Management (AIM):** A structured statewide system of responses to deter negative behaviors and encourage positive behaviors of youth under court-ordered community supervision. AIM seeks to reduce technical violations of supervision by ensuring certain, fair, and immediate responses to youth behavior that promote successful completion of supervision.

**Adjudicatory Hearing:** Proceeding before a juvenile judge or magistrate to determine the truth of allegations made against a youth. If the allegations concerning the commitment of a delinquent act are found to be true, the youth may be adjudicated delinquent.

**Admission:** See definition for “commitment versus admission” and “placement versus admission”.

**Aftercare:** Supervision and individualized treatment services provided to youth in the community following discharge from a residential program. A youth is assigned an aftercare worker at the time of commitment.

**Alternatives to Detention (ATD):** Community-based program options to secure detention that provide structured supervision and accountability of youth who would otherwise be detained pending adjudicatory hearings in the juvenile court.

**Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST):** DJS client database.

**Average Daily Population (ADP):** Daily population of youth averaged over the number of days in a given time period.

**Average Length of Stay (ALOS):** Average total number of days in residential placement between admission and release. Youth detained in more than one facility during a contiguous stay are counted as a single placement.

**Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative:** An initiative to screen and divert youth for mental health services funded by the Annie E. Casey Foundation.

**Case Management Specialist (CMS):** DJS staff who provide case management services to youth in community and residential settings. Case managers provide supervision, develop treatment plans, link youth with necessary resources and services, monitor progress, and modify treatment plans as needed.

**Central Review Committee (CRC):** A central committee that convenes weekly to hear case reviews of youth at-risk of removal from a committed placement, direct changes in the youths’ provision of services, and make youth placement transfer decisions. Members include the Directors of the Behavioral Health and Resource Offices, the Executive Directors of Residential Placements and Community Supervision, and a representative from MSDE.

**Certificate of Placement (COP):** The document which reflects a youth’s placement location, services, and authorizes payment for services.

**CHALLENGE:** A behavioral management program implemented in DJS’ state-operated residential facilities with the goal of developing pro-social behavior and individual accountability/responsibility using a token economy and social skills education to incentivize positive behavior.

**Child In Need of Assistance (CINA):** A youth who has been physically, sexually, or emotionally abused or neglected by a parent or other person responsible for the youth’s care.

**Child In Need of Supervision (CINS):** A youth who is habitually truant, disobedient, ungovernable, or who commits an offense applicable to youth only.

**Commitment versus Admission:** A commitment is a court order placing a delinquent youth in DJS’ care. The youth is usually placed into an out-of-home program, but may also be provided services at home. An admission occurs when a juvenile physically arrives at a facility and is officially entered into the facility’s roster. An admission may occur days/weeks after the juvenile is committed to DJS (in the interim, a youth is considered to be on “pending placement” status – see Pending Placement). A single admission to an out-of-home program could be the result of multiple commitments (e.g., a juvenile may be committed by more than one court, or have multiple charges with “committed” dispositions). Thus, the number of commitments will not equal the number of admissions to committed programs.

**Community Detention (CD):** A program monitored by DJS in which a delinquent child or a child alleged to be delinquent is placed in the home of a parent, guardian, custodian, other fit person, or in shelter care, as a condition of probation or as an alternative to detention (ATD). Community detention includes electronic monitoring (EM).

**Complaint:** A written statement made by any person or agency to a DJS intake officer, which if true would support allegations of a juvenile petition.

**Cross-Over Youth Initiative:** DJS partnered with Georgetown University to implement the Cross-Over Youth Initiative in Prince George’s County in 2015. In April 2017, the Initiative was implemented in Montgomery County. The goal of the initiative is to work specifically with youth who are dually involved in both the juvenile justice system and the child welfare system. The initiative creates a “one judge” model where a single judge oversees both the juvenile and child welfare cases to ensure consistency and comprehensive services for the youth and family. Additionally, the initiative has fostered increased communication and collaboration between the staff members of DJS and local Department of Social Services.

**Day/Evening Reporting Center (D/ERC):** A program that serves youth as an alternative to detention. Youth are required to report daily to ensure the youth is monitored and gets back to court for hearings.

**Delinquent:** A youth who has been adjudicated for an act which would be a crime if committed by an adult and who requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation.

**Detention:** Temporary, short-term (1-30 days) physically secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition and require secure custody for the protection of themselves or the community and/or to ensure court appearance.

**Detention Hearing:** A court proceeding to determine whether a youth shall be placed in or continued in detention.

**Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):** An assessment of a youth’s risk to reoffend and/or fail to appear for future court dates used to guide whether the youth should be detained, placed in a detention alternative, or released to a parent/guardian.

**Direct Care Staff:** An employee whose primary duty is to provide direct supervision of youth.

**Disposition:** The action taken by the juvenile court that outlines whether the youth requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation and, if so, the nature of such assistance e.g., probation terms or commitment. (Note: In adult courts, this is known as a “sentence.”)

**Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC):** A rate of contact with the juvenile justice system among youth of a specific minority group that is significantly different than the rate of contact for whites (i.e., non-Hispanic Caucasians) or for other minority groups (See RRI definition).

**Diversions:** A program or practice where the primary goal is to reduce the occurrence of juvenile crime by diverting a youth from the traditional juvenile justice system and providing an alternative to formal processing. Diversion programs and practices vary in terms of the juvenile justice contact point which the youth is diverted and the types of services provided. Juveniles may be diverted by law enforcement before arrest, during DJS intake, or even after adjudication but before disposition.

**Ejection from Committed Placement:** A youth’s removal from an out-of-home placement upon determination that he/she failed to comply with the rules and conditions of the program. Following an ejection a youth may require a new out-of-home placement. A youth may remain in detention pending a new placement.

**Electronic Monitoring (EM):** A statewide program providing close monitoring of youth in the community as an alternative to residential placement/detention. Youth wear an ankle bracelet that electronically monitors their movement and compliance to established location parameters. This may involve the use of global positioning systems (GPS).

**Escape:** Absconding from a secure DJS residential program (including youth centers) or detention facility (including Community Detention).

**Evidence Based Services (EBS):** Programs that have been found to be effective based on the results of rigorous evaluations.

**Family Centered Treatment (FCT):** A family preservation model of in-home treatment provided by Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc. (IFCS). The IFCS team utilizes FCT to help families learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns.

**Family Engagement:** A collaborative relationship between families and the Department of Juvenile Services throughout the youth’s involvement in the system.

**Felony vs. Misdemeanor:** In Maryland a crime is either a felony or a misdemeanor. Generally, felonies are the more serious of these two types of crimes. However, there is no clear line for determining whether a crime is a felony or misdemeanor based on the statutory maximum penalty associated with the offense. Unless specified in a statute or the offense was a felony at common law, a crime is considered

a misdemeanor. Most statutes specify whether a crime is a misdemeanor or a felony. Common law crimes retain common law grades as either felonies or misdemeanors unless changed through the legislative process. The General Assembly may choose to label a statutory crime a felony or misdemeanor independent of the amount of punishment the statute provides. The General Assembly may also choose to change the status of a crime from a misdemeanor to a felony or a felony to a misdemeanor.

**Fiscal Year (FY):** The time period measured from July 1<sup>st</sup> of one year to June 30<sup>th</sup> of the following year. FY 2017 runs from July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017.

**For Authorization of Formal Petition (FAFP):** DJS has statutory authority to screen all juvenile complaints referred. A case not resolved or diverted through an informal agreement by DJS intake officers will be referred to a juvenile court for formal processing.

**Functional Family Therapy (FFT - an EBS):** An outcome-driven prevention/intervention program for youth demonstrating the entire range of maladaptive behaviors such as delinquency, violence, substance use, Conduct Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, or Disruptive Behavior Disorder. Flexible delivery of service is provided by one or two person teams to clients in home, clinic, school, juvenile court, community-based programs, and at re-entry from institutional placement.

**Global Positioning System (GPS):** A global navigation satellite system that provides location and time information for youth monitored with a global positioning system receiver.

**Group Home:** A residential program licensed by DHS, DJS or MHA/MDH to provide 24-hour supervised out-of-home care for 4 or more youth providing a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services.

**Hardware Secure Facility:** A facility that relies primarily on the use of construction and hardware such as locks, bars, and fences to restrict freedom.

**Informal (or “Pre-Court”) Supervision:** An agreement between DJS and a youth and family to complete certain conditions such as entering into counseling and/or DJS monitoring without court involvement.

**Intake:** The process for reviewing a complaint against a youth and determining whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public and/or the youth. During intake, youth and their family may be offered services.

**Juvenile Court:** A division of the Circuit Court in Maryland.

**Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI):** A best practice model that addresses inappropriate and unnecessary use of detention and reduces the failures of juveniles to appear in court. A primary goal of JDAI is to reduce overcrowding in detention centers by safely maintaining youth in the community in detention alternatives without jeopardizing public safety or increasing the number of youth who fail to appear for court.

**Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit (JJMU):** Administratively housed in the Office of the Attorney General, the unit investigates the needs of children under the jurisdiction of DJS and determines whether their needs are being met in compliance with State law. This includes evaluating the conditions of youth housing, reporting on treatment of and services to youth, and investigating allegations of child abuse.

**Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP):** MCASP uses integrated case management to assess risks and needs of youth throughout their DJS involvement and develop interventions to accomplish the goals of public safety and youth rehabilitation. MCASP enables DJS to strengthen individualized service plans for youth and families and match them with appropriate services/programs; to track youth progress; and to ensure that each youth receives the level of supervision consistent with his or her risk to public safety.

**Maryland Evaluation and Treatment Services (METS - formerly SMART):** A web-based platform that provides key case management functions, including assessments, contacts, and treatment service planning in coordination with the DJS ASSIST system.

**Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC):** Under DPSCS, MPCTC is vested with the authority to set standards of initial selection and training for all governmental law enforcement, correctional, parole and probation, and juvenile services employees in the State of Maryland, and to otherwise upgrade the professionalism of these officers. MPCTC provides certification and on-going training to DJS staff.

**Misdemeanor:** See definition for “felony versus misdemeanor”.

**Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST):** A specialized regional diagnostic team responsible for assessing and evaluating youth who are detained and at risk of out-of-home placement, prior to disposition. Following the in-depth review, the MAST prepares security and treatment recommendations to the juvenile court. The MAST includes psychologists, social workers, community and facility case managers and supervisors, resource specialists, MSDE representatives, and individuals from other disciplines as needed.

**Multisystemic Therapy (MST - an EBS):** An intensive family- and community-based treatment program that addresses the serious anti-social behavior of juvenile offenders. The major goal of MST is to empower parents and youth with the skills and resources needed to independently resolve the difficulties that arise in coping with family, peer, school, and neighborhood problems. Intervention strategies include family therapy, structural family therapy, behavioral parent training, and cognitive behavior therapies. MST is a home-based model of service delivery.

**Pending Placement:** A temporary placement status for youth who have been committed for placement in an out-of-home residential facility and are awaiting placement. Youth may be “pending placement” in a variety of settings including: detention facility, home, home with additional services, home under community detention and/or electronic monitoring, family shelter care, structured shelter care, acute care hospitals, or psychiatric respite care programs.

**Petition:** A formal written request filed by the State’s Attorney’s Office with the juvenile court alleging that a child is delinquent, in need of supervision (CINS) or in need of assistance (CINA).

**Placement versus Admission:** A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention or a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Thus, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

**Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Training (PACT):** PACT Evening Reporting Center serves youth 14 to 17 years of age in Baltimore City as an alternative to detention. It utilizes a youth development model and works to collaborate with participants, their families, DJS, and other partners to develop a plan to address the underlying issues which lead to anti-social or delinquent behavior.

**Probation:** Court-ordered supervision of youth in the community requiring youth to meet court-ordered probation conditions (general and case specific), including, for example, school attendance, employment, community service, restitution, counseling, or participation in substance abuse treatment.

**Racial Equity:** A condition that would be achieved if one’s racial or ethnic identity no longer predicted, in a statistical sense, how one fares.

**Recidivism:** Subsequent juvenile/adult criminal involvement of youths released from committed residential programs/placed on probation.

**Relative Rate Index (RRI):** A measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool used to assess the level of racial disparity introduced at each decision point (detention, court referral, etc.) For example, RRI compares the detention rate for white youth with the detention rate for youth of a specific minority group. (See Appendix O)

**Re-entry:** A broad term used to refer to issues related to the transition from out-of-home committed placement to community supervision. Generally, re-entry involves a plan to ensure the service delivery once youth return to the community.

**Resident Advisor:** DJS staff position that provides supervision, support, care, and monitoring of youth placed in DJS-operated facilities.

**Residential Treatment Center (RTC):** A mental health facility for children and adolescents with long-term serious emotional, behavioral, and psychological problems. RTCs provide intensive services and should only be considered when therapeutic services available in the community are insufficient to address a youth’s needs. In addition to Maryland RTCs, DJS uses a variety of out-of-state providers, including RTCs funded through Medical Assistance, with rates set by the Maryland Interagency Rates Committee, and facilities that are not RTCs and serve moderate-to-high-risk multi-problem youth. These are youth who may be exhibiting moderate psychiatric symptomatology and aggressive behavior, or who have histories of unsuccessful/repeated placements and/or hospitalizations. Treatment models vary depending on the client focus of the program but all provide individualized treatment plans, are comprehensive in services, highly structured, treatment oriented, and behaviorally focused.

**Residential Treatment Service Plan (RTSP):** A structured treatment planning tool to guide treatment services for youth placed in DJS-operated committed programs.

**Resolved at Intake:** A determination that furthering the case by forwarding it to the State’s Attorney’s Office for formal processing would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety.

**SafeMeasures:** An analytic service provided by the National Council on Crime & Delinquency which provides timely management reports and indicators to DJS case-management, supervisory, and executive staff. SafeMeasures pulls data from DJS’ existing databases and creates visual indicators and reports to ensure that agency’s requirements for effective facility operations, case management, and supervision are tracked and met.

**Safety Planning Initiative (SPI):** An intense supervision initiative for youth in DJS care who are witnesses to, or victims of violent acts, or otherwise at-risk of violence. Prior to July 2015, these youth were under the auspices of VPI and captured within those data.

**Shelter Care:** Temporary, short-term (1-30 days), non-secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition. Shelter beds serve as an alternative to detention or other short-term circumstance where family or other housing is not available.

**Social History Investigation (SHI - formerly PDI):** The written study of a youth and his/her family that is presented to the juvenile court. A Social History Investigation emphasizes social and legal histories as well as the domain areas of: family functioning, substance abuse, mental health, somatic health, education, employment, and life skills.

**Social Skills Training and Aggression Replacement Techniques (START):** Based on Aggression Replacement Training (ART), which is an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral, group intervention for committed youth with chronic aggression. START focuses on developing youth’s social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning, including skills streaming, anger control training, and moral reasoning training.

**Spotlight on Schools (SOS) Program:** An initiative to place case managers on-site at certain key schools across the state. DJS Spotlight workers monitor and respond immediately to attendance issues, referrals for disruptive behavior, suspensions, and drop-out issues for youth under DJS supervision, and offer prevention and early intervention services to other at-risk students. In addition, SOS workers provide immediate, on-site intake services for students involved in delinquent activity.

**Staff Secure:** Residential programs where youth movement is controlled by staff supervision rather than by restrictive architectural features.

**State Advisory Board:** The Board consists of 19 members appointed by the Governor for 3-year terms. The Board recommends to the Secretary of Juvenile Services policies and programs to improve State juvenile services. The Board helps plan development, use of resources, and helps inform the public of the Department’s work.

**Treatment Service Plan (TSP):** A written document identifying treatment objectives, services, and service linkages that address the needs of the youth and family. It also examines the safety and appropriateness of the youth’s placement, guides DJS’ recommendations to the juvenile court for permanency planning (where appropriate), and monitors level of supervision and services required.

**Under 13 (U-13) Initiative:** A school-based intervention designed to provide support and services for juvenile offenders ages 12 years and younger through collaborative partnerships between DJS, Baltimore City/PG County Public Schools, the local Department of Social Services, and other child-serving stakeholders.

**Ungovernable:** Defined as being beyond the control of parents, guardians, or custodians or being disobedient of parental authority.

**Violation of Probation (VOP):** A hearing conducted by the court to determine if the conditions of community supervision have been violated. A VOP is considered a technical violation if it does not involve a new delinquent offense.

**Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI):** Implemented in January 2008, VPI provided intensified levels of supervision and targeted services for youth at highest risk of being victims/perpetrators of crimes of violence. This initiative incorporated a level system and continuum of graduated responses to ensure that immediate and appropriate actions were consistently applied when youth were non-compliant. VPI ended at the close of FY 2016.

**Youth:** The preferred term for individuals under 18 years of age as used by DJS.



A woman with her arms around a youth, who is holding a large gold trophy with a clear crystal base. The woman is wearing a black top and a silver chain bracelet. The youth is wearing a black suit jacket. The background is slightly blurred, showing a wall with some colorful letters and a doorway.

## Section I: An Overview of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

A youth is congratulated by his mother after winning the CHAMPS oratorical contest for Black History Month at Charles H. Hickey Jr. School.





## Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

### **MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES (DJS)**

*By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.*

*DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.*

The Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) is an executive agency charged with the responsibility of appropriately managing, supervising and treating youth who are involved in the juvenile justice system in Maryland. DJS provides individualized care and treatment to youth who have violated the law or who are a safety risk to themselves or others. Objective screening and assessment tools are utilized to manage youth with the guidance of the data collected. DJS works with partners in the community to achieve meaningful improvements to the outcomes of the youth served.

## Vision

**Successful Youth, Strong Leaders, Safer Communities**

## DJS Strategic Plan Goals

1. Improve positive outcomes for justice-involved youth.
2. Only use incarceration when necessary for public safety.
3. Keep committed and detained youth safe while delivering services to meet youth needs.
4. Ensure a continuum of care for justice-involved youth that is age- and developmentally-appropriate.
5. Build, value, and retain a diverse, competent, and professional workforce.
6. Enhance the quality, availability, and use of technology to improve services for staff, youth and families.

## DJS Values

- The safety of the citizens of Maryland and the fair, safe, and humane treatment for all youth in our care.
- The families, communities, stakeholders, and staff who support positive change in our youth.
- The experience, expertise, diversity, and integrity of our staff.
- Fairness and cultural competence regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual identity, or religion.
- Creating opportunities for youth and families to promote positive growth and development.
- A community-based approach with the least restrictive appropriate interventions.
- Continuous improvement and learning in all individuals.
- Excellence, innovation, and quality practices based on data and research.
- Change for the growth opportunities it brings.
- Accountability.
- Collaboration and teamwork.

## OBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT TOOLS

Objective screening and assessment tools are used to guide key decisions. For example, DJS Intake Officers are statutorily empowered to screen juvenile offenses and determine which complaints should be referred to the State’s Attorney’s Office for formal court petitioning. This decision is guided by a risk assessment tool that is part of the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) process. It factors in a youth’s history of delinquency, history of social risk factors, and the seriousness of the current offense(s) to identify those cases requiring court action.

The Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) evaluates whether a youth should be held in secure detention or placed in a supervised detention alternative while awaiting a hearing on the merits of a juvenile complaint. This tool helps the Department improve its detention practices by taking subjectivity out of the critical detention decision, with a goal of detaining only those youth who pose a risk to public safety, to themselves, or who would not otherwise appear in court.

If a youth has been adjudicated delinquent, the Department then utilizes the MCASP Needs Assessment to identify the youth’s treatment and security needs, and serves as the basis for the Treatment Service Plan (TSP) development. Using an objective instrument allows the Department to make better matches between youth and the course of treatment available within the continuum of care. The continuum of care spans in-home probation supervision with services, community-based out-of-home treatment, and state and privately-operated secure programs, all designed to address youth needs, and the factors that led the youth to delinquent behavior.

## MANAGING WITH DATA

The information developed from assessment tools as well as information collected by caseworkers, investigators, auditors, and facility staff is used in all levels of DJS management. Data reports are available to field staff and managers through the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST) and SafeMeasures reporting systems. Data are also regularly analyzed by the Research and Evaluation staff, generating reports for agency decision makers, the Governor’s Office, and reports to the Maryland Legislature. This *Data Resource Guide* represents the most comprehensive report of the Department’s aggregated data. County and program level data are readily available to all. This is crucial for practitioners because statewide trends could mask local trends. As innovative new ways of examining information are uncovered, these will be incorporated into this publication.

## COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS

The Department’s partnerships start in the community. Throughout the State, the Department works with local law enforcement, courts, health departments, attorneys, and other stakeholders to keep department youth safe and supported. Field staff make referrals to community-based providers who help meet the treatment needs of youth under supervision in the community.

State partners, such as the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), the Maryland Department of Human Services (DHS) and the Maryland Department of Health (MDH) collaborate at many levels to deliver services in the most effective manner. DJS also works closely with the Maryland State Police (MSP), and the Governor’s Office of Crime Control and Prevention (GOCCP) to ensure information is shared across agencies, and public safety goals are met.

## Re-entry Strategic Plan Goals


After implementing a number of front-end system reform initiatives in recent years, DJS has expanded its reform efforts to the committed youth population. A statewide retreat was held bringing DJS’ community and residential staff and administrators together with agency stakeholders including representatives from the Judiciary, Office of the Public Defender, State’s Attorney’s Office, service providers, and the advocacy community.

DJS’ Strategic Re-Entry Plan was developed and implemented in FY 2016 with goals, objectives, and performance measures to ensure that youth being released from committed placement successfully transition to life back in their home communities. Among the objectives are transition planning components for family engagement, re-enrollment in school, and connecting to work opportunities.

- Goal 1: Reduce recidivism by providing supervision to all youth returning home from committed care.
- Goal 2: Engage families of committed youth at all key case planning decision points.
- Goal 3: Connect all committed youth needing educational services to local education resources.
- Goal 4: Connect all youth to local employment services and resources.
- Goal 5: Connect all youth in need of behavioral or somatic health services to local resources to provide continuity of care.

## Organizational Chart

### AS OF NOVEMBER, 2017



## Agency Organization

The Department of Juvenile Services serves the entire state by providing support for community programs and services, community supervision and case management, and custody and care of committed juveniles.



Headquarters, located in Baltimore City, houses the Office of the Secretary and functions as the hub for all support services.

Agency functions are divided among the following:

- The Secretary oversees the Chief of Staff, Deputy Secretaries, Executive Directors of Community Supervision, Intake & Systems Reform, Office of the Inspector General, and the Office of Legislation & Policy.
- The Chief of Staff oversees the Office of Fair Practice/Equal Employment, the Office of Communications/Special Projects, and the Office of Family Engagement.
- The Deputy Secretary for Support Services manages the following offices: Research and Evaluation, Budget and Fiscal Services, Capital Planning and Budgeting, Information Technology, General Services, Human Resources, and Professional Training and Education.
- The Deputy Secretary for Operations oversees residential operations including the managing director of the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC)/transportation services, as well as Somatic Health, Behavioral Health/Substance Abuse, and Mental Health Services.

## DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2017


During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017, the Department expended a total of \$279.7 million. The first pie chart reflects the major cost centers for which these funds were expended, and the second shows the expenditures by major object group.



Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Professional Training and Education Unit (PTEU)

The PTEU supports DJS by engaging its employees in innovative training opportunities around the policies that ensure the use of effective procedures and practices in juvenile justice. PTEU manages and guides a spectrum of tactical professional development opportunities that help to sustain the strategic direction of DJS leadership. PTEU plans, designs, and implements professional training opportunities for managers, supervisors, and staff along a continuum ranging from Entry Level Training (ELT) for its newest employees to Executive Training for its leaders.



PTEU plays a critical role in the Department's ability to attract and retain skilled and talented employees. Upon initial recruitment, all staff already possess personal attributes that are assets to the agency. PTEU's aim is to increase knowledge, enhance skills, and enrich staff attributes so that they are equipped and motivated to achieve DJS' vision, mission, and goals. In its training delivery, PTEU strives to uphold DJS core values and contribute to an optimistic organizational culture. PTEU offers:

- **Entry Level Training** is the first encounter that a new staff member has once they successfully complete the hiring process. PTEU conducts several 6-week ELT sessions for newly hired employees each year. In FY 2017, ELT embraced the core value of continuous improvement and learning for all individuals by adding new elements to the training such as collaborating with Carroll Community College to offer a 12-hour grammar class and the awarding of 5 college credits for students who successfully complete ELT and OJT.
- **On-the-Job Training** (OJT) continues to build proficiency and confidence by providing more individualized hands-on learning.
- **In-Service Training** is coordinated by training administrators within each region. Administrators ensure 100% compliance with in-service training requirements set forth by the Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commission's (MPCTC) regulations for mandated staff within their purview. In addition, they manage and coordinate annual in-service training programs to comply with state and national standards for juvenile justice training.
- **Aspiring Supervisor Training** is now offered to staff seeking to build their knowledge, skills, and abilities around supervisor duties.
- **Supervisor Training** sessions are offered each quarter so that employees who have been promoted to supervisory positions can fulfill the requirement within one year as required by COMAR.
- **Specialized Training/Initiatives** are also offered as appropriate. Examples of recent specialized training conducted between January 2016 and July 2017 include:
  - Collaborating with DJS' Human Resources and Behavioral Health to offer the Adult Mental Health First Aid (AMHFA) training program and certified 67 DJS staff as first responders of mental health crises
  - Conducting two MPCTC approved General Corrections Basic Instructor Train the Trainer (T for T) courses that increased the number of adjunct instructors by 37.
  - Achieving PTEU's goal of completing mandated PREA refresher training for facility staff and authorized providers.
  - Being an active participant in new initiatives implemented at DJS that partner with outside organizations such as Trauma Informed Care training and the Trauma, Addiction, Mental Health and Recovery (TAMAR) model.
  - Developing opportunities to engage staff in asynchronous online training through technology such as the HUB. Currently, PTEU is collaborating with DJS' Behavioral Health Unit to develop its own HIPAA compliance training to be completed online and deployed via the HUB.

The **PTEU Certification Unit** is responsible for maintaining the accuracy of the Skills Manager training database. Skills Manager is a robust system used for collecting, managing, and reporting of records related to professional development, education, and training of all employees for the length of their careers. The Unit reports duty, training, and certification status of mandated employees to the MPCTC on a continuous basis. The Unit also submits requests for training program approvals, instructor certifications, and the year-end training compliance report for mandated employees.



PTEU staff along with Chief of Staff Jay Cleary and Deputy Secretary Lynette Homes

---

---

## Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution

### 1800s

- 1830: Maryland Legislature passed “An Act to Establish a House of Refuge for Juvenile Delinquents” that created for the first time an authority to provide troubled children with homes, education, and job training.
- 1850: House of Refuge opened.
- 1850-1882: Maryland built four “reform schools” for young people, governed by private boards and segregated by race and sex.
- 1866: Maryland Industrial School for Girls opened, and operated under various names, finally as the Montrose School, and closed in 1988.
- 1870: House of Reformation & Instruction for Colored Children opened. It operated under various names, renamed to the Cheltenham Youth Facility in 1992 and became Cheltenham Youth Detention Center in 2016.
- 1882: Industrial Home for Colored Girls opened. It merged with Montrose School for Girls in 1962.

### 1910-1918

- House of Refuge (opened in 1850) became Maryland School for Boys (1910) and in 1918 became the Maryland Training School for Boys.

### 1922

- State Department of Education operated the training schools.

### 1943

- State Department of Public Welfare, Bureau of Child Welfare, Division of Institutions operated the training schools.

### 1960s

- 1966-1969: State Department of Juvenile Services became the central coordinating agency for juvenile investigation, probation and aftercare services, and for State juvenile, diagnostic, training, detention, and rehabilitation institutions.
- 1967: State Department of Juvenile Services assumed administrative responsibilities for all State children’s centers and boys’ forestry camps.
- 1968: Patterson House (Group Home for Girls) opened. It closed in 1992.
- 1969: Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, organized the Juvenile Services Administration to administer all schools, youth detention centers, forestry camps, and probation/aftercare programs.

### 1970s

- 1970: Alfred D. Noyes Children’s Center opened in Montgomery County.
- 1972: Group Home for Boys opened, became the William Donald Schaefer House in 1992.
- 1972: Maryland Youth Residence Center (MYRC) opened.

### 1980s

- 1982: J. DeWeese Carter Center opened.
- 1985: Maryland Training School for Boys became the Charles H. Hickey Jr. School (CHHS).
- 1987: Juvenile Services Administration became an independent agency.
- 1988: The Montrose School closed.
- 1989: The Department of Juvenile Services became a cabinet-level department.

### 1990s

- 1992: Victor Cullen Academy, which was a former tuberculosis sanatorium, opened.
- 1992: Boys’ Village of Maryland renamed to Cheltenham Youth Facility (CYF).
- 1999: In November, DJS replaced its existing mainframe application, Information System for Youth Services (ISYS), with a client-server system referred to as the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST).

### 2002

- Victor Cullen Academy closed.

- HB 1081 - Required the establishment of a community detention program.
- HB 1011 - Required the Department to operate the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC) as a centralized regional juvenile justice intake, assessment, court, and detention facility for Baltimore City and specified the powers and duties related to the Center.
- HB 962 - Authorized the juvenile court to adopt a treatment service plan recommended by the Department in making a disposition on a specified petition. It also required DJS to ensure that a treatment service plan adopted by the court was implemented within 25 days after disposition.

## 2003

- Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center opened in October.

## 2004

- Day/Evening Center in Baltimore City opened in December.
- SB 767 /HB 1141 - Required a child discharged from a committed residential placement to receive step-down aftercare according to specified parameters.

## 2005

- Charles H. Hickey Jr. School Committed and Impact Programs closed in July.
- HB 1339 - Required the Secretary of Juvenile Services to establish a Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program in Baltimore City and County.

## 2007

- Victor Cullen Center reopened in July.
- Maryland Youth Residence Center closed in October.
- SB 359 - Reorganization and Regionalization required DJS to serve youth with specified programming that delivered services on a regional basis.

## 2008

- Group Home Reform - Keeping Maryland Youth in Maryland Per Diem Reduction Initiative.
- Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) began in Baltimore City in January and statewide in November.
- Opened Day/Evening Reporting Center in Prince George's County in November.
- SB 742 - Provided that all contracted residential child care programs must post a "Residents' Bill of Rights" in the facility and provide residents and their parents/guardians a handbook of the policies of the provider.
- Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center closed in December.

## 2009

- Collaboration with Operation Safe Kids to serve VPI youth in Baltimore City and Prince George's County.
- Silver Oak Academy opened (private provider serving only DJS youth).

## 2010

- Child Safety Net Dashboard launched in February.
- HB 1382 - Allowed DJS to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in the District of Columbia and Virginia.

## 2011

- SB 62 - Authorized the State Department of Education and the Department of Juvenile Services to share educational records when necessary to ensure the appropriate delivery of services.
- HB 1190 - Expanded the Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program to include Cecil, Montgomery, and Prince George's Counties.
- The committed female population moved from Waxter Facility to J. DeWeese Carter Center in November.
- CHALLENGE behavioral management program implemented at Carter Center in November.

## Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution (continued)

### 2012

- SB 245 - Allowed DJS to begin to develop a continuum of care by granting the agency the ability to move youth between committed placements.
- CHALLENGE implemented at Victor Cullen Center in March, the Youth Centers in August, and William Donald Schaefer House in October.
- Central Review Committee was formed to implement the terms of SB 245 and commenced its operation in July.
- The Tier I Human Sex Trafficking Screening Tool was adopted at the Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center in March to screen for victims of human sex trafficking and was expanded to the Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center in December.

### 2013

- HB 245 - Expanded DJS' ability to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in Delaware, Pennsylvania and West Virginia.
- Silver Oak Academy received approval to increase their treatment capacity from 48 beds to 96 beds.
- Under-13 (U-13) Initiative commenced in Baltimore City in May. The U-13 Initiative is a school-based intervention designed to provide support and services for juvenile offenders ages 12 years and younger through collaborative partnerships between DJS, Baltimore City Public Schools, the local Department of Social Services, and other child-serving stakeholders.
- From March to May, over 56 training sessions were held where approximately 1,100 Department employees learned to recognize the signs of possible human sex trafficking victimization among youth involved in the juvenile justice system and apply appropriate responses to support possible victims.

### 2014

- The Department began screening for victims of human sex trafficking at the Charles H. Hickey Jr. School in March.
- The U-13 Initiative expanded to Prince George's County in May.

### 2015

- Cross-Over Youth Initiative implemented in Prince George's County in April.
- Accountability Incentives Management (AIM) - DJS Graduated Responses Initiative implemented statewide in July.
- SB 172/HB 618 - Required eligible youth who were charged as adults and required detention to be held in juvenile detention facilities.
- Seven of the thirteen DJS-operated facilities were audited and earned 100% compliance with federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards.

### 2016


- DJS Re-entry Strategic Plan approved in January.
- Offices of Family Engagement and Equity and Systems Reform created in February.
- William Donald Schaefer House was officially closed for youth and staff on May 26, 2016.
- Continuum of Care Legislation expired in June.
- VPI ended at the close of FY 2016.
- On November 17, 2016 the new Cheltenham Youth Detention Center opened with a capacity of 72 beds, replacing the 115-bed Cheltenham Youth Facility.
- The remaining six DJS-operated facilities not audited in 2015 were audited and earned 100% compliance with federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards.

### 2017


- Cross-Over Youth Initiative implemented in Montgomery County in April.
- Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative pilot implemented in Baltimore City and Wicomico County.



# Department of Juvenile Services Historical Evolution Highlights Since 2007




# Maryland Department of Juvenile Services Process Flowchart



## STEPS IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM (SEE PROCESS FLOWCHART)

1. Youth can be referred to DJS by law enforcement, schools, citizens, and parents. Some police departments run diversion programs, and only those youth who fail out of the program would be referred to DJS. Youth may also be apprehended by law enforcement agencies (on a writ or warrant) for failing to appear in court or violating the conditions of supervision while in an alternative to detention program, for example.
2. If requested by law enforcement, DJS makes an emergency detention decision to determine if a youth requires secure detention until the next court day. This decision is guided by a Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI).
3. The intake complaint is assessed by an intake officer, who has statutory authority to determine how the case should be handled. The options are:
  - Disapprove as legally insufficient;
  - Resolve, when it is determined that furthering the case would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety;
  - Informal pre-court supervision, where the family signs a 90-day agreement to certain conditions without court involvement. This is the main DJS court diversion program; or
  - Formally authorize the State’s Attorney to petition the juvenile court for a hearing
4. At the detention hearing, the juvenile court determines if detention is required until the adjudicatory or dispositional hearing. Youth may also be detained directly by the juvenile court in cases where the youth is presented during court hours, either on a new charge, a writ or warrant, or due to a violation or sanction of a supervision order (probation, community detention, drug court, etc.). DJS operates all secure juvenile detention centers.
5. Youth who present a lower risk may also be supervised in programs providing alternatives to secure detention. These programs ensure that the youth is available to attend the adjudicatory hearing. Youth must comply with certain restrictions, which include house arrest, electronic monitoring, or day and/or evening reporting centers. Structured shelter is also used for cases where the youth cannot return home after arrest but otherwise represents a lower risk.
6. DJS formally authorizes the State’s Attorney to petition the juvenile court. The State’s Attorney then reviews the complaint, and may dismiss it, or file a petition to the juvenile court.
7. At the adjudicatory hearing, the juvenile court determines the outcome of the petitioned charges, which can be sustained or not sustained.
8. While a youth is awaiting disposition and/or supervision or placement, DJS conducts a series of assessments and investigations which will guide the DJS recommendation to the court on how the case should be handled.
9. For cases where the charges are sustained, a dispositional hearing is held to determine if the youth requires supervision by DJS under a probation order, or will be committed to DJS’ care which usually indicates an out-of-home placement.
10. For youth whose disposition is probation, DJS case managers provide supervision and services while the youth resides at home. Supervision intensity varies depending on the risk level of youth. Standard community supervision levels include low, moderate, high, and intensive supervision.
11. Youth who are committed to the Department for out-of-home placement may continue to wait in detention “pending placement” for an appropriate placement to become available.
12. Youth who are committed to an out-of-home placement may be placed in a broad variety of programs (state-run or private, secure or non-secure) depending on the risk level and treatment needs of the youth.
13. DJS has committed diversion programs for youth who might otherwise be placed out-of-home. These in-home programs provide services and treatment to the youth and family, including Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), and Family Centered Treatment (FCT).
14. Youth returning home from a committed placement are supervised on aftercare by DJS case managers who, along with regional re-entry specialists, ensure that youth are connected in the community with required services including education, employment, and health services.


## Flow of FY 2017 Case Referrals



In FY 2017:

- There were a total of 21,530 juvenile complaints processed by DJS.
  - 46.7% (10,063) of those juvenile complaints were referred to the State's Attorney for the authorization of a formal petition (as opposed to being resolved at intake or juvenile receiving 90-days of pre-court supervision).
- Of the 10,063 juvenile complaints referred to the State's Attorney, 86.3% (8,686) were petitioned and resulted in a court disposition. The remaining 13.7% includes 7.6% not petitioned (765), 5.3% denied by State's Attorney (537), and 0.7% initial petition withdrawn (74), and 0.0% Non Est (1).
- Of the 8,686 court dispositions:
  - 32.8% (2,851) received probation and 12.8% (1,110) were committed to DJS.
  - The remaining 54.4% were dismissed/closed, continued, transferred, nolle pros., pending disposition, jurisdiction waived, writ pending, inter-region/state, or other.
- Of the 1,110 committed court dispositions, there were 77.3% (858) committed admissions with 510 distinct youth. The remaining 252 include community commitments and youth who had already been in a committed placement prior to the new intake.
  - Of the 858 committed admissions, 50.7% (435) were placed into DJS operated facilities while 49.3% (423) were placed into non-DJS operated facilities. Of those placed into non-DJS operated facilities:
    - 35.5% went to a group home, 24.3% went to a RTC, 6.6% went to an ICFA, and 17.7% went to Silver Oak Academy.
    - The remaining 15.9% were placed out-of-state, in foster care, or in independent living facilities.

## Section II: Intake and Community Supervision



Each week, community-based youth at the Baltimore City Evening Reporting Center practice yoga for an hour. Yoga helps the youth learn de-escalation, mindfulness, staying focused, and how to make healthier choices.



## Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision

The DJS Community Services Division provides a continuum of services to youth across multiple stages of the juvenile justice system. The provision of community services begins at DJS intake where DJS intake officers receive complaints from persons or agencies, such as private citizens, schools, victims, or law enforcement agencies. Intake officers then assess whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is warranted. In addition to screening complaints received at intake, Community Services staff directly supervise youth placed on Informal (or Pre-Court) Supervision, Community Detention and Electronic Monitoring (CD/EM), Probation, and Aftercare. Case supervision and management consists of a number of tasks which will be described in more detail below.

### Juvenile Intake

DJS intake officers review all delinquent and Child in Need of Supervision (CINS) complaints, citations, referrals for service, and peace order requests. Intake officers are directed to make a determination within 25 days as to whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction, and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public or the child. DJS intake officers are authorized to either: (a) disapprove a complaint as legally insufficient; (b) resolve the matter at intake; (c) propose an informal adjustment period (also called pre-court supervision); or (d) authorize the filing of a petition by the State's Attorney's Office. DJS is required to forward all felony and handgun violation complaints to the State's Attorney's Office for review.

The intake decision-making process may involve an interview with the youth, parent and/or guardian, and where applicable, the victim(s). The intake decision is also guided by the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen (see Appendix I). The MCASP Intake Risk Screen is completed at intake for all alleged offenses except citations, CINS offenses (e.g., runaway and truant complaints), and traffic offenses. MCASP Intake Risk Screen items are used to create a delinquency history score and a social history score. The instrument generates a recommended intake decision based on the intersection of these two scores and the nature of the most serious alleged offense (whether it is a felony or misdemeanor).

In addition to assessing the merit of complaints received at intake and making the case forwarding decision, DJS intake officers are responsible for determining whether juveniles who have been taken into custody require secure detention or may be released to a parent, guardian, or other responsible adult and, if so, under what conditions. Some youth are released to a caregiver without any additional restrictions or conditions. Other youth are released to a caregiver and required to participate in an alternative to detention (ATD) program such as CD/EM pending a court hearing on the next court date. Lastly, some youth are detained or placed in shelter care pending a court hearing on the next court date.

Intake officers are directed by statute (Md. Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings, section 3-8A-15) to authorize detention if detention is deemed necessary to protect the youth or others, or if the youth

is deemed likely to leave the jurisdiction of the court. Shelter care may be utilized for youth requiring care, but not in a secure setting. Additionally, shelter care can be utilized if a parent, guardian, or custodian is not available to provide supervision and care until the child returns to court.

The decision to authorize detention is guided by a decision-making tool called the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI). The DRAI is designed to provide an objective assessment of the probability that a youth will reoffend or fail to appear for future court dates. The DRAI was validated during FY 2017 and revised effective July 1, 2017 (see Appendix F for the validated instrument).

Intake services are provided by DJS regions during normal working hours (i.e., Mon-Fri., 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.). The Baltimore City Region provides 24/7 intake services and houses a centralized intake unit at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center. The centralized intake unit provides state-wide intake services during non-traditional work hours and/or holidays for all regions across the state.

### Community Supervision - Informal Adjustment (or Pre-Court Supervision)

As discussed above, one option for a case received at DJS intake is to handle the case informally without involving the juvenile court through an Informal Adjustment/Pre-Court Supervision period of up to 90 days. Pre-Court Supervision is an agreement executed by the DJS intake officer that stipulates conditions of the supervision period. The agreement requires consent by the youth, parent/guardian, and victim (where applicable). Approval by the State's Attorney's Office is required for a felony offense. Agreements are tailored to the individual circumstances of the case and may include the payment of restitution, the completion of community service hours, as well as participation in specialized counseling or treatment programs such as substance abuse treatment. In some circumstances, the period of informal supervision may be extended to 180 days to allow for the youth to participate in a substance abuse or mental health treatment program. Note that if a youth fails to meet the conditions of the agreement, the DJS intake officer may elect to forward the case to the State's Attorney's Office for review.



**Youth at Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School writing wish cards to raise money for the Make-A-Wish Foundation's Believe Campaign.**

### **Community Supervision – Community Detention**

DJS provides regional community detention and electronic monitoring services (CD/EM) typically for youth whose cases have been forwarded to the juvenile court at intake and are pending adjudication or disposition. The program operates as the primary state-run ATD. CD/EM allows youth to continue participating in community activities such as school or work, and helps maintain community ties and support systems. Note that CD/EM may also be ordered by the court as a condition of probation or aftercare supervision.

Community Detention supervision includes face-to-face and telephone contacts as well as random unannounced visits at home, school, and work. The level of supervision depends on the assessed supervision needs of each youth and the court-ordered release conditions. Community Detention officers are trained to detect violations of court-ordered release conditions and report any changes in a youth's home or school situation. Youth who violate the conditions of release may be withdrawn from the program and placed in secure detention.

The electronic monitoring component provides an additional layer of supervision. Compliance to the program is monitored electronically using an ankle bracelet placed on the youth by a Community Detention Officer (CDO) and a receiver placed in the youth's home. All electronic events related to the youth's schedule and the equipment functionality are received in real time. The Command Center and assigned CDO carefully review the information in order to ensure that supervision violations receive proper intervention and follow-up.

The CD/EM command center is located in Baltimore City. This centrally-operated command center provides 24/7 staffing.

### **Community Supervision – Probation**

Youth who are adjudicated and found delinquent by the juvenile court may be placed on probation under the supervision of a DJS case management specialist (CMS). Probation is the most frequently used

juvenile court disposition. A probation term requires youth to abide by general supervision conditions, as well as any special conditions imposed by the court.

At the start of probation supervision (or in some instances, prior to disposition if ordered by the juvenile court), a Social History Investigation and report is completed by the assigned CMS. This report describes the social adjustment and circumstances of the youth and their family.

In addition, the CMS completes an MCASP Needs Assessment that includes components of the MCASP Intake Risk Screen completed at intake but provides a much broader and more comprehensive assessment of individual need. The MCASP Needs Assessment categorizes youth as having either low, moderate, or high need on each of the following treatment domains (which have been shown to influence risk for re-offending): (a) School; (b) Use of Free Time; (c) Employment; (d) Community Relationships; (e) Family; (f) Alcohol and Drugs; (g) Mental Health; (h) Attitudes and Aggression; and (i) Neighborhood Safety. (See Appendix J for a list of MCASP Needs Assessment items).

The CMS uses the Social History Investigation and MCASP Needs Assessment to develop recommendations to the juvenile court and to create a Treatment Service Plan (TSP). A TSP is completed for each youth under court ordered supervision and includes the recommended supervision level for the youth, specific goals for the youth and family to meet, and a statement of services to be provided to the youth and family. In developing the TSP, input from youth, parents and/or guardians, and service providers (as appropriate) are also solicited.

### **Community Supervision – Aftercare and Re-entry**

Aftercare supervision is similar to probation supervision in that the CMS performs many of the same supervisory tasks and relies on the same tools for decision-making (e.g., the MCASP Needs Assessment). Aftercare services are provided for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS for placement outside the home, or for the receipt of in-home services in some jurisdictions. A CMS is assigned to the case upon commitment. Planning for aftercare is initiated before the youth is placed in a residential program.

Aftercare case management is comprehensive, beginning with assessments of the youth's progress while in residential care, to the identification and monitoring of services needed upon release. Building on the services provided in the residential placement, the CMS is also responsible for completing the re-entry process which ensures that youth and family are linked to services in the community, monitors the youth's adjustment, and ensures compliance with any court directives. Additionally, a variety of programs is available to



assist youth returning to the community; including independent living programs, transitional educational services, and employment programs (e.g., job readiness training, career exploration and vocational training). As a step-down to community supervision, some youth are also monitored with GPS supervision.

### Interstate Compact on Juveniles (ICJ)

The ICJ unit provides for the cooperative supervision of probation and aftercare youth moving from state to state and the return of runaways, absconders, and escapees. As of 2014, a new compact was enacted forming the Interstate Commission for Juveniles and all 50 states are currently members. The ICJ governs each member state as to the provision of proper supervision or return of juveniles, delinquents, and status offenders on probation or aftercare who have absconded, escaped, or run away and in so doing endangered their own safety or the safety of others. It is the responsibility of each member state to provide

supervision and services to ICJ cases using the same standards that prevail for its own juveniles placed on probation or aftercare supervision.

### Note to Readers:


View the [2017 DRG Methodology Change](#)







For the 2017 DRG, there were changes made to the organization and methodology of the table “Court Action for Formaled Cases.”

1. **Organization:** Actions are now grouped to better show like-actions together. For example “Non Est.” was previously under “Other” and is now combined with “Petition Withdrawn” and “Denied by SAO.”

2. **Handling of cases with more than one action:** In cases with more than one result (e.g. Continued then Dismissed), the most serious action is now shown for all cases. Previously, the first action was shown, except for continued/STET where the most serious was shown.

## DJS Offices by County and Region



 Region I Baltimore City	 Region II Central	 Region III Western	 Region IV Eastern	 Region V Southern	 Region VI Metro
HQ - MD State DJS	5 - Hunt Valley	12 - Allegany	16 - Denton	25 - Annapolis	30 - Rockville
1 - Central	6 - Dundalk/E ssex	13 - Frederick	17 - Cambridge	26 - Glen Burnie	31 - Silver Spring
2 - Southern	7 - Arbutus	14 - Oakland	18 - Centreville	27 - Prince Frederick	32 - Largo
3 - Plaza	8 - Garrison	15 - Hagerstown	19 - Easton	28 - La Plata	33 - Upper Marlboro
4 - MYRC	9 - Westminster		20 - Snow Hill	29 - Leonardtown	
	10 - Bel Air		21 - Elkton		
	11 - Ellicott City		22 - Chestertown		
			23 - Princess Anne		
			24 - Salisbury		

## Total Statewide Activity

There are six regions across the state that encompass 24 jurisdictions.

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.9%	1.3%	1.5%
Citizen	3.0%	2.5%	2.6%
Police*	90.6%	92.2%	91.8%
Violation of Probation**	5.4%	4.0%	4.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>23,469</b>	<b>22,447</b>	<b>21,530</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMALED CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	32.4%	35.9%	38.7%
Informed	16.4%	15.6%	14.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	51.1%	48.5%	46.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>23,469</b>	<b>22,447</b>	<b>21,530</b>
Court Action for Formaled Cases ( <a href="#">Previous Methodology</a> )			
Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	11.5%	12.6%	13.7%
Stet	8.2%	9.1%	9.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.0%	1.1%	0.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	30.5%	27.3%	21.4%
Pending Disposition**	6.2%	5.6%	12.8%
Services Not Ordered	1.7%	1.8%	0.8%
Probation	27.8%	29.3%	28.3%
Committed to DJS	12.2%	11.9%	11.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Other***	0.9%	1.2%	1.5%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>12,000</b>	<b>10,898</b>	<b>10,063</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	457.3
Pre-Court	738.6
Probation	2,292.3
Aftercare	727.8
Committed-Aftercare	480.9

#### • US Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Maryland population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.1% (from 535,970 to 530,021).

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):

- 46.7% of complaints were formaled while 14.6% were informed.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):

- Total complaints decreased 8.3%
- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 12.2% to 11.0%
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 27.8% to 28.3%

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 32.2 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 80.6 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in a Secure Detention (2.16), Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.95) and Confinement in a Secure Correctional Facility (1.66).
- See Appendix O for details on the Relative Rate Index (RRI).

#### • Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 12.4% to 12.3%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.4% to 9.8%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 68.0% to 67.3%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	63.2%	63.8%	62.1%
White	29.6%	29.0%	30.0%
Hispanic/Other	7.2%	7.2%	7.9%
Sex			
Male	72.5%	73.8%	74.1%
Female	27.5%	26.2%	25.9%
Age			
11 and under	3.7%	3.6%	3.6%
12	4.5%	4.7%	4.4%
13	7.9%	7.9%	8.1%
14	13.6%	12.9%	13.3%
15	19.2%	19.7%	19.7%
16	22.7%	23.4%	22.3%
17	25.5%	25.1%	25.4%
18-20	3.0%	2.7%	3.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>23,469</b>	<b>22,447</b>	<b>21,530</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	41.0%	44.2%	46.0%	45.1%
Assault (Felony)	1.6%	4.8%	0.9%	2.4%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.0%	14.3%	24.3%	14.8%
Carjacking	0.2%	1.0%	0.3%	1.6%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	1.5%	1.8%	1.3%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	2.2%	1.9%	4.2%
Harassment	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Murder	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	10.3%	7.6%	6.1%	4.2%
Robbery	4.1%	10.3%	6.0%	11.8%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.2%	1.3%	2.7%	3.3%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.6%	1.4%	0.1%
Property Offenses	35.8%	37.5%	31.5%	33.5%
Arson	0.6%	0.3%	0.5%	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.7%	5.6%	1.4%	2.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.0%	4.0%	3.3%	3.9%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	2.6%	2.8%	2.7%
Malicious Destruction	6.7%	5.5%	6.5%	4.9%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.7%	2.6%	1.9%	1.5%
Theft (Felony)	2.6%	4.4%	1.7%	1.9%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.5%	12.5%	13.5%	15.4%
Drug Related Offenses	4.3%	5.8%	5.4%	4.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.9%	3.3%	1.8%	1.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.4%	2.4%	3.5%	2.2%
Citations	7.0%	2.6%	1.3%	1.5%
Alcohol Violation	1.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.4%	1.6%	0.9%	0.6%
Tobacco Violation	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.0%	0.6%	0.4%	0.9%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	1.9%	1.3%	0.1%	0.3%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.3%	8.0%	15.3%	15.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.6%	2.2%	6.6%	7.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	1.2%	2.8%	1.3%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.4%	0.9%	0.7%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.0%	3.7%	5.0%	5.2%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>35,494</b>	<b>5,776</b>	<b>1,903</b>	<b>668</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**



Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>30.4%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>26.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	1.5%	2.3%	3.1%
- Property	5.4%	11.4%	3.4%	4.5%
- Drugs	2.8%	6.8%	2.2%	2.4%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.7%	5.6%	5.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>67.3%</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>73.3%</b>	<b>56.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	39.3%	24.6%	40.1%	25.7%
- Property	24.5%	16.5%	22.7%	22.5%
- Drugs	1.7%	1.8%	3.8%	2.2%
- Unspecified	1.8%	1.7%	6.7%	5.9%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>21,530</b>	<b>2,665<sup>++</sup></b>	<b>1,608</b>	<b>510</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>++</sup> Includes 76 placements of youth from out-of-state

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Female Statewide Activity

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%
Citizen	5.4%	4.5%	4.1%
Police*	90.3%	92.0%	92.9%
Violation of Probation**	3.8%	3.1%	2.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,453</b>	<b>5,879</b>	<b>5,567</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	41.8%	48.7%	51.1%
Informed	19.2%	16.5%	16.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	39.1%	34.8%	32.0%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>6,453</b>	<b>5,879</b>	<b>5,567</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	13.7%	12.4%	14.7%
Stet	8.9%	11.0%	11.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.8%	0.6%	0.3%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	31.9%	28.4%	24.2%
Pending Disposition**	6.9%	6.6%	13.0%
Services Not Ordered	1.5%	1.7%	1.0%
Probation	26.8%	30.4%	27.2%
Committed to DJS	8.1%	6.9%	5.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other***	1.3%	2.0%	2.4%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>2,519</b>	<b>2,044</b>	<b>1,784</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	73.3
Pre-Court	214.4
Probation	451.7
Aftercare	109.3
Committed-Aftercare	76.1

#### US Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2012 and July 2016, the female population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.8% (from 262,318 to 260,265).

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):

- 32.0% of complaints were formaled while 16.8% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):

- Total complaints decreased 13.7%
- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 8.1% to 5.7%
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 26.8% to 27.2%

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.5 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 88.9 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):

- Data on racial and ethnic disparity are not available by sex.

#### Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence did not change.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.8% to 5.4%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 78.5% to 77.8%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	62.7%	62.3%	60.4%
White	31.6%	32.0%	32.9%
Hispanic/Other	5.6%	5.6%	6.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
12	4.9%	4.9%	5.0%
13	8.6%	8.7%	9.7%
14	14.9%	13.9%	14.6%
15	19.7%	21.2%	19.7%
16	22.0%	22.6%	22.6%
17	24.1%	23.7%	22.6%
18-20	2.8%	2.0%	2.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,453</b>	<b>5,879</b>	<b>5,567</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	49.2%	51.5%	54.6%	41.3%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	5.2%	1.1%	1.3%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	28.0%	21.7%	37.3%	30.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	1.7%	0.6%	1.3%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Harassment	1.0%	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	14.8%	15.0%	10.0%	6.7%
Robbery	1.4%	5.2%	3.3%	1.3%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.4%	31.2%	27.3%	42.7%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	4.0%	0.0%	6.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.1%	1.4%	1.4%	2.7%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.5%	1.1%	0.6%	2.7%
Malicious Destruction	5.0%	6.2%	5.3%	10.7%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.1%	2.5%	3.3%	2.7%
Theft (Felony)	1.6%	3.9%	1.7%	2.7%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	14.3%	12.1%	15.0%	12.0%
Drug Related Offenses	2.0%	1.5%	1.4%	1.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.5%	1.0%	0.8%	1.3%
Citations	6.8%	1.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.9%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	3.5%	3.0%	0.0%	2.7%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.4%	11.2%	16.2%	12.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.1%	2.4%	6.1%	6.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	1.4%	3.1%	4.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.8%	5.9%	6.7%	1.3%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>8,226</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>359</b>	<b>75</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**



Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>19.3%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>4.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	1.5%	1.9%	1.6%
- Property	3.2%	9.0%	1.9%	9.4%
- Drugs	0.7%	1.3%	0.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.8%	2.9%	4.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>62.1%</b>	<b>86.6%</b>	<b>76.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	51.2%	42.0%	54.3%	42.2%
- Property	23.3%	18.1%	21.7%	31.2%
- Drugs	1.3%	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.9%	1.0%	9.6%	3.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>5,567</i>	<i>398<sup>++</sup></i>	<i>313</i>	<i>64</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>25.9%</i>	<i>14.9%</i>	<i>19.5%</i>	<i>12.5%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>++</sup> Includes 11 placements of youth from out-of-state

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Statewide Activity for Youth Under Age 13

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	4.0%	3.3%	3.7%
Police*	95.4%	96.5%	96.1%
Violation of Probation**	0.7%	0.3%	0.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,917</b>	<b>1,868</b>	<b>1,711</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.9%	42.1%	50.1%
Informed	25.2%	21.7%	20.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	34.8%	36.2%	29.6%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,917</b>	<b>1,868</b>	<b>1,711</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	15.1%	19.4%	17.2%
Stet	12.9%	15.4%	17.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	32.0%	26.9%	18.6%
Pending Disposition**	6.1%	5.8%	14.8%
Services Not Ordered	1.0%	0.7%	1.2%
Probation	25.7%	25.4%	25.5%
Committed to DJS	5.5%	4.6%	2.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	1.3%	1.8%	2.6%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>668</b>	<b>676</b>	<b>506</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	20.8
Pre-Court	75.0
Probation	114.0
Aftercare	37.2
Committed-Aftercare	4.2

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the juvenile population (ages 11-12) decreased 1.4% (from 152,228 to 150,059).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 29.6% of complaints were formaled while 20.3% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 10.7%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 5.5% to 2.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 25.7% to 25.5%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 26.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.6 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 108.5 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Data on racial and ethnic disparity is not shown due to the small population size.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 11.0% to 10.2%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 4.0% to 3.9%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 80.7% to 82.0%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	66.3%	69.6%	64.8%
White	30.1%	27.1%	30.9%
Hispanic/Other	3.6%	3.3%	4.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	73.4%	75.2%	73.9%
Female	26.6%	24.8%	26.1%
<b>Age</b>			
8 and under	88	91	60
9	101	112	116
10	210	199	186
11	468	410	410
12	1,050	1,056	939
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,917</b>	<b>1,868</b>	<b>1,711</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	58.1%	60.4%	52.2%	75.0%
Assault (Felony)	2.0%	11.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	33.7%	12.1%	37.3%	50.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.4%	2.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	25.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	11.0%	2.2%	4.5%	0.0%
Robbery	2.2%	19.8%	1.5%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.7%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.4%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	32.2%	30.8%	44.8%	25.0%
Arson	2.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.9%	3.3%	3.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.8%	1.1%	3.0%	25.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.8%	3.3%	13.4%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.6%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.2%	16.5%	22.4%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.3%	8.8%	3.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.1%	3.3%	3.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>2,546</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>4</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.9%	5.7%	3.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>82.0%</b>	<b>42.9%</b>	<b>90.4%</b>	<b>75.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	55.8%	25.7%	51.9%	50.0%
- Property	24.3%	14.3%	34.6%	25.0%
- Drugs	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	2.9%	3.8%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,711</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>7.9%</i>	<i>1.3%</i>	<i>3.2%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Statewide Activity for Youth of Color

The data shown on these pages provide additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth).

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	1.1%	1.7%	2.0%
Citizen	3.5%	2.7%	3.0%
Police*	89.5%	91.2%	90.4%
Violation of Probation**	6.0%	4.5%	4.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>16,514</b>	<b>15,934</b>	<b>15,068</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMALED CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	29.8%	33.2%	36.2%
Informed	14.3%	13.7%	12.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	55.9%	53.0%	51.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>16,514</b>	<b>15,934</b>	<b>15,068</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases ([Previous Methodology](#))

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	10.9%	11.6%	13.4%
Stet	7.3%	8.5%	8.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	32.5%	28.9%	22.3%
Pending Disposition**	6.4%	5.7%	13.5%
Services Not Ordered	1.9%	1.9%	0.7%
Probation	27.1%	29.1%	26.7%
Committed to DJS	12.3%	12.1%	12.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Other***	0.7%	1.2%	1.4%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>9,226</b>	<b>8,452</b>	<b>7,760</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	375.4
Pre-Court	449.0
Probation	1,763.5
Aftercare	587.2
Committed-Aftercare	379.9

#### US Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Youth of Color population (ages 11-17) increased 4.0% (from 277,507 to 288,520).

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):

- 51.5% of complaints were formaled while 12.3% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):

- Total complaints decreased 8.8%
- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 12.3% to 12.2%
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 27.1% to 26.7%

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 34.0 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 80.0 days.

#### Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):

- Crimes of Violence increased from 14.4% to 14.7%
- Felonies increased from 10.4% to 11.4%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 68.7% to 66.7%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	89.8%	89.9%	88.8%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	10.2%	10.1%	11.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	73.3%	74.9%	75.2%
Female	26.7%	25.1%	24.8%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	3.6%	3.6%	3.4%
12	4.5%	5.0%	4.4%
13	8.0%	8.2%	8.2%
14	14.4%	13.2%	13.5%
15	20.3%	20.2%	20.5%
16	22.7%	23.7%	22.0%
17	23.6%	23.4%	24.4%
18-20	3.0%	2.7%	3.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>16,514</b>	<b>15,934</b>	<b>15,068</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	43.6%	44.9%	49.1%	47.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.9%	4.9%	1.1%	2.4%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.5%	13.9%	26.2%	14.4%
Carjacking	0.3%	1.2%	0.4%	2.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.2%	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	2.4%	2.2%	5.1%
Harassment	0.5%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	1.1%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	10.8%	7.4%	6.0%	4.2%
Robbery	5.3%	11.3%	7.7%	13.7%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.2%	1.2%	2.3%	2.9%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Property Offenses	36.5%	37.3%	30.2%	31.4%
Arson	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.3%	6.1%	1.7%	2.4%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.0%	3.8%	2.7%	4.4%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.8%	2.5%	2.6%	2.6%
Malicious Destruction	5.8%	4.9%	4.4%	3.5%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.6%	2.7%	1.8%	1.3%
Theft (Felony)	3.1%	4.5%	1.9%	1.6%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	14.4%	12.5%	14.7%	15.4%
Drug Related Offenses	4.0%	5.9%	4.9%	4.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.1%	3.6%	1.6%	1.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.9%	2.3%	3.3%	2.4%
Citations	4.8%	2.2%	0.9%	1.3%
Alcohol Violation	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.4%	1.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	0.5%	0.1%	0.9%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	1.6%	1.2%	0.1%	0.2%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	0.2%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.0%	7.8%	14.3%	15.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.9%	2.5%	6.9%	8.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.9%	1.0%	1.3%	0.9%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.3%	1.0%	0.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.0%	3.6%	5.1%	5.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>25,784</b>	<b>4,955</b>	<b>1,419</b>	<b>547</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**



Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>32.6%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>30.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>14.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	1.7%	1.8%	2.4%
- Property	6.6%	12.2%	3.9%	3.6%
- Drugs	3.2%	7.5%	1.8%	2.2%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.7%	6.4%	6.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>41.4%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>54.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	39.7%	22.4%	40.3%	25.2%
- Property	24.1%	15.8%	20.9%	21.1%
- Drugs	1.2%	1.8%	3.6%	2.2%
- Unspecified	1.7%	1.4%	6.7%	5.8%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>15,068</i>	<i>2,223<sup>++</sup></i>	<i>1,194</i>	<i>413</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>70.0%</i>	<i>83.4%</i>	<i>74.3%</i>	<i>81.0%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>++</sup> Includes 67 placements of youth from out-of-state

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Region I-Baltimore City Summary

Executive Director: Dwain Johnson  
Assistant Regional Directors: Anjelene Branch, Quiana Muse, George Proctor, and Bernice Walker

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	2.4%	4.8%	5.6%
Citizen	8.4%	6.2%	6.5%
Police*	81.8%	83.3%	81.3%
Violation of Probation**	7.4%	5.7%	6.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,407</b>	<b>2,493</b>	<b>2,217</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	11.8%	18.4%	11.2%
Informed	7.5%	7.8%	6.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	80.7%	73.8%	82.1%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>3,407</b>	<b>2,493</b>	<b>2,217</b>

#### Court Action for Formaeled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	12.7%	10.7%	17.4%
Stet	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	46.0%	42.7%	34.3%
Pending Disposition**	10.4%	8.6%	17.5%
Services Not Ordered	0.9%	1.1%	0.3%
Probation	20.7%	22.9%	18.4%
Committed to DJS	8.9%	13.6%	11.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other***	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>2,748</b>	<b>1,841</b>	<b>1,821</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	172.6
Pre-Court	40.5
Probation	535.4
Aftercare	164.1
Committed-Aftercare	90.1

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

3 Offices:  
300 North Gay Street, Baltimore, MD 21202 (ph) 443-263-8871  
6502 Reisterstown Rd, Baltimore, MD 21215 (ph) 410-362-4400  
530 N. Hilton Street, Baltimore, MD 21229 (ph) 410-585-2100

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Baltimore City population (ages 11-17) decreased 20.4% (from 60,124 to 47,864).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 82.1% of complaints were formaeled while 6.6% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 34.9%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 8.9% to 11.6%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 20.7% to 18.4%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.0 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 8.6 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 61.4 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.99), Petition (1.10), and under-represented at Probation (0.76).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 25.8% to 30.8%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 26.5% to 29.0%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 45.9% to 39.1%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	94.0%	94.3%	94.0%
White	4.4%	4.5%	4.8%
Hispanic/Other	1.6%	1.2%	1.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	77.0%	83.9%	83.3%
Female	23.0%	16.1%	16.7%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	2.8%	2.2%	2.2%
12	3.7%	3.9%	2.7%
13	7.3%	7.3%	6.9%
14	15.2%	11.7%	15.1%
15	21.3%	21.6%	21.2%
16	23.2%	24.6%	22.2%
17	24.5%	25.8%	26.9%
18-20	1.9%	2.8%	2.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,407</b>	<b>2,493</b>	<b>2,217</b>

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	47.3%	43.1%	40.6%	41.1%
Assault (Felony)	3.9%	4.8%	1.6%	3.6%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	17.9%	12.1%	20.1%	5.4%
Carjacking	1.1%	2.2%	1.1%	3.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	1.6%	2.1%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.9%	3.5%	4.0%	8.9%
Harassment	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Murder	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	2.3%	3.0%	1.1%	0.6%
Robbery	12.7%	13.0%	7.0%	17.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.3%	1.5%	1.6%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.6%	1.9%	0.0%
Property Offenses	32.6%	36.3%	34.0%	27.4%
Arson	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	8.2%	9.9%	2.7%	1.2%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.3%	3.1%	2.9%	3.6%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.5%	1.8%	3.7%	3.6%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%	4.2%	4.5%	1.8%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	1.6%	1.6%	0.8%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	3.5%	5.2%	1.3%	1.8%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.7%	10.1%	17.9%	14.9%
Drug Related Offenses	11.6%	11.7%	10.4%	9.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	7.7%	8.0%	3.7%	4.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.9%	3.7%	6.7%	5.4%
Citations	1.7%	1.6%	0.5%	1.8%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.0%	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	1.8%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.8%	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.1%	6.1%	12.8%	19.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.4%	1.7%	5.1%	7.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.1%	0.9%	0.8%	0.6%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.4%	2.9%	2.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.2%	3.0%	4.0%	8.9%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>3,839</b>	<b>1,848</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>168</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>29.0%</b>	<b>33.2%</b>	<b>18.3%</b>	<b>17.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.9%	2.1%	1.8%	0.8%
- Property	13.7%	16.4%	4.6%	2.3%
- Drugs	12.4%	14.6%	4.3%	5.4%
- Unspecified	1.0%	0.1%	7.6%	9.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>39.1%</b>	<b>30.3%</b>	<b>67.4%</b>	<b>47.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	23.9%	15.6%	31.1%	14.7%
- Property	11.8%	10.6%	25.0%	20.9%
- Drugs	2.3%	2.4%	7.0%	4.7%
- Unspecified	1.1%	1.7%	4.3%	7.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,217</i>	<i>925</i>	<i>328</i>	<i>129</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>10.3%</i>	<i>34.7%</i>	<i>20.4%</i>	<i>25.3%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Region II-Central Summary

Regional Director: Mathew Fonseca; Assistant Regional Director: Celena Falline  
Counties of Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, and Howard

10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130  
Hunt Valley, MD 21031  
410-527-4300

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.7%	0.8%	1.1%
Citizen	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%
Police*	93.3%	94.9%	95.3%
Violation of Probation**	5.5%	3.9%	3.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>5,882</b>	<b>5,948</b>	<b>6,006</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	31.6%	34.9%	39.7%
Informed	13.1%	12.1%	10.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	55.2%	53.0%	49.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>5,882</b>	<b>5,948</b>	<b>6,006</b>

Court Action for Formal Cases (Previous Methodology)			
Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	4.2%	4.7%	3.5%
Stet	13.6%	12.6%	13.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.1%	0.6%	1.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	30.8%	30.2%	22.6%
Pending Disposition**	3.2%	3.5%	10.5%
Services Not Ordered	0.9%	1.2%	0.8%
Probation	35.1%	36.2%	35.6%
Committed to DJS	8.9%	8.9%	9.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	2.2%	2.2%	3.2%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>3,248</b>	<b>3,150</b>	<b>2,992</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	54.4
Pre-Court	151.5
Probation	511.7
Aftercare	96.8
Committed-Aftercare	77.4

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Central Region population (ages 11-17) increased 3.9% (from 136,757 to 142,041).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):*
  - 49.8% of complaints were formalized while 10.5% were informalized.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):*
  - Total complaints increased 2.1%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 8.9% to 9.1%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 35.1% to 35.6%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.0 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 94.0 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):*
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):*
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 13.0% to 12.0%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 8.6% to 8.7%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 72.5% to 73.8%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	62.7%	67.8%	66.5%
White	33.2%	27.9%	29.2%
Hispanic/Other	4.1%	4.3%	4.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	70.8%	73.0%	72.3%
Female	29.2%	27.0%	27.7%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	3.2%	3.4%	3.6%
12	3.9%	5.1%	4.9%
13	8.1%	8.1%	8.5%
14	12.8%	13.6%	13.0%
15	18.8%	18.6%	20.0%
16	24.0%	23.6%	22.6%
17	27.2%	25.6%	24.9%
18-20	2.0%	2.0%	2.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>5,882</b>	<b>5,948</b>	<b>6,006</b>

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	41.3%	36.8%	51.8%	36.4%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	3.7%	0.4%	1.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.5%	13.6%	31.6%	14.9%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.8%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.5%	1.4%	2.3%	3.3%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	2.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Harassment	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	8.9%	6.2%	7.3%	5.8%
Robbery	3.4%	7.5%	3.1%	9.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.2%	1.0%	3.5%	0.8%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Property Offenses	43.9%	49.1%	34.3%	47.9%
Arson	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.6%	7.1%	1.2%	2.5%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.9%	5.7%	4.0%	5.8%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.0%	4.2%	2.7%	3.3%
Malicious Destruction	7.9%	7.4%	9.1%	5.8%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	4.8%	2.7%	2.3%	3.3%
Theft (Felony)	3.2%	5.8%	1.5%	5.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	15.9%	15.8%	12.9%	22.3%
Drug Related Offenses	3.3%	3.3%	4.6%	2.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.4%	1.8%	1.5%	1.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.0%	1.5%	3.1%	0.8%
Citations	4.9%	2.0%	1.3%	2.5%
Alcohol Violation	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.4%	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	0.9%	0.2%	1.7%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.2%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.9%	7.0%	7.7%	10.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	1.0%	3.1%	5.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.7%	2.4%	1.7%	0.8%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.5%	0.4%	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.7%	2.9%	2.5%	4.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>9,474</b>	<b>882</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>121</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	0.7%	2.2%	1.1%
- Property	6.2%	15.1%	3.1%	6.4%
- Drugs	1.8%	3.7%	1.8%	2.1%
- Unspecified	0.1%	0.2%	2.2%	3.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>73.8%</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	<b>79.4%</b>	<b>62.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	42.4%	26.1%	48.4%	23.4%
- Property	29.1%	22.3%	24.4%	33.0%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.7%	3.4%	1.1%
- Unspecified	1.3%	1.7%	3.1%	5.3%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>6,006</i>	<i>403</i>	<i>446</i>	<i>94</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>27.9%</i>	<i>15.1%</i>	<i>27.7%</i>	<i>18.4%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Baltimore County

County Supervisor: Seth McCauley

Located in Region II - 4 Offices:  
900 Walker Ave., Baltimore, MD 21228 (ph) 410-455-7800  
431 Eastern Blvd. Ste. 100, Baltimore, MD 21221 (ph) 410-780-1200  
10999 Red Run Blvd. Ste 115, Owings Mills, MD 21117 (ph) 410-356-5774  
10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130, Hunt Valley, MD 21031 (ph) 410-527-4300

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	1.1%	1.0%	1.6%
Citizen	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	92.6%	94.5%	94.9%
Violation of Probation**	6.1%	4.5%	3.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,596</b>	<b>3,724</b>	<b>3,631</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	29.5%	33.4%	37.3%
Informed	10.0%	10.4%	8.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	60.5%	56.3%	54.2%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>3,596</b>	<b>3,724</b>	<b>3,631</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases ([Previous Methodology](#))

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	2.3%	2.4%	2.1%
Stet	18.1%	17.5%	15.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.6%	0.4%	1.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	29.1%	26.7%	23.1%
Pending Disposition**	3.0%	3.0%	9.7%
Services Not Ordered	1.3%	1.8%	1.2%
Probation	37.5%	39.3%	39.0%
Committed to DJS	8.0%	7.8%	8.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.2%	1.1%	0.4%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>2,175</b>	<b>2,095</b>	<b>1,967</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	26.0
Pre-Court	83.7
Probation	353.4
Aftercare	46.1
Committed-Aftercare	35.0

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Baltimore County population (ages 11-17) decreased 3.1% (from 73,817 to 71,550).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 54.2% of complaints were formaled while 8.6% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints increased 1.0%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 8.0% to 8.5%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 37.5% to 39.0%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.4 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.1 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 94.0 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.24), Secure Detention (1.29) and under-represented at Diversion (0.92).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 15.8% to 14.8%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 10.1% to 10.4%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 71.5% to 72.0%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	72.6%	75.2%	73.3%
White	24.2%	20.9%	22.7%
Hispanic/Other	3.2%	3.9%	4.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	72.4%	73.7%	72.8%
Female	27.6%	26.3%	27.2%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	2.9%	3.0%	3.4%
12	4.0%	4.7%	4.6%
13	7.8%	8.1%	9.2%
14	13.8%	13.9%	12.7%
15	20.9%	19.6%	20.7%
16	24.6%	24.4%	22.5%
17	24.0%	24.3%	24.3%
18-20	2.0%	2.1%	2.7%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,596</b>	<b>3,724</b>	<b>3,631</b>

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	40.7%	35.9%	54.3%	37.3%
Assault (Felony)	1.8%	4.7%	0.6%	2.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.2%	12.4%	30.3%	13.7%
Carjacking	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	2.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.9%	1.3%	2.8%	3.9%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	3.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	7.2%	3.9%	6.3%	3.9%
Robbery	4.4%	8.6%	4.7%	11.8%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.5%	0.8%	4.4%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Property Offenses	47.5%	53.2%	34.4%	56.9%
Arson	0.6%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	4.7%	9.0%	1.6%	2.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.2%	5.3%	4.4%	7.8%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.2%	3.4%	2.8%	3.9%
Malicious Destruction	8.7%	7.5%	8.5%	3.9%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	5.3%	2.6%	2.8%	5.9%
Theft (Felony)	3.4%	7.0%	2.2%	3.9%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	16.4%	18.0%	11.4%	29.4%
Drug Related Offenses	3.3%	2.1%	3.2%	2.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.5%	1.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.8%	0.8%	2.5%	2.0%
Citations	2.8%	1.7%	2.2%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.0%	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.9%	0.3%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.2%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.6%	6.6%	5.7%	3.9%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.7%	2.8%	1.6%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.6%	3.0%	2.8%	3.9%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>5,876</b>	<b>532</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>51</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>30.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.4%	0.4%	2.9%	0.0%
- Property	8.0%	19.2%	4.0%	5.1%
- Drugs	1.9%	3.0%	0.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>76.7%</b>	<b>64.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	39.6%	19.2%	48.0%	20.5%
- Property	30.7%	23.5%	23.3%	35.9%
- Drugs	0.7%	0.4%	2.9%	2.6%
- Unspecified	1.0%	1.3%	2.5%	5.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>3,631</i>	<i>234</i>	<i>275</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>16.9%</i>	<i>8.8%</i>	<i>17.1%</i>	<i>7.6%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Carroll County

Case Management Specialist Supervisor: Brian Gass

Located in Region II - 1 Office:

101 N. Court St., Westminster, MD 21157 (ph) 410-871-3600

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Citizen	3.9%	5.8%	4.1%
Police*	90.6%	91.2%	93.6%
Violation of Probation**	4.7%	2.2%	2.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>389</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMALED CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	33.0%	40.2%	27.5%
Informed	26.4%	30.9%	33.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	40.6%	28.9%	39.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>389</b>

Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)			
Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	9.5%	10.5%	4.6%
Stet	15.9%	7.6%	16.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	2.6%	2.9%	2.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	15.9%	21.9%	18.3%
Pending Disposition**	3.2%	1.0%	22.2%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	26.5%	36.2%	24.8%
Committed to DJS	25.9%	20.0%	9.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.5%	0.0%	2.0%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>153</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	4.2
Pre-Court	26.8
Probation	18.6
Aftercare	7.9
Committed-Aftercare	13.9

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Carroll County population (ages 11-17) increased 11.5% (from 14,291 to 15,934).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 39.3% of complaints were formaled while 33.2% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 16.5%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 25.9% to 9.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 26.5% to 24.8%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 73.8 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.26), Secure Detention (1.96), and Petition (1.39).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 6.3% to 10.8%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 6.3% to 6.2%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 65.3% to 63.8%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	15.9%	23.1%	23.1%
White	78.8%	74.7%	73.5%
Hispanic/Other	5.4%	2.2%	3.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	70.8%	77.1%	76.9%
Female	29.2%	22.9%	23.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	3.2%	5.2%	3.9%
12	1.9%	2.2%	5.1%
13	7.9%	5.5%	5.7%
14	9.9%	12.9%	17.0%
15	15.9%	17.4%	12.3%
16	25.1%	24.8%	24.7%
17	33.7%	29.8%	29.8%
18-20	2.4%	2.2%	1.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>389</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	21.5%	32.5%	29.2%	27.3%
Assault (Felony)	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	12.7%	10.4%	20.8%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	3.6%	3.9%	4.2%	9.1%
Robbery	0.8%	10.4%	4.2%	9.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.4%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	43.1%	36.4%	25.0%	18.2%
Arson	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	6.1%	9.1%	0.0%	9.1%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	5.4%	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.1%	3.9%	12.5%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.4%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.2%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	15.9%	6.5%	8.3%	9.1%
Drug Related Offenses	6.4%	7.8%	20.8%	9.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.9%	3.9%	16.7%	9.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	4.6%	3.9%	4.2%	0.0%
Citations	12.9%	2.6%	0.0%	9.1%
Alcohol Violation	2.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.0%	1.3%	0.0%	9.1%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	5.2%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.2%	11.7%	25.0%	36.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.7%	6.5%	8.3%	9.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.4%	2.6%	12.5%	9.1%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.0%	4.2%	9.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.6%	1.3%	0.0%	9.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>591</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>11</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>27.6%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.6%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.6%	10.3%	18.2%	14.3%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>63.8%</b>	<b>34.5%</b>	<b>72.7%</b>	<b>42.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	25.2%	17.2%	36.4%	14.3%
- Property	32.4%	13.8%	27.3%	14.3%
- Drugs	2.8%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.3%	3.4%	4.5%	14.3%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>389</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.8%</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>1.4%</i>	<i>1.4%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Harford County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Paul Bowden

Located in Region II - 1 Office:

2 South Bond Street, Bel Air, MD 21014 (ph) 410-836-4680

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	92.6%	95.5%	96.9%
Violation of Probation**	7.4%	4.5%	3.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>849</b>	<b>850</b>	<b>1,069</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMALED CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	43.1%	47.2%	56.6%
Informed	9.4%	6.2%	5.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	47.5%	46.6%	38.0%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>849</b>	<b>850</b>	<b>1,069</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	6.7%	8.6%	6.4%
Stet	2.0%	2.0%	3.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.7%	1.0%	1.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	27.8%	25.5%	13.3%
Pending Disposition**	4.7%	4.5%	7.6%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	31.8%	38.4%	40.4%
Committed to DJS	8.7%	9.1%	8.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	16.6%	10.9%	20.0%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>406</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	18.2
Pre-Court	13.0
Probation	78.0
Aftercare	17.6
Committed-Aftercare	16.6

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Harford County population (ages 11-17) increased 5.5% (from 21,841 to 23,044).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 38.0% of complaints were formaled while 5.4% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints increased 25.9%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 8.7% to 8.1%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 31.8% to 40.4%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.1 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.8 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 93.2 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.37).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 6.7% to 3.9%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 4.1% to 3.8%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 81.5% to 83.8%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	50.9%	55.1%	57.4%
White	45.5%	41.9%	40.1%
Hispanic/Other	3.7%	3.1%	2.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	66.8%	71.3%	67.5%
Female	33.2%	28.7%	32.5%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	3.8%	4.1%	5.0%
12	5.5%	6.5%	7.0%
13	9.4%	11.1%	8.1%
14	10.8%	15.1%	15.1%
15	16.6%	16.6%	19.6%
16	21.6%	20.2%	19.8%
17	31.2%	24.9%	22.7%
18-20	1.1%	1.5%	2.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>849</b>	<b>850</b>	<b>1,069</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	58.2%	43.5%	54.7%	37.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	2.2%	0.0%	4.2%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	34.0%	25.0%	39.3%	29.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.0%	1.1%	1.7%	4.2%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	17.2%	9.8%	7.7%	0.0%
Robbery	1.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.4%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Property Offenses	26.1%	41.3%	36.8%	54.2%
Arson	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.5%	3.3%	4.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.3%	1.1%	3.4%	8.3%
Malicious Destruction	6.1%	13.0%	12.0%	12.5%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.7%	3.3%	1.7%	4.2%
Theft (Felony)	0.7%	2.2%	0.9%	4.2%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.7%	16.3%	14.5%	25.0%
Drug Related Offenses	3.1%	4.3%	5.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.0%	3.3%	4.3%	0.0%
Citations	7.9%	5.4%	0.0%	4.2%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	6.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.1%	2.2%	0.0%	4.2%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	4.8%	5.4%	3.4%	4.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.8%	1.1%	0.0%	4.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%	3.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.2%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,470</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>24</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
- Property	1.4%	6.5%	2.2%	4.8%
- Drugs	1.4%	1.6%	1.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%	4.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>83.8%</b>	<b>69.4%</b>	<b>87.9%</b>	<b>85.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	59.6%	38.7%	56.0%	33.3%
- Property	21.8%	24.2%	25.3%	52.4%
- Drugs	1.7%	3.2%	4.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	3.2%	2.2%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,069</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>91</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>5.0%</i>	<i>2.3%</i>	<i>5.7%</i>	<i>4.1%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Howard County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Tim Madden

Located in Region II - 1 Office:

3451 Court House Dr., Ellicott City, MD 21043 (ph) 410-480-7878

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.1%	0.6%	0.7%
Citizen	0.5%	0.3%	0.9%
Police*	97.7%	97.0%	95.6%
Violation of Probation**	1.6%	2.1%	2.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>971</b>	<b>1,011</b>	<b>917</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	28.9%	28.6%	34.7%
Informed	21.5%	16.6%	14.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	49.5%	54.8%	50.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>971</b>	<b>1,011</b>	<b>917</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	8.7%	9.4%	6.2%
Stet	2.1%	2.5%	16.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	2.1%	0.4%	0.6%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	47.2%	48.2%	30.3%
Pending Disposition**	2.9%	5.2%	12.7%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	30.1%	22.9%	20.4%
Committed to DJS	6.9%	10.8%	12.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Other***	0.0%	0.5%	1.1%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>481</b>	<b>554</b>	<b>466</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	6.0
Pre-Court	27.9
Probation	61.8
Aftercare	25.1
Committed-Aftercare	11.9

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Howard County population (ages 11-17) increased 17.5% (from 26,808 to 31,513).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 50.8% of complaints were formalized while 14.5% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 5.6%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 6.9% to 12.0%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 30.1% to 20.4%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 21.6 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.1 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 100.3 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in a Secure Detention (1.63), Petition (1.46) and under-represented at Probation (0.68).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 10.8% to 10.9%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 7.7% to 8.9%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 71.3% to 73.4%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	58.9%	67.3%	68.4%
White	33.8%	25.2%	22.9%
Hispanic/Other	7.3%	7.5%	8.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	68.5%	70.1%	74.2%
Female	31.5%	29.9%	25.8%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	4.0%	3.7%	2.6%
12	3.3%	6.1%	3.4%
13	8.1%	6.6%	7.5%
14	12.3%	11.6%	10.1%
15	14.5%	17.4%	20.9%
16	23.4%	23.1%	25.1%
17	32.1%	29.3%	28.0%
18-20	2.3%	2.2%	2.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>971</b>	<b>1,011</b>	<b>917</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	35.3%	38.1%	42.6%	37.1%
Assault (Felony)	0.8%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	18.2%	12.7%	27.9%	11.4%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	2.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.7%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	9.8%	12.2%	13.1%	11.4%
Robbery	2.8%	5.0%	0.0%	11.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	47.8%	46.4%	32.8%	40.0%
Arson	0.5%	1.1%	1.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.2%	6.1%	1.6%	5.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.5%	6.6%	3.3%	5.7%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.4%	6.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.6%	5.5%	4.9%	5.7%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	4.6%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	5.3%	3.9%	0.0%	8.6%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	18.7%	12.7%	19.7%	14.3%
Drug Related Offenses	2.7%	4.4%	4.9%	2.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	2.8%	1.6%	2.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.6%	1.7%	3.3%	0.0%
Citations	7.3%	1.1%	0.0%	2.9%
Alcohol Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.1%	1.1%	0.0%	2.9%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.2%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.6%	7.2%	19.7%	17.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	1.1%	18.0%	11.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.5%	5.0%	1.6%	5.7%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,537</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>35</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>25.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	2.6%	0.0%	3.7%
- Property	6.1%	11.5%	1.7%	11.1%
- Drugs	1.7%	5.1%	1.7%	3.7%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	12.1%	7.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>73.4%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>81.0%</b>	<b>48.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	40.7%	39.7%	43.1%	22.2%
- Property	29.6%	20.5%	27.6%	18.5%
- Drugs	0.9%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.3%	1.3%	6.9%	7.4%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>917</i>	<i>78</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>4.3%</i>	<i>2.9%</i>	<i>3.6%</i>	<i>5.3%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Region III-Western Summary

Regional Director: Singleton Golden

Counties of Allegany, Frederick, Garrett, and Washington

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.4%	1.0%
Citizen	4.9%	5.3%	6.7%
Police*	84.4%	85.5%	84.2%
Violation of Probation**	10.6%	8.8%	8.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,388</b>	<b>2,147</b>	<b>2,181</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	28.7%	31.7%	32.8%
Informed	14.6%	19.8%	19.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	56.7%	48.4%	47.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>2,388</b>	<b>2,147</b>	<b>2,181</b>

#### Court Action for Formaeled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	23.1%	19.9%	22.5%
Stet	6.3%	10.0%	8.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.0%	0.9%	0.3%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	17.4%	15.7%	14.9%
Pending Disposition**	2.6%	2.8%	6.6%
Services Not Ordered	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%
Probation	33.5%	33.4%	33.4%
Committed to DJS	15.5%	15.8%	13.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Other***	0.4%	1.0%	0.4%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>1,354</b>	<b>1,040</b>	<b>1,040</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	24.8
Pre-Court	88.8
Probation	147.5
Aftercare	53.0
Committed-Aftercare	46.0

1 James Day Drive  
Cumberland, MD 21502  
301-722-1600

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Western Region population (ages 11-17) increased 5.2% (from 42,880 to 45,128).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 47.7% of complaints were formaeled while 19.5% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 8.7%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 15.5% to 13.7%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 33.5% to 33.4%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.8 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.0 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 67.1 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 5.0% to 5.5%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 7.3% to 7.0%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 74.5% to 71.4%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	45.8%	44.0%	36.7%
White	49.0%	50.5%	56.7%
Hispanic/Other	5.3%	5.5%	6.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	69.4%	69.4%	72.0%
Female	30.6%	30.6%	28.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	2.6%	5.2%	4.1%
12	6.7%	5.5%	4.5%
13	10.7%	10.8%	8.8%
14	18.2%	17.2%	13.6%
15	19.5%	19.0%	21.2%
16	21.5%	18.4%	24.3%
17	18.9%	21.6%	21.0%
18-20	1.8%	2.3%	2.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,388</b>	<b>2,147</b>	<b>2,181</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	37.9%	43.3%	42.9%	41.7%
Assault (Felony)	0.7%	3.1%	0.0%	1.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.1%	16.5%	19.8%	15.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	0.7%	1.6%	1.7%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	2.1%	2.4%	0.0%
Harassment	1.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	1.7%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	11.3%	13.4%	9.5%	15.0%
Robbery	1.7%	7.1%	3.2%	5.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.8%	0.0%	4.8%	1.7%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Property Offenses	32.2%	38.6%	21.4%	31.7%
Arson	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.2%	3.1%	0.8%	3.3%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.4%	5.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	2.1%	2.4%	5.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.2%	8.0%	4.8%	10.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.2%	3.5%	2.4%	1.7%
Theft (Felony)	1.7%	4.2%	2.4%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.5%	11.3%	6.3%	11.7%
Drug Related Offenses	3.7%	2.4%	4.8%	3.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	1.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.9%	1.6%	4.0%	1.7%
Citations	8.0%	1.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.7%	0.5%	2.4%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	5.7%	1.9%	0.8%	3.3%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%	3.3%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.7%	11.1%	27.8%	16.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.3%	3.1%	11.1%	10.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.7%	1.2%	5.6%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.2%	6.6%	10.3%	6.7%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>3,303</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>60</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	3.1%	2.8%	2.2%
- Property	3.1%	9.4%	2.8%	4.4%
- Drugs	1.1%	2.1%	0.9%	2.2%
- Unspecified	1.3%	1.0%	10.1%	11.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>72.5%</b>	<b>64.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	42.6%	34.6%	39.4%	28.9%
- Property	23.2%	19.4%	16.5%	26.7%
- Drugs	2.8%	1.6%	4.6%	2.2%
- Unspecified	2.8%	1.6%	11.9%	6.7%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,181</i>	<i>191</i>	<i>109</i>	<i>45</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>10.1%</i>	<i>7.2%</i>	<i>6.8%</i>	<i>8.8%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Allegany County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Renee Page

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

1 James Day Dr., Cumberland, MD 21502 (ph) 301-722-1663

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	3.6%	2.5%	2.3%
Police*	92.5%	95.2%	95.4%
Violation of Probation**	3.9%	2.3%	2.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>477</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	42.2%	46.8%	46.8%
Informed	11.6%	12.5%	19.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	46.2%	40.7%	33.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>477</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	33.7%	22.3%	29.4%
Stet	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	27.6%	26.8%	23.8%
Pending Disposition**	2.4%	1.7%	1.9%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	25.2%	33.0%	30.0%
Committed to DJS	9.8%	14.0%	13.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	1.2%	2.2%	1.3%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>160</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	1.4
Pre-Court	15.7
Probation	43.0
Aftercare	10.2
Committed-Aftercare	10.7

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Allegany County population (ages 11-17) decreased 8.5% (from 6,396 to 5,851).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 33.5% of complaints were formaled while 19.7% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 10.5%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 9.8% to 13.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 25.2% to 30.0%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 8.7 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.8 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 70.0 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.60).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 4.3% to 3.8%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.6% to 6.1%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 69.2% to 60.2%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	26.3%	23.4%	20.5%
White	71.5%	73.6%	77.8%
Hispanic/Other	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	60.8%	63.2%	61.4%
Female	39.2%	36.8%	38.6%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	2.6%	5.5%	6.9%
12	8.8%	5.5%	4.8%
13	8.8%	9.5%	8.2%
14	14.3%	19.5%	15.9%
15	23.3%	18.6%	18.4%
16	24.0%	18.6%	24.1%
17	17.8%	20.9%	21.0%
18-20	0.4%	1.8%	0.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>477</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	36.8%	38.2%	50.0%	29.4%
Assault (Felony)	0.4%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	18.7%	8.8%	30.0%	17.6%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.4%	2.9%	0.0%	5.9%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	13.8%	11.8%	16.7%	0.0%
Robbery	0.7%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	25.5%	26.5%	30.0%	52.9%
Arson	1.8%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.0%	2.9%	0.0%	5.9%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.7%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.8%	2.9%	6.7%	5.9%
Malicious Destruction	5.7%	2.9%	13.3%	17.6%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.2%	5.9%	3.3%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.8%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.5%	5.9%	3.3%	23.5%
Drug Related Offenses	4.2%	8.8%	10.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.5%	8.8%	10.0%	0.0%
Citations	15.5%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.1%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	10.6%	5.9%	0.0%	5.9%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.2%	20.6%	6.7%	11.8%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.4%	17.6%	3.3%	5.9%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>718</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>17</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.7%	12.5%	3.7%	7.1%
- Property	2.5%	6.2%	0.0%	7.1%
- Drugs	0.8%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>60.2%</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>92.6%</b>	<b>78.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	37.3%	25.0%	51.9%	28.6%
- Property	18.2%	6.2%	25.9%	42.9%
- Drugs	3.4%	12.5%	11.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.3%	12.5%	3.7%	7.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>15.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>477</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.2%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>2.7%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Frederick County

Case Management Program Supervisor: William Keefer

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

801 N. East St. Suite 3, Frederick, MD 21701 (ph) 240-629-3030

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	1.0%	1.7%
Citizen	1.4%	1.0%	1.4%
Police*	88.7%	90.2%	87.7%
Violation of Probation**	9.4%	7.9%	9.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>692</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	27.1%	34.9%	40.8%
Informed	12.5%	16.6%	14.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	60.4%	48.5%	44.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>692</b>

Court Action for Formaeled Cases (Previous Methodology)			
Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	19.8%	14.0%	6.8%
Stet	12.0%	15.3%	17.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.7%	0.7%	0.3%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	16.0%	15.3%	11.9%
Pending Disposition**	2.4%	4.0%	8.1%
Services Not Ordered	0.2%	0.3%	0.6%
Probation	33.5%	30.9%	36.8%
Committed to DJS	15.3%	18.6%	18.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>310</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	12.8
Pre-Court	20.2
Probation	43.8
Aftercare	15.0
Committed-Aftercare	17.6

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Frederick County population (ages 11-17) increased 14.5% (from 20,914 to 23,944).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 44.8% of complaints were formaeled while 14.5% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 1.4%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 15.3% to 18.1%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 33.5% to 36.8%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 33.1 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 13.3 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 77.9 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.49), Secure Detention (1.78) and Petition (1.33).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 8.7% to 8.2%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 9.0% to 8.5%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 68.3% to 67.2%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	49.0%	46.2%	44.2%
White	41.0%	45.1%	44.4%
Hispanic/Other	10.0%	8.7%	11.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	79.6%	75.0%	78.8%
Female	20.4%	25.0%	21.2%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.1%	1.6%	2.3%
12	3.1%	2.9%	3.0%
13	7.0%	5.8%	6.5%
14	16.0%	17.6%	13.3%
15	18.4%	21.4%	21.4%
16	26.5%	21.3%	25.3%
17	24.5%	25.1%	24.3%
18-20	3.4%	4.3%	3.9%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>692</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	36.7%	52.1%	43.1%	60.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.0%	5.3%	0.0%	4.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.8%	18.1%	19.0%	12.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%	1.1%	3.4%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	8.6%	15.4%	5.2%	28.0%
Robbery	3.4%	9.6%	5.2%	12.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.8%	0.0%	10.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	34.4%	29.3%	19.0%	8.0%
Arson	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	0.5%	1.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.2%	4.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.3%	2.7%	1.7%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.8%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.3%	3.7%	1.7%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.1%	2.1%	5.2%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.6%	11.2%	6.9%	8.0%
Drug Related Offenses	5.6%	2.7%	5.2%	4.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.4%	0.5%	1.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	4.1%	2.1%	3.4%	4.0%
Citations	6.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	6.4%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%	4.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.6%	11.7%	32.8%	24.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	3.0%	6.4%	12.1%	20.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.4%	0.5%	8.6%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.7%	4.8%	10.3%	4.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,109</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>25</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>27.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>27.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	5.9%	4.3%	0.0%
- Property	4.0%	4.4%	6.5%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.2%	1.5%	2.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%	0.0%	13.0%	27.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>67.2%</b>	<b>58.8%</b>	<b>60.9%</b>	<b>44.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	39.5%	39.7%	34.8%	27.8%
- Property	22.3%	17.6%	10.9%	5.6%
- Drugs	3.5%	1.5%	4.3%	5.6%
- Unspecified	2.0%	0.0%	10.9%	5.6%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>692</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.2%</i>	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>2.9%</i>	<i>3.5%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Garrett County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Robert Peters

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

7000 Thayer Center, Oakland, MD 21550 (ph) 301-334-8608

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	6.1%	11.5%	14.5%
Police*	91.1%	87.3%	82.1%
Violation of Probation**	2.8%	1.3%	3.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>179</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	35.2%	52.9%	39.7%
Informed	48.6%	31.2%	43.0%
Authorized Formal Petition	16.2%	15.9%	17.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>179</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	6.9%	4.0%	6.5%
Stet	3.4%	8.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	17.2%	8.0%	9.7%
Pending Disposition**	3.4%	12.0%	9.7%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
Probation	37.9%	36.0%	51.6%
Committed to DJS	31.0%	24.0%	19.4%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>31</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	2.1
Pre-Court	15.5
Probation	20.6
Aftercare	6.8
Committed-Aftercare	1.3

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Garrett County population (ages 11-17) decreased 7.8% (from 2,520 to 2,325).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 17.3% of complaints were formalized while 43.0% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints did not change.
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 31.0% to 19.4%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 37.9% to 51.6%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 13.8 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.8 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 25.9 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court (1.98).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 1.3% to 0.0%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 3.2% to 8.9%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 58.0% to 55.3%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	7.8%	17.2%	10.1%
White	91.6%	81.5%	88.3%
Hispanic/Other	0.6%	1.3%	1.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	74.3%	73.9%	73.7%
Female	25.7%	26.1%	26.3%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	5.0%	10.2%	11.2%
12	3.9%	3.8%	5.6%
13	10.6%	14.6%	7.3%
14	17.9%	10.8%	15.1%
15	12.8%	15.3%	26.3%
16	17.9%	15.9%	16.2%
17	30.2%	28.0%	15.6%
18-20	1.7%	1.3%	2.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>179</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	37.0%	33.3%	62.5%	66.7%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	16.1%	33.3%	12.5%	33.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	12.6%	0.0%	37.5%	33.3%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.7%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Property Offenses	20.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	14.8%	33.3%	25.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.5%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.6%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	17.8%	0.0%	12.5%	33.3%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>55.3%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	33.5%	33.3%	57.1%	66.7%
- Property	17.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>179</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Washington County

County Supervisor: Sean Murphy

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

44 N. Potomac St. Suite 300, Hagerstown, MD 21740 (ph) 301-791-7171

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.1%	0.3%	1.1%
Citizen	7.8%	8.5%	12.0%
Police*	75.7%	77.4%	75.4%
Violation of Probation**	16.4%	13.8%	11.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>974</b>	<b>929</b>	<b>833</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	21.4%	18.8%	16.8%
Informed	11.4%	23.6%	18.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	67.2%	57.6%	64.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>974</b>	<b>929</b>	<b>833</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	22.0%	23.2%	30.4%
Stet	5.0%	10.5%	5.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	14.4%	12.5%	14.3%
Pending Disposition**	2.7%	2.1%	7.1%
Services Not Ordered	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Probation	36.5%	34.8%	31.4%
Committed to DJS	17.1%	14.4%	10.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Other***	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>655</b>	<b>535</b>	<b>539</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	8.4
Pre-Court	37.3
Probation	40.1
Aftercare	20.9
Committed-Aftercare	16.4

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Washington County population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.3% (from 13,050 to 13,009).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 64.7% of complaints were formalized while 18.5% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 14.5%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 17.1% to 10.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 36.5% to 31.4%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.4 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 13.3 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 59.8 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.93), Secure Detention (1.55), and Petition (1.17).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 3.6% to 5.3%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 8.2% to 5.8%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 83.8% to 84.9%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	61.1%	56.7%	45.4%
White	34.5%	37.9%	48.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.4%	5.4%	6.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	65.8%	67.9%	72.0%
Female	34.2%	32.1%	28.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	3.3%	6.7%	2.5%
12	8.5%	7.6%	5.3%
13	14.5%	14.1%	11.3%
14	22.1%	16.9%	12.1%
15	19.4%	18.2%	21.6%
16	17.2%	16.7%	25.3%
17	13.4%	18.5%	19.6%
18-20	1.5%	1.3%	2.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>974</b>	<b>929</b>	<b>833</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	39.7%	36.0%	30.0%	20.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.8%	16.0%	13.3%	13.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	1.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Harassment	2.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	12.1%	12.0%	3.3%	6.7%
Robbery	1.0%	5.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	36.3%	49.5%	23.3%	53.3%
Arson	0.9%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.3%	5.5%	0.0%	6.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.5%	6.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.1%	1.5%	0.0%	13.3%
Malicious Destruction	14.9%	13.0%	6.7%	20.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.5%	3.0%	3.3%	6.7%
Theft (Felony)	1.7%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.4%	12.5%	10.0%	6.7%
Drug Related Offenses	1.8%	1.0%	0.0%	6.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	6.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.7%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	1.0%	2.5%	0.0%	6.7%
Uncategorized Offenses	16.9%	9.0%	46.7%	13.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	3.0%	0.5%	23.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.7%	2.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	10.4%	6.5%	20.0%	13.3%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,246</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>15</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.1%	13.5%	0.0%	10.0%
- Drugs	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%	10.0%
- Unspecified	2.2%	1.9%	17.2%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>84.9%</b>	<b>56.7%</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>80.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	50.3%	32.7%	31.0%	20.0%
- Property	28.0%	23.1%	20.7%	50.0%
- Drugs	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	4.8%	1.0%	24.1%	10.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>833</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.9%</i>	<i>3.9%</i>	<i>1.8%</i>	<i>2.0%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Region IV-Eastern Shore Summary

Regional Director: Cory Fink; Asst. Regional Directors: Chris Dulik and Jennifer Wimbrow-Jenkins  
Counties of Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester

600 Dover Road, Suite 104  
Easton, MD 21601  
410-822-5010

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
Citizen	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%
Police*	95.7%	96.4%	96.0%
Violation of Probation**	2.1%	1.5%	2.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,168</b>	<b>3,293</b>	<b>2,887</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	42.9%	44.4%	47.4%
Informed	24.5%	17.0%	18.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	32.6%	38.6%	34.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>3,168</b>	<b>3,293</b>	<b>2,887</b>

#### Court Action for Formaeled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	12.6%	14.4%	9.2%
Stet	16.8%	17.1%	17.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	3.3%	3.9%	2.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	23.0%	23.8%	20.5%
Pending Disposition**	4.7%	4.1%	13.1%
Services Not Ordered	0.7%	0.9%	1.4%
Probation	25.3%	26.8%	27.9%
Committed to DJS	12.9%	8.5%	7.4%
Committed to Other Agency	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
Other***	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>1,034</b>	<b>1,271</b>	<b>990</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	45.3
Pre-Court	123.9
Probation	270.8
Aftercare	77.9
Committed-Aftercare	46.1

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Eastern Shore Region population (ages 11-17) increased 0.8% (from 39,881 to 40,194).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):*
  - 34.3% of complaints were formaeled while 18.3% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):*
  - Total complaints decreased 8.9%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 12.9% to 7.4%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 25.3% to 27.9%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.5 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 83.9 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):*
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):*
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.0% to 5.7%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 6.0% to 5.8%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 71.0% to 70.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	50.7%	55.6%	52.1%
White	45.5%	40.0%	43.1%
Hispanic/Other	3.8%	4.4%	4.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	72.5%	71.9%	69.9%
Female	27.5%	28.1%	30.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	6.3%	4.7%	5.4%
12	6.0%	5.1%	5.9%
13	8.6%	7.9%	8.1%
14	12.7%	11.4%	13.4%
15	16.9%	19.0%	16.7%
16	20.6%	23.4%	20.8%
17	27.1%	26.3%	27.0%
18-20	1.9%	2.1%	2.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,168</b>	<b>3,293</b>	<b>2,887</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	40.9%	48.6%	35.5%	35.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.8%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	18.5%	16.1%	21.7%	17.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	1.1%	1.5%	2.5%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.5%	0.5%	2.5%
Harassment	0.9%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	16.6%	19.3%	8.9%	7.5%
Robbery	0.9%	4.4%	1.0%	2.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.8%	1.6%	1.0%	2.5%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Property Offenses	29.7%	32.8%	37.9%	50.0%
Arson	0.8%	0.7%	1.0%	5.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.2%	2.1%	0.5%	10.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.4%	4.4%	4.9%	2.5%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.3%	1.5%	3.9%	5.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.7%	6.7%	10.8%	7.5%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.5%	3.1%	3.0%	7.5%
Theft (Felony)	1.5%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.4%	12.4%	13.8%	12.5%
Drug Related Offenses	4.0%	3.6%	4.9%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	1.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.9%	2.1%	2.0%	0.0%
Citations	11.3%	4.6%	2.0%	2.5%
Alcohol Violation	2.6%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.7%	2.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.4%	1.3%	0.5%	2.5%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	3.4%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	1.6%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.2%	6.5%	19.2%	12.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.8%	0.8%	7.4%	2.5%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%	1.8%	7.4%	7.5%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.5%	3.6%	3.9%	2.5%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>4,868</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>40</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	1.9%	0.6%	3.0%
- Property	3.0%	6.8%	1.7%	12.1%
- Drugs	1.5%	2.3%	3.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.8%	2.8%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>70.5%</b>	<b>59.8%</b>	<b>86.4%</b>	<b>75.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	44.6%	36.4%	45.5%	45.5%
- Property	21.9%	18.9%	32.4%	27.3%
- Drugs	2.6%	1.5%	2.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%	3.0%	6.2%	3.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,887</i>	<i>264</i>	<i>176</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>13.4%</i>	<i>9.9%</i>	<i>10.9%</i>	<i>6.5%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Caroline County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Taneesha DeShields

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

317 Carter Ave. Suite 105, Denton, MD 21629 (ph) 410-819-6556

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	0.3%	0.4%	0.7%
Police*	95.8%	98.9%	97.4%
Violation of Probation**	3.1%	0.7%	1.9%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>268</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	57.7%	67.3%	68.7%
Informed	17.8%	8.8%	6.0%
Authorized Formal Petition	24.5%	23.9%	25.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>268</b>

#### Court Action for Formal Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	22.9%	1.5%	2.9%
Stet	7.1%	13.2%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	17.1%	38.2%	48.5%
Pending Disposition**	0.0%	1.5%	17.6%
Services Not Ordered	2.9%	4.4%	0.0%
Probation	35.7%	33.8%	23.5%
Committed to DJS	14.3%	5.9%	5.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>68</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	5.7
Pre-Court	4.2
Probation	15.6
Aftercare	3.6
Committed-Aftercare	2.0

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Caroline County population (ages 11-17) increased 4.6% (from 2,872 to 3,004).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 25.4% of complaints were formalized while 6.0% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 6.3%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 14.3% to 5.9%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 35.7% to 23.5%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 69.4 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Results found for Caroline County are not Statistically Significant.
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 4.2% to 8.2%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 4.6% to 2.6%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 72.5% to 69.4%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	31.8%	38.7%	25.0%
White	65.0%	58.5%	71.3%
Hispanic/Other	3.1%	2.8%	3.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	67.8%	75.0%	70.9%
Female	32.2%	25.0%	29.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	4.5%	3.9%	4.9%
12	4.9%	4.6%	6.0%
13	9.8%	16.2%	7.8%
14	11.5%	16.2%	21.3%
15	18.9%	22.2%	15.3%
16	23.4%	13.4%	22.8%
17	24.5%	22.2%	17.9%
18-20	2.4%	1.4%	4.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>268</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	39.1%	36.4%	28.6%	50.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.3%	18.2%	14.3%	25.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	10.7%	18.2%	14.3%	25.0%
Robbery	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	30.3%	40.9%	50.0%	50.0%
Arson	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	5.6%	4.5%	14.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.2%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.3%	22.7%	21.4%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.7%	4.5%	7.1%	25.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.2%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	2.9%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.4%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Citations	11.9%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.2%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.5%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	7.3%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.3%	9.1%	14.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.2%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.1%	4.5%	7.1%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.2%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>69.4%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>78.6%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	44.8%	33.3%	35.7%	66.7%
- Property	21.6%	33.3%	35.7%	33.3%
- Drugs	2.2%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>268</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Cecil County

Assistant Regional Director: Chris Dulik  
Case Management Program Supervisor: Tyra Kenly

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	100.0%	99.4%	98.7%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	0.3%	0.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>387</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	31.7%	34.1%	35.4%
Informed	20.0%	12.3%	12.1%
Authorized Formal Petition	48.3%	53.6%	52.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>387</b>

#### Court Action for Formal Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	17.2%	20.3%	15.3%
Stet	13.1%	13.4%	12.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	3.5%	2.1%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	26.8%	7.0%	15.3%
Pending Disposition**	4.5%	8.6%	17.2%
Services Not Ordered	0.5%	1.1%	0.5%
Probation	27.8%	40.6%	30.5%
Committed to DJS	6.1%	6.4%	8.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Other***	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>203</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	8.8
Pre-Court	10.7
Probation	71.3
Aftercare	17.4
Committed-Aftercare	7.7

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

106 E. Main St. Suite 102, Elkton, MD 21921 (ph) 410-996-2800

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Cecil County population (ages 11-17) increased 9.4% (from 8,787 to 9,616).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 52.5% of complaints were formalized while 12.1% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 5.6%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 6.1% to 8.9%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 27.8% to 30.5%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 31.7 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.0 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 122.0 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.98).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 12.6% to 4.7%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 9.5% to 8.0%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 65.9% to 76.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	27.8%	41.3%	30.0%
White	69.8%	57.6%	66.7%
Hispanic/Other	2.4%	1.1%	3.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	82.4%	76.2%	77.5%
Female	17.6%	23.8%	22.5%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	8.5%	3.4%	4.7%
12	10.2%	6.9%	4.4%
13	6.6%	8.6%	7.8%
14	14.4%	11.7%	17.1%
15	12.7%	19.8%	16.8%
16	21.2%	21.5%	22.0%
17	24.9%	25.2%	24.5%
18-20	1.5%	2.9%	2.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>387</b>

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	34.3%	32.4%	31.0%	50.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.1%	16.2%	23.8%	50.0%
Carjacking	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.2%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Harassment	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	8.9%	13.2%	4.8%	0.0%
Robbery	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	38.0%	48.5%	40.5%	30.0%
Arson	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.1%	4.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.7%	14.7%	4.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	13.3%	14.7%	19.0%	20.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.2%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.3%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.4%	5.9%	14.3%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	5.0%	2.9%	7.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.0%	1.5%	7.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	9.9%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.5%	8.8%	21.4%	20.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	0.0%	11.9%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	6.2%	8.8%	7.1%	20.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.6%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>10</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.9%	5.1%	2.6%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.6%	2.6%	7.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>76.5%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>87.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	45.5%	56.4%	39.5%	87.5%
- Property	28.2%	10.3%	31.6%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	0.0%	7.9%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>387</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.8%</i>	<i>1.5%</i>	<i>2.4%</i>	<i>1.6%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Dorchester County

County Supervisor: David Capobianco

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

310 Gay St., Cambridge, MD 21613 (ph) 410-228-6452

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	7.3%	5.3%	2.6%
Police*	91.3%	94.0%	96.9%
Violation of Probation**	1.2%	0.8%	0.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>352</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMALED CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	32.6%	31.4%	40.3%
Informed	34.3%	15.7%	18.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	33.1%	52.9%	41.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>352</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases ([Previous Methodology](#))

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	15.7%	30.0%	11.6%
Stet	7.9%	3.9%	17.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.7%	2.7%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	33.6%	41.8%	21.9%
Pending Disposition**	5.0%	1.4%	6.2%
Services Not Ordered	1.4%	0.4%	6.8%
Probation	24.3%	15.4%	29.5%
Committed to DJS	12.1%	6.1%	3.4%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Other***	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>146</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	4.8
Pre-Court	14.7
Probation	28.9
Aftercare	3.8
Committed-Aftercare	4.6

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Dorchester County population (ages 11-17) decreased 12.9% (from 2,937 to 2,558).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 41.5% of complaints were formaled while 18.2% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 16.8%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 12.1% to 3.4%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 24.3% to 29.5%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 18.3 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.7 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 74.8 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.97) and under-represented at Delinquent Findings (0.66).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 10.6% to 4.8%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 6.4% to 7.4%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 75.0% to 78.4%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	56.7%	69.6%	68.8%
White	38.3%	27.0%	27.8%
Hispanic/Other	5.0%	3.4%	3.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	71.9%	81.1%	75.9%
Female	28.1%	18.9%	24.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	8.3%	10.2%	11.9%
12	7.1%	5.1%	8.2%
13	10.2%	7.9%	10.5%
14	14.2%	7.8%	13.1%
15	18.9%	19.8%	14.8%
16	16.5%	35.7%	24.1%
17	24.1%	12.9%	16.8%
18-20	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>352</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	43.8%	38.9%	29.4%	33.3%
Assault (Felony)	0.5%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	18.9%	9.3%	17.6%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Harassment	2.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	18.9%	20.4%	11.8%	0.0%
Robbery	0.2%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	39.4%	42.6%	52.9%	66.7%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.6%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.4%	5.6%	17.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	6.9%	5.6%	0.0%	33.3%
Malicious Destruction	5.5%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	5.5%	1.9%	5.9%	33.3%
Theft (Felony)	3.1%	9.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.5%	14.8%	26.5%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.6%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.7%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.1%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.5%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	2.2%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.8%	7.4%	17.6%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	0.0%	8.8%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%	1.9%	5.9%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.3%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>3</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>31.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	6.5%	31.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>78.4%</b>	<b>52.6%</b>	<b>88.5%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	54.5%	31.6%	46.2%	33.3%
- Property	23.3%	21.1%	42.3%	66.7%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>352</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.6%</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>1.6%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Kent County

Case Management Program Supervisor: William Clark

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

315 High St. Suite 202, Chestertown, MD 21620 (ph) 410-778-6103

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	13.3%	9.7%	3.8%
Police*	84.4%	90.3%	93.7%
Violation of Probation**	2.2%	0.0%	2.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>79</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	52.2%	50.0%	60.8%
Informed	21.1%	9.7%	16.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	26.7%	40.3%	22.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>79</b>

#### Court Action for Formal Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	8.3%	10.3%	11.1%
Stet	8.3%	10.3%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	33.3%	34.5%	22.2%
Pending Disposition**	4.2%	0.0%	5.6%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	33.3%	37.9%	55.6%
Committed to DJS	8.3%	3.4%	5.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	4.2%	3.4%	0.0%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>18</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	1.3
Pre-Court	3.3
Probation	9.2
Aftercare	3.0
Committed-Aftercare	0.2

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Kent County population (ages 11-17) decreased 10.5% (from 1,770 to 1,584).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 22.8% of complaints were formalized while 16.5% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 12.2%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 8.3% to 5.6%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 33.3% to 55.6%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 17.3 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 14.2 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 75.6 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.92).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 2.8% to 2.5%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 4.2% to 1.3%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 68.1% to 77.2%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	60.0%	62.5%	51.9%
White	36.7%	31.9%	43.0%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	5.6%	5.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	65.6%	59.7%	65.8%
Female	34.4%	40.3%	34.2%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	14.4%	5.6%	2.5%
12	2.2%	11.1%	1.3%
13	4.4%	8.3%	7.6%
14	11.1%	6.9%	8.9%
15	20.0%	15.3%	15.2%
16	12.2%	19.4%	24.1%
17	34.4%	33.3%	39.2%
18-20	1.1%	0.0%	1.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>79</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	42.9%	100.0%	83.3%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.8%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.4%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.7%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	13.4%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	11.6%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Arson	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	1.8%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	25.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>77.2%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	49.4%	50.0%	80.0%	0.0%
- Property	11.4%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Queen Anne's County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Andrew "Joe" Grabis

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

120 Broadway, Suite 9, Centreville, MD 21617 (ph) 410-819-4180

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%
Citizen	0.7%	2.6%	3.9%
Police*	97.8%	90.4%	90.6%
Violation of Probation**	0.7%	6.1%	5.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>127</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	47.8%	36.5%	26.8%
Informed	20.6%	25.2%	28.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	31.6%	38.3%	44.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>127</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	4.7%	9.1%	12.3%
Stet	39.5%	18.2%	7.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	7.0%	20.5%	21.1%
Pending Disposition**	11.6%	9.1%	7.0%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	27.9%	25.0%	31.6%
Committed to DJS	7.0%	15.9%	21.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>57</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	1.9
Pre-Court	7.5
Probation	8.2
Aftercare	4.2
Committed-Aftercare	2.2

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Queen Anne's County population (ages 11-17) increased 5.8% (from 4,190 to 4,432).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 44.9% of complaints were formalized while 28.3% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 6.6%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 7.0% to 21.1%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 27.9% to 31.6%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.7 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.6 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 54.9 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.68).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 4.3% to 7.1%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 6.1% to 11.0%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 67.8% to 59.1%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	17.6%	28.7%	34.6%
White	79.4%	59.1%	59.1%
Hispanic/Other	2.9%	12.2%	6.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	80.1%	77.4%	87.4%
Female	19.9%	22.6%	12.6%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	5.1%	5.2%	2.4%
12	1.5%	3.5%	5.5%
13	4.4%	1.7%	2.4%
14	9.6%	11.3%	10.2%
15	11.0%	17.4%	26.0%
16	24.3%	26.1%	28.3%
17	43.4%	30.4%	22.8%
18-20	0.7%	4.3%	2.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>127</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	25.3%	66.7%	23.1%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.5%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	13.4%	27.8%	15.4%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	6.9%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.5%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.8%	11.1%	7.7%	0.0%
Property Offenses	33.2%	11.1%	30.8%	66.7%
Arson	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	5.6%	0.0%	33.3%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	6.0%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.9%	0.0%	23.1%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.7%	5.6%	0.0%	33.3%
Drug Related Offenses	12.0%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	6.9%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Citations	14.7%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	11.1%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	5.5%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.2%	11.1%	38.5%	33.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.3%	0.0%	30.8%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.2%	11.1%	7.7%	16.7%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.4%	10.0%	0.0%	33.3%
- Drugs	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>59.1%</b>	<b>60.0%</b>	<b>91.7%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	34.6%	50.0%	25.0%	16.7%
- Property	19.7%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%
- Drugs	3.9%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	10.0%	25.0%	16.7%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Somerset County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Sheila Warner

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

12155 Elm St. Suite B, Princess Anne, MD 21853 (ph) 410-845-4680

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%
Citizen	2.1%	4.9%	4.2%
Police*	95.8%	91.8%	93.7%
Violation of Probation**	1.4%	2.7%	2.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>191</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	26.4%	16.4%	26.2%
Informed	45.1%	38.8%	42.9%
Authorized Formal Petition	28.5%	44.8%	30.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>191</b>

#### Court Action for Formaeled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	12.2%	7.3%	6.8%
Stet	43.9%	48.8%	39.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	7.3%	0.0%	6.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	9.8%	23.2%	30.5%
Pending Disposition**	4.9%	0.0%	8.5%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	9.8%	15.9%	6.8%
Committed to DJS	7.3%	4.9%	1.7%
Committed to Other Agency	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>59</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	0.7
Pre-Court	19.3
Probation	14.9
Aftercare	2.4
Committed-Aftercare	3.0

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Somerset County population (ages 11-17) decreased 9.9% (from 2,436 to 2,195).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 30.9% of complaints were formaeled while 42.9% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints increased 32.6%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 7.3% to 1.7%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 9.8% to 6.8%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 17.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.8 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 57.6 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Petition (1.72) and in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (1.63).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 3.3% to 7.9%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 7.1% to 4.2%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 82.5% to 81.2%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	66.0%	76.0%	71.2%
White	31.9%	22.4%	28.3%
Hispanic/Other	2.1%	1.6%	0.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	68.1%	76.0%	63.4%
Female	31.9%	24.0%	36.6%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	9.0%	8.7%	7.3%
12	4.2%	8.7%	7.9%
13	12.5%	9.3%	8.9%
14	20.1%	21.3%	15.7%
15	20.1%	12.6%	16.8%
16	22.9%	25.1%	22.5%
17	9.0%	12.6%	19.4%
18-20	2.1%	1.6%	1.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>191</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	56.7%	60.0%	28.6%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	30.1%	21.7%	28.6%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	19.6%	21.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	19.0%	21.7%	42.9%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.6%	8.3%	14.3%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.1%	10.0%	28.6%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	4.7%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.5%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.2%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.1%	8.3%	28.6%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.8%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	9.4%	8.3%	14.3%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>47.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.1%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>81.2%</b>	<b>42.1%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	61.8%	36.8%	42.9%	0.0%
- Property	13.6%	0.0%	42.9%	0.0%
- Drugs	4.2%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>191</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Talbot County

Case Management Specialist Supervisor: K. Denise Whiteley

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

301 Bay St. Suite 201, Easton, MD 21601 (ph) 410-822-5010

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	0.8%	0.0%	2.5%
Police*	96.7%	98.5%	97.5%
Violation of Probation**	2.5%	1.5%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>162</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	49.6%	48.9%	54.9%
Informed	25.6%	29.0%	20.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	24.8%	22.1%	24.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>162</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	10.0%	3.4%	12.5%
Stet	3.3%	0.0%	2.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	3.4%	10.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	43.3%	34.5%	30.0%
Pending Disposition**	3.3%	3.4%	5.0%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	23.3%	34.5%	35.0%
Committed to DJS	16.7%	13.8%	5.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>40</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	1.3
Pre-Court	7.8
Probation	3.1
Aftercare	2.0
Committed-Aftercare	1.4

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Talbot County population (ages 11-17) decreased 17.2% (from 3,323 to 2,750).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 24.7% of complaints were formalized while 20.4% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints increased 33.9%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 16.7% to 5.0%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 23.3% to 35.0%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 35.6 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.1 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 71.9 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (3.24).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 5.3% to 6.2%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 6.1% to 10.5%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 69.5% to 63.6%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	48.8%	42.0%	45.1%
White	43.0%	45.0%	42.6%
Hispanic/Other	8.3%	13.0%	12.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	78.5%	75.6%	72.2%
Female	21.5%	24.4%	27.8%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	5.8%	6.1%	3.7%
12	9.9%	3.8%	5.6%
13	6.6%	6.1%	3.7%
14	13.2%	6.1%	9.3%
15	13.2%	14.5%	19.1%
16	17.4%	26.0%	24.1%
17	33.9%	33.6%	33.3%
18-20	0.0%	3.8%	1.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>162</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	26.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	36.5%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.6%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	10.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	8.1%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Citations	15.1%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.7%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	7.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.2%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.5%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	32.1%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	24.1%	50.0%	66.7%	0.0%
- Drugs	5.6%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>162</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Wicomico County

County Supervisor: Nicole Griffin-Winder

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

201 Baptist St. Room 1134, Suite 21, Salisbury, MD 21801(ph) 410-713-3800

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	0.3%	0.6%
Citizen	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%
Police*	94.6%	96.9%	95.4%
Violation of Probation**	4.3%	2.4%	3.7%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>958</b>	<b>1,088</b>	<b>848</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	45.7%	46.4%	51.8%
Informed	20.4%	16.7%	13.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	33.9%	36.9%	34.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>958</b>	<b>1,088</b>	<b>848</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	13.8%	9.2%	6.8%
Stet	25.8%	27.9%	27.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	3.4%	4.2%	1.7%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	16.6%	19.2%	13.9%
Pending Disposition**	4.6%	4.7%	18.7%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	1.0%	0.3%
Probation	13.5%	21.2%	21.4%
Committed to DJS	22.2%	12.0%	9.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Other***	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>294</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	14.8
Pre-Court	32.0
Probation	77.9
Aftercare	32.1
Committed-Aftercare	21.5

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Wicomico County population (ages 11-17) increased 15.5% (from 9,055 to 10,456).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 34.7% of complaints were formalized while 13.6% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 11.5%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 22.2% to 9.5%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 13.5% to 21.4%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.5 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 69.3 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court (4.25), Secure Detention (1.85), and Petition (1.35).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.5% to 7.4%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.5% to 6.0%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 77.6% to 74.4%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	74.4%	69.3%	72.2%
White	22.2%	26.0%	23.7%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	4.7%	4.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	72.8%	64.7%	63.3%
Female	27.2%	35.3%	36.7%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	7.1%	3.9%	6.0%
12	7.2%	6.1%	8.1%
13	10.9%	8.6%	11.3%
14	14.2%	13.9%	14.3%
15	21.9%	23.4%	18.8%
16	20.9%	21.6%	17.1%
17	16.2%	21.0%	22.4%
18-20	1.7%	1.5%	2.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>958</b>	<b>1,088</b>	<b>848</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	50.8%	55.0%	45.3%	35.3%
Assault (Felony)	1.6%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.2%	16.1%	28.3%	5.9%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	0.9%	1.9%	5.9%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	25.5%	21.9%	11.3%	11.8%
Robbery	1.5%	6.1%	1.9%	5.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.6%	2.7%	0.0%	5.9%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.6%	31.3%	32.1%	52.9%
Arson	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.0%	1.5%	0.0%	11.8%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.1%	3.6%	0.0%	5.9%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.6%	1.2%	11.3%	5.9%
Malicious Destruction	5.4%	4.9%	1.9%	5.9%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.1%	3.3%	1.9%	5.9%
Theft (Felony)	1.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.4%	14.9%	17.0%	17.6%
Drug Related Offenses	2.7%	2.1%	5.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.3%	5.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.6%	1.8%	1.9%	5.9%
Alcohol Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.7%	1.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	3.8%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.4%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.1%	4.0%	15.1%	5.9%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.4%	1.5%	3.8%	5.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.2%	1.8%	9.4%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,653</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>17</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>23.5%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	2.3%	0.0%	7.7%
- Property	3.7%	5.3%	0.0%	15.4%
- Drugs	1.2%	0.8%	6.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	1.5%	2.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>74.4%</b>	<b>60.6%</b>	<b>89.8%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	47.1%	31.1%	49.0%	30.8%
- Property	23.9%	23.5%	32.7%	30.8%
- Drugs	1.7%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%	3.8%	8.2%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>848</i>	<i>132</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.9%</i>	<i>5.0%</i>	<i>3.0%</i>	<i>2.5%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Worcester County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Spencer Tracy

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

203 River St., Snow Hill, MD 21863 (ph) 410-632-0206

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Citizen	0.5%	0.9%	3.6%
Police*	98.5%	97.6%	95.6%
Violation of Probation**	0.8%	0.6%	0.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>473</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	46.3%	56.8%	52.0%
Informed	26.5%	15.3%	25.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	27.2%	27.9%	22.2%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>473</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	0.6%	6.0%	2.9%
Stet	6.1%	6.0%	11.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	7.4%	15.9%	10.5%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	27.0%	13.9%	19.0%
Pending Disposition**	5.5%	4.6%	6.7%
Services Not Ordered	1.2%	0.7%	1.9%
Probation	44.8%	45.7%	43.8%
Committed to DJS	5.5%	7.3%	1.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	1.8%	0.0%	1.9%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>105</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	6.1
Pre-Court	24.4
Probation	41.8
Aftercare	9.4
Committed-Aftercare	3.6

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Worcester County population (ages 11-17) decreased 20.3% (from 4,511 to 3,598).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 22.2% of complaints were formalized while 25.8% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 21.2%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 5.5% to 1.9%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 44.8% to 43.8%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 16.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.0 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 82.7 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in a Secure Detention (2.40), Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.01), and Petition (1.56).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 3.1% to 2.1%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 7.0% to 2.5%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 53.9% to 53.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	35.8%	33.8%	36.4%
White	59.3%	61.6%	55.8%
Hispanic/Other	4.8%	4.6%	7.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	67.0%	71.4%	68.5%
Female	33.0%	28.6%	31.5%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.2%	0.6%	1.5%
12	2.0%	0.7%	1.7%
13	5.7%	2.8%	4.0%
14	7.8%	6.1%	6.6%
15	10.2%	11.1%	12.1%
16	22.0%	20.3%	18.6%
17	47.3%	54.1%	50.1%
18-20	3.8%	4.4%	5.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>473</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	22.2%	24.1%	36.7%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.2%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	9.3%	11.1%	10.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	8.6%	9.3%	13.3%	0.0%
Robbery	0.6%	1.9%	3.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.2%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	19.8%	33.3%	26.7%	0.0%
Arson	0.6%	3.7%	6.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.3%	9.3%	13.3%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.1%	5.6%	6.7%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.0%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	3.4%	11.1%	3.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	9.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.9%	1.9%	3.3%	0.0%
Citations	27.5%	16.7%	6.7%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	11.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	12.8%	14.8%	3.3%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.5%	1.9%	3.3%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	3.1%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	9.9%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	14.2%	13.0%	23.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	8.6%	1.9%	23.3%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.2%	9.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%
- Property	1.7%	3.4%	9.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.6%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>53.5%</b>	<b>58.6%</b>	<b>81.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.5%	31.0%	63.6%	0.0%
- Property	17.1%	20.7%	13.6%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.7%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.1%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	473	29	22	0
% of Statewide	2.2%	1.1%	1.4%	0.0%

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Region V-Southern Summary

Regional Director: Douglas Mohler; Assistant Regional Director: Kimberly Atkinson  
Counties of Anne Arundel, Calvert, Charles, and St. Mary's

49 Old Solomons Island Rd., Suite 300  
Annapolis, MD 21401  
410-295-5740

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	0.7%	0.7%
Citizen	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Police*	96.1%	96.6%	96.8%
Violation of Probation**	3.4%	2.6%	2.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,507</b>	<b>4,640</b>	<b>4,358</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAELED CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	44.1%	47.4%	58.1%
Informed	19.0%	19.0%	14.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	36.9%	33.6%	27.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>4,507</b>	<b>4,640</b>	<b>4,358</b>

Court Action for Formaeled Cases (Previous Methodology)			
Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	15.4%	23.6%	18.9%
Stet	12.1%	12.1%	13.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.2%	1.2%	0.2%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	14.9%	11.4%	9.8%
Pending Disposition**	9.2%	7.8%	15.6%
Services Not Ordered	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%
Probation	31.7%	29.8%	30.2%
Committed to DJS	15.3%	13.6%	10.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
Other***	0.4%	0.4%	0.8%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>1,665</b>	<b>1,558</b>	<b>1,188</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	71.2
Pre-Court	159.9
Probation	379.1
Aftercare	121.9
Committed-Aftercare	99.3

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Southern Region population (ages 11-17) increased 4.3% (from 80,580 to 84,054).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 27.3% of complaints were formaeled while 14.7% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 3.3%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 15.3% to 10.9%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 31.7% to 30.2%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.7 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.1 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 84.1 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 8.2% to 6.1%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.6% to 5.0%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 74.1% to 76.2%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	56.1%	54.5%	55.6%
White	39.4%	41.0%	40.0%
Hispanic/Other	4.5%	4.5%	4.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	69.5%	71.0%	72.7%
Female	30.5%	29.0%	27.3%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	5.6%	4.8%	4.6%
12	5.8%	6.0%	4.8%
13	8.5%	8.7%	9.0%
14	13.1%	12.8%	14.5%
15	19.3%	19.9%	18.3%
16	21.2%	22.5%	20.9%
17	24.4%	23.3%	25.7%
18-20	2.1%	2.0%	2.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,507</b>	<b>4,640</b>	<b>4,358</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	44.0%	49.4%	39.7%	45.6%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	4.4%	0.0%	1.1%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.4%	19.4%	24.2%	27.8%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.2%	2.8%	0.4%	3.3%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	1.3%	1.1%	2.2%
Harassment	0.4%	1.1%	0.7%	1.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	14.5%	13.0%	6.9%	3.3%
Robbery	1.4%	4.9%	2.2%	2.2%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.9%	1.0%	2.2%	4.4%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.4%	1.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Property Offenses	29.2%	30.7%	28.2%	30.0%
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	2.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.8%	4.1%	1.8%	4.4%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.0%	2.5%	3.2%	1.1%
Malicious Destruction	7.1%	7.1%	8.7%	8.9%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.4%	2.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.0%	2.5%	1.4%	3.3%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.0%	10.7%	11.9%	11.1%
Drug Related Offenses	3.7%	6.6%	6.9%	5.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	2.1%	1.8%	2.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.6%	4.4%	5.1%	3.3%
Citations	9.1%	6.1%	2.5%	2.2%
Alcohol Violation	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	6.6%	3.8%	1.8%	2.2%
Tobacco Violation	0.9%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	13.0%	6.6%	22.7%	16.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.5%	0.2%	5.1%	6.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	2.0%	5.4%	3.3%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	9.9%	4.1%	11.9%	6.7%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>6,908</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>90</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	2.0%	2.4%	3.9%
- Property	1.7%	3.9%	1.2%	3.9%
- Drugs	1.5%	4.3%	2.0%	2.6%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	4.1%	6.5%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>76.2%</b>	<b>58.4%</b>	<b>84.1%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	45.8%	39.0%	42.4%	44.2%
- Property	25.6%	14.1%	24.1%	16.9%
- Drugs	1.9%	4.6%	4.5%	3.9%
- Unspecified	2.9%	0.7%	13.1%	6.5%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>4,358</i>	<i>305</i>	<i>245</i>	<i>77</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>20.2%</i>	<i>11.4%</i>	<i>15.2%</i>	<i>15.1%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Anne Arundel County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Seamus Conlan<sup>49</sup> Old Solomons Island Rd. Suite 300, Annapolis, MD 21401 (ph) 410-295-5740  
(Glen Burnie) 7500 Ritchie Hwy. Suite 306, Glen Burnie, MD 21061 (ph) 410-412-7115

Located in Region V - 2 Offices:

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	0.8%	0.9%
Citizen	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Police*	95.1%	96.5%	96.3%
Violation of Probation**	4.2%	2.5%	2.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,750</b>	<b>2,748</b>	<b>2,625</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	45.6%	50.9%	62.7%
Informed	18.3%	17.2%	12.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	36.0%	31.8%	24.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>2,750</b>	<b>2,748</b>	<b>2,625</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases ([Previous Methodology](#))

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	13.7%	25.0%	17.0%
Stet	12.4%	13.4%	11.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	19.4%	12.3%	12.2%
Pending Disposition**	13.2%	10.1%	17.0%
Services Not Ordered	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%
Probation	25.8%	23.5%	27.1%
Committed to DJS	14.5%	15.1%	14.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
Other***	0.4%	0.5%	0.8%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>991</b>	<b>875</b>	<b>654</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	40.2
Pre-Court	86.6
Probation	174.3
Aftercare	59.6
Committed-Aftercare	54.7

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Anne Arundel County population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.7% (from 48,847 to 48,503).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 24.9% of complaints were formalized while 12.4% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 4.5%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 14.5% to 14.1%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 25.8% to 27.1%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.8 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.6 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 86.3 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Probation (1.35), Petition (1.18) and under-represented at Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (0.34).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 8.8% to 6.4%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.7% to 5.4%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 76.0% to 81.1%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	54.8%	50.9%	54.7%
White	39.2%	43.5%	39.8%
Hispanic/Other	6.0%	5.6%	5.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	70.2%	71.6%	71.2%
Female	29.8%	28.4%	28.8%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	6.0%	5.1%	4.0%
12	5.9%	6.0%	5.0%
13	9.6%	8.1%	10.0%
14	14.2%	13.6%	15.5%
15	18.7%	21.1%	19.7%
16	21.3%	21.4%	19.8%
17	22.6%	23.1%	23.8%
18-20	1.7%	1.7%	2.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,750</b>	<b>2,748</b>	<b>2,625</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	46.2%	47.8%	48.8%	47.2%
Assault (Felony)	1.6%	6.4%	0.0%	1.9%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.8%	14.7%	29.6%	26.4%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	3.7%	0.8%	3.8%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	2.7%	2.4%	3.8%
Harassment	0.5%	2.0%	1.6%	1.9%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	15.0%	10.4%	5.6%	1.9%
Robbery	2.0%	7.0%	3.2%	3.8%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	0.7%	3.2%	3.8%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.6%	0.3%	2.4%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.8%	35.5%	23.2%	30.2%
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.5%	3.7%	1.6%	5.7%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.9%	3.0%	3.2%	1.9%
Malicious Destruction	6.1%	5.7%	4.8%	7.5%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.7%	3.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.0%	3.7%	2.4%	3.8%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.8%	13.0%	10.4%	9.4%
Drug Related Offenses	3.8%	8.0%	9.6%	7.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%	2.3%	3.2%	3.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.6%	5.7%	6.4%	3.8%
Citations	6.3%	1.3%	2.4%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.7%	1.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.4%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	14.9%	7.0%	16.0%	15.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	0.0%	4.0%	5.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	1.7%	7.2%	5.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	12.2%	5.0%	4.0%	3.8%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>4,384</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>53</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>29.5%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>10.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>19.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.9%	3.0%	3.7%	4.3%
- Property	1.7%	6.8%	1.8%	4.3%
- Drugs	1.7%	5.3%	3.7%	4.3%
- Unspecified	0.1%	0.0%	4.6%	6.5%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>81.1%</b>	<b>50.8%</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>69.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	48.0%	26.5%	50.5%	43.5%
- Property	28.2%	16.7%	19.3%	17.4%
- Drugs	2.0%	6.8%	4.6%	4.3%
- Unspecified	3.0%	0.8%	4.6%	4.3%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,625</i>	<i>132</i>	<i>109</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>12.2%</i>	<i>5.0%</i>	<i>6.8%</i>	<i>9.0%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Calvert County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Cynthia Scribner

Located in Region V - 1 Office:  
200 Duke St. Suite 2800, Prince Frederick, MD 20678 (ph) 443-550-6275

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	99.0%	99.2%	100.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>389</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	49.6%	51.9%	56.6%
Informed	19.4%	20.0%	19.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	30.9%	28.1%	23.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>389</b>

Court Action for Formal Cases (Previous Methodology)			
Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	19.8%	12.9%	22.6%
Stet	16.5%	21.8%	21.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	7.4%	12.9%	12.9%
Pending Disposition**	4.1%	2.0%	7.5%
Services Not Ordered	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	39.7%	39.6%	32.3%
Committed to DJS	9.1%	9.9%	3.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>93</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	2.6
Pre-Court	20.5
Probation	50.4
Aftercare	12.7
Committed-Aftercare	5.6

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Calvert County population (ages 11-17) increased 16.1% (from 7,901 to 9,172).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 23.9% of complaints were formalized while 19.5% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 0.5%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 9.1% to 3.2%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 39.7% to 32.3%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 16.7 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 26.2 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 84.8 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are under-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (0.52).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 2.8% to 2.3%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 6.7% to 4.4%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 58.6% to 58.1%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	25.1%	35.6%	20.6%
White	71.6%	59.7%	76.6%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	4.7%	2.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	68.3%	66.4%	73.3%
Female	31.7%	33.6%	26.7%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	4.9%	2.8%	4.4%
12	2.8%	2.8%	3.9%
13	7.9%	8.9%	4.4%
14	11.8%	11.4%	18.3%
15	19.9%	24.4%	19.0%
16	23.3%	24.4%	26.2%
17	28.6%	24.4%	22.9%
18-20	0.8%	0.8%	1.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>389</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	34.4%	40.6%	29.0%	10.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	13.4%	14.1%	16.1%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	15.3%	4.7%	12.9%	10.0%
Robbery	0.0%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.9%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.1%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	23.6%	12.5%	38.7%	40.0%
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.3%	1.6%	6.5%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.5%	7.8%	16.1%	20.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	3.2%	10.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.8%	3.1%	6.5%	10.0%
Drug Related Offenses	4.2%	21.9%	0.0%	10.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.2%	14.1%	0.0%	10.0%
Citations	19.5%	17.2%	6.5%	10.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.4%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	13.8%	6.3%	3.2%	10.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.8%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.5%	3.1%	3.2%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	10.2%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.8%	6.3%	25.8%	30.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	0.0%	12.9%	10.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.8%	3.1%	12.9%	20.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>10</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.5%	0.0%	4.0%	16.7%
- Drugs	1.3%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>58.1%</b>	<b>45.9%</b>	<b>76.0%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	35.2%	29.7%	36.0%	16.7%
- Property	18.0%	10.8%	28.0%	16.7%
- Drugs	2.1%	5.4%	0.0%	16.7%
- Unspecified	2.8%	0.0%	12.0%	16.7%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>389</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.8%</i>	<i>1.4%</i>	<i>1.6%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Charles County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Mary Ann Kellstrom-Stewart

Located in Region V - 1 Office:  
200 Kent Ave., La Plata, MD 20646 (ph) 301-392-6900

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.1%	0.6%	0.8%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	99.3%	96.8%	96.9%
Violation of Probation**	0.6%	2.6%	2.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>859</b>	<b>875</b>	<b>784</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	54.1%	48.2%	59.1%
Informed	8.3%	11.9%	8.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	37.6%	39.9%	32.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>859</b>	<b>875</b>	<b>784</b>

#### Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	19.2%	24.6%	17.3%
Stet	9.6%	8.6%	14.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.9%	4.6%	0.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	3.1%	8.0%	5.1%
Pending Disposition**	4.0%	8.0%	21.3%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	40.6%	35.2%	34.3%
Committed to DJS	21.7%	10.6%	7.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.9%	0.3%	0.0%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>254</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	15.6
Pre-Court	14.4
Probation	78.3
Aftercare	33.6
Committed-Aftercare	23.5

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Charles County population (ages 11-17) increased 9.0% (from 14,176 to 15,455).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 32.4% of complaints were formaled while 8.5% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 8.7%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 21.7% to 7.1%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 40.6% to 34.3%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 31.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 94.4 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.27), Petition (1.28) and under-represented at Diversion (0.90).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 9.6% to 7.0%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.8% to 5.0%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 74.9% to 72.6%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	75.3%	75.3%	79.1%
White	22.4%	21.6%	17.5%
Hispanic/Other	2.3%	3.1%	3.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	68.7%	72.3%	77.4%
Female	31.3%	27.7%	22.6%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	4.4%	2.7%	2.7%
12	5.4%	4.6%	3.2%
13	6.4%	6.6%	7.5%
14	10.9%	11.4%	11.4%
15	19.4%	16.5%	17.6%
16	21.2%	28.7%	23.7%
17	28.1%	26.2%	31.5%
18-20	4.2%	3.3%	2.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>859</b>	<b>875</b>	<b>784</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	42.2%	53.8%	41.5%	57.1%
Assault (Felony)	1.3%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.2%	22.3%	24.6%	35.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.2%	3.8%	0.0%	7.1%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	13.8%	20.0%	10.8%	7.1%
Robbery	0.8%	0.8%	1.5%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	3.1%	7.1%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	3.1%	1.5%	0.0%
Property Offenses	33.0%	29.2%	32.3%	28.6%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.2%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.8%	4.6%	1.5%	7.1%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.1%	1.5%	3.1%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.3%	10.8%	12.3%	7.1%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.4%	8.5%	15.4%	14.3%
Drug Related Offenses	2.9%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.9%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
Citations	10.8%	7.7%	1.5%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	9.2%	5.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.0%	7.7%	20.0%	14.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.8%	0.8%	6.2%	14.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%	3.1%	1.5%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	7.6%	3.8%	12.3%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,197</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>14</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	3.0%	3.6%	7.7%
- Property	1.9%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	3.6%	15.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>72.6%</b>	<b>68.2%</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>69.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	43.4%	56.1%	41.1%	53.8%
- Property	24.6%	10.6%	28.6%	15.4%
- Drugs	1.4%	1.5%	3.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.2%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>784</i>	<i>66</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.6%</i>	<i>2.5%</i>	<i>3.5%</i>	<i>2.5%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## St. Mary's County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Daniel Schaidt

23110 Leonard Hall Dr. Suite 1040, Leonardtown MD 20650 (ph) 301-880-2850

Located in Region V - 1 Office:

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	93.5%	95.4%	96.8%
Violation of Probation**	6.3%	4.1%	3.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>657</b>	<b>560</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	14.2%	29.1%	36.1%
Informed	40.4%	35.5%	30.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	45.4%	35.5%	33.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>657</b>	<b>560</b>

#### Court Action for Formal Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	15.2%	21.0%	26.2%
Stet	11.7%	8.6%	15.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	16.1%	12.4%	5.9%
Pending Disposition**	2.2%	1.7%	7.0%
Services Not Ordered	1.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Probation	40.0%	41.2%	34.8%
Committed to DJS	13.0%	14.2%	8.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other***	0.0%	0.4%	2.7%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>187</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	12.8
Pre-Court	38.4
Probation	76.1
Aftercare	16.1
Committed-Aftercare	15.4

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the St. Mary's County population (ages 11-17) increased 13.1% (from 9,656 to 10,924).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 33.4% of complaints were formalized while 30.5% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints increased 10.5%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 13.0% to 8.6%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 40.0% to 34.8%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.8 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.3 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 59.8 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.74), Secure Detention (1.42), and Petition (1.22).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.0% to 6.4%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 2.7% to 3.8%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 73.7% to 70.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	54.8%	52.2%	51.6%
White	44.8%	46.0%	47.1%
Hispanic/Other	0.4%	1.8%	1.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	67.7%	69.3%	72.9%
Female	32.3%	30.7%	27.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	6.5%	7.5%	10.2%
12	8.3%	9.6%	7.0%
13	6.3%	14.0%	9.3%
14	12.2%	12.0%	11.4%
15	21.7%	17.0%	12.5%
16	18.7%	17.8%	18.8%
17	24.7%	19.8%	28.8%
18-20	1.6%	2.3%	2.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>657</b>	<b>560</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	41.5%	53.4%	23.2%	53.8%
Assault (Felony)	1.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	24.8%	31.0%	16.1%	46.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	12.8%	16.4%	1.8%	0.0%
Robbery	0.3%	1.7%	1.8%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.5%	0.9%	0.0%	7.7%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.1%	0.9%	3.6%	0.0%
Property Offenses	29.9%	30.2%	28.6%	23.1%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.8%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.8%	2.6%	1.8%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.5%	6.0%	8.9%	7.7%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.4%	1.7%	3.6%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.8%	11.2%	14.3%	15.4%
Drug Related Offenses	3.6%	1.7%	7.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.6%	0.9%	7.1%	0.0%
Citations	15.4%	10.3%	1.8%	7.7%
Alcohol Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	8.6%	7.8%	1.8%	7.7%
Tobacco Violation	4.1%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.0%	4.3%	39.3%	15.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.3%	0.9%	8.9%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.3%	2.6%	28.6%	15.4%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>798</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>13</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.8%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>70.5%</b>	<b>70.0%</b>	<b>96.4%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	46.4%	51.4%	30.9%	50.0%
- Property	20.5%	14.3%	27.3%	16.7%
- Drugs	1.6%	2.9%	7.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.0%	1.4%	30.9%	16.7%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>560</i>	<i>70</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>3.4%</i>	<i>2.4%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Region VI-Metro Summary

Regional Director: Delmonica Hawkins; Assistant Regional Directors: Frank Duncan, Wanda Frink, and Kwabena Tuffour  
Counties of Montgomery and Prince George's

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	1.4%	2.1%	2.1%
Citizen	5.3%	4.9%	5.2%
Police*	87.9%	88.8%	87.9%
Violation of Probation**	5.4%	4.2%	4.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,117</b>	<b>3,926</b>	<b>3,881</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	31.9%	30.0%	27.8%
Informed	20.7%	18.1%	19.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	47.4%	51.9%	52.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>4,117</b>	<b>3,926</b>	<b>3,881</b>

#### Court Action for Formal Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	9.8%	13.4%	20.0%
Stet	4.0%	4.0%	7.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.5%	1.1%	0.5%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	34.3%	28.9%	18.4%
Pending Disposition**	5.6%	6.8%	13.6%
Services Not Ordered	7.0%	6.2%	1.5%
Probation	19.7%	23.6%	23.1%
Committed to DJS	17.1%	14.1%	13.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Other***	0.8%	2.0%	1.8%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>1,951</b>	<b>2,038</b>	<b>2,032</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	89.0
Pre-Court	174.0
Probation	447.8
Aftercare	215.5
Committed-Aftercare	120.5

14735 Main Street, Suite M0400  
Upper Marlboro, MD 20772  
301-952-2580

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Metro Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.8% (from 175,748 to 170,741).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):*
  - 52.4% of complaints were formalized while 19.8% were informalized.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):*
  - Total complaints decreased 5.7%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 17.1% to 13.9%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 19.7% to 23.1%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 61.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.3 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 76.8 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):*
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):*
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 16.1% to 17.7%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.3% to 10.7%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 61.8% to 58.7%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	65.9%	66.9%	66.2%
White	11.3%	11.2%	9.8%
Hispanic/Other	22.8%	21.9%	24.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	76.4%	75.9%	77.7%
Female	23.6%	24.1%	22.3%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%
12	2.0%	2.4%	2.8%
13	5.2%	5.4%	6.9%
14	11.8%	11.7%	11.1%
15	19.5%	20.8%	21.1%
16	24.1%	26.0%	23.3%
17	27.9%	26.7%	26.2%
18-20	7.7%	5.3%	7.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,117</b>	<b>3,926</b>	<b>3,881</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	35.7%	47.1%	54.2%	57.1%
Assault (Felony)	1.8%	6.1%	2.2%	3.2%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	13.6%	14.7%	21.5%	16.4%
Carjacking	0.3%	1.3%	0.5%	2.6%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.4%	1.2%	2.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	1.9%	1.5%	5.3%
Harassment	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1.6%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	7.5%	5.0%	6.4%	2.6%
Robbery	6.5%	14.4%	15.1%	16.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.6%	1.8%	3.5%	7.9%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.2%	1.2%	0.5%
Property Offenses	39.0%	35.4%	27.7%	28.6%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.4%	2.1%	2.0%	3.2%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.4%	3.5%	3.2%	4.2%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.3%	3.4%	1.5%	1.1%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%	3.9%	1.7%	3.2%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.9%	3.8%	2.2%	1.1%
Theft (Felony)	4.0%	4.0%	3.0%	0.5%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	16.9%	14.6%	13.4%	15.3%
Drug Related Offenses	2.8%	1.3%	1.0%	0.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.5%	1.0%	0.7%	0.5%
Citations	7.4%	2.3%	0.2%	0.5%
Alcohol Violation	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.9%	1.7%	0.2%	0.5%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	3.3%	2.6%	0.2%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.0%	11.0%	16.6%	13.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	4.2%	5.1%	11.9%	10.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.7%	0.4%	1.0%	0.5%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.8%	4.3%	3.2%	2.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>7,102</b>	<b>1,222</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>189</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>38.7%</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>42.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	0.4%	3.6%	6.8%
- Property	6.4%	6.8%	5.3%	3.8%
- Drugs	2.0%	1.4%	0.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	2.4%	9.5%	3.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>58.7%</b>	<b>45.9%</b>	<b>54.6%</b>	<b>43.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	30.0%	22.6%	32.9%	21.2%
- Property	25.9%	21.0%	13.2%	17.4%
- Drugs	1.1%	0.6%	1.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.7%	1.8%	7.6%	5.3%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>3,881</i>	<i>501</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>132</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>18.0%</i>	<i>18.8%</i>	<i>18.9%</i>	<i>25.9%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Montgomery County

County Supervisor: Douglas Powell

Located in Region VI - 2 Offices:

7300 Calhoun Pl. Suite 500, Rockville, MD 20855 (ph) 301- 610-8500  
8905 Fairview Rd. 6th Floor, Silver Spring, MD 20910 (ph) 301- 650-6760

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	1.1%	1.2%	1.4%
Citizen	0.7%	0.8%	0.2%
Police*	95.7%	95.4%	94.7%
Violation of Probation**	2.4%	2.5%	3.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,301</b>	<b>2,179</b>	<b>2,435</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	31.4%	26.3%	23.8%
Informed	22.1%	18.4%	21.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	46.5%	55.3%	54.6%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>2,301</b>	<b>2,179</b>	<b>2,435</b>

#### Court Action for Formal Cases (Previous Methodology)

Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	10.7%	15.6%	22.1%
Stet	1.9%	3.3%	8.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	2.7%	1.7%	0.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	38.8%	29.1%	20.5%
Pending Disposition**	5.1%	4.6%	12.0%
Services Not Ordered	12.7%	10.5%	2.3%
Probation	17.6%	23.5%	19.6%
Committed to DJS	9.5%	10.9%	14.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Other***	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>1,070</b>	<b>1,205</b>	<b>1,329</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	45.4
Pre-Court	108.8
Probation	177.7
Aftercare	57.8
Committed-Aftercare	51.7

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Montgomery County population (ages 11-17) increased 2.9% (from 89,763 to 92,389).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):*
  - 54.6% of complaints were formalized while 21.6% were informalized.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):*
  - Total complaints increased 5.8%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 9.5% to 14.1%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 17.6% to 19.6%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 44.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.0 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 83.0 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (4.35), Secure Detention (2.03), and Petition (1.54).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):*
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 13.8% to 13.0%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 8.1% to 9.6%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 59.9% to 59.4%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	53.8%	57.0%	58.0%
White	16.7%	15.8%	13.0%
Hispanic/Other	29.5%	27.2%	29.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	76.1%	77.2%	76.3%
Female	23.9%	22.8%	23.7%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.6%	1.2%	1.6%
12	1.9%	2.8%	3.3%
13	4.3%	4.9%	7.5%
14	11.3%	10.4%	11.7%
15	19.0%	19.1%	21.2%
16	24.0%	26.6%	23.4%
17	29.5%	30.2%	26.1%
18-20	8.4%	4.8%	5.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,301</b>	<b>2,179</b>	<b>2,435</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	30.7%	43.5%	53.6%	44.6%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	2.8%	2.7%	3.6%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	12.0%	16.7%	23.5%	17.0%
Carjacking	0.2%	1.1%	0.5%	2.7%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.5%	1.7%	3.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%	3.6%
Harassment	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	7.6%	6.5%	8.2%	2.7%
Robbery	4.2%	10.6%	8.7%	7.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.7%	3.0%	4.9%	7.1%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	39.9%	35.3%	25.1%	33.9%
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.4%	2.4%	1.6%	3.6%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.5%	2.4%	3.8%	3.6%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.5%	4.3%	1.1%	1.8%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%	3.5%	1.1%	3.6%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.8%	3.9%	2.2%	1.8%
Theft (Felony)	3.6%	4.1%	3.3%	0.9%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	19.2%	14.7%	12.0%	18.8%
Drug Related Offenses	3.0%	1.3%	0.5%	0.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.6%	1.1%	0.5%	0.9%
Citations	11.3%	4.1%	0.0%	0.9%
Alcohol Violation	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	7.6%	3.2%	0.0%	0.9%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	14.1%	15.6%	20.8%	19.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	6.6%	10.0%	15.8%	15.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	0.6%	0.5%	0.9%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.0%	3.9%	3.3%	3.6%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>4,026</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>112</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>28.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.5%	4.4%	6.8%
- Property	5.5%	8.7%	5.9%	4.1%
- Drugs	1.9%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	2.8%	10.3%	2.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	<b>51.4%</b>	<b>58.1%</b>	<b>58.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	28.3%	28.4%	34.6%	21.6%
- Property	28.5%	21.1%	13.2%	27.0%
- Drugs	0.9%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.7%	1.4%	9.6%	9.5%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,435</i>	<i>218</i>	<i>136</i>	<i>74</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>11.3%</i>	<i>8.2%</i>	<i>8.5%</i>	<i>14.5%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Prince George's County

County Supervisor: Katherine Ball

Located in Region VI - 2 Offices:  
14735 Main St. Suite M0400, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (ph) 301-952-2580  
1100 Mercantile Lane, Suite 120, Upper Marlboro 20774 (ph) 301-386-6240

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	1.8%	3.1%	3.2%
Citizen	11.0%	10.0%	13.6%
Police*	78.1%	80.7%	76.5%
Violation of Probation**	9.1%	6.2%	6.7%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,816</b>	<b>1,747</b>	<b>1,446</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION<sup>+</sup> FOR FORMAL CASES, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	32.6%	34.6%	34.6%
Informed	18.9%	17.7%	16.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	48.5%	47.7%	48.6%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,816</b>	<b>1,747</b>	<b>1,446</b>
Court Action for Formal Cases (Previous Methodology)			
Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	8.7%	10.2%	15.9%
Stet	6.7%	4.9%	5.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	28.9%	28.7%	14.2%
Pending Disposition**	6.2%	10.0%	16.5%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	22.4%	23.8%	29.9%
Committed to DJS	26.3%	18.7%	13.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Other***	0.7%	3.6%	4.6%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>881</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>703</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court. See note on page 19.

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Community	Monthly Avg. Cases
Investigation	43.5
Pre-Court	65.2
Probation	270.1
Aftercare	157.7
Committed-Aftercare	68.8

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2012 and July 2016, the Prince George's County population (ages 11-17) decreased 8.9% (from 85,985 to 78,352).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2017):
  - 48.6% of complaints were formalized while 16.7% were informalized.
- Intake Trends (FY 2015-2017):
  - Total complaints decreased 20.4%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 26.3% to 13.5%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 22.4% to 29.9%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2017):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 90.5 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 25.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 67.0 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2017):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Referral to Juvenile Court/Intake (2.37), Petition (1.61) and under-represented at Diversion (0.73).
  - See Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2016-2017):
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 19.0% to 25.5%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 10.8% to 12.5%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 64.2% to 57.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	81.2%	79.3%	80.1%
White	4.6%	5.4%	4.3%
Hispanic/Other	14.3%	15.2%	15.6%
Sex			
Male	76.8%	74.4%	79.9%
Female	23.2%	25.6%	20.1%
Age			
11 and under	1.7%	2.2%	1.4%
12	2.3%	1.8%	1.9%
13	6.5%	6.1%	5.9%
14	12.4%	13.5%	10.2%
15	20.0%	23.0%	21.0%
16	24.2%	25.2%	23.0%
17	26.0%	22.3%	26.2%
18-20	6.9%	6.0%	10.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,816</b>	<b>1,747</b>	<b>1,446</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017\***

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	42.3%	49.3%	54.8%	75.3%
Assault (Felony)	2.6%	8.0%	1.8%	2.6%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	15.8%	13.6%	19.9%	15.6%
Carjacking	0.4%	1.4%	0.5%	2.6%
Child Abuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.4%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.4%	2.9%	2.3%	7.8%
Harassment	0.5%	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	2.6%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	7.3%	4.1%	5.0%	2.6%
Robbery	9.5%	16.7%	20.4%	31.2%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.4%	1.1%	2.3%	9.1%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.3%	1.4%	1.3%
Property Offenses	37.9%	35.4%	29.9%	20.8%
Arson	1.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.3%	2.0%	2.3%	2.6%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.4%	4.2%	2.7%	5.2%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	2.9%	1.8%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.9%	4.2%	2.3%	2.6%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.9%	3.7%	2.3%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	4.5%	3.9%	2.7%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.9%	14.5%	14.5%	10.4%
Drug Related Offenses	2.6%	1.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.5%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%
Citations	2.3%	1.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.5%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	7.6%	4.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.9%	8.3%	13.1%	3.9%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.0%	2.1%	8.6%	3.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.1%	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.5%	4.6%	3.2%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>3,076</b>	<b>760</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>77</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 232.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable



**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>44.2%</b>	<b>30.4%</b>	<b>60.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	0.4%	3.0%	6.9%
- Property	8.0%	5.3%	4.8%	3.4%
- Drugs	2.3%	1.4%	0.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	2.1%	8.9%	3.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>57.5%</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>51.8%</b>	<b>25.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	32.8%	18.0%	31.5%	20.7%
- Property	21.6%	20.8%	13.1%	5.2%
- Drugs	1.5%	0.7%	1.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.7%	2.1%	6.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,446</i>	<i>283</i>	<i>168</i>	<i>58</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>6.7%</i>	<i>10.6%</i>	<i>10.4%</i>	<i>11.4%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

**AVERAGE LOS, FY 2017 RELEASES**

**DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2017 RELEASES\***


\* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Regional Summary Trends

Youth from out-of-state with complaints in Maryland are listed by the Maryland jurisdiction where they are charged or supervised, except for youth in detention or committed placement, who are listed by their region of residence/jurisdiction.

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2015-2017

County / Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
				Placements			ADP			Placements*			ADP*		
	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17
R-I-Balt. City	3,407	2,493	2,217	1,358	1,074	925	49.3	36.7	37.6	205	214	200	15.3	17.4	14.8
R-II-Central	5,882	5,948	6,006	503	412	403	24.6	21.8	20.7	154	141	128	9.2	9.6	9.3
R-III-Western	2,388	2,147	2,181	290	277	191	15.7	14.2	14.0	78	78	89	4.3	3.7	5.5
R-IV-Eastern	3,168	3,293	2,887	319	342	264	16.5	16.9	12.8	97	92	90	5.1	4.4	5.5
R-V-Southern	4,507	4,640	4,358	390	398	305	14.9	16.3	11.3	149	168	153	9.1	9.5	9.3
R-VI-Metro	4,117	3,926	3,881	803	681	501	51.8	39.7	27.1	258	228	203	22.2	14.5	15.4
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	53	70	76	1.2	2.4	2.7	15	12	11	1.3	1.2	1.0
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>23,469</b>	<b>22,447</b>	<b>21,530</b>	<b>3,716</b>	<b>3,254</b>	<b>2,665</b>	<b>174.0</b>	<b>148.1</b>	<b>126.2</b>	<b>956</b>	<b>933</b>	<b>874</b>	<b>66.4</b>	<b>60.3</b>	<b>60.8</b>

\* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP. \*\* N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction

### POST-EJECTION DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), FIRST-TIME PROBATION DISPOSITIONS, AND AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2015-2017

County / Region	Post-Ejection Detention Placement Placements			Detention Placement ADP			First-Time Probation Dispositions*			Average Supervised Caseload**		
	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17
R-I-Balt. City	46	38	29	4.3	4.0	2.7	474	364	328	933	756	700
R-II-Central	29	10	26	2.3	0.8	2.8	512	477	446	721	625	609
R-III-Western	11	13	11	1.4	0.7	1.0	204	140	109	266	252	200
R-IV-Eastern	17	11	29	1.3	1.0	2.3	195	213	176	378	345	349
R-V-Southern	31	28	23	3.2	1.9	2.5	333	322	245	632	555	501
R-VI-Metro	51	39	30	5.8	2.6	2.5	294	317	304	865	714	663
Out-of-State***	1	4	1	0.1	0.5	0.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>18.3</b>	<b>11.5</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>2,012</b>	<b>1,833</b>	<b>1,608</b>	<b>3,793</b>	<b>3,247</b>	<b>3,020</b>

\* Includes youth with no prior probation or commitment order who are placed on DJJ in-home supervision under a new probation or commitment order. \*\* Includes all probation and community aftercare. \*\*\* N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction

### COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS, PLACEMENTS, AND ADP BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2015-2017

County / Region	First-Time Committed Dispositions*			Committed					
				Placements			ADP		
	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17
R-I-Balt. City	143	138	129	239	211	213	122.3	104.3	89.5
R-II-Central	99	96	94	157	153	149	87.9	77.7	77.5
R-III-Western	53	48	45	116	104	101	67.1	46.2	46.0
R-IV-Eastern	64	60	33	102	105	91	94.0	68.5	44.3
R-V-Southern	98	97	77	218	216	173	119.9	105.0	98.6
R-VI-Metro	165	144	132	312	255	244	204.5	159.5	114.4
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	18	17	16.8	12.6	10.7
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>583</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>1,161</b>	<b>1,062</b>	<b>988</b>	<b>712.5</b>	<b>573.9</b>	<b>480.9</b>

\* "Disposition" counts all youth newly committed to the Department, not all of whom end up placed into committed out-of-home placements. Those that are placed are reflected in the "Placements" and "ADP" figures. \*\* N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## County/Regional Trends

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2015-2017<sup>+</sup>

County / Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
	Placements			ADP			Placements*			ADP*					
	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	3,407	2,493	2,217	1,358	1,074	925	49.3	36.7	37.6	205	214	200	15.3	17.4	14.8
Baltimore City	3,407	2,493	2,217	1,358	1,074	925	49.3	36.7	37.6	205	214	200	15.3	17.4	14.8
<b>R-II-Central</b>	5,882	5,948	6,006	503	412	403	24.6	21.8	20.7	154	141	128	9.2	9.6	9.3
Baltimore Co.	3,596	3,724	3,631	329	250	234	17.6	14.4	14.3	80	79	57	4.9	6.2	4.7
Carroll	466	363	389	41	41	29	2.3	1.4	1.6	26	17	19	1.0	0.7	1.1
Harford	849	850	1,069	87	58	62	3.2	3.4	2.8	28	28	29	1.8	2.1	1.9
Howard	971	1,011	917	46	63	78	1.6	2.6	2.1	20	17	23	1.4	0.7	1.6
<b>R-III-Western</b>	2,388	2,147	2,181	290	277	191	15.7	14.2	14.0	78	78	89	4.3	3.7	5.5
Allegany	533	440	477	33	22	16	1.3	1.7	1.4	5	12	16	0.3	0.5	0.9
Frederick	702	621	692	66	88	68	3.6	5.2	5.9	34	35	38	1.5	1.9	2.0
Garrett	179	157	179	8	4	3	0.4	0.2	0.2	3	1	1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Washington	974	929	833	183	163	104	10.4	7.0	6.5	36	30	34	2.4	1.3	2.6
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	3,168	3,293	2,887	319	342	264	16.5	16.9	12.8	97	92	90	5.1	4.4	5.5
Caroline	286	284	268	11	4	12	0.3	0.4	1.0	4	3	6	0.2	0.2	0.3
Cecil	410	349	387	48	47	39	1.9	2.9	1.8	12	10	15	0.8	0.5	0.9
Dorchester	423	529	352	25	32	19	1.9	1.3	1.3	11	12	7	0.4	1.0	0.6
Kent	90	72	79	5	1	2	0.3	0.2	0.3	3	1	0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	136	115	127	9	3	10	0.5	0.1	0.5	1	1	5	0.1	0.0	0.2
Somerset	144	183	191	22	14	19	1.2	0.8	0.3	4	5	3	0.2	0.1	0.2
Talbot	121	131	162	8	5	2	0.2	0.2	0.1	4	2	1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Wicomico	958	1,088	848	158	195	132	9.0	9.3	6.7	51	50	52	3.0	2.1	3.1
Worcester	600	542	473	33	41	29	1.1	1.6	0.8	7	8	1	0.3	0.4	0.1
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	4,507	4,640	4,358	390	398	305	14.9	16.3	11.3	149	168	153	9.1	9.5	9.3
Anne Arundel	2,750	2,748	2,625	169	158	132	8.3	7.7	7.1	83	86	89	5.2	5.6	6.6
Calvert	391	360	389	39	32	37	0.9	1.2	0.9	12	11	14	0.7	0.7	0.7
Charles	859	875	784	107	106	66	3.7	3.3	1.1	27	46	19	2.0	2.2	1.0
St. Mary's	507	657	560	75	102	70	2.0	4.2	2.2	27	25	31	1.2	1.0	1.0
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	4,117	3,926	3,881	803	681	501	51.8	39.7	27.1	258	228	203	22.2	14.5	15.4
Montgomery	2,301	2,179	2,435	177	195	218	12.9	12.9	13.8	61	82	105	3.8	4.3	6.7
Prince George's	1,816	1,747	1,446	626	486	283	38.9	26.8	13.3	197	146	98	18.4	10.2	8.7
<b>Out-of-State**</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	53	70	76	1.2	2.4	2.7	15	12	11	1.3	1.2	1.0
<b>Statewide</b>	23,469	22,447	21,530	3,716	3,254	2,665	174.0	148.1	126.2	956	933	874	66.4	60.3	60.8

\* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP. \*\* N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction; <sup>+</sup> If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

• Between FY 2015 and FY 2017:

- Intake Complaints decreased 8.3%
- Pre-Dispositional Detention Placements decreased 28.3% and ADP decreased 27.5%
- Post-Dispositional Pending Placements decreased 8.6% and ADP decreased 8.4%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

## County/Regional Trends (cont.)

### POST-EJECTION DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), FIRST-TIME PROBATION DISPOSITIONS, AND AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2015-2017<sup>+</sup>

County/ Region	Post-Ejection Detention Placements						First-Time Probation Dispositions*			Average Supervised Caseload**		
	Placements			ADP			FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17
	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	46	38	29	4.3	4.0	2.7	474	364	328	933	756	700
Baltimore City	46	38	29	4.3	4.0	2.7	474	364	328	933	756	700
<b>R-II-Central</b>	29	10	26	2.3	0.8	2.8	512	477	446	721	625	609
Baltimore Co.	14	9	13	1.2	0.7	1.6	331	284	275	457	406	399
Carroll	3	0	2	0.2	0.0	0.4	41	27	22	50	31	26
Harford	5	1	3	0.2	0.1	0.2	70	90	91	84	83	96
Howard	7	0	8	0.6	0.0	0.7	70	76	58	129	104	87
<b>R-III-Western</b>	11	13	11	1.4	0.7	1.0	204	140	109	266	252	200
Allegany	0	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.1	39	41	27	60	68	53
Frederick	5	5	9	0.4	0.2	0.6	66	52	46	75	78	59
Garrett	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12	6	7	40	36	27
Washington	6	7	2	0.9	0.5	0.4	87	41	29	91	70	61
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	17	11	29	1.3	1.0	2.3	195	213	176	378	345	349
Caroline	0	1	1	0.0	0.1	0.0	18	10	14	17	20	19
Cecil	1	0	6	0.0	0.0	0.5	43	49	38	74	80	89
Dorchester	2	3	3	0.2	0.4	0.4	25	29	26	28	33	33
Kent	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	8	5	9	11	12
Queen Anne's	0	0	2	0.0	0.0	0.1	9	10	12	10	11	12
Somerset	0	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.1	9	11	7	21	16	17
Talbot	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7	8	3	9	7	5
Wicomico	13	5	15	1.0	0.3	1.1	40	59	49	147	113	110
Worcester	1	1	1	0.1	0.1	0.1	40	29	22	62	54	51
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	31	28	23	3.2	1.9	2.5	333	322	245	632	555	501
Anne Arundel	17	17	20	1.8	1.4	2.3	151	143	109	321	257	234
Calvert	2	0	1	0.3	0.0	0.0	36	38	25	69	67	63
Charles	4	6	0	0.4	0.3	0.1	84	75	56	148	125	112
St. Mary's	8	5	2	0.6	0.3	0.1	62	66	55	94	106	92
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	51	39	30	5.8	2.6	2.5	294	317	304	865	714	663
Montgomery	15	10	12	1.3	0.6	1.0	110	128	136	236	218	235
Prince George's	36	29	18	4.5	2.1	1.6	184	189	168	629	497	428
<b>Out-of-State***</b>	1	4	1	0.1	0.5	0.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Statewide</b>	186	143	149	18.3	11.5	14.0	2,012	1,833	1,608	3,793	3,247	3,020

\*Includes youth with no prior probation/commitment order who are placed on DJS in-home supervision under a new probation/commitment order. \*\*Includes all probation and community aftercare. \*\*\* N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction. + If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

• Between FY 2015 and FY 2017:

• Post-Ejection Detention Placements decreased 19.9% and ADP decreased 23.5%

• First-Time Probation Dispositions decreased 20.1% and Average Supervised Caseload decreased 20.4%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

**COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS, PLACEMENTS, AND ADP BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION  
FY 2015-2017\***

County / Region	First-Time Committed Dispositions*			Committed Placements			ADP		
	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY15	FY16	FY17
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	143	138	129	239	211	213	122.3	104.3	89.5
Baltimore City	143	138	129	239	211	213	122.3	104.3	89.5
<b>R-II-Central</b>	99	96	94	157	153	149	87.9	77.7	77.5
Baltimore Co.	43	46	39	72	81	66	41.9	37.3	35.4
Carroll	20	13	7	33	26	19	14.2	14.7	13.9
Harford	18	17	21	28	26	34	17.2	13.9	15.3
Howard	18	20	27	24	20	30	14.6	11.9	12.9
<b>R-III-Western</b>	53	48	45	116	104	101	67.1	46.2	46.0
Allegany	6	6	14	19	17	22	12.1	8.0	10.4
Frederick	22	21	18	47	41	36	26.2	20.1	17.7
Garrett	1	1	3	7	3	5	3.7	0.8	1.6
Washington	24	20	10	43	43	38	25.1	17.3	16.2
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	64	60	33	102	105	91	94.0	68.5	44.3
Caroline	5	3	3	5	3	6	2.6	1.4	2.4
Cecil	10	6	8	12	10	11	11.9	7.9	6.7
Dorchester	6	9	3	11	15	7	8.4	9.8	4.6
Kent	2	1	0	2	1	0	3.2	1.4	0.2
Queen Anne's	3	3	6	2	3	6	1.3	1.1	1.7
Somerset	2	2	0	8	7	6	6.5	2.9	3.0
Talbot	4	2	0	5	5	1	6.5	3.8	1.4
Wicomico	28	28	13	50	51	52	47.5	34.6	20.7
Worcester	4	6	0	7	10	2	6.0	5.6	3.6
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	98	97	77	218	216	173	119.9	105.0	98.6
Anne Arundel	58	49	46	106	112	96	66.9	58.1	53.2
Calvert	9	9	6	14	11	17	8.5	6.6	5.6
Charles	25	20	13	57	58	26	24.7	27.1	24.3
St. Mary's	6	19	12	41	35	34	19.7	13.2	15.5
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	165	144	132	312	255	244	204.5	159.5	114.4
Montgomery	31	43	74	79	95	123	48.7	53.6	53.4
Prince George's	134	101	58	233	160	121	155.9	105.9	61.0
<b>Out-of-State**</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	18	17	16.8	12.6	10.7
<b>Statewide</b>	622	583	510	1,161	1,062	988	712.5	573.9	480.9

\*"Disposition" counts all youth newly committed to the Department, not all of whom end up placed into committed out-of-home placements. Those that are placed are reflected in the "Placements" and "ADP" figures. \*\* N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction. + If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

• Between FY 2015 and FY 2017:

- First-Time Committed Dispositions decreased 18.0%
- Committed Placements decreased 14.9% and ADP decreased 32.5%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

## Change in Percentage Points for Trends By Region/County of RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION from FY2015-2017

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS CHANGES FROM FY2015-2017, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-34.9%	-1,190
R-IV-Eastern	-8.9%	-281
R-III-Western	-8.7%	-207
R-VI-Metro	-5.7%	-236
R-V-Southern	-3.3%	-149
R-II-Central	2.1%	124

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Baltimore City	-34.9%	-1,190
Worcester	-21.2%	-127
Prince George's	-20.4%	-370
Dorchester	-16.8%	-71
Carroll	-16.5%	-77
Washington	-14.5%	-141
Kent	-12.2%	-11
Wicomico	-11.5%	-110
Allegany	-10.5%	-56
Charles	-8.7%	-75
Queen Anne's	-6.6%	-9
Caroline	-6.3%	-18
Cecil	-5.6%	-23
Howard	-5.6%	-54
Anne Arundel	-4.5%	-125
Frederick	-1.4%	-10
Calvert	-0.5%	-2
Garrett	0.0%	0
Baltimore County	1.0%	35
Montgomery	5.8%	134
St. Mary's	10.5%	53
Harford	25.9%	220
Somerset	32.6%	47
Talbot	33.9%	41

### PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENT CHANGES FROM FY2015-2017, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-37.6%	-302
R-III-Western	-34.1%	-99
R-I-Balt. City	-31.9%	-433
R-V-Southern	-21.8%	-85
R-II-Central	-19.9%	-100
R-IV-Eastern	-17.2%	-55

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Talbot	-75.0%	-6
Garrett	-62.5%	-5
Kent	-60.0%	-3
Prince George's	-54.8%	-343
Allegany	-51.5%	-17
Washington	-43.2%	-79
Charles	-38.3%	-41
Baltimore City	-31.9%	-433
Carroll	-29.3%	-12
Baltimore County	-28.9%	-95
Harford	-28.7%	-25
Dorchester	-24.0%	-6
Anne Arundel	-21.9%	-37
Cecil	-18.8%	-9
Wicomico	-16.5%	-26
Somerset	-13.6%	-3
Worcester	-12.1%	-4
St. Mary's	-6.7%	-5
Calvert	-5.1%	-2
Frederick	3.0%	2
Caroline	9.1%	1
Queen Anne's	11.1%	1
Montgomery	23.2%	41
Howard	69.6%	32

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



**PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADP CHANGES FROM FY2015-2017, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-47.79%	-24.78
R-V-Southern	-23.98%	-3.56
R-I-Balt. City	-23.72%	-11.70
R-IV-Eastern	-22.33%	-3.67
R-II-Central	-15.77%	-3.88
R-III-Western	-10.96%	-1.72

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Talbot	-75.82%	-0.19
Somerset	-70.38%	-0.81
Charles	-69.60%	-2.60
Prince George's	-65.92%	-25.67
Garrett	-47.30%	-0.19
Washington	-38.25%	-4.00
Dorchester	-34.33%	-0.66
Carroll	-30.04%	-0.68
Worcester	-27.16%	-0.31
Wicomico	-25.65%	-2.30
Baltimore City	-23.72%	-11.70
Kent	-21.67%	-0.07
Baltimore County	-18.88%	-3.32
AnneArundel	-14.31%	-1.18
Harford	-11.59%	-0.37
Cecil	-5.56%	-0.11
Calvert	0.63%	0.01
Queen Anne's	5.78%	0.03
Montgomery	6.95%	0.90
St. Mary's	10.79%	0.21
Allegany	13.42%	0.17
Howard	30.26%	0.48
Frederick	64.76%	2.30
Caroline	>100%	0.75

**POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENT<sup>1</sup> CHANGES FROM FY2015-2017, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-21.3%	-55
R-II-Central	-16.9%	-26
R-IV-Eastern	-7.2%	-7
R-I-Balt. City	-2.4%	-5
R-V-Southern	2.7%	4
R-III-Western	14.1%	11

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-100.0%	-3
Worcester	-85.7%	-6
Talbot	-75.0%	-3
Garrett	-66.7%	-2
Prince George's	-50.3%	-99
Dorchester	-36.4%	-4
Charles	-29.6%	-8
Baltimore County	-28.8%	-23
Carroll	-26.9%	-7
Somerset	-25.0%	-1
Washington	-5.6%	-2
Baltimore City	-2.4%	-5
Wicomico	2.0%	1
Harford	3.6%	1
Anne Arundel	7.2%	6
Frederick	11.8%	4
St. Mary's	14.8%	4
Howard	15.0%	3
Calvert	16.7%	2
Cecil	25.0%	3
Caroline	50.0%	2
Montgomery	72.1%	44
Allegany	>100%	11
Queen Anne's	>100%	4

<sup>1</sup> Includes post-ejection detention placements.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

## Change in Percentage Points for Trends By Region/County of RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION from FY2015-2017 (cont.)

### POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADP<sup>1</sup> CHANGES FROM FY2015-2017, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-30.71%	-6.81
R-I-Balt. City	-3.34%	-0.51
R-II-Central	2.01%	0.18
R-V-Southern	2.01%	0.18
R-IV-Eastern	8.25%	0.42
R-III-Western	29.13%	1.25

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-100.00%	-0.12
Talbot	-87.10%	-0.07
Garrett	-78.13%	-0.07
Prince George's	-52.99%	-9.76
Charles	-49.45%	-0.98
Worcester	-43.75%	-0.12
St. Mary's	-21.51%	-0.27
Somerset	-7.06%	-0.02
Baltimore County	-3.85%	-0.19
Baltimore City	-3.34%	-0.51
Wicomico	2.55%	0.08
Carroll	3.16%	0.03
Harford	4.02%	0.07
Calvert	6.00%	0.04
Washington	9.41%	0.22
Cecil	16.43%	0.13
Howard	19.52%	0.27
Anne Arundel	26.63%	1.39
Frederick	31.42%	0.48
Dorchester	52.98%	0.22
Montgomery	78.69%	2.95
Caroline	91.67%	0.15
Allegany	>100%	0.61
Queen Anne's	>100%	0.18

### POST-EJECTION DETENTION PLACEMENT CHANGES\* FROM FY2015-2017, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-41.2%	-21
R-I-Balt. City	-37.0%	-17
R-V-Southern	-25.8%	-8
R-II-Central	-10.3%	-3
R-III-Western	0.0%	0
R-IV-Eastern	70.6%	12

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Charles	-100.0%	-4
St. Mary's	-75.0%	-6
Washington	-66.7%	-4
Calvert	-50.0%	-1
Prince George's	-50.0%	-18
Harford	-40.0%	-2
Baltimore City	-37.0%	-17
Carroll	-33.3%	-1
Montgomery	-20.0%	-3
Baltimore County	-7.1%	-1
Worcester	0.0%	0
Howard	14.3%	1
Wicomico	15.4%	2
Anne Arundel	17.6%	3
Dorchester	50.0%	1
Frederick	80.0%	4
Cecil	>100%	5
Caroline	N/A	1
Queen Anne's	N/A	2
Somerset	N/A	1
Allegany	N/A	0
Garrett	N/A	0
Kent	N/A	0
Talbot	N/A	0

<sup>1</sup> Includes post-ejection detention placements.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

\* Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

**POST-EJECTION DETENTION ADP CHANGES\* FROM FY2015-2017, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-56.11%	-3.24
R-I-Balt. City	-38.27%	-1.66
R-III-Western	-26.64%	-0.37
R-V-Southern	-22.16%	-0.70
R-II-Central	26.37%	0.59
R-IV-Eastern	78.13%	1.03

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Calvert	-91.74%	-0.30
St. Mary's	-88.99%	-0.55
Charles	-71.85%	-0.27
Prince George's	-65.46%	-2.96
Washington	-60.18%	-0.56
Baltimore City	-38.27%	-1.66
Harford	-24.18%	-0.06
Montgomery	-22.32%	-0.28
Howard	2.56%	0.02
Wicomico	8.42%	0.08
Anne Arundel	22.92%	0.42
Frederick	26.83%	0.12
Baltimore County	42.65%	0.49
Worcester	63.64%	0.06
Carroll	69.74%	0.15
Dorchester	86.30%	0.17
Cecil	>100%	0.44
Allegany	N/A	0.07
Garrett	N/A	0.00
Caroline	N/A	0.05
Kent	N/A	0.00
Queen Anne's	N/A	0.12
Somerset	N/A	0.11
Talbot	N/A	0.00

**FIRST-TIME PROBATION DISPOSITION CHANGES FROM FY2015-2017, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-III-Western	-46.6%	-95
R-I-Balt. City	-30.8%	-146
R-V-Southern	-26.4%	-88
R-II-Central	-12.9%	-66
R-IV-Eastern	-9.7%	-19
R-VI-Metro	3.4%	10

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Washington	-66.7%	-58
Talbot	-57.1%	-4
Carroll	-46.3%	-19
Worcester	-45.0%	-18
Garrett	-41.7%	-5
Charles	-33.3%	-28
Baltimore City	-30.8%	-146
Allegany	-30.8%	-12
Calvert	-30.6%	-11
Frederick	-30.3%	-20
Anne Arundel	-27.8%	-42
Caroline	-22.2%	-4
Somerset	-22.2%	-2
Howard	-17.1%	-12
Baltimore County	-16.9%	-56
Cecil	-11.6%	-5
St. Mary's	-11.3%	-7
Prince George's	-8.7%	-16
Dorchester	4.0%	1
Wicomico	22.5%	9
Montgomery	23.6%	26
Kent	25.0%	1
Harford	30.0%	21
Queen Anne's	33.3%	3

\* Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

## Change in Percentage Points for Trends By Region/County of RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION from FY2015-2017 (cont.)

### AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD CHANGES FROM FY2015-2017, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I - Balt. City	-25.0%	-233.0
R-III - Western	-24.7%	-65.6
R-VI - Metro	-23.3%	-201.6
R-V - Southern	-20.7%	-130.6
R-II - Central	-15.6%	-112.3
R-IV - Eastern	-7.6%	-28.8

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Carroll	-47.5%	-24.0
Talbot	-42.5%	-3.7
Washington	-33.0%	-30.1
Howard	-32.7%	-42.2
Prince George's	-32.0%	-200.9
Garrett	-31.0%	-12.3
Anne Arundel	-27.3%	-87.6
Wicomico	-25.2%	-37.1
Baltimore City	-25.0%	-233.0
Charles	-24.4%	-36.2
Frederick	-21.9%	-16.4
Worcester	-17.9%	-11.1
Somerset	-16.7%	-3.5
Baltimore County	-12.6%	-57.7
Allegany	-11.3%	-6.8
Calvert	-8.0%	-5.5
St. Mary's	-1.4%	-1.3
Montgomery	-0.3%	-0.7
Caroline	11.6%	2.0
Harford	13.9%	11.7
Dorchester	16.4%	4.6
Cecil	19.7%	14.6
Queen Anne's	23.3%	2.3
Kent	34.7%	3.1

### FIRST-TIME COMMITTED DISPOSITION CHANGES FROM FY2015-2017, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-IV - Eastern	-48.4%	-31
R-V - Southern	-21.4%	-21
R-VI - Metro	-20.0%	-33
R-III - Western	-15.1%	-8
R-I - Balt. City	-9.8%	-14
R-II - Central	-5.1%	-5

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-100.0%	-2
Somerset	-100.0%	-2
Talbot	-100.0%	-4
Worcester	-100.0%	-4
Carroll	-65.0%	-13
Washington	-58.3%	-14
Prince George's	-56.7%	-76
Wicomico	-53.6%	-15
Dorchester	-50.0%	-3
Charles	-48.0%	-12
Caroline	-40.0%	-2
Calvert	-33.3%	-3
Anne Arundel	-20.7%	-12
Cecil	-20.0%	-2
Frederick	-18.2%	-4
Baltimore City	-9.8%	-14
Baltimore County	-9.3%	-4
Harford	16.7%	3
Howard	50.0%	9
Queen Anne's	100.0%	3
St. Mary's	100.0%	6
Allegany	>100%	8
Montgomery	>100%	43
Garrett	>100%	2

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

**COMMITTED PLACEMENT CHANGES FROM FY2015-2017, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-21.8%	-68
R-V-Southern	-20.6%	-45
R-III-Western	-12.9%	-15
R-I-Balt. City	-10.9%	-26
R-IV-Eastern	-10.8%	-11
R-II-Central	-5.1%	-8

**COMMITTED ADP CHANGES FROM FY2015-2017, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-IV-Eastern	-52.84%	-49.66
R-VI-Metro	-44.06%	-90.13
R-III-Western	-31.49%	-21.13
R-I-Balt. City	-26.87%	-32.87
R-V-Southern	-17.73%	-21.26
R-II-Central	-11.82%	-10.38


County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-100.0%	-2
Talbot	-80.0%	-4
Worcester	-71.4%	-5
Charles	-54.4%	-31
Prince George's	-48.1%	-112
Carroll	-42.4%	-14
Dorchester	-36.4%	-4
Garrett	-28.6%	-2
Somerset	-25.0%	-2
Frederick	-23.4%	-11
St. Mary's	-17.1%	-7
Washington	-11.6%	-5
Baltimore City	-10.9%	-26
Anne Arundel	-9.4%	-10
Baltimore County	-8.3%	-6
Cecil	-8.3%	-1
Wicomico	4.0%	2
Allegany	15.8%	3
Caroline	20.0%	1
Harford	21.4%	6
Calvert	21.4%	3
Howard	25.0%	6
Montgomery	55.7%	44
Queen Anne's	>100%	4

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-92.93%	-2.95
Talbot	-78.74%	-5.13
Prince George's	-60.86%	-94.86
Garrett	-56.51%	-2.12
Wicomico	-56.35%	-26.78
Somerset	-54.26%	-3.53
Dorchester	-45.25%	-3.81
Cecil	-43.80%	-5.22
Worcester	-40.77%	-2.45
Washington	-35.31%	-8.85
Calvert	-34.00%	-2.89
Frederick	-32.24%	-8.44
Baltimore City	-26.87%	-32.87
St. Mary's	-21.54%	-4.25
Anne Arundel	-20.50%	-13.72
Baltimore County	-15.68%	-6.57
Allegany	-14.25%	-1.73
Howard	-11.60%	-1.70
Harford	-10.76%	-1.85
Caroline	-9.36%	-0.25
Carroll	-1.90%	-0.27
Charles	-1.60%	-0.40
Montgomery	9.73%	4.74
Queen Anne's	35.29%	0.45

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.


## Long-Term Trends

### JUVENILE COMPLAINTS FY 2008-2017




• Juvenile complaints decreased 57.9% between FY 2008 and FY 2017 and 4.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

### JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY SEX FY 2008-2017



• Juvenile complaints decreased for both sexes between FY 2008 and FY 2017 and the percent reduction was very similar for males and females (58.2% for males and 57.0% for females).


### JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY RACE FY 2008-2017



• Between FY 2008 and FY 2017, juvenile complaints decreased for all races: Blacks (55.2%), Whites (64.6%), and youth of other races (43.7%).


Data Sources: All data were run in November 2017.

## DJS CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS FY 2008-2017



- Authorized formal petitions have consistently comprised the highest number of case forwarding decisions. Between FY 2008 and FY 2017, authorized formal petitions decreased 54.5%, informals decreased 66.7%, and the number of cases being resolved or disapproved decreased 57.4%.

## COURT DISPOSITIONS\* OF PETITIONED CASES FY 2008-2017



\* Court disposition reflects the most serious disposition at court.

- Probation dispositions have consistently been higher than committed dispositions. Between FY 2008 and FY 2017, probation dispositions decreased 54.5% and committed dispositions decreased 51.1%.

## ALOS FOR PROBATION RELEASES, PRE-D PLACEMENTS AND COMMITTED PLACEMENTS FY 2008-2017


ALOS	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Probation Releases</b>	430.4	425.4	452.5	427.3	406.0	391.9	387.8	396.8	407.4	430.9
<b>Pre-D Placements</b>	15.2	14.2	14.6	16.0	16.0	14.1	15.8	16.3	15.9	17.1
<b>Committed Placements</b>	193.3	185.8	183.8	203.4	198.9	192.8	216.8	229.2	209.9	194.5

- Between FY 2008 and FY 2017, ALOS for probation releases increased <1%, pre-D detention ALOS increased 12.5%, and committed ALOS increased <1%.

Data Sources: Case forwarding decisions/court disposition data were run in Oct. and Nov. 2017. For ALOS, FY 2008 to 2012 data were from a revised ASSIST run in May 2015; FY 2013 data were run in Oct. 2015; FY 2014 to 2016 data were run in Sept. and Oct. 2016 (except FY 2015 to 2016 ALOS data for pre-D placement run in Nov. 2017); FY 2017 data were run in Nov. 2017.


## Long-Term Trends (cont.)

### DETAINED AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2008-2017




- Between FY 2008 and FY 2017, ADP for the total detained population decreased 27.7%. Pre-Disposition ADP has consistently been higher than Pending Placement ADP and both groups saw a decrease between FY 2008 and FY 2017 (53.2% decrease for Pre-Disposition and 58.6% for Pending Placement). The population of youth charged as adults began being tracked in FY 2014 and ADP increased 209.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2017.

### COMMITTED RESIDENTIAL AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2008-2017



- Between FY 2008 and FY 2017, ADP for the total committed population decreased 50.9%. ADP decreased 52.1% for Private In-State facilities and 38.6% for DJS-Operated facilities, while ADP for Private Out-of-State facilities decreased 66.8%.

### DJS SUPERVISED YOUTH FY 2008-2017



- Between FY 2008 and FY 2017, total caseload decreased 60.0%, informal cases decreased 66.8%, aftercare cases decreased 56.9%, and probation cases decreased 58.7%

Data Sources: FY 2008 to 2012 data were from a revised ASSIST run in May 2015; FY 2013 data were run in October 2015; FY 2014 to 2016 data were run in September and October 2016 (except FY 2015 -2016 Detained ADP which were run in October 2017); FY 2017 data were run in October and November 2017.



## Section III: Detention



Marques Ogden visited the Charles H. Hickey Jr. School in May and spoke to the youth about his life lessons and the importance of perseverance through difficult times.



## Introduction to Detention

DJS operates seven detention facilities across the State to provide temporary and secure custody of youth subject to court jurisdiction. All facilities are 100% compliant with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). Youth may be detained at various points in the juvenile justice system. The juvenile court may order detention for youth pending a court hearing (pre- or post-disposition), pending initial placement in a committed program, or pending placement in a committed program post-ejection. Youth are most commonly detained for new complaints alleging delinquent behavior, writs and warrants issued by the court, or violations of alternative to detention (ATD) program conditions. Note that DJS detention facilities may additionally serve youth pending adult criminal charges while the transfer of jurisdiction from adult to juvenile court is being considered (see “Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer” on page 112).

### DETENTION PROCESS

The decision whether to detain a youth is driven primarily by the juvenile court. However, juvenile detention may be authorized by DJS intake officers on a temporary basis at the request of a law enforcement officer or community detention officer. DJS is empowered by statute to detain a youth who either poses a clear risk to themselves/others, or is deemed likely to leave court jurisdiction. This emergent decision is guided by the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) (see Appendix F) and is subject to court review on the next court day. The DRAI objectively informs the detention decision by taking into account three factors: (1) The risk that a youth will reoffend or fail to appear for a court hearing, based on known risk factors in the youth’s history. (2) The seriousness of the current alleged offense. (3) Factors requiring a mandatory hold regardless of risk or offense, including an outstanding writ or warrant, interstate hold, etc. Based on these three areas, the DRAI recommends either release, placement in an ATD, or detention.

### DETENTION ADMISSIONS

Each detention facility operates an admissions area 24 hours a day where all youth admitted to detention are processed. An admissions officer interviews youth to collect and verify basic identifying information. The admissions officer also performs a search of the DJS information system, ASSIST, to obtain available information on a youth’s delinquency and placement history. A photograph of each youth is taken and included in the case record for identification purposes. The rules of the detention facility, including the grievance procedure, are provided to each youth in the facility handbook. Youth are issued clothing to wear in the facility. Personal clothing is catalogued, packaged, and placed in secure storage.


The admissions process includes an initial health screening. It is performed by admissions nursing staff and consists of a review of physical health, mental health, and substance abuse needs. A full medical history and physical examination is conducted by a licensed physician or nurse practitioner within seven days of admission.

### DETENTION CASE MANAGEMENT

Youth who are detained by the juvenile court are assigned a DJS community case manager and a facility case manager. The community

case manager is based in the local DJS office within the youth’s home jurisdiction. To complete a Social History Investigation, the community case manager visits the youth in detention, interviews the youth and family, and completes the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Risk Screen and Needs Assessment. The facility case manager acts as a liaison with the court, family, community case manager, and treatment service providers while the youth is in detention.

### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2015-2017



ADP has consistently been below capacity.


## Services Provided in Detention Facilities

### BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

DJS Behavioral Health Services (BHS) is responsible for establishing and implementing policies governing the delivery of mental health, substance abuse, and evidence-based treatment services to youth under DJS supervision. BHS maintains a staff of licensed, certified counselors and contractors to provide complete assessments and necessary services. BHS provides integrated mental health and substance use disorder screening, assessments, and short-term crisis services in DJS detention facilities.

Upon admission to a detention center, each youth is screened by a qualified mental health professional. The screening consists of an interview, the administration of tests as appropriate, and the review

### JUVENILE DETENTION POPULATION ADP\*, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED\*\*, FY 2012-2020



\*ADP includes all youth in pre-disposition and post-disposition (pending placement) status and does not include the youth charged as adults pending transfer population as that is presented separately at the bottom of this page.


\*\*Projected values were generated by an ARIMA model.

#### ADP is projected to decrease 3.2% between FY 2017 and FY 2020.

of any prior assessments or records (e.g., psychosocial or clinical assessments). If the initial mental health screen reveals mental health concerns, recommendations are made for more in-depth assessments or additional services. Further in-depth assessments, conducted by psychiatrists or licensed psychologists, examine the emotional, adaptive, and cognitive functioning of detained youth with greater mental health issues.

Additionally, certified addictions counselors screen and assess youth in detention and determine appropriate levels of substance abuse treatment needs. This information assists case managers in linking youth with appropriate community and residential services. Addictions counselors work closely with the Department's medical director for those youth requiring medication management as a result of their drug addiction.

### YOUTH CHARGED AS ADULTS PENDING TRANSFER DETENTION POPULATION ADP, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED\*, FY 2014-2020



\*Projected values were generated by an ARIMA model.

#### ADP is projected to decrease 2.6% between FY 2017 and FY 2020.

### EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

The Maryland State Department of Education's (MSDE) Juvenile Services Education (JSE) program provides educational programming to youth in DJS detention facilities consistent with the requirements of the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), Title 13A. The program includes instruction in Core Content subjects, Career and Technology Education, library/media services, life skills, and special education services. Students are pre-tested upon entry to the JSE program to assess baseline academic skills. Teachers use the results to design learning plans for each student that form the basis of daily instruction. After each 30-day period of enrollment, the student is post-tested to determine academic gains. Students in a JSE program can earn credit toward the Maryland High School Diploma that they may receive upon return to their home high school. Alternately, some students are enrolled in classes designed to prepare them to take their GED examination.

### RECREATIONAL SERVICES

A structured recreation program is available to all youth in detention. The recreation program provides a variety of activities promoting physical and mental health. Youth participate in recreational activities for a minimum of one hour per day (two hours per day of recreational activity is encouraged).

## Pending Placement

### PENDING PLACEMENT (POST-DISPOSITION)

Youth who have been committed to the custody of the Department for residential placement may be placed in detention on a temporary basis by the juvenile court. Youth detained post-disposition are considered to be 'pending placement', as they are waiting to be admitted or placed in one of many possible out-of-home committed treatment programs.

Youth who are pending placement receive the same core services as youth detained prior to disposition, including educational services, screening, evaluation, and treatment for substance abuse, mental health, and somatic health (including dietary and dental).

Youth receive bi-weekly visits from their community case manager. Each facility also allows family visitation during the week or on weekends (the visitation schedule varies by facility).

A review hearing is held in accordance with Md. Code and Judicial Proceedings, 3-8A-15(k) for any youth in detention 25 days after the court has made a disposition. At the hearing, DJS is required to explain the reasons for continued detention. The court will continue to conduct a hearing every 25 days thereafter until a youth has been placed.

During the pending placement period, the community case manager maintains contact with the youth's family. The community case manager is also responsible for reporting to the juvenile court regarding the youth's placement status. In addition to court review, pending placement cases are reviewed by the Department on a weekly basis at facility management meetings. Regional-level meetings are held on a monthly basis to review placement statistics and identify barriers to placement and ways to expedite the process.

**PENDING PLACEMENT AFTER EJECTION FROM A COMMITTED PROGRAM**

Some youth committed to the custody of the Department cannot be safely maintained in their program and must be ejected. Youth

ejected from a committed program who require a new committed placement are often placed in detention. Those with a new offense would be considered Pre-D.


While detained pending a new placement, the Department's Central Review Committee (CRC) re-evaluates the youth's case, and works to secure acceptance to the most appropriate, alternative program. Youth placed in DJS operated facilities are transferred within 7 days of the CRC decision, provided there is available bed space.

**A Note to Readers:**

*It is strongly recommended that readers review the following distinction as it will assist in understanding data presented in this section:*

**Placements versus Admissions:** A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

## DJS Secure Detention Facilities by Region




## Total Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity: 411 beds


DJS owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility houses a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 112-113).

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



\*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

### ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2017



### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	79.3%	79.8%	76.5%
White	15.5%	14.4%	17.7%
Hispanic/Other	5.2%	5.8%	5.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	83.2%	85.6%	84.7%
Female	16.8%	14.4%	15.3%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%
12	1.7%	1.9%	1.0%
13	4.6%	4.7%	4.6%
14	10.1%	9.6%	12.1%
15	18.7%	18.8%	20.9%
16	25.1%	24.9%	23.5%
17	25.6%	28.0%	25.0%
18-20	13.7%	12.0%	12.7%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>4,056</b>	<b>3,615</b>	<b>2,967</b>

#### Source of Placements (FY 2017):

- 97.5% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 54 were from Washington, D.C.; 10 were from Virginia; 4 were from Pennsylvania; 3 were from West Virginia; and 1 was each from Delaware, Ohio, North Carolina, and South Carolina.

#### Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 26.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 17.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 0.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 2.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 27.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 17.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D releases decreased 2.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 4.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Ejection releases decreased 27.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and did not change between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 4.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 7.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D ALOS increased 4.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 2.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 6.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 15.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2017:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 28.7% to 30.4%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 17.4% to 20.5%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 50.6% to 44.7%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 22.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 10.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

## PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2017\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	9.6%	3.9%	5.4%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	9.0%	7.3%	7.4%
Carjacking	2.0%	2.2%	2.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.5%	6.5%	6.7%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%	1.5%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.1%	2.6%	4.7%
First Degree Assault	8.8%	3.3%	2.0%
Handgun Violation	1.8%	0.8%	1.3%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.9%	4.4%	6.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Murder	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	6.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.3%	4.7%	2.7%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	5.3%	3.0%	2.7%
Resisting Arrest	1.0%	0.7%	0.7%
Robbery	11.9%	12.6%	6.0%
Second Degree Assault	16.1%	20.0%	25.5%
Sex Offense	1.5%	2.3%	2.7%
Theft Felony	1.6%	1.7%	1.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.5%	13.1%	16.8%
Trespassing	1.6%	1.2%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.5%	3.9%	4.7%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>30.4%</b>	<b>25.1%</b>	<b>18.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	1.7%	0.7%
- Property	11.4%	5.5%	6.7%
- Drugs	6.8%	1.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	6.2%	6.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>58.8%</b>	<b>68.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	24.6%	29.0%	34.9%
- Property	16.5%	21.1%	24.8%
- Drugs	1.8%	3.4%	2.7%
- Unspecified	1.7%	5.2%	6.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<b>Total Offenses by Placement</b>	<b>2,665</b>	<b>725</b>	<b>149</b>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Alcohol/Tobacco Citations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abduction, Cruelty to Animals, Destructive Devices, Electronic Mail Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Fraud, Forgery, Counterfeit, Gambling, Harassment, Obstructing Justice, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating against a Victim/Witness, Status Offenses, Violation of Local Ordinance, and Unspecified Felony

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION\* VS CAPACITY\*\*, FY 2015-2017



\*ADP data does not include youth charged as adults pending transfer.

\*\*FY 2017 change was due to the reduction of capacity at Cheltenham Youth Detention Center.

## PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2015-2017\*

		Placements With DRAI	Placements No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2017	Pre-D	80.3%	19.7%	2,713	17.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	744	23.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	143	34.9
FY 2016	Pre-D	82.3%	17.7%	3,275	15.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	777	22.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	143	30.1
FY 2015	Pre-D	80.2%	19.8%	3,736	16.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	760	22.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	197	37.1

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.


ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Female Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity for Females: 64 beds


DJS operates three detention facilities that serve girls: Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center (co-ed), Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center (co-ed), and Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center. Some youth are detained awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and other youth are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 112-113).

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



\*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

### ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2017



### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	75.8%	76.5%	64.7%
White	19.4%	19.5%	27.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.8%	4.0%	7.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	2.6%	1.3%	0.4%
13	6.6%	7.1%	4.9%
14	12.6%	8.5%	13.7%
15	20.3%	18.9%	18.8%
16	21.1%	27.9%	27.4%
17	25.0%	24.7%	21.9%
18-20	11.7%	11.6%	13.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>453</b>

#### Source of Placements (FY 2017):

- 98.0% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 5 were from Washington, D.C.; 2 were from Pennsylvania; 1 was each from South Carolina and Virginia.

#### Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 33.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 12.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 1.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 1.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 34.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 16.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D releases decreased 0.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 2.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Ejection releases decreased 44.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 16.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 32.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 22.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D ALOS increased 32.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 22.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 15.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 31.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2017:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 14.6% to 19.3%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.2% to 12.6%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 72.0% to 62.1%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 7.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 0.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.



### PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2017\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.5%	5.7%	5.9%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	4.3%	1.9%	5.9%
Carjacking	0.8%	1.0%	5.9%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.3%	7.6%	11.8%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.8%	1.9%	11.8%
First Degree Assault	10.3%	2.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.5%	3.8%	11.8%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	2.9%	0.0%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	9.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Robbery	5.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	30.2%	49.5%	23.5%
Sex Offense	0.5%	1.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.5%	1.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.8%	10.5%	23.5%
Trespassing	2.0%	2.9%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>19.3%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>11.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	1.0%	0.0%
- Property	9.0%	6.7%	5.9%
- Drugs	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	3.8%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>62.1%</b>	<b>81.0%</b>	<b>82.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	42.0%	57.1%	35.3%
- Property	18.1%	18.1%	35.3%
- Drugs	1.0%	1.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	3.8%	11.8%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>2.8%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<b>Total Offenses by Placement</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>17</b>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Alcohol/Tobacco Citations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abduction, Cruelty to Animals, Destructive Devices, Electronic Mail Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Fraud, Forgery, Counterfeit, Gambling, Harassment, Obstructing Justice, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating against a Victim/Witness, Status Offenses, Violation of Local Ordinance, and Unspecified Felony

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION\* VS CAPACITY, FY 2015-2017



\*ADP data does not include youth charged as adults pending transfer.

### PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2015-2017\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2017	Pre-D	78.6%	21.4%	397	17.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	112	34.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	15	31.6
FY 2016	Pre-D	77.1%	22.9%	478	14.6
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	115	28.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	18	46.0
FY 2015	Pre-D	80.2%	19.8%	609	13.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	113	26.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	27	37.4


\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Under Age 13 Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


Youth under 13 who are detained by the court represent very few of the youth detained by DJS. Rather than placing these youth in a detention facility, DJS most frequently uses an alternative to detention (ATD) with placement at home or in a shelter. However, if a youth must be in a detention center they are housed with youth closest in age and size. If deemed highly vulnerable they may be placed in the infirmary, with one-on-one staff supervision or sent to a smaller facility with a lower population. Wherever placed, youth under 13 receive all the same services as other detained youth.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



\*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

### ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2017



### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	87.1%	83.6%	88.6%
White	10.8%	8.2%	11.4%
Hispanic/Other	2.2%	8.2%	0.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	80.6%	90.4%	94.3%
Female	19.4%	9.6%	5.7%
<b>Age*</b>			
8 and under	0	0	1
9	0	0	0
10	4	2	0
11	21	4	5
12	68	67	29
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>35</b>

\*Due to the small number of youth in each age category, actual numbers are presented instead of percentages.

- *Source of Placements (FY 2017):*
  - 100% of placements were from regions within Maryland.
- *Placement Trends:*
  - Total placements decreased 62.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 52.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 0.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 3.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 62.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 52.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
  - Post-D releases decreased 42.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 60.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
  - There was only 1 Ejection release in FY 2017.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - ALOS for Pre-D increased 51.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 69.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
  - Post-D ALOS decreased 17.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 45.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
  - There were no Ejection releases.
- *Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2017:*
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 35.5% to 48.6%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 7.5% to 8.6%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 51.6% to 42.9%
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 39.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 37.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
  - There is no capacity specifically for the Under 13 age group.

## PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2017\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	11.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	17.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	5.7%	25.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	11.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	14.3%	75.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>42.9%</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	25.7%	75.0%	0.0%
- Property	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Alcohol/Tobacco Citations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abduction, Cruelty to Animals, Destructive Devices, Electronic Mail Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Fraud, Forgery, Counterfeit, Gambling, Harassment, Obstructing Justice, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating against a Victim/Witness, Status Offenses, Violation of Local Ordinance, and Unspecified Felony

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION, FY 2015-2017



## PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2015-2017\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2017	Pre-D	88.6%	11.4%	35	19.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	4	15.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	1	77.0
FY 2016	Pre-D	84.9%	15.1%	73	11.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	10	29.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	0	0.0
FY 2015	Pre-D	89.2%	10.8%	94	12.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	7	19.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	0	0.0


\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Youth of Color Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


The data shown in this section provides additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth). Although each summary page in the Data Resource Guide Detention Section provides an overall race/ethnicity breakdown for detention placements in the Demographics table, the tables in this section show more detailed information on placements and ADP by type and Region, offense type and severity, and length of stay for youth of color as a whole. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 112-113).

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



\*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

### ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2017



### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	93.9%	93.3%	92.9%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	6.1%	6.7%	7.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	84.0%	86.5%	86.6%
Female	16.0%	13.5%	13.4%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.7%	0.2%	0.2%
12	1.7%	2.0%	1.1%
13	4.3%	5.0%	4.7%
14	10.6%	9.6%	11.8%
15	19.3%	19.2%	21.8%
16	25.3%	24.7%	23.9%
17	25.0%	27.7%	24.9%
18-20	13.1%	11.7%	11.6%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>3,427</b>	<b>3,094</b>	<b>2,443</b>

#### • Source of Placements (FY 2017):

- 97.3% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 54 were from Washington, D.C.; 9 were from Virginia; and 1 was each from Pennsylvania, Delaware, South Carolina, and Ohio.

#### • Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 28.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 21.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI did not change between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 2.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 28.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 19.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D releases decreased 1.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 6.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Ejection releases decreased 33.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 10.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 4.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 9.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D ALOS increased 0.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 1.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 7.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 19.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2017:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 30.1% to 32.6%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 18.5% to 22.1%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 48.5% to 41.4%

#### • Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 24.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 12.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- There is no capacity specifically for the Youth of Color group.

## PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2017\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	10.8%	3.7%	6.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	8.8%	7.5%	7.7%
Carjacking	2.2%	2.7%	2.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	6.6%	8.5%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	1.6%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.1%	1.8%	3.4%
First Degree Assault	9.5%	3.4%	2.6%
Handgun Violation	2.1%	1.1%	1.7%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.5%	2.3%	6.8%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.8%	1.4%	0.9%
Murder	0.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	7.5%	1.2%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.2%	3.9%	0.9%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.5%	2.8%	1.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
Robbery	13.5%	15.8%	7.7%
Second Degree Assault	14.9%	20.5%	23.1%
Sex Offense	1.4%	2.5%	2.6%
Theft Felony	1.3%	1.2%	1.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.0%	12.1%	17.1%
Trespassing	1.7%	0.9%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.3%	4.4%	4.3%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>32.6%</b>	<b>29.0%</b>	<b>21.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.7%	1.4%	0.9%
- Property	12.2%	5.0%	7.7%
- Drugs	7.5%	1.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	6.8%	7.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>41.4%</b>	<b>55.7%</b>	<b>62.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	22.4%	28.8%	30.8%
- Property	15.8%	18.0%	24.8%
- Drugs	1.8%	3.2%	0.9%
- Unspecified	1.4%	5.7%	6.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<b>Total Offenses by Placement</b>	<b>2,223</b>	<b>562</b>	<b>117</b>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Alcohol/Tobacco Citations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abduction, Cruelty to Animals, Destructive Devices, Electronic Mail Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Fraud, Forgery, Counterfeit, Gambling, Harassment, Obstructing Justice, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating against a Victim/Witness, Status Offenses, Violation of Local Ordinance, and Unspecified Felony

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION\*, FY 2015-2017



\*ADP data does not include youth charged as adults pending transfer.

## PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2015-2017\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2017	Pre-D	80.7%	19.3%	2,269	17.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	580	23.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	113	35.9
FY 2016	Pre-D	83.1%	16.9%	2,805	15.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	622	23.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	126	29.9
FY 2015	Pre-D	80.7%	19.3%	3,187	16.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	587	23.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	169	38.7

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer

Youth age 17 and under alleged to have committed a criminal act excluded from juvenile court jurisdiction may also be held in pre-trial adult detention facilities. MD law provides an avenue for the adult court to order a youth to be held in a juvenile detention facility if he or she is eligible for transfer of jurisdiction (Md. Code, Criminal Procedure, §4-202). These youth may be held in juvenile detention pending a transfer hearing to determine if jurisdiction should remain in the adult court or be transferred to the juvenile court. Data in this section reflects youth held in DJS detention facilities for the adult court pending a transfer hearing, and information on youth whose complaints were transferred (who may or may not have been detained during the report year.)

Starting in July 2013, DJS entered into agreements with localities to utilize the current law more consistently resulting in higher numbers of such youth held in DJS facilities. In October 2015, legislation went into effect (SB172/ HB618) that requires eligible youth requiring detention to be held in DJS facilities pending transfer determination, unless the court specifically rules otherwise.

### PLACEMENTS FOR FY 2016-2017 AND ADP, ALOS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017 (LEFT SIDE). CASES TRANSFERRED TO THE JUVENILE COURT WITH COURT DISPOSITIONS BY REGION OF JURISDICTION, FY 2017 (RIGHT SIDE).

Region/County	Placements		FY 2017		FY 2017 Complaints			
	FY 2016	FY 2017	ADP	ALOS	Transferred to Juvenile Court	Committed	Probation	Dismissed/ Other
<b>R-I- Balt. City</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>59.2</b>	<b>139.1</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>49.2%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>23.4%</b>
Baltimore City	153	142	59.2	139.1	124	49.2%	27.4%	23.4%
<b>R-II- Central</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>10.4</b>	<b>76.4</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>65.6%</b>	<b>10.9%</b>
Baltimore Co.	33	53	10.3	79.3	57	22.8%	70.2%	7.0%
Carroll	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Harford	1	1	0.0	7.3	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Howard	6	1	0.1	21.6	6	33.3%	16.7%	50.0%
<b>R-III- Western</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>108.8</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>38.1%</b>	<b>52.4%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>
Allegany	0	2	0.7	133.5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Frederick	6	16	4.0	126.0	12	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Garrett	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Washington	6	15	3.5	87.8	9	22.2%	55.6%	22.2%
<b>R-IV- Eastern</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>96.8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
Caroline	0	1	0.0	13.8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cecil	1	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Dorchester	0	1	0.0	13.9	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kent	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Queen Anne's	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Somerset	1	0	0.6	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Talbot	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wicomico	8	13	3.8	110.6	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%
Worcester	0	1	0.1	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>R-V- Southern</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>70.6</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>35.5%</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>
Anne Arundel	5	18	4.1	89.9	24	37.5%	41.7%	20.8%
Calvert	3	5	0.6	56.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Charles	2	4	0.7	30.3	6	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%
St. Mary's	1	1	0.1	44.7	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
<b>R-VI- Metro</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>25.4</b>	<b>80.9</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>
Montgomery	25	40	9.7	96.3	34	26.5%	58.8%	14.7%
Prince George's	48	75	15.7	72.6	46	45.7%	26.1%	28.3%
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>72.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>114.2</b>	<b>103.3</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>63</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

**OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2017**

Most Serious Alleged Juvenile Offense of Transferred Cases	FY 2017
Arson	0.3%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.3%
Carjacking	7.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	2.4%
Deadly Weapon	0.6%
First Degree Assault-Felony	31.2%
Handgun Violation	18.7%
Kidnapping	0.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.3%
Murder	4.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	0.6%
Robbery	24.2%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	0.6%
Sex Offense	5.5%
Theft Felony	0.6%
Unspecified Felony	1.2%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.3%
<b>Total Transferred Cases</b>	<b>327</b>

**OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017**

<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>82.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.9%
- Property	0.9%
- Drugs	0.3%
- Unspecified	3.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	11.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.6%
- Unspecified	0.9%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%
<b>Total Transferred Cases</b>	<b>327</b>

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

*Note: The population data for youth detained by the adult court presented here are not included in the data presented in the rest of the Detention section, which only includes youth detained under jurisdiction of the juvenile court. The offense data shown here reflects the resulting juvenile court offense for those cases that were transferred down from adult court jurisdiction, and does not reflect the original adult charge.*

**YOUTH CHARGED AS ADULTS PENDING TRANSFER DEMOGRAPHICS BY DETENTION FACILITY, FY 2017**

Demographics	Alfred D. Noyes Children Center	Balt. City Juvenile Justice Center	Charles H. Hickey Jr. School	Cheltenham Youth Facility	Lower East Shore Children's Center	Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center	Western Maryland Children's Center	Statewide
<b>Race</b>								
Black	50.0%	96.4%	80.4%	71.0%	60.0%	64.7%	64.0%	77.5%
White	4.2%	1.4%	13.7%	6.0%	33.3%	29.4%	28.0%	8.6%
Hispanic/Other	45.8%	2.1%	5.9%	23.0%	6.7%	5.9%	8.0%	13.9%
<b>Sex</b>								
Male	85.4%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	92.7%
Female	14.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	7.3%
<b>Age</b>								
14	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	0.5%
15	0.0%	2.9%	5.9%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	2.5%
16	39.6%	45.7%	43.1%	34.0%	46.7%	41.2%	28.0%	40.4%
17	58.3%	47.9%	47.1%	59.0%	46.7%	52.9%	56.0%	52.5%
18-20**	2.1%	2.9%	3.9%	5.0%	6.7%	5.9%	8.0%	4.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>396*</b>
<b>ADP</b>	<b>8.9</b>	<b>56.2</b>	<b>14.4</b>	<b>22.2</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>114.2</b>
<b>ALOS</b>	<b>72.4</b>	<b>114.2</b>	<b>80.0</b>	<b>69.7</b>	<b>39.3</b>	<b>62.7</b>	<b>67.9</b>	<b>103.3</b>

\* Statewide admissions exclude transfers

\*\* Includes 1 youth over 20 years old in FY 2017

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center

Superintendent: Antonia Reason

Rated Capacity: 41 Males and 16 Females

Region VI: Metro


9925 Blackwell Road

Rockville, MD 20850

301-315-1610


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Noyes was closed for renovations between July 15 - December 2, 2015 which impacted admission trends. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 112-113).

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2015-2017



\*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2017



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	71.6%	70.7%	68.3%
White	12.6%	10.9%	12.1%
Hispanic/Other	15.8%	18.4%	19.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	64.0%	68.0%	65.6%
Female	36.0%	32.0%	34.4%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	2.2%	1.2%	0.3%
13	6.4%	4.7%	4.8%
14	11.1%	9.0%	13.4%
15	16.3%	18.4%	19.9%
16	26.4%	24.6%	22.0%
17	25.7%	32.8%	26.6%
18-20*	11.9%	9.4%	12.9%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>372</b>

\* Includes 2 youth over 20 years old in FY 2015

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2017):

- 91.7% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 26 were from Washington, D.C.; 3 were from Virginia; 1 was each from Pennsylvania and South Carolina.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 8.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 45.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 7.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 11.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 5.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 71.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D releases increased 31.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 60.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Ejection releases decreased 61.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 85.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 0.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 17.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D ALOS increased 3.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 27.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 16.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 36.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2017:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 28.3% to 29.0%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 10.6% to 12.2%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 58.0% to 55.5%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 12.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 89.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- FY 2017 Pre-D ADP for males was 11.1 and for females was 4.7.
- FY 2017 Post-D ADP for males was 3.8 and for females was 2.1.
- FY 2017 Ejection ADP for males was 0.7 and for females was 0.2.



## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2017\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	4.3%	3.9%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	9.5%	6.8%	8.3%
Carjacking	1.5%	2.9%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.4%	7.8%	8.3%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.0%	1.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	5.5%	3.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	5.2%	2.9%	8.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%	0.0%	8.3%
Robbery	14.0%	11.7%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	20.1%	27.2%	16.7%
Sex Offense	2.1%	2.9%	8.3%
Theft Felony	3.0%	3.9%	8.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.8%	15.5%	25.0%
Trespassing	3.0%	2.9%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	2.9%	8.3%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>29.0%</b>	<b>24.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	1.9%	0.0%
- Property	7.3%	7.8%	8.3%
- Drugs	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%	3.9%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>55.5%</b>	<b>62.1%</b>	<b>91.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.4%	33.0%	41.7%
- Property	22.3%	22.3%	33.3%
- Drugs	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	6.8%	16.7%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>328</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>12</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Alcohol/Tobacco Citations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abduction, Cruelty to Animals, Destructive Devices, Electronic Mail Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Fraud, Forgery, Counterfeit, Gambling, Harassment, Obstructing Justice, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating against a Victim/Witness, Status Offenses, Violation of Local Ordinance, and Unspecified Felony

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION\* VS CAPACITY, FY 2015-2017



\*ADP data does not include youth charged as adults pending transfer.

## PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2015-2017\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2017	Pre-D	60.1%	39.9%	342	18.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	104	20.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	13	26.6
FY 2016	Pre-D	71.1%	28.9%	199	15.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	65	16.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	7	19.4
FY 2015	Pre-D	67.4%	32.6%	360	17.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	79	19.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	34	31.9

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.


## Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center

Superintendent: Jeremy Smith

Rated Capacity: 120 Males


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 112-113).

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2015-2017



\*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2017



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	94.9%	95.5%	94.1%
White	4.5%	3.5%	5.1%
Hispanic/Other	0.5%	1.0%	0.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.5%	0.1%	0.5%
12	1.7%	1.7%	1.5%
13	4.8%	4.4%	5.7%
14	10.7%	9.6%	13.3%
15	20.3%	19.7%	20.7%
16	24.3%	25.5%	22.4%
17	26.7%	30.3%	27.8%
18-20	10.0%	8.6%	8.1%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>1,286</b>	<b>1,092</b>	<b>948</b>

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2017):

- 100% of admissions were from regions within Maryland.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 26.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 13.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 1.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 0.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 27.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 13.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D releases increased 13.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 9.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Ejection releases decreased 34.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 26.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 4.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 11.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 1.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 17.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- ALOS for ejections increased 10.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 10.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2017:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 33.5% to 35.6%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 27.6% to 33.6%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 37.6% to 28.8%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 19.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 5.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

300 North Gay Street

Baltimore, MD 21202

443-263-8706

Region I: Baltimore City

## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2017\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	14.3%	5.0%	9.5%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	8.8%	7.5%	11.9%
Carjacking	4.0%	5.0%	4.8%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	5.0%	4.8%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	7.3%	6.3%	4.8%
Handgun Violation	3.0%	1.3%	2.4%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.5%	3.1%	9.5%
Manslaughter	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.6%	0.6%	2.4%
Murder	0.7%	2.5%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	15.7%	3.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.0%	5.0%	2.4%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.8%	5.0%	4.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	16.5%	18.8%	7.1%
Second Degree Assault	9.1%	9.4%	14.3%
Sex Offense	1.6%	1.3%	2.4%
Theft Felony	0.7%	0.6%	2.4%
Theft Misdemeanor	4.5%	12.5%	9.5%
Trespassing	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.2%	5.0%	7.1%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>35.6%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>33.6%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.5%	1.2%	2.4%
- Property	15.1%	5.6%	11.9%
- Drugs	15.7%	3.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	8.1%	4.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>38.1%</b>	<b>52.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	14.0%	12.5%	23.8%
- Property	10.1%	16.9%	19.0%
- Drugs	2.5%	3.1%	2.4%
- Unspecified	2.2%	5.6%	7.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>867</i>	<i>160</i>	<i>42</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Alcohol/Tobacco Citations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abduction, Cruelty to Animals, Destructive Devices, Electronic Mail Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Fraud, Forgery, Counterfeit, Gambling, Harassment, Obstructing Justice, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating against a Victim/Witness, Status Offenses, Violation of Local Ordinance, and Unspecified Felony

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION\* VS CAPACITY, FY 2015-2017



\*ADP data does not include youth charged as adults pending transfer.

## PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2015-2017\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2017	Pre-D	87.2%	12.8%	872	12.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	169	20.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	33	28.7
FY 2016	Pre-D	88.0%	12.0%	1,003	11.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	155	24.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	45	26.0
FY 2015	Pre-D	88.9%	11.1%	1,209	12.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	149	20.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	50	26.0

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.


## Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School

Superintendent: Danjuma Gaskins

Rated Capacity: 72 Males


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 112-113).

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2015-2017



\*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2017



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	66.2%	70.5%	72.3%
White	29.4%	22.6%	22.9%
Hispanic/Other	4.4%	6.9%	4.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
12	0.4%	2.6%	1.1%
13	4.0%	2.6%	5.4%
14	8.3%	9.9%	12.7%
15	17.9%	22.0%	22.2%
16	28.4%	22.4%	22.9%
17	28.8%	29.0%	24.4%
18-20	12.0%	11.4%	11.4%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>677</b>	<b>624</b>	<b>537</b>

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2017):

- 99.1% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 3 were from Pennsylvania, and 1 was each from Delaware and Virginia.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 20.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 13.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 2.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 3.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 18.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 14.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D releases increased 6.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 19.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Ejection releases decreased 34.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 81.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 15.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 13.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 3.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 4.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- ALOS for ejections increased 12.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 23.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2017:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 32.3% to 27.9%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 17.1% to 19.7%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 48.1% to 48.3%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 13.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 18.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

9700 Old Harford Road

Parkville, MD 21234

410-663-7700

Region II: Central

## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2017\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	13.7%	2.9%	3.3%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	16.0%	10.9%	0.0%
Carjacking	1.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	5.1%	6.7%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.1%	3.6%	10.0%
First Degree Assault	6.3%	1.5%	6.7%
Handgun Violation	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.5%	3.6%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.9%	1.5%	3.3%
Murder	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	4.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.5%	4.4%	3.3%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.7%	1.5%	0.0%
Robbery	7.6%	10.2%	13.3%
Second Degree Assault	16.4%	19.0%	33.3%
Sex Offense	1.5%	1.5%	6.7%
Theft Felony	1.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.9%	20.4%	13.3%
Trespassing	1.9%	0.7%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.6%	4.4%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>23.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.4%	0.0%	3.3%
- Property	14.9%	3.6%	3.3%
- Drugs	4.2%	0.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	5.1%	6.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>48.3%</b>	<b>65.7%</b>	<b>63.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	25.6%	30.7%	46.7%
- Property	21.2%	27.0%	13.3%
- Drugs	0.8%	3.6%	3.3%
- Unspecified	0.6%	4.4%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>476</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>30</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes Alcohol/Tobacco Citations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abduction, Cruelty to Animals, Destructive Devices, Electronic Mail Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Fraud, Forgery, Counterfeit, Gambling, Harassment, Obstructing Justice, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating against a Victim/Witness, Status Offenses, Violation of Local Ordinance, and Unspecified Felony


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017



\* Includes Out-of-State youth

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION\* VS CAPACITY, FY 2015-2017



\*ADP data does not include youth charged as adults pending transfer.

## PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2015-2017\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2017	Pre-D	80.9%	19.1%	469	19.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	141	21.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	29	30.8
FY 2016	Pre-D	83.9%	16.1%	551	22.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	176	20.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	16	25.0
FY 2015	Pre-D	78.6%	21.4%	573	16.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	133	22.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	44	27.4

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Cheltenham Youth Detention Center

Superintendent: Antoinette McLeod

Rated Capacity: 72 Males

Region VI: Metro


11003 Frank Tippet Road

Cheltenham, MD 20623

301-782-2400


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 112-113). As of November 17, 2016, the facility changed from Cheltenham Youth Facility which had a capacity 115-beds.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2015-2017



\*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2017



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	78.4%	77.8%	71.9%
White	13.5%	14.0%	19.7%
Hispanic/Other	8.1%	8.2%	8.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
12	0.8%	1.1%	1.0%
13	2.3%	3.2%	3.9%
14	6.3%	8.4%	8.7%
15	15.4%	16.3%	20.4%
16	28.4%	24.8%	18.7%
17	25.8%	28.1%	25.2%
18-20*	21.0%	17.8%	22.1%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>994</b>	<b>907</b>	<b>619</b>

\* Includes 1 youth over 20 years old in FY 2015

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2017):

- 94.0% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 30 were from Washington, D.C.; 5 were from Virginia, and 1 was each from North Carolina and Ohio.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 37.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 31.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 5.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 0.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 38.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 32.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D releases decreased 27.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 16.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Ejection releases decreased 41.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 13.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 18.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 8.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 3.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 1.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- ALOS for ejections increased 0.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 36.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2017:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 30.7% to 35.4%
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 13.0% to 10.8%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 51.4% to 45.1%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 46.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 31.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2017\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.9%	3.3%	2.7%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	9.3%	8.2%	10.8%
Carjacking	1.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	6.0%	10.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.5%	3.3%	2.7%
First Degree Assault	13.7%	3.8%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.1%	1.6%	2.7%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.9%	3.3%	5.4%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.8%	1.6%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.6%	7.6%	5.4%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	7.7%	2.7%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	11.4%	16.3%	5.4%
Second Degree Assault	15.1%	16.3%	27.0%
Sex Offense	1.5%	4.9%	2.7%
Theft Felony	1.7%	2.2%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.3%	9.8%	18.9%
Trespassing	2.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.0%	3.3%	5.4%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>35.4%</b>	<b>30.4%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	2.7%	2.7%
- Property	5.6%	5.4%	2.7%
- Drugs	3.3%	0.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	6.5%	10.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>45.1%</b>	<b>51.6%</b>	<b>67.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	23.0%	26.1%	29.7%
- Property	18.2%	16.8%	27.0%
- Drugs	2.7%	4.9%	5.4%
- Unspecified	1.2%	3.8%	5.4%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.9%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>517</i>	<i>184</i>	<i>37</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Alcohol/Tobacco Citations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abduction, Cruelty to Animals, Destructive Devices, Electronic Mail Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Fraud, Forgery, Counterfeit, Gambling, Harassment, Obstructing Justice, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating against a Victim/Witness, Status Offenses, Violation of Local Ordinance, and Unspecified Felony

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION\* VS CAPACITY, FY 2015-2017



\*ADP data does not include youth charged as adults pending transfer.

### PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2015-2017\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2017	Pre-D	78.5%	21.5%	538	15.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	183	21.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	40	33.4
FY 2016	Pre-D	79.2%	20.8%	800	16.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	220	20.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	46	24.5
FY 2015	Pre-D	73.1%	26.9%	880	18.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	251	22.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	68	33.3

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center

Superintendent: Derrick Witherspoon

Rated Capacity: 18 Males and 6 Females

Region IV: Eastern Shore


405 Naylor Mill Road

Salisbury, MD 21801

443-523-1526


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 112-113).

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2015-2017



\*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2017



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	73.6%	77.2%	73.0%
White	22.6%	19.3%	23.7%
Hispanic/Other	3.7%	3.5%	3.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	82.2%	82.1%	80.7%
Female	17.8%	17.9%	19.3%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.9%	0.3%	0.3%
12	2.9%	3.0%	1.0%
13	6.6%	6.0%	4.3%
14	10.3%	12.5%	13.7%
15	18.6%	14.4%	22.3%
16	24.1%	25.8%	25.0%
17	21.5%	28.8%	24.0%
18-20	15.2%	9.2%	9.3%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>300</b>

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2017):

- 99.3% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 2 were from Pennsylvania.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 14.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 18.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 9.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 1.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 21.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 23.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D releases decreased 4.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 11.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Ejection releases increased 16.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 4.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 5.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 10.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D ALOS increased 26.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 27.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- ALOS for ejections increased 7.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 18.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2017:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 26.1% to 24.1%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 11.7% to 15.5%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 57.0% to 53.1%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 17.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 11.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- FY 2017 Pre-D ADP for males was 8.3 and for females was 1.5.
- FY 2017 Post-D ADP for males was 2.2 and for females was 0.9.
- FY 2017 Ejection ADP for males was 1.4 and for females was 0.1.



## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2017\*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.2%	1.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.3%	10.3%	4.5%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	4.9%	6.9%	4.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	1.7%	4.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	5.2%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.5%	8.6%	4.5%
First Degree Assault	11.8%	1.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.1%	5.2%	9.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%	1.7%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	9.4%	6.9%	4.5%
Resisting Arrest	2.4%	5.2%	0.0%
Robbery	7.8%	5.2%	4.5%
Second Degree Assault	15.9%	17.2%	27.3%
Sex Offense	2.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.6%	3.4%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.0%	12.1%	27.3%
Trespassing	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	3.3%	1.7%	9.1%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.4%	1.7%	0.0%
- Property	9.8%	13.8%	4.5%
- Drugs	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>53.1%</b>	<b>70.7%</b>	<b>81.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.0%	41.4%	36.4%
- Property	18.4%	25.9%	36.4%
- Drugs	2.0%	1.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.7%	1.7%	9.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<b>Total Offenses by Admission</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>22</b>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes Alcohol/Tobacco Citations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abduction, Cruelty to Animals, Destructive Devices, Electronic Mail Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Fraud, Forgery, Counterfeit, Gambling, Harassment, Obstructing Justice, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating against a Victim/Witness, Status Offenses, Violation of Local Ordinance, and Unspecified Felony


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017



\* Includes Out-of-State youth

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION\* VS CAPACITY, FY 2015-2017



\*ADP data does not include youth charged as adults pending transfer.

## PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2015-2017\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2017	Pre-D	90.6%	9.4%	247	15.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	62	18.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	21	26.0
FY 2016	Pre-D	88.7%	11.3%	321	13.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	70	14.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	22	21.9
FY 2015	Pre-D	81.4%	18.6%	314	15.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	65	14.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	18	24.2

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center

Superintendent: Lisa Steeple

Rated Capacity: 42 Females

Region V: Southern


375 Red Clay Road, S.W.

Laurel, MD 20724

301-362-6160


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 112-113).

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2015-2017



\*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2017



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	78.6%	77.6%	67.9%
White	17.7%	18.4%	27.4%
Hispanic/Other	3.7%	4.1%	4.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	2.4%	1.7%	0.6%
13	5.3%	6.4%	3.3%
14	12.6%	8.4%	13.1%
15	22.0%	18.4%	17.0%
16	21.4%	28.9%	29.5%
17	24.6%	23.9%	23.2%
18-20*	11.8%	12.4%	13.4%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>509</b>	<b>419</b>	<b>336</b>

\* Includes 1 youth over 20 years old in FY 2015

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2017):

- 99.4% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was each from Washington, D.C. and Virginia.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 34.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 19.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 1.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 3.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 34.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 22.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D releases increased 14.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 13.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Ejection releases decreased 50.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 21.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 41.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 17.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D ALOS increased 33.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 14.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 3.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 2.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2017:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 15.7% to 21.0%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.9% to 13.1%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 70.4% to 61.5%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 3.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 10.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2017\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.5%	2.5%	7.1%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	3.4%	2.5%	7.1%
Carjacking	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.4%	6.3%	14.3%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.2%	1.3%	14.3%
First Degree Assault	11.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.1%	5.0%	7.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.7%	3.8%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.3%	2.5%	0.0%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	7.9%	1.3%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	6.9%	2.5%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	31.6%	52.5%	28.6%
Sex Offense	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.3%	12.5%	21.4%
Trespassing	2.1%	2.5%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.7%	1.3%	0.0%
- Property	9.3%	2.5%	7.1%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	2.5%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>85.0%</b>	<b>85.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	43.3%	58.8%	42.9%
- Property	16.5%	20.0%	28.6%
- Drugs	0.3%	2.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%	3.8%	14.3%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>2.7%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>291</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>14</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes Alcohol/Tobacco Citations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abduction, Cruelty to Animals, Destructive Devices, Electronic Mail Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Fraud, Forgery, Counterfeit, Gambling, Harassment, Obstructing Justice, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating against a Victim/Witness, Status Offenses, Violation of Local Ordinance, and Unspecified Felony


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017



\* Includes Out-of-State youth

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION\* VS CAPACITY, FY 2015-2017



\*ADP data does not include youth charged as adults pending transfer.

## PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2015-2017\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2017	Pre-D	80.4%	19.6%	294	17.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	86	31.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	11	32.2
FY 2016	Pre-D	76.7%	23.3%	378	14.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	99	27.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	14	33.1
FY 2015	Pre-D	82.2%	17.8%	450	12.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	75	23.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	22	33.4

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Western Maryland Children's Center

Superintendent: Mark Bishop


Rated Capacity: 24 Males

Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented here do not include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 112-113).

Region III: Western


18420 Roxbury Road  
Hagerstown, MD 21740  
301-745-6071

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2015-2017



\*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2017



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	64.4%	64.8%	61.2%
White	30.1%	28.2%	32.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.5%	7.0%	6.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	96.5%	95.9%	98.2%
Female	3.5%	4.1%	1.8%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.4%	0.0%	0.4%
12	6.9%	1.6%	0.7%
13	10.4%	7.3%	1.5%
14	13.8%	11.7%	10.6%
15	21.1%	19.0%	24.2%
16	18.0%	25.2%	31.5%
17	18.3%	23.3%	19.8%
18-20	10.0%	11.9%	11.4%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>273</b>

\*Although the facility is for males only, females have been on rare occasions temporarily admitted in emergencies.

#### • Source of Admissions (FY 2017):

- 98.5% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 3 were from West Virginia and 1 was from Washington, D.C.

#### • Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 5.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 26.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 13.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 9.1% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 15.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 24.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D releases increased 21.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 5.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Ejection releases increased 40.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 29.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 5.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 21.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Post-D ALOS increased 4.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 11.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 8.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 114.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2017:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 21.0% to 23.5%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 12.1% to 19.5%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 62.3% to 54.3%

#### • Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 0.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 9.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2017\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	9.5%	2.9%	6.7%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	1.4%	3.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.9%	11.4%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	5.7%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.4%	5.7%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	5.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.1%	12.9%	10.0%
Manslaughter	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.9%	4.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.8%	4.3%	3.3%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	6.8%	4.3%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	8.1%	7.1%	3.3%
Second Degree Assault	23.5%	12.9%	43.3%
Sex Offense	0.9%	4.3%	3.3%
Theft Felony	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.7%	5.7%	16.7%
Trespassing	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.3%	7.1%	10.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>23.5%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	3.2%	4.3%	0.0%
- Property	12.2%	2.9%	6.7%
- Drugs	2.3%	1.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%	11.4%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>54.3%</b>	<b>61.4%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.9%	27.1%	43.3%
- Property	20.8%	21.4%	26.7%
- Drugs	0.9%	4.3%	3.3%
- Unspecified	2.7%	8.6%	10.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>221</i>	<i>70</i>	<i>30</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes Alcohol/Tobacco Citations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abduction, Cruelty to Animals, Destructive Devices, Electronic Mail Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, Fraud, Forgery, Counterfeit, Gambling, Harassment, Obstructing Justice, Reckless Endangerment, Retaliating against a Victim/Witness, Status Offenses, Violation of Local Ordinance, and Unspecified Felony


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017



\* Includes Out-of-State youth

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION\* VS CAPACITY, FY 2015-2017



\*ADP data does not include youth charged as adults pending transfer.

## PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2015-2017\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2017	Pre-D	63.5%	36.5%	225	18.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	68	15.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	31	13.7
FY 2016	Pre-D	72.6%	27.4%	296	15.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	72	13.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	44	6.4
FY 2015	Pre-D	76.7%	23.3%	267	17.6
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	56	14.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	22	15.1

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Alternatives to Detention (ATD)

DJS has a variety of programs designed to safely supervise youth in the community as an alternative to detention. ATDs include Community Detention (CD) - with or without electronic/GPS monitoring (EM), and Day & Evening Reporting Centers (D/ERC). In addition, DJS collaborates with private programs to provide additional alternative programming. These programs include the Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Transition Center (PACT) and the Detention Reduction Advocacy Program (DRAP) for Baltimore City youth, as well as Hearts and Homes for Youth, Inc. which operates a D/ERC in Montgomery County. Shelter beds may also serve as an ATD in some circumstances when release-eligible youth are unable to return home. ATD programs are used either by DJS intake staff for medium risk youth presented for detention (placements must be reviewed by the juvenile court the next court day) or by the juvenile court directly. These alternatives allow youth to continue with school or work and maintain community ties, support systems, or alternative care. Youth on ATD supervision are expected to appear for scheduled hearings and not have any new arrests.

### ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM TYPE AND REGION, FY 2017

Region of Residence	ADM	ADP	ALOS*
<b>R-I - Baltimore City</b>	<b>1,360</b>	<b>102.2</b>	<b>28.2</b>
CD	244	13.1	19.7
CD/EM	660	54.5	29.8
D/ERC	113	10.9	34.2
DRAP	52	7.0	49.3
PACT	57	6.6	52.3
Shelter Care	234	10.2	18.6
<b>R-II - Central</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>34.6</b>	<b>32.0</b>
CD	41	2.2	20.3
CD/EM	337	31.2	34.4
Shelter Care	21	1.3	17.8
<b>R-III - Western</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>18.2</b>	<b>31.7</b>
CD	8	0.6	28.4
CD/EM	174	15.1	32.1
Shelter Care	29	2.5	30.3
<b>R-IV - Eastern</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>21.2</b>	<b>29.1</b>
CD	29	1.4	18.5
CD/EM	219	18.5	30.7
Shelter Care (Seasonal)	15	1.2	27.9
<b>R-V - Southern</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>33.2</b>	<b>34.2</b>
CD	50	4.2	28.0
CD/EM	286	28.6	36.3
Shelter Care	13	0.4	10.7
<b>R-VI - Metro</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>54.4</b>	<b>40.6</b>
CD	33	2.3	25.1
CD/EM	315	34.3	40.5
D/ERC	104	14.1	49.4
Shelter Care	42	3.7	35.2
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>29.4</b>
<b>Total CD</b>	<b>407</b>	<b>23.7</b>	<b>21.2</b>
<b>Total CD/EM</b>	<b>2,026</b>	<b>186.4</b>	<b>33.8</b>
<b>Total D/ERC</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>40.9</b>
<b>Total DRAP</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>49.3</b>
<b>Total PACT</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>52.3</b>
<b>Total Shelter Care</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>19.6</b>	<b>20.7</b>

\*ALOS is measured in days; totals include out-of-state youth (see Appendix M for breakdown)

- *Region of Residence (FY 2017):*
  - Over half (53.3%) of ATD program youth came from Baltimore City and Metro Regions combined.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) FY 2015-FY 2017:*
  - CD/EM decreased from 34.5 days to 33.8 days
  - D/ERC increased from 32.5 days to 40.9 days
  - DRAP decreased from 57.6 days to 49.3 days
  - PACT decreased from 65.4 days to 52.3 days
  - Shelter Care increased from 14.9 days to 20.7 days
- *Average Daily Population (ADP) FY 2015-FY 2017:*
  - CD/EM decreased 34.4%
  - D/ERC decreased 15.6%
  - DRAP decreased 54.2%
  - PACT decreased 68.9%
  - Shelter Care decreased 28.1%
- *ATD Program Youth:*
  - The total number of ATD youth decreased 32.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and 19.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- *Most Serious Offense Trends FY 2015-2017:*
  - CD and CD/EM: the percentage of crimes of violence increased from 28.9% to 32.7%; the percentage of felonies increased from 17.3% to 20.4%.
  - D/ERC: the percentage of crimes of violence increased from 39.8% to 43.8%; the percentage of felonies decreased from 22.9% to 21.7%.
  - DRAP: the percentage of crimes of violence increased from 43.9% to 50.0%; the percentage of felonies decreased from 29.3% to 17.3%.
  - PACT: the percentage of crimes of violence increased from 37.5% to 38.6%; the percentage of felonies increased from 31.9% to 45.6%.
  - Shelter Care: the percentage of crimes of violence increased from 20.4% to 21.3%; the percentage of felonies increased from 15.5% to 22.3%.
- See Appendix M for specific program names and locations as well as Admissions, ADP, and ALOS data by Program Type and County for FY 2017.


**ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE\*, FY 2017**

Most Serious Alleged Offense	CD & CD/EM**	D/ERC	DRAP	PACT	Shelter Care
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	8.6%	10.1%	9.6%	15.8%	9.8%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	8.2%	7.8%	7.7%	5.3%	7.7%
Carjacking	1.4%	2.8%	0.0%	3.5%	1.1%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Disturbing the Peace	3.2%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%
First Degree Assault-Felony	9.9%	11.1%	21.2%	5.3%	6.4%
Handgun Violation	2.0%	0.9%	3.8%	5.3%	1.3%
Malicious Destruction	3.8%	2.3%	0.0%	1.8%	4.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.8%	1.4%	1.9%	0.0%	1.1%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	8.1%	8.3%	5.8%	29.8%	8.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.9%	2.8%	3.8%	3.5%	1.9%
Other <sup>1</sup>	3.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	9.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	1.1%
Robbery	13.6%	22.1%	23.1%	22.8%	9.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misd	15.4%	12.4%	7.7%	1.8%	18.4%
Sex Offense	1.8%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Theft	7.9%	10.1%	13.5%	1.8%	12.0%
Trespassing	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Unspecified Felony	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdem.	1.7%	2.8%	0.0%	3.5%	0.8%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>2,306</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>376</b>

\* A single admission may involve multiple offenses.

<sup>1</sup>“Other” includes BB/pellet gun, bomb threat, escape, false alarm, forgery, fraud, gambling, harassment, loitering, obstructing justice, poisoning, reckless endangerment, status offenses, tampering, verbal threat, and violation of local ordinance

\*\* Admissions counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including both CD alone and CD with EM.

**YOUTH COUNT BY REGION OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2017**


\* Includes CD, CD/EM, D/ERC, DRAP, PACT, and Shelter Care

**ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE CATEGORY\*, FY 2017**

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Alleged Offense)	CD & CD/EM**	D/ERC	DRAP	PACT	Shelter Care
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>32.7%</b>	<b>43.8%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>38.6%</b>	<b>21.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>45.6%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
- Property	10.0%	11.1%	11.5%	15.8%	11.7%
- Drugs	8.1%	8.3%	5.8%	29.8%	8.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>33.6%</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>48.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	23.9%	16.1%	11.5%	5.3%	26.3%
- Property	15.1%	12.9%	15.4%	3.5%	19.7%
- Drugs	1.6%	1.8%	3.8%	3.5%	1.6%
- Unspecified	1.8%	2.8%	0.0%	3.5%	0.8%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
Interstate/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>2,306</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>376</b>

\* A single admission may involve multiple offenses.

<sup>+</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

\*\* Admissions counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including both CD alone and CD with EM.

**YOUTH COUNT BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2015-2017**

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	75.4%	77.8%	76.5%
White	19.5%	16.6%	17.9%
Hispanic/Other	5.1%	5.6%	5.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	82.4%	84.8%	83.1%
Female	17.6%	15.2%	16.9%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
12	1.5%	2.3%	1.5%
13	5.1%	5.7%	5.9%
14	10.9%	10.4%	12.6%
15	19.4%	20.0%	20.9%
16	24.8%	24.5%	22.9%
17	27.2%	27.6%	28.5%
18-20	10.5%	8.8%	7.3%
<b>Total Youth<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2,732</b>	<b>2,300</b>	<b>1,854</b>

\* Includes CD, CD/EM, D/ERC, DRAP, PACT, and Shelter Care

<sup>1</sup> Total represents a count of youth served, since juveniles may be served by multiple ATD programs.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.





## Section IV: Committed Programs



The Go Team Therapy Dogs from Frederick visited youth at the Victor Cullen Center for a dog therapy session. Pet therapy provides important benefits to the youth including providing comfort, decreasing anxiety and feelings of isolation, and increasing socialization while encouraging communication.




## Introduction to Committed Programs

The DJS Division of Operations is responsible for selecting the most appropriate out-of-home placement for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS by the juvenile court. Program placements vary based on the treatment services provided as well as by security level. The full range of DJS placement options include: (a) Foster Care Homes (traditional and treatment foster care); (b) Group Homes (general service and therapeutic); (c) Independent Living Programs/Alternative Living Units (often used as a step-down from a more restrictive program placement); (d) Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) and non-Medicaid Residential Treatment Facilities (out-of-state); (e) Intermediate Care Centers for Addictions (ICFAs); (f) Behavioral Programs, e.g. DJS-operated Youth Centers (including the Green Ridge Mountain Quest program) (g) out-of-state programs in a staff secure setting; and (h) hardware secure treatment programs, both DJS-operated and privately contracted out-of-state.

DJS has established three levels of residential program placements based largely on the level of program restrictiveness (see Figure below). Level I includes all programs where youth reside in a community setting and attend community schools. Level II includes programs where educational programming is provided on-grounds and youth movement and freedom is restricted primarily by staff monitoring and supervising. Level III programs provide the highest level of security by augmenting staff supervision with physical attributes of the facility, i.e., locks, bars and fences.

### PLACEMENT PROCESS

The DJS placement process is designed to select the most appropriate program and treatment services for committed youth. The process



### CAPACITY, ADP, AND SECURITY TYPE FOR DJS-OPERATED COMMITTED FACILITIES<sup>1</sup>, FY 2017

Facility	Rated Capacity	ADP	Security Type
Backbone Mountain YC	48	26.9	Staff
Green Ridge Mountain Quest	10	6.3	Staff
Green Ridge YC	30	20.5	Staff
J. DeWeese Carter Center	14	9.1	Hardware
Meadow Mountain YC	40	25.8	Staff
Savage Mountain YC	24	18.9	Staff
Victor Cullen Center	48	28.1	Hardware
<i>Total</i>	<i>214</i>	<i>135.6*</i>	<i>N/A</i>

<sup>1</sup> Data does not include contracted committed programs.

\*Averages may not add to totals due to rounding.

The utilization rate for state-operated facilities was 63.4% in FY 2017.

begins with a comprehensive assessment of each youth. A “staffing” meeting is then held to bring together key personnel responsible for resource and treatment service planning. Parents and/or guardians are also invited to participate; staffing meetings are a key avenue for engagement with families of committed youth. At the staffing meeting, all pertinent information collected as part of the assessment is reviewed, including the current offense(s), delinquency history, social history, MCASP recommended supervision level, MCASP assessment of treatment needs, educational records, clinical assessments, and the involvement of any other state agency. The staffing meeting culminates with recommendations for program participation and/or treatment services tailored to the circumstances of each youth. DJS then refers the youth’s case to the recommended program(s) for consideration. For youth in the community at the time of adjudication the staffing is conducted in the local DJS office. For detained youth, a MAST team staffing takes place in the detention center. A re-entry staffing meeting also is scheduled with similar participants to plan for the release and aftercare of committed youth.

**Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST):** MAST is a specialized diagnostic team responsible for assessing youth who are detained pending court disposition and are at risk of placement. The MAST team includes a psychologist, social worker, substance abuse counselor, community case manager, detention facility case manager supervisor, resource specialist, and a Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) representative. Youth who require more specialized assessments (e.g., a neurological, psycho-sexual, or medical assessment) are referred for evaluation and the results are presented during MAST. Following this in-depth review, the team submits a report for the

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



**One youth from each of the DJS facilities came to Victor Cullen Center to compete in a Math Bowl. The competition included two components: oral and written. All youth were competing for the title of being top math-lete.**

juvenile court to consider at disposition, including security and treatment recommendations.

DJS contracts with an array of programs, both private in-state as well as out-of-state vendors, to provide services to committed youth. Programs may either accept or reject an applicant based on program eligibility criteria and capacity. Program services are authorized by DJS prior to the youth's placement in the program. A Certificate of Placement (COP) database is used to manage the referral and placement of youth with private providers. The database facilitates the placement process, for example, automatically pulling staffing information from the DJS information system (ASSIST), creating program referral letters, and tracking all acceptances and rejections from potential programs.

**Central Review Committee (CRC):** The initial committed program placement may not be successful for a number of reasons. Some youth may run away from their placement or are ejected due to misbehavior. Additionally, the behavioral, emotional, and/or medical needs of the youth may change. To handle these cases, DJS established the CRC that is chaired by the Director of Behavioral Health. The CRC conducts weekly case reviews of youth who are at-risk of removal from a committed residential placement; directs changes in the provision of services; and makes placement transfer recommendations. The Continuum of Care legislation, which took effect in July 2012, facilitated the work of the CRC by authorizing DJS to transfer youth directly from one facility or program to another (of equal or higher security level) without first requesting the court to modify the commitment order (SB 245). It is important to note that the Continuum of Care legislation expired as of June 30, 2016; however, the CRC continues to meet regularly to manage the department's ejection cases.

## SERVICES PROVIDED IN DJS COMMITTED PROGRAMS

Youth served in a committed program are provided a multitude of services including behavioral health, somatic health, and educational services.

### BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

DJS Behavioral Health Services (BHS) provides integrated behavioral health treatment in DJS, committed facilities. Services include: substance abuse services, suicide assessment and prevention, crisis intervention and stabilization, medication evaluation and monitoring, individual and group therapy, and family therapy when appropriate. As members of the facility team, BHS staff and contractors provide support, technical assistance, and educational services to the other members of the team on topics related to mental health concerns and medication.


**Trauma Informed Care (TIC):** TIC has been implemented in DJS committed facilities since March 2017. The National Association for the States of Mental Health Program Directors (NASMHPD) trained all DJS staff in committed facilities in a TIC model that was originally developed for the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), a nationally recognized leader in Trauma Informed Care. TIC teaches staff to recognize the signs and symptoms of trauma, as well as common reactions to trauma. It also teaches staff about the impact of trauma on the developing brain, and how it impacts the lives, behaviors, and reactions of youth. Through a combination of an initial training and monthly consultations with the NASMHPD trauma trainers, staff have developed and implemented specific strategies to help youth manage their reactions to trauma triggers, and facilities have developed more trauma informed environments.

**Substance Abuse Services:** Each facility, with the exception of J. DeWeese Carter Center and Victor Cullen, has a Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment component certified by the Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH), Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ) under the authority of the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration (ADAA). In addition, all DJS committed facilities offer Seven Challenges substance abuse treatment, an evidence-based program implemented in 2009. Seven Challenges adopts a health decision-making model, encouraging youth to make a well-informed health decision by weighing the costs (e.g., physical, psychological, and social harm) and benefits of drug use.

Substance abuse treatment services provided by each facility are shown below:

- Backbone Mountain Youth Center: Early intervention, outpatient, and intensive outpatient levels of treatment (Certified)
- Green Ridge Youth Center: Early intervention, outpatient, and intensive outpatient levels of treatment (Certified)

## COMMITTED POPULATION ADP\*, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED\*\*, FY 2012-2020



\*ADP includes all state-operated programs as well as in- and out-of-state private committed programs.

\*\* Projected values were generated by an ARIMA model.

### ADP is projected to decrease 14.1% between FY 2017 and FY 2020.

- J. DeWeese Carter Center: Early intervention and outpatient levels of treatment (Not Certified)
- Meadow Mountain Youth Center: Intensive outpatient level of treatment (Certified)
- Savage Mountain Youth Center: Early intervention and outpatient levels of treatment (Certified)
- Victor Cullen Center: Early intervention, outpatient, and intensive outpatient levels of treatment (Not Certified)

**CHALLENGE Program:** Implemented in all committed, DJS-operated facilities (see page 133) since 2012, CHALLENGE is a behavioral management program intended to facilitate order and security within the facility and promote an environment characterized by respect and fairness. The program is grounded in the principles of positive reinforcement and modeling and is designed to encourage youth to accept responsibility for their behavior and learn problem-solving and leadership skills. Facility staff are trained to teach and model problem-solving and social skills.

## SOMATIC HEALTH

The Somatic Health Services Unit provides comprehensive, quality health care and nutritional services to youth at DJS residential facilities. Its mission is to protect, promote, and advance the health of all youth in the care of the Department.

Medical, dental, optometry, and dietary services are provided in an inter-disciplinary fashion that is developmentally appropriate for youth. These services are delivered in accordance with standards set forth by the National Commission on Correctional Health Care,

the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Centers for Disease Control, and the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academy of Science.

Youth admitted to DJS residential facilities require on-going, routine preventative health care similar to other children their age. In some cases, youth may have unmet or chronic health care needs upon admission, or may develop an acute health condition that needs to be addressed. DJS ensures that each program has licensed nurses, physicians, dieticians, dental providers, laboratory and pharmacy services to meet the health care needs of the youth. For specialty, surgical, emergency, or in-patient



Youth at J. DeWeese Carter Center enjoyed a day of learning how to cook and bake with instructors from Artivate (formerly known as Class Acts Arts). They had the opportunity to make a meal from scratch and each girl had the chance to add her own special ingredient to the recipe. When it was ready, the girls were able to enjoy it along with the staff.

care that may be required, youth are transported to community providers as needed.

Each youth must have a current nursing assessment, history and physical examination, vision screening, and dental screening upon or soon after admission. Youth are also screened for tuberculosis, anemia, and sexually transmitted infections. Girls are provided comprehensive gynecological care either on-site or in the community in order to meet individual needs.

Vaccine records are requested on all youth, and DJS makes every effort to fully immunize youth who are not up to date on recommended and required vaccinations. DJS participates in the Federal Vaccine for Children's Program.

Youth are seen at regularly scheduled clinic times and as needed for "sick call" requests. In addition, they are seen at least monthly by nursing staff to check on their overall health status and weight.

### EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

Educational services are provided to youth by the Maryland State Department of Education, Juvenile Services Education System (MSDE JSES). JSES provides youth residing in all of the department's residential facilities with the ability to earn a high school diploma via the earning of credits or passage of the GED. In addition to academics, the educational programs provide opportunities for each youth to develop career and technology skills that will further assist them when they transition back to the community.

The MSDE JSES curriculum is based on the Maryland State College and Career Readiness Standards (CCRS). The curriculum includes grade level instruction in English, Social Studies, Mathematics, and Science. Middle school coursework and remediation for high school students is also offered in reading, written language, and math as appropriate.

Youth who have a current Individualized Education Program (IEP) are provided with the required services listed in their IEP. Additionally, eligible youth participate in mandated Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) state assessments.

### DJS YOUTH CENTERS - CAREER & TECHNOLOGY AND THE GARRETT COLLEGE PROGRAMS

The DJS Youth Centers offer on-site Career and Technology programming to all participants. The two primary goals of this programming are high school completion and job skill development. Youth may be trained in the traditional building

trades, such as carpentry, as well as in aquaculture, an innovative green employment opportunity in Maryland. Certificates are awarded for successful completion of the program, signifying employment competency. Aquaculture is offered at Meadow Mountain Youth Center, and a 60-hour carpentry program is offered at the Green Ridge and Backbone Mountain Youth Centers. In addition, all youth have opportunities to participate in community projects that employ their acquired skills.

The Backbone Mountain Youth Center also offers an innovative program that provides youth with the unique opportunity to be simultaneously enrolled in high school classes and introductory college courses through Garrett College of Maryland. Youth are screened through a referral, record review, and interview process for acceptance into the program. The Garrett College initiative has been operational since September 2006.

### CLASSIFICATION

All DJS-operated facilities utilize the Housing Classification Assessment, an objective internal classification system to assess a youth's potential vulnerability and supervision needs (see Appendix H). The results of the classification assessment are used to guide appropriate housing decisions and room assignments. The classification assessment is implemented for all youth upon admission to the facility, and allows for reclassification in response to circumstances or special needs that may require modification of housing or room assignment.



Youth taking part in the 4th annual Mountain Madness event. Youth are given a chance for healthy competition in a fun environment.

## RE-ENTRY PROCESS

DJS recognizes the importance of effectively transitioning youth from placement back to the community. Youth who are released from commitment face a number of challenges in returning to daily life such as re-enrolling in school or accessing needed somatic or behavioral health services. DJS has implemented focused efforts to assist with this transition.

The re-entry process is managed by a team of Regional Re-entry specialists under the direction of the Executive Director of Community Supervision who oversee each youth's return to the community. A re-entry staffing, similar to MAST, is held 45 days prior to release during which the youth's housing plan, educational/ occupational needs, ongoing behavioral/somatic health service requirements, and family relationships are reviewed. Families of committed youth are invited to and encouraged to participate in the re-entry planning process, which is a key area for family engagement.

**All DJS-operated committed facilities are 100% compliant with the PREA (Prison Rape Elimination Act).**


### A Note to Readers:

*It is strongly recommended that readers review the following distinction as it will assist in understanding data presented in this section:*

**Placement versus Admission:** A placement is based on a decision made by the Department or a judge to place a youth into a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

After the youth has been in the community for 30 days, a DJS re-entry specialist follows up to assure that the youth has accessed all needed services, has successfully re-enrolled in their local public school when appropriate, and has remained in stable and suitable housing.

## DJS State-Operated Committed Programs by Region




## Statewide Total

The juvenile court may commit a youth to the care and custody of DJS thereby transferring legal custody to the Department. A range of out-of-home program options (or placements) have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operated six committed facilities in Maryland during FY 2017 (William Donald Schaefer House (WDSH) closed in May 2016). DJS also contracts with other facilities both in-state and out-of-state. *FY 2015 and FY 2016 presented on these statewide pages includes WDSH.*

*Note: Community-Based Family Therapy Programs are presented within this section (pages 174-175) because one of their primary goals is to divert youth from out of home committed placement. These data are not included on the statewide tables which only include youth in committed out of home placements.*

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	70.2%	72.8%	69.4%
White	23.4%	20.7%	22.1%
Hispanic/Other	6.4%	6.5%	8.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	82.8%	84.0%	83.9%
Female	17.2%	16.0%	16.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%
12	1.3%	0.9%	0.5%
13	3.4%	4.6%	3.0%
14	6.9%	9.3%	8.2%
15	17.1%	16.7%	20.5%
16	27.9%	27.1%	26.7%
17	29.2%	29.5%	27.1%
18-20	13.9%	11.8%	13.9%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>1,161</b>	<b>1,062</b>	<b>988</b>

#### • Trends for Committed Placements:

- Committed placements decreased 14.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 7.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • First-Time Placements (FY 2017):

- Of the 988 committed placements 35.9% were first time placements.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2017):

- 98.3% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 10 were from Washington D.C., 3 were from Virginia, 2 were from Pennsylvania, 1 was from New York and 1 was from West Virginia.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2017):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (22.3%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 21.3% to 22.3%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 12.7% to 14.3%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 64.8% to 61.9%
- See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population (FY 2016-2017):

- ADP for all committed placements decreased 16.2% from 573.9 to 480.9.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2016-2017):

- The average LOS for all committed placements decreased 7.3% from 209.9 to 194.5.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	20.6%	19.9%	21.6%
Reg-II - Central	13.5%	14.4%	15.1%
Reg-III - Western	10.0%	9.8%	10.2%
Reg-IV - Eastern	8.8%	9.9%	9.2%
Reg-V - Southern	18.8%	20.3%	17.5%
Reg-VI - Metro	26.9%	24.0%	24.7%
Out-of-State	1.5%	1.7%	1.7%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>1,161</b>	<b>1,062</b>	<b>988</b>



## COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.8%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.0%
Carjacking	2.2%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.6%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%
Disturbing the Peace	3.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.7%
Handgun Violation	1.1%
Malicious Destruction	5.5%
Manslaughter	0.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%
Murder	0.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	3.8%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.2%
Resisting Arrest	0.7%
Robbery	10.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	22.3%
Sex Offense	2.5%
Theft Felony	1.6%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.0%
Trespassing	1.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.1%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>22.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.9%
- Property	5.5%
- Drugs	0.8%
- Unspecified	6.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>61.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.7%
- Property	23.0%
- Drugs	2.8%
- Unspecified	4.5%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>988</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS<sup>+</sup> FOR FY 2015-2017, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2017

	Placements			FY2017	
	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	73	61	39	26.9	345.9
Group Home	310	237	219	107.3	192.3
Indep. Living	15	23	15	12.5	236.1
ICFA	117	85	56	6.8	52.5
RTC	244	227	207	103.7	228.7
<b>State Operated</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>456</b>	<b>135.6</b>	<b>114.3</b>
<i>Staff Secure</i>	324	394	360	98.5	106.4
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	99	100	96	37.1	149.2
Silver Oak	94	54	71	47.6	282.2
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>40.5</b>	<b>294.5</b>
<i>RTC</i>	10	6	8	3.3	276.6
<i>Staff Secure</i>	50	25	37	24.2	264.1
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	31	22	16	13.0	361.1
<b>Total*</b>	<b>1,161</b>	<b>1,062</b>	<b>988</b>	<b>480.9</b>	<b>194.5</b>

<sup>+</sup> Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category, for example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

\* Statewide total placement counts excludes transfers within and between program/program types, therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017




\* Juveniles transferred to another program

## Statewide Females Only

Female youth represent approximately 16% of the population of youth committed to the care and custody of the Department. With the exception of staff secure behavioral facilities such as the DJS-operated Youth Centers, the same continuum of placement options is available to both girls and boys. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), and the J. DeWeese Carter Center, a hardware secure, DJS-operated facility for girls. Out-of-state contracted programs are also available; however, girls are most likely to be placed in a group home or RTC in-state.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	65.5%	69.4%	57.9%
White	28.5%	27.1%	35.2%
Hispanic/Other	6.0%	3.5%	6.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	2.0%	1.2%	0.6%
13	6.0%	8.2%	1.3%
14	8.0%	5.9%	8.8%
15	15.5%	17.1%	13.8%
16	26.0%	28.2%	31.4%
17	29.0%	30.6%	29.6%
18-20	13.5%	8.8%	14.5%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>159</b>

#### • Trends for Committed Placements:

- Committed placements decreased 20.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 6.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • First-Time Placements (FY 2017):

- Of the 159 committed placements 30.8% were first time placements.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2017):

- 98.1% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 2 were from Washington D.C., and 1 was from Virginia.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2017):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (45.3%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 10.2% to 7.5%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 3.0% to 11.3%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 86.2% to 79.9%
- See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population (FY 2016-2017):

- ADP for all committed placements decreased 18.3% from 93.1 to 76.1.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2016-2017):

- The average LOS for all committed placements decreased 4.8% from 216.1 to 205.8.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	19.0%	15.9%	16.4%
Reg-II - Central	12.5%	14.1%	8.2%
Reg-III - Western	12.5%	12.9%	18.2%
Reg-IV - Eastern	10.5%	8.8%	9.4%
Reg-V - Southern	25.5%	29.4%	27.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	19.5%	18.2%	18.9%
Out-of-State	0.5%	0.6%	1.9%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>159</b>

**COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY  
OFFENSE, FY 2017**

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	4.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	3.8%
Carjacking	0.6%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.9%
Deadly Weapon	0.6%
Disturbing the Peace	3.8%
First Degree Assault-Felony	3.1%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	1.3%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.3%
Resisting Arrest	1.9%
Robbery	1.9%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	45.3%
Sex Offense	1.3%
Theft Felony	1.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.1%
Trespassing	1.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.6%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>7.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.9%
- Property	5.7%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>79.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	56.6%
- Property	18.2%
- Drugs	1.3%
- Unspecified	3.8%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.6%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.6%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>159</i>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.


\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

**FEMALE COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS<sup>+</sup> FOR FY 2015-2017, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2017**

	Placements			FY2017	
	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	22	19	18	9.4	349.0
Group Home	79	61	44	17.0	158.9
Indep. Living	6	8	8	6.7	252.8
ICFA	32	25	28	3.1	44.3
RTC	73	61	62	26.8	187.4
<b>State Operated</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>170.2</b>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	20	17	24	9.1	170.2
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>271.7</b>
<i>RTC</i>	1	2	6	2.1	379.7
<i>Staff Secure</i>	4	1	4	1.2	257.7
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	3	3	0	0.8	238.8
<b>Total*</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>76.1</b>	<b>205.8</b>

<sup>+</sup> Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category, for example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

\* Total placement count excludes transfers within and between program and/or program types; therefore may not add up to the total program type provided in this table.


**RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017**


\* Juveniles transferred to another program

## Statewide Under Age 13 Only

Youth who are under 13 and committed to the care of DJS may be placed in a range of residential facilities. State-owned and operated committed facilities are designed for youth ages 13 years and older. Because of the needs of youth under age 13, they are most frequently placed in residential treatment centers, group homes, or therapeutic group homes. Wherever placed, youth under age 13 receive all the same services that other committed youth receive.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	68.4%	72.7%	60.0%
White	31.6%	9.1%	20.0%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	18.2%	20.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	78.9%	81.8%	80.0%
Female	21.1%	18.2%	20.0%
<b>Age*</b>			
8 and under	0	0	0
9	0	0	0
10	1	0	0
11	3	1	0
12	15	10	5
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>

\*Due to the small number of youth in each age category, actual numbers are presented instead of percentages.

#### • Trends for Committed Placements:

- Committed placements decreased 73.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 54.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017

#### • First-Time Placements (FY 2017):

- Of the 5 committed placements, 60.0% were first-time placements.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2017):

- 100% of placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2017):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (40.0%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 36.4% to 20.0%
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 9.1% to 0.0%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 54.5% to 80.0%
- See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population (FY 2016-2017):

- ADP for all committed placements decreased 58.8% from 10.3 to 4.2.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2016-2017):

- The average LOS for all committed placements decreased 26.9% from 422.5 to 308.8.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	36.8%	27.3%	40.0%
Reg-II - Central	0.0%	9.1%	20.0%
Reg-III - Western	15.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	15.8%	27.3%	0.0%
Reg-V - Southern	31.6%	36.4%	20.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>

## COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	20.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	20.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	20.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	40.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>80.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	60.0%
- Property	20.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	5

<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

## UNDER 13 COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS<sup>+</sup> FOR FY 2015-2017, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2017\*


	Placements			FY2017	
	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	3	1	0	0.0	0.0
Group Home	3	4	0	1.4	313.9
Indep. Living	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
ICFA	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
RTC	14	9	3	1.9	349.0
<b>State Operated</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>90.2</b>
<i>Staff Secure</i>	0	0	1	0.2	90.2
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Silver Oak	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>236.1</b>
<i>RTC</i>	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
<i>Staff Secure</i>	0	0	1	0.6	236.1
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Total**</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>308.8</b>

<sup>+</sup> Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category, for example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

\* ADP and ALOS reflect youth who were released in FY 2017 and were 13 years of age at the time of admission.

\*\* Total placement count excludes transfers within and between program and/or program types; therefore may not add up to the total program type provided in this table.

## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017




\* Juveniles transferred to another program

## Statewide Youth of Color Only

The data shown in this section provides additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth). Although each summary page in the Data Resource Guide Committed Section provides an overall race/ethnicity breakdown for committed placements in the Demographics table, the tables in this section provide more detailed information on placements and ADP by type and Region, offense type and severity, and length of stay for youth of color as a whole.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	91.7%	91.8%	89.1%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	8.3%	8.2%	10.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	83.9%	85.3%	86.6%
Female	16.1%	14.7%	13.4%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%
12	1.0%	1.1%	0.5%
13	2.8%	4.6%	3.1%
14	6.5%	8.9%	7.0%
15	18.7%	17.2%	21.9%
16	28.9%	26.8%	26.5%
17	27.8%	29.5%	27.4%
18-20	13.8%	11.8%	13.5%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>889</b>	<b>842</b>	<b>770</b>

#### • Trends for Committed Placements:

- Committed placements decreased 13.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 8.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2017

#### • First-Time Placements (FY 2017):

- Of the 770 committed placements 35.8% were first time placements.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2017):

- 98.1% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 10 were from Washington D.C., 2 were from Pennsylvania, 2 were from Virginia, and 1 was from New York.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2017):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (22.1%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 24.7% to 26.0%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 13.7% to 14.0%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 60.5% to 59.0%
- See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population (FY 2016-2017):

- ADP for all committed placements decreased 14.7% from 445.4 to 379.9.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2016-2017):

- The average LOS for all committed placements decreased 8.3% from 209.0 to 191.7.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	25.1%	23.4%	26.2%
Reg-II - Central	10.1%	12.7%	12.5%
Reg-III - Western	6.6%	7.2%	7.5%
Reg-IV - Eastern	7.9%	9.6%	9.0%
Reg-V - Southern	14.8%	16.3%	12.5%
Reg-VI - Metro	33.7%	28.9%	30.4%
Out-of-State	1.7%	1.9%	1.9%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>889</b>	<b>842</b>	<b>770</b>

## COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.2%
Carjacking	2.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	7.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%
Disturbing the Peace	2.3%
First Degree Assault-Felony	3.0%
Handgun Violation	1.4%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%
Manslaughter	0.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.8%
Murder	1.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	3.1%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%
Robbery	12.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	22.1%
Sex Offense	2.5%
Theft Felony	1.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.5%
Trespassing	0.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.5%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>26.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>14.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.7%
- Property	4.9%
- Drugs	0.6%
- Unspecified	6.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>59.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	30.8%
- Property	21.0%
- Drugs	2.3%
- Unspecified	4.8%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>770</i>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## YOUTH OF COLOR COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS<sup>+</sup> FOR FY 2015-2017, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2017

	Placements			FY2017	
	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	56	50	29	21.1	375.8
Group Home	229	165	162	75.5	188.2
Indep. Living	12	17	13	10.0	256.3
ICFA	51	43	34	4.0	48.8
RTC	169	169	142	78.8	212.0
<b>State Operated</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>415</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>111.6</b>	<b>112.8</b>
<i>Staff Secure</i>	<i>258</i>	<i>329</i>	<i>300</i>	<i>79.8</i>	<i>103.9</i>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>31.8</i>	<i>152.4</i>
Silver Oak	85	47	64	43.6	286.1
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>35.2</b>	<b>302.9</b>
<i>RTC</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>1.3</i>	<i>306.0</i>
<i>Staff Secure</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>22.1</i>	<i>268.0</i>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>11.9</i>	<i>372.1</i>
<b>Total*</b>	<b>889</b>	<b>842</b>	<b>770</b>	<b>379.9</b>	<b>191.7</b>

<sup>+</sup> Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category, for example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

\* Total placement counts excludes transfers within and between program/program types, therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

## Foster Care

Traditional Foster Care is continuous 24-hour care with supportive services provided to a youth in a DJS-approved family home. The foster family serves low-risk youth who cannot be managed in their own homes. Youth served may be experiencing behavioral problems and need a respite from family/neighborhood issues. Foster Care also serves youth who need long-term placement, primarily because it may not be appropriate for youth to return to their home. Treatment Foster Care (TFC) is continuous 24-hour care with intensive support services operated by a licensed child placement agency or local Department of Social Services in a family setting for children with serious emotional, behavioral, medical, and/or psychological conditions. The behaviors of the youth served are not so severe that removal from the community is necessary.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2017

Treatment Foster Care	County	Adm.	ADP
Arrow Child & Fam. Ministries <sup>+</sup>	Balt. Co.	0	2.9
Board of Child Care <sup>+</sup>	Balt. Co.	0	1.0
Children's Choice	Balt. City	5	1.0
Children's Home	Balt. Co.	2	1.0
Greenleaf	Mont.	1	0.5
Hearts & Homes - Family Ties <sup>+</sup>	Mont.	0	1.4
Ment. MD-Balt Teens in Trans	Balt. Co.	13	6.3
Ment. MD - Lanham <sup>+</sup>	Balt. Co.	0	1.9
Ment. MD - Salisbury Teens	Wicomico	10	5.4
Pressley Ridge	Allegany	3	1.9
PSI Services III	Balt. Co.	1	0.0
San Mar	Washington	2	0.6
Woodbourne Center	Balt. City	4	2.2
Traditional Foster Care			
Individual Families <sup>+</sup>	N/A	0	0.9
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<b>41</b>	<b>26.9</b>

<sup>+</sup>Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2017, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
  - Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, overall placements to foster care decreased 46.6%.
- *Program Admissions:*
  - In FY 2017, Mentor Maryland - Baltimore Teens in Transition had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2017):*
  - 94.8% of placements were from regions within Maryland. There were 2 placements from Washington D.C.
- *Offense Type (FY 2017):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (30.8%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):*
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 11.9% to 7.7%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 13.6% to 17.9%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 71.2% to 74.4%
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 64.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 40.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 23.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 18.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

*“Have a voice; not about what people might have done in the past but about what they might become in the future. Families can be made whole and children can begin to make the world a better place, one family, one child, one choice at a time.”*

*- President of Children's Choice*

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	19.2%	32.8%	33.3%
Reg-II - Central	13.7%	8.2%	5.1%
Reg-III - Western	13.7%	16.4%	17.9%
Reg-IV - Eastern	24.7%	23.0%	7.7%
Reg-V - Southern	20.5%	9.8%	15.4%
Reg-VI - Metro	5.5%	9.8%	15.4%
Out-of-State	2.7%	0.0%	5.1%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>39</b>



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	5.1%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	2.6%
Carjacking	2.6%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.7%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.6%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.6%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	5.1%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.6%
Resisting Arrest	2.6%
Robbery	2.6%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	30.8%
Sex Offense	7.7%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.4%
Trespassing	2.6%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>17.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	7.7%
- Property	5.1%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	5.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>74.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	46.2%
- Property	20.5%
- Drugs	5.1%
- Unspecified	2.6%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>39</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	72.6%	73.8%	64.1%
White	23.3%	18.0%	25.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.1%	8.2%	10.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	69.9%	68.9%	53.8%
Female	30.1%	31.1%	46.2%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
12	2.7%	1.6%	0.0%
13	5.5%	6.6%	2.6%
14	5.5%	9.8%	7.7%
15	11.0%	13.1%	5.1%
16	17.8%	11.5%	20.5%
17	28.8%	21.3%	38.5%
18-20	27.4%	36.1%	25.6%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>73</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>39</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Group Homes

General group homes are non-profit residential programs for youth in out-of-home placement licensed by DHS, DJS, or the Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ), which is part of MDH. They provide out-of-home care for four or more youth who are moderate- to high-risk, and need more structure and supervision than a relative, foster parent, or treatment foster care program could offer. General group homes also provide a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services. Therapeutic group homes (TGH) are residential programs for youth in out-of-home care that are licensed by OHCQ and must be non-profit organizations. TGHs provide access to a range of diagnostic and therapeutic mental health services to youth who are moderate to high-risk and have an emotional or developmental disability. Those TGHs that provide educational programs on their grounds are considered to be staff secure programs.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2017

Group Homes	County	Adm.	ADP
ARC of Washington Co.	Washington	3	5.6
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.	3	3.3
Catoctin Summit Adol. Prog	Frederick	10	5.6
Cedar Ridge	Washington	20	8.2
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	Balt. Co.	11	2.5
Hearts and Homes	Mont/Pr. G	22	7.4
MAGIC	Balt. Co.	4	0.5
Morning Star Youth Academy	Dorchester	36	18.6
Oak Hill House	Washington	22	7.7
One Love	Balt. City	4	4.4
Our House	Mont.	19	5.8
Salem Trust	Garrett	13	6.8
Shining Tree	Washington	5	6.0
St. Ann's Infant & Maternity Pr.	Pr. George's	2	1.6
<b>Therapeutic Group Homes</b>			
Avis Birely*	Mont.	21	6.2
Board of Child Care	Charl/Calv	11	5.9
Cedar Ridge	Washington	18	9.8
Mary's Mount Manor*	An. Arund	5	1.6
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<b>229</b>	<b>107.3</b>

\* Operated by Hearts and Homes (Avis Birely was previously named Redl House)



One Love Group Home in Baltimore City

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
  - Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, overall placements to group homes decreased 29.4%.
- *Program Admissions:*
  - In FY 2017, Morning Star Youth Academy had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2017):*
  - 97.3% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 2 were from Washington D.C., 2 were from West Virginia, 1 was from Georgia, and 1 was from Virginia.
- *Offense Type (FY 2017):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (22.4%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):*
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 14.3% to 19.2%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 13.1% to 15.1%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 70.9% to 63.9%
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 40.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 21.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 1.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 0.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	23.9%	19.0%	23.3%
Reg-II - Central	12.3%	16.9%	22.8%
Reg-III - Western	10.0%	15.6%	8.7%
Reg-IV - Eastern	10.3%	10.1%	4.6%
Reg-V - Southern	14.8%	15.2%	17.4%
Reg-VI - Metro	27.4%	20.3%	20.5%
Out-of-State	1.3%	3.0%	2.7%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>219</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.2%
Carjacking	0.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.6%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%
Disturbing the Peace	4.6%
First Degree Assault-Felony	1.8%
Handgun Violation	1.4%
Malicious Destruction	5.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	4.1%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%
Robbery	7.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	22.4%
Sex Offense	6.4%
Theft Felony	1.4%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.2%
Trespassing	1.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.2%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>19.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>15.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	4.1%
- Property	3.7%
- Drugs	2.3%
- Unspecified	5.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>63.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	33.8%
- Property	22.8%
- Drugs	3.7%
- Unspecified	3.7%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.4%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.5%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>219</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	67.4%	67.1%	65.3%
White	26.1%	30.4%	26.0%
Hispanic/Other	6.5%	2.5%	8.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	74.5%	74.3%	79.9%
Female	25.5%	25.7%	20.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.0%	1.7%	0.0%
13	5.2%	4.6%	5.5%
14	6.8%	9.3%	6.8%
15	18.4%	19.8%	18.3%
16	20.6%	21.1%	27.9%
17	30.3%	28.7%	27.4%
18-20	17.7%	14.8%	14.2%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>310</i>	<i>237</i>	<i>219</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Independent Living Programs (Including Alternative Living Units)

Independent Living Programs are operated by DHS-licensed child placement agencies for youth 15 to 21 years of age who need to become self-sufficient and learn responsible living skills as they are unlikely to return home. Youth reside in either group homes or supervised apartment units, and must be enrolled in high school, college, vocational training, or be gainfully employed. During the program, youth learn about interpersonal skills, money management, job readiness, conflict management, positive leisure opportunities, and communication skills. Alternative Living Units are residences owned, leased, or operated by a licensee that: (a) provides residential services for children who, because of a developmental disability, require specialized living arrangements; (b) admits not more than three children; and (c) provides 24 hour care.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2017

Independent Living	County	Adm.	ADP
Damamli	Mont.	1	1.8
Future Bound	Mont.	1	0.7
Jumoke	Balt. City	5	3.9
Ment. MD - Baltimore	Balt. Co.	2	1.3
Ment. MD - Salisbury <sup>+</sup>	Wicomico	0	3.0
New Pathways - Independ. Plus	Balt. Co.	5	1.3
Alternative Living Units			
Arrow Child & Family Ministri.	Balt. Co.	2	0.6
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<b>16</b>	<b>12.5</b>

<sup>+</sup>Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2017, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

*“At New Pathways, they treated me like I was their own. They gave me the foundation I never had. They taught me life skills, coping skills, money management, and financial planning. They taught me how to set aside my anger. To ask myself where I want to go in life, and to keep asking all the right questions ‘til I got on the right path. They gave me a second chance at life. Honestly, I don’t think I’d be alive today if it weren’t for New Pathways.”*

*- Youth placed at New Pathways*

*“New Pathways taught me to hang in there. Even though the reward may be faraway, it’s worth it. You can’t take the next step if you don’t show up or put in the time.”*

*- Youth placed at New Pathways*

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
  - Overall placements to independent living programs/alternative programs fluctuated over the 3-year period, but were the same in FY 2015 and FY 2017.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2017, Jumoke and New Pathways-Independence Plus had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2017):**
  - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2017):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (40.0%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):**
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 15.0% to 13.3%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 30.0% to 6.7%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 55.0% to 80.0%
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 5.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and remained the same between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 2.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 22.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	46.7%	17.4%	46.7%
Reg-II - Central	13.3%	13.0%	13.3%
Reg-III - Western	6.7%	4.3%	0.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	13.3%	17.4%	13.3%
Reg-V - Southern	13.3%	30.4%	20.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	6.7%	17.4%	6.7%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>15</b>

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	6.7%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.7%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	13.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	40.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.3%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	13.3%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>13.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	6.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>80.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	46.7%
- Property	20.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	13.3%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>15</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	66.7%	56.5%	86.7%
White	20.0%	26.1%	13.3%
Hispanic/Other	13.3%	17.4%	0.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	60.0%	65.2%	46.7%
Female	40.0%	34.8%	53.3%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
16	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
17	13.3%	13.0%	13.3%
18-20	86.7%	82.6%	86.7%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>15</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFAs)

An ICFA is the most intensive level for residential substance abuse services, providing drug and alcohol abuse assessment, treatment, and/or education for moderate- to high-risk youth. Youth served may have problems in other aspects of their lives, i.e., mental health, school, family, peer group, and/or community. These programs are medically monitored, intensive, inpatient treatment programs that offer a planned regimen of 24-hour professionally directed evaluation, care, and treatment in an inpatient setting. ICFAs are able to serve youth assessed as needing substance abuse treatment, including dually-diagnosed youth who also require mental health treatment services. These programs are intended to stabilize youth, initiate drug treatment and/or counseling services, and develop recommendations for services upon discharge.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2017

ICFA	County	Adm.	ADP
Lois E. Jackson Unit	Allegany	39	5.6
MTC - Mountain Manor	Balt. City	17	1.2
<i>Total Admissions</i>	<i>All</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>6.8</i>



Mountain Manor Drug Treatment Center

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
  - Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, overall placements to ICFAs decreased 52.1%.
- *Program Admissions:*
  - In FY 2017, Lois E. Jackson Unit had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2017):*
  - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2017):*
  - The most common offense types for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Theft Misdemeanor (25.0%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):*
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 4.8% to 5.4%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 7.1% to 5.4%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 85.7% to 83.9%
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 62.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 39.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS decreased 12.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 4.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	10.3%	15.3%	16.1%
Reg-II - Central	24.8%	25.9%	10.7%
Reg-III - Western	17.9%	14.1%	10.7%
Reg-IV - Eastern	8.5%	5.9%	8.9%
Reg-V - Southern	26.5%	32.9%	46.4%
Reg-VI - Metro	12.0%	5.9%	7.1%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>117</i>	<i>85</i>	<i>56</i>

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.4%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	7.1%
Deadly Weapon	5.4%
Disturbing the Peace	1.8%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	7.1%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	10.7%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	17.9%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	25.0%
Trespassing	1.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.8%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>5.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	3.6%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>83.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	30.4%
- Property	39.3%
- Drugs	7.1%
- Unspecified	7.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>5.4%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>56</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	38.5%	44.7%	55.4%
White	56.4%	49.4%	39.3%
Hispanic/Other	5.1%	5.9%	5.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	72.6%	70.6%	50.0%
Female	27.4%	29.4%	50.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.9%	1.2%	1.8%
14	6.0%	1.2%	0.0%
15	7.7%	16.5%	8.9%
16	16.2%	21.2%	32.1%
17	43.6%	36.5%	28.6%
18-20	25.6%	23.5%	28.6%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>117</i>	<i>85</i>	<i>56</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Residential Treatment Centers (Includes Psychiatric Hospitals & Diagnostic Units/CEUs)

Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) provide more intensive psychiatric and psychological treatment services. These centers are required to have psychiatrists, psychologists, and psychiatric nurses on staff to lead treatment. Maryland RTCs are approved for operation through the State Certificate of Need (CON) process and are licensed through the Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ). Some RTCs concentrate on specific youth populations. For example, some staff secure and hardware secure RTCs focus on youth with behavioral problems and/or considered to be potentially harmful to self or others. The RTCs are intended to stabilize the youth's emotional condition; to provide services that increase the youth's ability to manage his/her mental illness as a potentially life-long challenge; to help the youth develop social skills for coping with both daily or difficult situations and interpersonal relationships; and to transition the youth to a less restrictive environment or home.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2017

Residential Treatment Ctr.	County	Adm.	ADP
Good Shepherd Ctr Female Prg	Balt. Co.	6	9.0
Good Shepherd Ctr Male Prg	Balt. Co.	1	2.3
Jefferson School	Frederick	19	8.8
New Dir. Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.	12	18.9
Potomac Ridge	Mont.	2	3.2
RICA Baltimore RTC	Balt. City	4	3.0
RICA Rockville RTC <sup>1</sup>	Mont.	0	0.0
Right Moves Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.	8	1.7
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	Balt. Co.	40	19.9
Villa Maria	Balt. Co.	1	0.2
Woodbourne	Balt. City	31	23.7
<b>Psychiatric Hospitals<sup>2</sup></b>			
Behav. Health Hosp. Rockville	Mont.	2	0.1
Brook Lane Hospital	Washington	3	0.1
Eastern Shore Acute Unit*	Dorchester	1	0.0
Shep. Pratt Hosp. Ell. City & Towson	Balt. Co.	9	0.3
Spring Grove Hospital Center	Balt. Co.	39	4.7
Springfield Adult Hospital Ctr.	Carroll	5	0.5
Thomas Finan Center	Allegany	2	0.1
<b>Diagnostic Unit/Clinical Evaluation Unit (CEU)<sup>2</sup></b>			
Arrow Child & Fam Ministries	Balt. Co.	24	4.6
Children's Home Diag Center	Balt. Co.	6	1.2
RICA Rockville	Mont.	6	1.2
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<b>221</b>	<b>103.7</b>

\* Facility closed 9/12/2016

<sup>1</sup> Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2017, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.

<sup>2</sup> Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Unit/CEUs are included on the RTC table because similar services are provided at these facilities.

*"Treatment has helped a lot. It has showed me my wrongs that I made in the community and it also helped me get ready to return back to the community."*

*- Youth placed at Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN*

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, overall placements to residential treatment centers decreased 15.2%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2017, Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2017):**
  - 98.6% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was from Washington D.C., 1 was from Pennsylvania, and 1 was from Virginia.
- **Offense Type (FY 2017):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (33.8%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):**
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 15.2% to 10.1%
  - The percentage of Felonies increased from 12.1% to 16.4%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 71.9% to 73.4%
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 18.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 6.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 39.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 48.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	16.0%	16.3%	15.5%
Reg-II - Central	14.8%	13.7%	13.5%
Reg-III - Western	9.8%	10.1%	10.1%
Reg-IV - Eastern	12.7%	10.1%	13.5%
Reg-V - Southern	30.3%	27.8%	24.2%
Reg-VI - Metro	14.8%	20.3%	21.7%
Out-of-State	1.6%	1.8%	1.4%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>207</b>



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	5.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.3%
Carjacking	0.5%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%
Disturbing the Peace	3.4%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.9%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.9%
Murder	0.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	1.4%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	3.4%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%
Robbery	3.4%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	33.8%
Sex Offense	5.8%
Theft Felony	2.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.1%
Trespassing	1.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>10.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>16.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	3.9%
- Property	8.2%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	4.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>73.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	46.9%
- Property	23.2%
- Drugs	1.4%
- Unspecified	1.9%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>207</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	67.2%	67.0%	61.8%
White	30.7%	25.6%	31.4%
Hispanic/Other	2.0%	7.5%	6.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	70.1%	73.1%	70.0%
Female	29.9%	26.9%	30.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%
12	4.5%	3.5%	1.4%
13	8.2%	11.9%	5.3%
14	10.2%	15.9%	16.4%
15	20.1%	19.8%	19.3%
16	32.0%	26.4%	27.1%
17	18.4%	17.2%	21.7%
18-20	5.3%	4.8%	8.7%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>227</i>	<i>207</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Out-of-State (OOS) Programs

Maryland law prohibits an out-of-state placement for youth, unless a Court finds the youth's individualized needs cannot be met through in-state resources. Additionally, DJS policy states that youth may not be placed out-of-state without the approval of the Secretary or designee. The Department adheres to Interstate Compact requirements and agreements with other states regarding requests for permission and notification when youth are placed in another state. Youth placed in out-of-state facilities are visited by DJS staff at least quarterly and parents/guardians are provided with opportunities to visit youth at least once per quarter. OOS programs are licensed by local authorities, and not by Maryland agencies. DJS contracts with vendors to provide services in two broad categories: (1) RTC-level specialized mental health services, and (2) staff- and hardware secure programming.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2017

Hardware Secure RTC	State	Adm.	ADP
Devereux (Georgia)	GA	1	0.9
Kid Link-Coastal Harbor Treat Ctr	GA	1	0.3
UHS of Del-Harbor Point Behav. Hlth	VA	3	0.4
UHS of Del-Sandy Pines Treat Ctr	FL	3	1.7
Staff Secure			
Canyon State Academy	AZ	4	2.0
Clarinda Academy	IA	1	2.3
Glen Mills School	PA	11	6.8
KidLink Netw-Found. for Living	OH	1	0.6
Natchez Trace Youth Academy	TN	8	5.7
New Outlook Academy	PA	4	1.0
Summit Academy	PA	4	2.4
Woodward Academy	IA	4	3.3
Hardware Secure			
Cornell Abraxas	PA	5	4.6
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	PA	6	4.5
Mid-Atlantic Western	PA	3	2.3
Wolverine Treatment Center	MI	5	1.7
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<b>64</b>	<b>40.5</b>



Mid-Atlantic Luzerne hardware secure facility located in PA

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
  - Between FY 2015 and FY 2017, overall placements to out-of-state programs decreased 33.0%.
- *Program Admissions:*
  - In FY 2017, Glen Mills School had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2017):*
  - 93.4% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 2 were from Washington D.C., 1 was from Pennsylvania and 1 was from Virginia.
- *Offense Type (FY 2017):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (16.4%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):*
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 35.3% to 42.6%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 15.7% to 9.8%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 49.0% to 47.5%
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 50.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 28.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS decreased 0.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 14.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	27.5%	34.0%	39.3%
Reg-II - Central	4.4%	17.0%	3.3%
Reg-III - Western	5.5%	1.9%	4.9%
Reg-IV - Eastern	2.2%	5.7%	4.9%
Reg-V - Southern	11.0%	15.1%	19.7%
Reg-VI - Metro	46.2%	17.0%	21.3%
Out-of-State	3.3%	9.4%	6.6%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>61</b>

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.2%
Carjacking	9.8%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.9%
Deadly Weapon	4.9%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.3%
Manslaughter	1.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	8.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	1.6%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.9%
Resisting Arrest	1.6%
Robbery	14.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	16.4%
Sex Offense	4.9%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.5%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.6%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>42.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.6%
- Property	1.6%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	6.6%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>47.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	26.2%
- Property	18.0%
- Drugs	1.6%
- Unspecified	1.6%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>61</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	82.4%	94.3%	72.1%
White	9.9%	3.8%	16.4%
Hispanic/Other	7.7%	1.9%	11.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	91.2%	88.7%	83.6%
Female	8.8%	11.3%	16.4%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
13	0.0%	1.9%	1.6%
14	2.2%	1.9%	9.8%
15	17.6%	17.0%	19.7%
16	33.0%	11.3%	34.4%
17	26.4%	50.9%	19.7%
18-20	20.9%	17.0%	13.1%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>91</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>61</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Backbone Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Acting Superintendent: William Pickrel

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

Rated Capacity: 48 Males


Rte 1, 124 Camp 4 Road

Swanton, MD 21562

301-359-9190

The Youth Center opened in 1966 as a 35-bed forestry camp on Backbone Mountain in Garrett County with funds appropriated by the General Assembly and became a youth center in 1977. The facility offers programs including the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and CHALLENGE, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment and a level of care is determined. Backbone is certified to offer Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient Services. Youth participate in individual and group interventions. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. For youth with chronic aggression, START is provided: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. Educational services are provided by MSDE on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam. The College Program is offered in conjunction with Garrett College of Maryland. Youth are screened through a referral, record review, and interview for acceptance into the program. Selected youth are admitted for one college semester while in the care of DJS and earn a Maryland High School Diploma as well as up to 15 college credits.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	73.5%	79.1%	75.7%
White	14.7%	13.6%	14.6%
Hispanic/Other	11.8%	7.3%	9.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	7.4%	7.3%	1.9%
15	14.7%	10.9%	17.5%
16	22.1%	40.9%	25.2%
17	32.4%	30.0%	44.7%
18-20	23.5%	10.9%	10.7%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>103</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 51.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 6.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2017):

- 96.1% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 3 were from Washington D.C., and 1 was from West Virginia.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2017):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (18.4%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 26.4% to 25.2%
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 18.2% to 11.7%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 54.5% to 58.3%
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP increased 8.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 6.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 13.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 5.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Utilization Rate (FY 2016-FY 2017):

- Backbone Mountain's utilization rate decreased from 59.6% in FY 2016 to 56.0% in FY 2017 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.9%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	11.7%
Carjacking	2.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.9%
First Degree Assault-Felony	1.0%
Handgun Violation	1.9%
Malicious Destruction	3.9%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.9%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	7.8%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.9%
Resisting Arrest	1.0%
Robbery	10.7%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	18.4%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.7%
Trespassing	2.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.8%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>25.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	5.8%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	5.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>58.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.1%
- Property	17.5%
- Drugs	3.9%
- Unspecified	7.8%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>103</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	19.1%	21.8%	24.3%
Reg-II - Central	7.4%	11.8%	14.6%
Reg-III - Western	2.9%	4.5%	3.9%
Reg-IV - Eastern	11.8%	15.5%	5.8%
Reg-V - Southern	23.5%	22.7%	14.6%
Reg-VI - Metro	35.3%	23.6%	33.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>110</i>	<i>103</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

## Green Ridge Mountain Quest (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Judith Hodel

Rated Capacity: 10 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road


Flintstone, MD 21530

301-478-2930

Mountain Quest is a 90-day program for committed males age 13-18 located at Green Ridge Youth Center. Mountain Quest is a first-time commitment program that provides youth time away from their families and communities giving them the opportunity to change their behavior while learning responsibility for their actions. The Mountain Quest program gives youth the opportunity to work on thought patterns and behaviors that will help them become successful in treatment when they return to the community. Family work and interventions are critical at this time to better assess needs and provide an open line of communication. Mental health counseling is provided on an as-needed basis.

The facility offers individual and group substance abuse interventions. For youth with chronic aggression, START is provided: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. Educational services are provided by MSDE on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	73.0%	51.7%	68.0%
White	16.2%	27.6%	24.0%
Hispanic/Other	10.8%	20.7%	8.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
13	8.1%	13.8%	12.0%
14	18.9%	6.9%	24.0%
15	24.3%	41.4%	16.0%
16	32.4%	17.2%	28.0%
17	10.8%	20.7%	16.0%
18-20	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>25</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 32.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 13.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2017):

- 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no placements from Out-of-State at this facility.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2017):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Conspiracy to Commit Offense (20.0%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 6.9% to 12.0%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 20.7% to 24.0%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 69.0% to 64.0%
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 31.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 13.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 5.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 6.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Utilization Rate (FY 2016-FY 2017):

- Green Ridge Mountain Quest's utilization rate decreased from 73.0% in FY 2016 to 62.9% in FY 2017 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	16.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	20.0%
Deadly Weapon	4.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	4.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	4.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	4.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	12.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.0%
Trespassing	4.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>12.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>24.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	4.0%
- Unspecified	20.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>64.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	20.0%
- Property	36.0%
- Drugs	4.0%
- Unspecified	4.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	25


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-II - Central	10.8%	10.3%	8.0%
Reg-III - Western	35.1%	20.7%	44.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	0.0%	3.4%	4.0%
Reg-V - Southern	8.1%	20.7%	8.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	45.9%	44.8%	36.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	37	29	25

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

## Green Ridge Youth Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Judith Hodel

Rated Capacity: 30 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western


10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road

Flintstone, MD 21530

301-478-2930

Built originally as a Civilian Conservation Corps Camp, Green Ridge Youth Center has had a variety of uses in its 90-year history. Currently, Green Ridge is a treatment center for males age 13-18. The facility offers programming that includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and CHALLENGE, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment and a level of care is determined. Green Ridge Youth Center is certified to offer Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient Services. Youth participate in individual and group interventions. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. For youth with chronic aggression, START is provided: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. Educational services are provided by MSDE on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	69.8%	69.6%	66.7%
White	25.6%	18.8%	17.5%
Hispanic/Other	4.7%	11.6%	15.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	2.3%	10.1%	9.5%
15	14.0%	17.4%	34.9%
16	30.2%	39.1%	28.6%
17	32.6%	24.6%	20.6%
18-20	20.9%	8.7%	6.3%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>63</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 46.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 8.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2017):

- 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2017):

- The most common offense types for juveniles placed in FY 2017 were Robbery (17.5%) and Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (17.5%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 26.1% to 28.6%
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 20.3% to 17.5%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 52.2% to 52.4%
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP increased 35.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 0.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 2.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 11.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Utilization Rate (FY 2016-FY 2017):

- Green Ridge Youth Center's utilization rate decreased from 68.7% in FY 2016 to 68.5% in FY 2017 (based on the facility's rated capacity).



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.2%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.9%
Carjacking	3.2%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	11.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	1.6%
Handgun Violation	4.8%
Malicious Destruction	6.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	3.2%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	3.2%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	17.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	17.5%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.6%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.3%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.8%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>28.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>17.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	4.8%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	12.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>52.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	23.8%
- Property	22.2%
- Drugs	1.6%
- Unspecified	4.8%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>63</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	16.3%	4.3%	4.8%
Reg-II - Central	11.6%	13.0%	11.1%
Reg-III - Western	11.6%	8.7%	17.5%
Reg-IV - Eastern	11.6%	7.2%	9.5%
Reg-V - Southern	18.6%	17.4%	7.9%
Reg-VI - Metro	30.2%	49.3%	49.2%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>69</i>	<i>63</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



## J. DeWeese Carter Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Annette Miller  
Rated Capacity: 14 Females

Hardware Secure - Region IV - Eastern

300 Scheeler Road  
Chestertown, MD 21620  
410-778-6444

J. DeWeese Carter Center opened as a secure residential facility in November 2011 to serve females ages 14-18 who are committed to DJJ. The program provides gender responsive, trauma-informed rehabilitation and treatment services. The Center implements CHALLENGE, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation. The program's goals for the girls include accepting responsibility for behavior, learning problem solving strategies and peer leadership skills, and developing/improving pro-social skills. Services include individual and group therapy, substance abuse education and treatment, health education, medication assessment and monitoring, and family therapy. MSDE provides academic and vocational services. The school provides a 12-month schedule that includes six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, life skills, as well as individualized GED programs that prepare youth for successful completion of a high school diploma examination. MSDE offers vocational programming in computer literacy, Introduction to Telecommunications, and the ServSafe Program, all of which allow girls to earn certificates to help them secure employment at discharge.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	65.0%	70.6%	66.7%
White	20.0%	23.5%	20.8%
Hispanic/Other	15.0%	5.9%	12.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	5.0%	17.6%	8.3%
15	15.0%	11.8%	16.7%
16	35.0%	35.3%	33.3%
17	40.0%	23.5%	29.2%
18-20	5.0%	11.8%	12.5%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>24</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 20.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 41.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2017):

- 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2017):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (45.8%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 11.8% to 25.0%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 5.9% to 12.5%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 82.4% to 62.5%
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 9.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 15.6% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 15.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 33.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Utilization Rate (FY 2016-FY 2017):

- J. DeWeese Carter Center's utilization rate increased from 55.7% in FY 2016 to 64.7% in FY 2017 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	8.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.2%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	8.3%
First Degree Assault-Felony	16.7%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	8.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	45.8%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.3%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>25.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	8.3%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	4.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>62.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	54.2%
- Property	8.3%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>24</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	25.0%	5.9%	12.5%
Reg-II - Central	10.0%	11.8%	8.3%
Reg-III - Western	15.0%	11.8%	16.7%
Reg-IV - Eastern	10.0%	5.9%	4.2%
Reg-V - Southern	15.0%	41.2%	20.8%
Reg-VI - Metro	25.0%	23.5%	37.5%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>24</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



## Meadow Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Todd Bowman


Staff Secure - Region III - Western

234 Recovery Road  
Grantsville, MD 21535  
301-895-5669

Rated Capacity: 40 Males

Meadow Mountain Youth Center opened as a forestry camp for boys in 1958 and reopened in 1984 as an intensive out-patient program providing youth with at least nine hours of drug treatment weekly, which includes Seven Challenges group therapy. Additionally, Meadow Mountain uses CHALLENGE, DJS' behavioral management program, and houses the Reflections Program which includes a high and low ropes challenge course, an aquatic challenge, and different outdoor activities. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment and a level of care is determined. Meadow Mountain is certified to offer Intensive Outpatient Services as well as Clinically Managed High Intensity Residential Services. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. For youth with chronic aggression, START is provided: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. Educational services are provided by MSDE on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam. Also offered is an aquaculture vocational program in which youth earned a 60-hour certificate with competencies needed to become an Aquaculture Technician I.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	62.3%	68.5%	72.0%
White	27.3%	22.8%	20.7%
Hispanic/Other	10.4%	8.7%	7.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	5.2%	6.5%	4.9%
15	15.6%	10.9%	23.2%
16	23.4%	30.4%	28.0%
17	40.3%	40.2%	30.5%
18-20	15.6%	12.0%	13.4%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>82</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 6.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 10.9% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2017):

- 98.8% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There was one placement from New York.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2017):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Theft Misdemeanor (20.7%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 19.6% to 20.7%
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 19.6% to 12.2%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 57.6% to 63.4%
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 6.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 9.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 2.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 14.0% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Utilization Rate (FY 2016-FY 2017):

- Meadow Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate decreased from 71.4% in FY 2016 to 64.6% in FY 2017 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	4.9%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	9.8%
Carjacking	1.2%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	2.4%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%
Disturbing the Peace	2.4%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.4%
Malicious Destruction	2.4%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	6.1%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	3.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	14.6%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	18.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	20.7%
Trespassing	1.2%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.4%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>20.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.2%
- Property	8.5%
- Drugs	1.2%
- Unspecified	1.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>63.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	28.0%
- Property	29.3%
- Drugs	2.4%
- Unspecified	3.7%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>3.7%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>82</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	18.2%	12.0%	18.3%
Reg-II - Central	13.0%	16.3%	13.4%
Reg-III - Western	1.3%	3.3%	12.2%
Reg-IV - Eastern	16.9%	19.6%	18.3%
Reg-V - Southern	18.2%	18.5%	17.1%
Reg-VI - Metro	31.2%	29.3%	19.5%
Out-of-State	1.3%	1.1%	1.2%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>92</i>	<i>82</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

## Savage Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Todd Foote


Staff Secure - Region III - Western

164 Freedom Lane  
Lonaconing, MD 21539  
301-463-2244

Rated Capacity: 24 Males (reduced from 36 at the beginning of FY 2016)

The success of the first forestry camp for boys at Green Ridge prompted the General Assembly to appropriate funds for additional facilities in 1956 (Chapter 98, Acts of 1956). Lonaconing Forestry Camp for Boys opened in December 1957 and was charged with the rehabilitation of adjudicated males 14-18 years of age. The Camp was renamed Savage Mountain Youth Center in 1977. The facility offers programming that includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and CHALLENGE, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment and a level of care determined. Savage Mountain is certified to offer Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient Services. Youth participate in individual and group interventions. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. For youth with chronic aggression, START is provided: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. Educational services are provided by MSDE on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	75.4%	91.4%	78.2%
White	15.4%	5.7%	12.6%
Hispanic/Other	9.2%	2.9%	9.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	2.9%	3.4%
14	6.2%	12.9%	10.3%
15	16.9%	22.9%	40.2%
16	36.9%	27.1%	16.1%
17	27.7%	25.7%	23.0%
18-20	12.3%	8.6%	6.9%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>87</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 33.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 24.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2017):

- 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2017):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (20.7%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 30.0% to 28.7%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 7.1% to 10.3%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 61.4% to 58.6%
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 12.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 8.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 33.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 14.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Utilization Rate (FY 2016-FY 2017):

- Savage Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate increased from 72.9% in FY 2016 to 78.9% in FY 2017 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	13.8%
Carjacking	1.1%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.6%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.4%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.3%
Handgun Violation	2.3%
Malicious Destruction	4.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	2.3%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.3%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%
Robbery	13.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	20.7%
Sex Offense	1.1%
Theft Felony	2.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.8%
Trespassing	1.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.4%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>28.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>10.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	4.6%
- Drugs	1.1%
- Unspecified	4.6%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>58.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.9%
- Property	23.0%
- Drugs	1.1%
- Unspecified	4.6%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>87</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	18.5%	22.9%	23.0%
Reg-II - Central	9.2%	14.3%	12.6%
Reg-III - Western	1.5%	2.9%	3.4%
Reg-IV - Eastern	1.5%	11.4%	13.8%
Reg-V - Southern	21.5%	18.6%	10.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	46.2%	30.0%	36.8%
Out-of-State	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>70</i>	<i>87</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017




## Victor Cullen Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Johnitha McNair (Interim) **Hardware Secure - Region III - Western**  
Rated Capacity: 48 Males

6000 Cullen Drive  
Sabillasville, MD 21780  
301-739-7543

The Victor Cullen Center (VCC) was originally a sanatorium for tuberculosis patients named after the physician in charge of the institution, Dr. Victor F. Cullen. In July 2007, VCC reopened under state administration as a regional committed treatment center. VCC is a secure facility for delinquent males primarily serving youth ages 15-18 in a six- to nine-month treatment program for mental health and/or substance abuse issues. The facility offers programming that includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and CHALLENGE, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive individual and group substance abuse interventions, and outpatient and early intervention substance abuse treatment are also available. Individual and family counseling are offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. All youth participate in START: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, and family days. Educational services are provided by MSDE on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, and social studies, OSHA certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	81.0%	84.3%	75.0%
White	8.9%	12.0%	16.7%
Hispanic/Other	10.1%	3.6%	8.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	3.6%	2.8%
15	21.5%	10.8%	16.7%
16	35.4%	19.3%	25.0%
17	34.2%	42.2%	27.8%
18-20	8.9%	24.1%	27.8%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>72</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements decreased 8.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 13.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2017):**
  - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2017):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2017 was Robbery (26.4%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):**
  - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 47.6% to 50.0%
  - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 14.6% to 9.7%
  - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 37.8% to 37.5%
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 24.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 8.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 13.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 6.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2016-FY 2017):**
  - Victor Cullen Center's utilization rate decreased from 63.8% in FY 2016 to 58.5% in FY 2017 (based on the facility's rated capacity).



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.8%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.9%
Carjacking	6.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.6%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%
Disturbing the Peace	2.8%
First Degree Assault-Felony	6.9%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.8%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%
Murder	4.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	1.4%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.4%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	26.4%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	12.5%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	12.5%
Trespassing	1.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.4%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	2.8%
- Drugs	1.4%
- Unspecified	5.6%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>37.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	18.1%
- Property	18.1%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>2.8%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>72</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	27.8%	34.9%	27.8%
Reg-II - Central	12.7%	10.8%	16.7%
Reg-III - Western	1.3%	6.0%	4.2%
Reg-IV - Eastern	10.1%	3.6%	8.3%
Reg-V - Southern	7.6%	10.8%	9.7%
Reg-VI - Metro	38.0%	28.9%	33.3%
Out-of-State	2.5%	4.8%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>83</i>	<i>72</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

## Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider)

Program Director: Kevin McLeod


Staff Secure

Rated Capacity: 96 Males

999 Crouse Mill Road  
Keymar, Maryland 21757  
410-775-1745

Silver Oak Academy (SOA) is a private residential program owned and operated by Rite of Passage, Inc. SOA is an achievement-based program designed to highlight and develop positive pro-social skills and activities to assist youth in transitioning to the community and being successful. The basis of achievement is measured through nine separate program elements. SOA serves youth ages 14-18. Vocational training services include: food service and hospitality management, carpentry/electrical, construction/masonry, cosmetology/barbering, and electronics. SOA has a large campus that includes a year-round high school approved by MSDE, a competition gym (with wrestling and weight rooms), outdoor competition fields, and a running track. During FY 2014, the DJS contracted capacity at SOA increased from 48 to 96.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2015-2017



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	85.1%	83.3%	87.3%
White	9.6%	13.0%	9.9%
Hispanic/Other	5.3%	3.7%	2.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
15	13.8%	7.4%	16.9%
16	24.5%	37.0%	25.4%
17	47.9%	42.6%	36.6%
18-20	10.6%	13.0%	21.1%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>71</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 24.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and increased 31.5% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2017):

- 98.6% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There was one placement from Virginia.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2017):

- The most common offense types for juveniles placed in FY 2017 were Robbery (15.5%) and Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor (15.5%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2016-2017):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 39.6% to 31.0%
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.4% to 19.7%
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 50.9% to 49.3%
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 21.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 4.4% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS increased 10.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2017 and decreased 1.7% between FY 2016 and FY 2017.

#### • Utilization Rate (FY 2016-FY 2017):

- Silver Oak's utilization rate decreased from 51.9% in FY 2016 to 49.6% in FY 2017 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2017
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	5.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	11.3%
Carjacking	2.8%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	14.1%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	5.6%
Handgun Violation	1.4%
Malicious Destruction	1.4%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	5.6%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	15.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	15.5%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.5%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	8.5%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>31.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>19.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	8.5%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	11.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>49.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	18.3%
- Property	14.1%
- Drugs	5.6%
- Unspecified	11.3%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>71</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2015-2017

Region	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Reg-I - Baltimore City	21.3%	27.8%	23.9%
Reg-II - Central	21.3%	27.8%	26.8%
Reg-III - Western	8.5%	0.0%	11.3%
Reg-IV - Eastern	4.3%	3.7%	4.2%
Reg-V - Southern	13.8%	22.2%	11.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	29.8%	16.7%	21.1%
Out-of-State	1.1%	1.9%	1.4%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>94</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>71</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2015-2017



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2017



## Community-Based Family Therapy Programs for Committed & Probation Youth

DJS uses research-supported, community-based family therapy programs to address the needs of youth, thereby reducing the likelihood of recidivism, and to reduce out-of-home placements. Evidence-Based Services (EBS) constitute those designated as “model” programs by Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development (Center for the Study and Prevention of Violence at the University of Colorado Boulder) and currently include Functional Family Therapy (FFT) and Multisystemic Therapy (MST). These services are family-based therapeutic models shown to be effective with youth involved with the juvenile justice system. DJS primarily targets FFT and MST for juveniles on probation or aftercare supervision in the community. In addition, Family Centered Treatment (FCT), provided by the Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc., is a family preservation model of in-home treatment, which helps families to learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns. FCT is utilized with youth who are committed or on probation.

### PLACEMENTS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2017

EBS Providers		County / Region	Place.	ADP
FFT	Center for Children, Inc.	An. Arund.	87	24.0
		Calvert	16	4.3
		Charles	31	9.8
		St. Mary's	25	8.6
	VisionQuest National	Balt. City	88	29.7
		Balt. Co.	10	2.9
		Carroll	5	1.7
		Harford	7	2.0
		Howard	22	6.7
		East. Shore	42	12.9
MST	Community Counseling and Mentoring Service, Inc.	Mont.	2	1.0
		Pr. George's	39	14.7
	Community Solutions, Inc.	Balt. Co.	28	7.8
		Way Station, Inc.	Frederick	8
		Washington	8	2.5
FCT	Institute for Family Centered Services (IFCS), Inc.	Baltimore City	56	20.1
		Baltimore Co.	30	8.4
		Carroll	19	9.5
		Howard	32	12.7
		Allegany	9	3.6
		Frederick	15	6.7
		Washington	20	6.6
		Caroline	4	0.6
		Cecil	4	1.9
		Dorchester	3	0.6
		Kent	2	1.7
		Queen Anne's	1	0.7
		Somerset	2	1.4
		Wicomico	9	3.0
		Worcester	4	1.6
		Anne Arundel	3	0.2
		Calvert	10	3.1
		Charles	19	8.3
		St. Mary's	19	8.4
		Montgomery	40	12.6
Pr. George's	38	13.8		
<b>Total Placements</b>		<i>All shown</i>	<b>845</b>	<b>283.1</b>

#### • Community-Based Family Therapy Program Placements (FY 2017):

- 49.8% of placements were to FFT.
- 10.1% of placements were to MST.
- 40.1% of placements were to FCT.

#### • Juveniles Placed (FY 2017):

- At the time of placement to a community-based family therapy program, 51.2% of juveniles were under probation, 32.1% were on aftercare supervision, 11.1% were under pre-court supervision, and 2.6% were under administrative, community detention, interstate-compact, detention, and investigation combined. The remaining 3.0% were diversion cases without supervision.

#### • Most Common Offense Type (FY 2017):

- FFT was Second Degree Assault (26.4%).
- MST was Second Degree Assault (34.1%).
- FCT was Second Degree Assault (25.4%).

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2017):

- ALOS was highest for FCT while FFT and MST were similar.

#### • Average Daily Population (FY 2017):

- ADP was similar for FFT and FCT while it was lowest for MST.

#### • Completion Status According to Program Specific Criteria (FY 2017):

- 73.2% of juveniles discharged from FFT\* completed the program.
- 81.4% of juveniles discharged from MST\* completed the program.
- 64.6% of juveniles discharged from FCT\* completed the program.

\*Note that these percentages reflect cases discharged within therapist control, which excludes youth who moved prior to completing the program, those referred to / placed in another program, or discharged for other administrative reasons.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2017

	FFT	MST	FCT
Reg I-Balt. City	20.9%	0.0%	16.5%
Reg II-Central	10.5%	32.9%	23.9%
Reg III-Western	0.0%	18.8%	13.0%
Reg IV-E. Shore	10.0%	0.0%	8.6%
Reg V-Southern	37.8%	0.0%	15.0%
Reg VI-Metro	20.9%	48.2%	23.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>339</b>

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2017

Most Serious Offense	FFT	MST	FCT
Offense Type			
Arson	1.2%	1.2%	0.3%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	1.7%	0.0%	2.9%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.9%	5.9%	7.7%
Carjacking	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	4.5%	2.4%	3.5%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	2.4%	1.2%
Disturbing the Peace	4.3%	3.5%	6.2%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.1%	1.2%	0.9%
Handgun Violation	1.7%	4.7%	1.5%
Malicious Destruction	3.8%	10.6%	5.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%	0.0%	1.5%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	2.4%	2.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.1%	1.2%	4.7%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	7.6%	3.5%	5.6%
Resisting Arrest	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%
Robbery	8.8%	12.9%	9.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	26.4%	34.1%	25.4%
Sex Offense	2.1%	0.0%	2.4%
Theft Felony	1.4%	1.2%	0.6%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.3%	9.4%	14.2%
Trespassing	0.7%	0.0%	0.9%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	3.8%	3.5%	2.4%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.9%	0.0%	1.5%
- Property	3.1%	1.2%	3.8%
- Drugs	1.0%	2.4%	2.1%
- Unspecified	3.3%	2.4%	3.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>68.2%</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>67.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	38.0%	45.9%	38.3%
- Property	23.3%	23.5%	23.3%
- Drugs	1.9%	1.2%	2.7%
- Unspecified	5.0%	3.5%	3.5%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>Interstate Compact Missing</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>339</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Burglary - Home Invasion - Breaking and Entering with Intent to Commit Crime of Violence, Destructive Devices, Electronic Mail Abuse False Report, Fraud Misdemeanor, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance.


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Note: EBS data comes from the Institute for Innovation and Implementation's EBS Database, except for offense data that comes from ASSIST. The source for all FCT data is ASSIST.


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2017

Demographics	FFT	MST	FCT
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	64.1%	61.2%	69.0%
White	22.3%	16.5%	25.1%
Hispanic/Other	13.5%	22.4%	5.9%
Sex			
Female	26.1%	14.1%	19.8%
Male	73.9%	85.9%	80.2%
Age			
11 and under	2.1%	0.0%	0.6%
12	2.4%	2.4%	1.2%
13	4.0%	10.6%	4.1%
14	11.9%	12.9%	8.3%
15	20.0%	34.1%	23.0%
16	24.7%	23.5%	24.8%
17	26.4%	16.5%	26.0%
18-20	8.6%	0.0%	12.1%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>339</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2017



## SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS AT DISCHARGE\*, FY 2017



\* Each program has its own measure of completion.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



# Section V: Recidivism Rates



In the spring, community-based youth from Prince George's County were given the opportunity to tour Morgan State University. The youth learned about the admissions process and campus life from the Morgan State student ambassadors and it allowed the youth to consider what their own futures may hold.





## Measuring Recidivism Rates

Measuring recidivism for juvenile offenders is a vital part of program evaluation, and is an essential part of understanding the effectiveness of interventions to address delinquency. Though other outcomes are also important to study, including education, employment, substance abuse, and other non-offense-related outcomes, recidivism remains a key measure of success for juvenile justice programs. Measuring recidivism rates involves examining a cohort of youth from a particular year, tracking their new arrests for the following year, then tracking resulting court dispositions for an additional year, to account for often lengthy court processing time. For this reason, *Maryland publishes re-arrest rates with a one year lag, and re-conviction and re-incarceration with a two-year lag.*

### POST-COMMITMENT RECIDIVISM

DJS has undertaken and published annual recidivism reports for many years, which focused on youth returning from committed out-of-home placements. These studies have been refined and expanded over the years to encompass all out-of-home committed programs (from foster placements to secure confinement), to include information on both juvenile and adult reoffending, and to show three levels of recidivating: a new alleged offense, whether that new offense resulted in conviction, and whether a new out-of-home placement resulted. Youth are followed for three years from release, and results are shown by year, level of recidivism, demographics, county, program type, and by individual program. It is important to note that although rates are presented by committed program, recidivism is affected by more than just the quality of the program. The quality of aftercare supervision after a youth is released, the community and/or family to which youth return, local economic opportunities, and other factors beyond the Department's control can all affect outcomes.

### PROBATION RECIDIVISM

Committed out-of-home placements make up a relatively small portion of the cases managed by the Department, so in recent years, DJS expanded the annual recidivism study to capture youth on probation. This cohort includes all youth newly placed under DJS supervision by a juvenile court under a probation order. Recidivism events are tracked from the date of the start of supervision for up to three years, regardless of how long a youth remains under supervision.

### RECIDIVISM DEFINITION

The juvenile justice community has not reached a consensus on how best to define recidivism with one measure. Therefore, consistent with other studies, DJS focuses on several measures, including subsequent juvenile and/or criminal involvement of youth. Because some youth may age out of juvenile court jurisdiction during the recidivism follow-up period, it is important to include information from the adult criminal justice system, and to report both juvenile and adult recidivism rates. For purposes of these recidivism studies, a new arrest includes all new delinquent or criminal offenses. This would be either a felony or a misdemeanor offense. Status offenses, traffic citations, ordinance, and violations of probation not including a new delinquent offense are not counted in recidivism rates. It is important to note that only those new adjudications, convictions, commitments, and incarcerations that stem from a new arrest are included. Those stemming from offenses that occurred prior to the probation or commitment episode studied would not be counted. All recidivism rates are calculated at the youth level, rather than the case level. If a youth is found to have recidivated in both the juvenile and adult systems, the recidivism event is counted once. It is, however, possible for a youth to show up in both the probation and committed study cohorts. The following chart includes data definitions used to analyze recidivism rates.

Cohort	Definition	Clock Starts	Assigned to	Further Breakdown/Summary
Probation	Youth with a first-time probation disposition in FY who had not previously been placed on probation or committed to DJS	Date of Probation Disposition or Probation Start Date	Region of Jurisdiction of Case Manager	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Assessed Risk
Committed	Youth released from committed program in FY	Date of final release, excluding transfers	Final program from which youth was released	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Program Type, Program, Assessed Risk

### Revised Recidivism Methodology

Beginning in FY 2015, the recidivism methodology was revised as follows: 1. The date used to report the event is based on the date of offense (for juvenile offenses) or arrest (for adult charges), rather than the date of any resulting court decision or placement. For example: a new offense in the first year after release, where the charge was not adjudicated until the second year, is counted as a first-year adjudication. In prior editions, the date of the court action or placement was used for reporting recidivism beyond the offense level. 2. Only misdemeanor and felony offenses are counted for recidivism. Technical violations, citations or other non-delinquent referrals are not counted. This Guide includes a five-year chart using the revised methodology for all years to show the long-term trend.

Recidivism	Definition	Date Used	Excludes	Data Source
Juvenile Arrest	Subsequent offense referred to DJS	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes violations of probation or aftercare not involving a new delinquent offense. Excludes CINS referrals, citations, local ordinance violations, arrests in other states, arrests for offenses occurring prior to release, and arrests diverted by police and not referred to DJS.	DJS ASSIST
Adult Arrest	Adult arrest in Maryland	Arrest date within follow-up period	Excludes violations of probation or parole, alcohol citation, civil citations, arrests outside of Maryland, and Federal holds.	CJIS
Juvenile Adjudication	Sustained delinquent adjudication included in reoffense	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes continued cases	DJS ASSIST
Adult Conviction	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count	Arrest date within follow-up period	Same as adult arrest	CJIS
Juvenile Commitment	Commitment stemming from offense included in reoffense and readjudication	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes commitments not resulting in out-of-home placement	DJS ASSIST
Adult Incarceration	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count resulting in a sentence of incarceration (or possible confinement)	Arrest date within follow-up period	Same as adult arrest	CJIS

For purposes of this *Data Resource Guide*, rates will be combined for the juvenile and adult system into three overall categories using the below mentioned juvenile and/or criminal justice recidivism measures and labeled as:

1. Rearrest
2. Reconviction
3. Reincarceration

## DATA SOURCES

Information from two different databases (juvenile and adult) is retrieved, processed, and compiled for each of the selected released cohorts. Data gathering involves the following procedures:

- A list of all youths newly assigned to probation, or released from the DJS committed residential programs is obtained from the Department's ASSIST management information system.
- Master cohort files are created containing gender, race, date of birth, county of jurisdiction, region of jurisdiction, county of residence, and region of residence. For committed youth, the last program name and program type from which youth was released during the release cohort is also included. Risk level is added using the MCASP assessment completed closest to the committed release date for committed youth and disposition date for probation youth.
- For both committed and probation cohort files, juvenile recidivism events are added from the ASSIST database, including subsequent arrests, adjudications, and commitments. Dates of offense are used to code recidivism events as occurring one, two, and three years after release.
- Adult arrest and court disposition information is obtained from the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), using DJS youth name, date of birth, race, and gender as identification or index fields. These records are added to a text file and returned to DJS with the rest of the response data. Name match is as follows: Smith, Gregory will match with Smith, Gregory and Smith Greg. Smith, Greg will match with Smith, Greg and Smith, Gr, but not with Smith, Gregory. DJS youth names are given in full and checked for any abbreviation as Gr or Greg for example.
- Youth who recidivate in both systems are counted only once by the first offense or arrest date during the follow-up period.

## Overall Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases

### 6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2014-2016 RELEASES

Follow-up Period	FY2014 (N=1,338)			FY2015 (N=1,142)			FY2016 (N=980)		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration
6 Months	30.9%	12.0%	9.5%	30.3%	10.5%	7.6%	33.4%	N/A	N/A
12 Months	45.4%	20.9%	16.4%	44.5%	18.8%	13.4%	46.7%	N/A	N/A
24 Months	61.7%	31.8%	24.7%	61.4%	26.9%	17.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	67.6%	34.2%	26.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, the 12-month rearrest rate increased 1.3 percentage points.
- Between FY 2014 and FY 2015:
  - The 12-month reconviction rate decreased 2.1 percentage points.
  - The 12-month reincarceration rate decreased 3.0 percentage points.

### 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015 RELEASES

Demographics	FY2015 Releases						
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration			
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Black	802	390	48.6%	165	20.6%	117	14.6%
White	275	96	34.9%	40	14.5%	31	11.3%
Hispanic/Other	65	22	33.8%	10	15.4%	5	7.7%
<b>Sex</b>							
Male	959	444	46.3%	200	20.9%	145	15.1%
Female	183	64	35.0%	15	8.2%	8	4.4%
<b>Age at Release</b>							
11 and Under	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	2	1	50.0%	0	N/A	0	N/A
13	20	7	35.0%	0	N/A	0	N/A
14	43	21	48.8%	9	20.9%	6	14.0%
15	152	78	51.3%	29	19.1%	19	12.5%
16	260	142	54.6%	65	25.0%	38	14.6%
17	310	136	43.9%	63	20.3%	48	15.5%
18 or older	355	123	34.6%	49	13.8%	42	11.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,142</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>13.4%</b>

#### Note to Readers:

Throughout this recidivism section, analysis of trends information appearing in bullets is based on the actual data and not the rounded figures presented in the graphs/tables.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
  - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
  - Black youth had the highest rates for all recidivism measures.
  - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.


## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2014-2016 RELEASES, BY REGION AND COUNTY

Region/ County	FY2014				FY2015				FY2016			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
<b>R-I - Balt.City</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>65.7%</b>	<b>31.9%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>60.5%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Baltimore City	256	62.5%	28.1%	22.7%	213	65.7%	31.9%	22.5%	172	60.5%	N/A	N/A
<b>R-II - Central</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>50.8%</b>	<b>24.6%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>50.3%</b>	<b>24.8%</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>54.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Baltimore Co.	100	56.0%	25.0%	19.0%	75	46.7%	25.3%	21.3%	65	53.8%	N/A	N/A
Carroll	26	50.0%	26.9%	19.2%	26	61.5%	30.8%	23.1%	22	63.6%	N/A	N/A
Harford	43	37.2%	20.9%	9.3%	32	46.9%	18.8%	15.6%	23	47.8%	N/A	N/A
Howard	22	54.5%	27.3%	22.7%	28	53.6%	25.0%	17.9%	16	50.0%	N/A	N/A
<b>R-III - Western</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>43.0%</b>	<b>20.2%</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>35.8%</b>	<b>15.1%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>43.3%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Allegany	24	45.8%	20.8%	20.8%	19	31.6%	10.5%	5.3%	16	50.0%	N/A	N/A
Frederick	35	28.6%	8.6%	5.7%	42	31.0%	16.7%	14.3%	31	38.7%	N/A	N/A
Garrett	11	72.7%	36.4%	27.3%	6	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4	50.0%	N/A	N/A
Washington	44	45.5%	25.0%	13.6%	39	46.2%	17.9%	10.3%	39	43.6%	N/A	N/A
<b>R-IV - Eastern</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>45.4%</b>	<b>24.8%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>43.0%</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>53.7%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Caroline	7	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4	25.0%	N/A	N/A
Cecil	20	50.0%	30.0%	25.0%	12	25.0%	8.3%	8.3%	13	38.5%	N/A	N/A
Dorchester	14	57.1%	42.9%	42.9%	7	42.9%	28.6%	0.0%	17	52.9%	N/A	N/A
Kent	6	50.0%	50.0%	33.3%	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3	66.7%	N/A	N/A
Queen Anne's	10	30.0%	20.0%	20.0%	3	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3	0.0%	N/A	N/A
Somerset	7	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	13	53.8%	7.7%	0.0%	5	20.0%	N/A	N/A
Talbot	3	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8	37.5%	N/A	N/A
Wicomico	55	50.9%	27.3%	25.5%	53	49.1%	17.0%	15.1%	60	63.3%	N/A	N/A
Worcester	19	42.1%	10.5%	10.5%	8	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	10	70.0%	N/A	N/A
<b>R-V - Southern</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>38.9%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>39.9%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>39.9%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Anne Arundel	150	38.7%	16.7%	10.7%	112	43.8%	15.2%	11.6%	98	40.8%	N/A	N/A
Calvert	16	37.5%	12.5%	6.3%	23	30.4%	17.4%	8.7%	8	25.0%	N/A	N/A
Charles	40	35.0%	17.5%	17.5%	50	36.0%	14.0%	12.0%	45	44.4%	N/A	N/A
St. Mary's	38	44.7%	21.1%	21.1%	38	39.5%	18.4%	5.3%	27	33.3%	N/A	N/A
<b>R-VI - Metro</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>37.6%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>35.3%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>38.3%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Montgomery	102	52.0%	24.5%	19.6%	71	52.1%	26.8%	18.3%	87	47.1%	N/A	N/A
Prince George's	265	32.1%	12.5%	10.2%	235	30.2%	8.9%	6.0%	187	34.2%	N/A	N/A
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,338</b>	<b>45.4%</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>1,142</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>980</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore, if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.


## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL\*, FY 2015 RELEASES



\*There were 8 youth who did not have MCASP risk levels. Percentages are calculated excluding these youth.

- Youth with a high risk level had higher recidivism rates for all three measures.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES, FY 2011-2015 RELEASES



- For all three measures, recidivism rates were lowest in FY 2015.

## Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases by Program Type

### 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR RELEASES<sup>1</sup> BY PROGRAM TYPE SUMMARY, FY 2014 - 2016

Total for Each Program Type	FY2014				FY2015				FY2016			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Foster Care	78	38.5%	15.4%	12.8%	67	29.9%	10.4%	7.5%	59	35.6%	N/A	N/A
Group Home	333	37.5%	20.1%	17.4%	269	42.0%	17.1%	12.6%	208	40.4%	N/A	N/A
ICFA	107	41.1%	15.9%	8.4%	79	40.5%	19.0%	13.9%	57	29.8%	N/A	N/A
Independent Living	33	30.3%	9.1%	6.1%	16	31.3%	18.8%	12.5%	21	23.8%	N/A	N/A
RTC	190	49.5%	18.4%	16.8%	184	45.1%	20.7%	14.7%	150	52.7%	N/A	N/A
Out-of-State	111	46.8%	22.5%	20.7%	90	48.9%	23.3%	13.3%	75	53.3%	N/A	N/A
State-Operated	486	52.1%	24.9%	17.7%	437	48.3%	19.5%	14.2%	410	51.7%	N/A	N/A

<sup>1</sup>Totals presented in the table above include each type of facility reported in that broad category. For example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as In-Home Foster Care. State-Operated includes Silver Oak Academy (a privately-operated facility in Maryland).

- Analyses of trends are presented on the following pages for each specific program type.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR FOSTER CARE RELEASES, FY 2014 - FY 2016\*

Treatment Foster Care	FY2014				FY2015				FY2016			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	4	2	N/A	N/A
Board of Child Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	N/A	N/A
Greenleaf	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	0	N/A	N/A
Hearts & Homes for Youth Family	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	1	0	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD-Baltimore	28	11	3	3	20	8	4	2	11	7	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD-Lanham	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD-Salisbury Teens	24	10	5	5	22	4	2	2	17	4	N/A	N/A
Multi-Dimensional	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	0	0	1	1	N/A	N/A
PSI Services III Intensive TFC	5	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	1	N/A	N/A
Pressley Ridge	13	3	1	0	7	2	0	0	10	5	N/A	N/A
San Mar	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0	N/A	N/A
Woodbourne Center	2	2	1	1	6	1	0	0	2	1	N/A	N/A
<b>Treatment Foster Care</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>37.8%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>In-Home Foster Care</b>												
<b>In-Home Foster</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Total Foster</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>29.9%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>35.6%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

- For total Foster Care releases (including Treatment and In-Home Foster Care):
  - Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, the rearrest rate decreased 2.9 percentage points.
  - Between FY 2014 and FY 2015:
    - The reconviction rate decreased 5.0 percentage points.
    - The reincarceration rate decreased 5.3 percentage points.

Note: Throughout this section, programs that had no releases within a fiscal year will have N/A reported for all recidivism measures. N/A also denotes reconviction and reincarceration rates for FY 2016 due to court dispositions requiring an additional year to account for lengthy court processing time.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2014 - FY 2016\*

Group Home	FY2014				FY2015				FY2016			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
ARC of Washington	3	1	1	1	8	3	0	0	3	1	N/A	N/A
Board of Child Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	2	1	1	3	1	N/A	N/A
Catoctin Summit Adolescent Program	30	10	6	6	22	6	3	3	14	2	N/A	N/A
Cedar Ridge Ministries	16	7	5	5	10	7	4	2	12	8	N/A	N/A
Children's Home (GH & Trans)	10	7	6	6	10	3	2	2	8	3	N/A	N/A
Greentree Adolescent	13	8	5	3	19	10	4	3	3	1	N/A	N/A
Hearts and Homes	50	14	9	7	30	9	4	3	25	12	N/A	N/A
Karma Academy for Boys	7	3	3	3	4	2	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kent Youth Boys	11	3	3	3	4	3	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
MAGIC	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1	N/A	N/A
MD Sheriff's Youth Ranch	3	2	1	1	7	5	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Morning Star Youth	35	12	7	6	13	7	2	2	15	8	N/A	N/A
Oak Hill House	12	2	1	1	14	6	3	2	11	6	N/A	N/A
One Love Group	8	3	2	2	3	1	0	0	9	2	N/A	N/A
Our House Youth	14	6	2	2	16	8	2	1	16	4	N/A	N/A
Salem Trust	16	7	3	2	18	6	2	2	19	7	N/A	N/A
San Mar- Jone Bowman	11	4	0	0	8	1	1	1	2	2	N/A	N/A
Shining Tree	6	2	1	1	9	3	3	2	8	4	N/A	N/A
St Ann's Infant and Maternity Program	5	1	0	0	5	2	0	0	5	2	N/A	N/A
TuTTie's Place	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Way Home - Mt Manor	14	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Group Home Total</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>36.5%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>41.1%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>41.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

- The Group Home Releases table is continued on the next page and trend analyses are presented there.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2014 - FY 2016\* (CONTINUED)

Therapeutic Group Home (TGH)	FY2014				FY2015				FY2016			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Avis Birely**	9	7	5	4	11	6	4	3	8	4	N/A	N/A
Board of Child Care	33	14	5	3	14	5	2	2	13	5	N/A	N/A
Cedar Ridge	6	1	1	1	14	8	4	3	9	5	N/A	N/A
Mary's Mount Manor**	10	4	0	0	13	3	0	0	17	5	N/A	N/A
San Mar - Allegany Girls	8	2	0	0	8	5	2	1	5	1	N/A	N/A
San Mar Jack E.	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Therapeutic Group Home Total</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>41.8%</i>	<i>16.4%</i>	<i>11.9%</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>45.2%</i>	<i>19.4%</i>	<i>14.5%</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>38.5%</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>
<i>Total Group Home and TGH</i>	<i>333</i>	<i>37.5%</i>	<i>20.1%</i>	<i>17.4%</i>	<i>269</i>	<i>42.0%</i>	<i>17.1%</i>	<i>12.6%</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>40.4%</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>

\*\*Operated by Hearts and Homes; Avis Birely was formerly Redl House

For total Group Home (including Therapeutic Group Home) releases:

- Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, the rearrest rate increased 2.8 percentage points.
- Between FY 2014 and FY 2015:
  - The reconviction rate decreased 3.0 percentage points.
  - The reincarceration rate decreased 4.8 percentage points.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INTERMEDIATE CARE FOR ADDICTIONS FACILITY (ICFA) RELEASES, FY 2014 - FY 2016\*

ICFA	FY2014				FY2015				FY2016			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Lois E. Jackson Unit	78	29	10	7	57	24	10	6	48	14	N/A	N/A
MTC - Mountain Manor	29	15	7	2	22	8	5	5	9	3	N/A	N/A
<i>Total ICFA</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>41.1%</i>	<i>15.9%</i>	<i>8.4%</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>40.5%</i>	<i>19.0%</i>	<i>13.9%</i>	<i>57</i>	<i>29.8%</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>

For total ICFA releases:

- Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, the rearrest rate decreased 11.3 percentage points.
- Between FY 2014 and FY 2015:
  - The reconviction rates increased 3.1 percentage points.
  - The reincarceration rates increased 5.5 percentage points.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING RELEASES,  
FY 2014 - FY 2016\***

Independent Living	FY2014				FY2015				FY2016			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Damamli	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	1	N/A	N/A
Future Bound	2	0	0	0	4	2	1	1	5	1	N/A	N/A
Jumoke	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD - Baltimore	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5	1	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD-Salisbury	4	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	N/A	N/A
New Pathways-Independence Plus	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	1	1	1	3	1	N/A	N/A
San Mar- Anderson	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	N/A	N/A
Transition Age Youth Program	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Independent Living Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Alternative Living Units</b>												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	1	0	2	0	N/A	N/A
NCIA -Youth in Transition	20	9	3	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Alternative Living Units</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>45.0%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Total Independent Living &amp; Alternative Living Units</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30.3%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

For total Independent Living releases including Alternative Living Units:

- Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, the rearrest rate decreased 6.5 percentage points
- Between FY 2014 and FY 2015:
  - The reconviction rate increased 9.7 percentage points.
  - The reincarceration rate increased 6.4 percentage points.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR RTC RELEASES, FY 2014 - FY 2016\*

Residential Treatment Centers (RTC)	FY2014				FY2015				FY2016			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Behav.Hlth-East.Shore	9	5	4	4	9	4	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Good Shepherd Center -Females	10	4	1	0	11	5	0	0	20	6	N/A	N/A
Good Shepherd Center Males	4	4	1	1	5	0	0	0	4	3	N/A	N/A
Jefferson School	5	3	1	1	6	3	2	2	7	3	N/A	N/A
New Directions Chesapeake	13	4	2	2	12	6	2	1	5	1	N/A	N/A
Potomac Ridge	14	8	3	2	16	9	3	1	8	5	N/A	N/A
RICA Baltimore	4	2	1	0	7	3	1	1	3	1	N/A	N/A
RICA Rockville	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	10	5	1	1	9	4	4	3	21	17	N/A	N/A
Villa Maria	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	2	N/A	N/A
Woodbourne	17	7	5	5	32	16	10	8	18	8	N/A	N/A
<b>Residential Treat.Total</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>49.4%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>51.7%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Psychiatric Hospitals</b>												
Behavioral Health Hospital Rockville	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	N/A	N/A
Brook Lane Hosp.	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	N/A	N/A
East. Shore Acute Unit	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	N/A	N/A
Sheppard Pratt Ellicott City	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spring Grove Hospital Ctr	40	19	7	7	32	12	5	2	26	12	N/A	N/A
Springfield Adult Hosp. Ctr	3	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	N/A	N/A
Thomas Finan Center	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	N/A	N/A
<b>Psychiatric Hosp. Total</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>32.5%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Diagnostic Units / CEU</b>												
Arrow Child & Family Ministries	20	12	4	4	23	11	7	5	23	13	N/A	N/A
Children's Home (Females)	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	N/A	N/A
RICA Rockville	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	5	3	N/A	N/A
Woodbourne	29	15	3	3	9	7	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Diag. Units/CEU Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>54.3%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>58.6%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Total RTC</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>16.8%</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>45.1%</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>52.7%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

For total RTC releases including Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Units/CEU:

- Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, the rearrest rate increased 3.2 percentage points.
- Between FY 2014 and FY 2015, the reconviction rate increased 2.2 percentage points and the reincarceration rate decreased 2.2 percentage points.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2014 - FY 2016\*

Residential Treatment Facility OOS	FY2014				FY2015				FY2016			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Boys Town	4	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	N/A	N/A
Cottonwood Treatment Center	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	3	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Devereux - Florida	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	N/A	N/A
Devereux - Georgia	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	N/A	N/A
Devereux - Pennsylvania	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Hope Carolinas	3	3	2	2	4	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Three Rivers - Midland Campus	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
UHS of DE, Inc. - Laurel	4	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	N/A	N/A
<b>Residential Treat. Facility Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>47.1%</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>23.5%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Staff Secure OOS</b>												
Canyon State Academy	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	6	N/A	N/A
Clarinda Academy	12	7	4	3	4	2	0	0	3	0	N/A	N/A
Cornell Abraxas Intens.	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	N/A	N/A
Glen Mills Schools (PA)	9	2	0	0	15	6	3	2	16	10	N/A	N/A
KidLink Coastal Harbor Treatment Ctr	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
KidLink Network Foundations for Living	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	N/A	N/A
Natchez Trace Yth Acad.	11	7	2	2	14	10	3	1	4	2	N/A	N/A
Summit Academy	4	1	0	0	7	3	1	1	3	1	N/A	N/A
Woodward Academy	8	7	6	6	4	4	3	1	6	3	N/A	N/A
<b>Staff Secure OOS Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53.1%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>56.1%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

- The Out-of-State Facility Releases table is continued on the next page and trend analyses are presented there.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2014 - FY 2016\* (CONTINUED)

Hardware Secure - OOS	FY2014				FY2015				FY2016			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Acadia Health-Capstone	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	1	6	3	N/A	N/A
CCS Turning Point	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	9	5	N/A	N/A
Cornell Abraxas Acad.	16	5	3	3	12	5	3	1	7	3	N/A	N/A
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	12	7	3	3	6	3	2	2	6	2	N/A	N/A
Mid Atlantic West. PA	7	4	1	1	4	2	0	0	2	1	N/A	N/A
NHS Yth Services, Inc Northwestern Academy	7	1	0	0	5	1	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Hardware Secure OOS Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40.5%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>41.4%</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Out-of-State Total</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>48.9%</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>53.3%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

For Out-of-State releases including Residential Treatment Facilities, Staff Secure, and Hardware Secure:

- Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, the rearrest rate increased 6.5 percentage points.
- Between FY 2014 and FY 2015, the reconviction rate remained relatively stable (increased 0.8 percentage points) and the reincarceration rate decreased 7.4 percentage points.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR STATE-OPERATED AND PRIVATELY OPERATED FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2014 - FY 2016\*

State-Operated Facilities	FY2014				FY2015				FY2016			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Backbone Mtn Yth Ctr.	69	30	11	6	62	22	9	5	63	32	N/A	N/A
Green Ridge Yth Ctr.	48	22	15	12	46	24	10	7	26	15	N/A	N/A
Green Ridge-Mtn Quest	35	18	11	8	29	12	4	2	26	17	N/A	N/A
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr.	18	7	1	0	16	8	2	0	17	9	N/A	N/A
Meadow Mtn Yth Ctr.	66	32	19	13	53	21	9	7	57	28	N/A	N/A
Savage Mtn Yth Center	59	33	14	9	56	30	11	10	47	28	N/A	N/A
Victor Cullen Center	98	64	29	24	79	45	22	17	77	36	N/A	N/A
William Donald Schaefer House	33	19	8	5	29	16	4	3	34	19	N/A	N/A
<b>Total State Operated</b>	<b>426</b>	<b>52.8%</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>53.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Privately Operated Facility</b>												
Silver Oak Academy	60	28	13	9	67	33	14	11	63	28	N/A	N/A
<b>Total Privately Operated</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Total State and Privately Operated</b>	<b>486</b>	<b>52.1%</b>	<b>24.9%</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>48.3%</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>51.7%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

For State-Operated Facility releases including Silver Oak:

- Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, the rearrest rate remained relatively stable (decreased 0.4 percentage points).
- Between FY 2014 and FY 2015, the reconviction rate decreased 5.4 percentage points, and the reincarceration rate decreased 3.5 percentage points.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## ONE, TWO, AND THREE YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2014 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest			Reconviction			Reincarceration		
		1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr
<b>Foster Care Total</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	<b>57.7%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>26.9%</b>	<b>28.2%</b>
Treatment Foster Care	74	37.8%	56.8%	60.8%	13.5%	28.4%	29.7%	12.2%	25.7%	27.0%
In-Home Foster Care	4	50.0%	75.0%	75.0%	50.0%	75.0%	75.0%	25.0%	50.0%	50.0%
<b>Group Home Total</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>54.1%</b>	<b>61.6%</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>27.3%</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>22.8%</b>	<b>24.0%</b>
Group Home	266	36.5%	54.1%	61.7%	21.1%	27.8%	28.9%	18.8%	23.7%	24.1%
Therapeutic Group Home	67	41.8%	53.7%	61.2%	16.4%	25.4%	31.3%	11.9%	19.4%	23.9%
<b>Independent Living Total</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30.3%</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>51.5%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>
Independent Living	13	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%	0.0%	7.7%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alternative Living Units	20	45.0%	55.0%	60.0%	15.0%	30.0%	30.0%	10.0%	25.0%	25.0%
<b>IFCA Total</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>41.1%</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>67.3%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>29.0%</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>18.7%</b>
<b>RTC Total</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	<b>63.7%</b>	<b>68.4%</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>33.2%</b>	<b>16.8%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>26.3%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility	89	49.4%	62.9%	65.2%	22.5%	31.5%	33.7%	19.1%	27.0%	27.0%
Psychiatric Hospital	49	46.9%	61.2%	67.3%	16.3%	30.6%	36.7%	16.3%	24.5%	28.6%
Diagnostic Units/CEU	52	51.9%	67.3%	75.0%	13.5%	26.9%	28.8%	13.5%	23.1%	23.1%
<b>Out-of-State Total</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>63.1%</b>	<b>68.5%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>30.6%</b>	<b>32.4%</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>27.0%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	17	47.1%	70.6%	76.5%	29.4%	35.3%	41.2%	23.5%	35.3%	35.3%
Staff Secure - OOS	52	51.9%	65.4%	73.1%	25.0%	34.6%	36.5%	23.1%	28.8%	28.8%
Hardware Secure - OOS	42	40.5%	57.1%	59.5%	16.7%	23.8%	23.8%	16.7%	21.4%	21.4%
<b>State Operated Total</b>	<b>426</b>	<b>52.8%</b>	<b>67.6%</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>37.8%</b>	<b>41.1%</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>27.5%</b>	<b>29.6%</b>
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr.	69	43.5%	56.5%	60.9%	15.9%	29.0%	30.4%	8.7%	17.4%	17.4%
Green Ridge Youth Center	48	45.8%	68.8%	72.9%	31.3%	39.6%	50.0%	25.0%	33.3%	41.7%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	35	51.4%	57.1%	60.0%	31.4%	40.0%	40.0%	22.9%	22.9%	22.9%
J. DeWeese Carter Center	18	38.9%	61.1%	77.8%	5.6%	22.2%	22.2%	0.0%	5.6%	5.6%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	66	48.5%	57.6%	65.2%	28.8%	39.4%	42.4%	19.7%	31.8%	34.8%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	59	55.9%	71.2%	74.6%	23.7%	37.3%	39.0%	15.3%	27.1%	27.1%
Victor Cullen Center	98	65.3%	82.7%	84.7%	29.6%	45.9%	50.0%	24.5%	36.7%	38.8%
William Donald Schaefer House	33	57.6%	72.7%	78.8%	24.2%	33.3%	36.4%	15.2%	21.2%	24.2%
<b>Silver Oak (Privately-Operated DJS Youth Only)</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>35.0%</b>	<b>38.3%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>26.7%</b>	<b>28.3%</b>
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,338</b>	<b>45.4%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>67.6%</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>31.8%</b>	<b>34.2%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>24.7%</b>	<b>26.2%</b>

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2014 releases at 1 and 3 years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 45.4% and within 3 years it was 67.6%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 20.9% and within 3 years it was 34.2%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 16.4% and within 3 years it was 26.2%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## ONE AND TWO YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2015 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest		Reconviction		Reincarceration	
		1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr
<b>Foster Care Total</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>29.9%</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>
Treatment Foster Care	61	27.9%	45.9%	9.8%	18.0%	6.6%	11.5%
In-Home Foster Care	6	50.0%	83.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%
<b>Group Home Total</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>59.1%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
Group Home	207	41.1%	58.5%	16.4%	23.7%	12.1%	15.9%
Therapeutic Group Home	62	45.2%	61.3%	19.4%	25.8%	14.5%	19.4%
<b>Independent Living Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>
Independent Living	14	21.4%	21.4%	14.3%	21.4%	14.3%	21.4%
Alternative Living Units	2	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>IFCA Total</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>40.5%</b>	<b>53.2%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>30.4%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>
<b>RTC Total</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>45.1%</b>	<b>62.0%</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>29.3%</b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>20.1%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility	109	46.8%	66.1%	21.1%	27.5%	15.6%	18.3%
Psychiatric Hospital	40	32.5%	47.5%	12.5%	25.0%	5.0%	15.0%
Diagnostic Units / CEU	35	54.3%	65.7%	28.6%	40.0%	22.9%	31.4%
<b>Out-of-State Total</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>48.9%</b>	<b>58.9%</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>32.2%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	12	50.0%	58.3%	25.0%	41.7%	16.7%	16.7%
Staff Secure - OOS	49	53.1%	63.3%	22.4%	30.6%	12.2%	14.3%
Hardware Secure - OOS	29	41.4%	51.7%	24.1%	31.0%	13.8%	17.2%
<b>State Operated Total</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>68.1%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr.	62	35.5%	62.9%	14.5%	22.6%	8.1%	9.7%
Green Ridge Youth Center	46	52.2%	76.1%	21.7%	30.4%	15.2%	23.9%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	29	41.4%	58.6%	13.8%	31.0%	6.9%	13.8%
J. DeWeese Carter Youth Center	16	50.0%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	53	39.6%	67.9%	17.0%	26.4%	13.2%	17.0%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	56	53.6%	67.9%	19.6%	23.2%	17.9%	19.6%
Victor Cullen Center	79	57.0%	72.2%	27.8%	34.2%	21.5%	24.1%
William Donald Schaefer House	29	55.2%	75.9%	13.8%	24.1%	10.3%	17.2%
<b>Silver Oak (Privately-Operated DJS Youth)</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>64.2%</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>26.9%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>20.9%</b>
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,142</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>61.4%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>26.9%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2015 releases at 1 and 2 years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 44.5% and within 2 years it was 61.4%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 18.8% and within 2 years it was 26.9%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 13.4% and within 2 years it was 17.9%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## Overall Recidivism Rates for Youth with First-Time Probation Dispositions

### 6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2014-2016 NEW PROBATION YOUTH<sup>+</sup>

Follow-up Period	FY2014 (N=2,338)			FY2015 (N=2,012)			FY2016 (N=1,833)		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*
6 Months	28.4%	12.1%	4.4%	27.2%	11.0%	3.6%	28.2%	N/A	N/A
12 Months	41.0%	19.1%	7.7%	40.4%	18.2%	7.1%	41.2%	N/A	N/A
24 Months	53.0%	27.1%	12.7%	52.8%	25.5%	10.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	58.1%	30.6%	15.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, the 12-month rearrest rate remained relatively stable (increased 0.2 percentage points).
- Between FY 2014 and FY 2015:
  - The 12-month reconviction rate remained relatively stable (decreased 0.9 percentage points).
  - The 12-month reincarceration rate remained relatively stable (decreased 0.6 percentage points).

### 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015 NEW PROBATION YOUTH<sup>+</sup>

Demographics	FY2015						
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Black	1,348	604	44.8%	282	20.9%	116	8.6%
White	541	177	32.7%	68	12.6%	20	3.7%
Hispanic/Other	123	31	25.2%	16	13.0%	6	4.9%
<b>Sex</b>							
Male	1,548	651	42.1%	311	20.1%	130	8.4%
Female	464	161	34.7%	55	11.9%	12	2.6%
<b>Age at Disposition</b>							
11 and Under	28	6	21.4%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%
12	73	31	42.5%	16	21.9%	5	6.8%
13	146	75	51.4%	37	25.3%	11	7.5%
14	302	155	51.3%	67	22.2%	28	9.3%
15	441	195	44.2%	102	23.1%	39	8.8%
16	429	186	43.4%	75	17.5%	24	5.6%
17	438	133	30.4%	55	12.6%	25	5.7%
18 or older	155	31	20.0%	11	7.1%	9	5.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,012</b>	<b>812</b>	<b>40.4%</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>7.1%</b>

Note: The probation cohort includes youth placed on probation (not youth released from probation). Therefore, recidivism is measured starting at the disposition date.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
  - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
  - Black youth had the highest rates for all measures.
  - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

<sup>+</sup>Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015. \*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2014-2016 NEW PROBATION YOUTH, BY REGION AND COUNTY<sup>+</sup>


Region/County	FY2014				FY2015				FY2016			
	Place-ments	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Incar-eration*	Place-ments	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Incar-eration*	Place-ments	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Incar-eration*
<b>R-I - Balt.City</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>56.7%</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Baltimore City	552	56.7%	27.7%	10.0%	474	44.7%	19.6%	8.2%	364	48.6%	N/A	N/A
<b>R-II - Central</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>40.2%</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>512</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>43.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Baltimore Co.	369	43.1%	19.8%	5.1%	331	43.5%	20.2%	5.4%	284	41.5%	N/A	N/A
Carroll	58	22.4%	17.2%	10.3%	41	22.0%	12.2%	4.9%	27	33.3%	N/A	N/A
Harford	74	39.2%	17.6%	4.1%	70	35.7%	15.7%	8.6%	90	41.1%	N/A	N/A
Howard	114	40.4%	25.4%	10.5%	70	51.4%	25.7%	5.7%	76	53.9%	N/A	N/A
<b>R-III - Western</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>40.2%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>37.9%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Allegany	38	44.7%	26.3%	13.2%	39	51.3%	17.9%	5.1%	41	34.1%	N/A	N/A
Frederick	53	30.2%	13.2%	5.7%	66	42.4%	22.7%	6.1%	52	23.1%	N/A	N/A
Garrett	10	40.0%	30.0%	30.0%	12	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6	50.0%	N/A	N/A
Washington	88	44.3%	14.8%	2.3%	87	58.6%	27.6%	4.6%	41	58.5%	N/A	N/A
<b>R-IV - Eastern</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>36.7%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>42.7%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Caroline	24	41.7%	8.3%	0.0%	18	38.9%	5.6%	0.0%	10	40.0%	N/A	N/A
Cecil	43	25.6%	18.6%	4.7%	43	25.6%	16.3%	0.0%	49	34.7%	N/A	N/A
Dorchester	13	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%	25	40.0%	16.0%	8.0%	29	58.6%	N/A	N/A
Kent	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	4	100.0%	75.0%	25.0%	8	37.5%	N/A	N/A
Queen Anne's	7	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	9	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	10	30.0%	N/A	N/A
Somerset	12	58.3%	25.0%	8.3%	9	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	11	27.3%	N/A	N/A
Talbot	12	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%	7	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	8	37.5%	N/A	N/A
Wicomico	49	46.9%	16.3%	10.2%	40	50.0%	15.0%	12.5%	59	57.6%	N/A	N/A
Worcester	34	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%	40	30.0%	15.0%	2.5%	29	24.1%	N/A	N/A
<b>R-V - Southern</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>36.8%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>36.0%</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>35.4%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Anne Arundel	171	36.3%	15.8%	8.2%	151	37.7%	19.2%	10.6%	143	44.1%	N/A	N/A
Calvert	37	51.4%	13.5%	8.1%	36	33.3%	22.2%	19.4%	38	13.2%	N/A	N/A
Charles	105	39.0%	21.0%	9.5%	84	32.1%	15.5%	4.8%	75	32.0%	N/A	N/A
St. Mary's	78	28.2%	10.3%	3.8%	62	38.7%	14.5%	8.1%	66	33.3%	N/A	N/A
<b>R-VI - Metro</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>30.3%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>36.6%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Montgomery	108	30.6%	19.4%	13.0%	110	35.5%	18.2%	7.3%	128	49.2%	N/A	N/A
Prince George's	284	25.7%	9.2%	6.0%	184	27.2%	8.7%	6.5%	189	28.0%	N/A	N/A
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>2,338</b>	<b>41.0%</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>2,012</b>	<b>40.4%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>1,833</b>	<b>41.2%</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of placements. Some counties have a small number of placements; therefore if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

<sup>+</sup>Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015. \*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.




## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL<sup>1</sup>, FY 2015 NEW PROBATION YOUTH<sup>+</sup>



<sup>1</sup>There were 46 youth who did not have MCASP risk levels. Percentages are calculated excluding these youth.

- Youth with a high risk level and a moderate risk level had equal rearrest rates and similar reconviction rates, though consideration needs to be given to the smaller number of youth with a high risk.
- At incarceration, youth with high risk levels had the highest recidivism rates.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2011-2015 NEW PROBATION YOUTH<sup>+</sup>



- Rearrest rates and reconviction rates were lowest in FY 2012 and incarceration rates were lowest in FY 2015.

<sup>+</sup>Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015. \*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.



# Appendices



The 4th Annual Mountain Madness event was held at Meadow Mountain Youth Center and offered youth an opportunity to test their endurance and agility on a fun-filled challenging course.



## Appendix A

### COMMUNITY SERVICES STAFFING DISTRIBUTION AS OF JUNE 30, 2017

Facilities (By Region)	CMS <sup>+</sup>		Mgmt <sup>+</sup>	Admn	RA/CD		Res. Spec.		Services <sup>+</sup>	Secur <sup>+</sup>	Othr <sup>+</sup>	Total
	Spec	Sup			Offr	Sup	Spec	Sup				
<b>R-I-Baltimore City</b>												
CD - BCJJC	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
CD - MYRC	0	0	1	1	33	6	0	0	0	0	0	41
Evening Reporting Center Office	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
Gay Street Office	41	11	4	11	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	72
Hilton Street Office	10	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	15
Plaza Office	7	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	13
<b>R-II-Central</b>												
Arbutus Office	8	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Bel Air Office	7	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Ellicott City Office	10	2	0	2	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	24
Essex Office	12	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
Garrison Office	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Hunt Valley Office	7	4	1	5	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	22
Westminster Office	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
<b>R-III-Western</b>												
Allegany Co Office	4	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	10
Frederick Office	6	2	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	13
Hagerstown Office	7	2	0	3	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	17
Oakland Office	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Cambridge Office	3	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
CD - LESCC	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>												
Centreville Office	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Chestertown Office	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Denton Office	2	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
Easton Office	3	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8
Elkton Office	6	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Ocean City Office*	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9
Princess Anne Office	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	6
Salisbury Office	10	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Snow Hill Office	4	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
<b>R-V-Southern</b>												
Annapolis Office	14	4	2	5	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	31
Glen Burnie Office	7	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
LaPlata Office	11	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	17
Leonardtown Office	6	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Prince Frederick Office	4	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>												
Largo Office	17	7	0	5	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	36
Rockville Office	15	4	1	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	28
Silver Spring Office	4	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
Upper Marlboro Office	15	2	2	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	24
<b>Total Filled</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>537</b>
<b>Total Vacancies</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50</b>

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

\*Ocean City Office is a seasonal office, staffed by contractual employees.

+ "CMS" means Case Management Specialist; "Mgmt" includes management and Assistant Area Directors; "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Secur" includes Police Officer Trainee or Building Security Officer classifications; "Othr" (Other) includes transportation, recreation, and program specialists.

## Appendix B

### DETENTION CENTER STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2017<sup>1</sup>

Facility (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services <sup>+</sup>	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Othr <sup>+</sup>	Total
	Advis	Sup										
<b>R-I-Baltimore City</b>												
BCJJC**	110	6	8	8	4	6	21	17	11	4	2	197
<b>R-II-Central</b>												
Hickey School**	100	6	7	7	3	4	8	12	5	3	2	157
<b>R-III-Western</b>												
WMCC	25	4	2	2	3	1	2	5	6	1	1	52
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>												
LESCC	26	4	2	2	3	1	3	4	5	1	1	52
<b>R-V-Southern</b>												
Waxter Center	47	3	3	3	6	2	2	7	3	2	1	79
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>												
Cheltenham**	82	7	6	9	4	3	4	9	7	2	1	134
Noyes Children's Ctr.	45	6	4	4	3	1	3	0	1	2	1	70
<b>Total Filled</b>	<b>435</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>741</b>
Vacancies Available	14	6	7	4	7	0	2	5	8	1	1	55

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

\*“GLM” represents Group Life Manager; “CMS” represents Case Management Specialist; “Facil” represents Facility Maintenance at all facilities and at BCJJC it represents Facility Maintenance and Building Security Officers.

+“Services” includes substance abuse and behavioral health; “Othr” includes transportation and recreation.

\*\*Direct clinical services are provided by contracted vendors. For the MAST initiative, DJS added full-time, non-contractual psychologist and social work positions.

<sup>1</sup> MSDE gradually assumed responsibility for all education positions previously under the auspices of DJS with the last youth center taken over in June 2013.

## Appendix C

### COMMITTED PROGRAM STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2017<sup>1</sup>

Facility (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services <sup>+</sup>	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Othr <sup>+</sup>	Total
	Advis	Sup										
<b>R-III (Western)</b>												
Backbone Mt. Yth Ctr	37	3	3	5	2	1	2	4	0	2	1	60
Green Ridge Yth Ctr	32	2	1	5	3	2	2	6	0	2	1	56
Meadow Mt. Yth Ctr	31	3	3	5	4	1	2	4	0	2	2	57
Savage Mt. Yth Ctr	31	1	3	4	4	1	2	4	0	1	1	52
Victor Cullen	52	6	2	5	5	1	6	6	6	3	1	93
Yth Ctrs Central Office	0	0	0	1	0	2	4	0	0	1	0	8
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>												
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr	17	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	2	2	1	30
<b>Total Filled</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>356</b>
Vacancies Available	0	3	8	0	4	1	0	2	3	1	1	23

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

\*“GLM” represents Group Life Manager; “CMS” represents Case Management Specialist; “Facil” represents Facility Maintenance.

+“Services” includes substance abuse and behavioral health; “Othr” includes transportation and recreation.

<sup>1</sup> MSDE gradually assumed responsibility for all education positions previously under the auspices of DJS with the last youth center taken over in June 2013.

## Appendix D STATE-OPERATED FACILITY EXPENDITURES, FY 2017<sup>+</sup>

	General Admin	Mainten.	Education Services*	Somatic Health	Direct Care	Dietary Services	Mental Health Services	Juvenile Incent.	Grand Total
<b>Baltimore City</b>	\$3,384,319	\$1,337,361	\$655,798	\$2,048,287	\$12,928,411	\$1,982,049	\$2,005,226	\$682	\$24,342,133
<b>Carter</b>	\$438,005	\$216,543	\$25,286	\$331,651	\$1,711,824	\$152,890	\$252,114	\$147	\$3,128,460
<b>Cheltenham</b>	\$2,431,936	\$822,691	\$280,190	\$1,696,243	\$11,424,562	\$859,751	\$2,460,819	\$1	\$19,976,193
<b>Green Ridge</b>	\$404,820	\$234,169	\$0	\$175	\$3,092,671	\$463,285	\$202,447	\$259	\$4,397,826
<b>Hickey</b>	\$1,223,464	\$2,154,696	\$279,588	\$1,396,070	\$10,547,485	\$1,202,731	\$1,224,113	\$83	\$18,028,230
<b>Lower East Shore</b>	\$424,404	\$252,833	\$92,231	\$679,588	\$3,417,253	\$463,112	\$418,207	\$710	\$5,748,338
<b>Noyes</b>	\$825,075	\$366,453	\$183,223	\$769,386	\$5,620,202	\$536,306	\$577,298	\$600	\$8,878,543
<b>State-Wide Youth Centers**</b>	\$1,046,594	\$5,070,256	\$519,967	\$1,518,708	\$11,376,121	\$1,189,023	\$1,298,148	\$3,817	\$22,022,634
<b>Victor Cullen</b>	\$1,075,762	\$873,932	\$120,964	\$860,908	\$5,943,126	\$703,639	\$608,261	\$0	\$10,186,592
<b>Waxter</b>	\$1,425,220	\$205,212	\$141,872	\$870,702	\$4,620,063	\$576,880	\$878,713	\$82	\$8,718,744
<b>Western Maryland</b>	\$608,744	\$257,772	\$108,284	\$722,457	\$2,942,468	\$449,098	\$258,828	\$0	\$5,347,651
<b>Grand Total</b>	\$13,288,343	\$11,791,918	\$2,407,403	\$10,894,175	\$73,624,186	\$8,578,764	\$10,184,174	\$6,381	\$130,775,344

\*Education costs reflect the amounts collected from Local Education Agencies. These funds are transferred to MSDE who is responsible for the operation of the Education programs in DJS facilities.

\*\*Does not include Green Ridge Youth Center which is budgeted separately as a regionalized Youth Center. \* Some data for Direct Care, Mental Health Services, and Totals were mis-reported in the originally published FY 2017 DRG. The correct figures are shown in the table above.

## Appendix E STATE-OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY17

	Per Diem Cost	Avg. Annual Cost
<b>Baltimore City</b>	\$625	\$228,205
<b>Carter</b>	\$934	\$341,008
<b>Cheltenham</b>	\$943	\$344,336
<b>Green Ridge</b>	\$448**	\$164,098**
<b>Hickey</b>	\$974	\$355,684
<b>L. Eastern Shore</b>	\$861**	\$314,228**
<b>Noyes</b>	\$752	\$274,300
<b>State-Wide Youth Centers*</b>	\$823	\$307,579**
<b>Victor Cullen</b>	\$981	\$358,207
<b>Waxter</b>	\$951	\$347,242
<b>Western Maryland</b>	\$680	\$248,311

<sup>1</sup>The data were mis-reported in the originally published FY 2017 DRG. The correct figures are shown in the table above.

\*Does not include Green Ridge Youth Center which is budgeted separately as a regionalized Youth Center.

## FY 2017 COMMITTED PROGRAM PER DIEM RATES

	Program Type	FY17 Per Diem Range*, ***	Avg. FY17 Per Diem Rate**
<b>In-State</b>	Treatment Foster Care	\$114.08 - \$249.16	\$152.55
	Group Home	\$182.57 - \$276.36	\$213.41
	Therapeutic Group Home	\$256.03 - \$270.11	\$264.89
	Independent Living	\$98.99 - \$183.50	\$131.46
	Alternative Living	\$254.36	\$254.36
	Residential Treatment Center	\$387.79 - \$548.60	\$467.97
	Diagnostic Unit/CEU	\$302.50 - \$313.15	\$307.83
	Residential Treatment Center	\$291.27 - \$408.00	\$352.19
<b>Out-of-State</b>	Staff Secure	\$134.98 - \$351.75	\$216.89
	Hardware Secure	\$323.25 - \$360.00	\$347.22

\*\*Per Diem Ranges\* are presented for privately-operated programs that DJS reimburses for service using a single rate. Rates are not presented for programs that are funded in whole or in part by other sources such as private health care insurance or Medicaid. IFCA and Psychiatric Hospitals are excluded as a class for this reason, and rates for in-state Residential Treatment Centers are based on 8 of 10 programs utilized by DJS during FY 2017.

\*\*The average per diem rate should not be used to calculate the total cost by program type given the range of per diem rates. The average per diem rate does not take into account individual program utilization and the total cost may vary substantially based on the number of youth who actually participate in each program.

\*\*\*Educational costs are not included in the per diem rates. Educational costs may vary within a program depending on the combination of services provided (e.g., regular education vs. special education) and the educational needs of youth placed in the program.

## Appendix F

### DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 1

Youth Name: \_\_\_\_\_

ASSIST ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Birth: \_\_\_\_\_

Race: \_\_\_\_\_

Gender: \_\_\_\_\_

Complaint ID/Complaint Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Worker Name: \_\_\_\_\_

County of Jurisdiction: \_\_\_\_\_

Date DRAI Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Decision: \_\_\_\_\_

Police Requested Detention:  Yes  No

Completed by Night Intake:  Yes  No

#### DETENTION RISK SCORING

##### 1. Charges Pending Adjudication

- Two or more prior charges pending adjudication for Category I or II Offenses: 8 Points
- One or more prior charge pending adjudication for a Category III, IV, or V Offense: 4 Points
- One prior charge pending adjudication for a Category I or II Offense: 2 Points
- No additional pending charges: 0 Points

##### 2. Prior Sustained Adjudications / Current Supervision

- One prior sustained adjudication for a Category III or IV Offense OR Probation Supervision: 5 Points
- One or more prior sustained adjudication for a Category V Offense OR Pre-Court or ATD Supervision: 3 Points
- One or more prior sustained adjudications for a Category I or II Offense OR Intensive Supervision: 1 Point
- Two or more prior sustained adjudications for Category III or IV Offenses OR Aftercare Supervision: 1 Point
- No prior sustained adjudication AND no current supervision: 0 Points

##### 3. History of Failure to Appear for a Court Hearing (within past 12 months)

- One or more FTA for court hearing in past 12 months : 5 Points
- No FTA for court hearing in past 12 months: 0 Points

##### 4. History of Escape/AWOL (within past 12 months)

- One or more instances of escape/AWOL in past 12 months: 4 Points
- No escapes/AWOLS in past 12 months: 0 Points

##### 5. Prior Detentions (within past 6 months)

- One or more prior detention in past 6 months: 2 Points
- No prior detentions in past 6 months: 0 Points

##### 6. Age at First Felony Complaint

- 16 or under: 4 Points
- Over 16 or no prior felony complaint: 0 Points

DRAI RISK SCORE:

DRAI RISK LEVEL:

Low: 0-7 points  
Moderate: 8-12 points  
High: 13+ points



**DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 2**

<b>DRAI RATIONALES (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)</b>	
<b>New Complaint:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Most Serious New Alleged Offense:</b>	<input style="width: 150px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> <span style="margin-left: 20px;">Offense Category: <input style="width: 40px; height: 20px;" type="text"/></span> (Cat. 1 or 2 = Mandatory Detention)
<b>Mandatory Court and Policy Holds</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> ATD Violation (Court Order Requires Detention at First Infraction)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Non-ATD GPS Violation (Court Order Requires Detention at First Infraction)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Writ or Warrant	
<input type="checkbox"/> Detained for Adult Court Pending Transfer Hearing, or Transferred Pending Juvenile Charging	
<input type="checkbox"/> Court-Ordered Detention at Hearing (include Committed Youth Held for Court Hearing)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Interstate Hold	
<input type="checkbox"/> Firearm use / possession (exclude BB guns)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Escape – Secure Facility	
<input type="checkbox"/> Ejected – Committed Placement	
<b>Non-Mandatory Rationales</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Transferred From Adult Court	
<input type="checkbox"/> Violation of Probation / Violation of Commitment	
<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-Adjudicated ATD Violation	
<input type="checkbox"/> Post-Disposition ATD Violation	

**INDICATED DECISION:**

**DECISION COMPONENTS:**   
 (Risk Score, Offense Seriousness, Mandatory Hold)

## Appendix F (cont.)

### DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 3

**ACTUAL DECISION:**

- RELEASE
  DETENTION ALTERNATIVE (ATD)
  DETAIN

Please check ALL aggravating and mitigating factors below that impact your decision to override.

REASON(S) FOR OVERRIDE UP TO ATD OR SECURE DETENTION	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent refusal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent unavailable
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth has a history of violence in the home or victim resides in the home
<input type="checkbox"/>	ATD refusal (specify name of ATD(s)) _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shelter refusal (specify name of shelter(s)) _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shelter unavailable
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify, <b>required</b> ) _____

REASON(S) FOR OVERRIDE DOWN TO ATD OR RELEASE	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Age of youth
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent willing/able to provide supervision
<input type="checkbox"/>	Category I or II offense is less serious than indicated by charge _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	New charge referred is not recent
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify, <b>required</b> ) _____

**OVERRIDES:**

SUPERVISOR APPROVAL OBTAINED Yes No

Intake Worker Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Authorizing Supervisor (please print): \_\_\_\_\_

Supervisor Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 4**

**FIRST COURT APPEARANCE:**

<p><b>Current Detention Status (Prior to Court Action)</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detained</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/>CD <input type="checkbox"/>Shelter <input type="checkbox"/>DRAP <input type="checkbox"/>ERC <input type="checkbox"/>Other:_____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Released</p>
<p><b>DJS Recommendation to Court</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detained</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/>CD <input type="checkbox"/>Shelter <input type="checkbox"/>DRAP <input type="checkbox"/>ERC <input type="checkbox"/>Other:_____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Release to: <input type="checkbox"/>Parent <input type="checkbox"/>Sibling <input type="checkbox"/>Grandparent <input type="checkbox"/>Aunt/Uncle <input type="checkbox"/>Other:_____</p>
<p><b>Court Detention Decision</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detained</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/>CD <input type="checkbox"/>Shelter <input type="checkbox"/>DRAP <input type="checkbox"/>ERC <input type="checkbox"/>Other:_____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Release to: <input type="checkbox"/>Parent <input type="checkbox"/>Sibling <input type="checkbox"/>Grandparent <input type="checkbox"/>Aunt/Uncle <input type="checkbox"/>Other:_____</p> <p><b>Special Circumstances Affecting Detention Decision:</b> _____</p>

## Appendix G

### DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH IN DETENTION

DJS Housing Classification Assessment for Youth in Detention		
Name of Youth	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; border-bottom: 1px solid black;"> <span>_____</span> <span>_____</span> <span>_____</span> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: small;"> <span><i>first</i></span> <span><i>last</i></span> <span><i>MI</i></span> </div>	Youth PID: _____ Youth DOB: _____
Date of Admission:	_____	Staff Name: _____
<b>1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Charge (for detained youth), or Adjudication (for pending placement youth):</b> <i>Category I = 4 points</i> <i>Category III = 2 points</i> <i>Category II = 3 points</i> <i>Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point</i> Points: _____		
<b>2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense:</b> _____ <i>Category I = 4 points</i> <i>Category II = 3 points</i> <i>Category III = 2 points</i> <i>Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point</i> <i>None = 0 points</i> Points: _____		
<b>3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody*</b> (See summary on Face Sheet) <small>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</small> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 30%;"> <i>6 or more = 6 points</i>  <i>4 or 5 = 4 points</i> </div> <div style="width: 30%;"> <i>2 or 3 = 2 points</i>  <i>0 or 1 = 0 points</i> </div> <div style="width: 30%;">           Points: _____         </div> </div>		
<b>4. Current Age of Youth:</b> _____ Points: _____ <i>Under 14 = 4 Points, 15-17 = 2 Points, 18 and Over = 0 Points.</i>		
Total Score: _____		
<b>Recommended Classification:</b> <i>Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i> _____		
<b>Special Housing Issues.</b> Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.		
Y/N	<b>Issue:</b>	<b>Details</b>
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____
<b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b> _____ <small>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</small>		
<b>Double Bunking Concerns.</b> Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions		
Y/N	<b>Issue:</b>	
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.	
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together	
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.	
_____	Other. <b>Details:</b>	_____
<b>Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):</b> _____		
<b>Unit Assignment:</b> _____ <small>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</small>		
<b>Room Number:</b> _____		<b>Single Room Only? (Y/N)</b> _____

**DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH IN DETENTION**

### Housing Classification Re-Assessment for Youth in Detention

**Current Supervision Level:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Current Pod/Unit Assignment:** \_\_\_\_\_

**1. Current Score - From Last Classification Assessment** **Current Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment**  
*1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points.* **Points:** \_\_\_\_\_

**3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment\***  
*\*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.*

<b>5 or more incidents</b>	<b>= 4 Points</b>	
<b>2 - 4 incidents</b>	<b>= 2 Points</b>	<b>Points:</b> _____
<b>0 - 1 incidents</b>	<b>= - 4 Points</b>	

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Recommended Supervision Level:** *Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above* \_\_\_\_\_

**Special Housing Issues.** *Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions*

Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____
<b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b> _____		
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>		

**Double Bunking Concerns.** *Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions*

Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.
_____	Other. <b>Details:</b> _____

**Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):** \_\_\_\_\_

**Unit Assignment:** \_\_\_\_\_ *If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve*

**Room Number:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Single Room Only? (Y/N)** \_\_\_\_\_

## Appendix H

### DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR COMMITTED YOUTH

<b>DJS Housing Classification Assessment For Committed Youth</b>			
<b>Name of Youth</b>	_____	_____	<b>Youth PID:</b> _____
	<i>first</i>	<i>last</i>	<b>Youth DOB:</b> _____
		<i>MI</i>	
<b>Date of Admission:</b> _____	<b>Staff Name:</b> _____		
<b>1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Committing Offense:</b>			
<i>Category I = 4 points</i>	<i>Category III = 2 points</i>		<b>Points:</b> _____
<i>Category II = 3 points</i>	<i>Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point</i>		
<b>2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense:</b>			
<i>Category I = 4 points</i>	<i>Category III = 2 points</i>		<b>Points:</b> _____
<i>Category II = 3 points</i>	<i>Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point</i>		
<b>3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody* (See summary on Face Sheet)</b>			
<i>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</i>			
<i>6 or more = 6 points</i>	<i>2 or 3 = 2 points</i>		<b>Points:</b> _____
<i>4 or 5 = 4 points</i>	<i>0 or 1 = 0 points</i>		
<b>4. Current Age of Youth:</b>			
<i>Under 14 = 4 Points, 15-17 = 2 Points, 18 and Over = 0 Points.</i>			<b>Points:</b> _____
			<b>Total Score:</b> _____
<b>Recommended Classification:</b> <i>Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i>			
<b>Special Housing Issues.</b> <i>Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.</i>			
<b>Y/N</b>	<b>Issue:</b>	<b>Details</b>	
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	
_____	Protective Custody	_____	
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____	
<b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b> _____			
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>			
<b>Special Concerns.</b> <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.</i>			
<b>Y/N</b>	<b>Issue:</b>		
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old		
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other		
_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth		
_____	Other. <b>Details:</b> _____		
<b>Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):</b> _____			
<b>Unit Assignment:</b>	_____	<i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i>	
<b>Room Number:</b>	_____		

**DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR COMMITTED YOUTH**

<b>Housing Classification Re-Assessment For Committed Youth</b>																
<p><b>Current Supervision Level:</b> _____</p> <p><b>Current Unit Assignment:</b> _____</p>																
<p><b>1. Current Score - From last Classification Assessment</b></p>	<p><b>Current Score:</b> _____</p>															
<p><b>2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment</b>  <i>1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points.</i></p>	<p><b>Points:</b> _____</p>															
<p><b>3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment*</b></p> <p><i>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.</i></p> <p><i>5 or more incidents = 4 Points</i></p> <p><i>2 - 4 incidents = 2 Points</i></p> <p><i>0 - 1 incidents = - 4 Points</i></p>																
<p><b>Points:</b> _____</p>																
<p><b>Total Score:</b> _____</p>																
<p><b>Recommended Supervision Level:</b> _____ <i>Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i></p>																
<p><b>Special Housing Issues.</b> <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center; width: 10%;">Y/N</th> <th style="text-align: center; width: 45%;">Issue:</th> <th style="text-align: center; width: 45%;">Details</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Medical Condition/Injury</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Protective Custody</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b> _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i></p>		Y/N	Issue:	Details	_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	_____	Protective Custody	_____	_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____			
Y/N	Issue:	Details														
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____														
_____	Protective Custody	_____														
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____														
<p><b>Special Concerns.</b> <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center; width: 10%;">Y/N</th> <th style="text-align: center; width: 45%;">Issue:</th> <th style="text-align: center; width: 45%;">Details:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Other.</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Y/N	Issue:	Details:	_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old	_____	_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other	_____	_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth	_____	_____	Other.	_____
Y/N	Issue:	Details:														
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old	_____														
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other	_____														
_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth	_____														
_____	Other.	_____														
<p><b>Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):</b> _____</p>																
<p><b>Unit Assignment:</b> _____</p>	<p><i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i></p>															
<p><b>Room Number:</b> _____</p>																

## Appendix I

### MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 1

#### MCASP Intake Risk Screen

##### Part I: DELINQUENT HISTORY

ITEM	Scoring
<b>1. Age at First Offense:</b> The age at the time of the offense for which the youth was referred to DJJ for the first time on a misdemeanor or felony.	<input type="checkbox"/> Over 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 13 to 14 <input type="checkbox"/> Under 13
<b>2. Misdemeanor Referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor.	<input type="checkbox"/> One or fewer <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or four <input type="checkbox"/> Five or more
<b>3. Felony Referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a felony.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
<b>4. Weapon Referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense includes the possession or use of a firearm or explosive.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One or more
<b>5. Against-person misdemeanor referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was an against-person misdemeanor. An against-person misdemeanor involves threats, force, or physical harm to another person such as assault, sex, coercion, harassment, obscene phone call, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
<b>6. Against-person felony referrals:</b> Total number of referrals for an against-person felony. An against-person felony involves force or physical harm to another person such as homicide, murder, manslaughter, assault, rape, sex, robbery, kidnapping, domestic violence, harassment, criminal mistreatment, intimidation, coercion, obscene harassing phone call, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One or two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
<b>7. Sexual misconduct misdemeanor referrals:</b> Total number of referrals for which the most serious offense was a sexual misconduct 4 <sup>th</sup> degree misdemeanor.	
<b>8. Felony sex offense referrals:</b> Total number of referrals for a felony sex offense – first, second, or third degree.	
<b>9. Detention:</b> Number of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
<b>10. Placement:</b> Number of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJJ (including pending placement in a detention facility).	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
<b>11. Escapes:</b> Total number of referrals for escape.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
<b>12. Failure to appear in court warrants:</b> Total number of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-criminal matters.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more



## MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 2

### Part II - SOCIAL HISTORY

ITEM	Responses
<p><b>1a. Youth's <u>current</u> school enrollment status, regardless of attendance.</b> If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check <i>Expelled</i> or <i>Dropped out</i>; otherwise check <i>Enrolled full</i> or <i>part-time</i>.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Graduated/GED <input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled full-time <input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled part-time <input type="checkbox"/> Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Dropped out <input type="checkbox"/> Expelled <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>1b. Youth's school conduct, last 3 months. <i>Check all that apply.</i></b> Conduct problems include things like fighting or threatening students/teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; crimes (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying, cheating.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No school conduct problems <input type="checkbox"/> Conduct problems reported by teachers <input type="checkbox"/> Calls to parents about conduct <input type="checkbox"/> Calls to police about conduct <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>1c. Youth's attendance in last 3 months.</b> Partial-day absence means missing less than half the school day. Full-day absence means missing all or most of school day.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No full-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Some full-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Some partial-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Chronic full-day unexcused absences or youth is currently dropped out <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>1d. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> A average <input type="checkbox"/> B average <input type="checkbox"/> C average, no F's <input type="checkbox"/> C or D average, with one or more F's <input type="checkbox"/> Mostly D's and F's <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>2. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with—last 3 months. <i>Check all that apply.</i></b> <i>Anti-social:</i> Friends that are hostile to the social order, disdain authority, engage in criminal/delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No consistent friends or companions <input type="checkbox"/> Pro-social friends <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-social friends <input type="checkbox"/> Gang member/associate <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>3. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime).</b> Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJJ commitments.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No placements ever <input type="checkbox"/> 1 or more placements <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>4. Number of times youth has run away or gotten kicked out of home (youth's lifetime).</b> Include <u>all</u> incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No incidents <input type="checkbox"/> 1 incident <input type="checkbox"/> 2 or more incidents <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>5. Current household members with history of jail/prison/detention. <i>Check all members that apply or check "None"</i>.</b> Mother and father refer to current parent, legal guardian or youth's primary female and male caretakers residing in household.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Mother/female caretaker <input type="checkbox"/> Father/male caretaker <input type="checkbox"/> Older sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Younger sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Other member <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>6. Youth's current compliance with guardian's/caretaker's rules—last 3 months.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth usually obeys rules <input type="checkbox"/> Youth sometimes obeys rules <input type="checkbox"/> Youth consistently disobeys, is hostile to parental authority <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown

## Appendix I (cont.)

### MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 3

<p><b>7. Current alcohol/drug use.</b> First assess whether the youth has used alcohol or drugs in the last 3 months. If there is an indication of recent use, then examine (1) whether drug use contributes or is related in some way to the youth's recent criminal behavior, and (2) whether the current/recent use is serious enough to disrupt the youth's life, causing problems related to education, family life, social life or health.</p>	
<p><b>7a. Current alcohol use—last 3 months.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>7b. Current drug use—last 3 months.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>7c. Alcohol or drug use contributes to current/recent criminal behavior.</b> Substance use either motivates criminal behavior (e.g. stealing to support a habit) or is related to criminal behavior in some other way (e.g., substance use is connected with violent, risky or impulsive behaviors that get the youth into trouble).</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>7d. Alcohol or drug use disrupts other areas of youth's life.</b> Substance use creates problems with any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>School</b> (e.g., problems with school attendance, conduct or grades);</li> <li>• <b>Family</b> (e.g., stealing at home to support use, withdrawing, arguing over use);</li> <li>• <b>Peers</b> (e.g., loss of pro-social friends, inability to form pro-social relationships);</li> <li>• <b>Health</b> (e.g., trips to emergency room, medical problems related to use).</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>8. Victim of physical or sexual abuse during lifetime.</b> <b>Check all that apply.</b> Include any history of suspected abuse, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of abuse proven to be false. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Physical abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>9. Victim of neglect during lifetime.</b> Include any history of suspected neglect, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of neglect proven to be false. Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>10. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever during lifetime).</b> Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, depression, personality and other diagnosed disorders. <u>Exclude</u> substance abuse, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, ADD/ADHD and special education needs. Confirm by a professional in the social service/healthcare field that the youth was diagnosed, prescribed medicine or treated for a mental health problem.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown

## Appendix J

### QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - REVISED 2018, PAGE 1

Item
<b>DOMAIN 1: Delinquent History</b>
<b>1. Age at first adjudication:</b> Age at first adjudication for a misdemeanor or felony offense.
<b>2. Adjudications:</b> Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was a felony or misdemeanor.
<b>A. Misdemeanor adjudications:</b> Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor.
<b>B. Felony adjudications:</b> Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was a felony.
<b>3. Against-person misdemeanor adjudications:</b> Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was an against-person misdemeanor.
<b>4. Against-person felony adjudications:</b> Total # of adjudications for an against-person felony.
<b>5. Detention:</b> # of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.
<b>6. Placement:</b> # of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJS (including pending placement in a detention facility).
<b>7. Escapes:</b> Total # of referrals for escape.
<b>8. Failure to appear in court warrants:</b> Total # of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-delinquent matters.
<b>DOMAIN 2: School (History)</b>
<b>1. Youth is/was a special education student or has had a formal diagnosis of a special education need (in any grade).</b> If the specific type of special ed. need is not known, check Other/ Unspecified. Check all that apply.
<b>2. Total number of times expelled or suspended for conduct problems.</b> Only consider out-of-school suspensions for conduct problems.
<b>A. Since first grade.</b>
<b>B. In most recent school term.</b>
<b>3. Age at first expulsion or suspension.</b> Only consider out-of-school suspensions for conduct problems.
<b>DOMAIN 2: School (Current)</b>
<b>4. Youth has been enrolled in school during the last 3 months or most recent school term, regardless of attendance.</b>
<b>5. Youth's <u>current</u> school enrollment status, regardless of attendance.</b> If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check Expelled or Dropped out; otherwise check Enrolled full-time or Enrolled part-time.
<b>A. Type of school in which youth is <u>currently</u> enrolled.</b> Select the school in which the youth spends most of his/her day.
<b>6. Youth believes there is value in getting an education.</b>
<b>7. Youth believes school environment is encouraging.</b>
<b>8. Number of school staff (e.g., teachers, coaches, counselors, and support staff) the youth likes/feels comfortable with.</b>
<b>9. Youth's involvement in school activities, most recent school term.</b> E.g., Ongoing participation in school leadership activities, school clubs, arts-related activities, athletics, other extracurricular school activities.
<b>10. Youth's school conduct, most recent school term.</b> Conduct problems include things like fighting or threatening students/teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; delinquent acts (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying, cheating. Check all that apply.
<b>11. Youth feels safe in school, most recent school term.</b>
<b>12. Youth's attendance in the most recent school term.</b> Partial-day absence means missing less than half the school day. Full-day absence means missing all or most of school day.
<b>13. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.</b>
<b>DOMAIN 3: Use of Free Time</b>
<b>1. Current interest and involvement in <u>structured</u> recreational activities.</b> Youth regularly participates in structured, supervised pro-social community-based activity, such as church, community, or cultural group, or an organized music, art, sports, or volunteer activity.
<b>2. Current interest and involvement in pro-social <u>unstructured</u> recreational activities.</b> Youth regularly engages in unstructured activities that positively occupy his or her time, such as reading, hobbies, unsupervised sports, etc.
<b>DOMAIN 4: Employment (History and Current)</b>
<b>1. History of employment.</b>
<b>2. Youth's history of success on the job.</b> Check all that apply.
<b>3. Current employment status.</b> Employment problems include things like youth not getting along with boss or co-workers, poor performance on the job, poor attendance, etc.

## Appendix J (cont.)

### QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - REVISED 2018, PAGE 2

Item
4. Current interest in employment.
<b>DOMAIN 5: Relationships in the Community (History and Current)</b>
1. Current positive relationships with adults in the community. Adults who provide support and model pro-social behavior (such as a religious or youth leader, coach, neighbor, mentor, etc.)
2. History of delinquent friends/companions—last few years. Delinquent: Friends who engage in delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc. Check all that apply.
3. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with, last 3 months. Delinquent: Friends who engage in delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc. Check all that apply.
4. Currently admires/emulates delinquent/criminal peers.
5. Current resistance to delinquent/criminal peer influence.
<b>DOMAIN 6: Family (History)</b>
1. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime). Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJS detentions and commitments.
2. Number of times youth has either run away or gotten kicked out of home: Include all incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement.
A. Incidents across lifetime.
B. Any incidents in last 3 months.
C. Currently a runaway or kicked out.
3. Household members who ever lived with youth (at least 3 months) and had a history of criminal conviction/prison. Mother and father refer to parent, legal guardian or youth's primary female and male caretakers residing in household. Check all members that apply or check "None".
4. Siblings who ever lived with youth (at least 3 months) and have a history of adjudication/commitment. Check all members that apply or check "None".
<b>DOMAIN 6: Family (Current Household)</b>
5. Youth has been living under adult supervision during last 3 months. Adult supervision: Someone is responsible for the youth's day-to-day welfare, either legally or with parental consent.
6. Youth has been living in a stable environment during last 3 months.
7. Persons with whom youth is currently living. Check all that apply.
8. Youth's current parenting status.
9. Annual combined income of youth and family.
10. Problem history of parents/caretakers who currently live with youth. Check if either of the parents/caretakers in the current household have had a problem in the past or currently have a problem. Check all that apply or check "No problems".
11. Current support network for youth's family. Extended family and/or friends who can provide additional support (emotional, financial, or other forms of assistance) to the family.
12. Family willingness to support youth.
13. Family member(s) youth currently feels close to or has good relationship with. Family member does NOT have to live in youth's household. Check all that apply.
14. Current level of conflict between parents, between youth and parents, among siblings, last 3 months. Select the most serious level of conflict.
15. Current parental supervision, last 3 months. Good supervision: Parents know whom youth is with, when youth will return, where youth is going, and what youth is doing.
16. Youth's current compliance with parent's rules and authority.
17. Current parental approach to discipline. Appropriate discipline involves clear communication, timely response, and response proportionate to youth's conduct.
18. Current parental approach to rewarding youth. Appropriate rewards involve acknowledging positive behavior, giving praise and positive feedback (as well as tangible rewards), providing a reward in response to and proportionate to positive conduct.

## QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - REVISED 2018, PAGE 3

Item
<b>DOMAIN 7: Alcohol &amp; Drugs</b>
1. History of alcohol use <u>prior to the last 3 months</u> .
A. Past alcohol use.
B. Age at first alcohol use.
C. Past alcohol use disrupted functioning.
2. History of drug use <u>prior to the last 3 months</u> .
A. Past drug use.
B. Age at first drug use.
C. Past drug use disrupted functioning.
3. History of alcohol/drug assessment/diagnosis.
4. History of attending alcohol/drug education classes.
5. History of participating in alcohol/drug treatment program.
6. Any drug or alcohol use within last 3 months.
7. Current alcohol use (within last 3 months):
A. Any <u>current</u> alcohol use.
B. Alcohol use disrupts current functioning.
C. Frequency of alcohol use <u>in the last 30 days</u> .
D. Use of alcohol.
8. Current drug use (within last 3 months):
A. Any <u>current</u> drug use.
B. Drug use disrupts current functioning.
C. Frequency of drug use <u>in the last 30 days</u> .
D. Use of drugs.
9. Type of drugs currently used. Check all that apply.
10. Current participation in alcohol/drug treatment, last 3 months.
<b>DOMAIN 8: Mental Health (History)</b>
1. <b>Victim of neglect during lifetime.</b> Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision.
2. <b>Exposure to violence during lifetime.</b> Check all that apply.
3. <b>Victim of violence/physical abuse during lifetime.</b> Check all that apply.
4. <b>Victim of sexual abuse during lifetime.</b> Check all that apply.
5. <b>Traumatic experiences during lifetime.</b> Ever experienced events such as rape, abuse or observed violence, dreams or flashbacks.
6. <b>History of lead exposure/poisoning.</b>
7. <b>History of ADD/ADHD.</b> Confirm by a professional.
8. <b>Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever in lifetime).</b> Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, depression, personality and other diagnosed disorders. <u>Exclude</u> substance abuse, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, ADD/ADHD, and special education needs. Confirm by a professional.
<b>DOMAIN 8: Mental Health (Current)</b>
9. Current mental health status, last 3 months.
10. ADD/ADHD medication currently prescribed. Confirm by a professional.
11. Mental health treatment currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD treatment. Confirm by a professional.
12. Mental health medication currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD medication. Confirm by a professional.
13. Current health insurance.
<b>DOMAIN 9: Attitudes and Aggression</b>
<b>Part A: Attitudes</b>
1. Youth's primary emotion when committing delinquent act(s).
2. Youth's primary purpose(s) for committing delinquent act(s). Check all that apply.
3. Youth's optimism about future. Youth talks about future in positive way, has plans or aspirations, has pro-social goals (for education, employment, family or other life domains.)

## Appendix J (cont.)

### QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - REVISED 2018, PAGE 4

Item
4. Impulsive; acts before thinking.
5. Youth's belief in control over his/her own anti-social behavior.
6. Youth's empathy, remorse, or sympathy for the victim(s).
7. Youth's respect for adults. Consider youth's general attitude towards parents, teachers, coaches, employer, etc.
8. Youth's attitude toward laws/social norms.
9. Youth's view of his/her anti-social behavior.
10. Youth's belief in successfully meeting conditions of court supervision.
<b>Part B: Aggression</b>
11. Interpretation of actions and intentions of others in common, non-confrontational settings.
12. Tolerance for frustration.
13. Belief in yelling and verbal aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.
14. Belief in fighting and physical aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.

## Appendix K

### CRIME OF VIOLENCE

The Maryland State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy uses the definition found in the Md. Code, Correctional Services Article, Sect. 7-101(m), which states violent crime is a crime of violence as defined in section 14-101 of the Criminal Law Article or burglary in the 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree. Md. Code, Criminal Law, Sect. 14-101 lists the crime of violence as:

- (1) abduction; (2) arson in the first degree; (3) kidnapping; (4) manslaughter, except involuntary manslaughter; (5) mayhem; (6) maiming, as previously proscribed under former Article 27, §§385 and 386 of the Code; (7) murder; (8) rape; (9) robbery under §3-402 or §3-403 of this article; (10) carjacking; (11) armed carjacking; (12) sexual offense in the first degree; (13) sexual offense in the second degree; (14) use of a handgun in the commission of a felony or other crime of violence; (15) child abuse in the first degree under §3-601 of this article; (16) sexual abuse of a minor under §3-602 of this article if:
  - (i) the victim is under the age of 13 years and the offender is an adult at the time of the offense; and
  - (ii) the offense involved:
    1. vaginal intercourse, as defined in §3-301 of this article;
    2. a sexual act, as defined in §3-301 of this article;
    3. an act in which a part of the offender's body penetrates, however slightly, into the victim's genital opening or anus; or
    4. the intentional touching, not through the clothing, of the victim's or the offender's genital, anal, or other intimate area for sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse;
- (17) home invasion under § 6–202(b) of this article; (18) an attempt to commit any of the crimes described in items (1) through (16) of this subsection; (19) continuing course of conduct with a child under §3-315 of this article; (20) assault in the first degree; (21) assault with intent to murder; (22) assault with intent to rape; (23) assault with intent to rob; (24) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the first degree; and (25) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the second degree.

## Appendix L

### MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS

#### Human Services Article

##### • Title 9 - Juvenile Services

- Establishes the functions of the Department of Juvenile Services; enumerates the authority of the Secretary; details the organization and administration of DJS; establishes the operation of state facilities, advisory boards, juvenile care facilities, and regional services; and specifies the procedures for Interstate Compact and the Juvenile Services facility capital program.

#### Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article

##### • Title 3 - Courts of General Jurisdiction

- Establishes the jurisdiction and functions of the juvenile court.

##### • Subtitle 8 – Juvenile Causes – Children in Need of Assistance (CINA)

- **§3-823. Permanency plan for out-of-home placement:** Requires the court to hold a permanency planning hearing to determine the permanency plan for a child no later than 11 months after a child is committed.

##### • Subtitle 8A - Juvenile Causes – Children Other than CINAs and Adults

- **§3-8A-01. Definitions:** Provides the meanings of words commonly used in juvenile justice (e.g. adjudicatory hearing, community detention, detention, disposition, petition, violation.)
- **§3-8A-02. Purposes and construction of subtitle:** Establishes the goals of the Juvenile Justice System.
- **§3-8A-03. Jurisdiction of court:** Specifies the jurisdiction of the court over delinquent, child in need of supervision (CINS), Peace Order, and Interstate Compact juveniles and details exceptions to this jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-05. Determination of Jurisdiction:** Specifies the age of the person at the time the alleged delinquent act was committed and controls the determination of jurisdiction under this subtitle.
- **§3-8A-06. Waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes how the juvenile court may waive jurisdiction of a youth to the adult court.
- **§3-8A-07. Retention, termination or waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes when the court may terminate jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-08. Venue:** Establishes the venue for a CINS petition, a petition concerning delinquency, a Peace Order Request, and certain offenses.
- **§3-8A-09. Transfer of Proceedings:** Describes when the court may transfer certain proceedings to the youth's county of residence or domicile.
- **§3-8A-10. Complaint; preliminary procedures:** Procedural requirements when an intake office receives a complaint from a person or agency or certain citations issued by a police officer.

## Appendix L (cont.)

### MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS (CONT.)

- **§3-8A-10.1. Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program:** Describes the CINS pilot program.
- **§3-8A-12. Certain information inadmissible in subsequent proceedings:** Describes when certain statements or information are inadmissible in certain proceedings.
- **§3-8A-13. Petition; general procedures:** Describes the general procedures for filing a petition that alleges delinquency or CINS and for filing peace order requests.
- **§3-8A-14. Taking child into custody:** Defines methods by which youth may be taken into custody (e.g. by court order/law enforcement officer.)
- **§3-8A-15. Detention and shelter care prior to hearing:** Provides the authorization for placing a youth in detention, community detention, or shelter care for a child who may be in need of supervision or delinquent.
- **§3-8A-16.1. Blood lead level testing:** Authorizes a court to order a child to undergo blood lead level testing after a petition has been filed, but before an adjudication.
- **§3-8A-17. Study and Examination of child, etc:** Describes when the court may direct DJS or another qualified agency to make a study concerning the child, the child’s family, the child’s environment, and other matters relating to the disposition of the case.
- **§3-8A-17.1 – §3-8A-17.12. Juvenile Competency:** Procedural requirements regarding juvenile competency; including evaluations, reports, hearings, services, court findings, and dismissal.
- **§3-8A-18. Adjudication:** Requires the court to hold an adjudicatory hearing; requires the allegations in the petition be proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the youth has committed a delinquent act.
- **§3-8A-19. Disposition; costs:** Requires the court to hold a separate disposition hearing after an adjudicatory hearing unless the court has dismissed/ waived the petition/ citation; allows disposition to be held on the day of the adjudicatory hearing; and describes permitted dispositions.
- **§3-8A-19.1 – §3-8A-19.5. Peace Order Request:** Describes procedures for an intake officer to file a Peace Order Request, peace order hearings, forms of relief the order may include, service of a peace order; modification or recessions, and violations of an order.
- **§3-8A-20. Right to counsel:** Specifies when a youth is entitled to the assistance of counsel.
- **§3-8A-20.1. Treatment service plan:** Defines “treatment service plan” and describes the procedures for development and implementation of a treatment service plan.
- **§3-8A-22. Limitations on place of commitment:** Specifies the limitations on where the court may detain, commit, or transfer a youth.
- **§3-8A-23. Effect of proceedings under subtitle:** Describes the effect of an adjudication and disposition of a child.
- **§3-8A-24. Effective period of order of commitment; renewal of order:** Specifies the length of time for an order of commitment, procedures for renewal of an order, and when the order is effective.
- **§3-8A-25. Progress reports:** Specifies requirements for visitation by a juvenile counselor of a child who is committed to an individual or to a public or private agency or institution. Authorizes the court to require the custodian to file periodic reports.
- **§3-8A-26. Order controlling conduct of a person before the court:** Authorizes the court to make an appropriate order controlling conduct under certain circumstances.
- **§3-8A-27. Confidentiality of records:** Describes confidentiality requirements, procedures, and exceptions for police and court records pertaining to or concerning a child.
- **§3-8A-28. Judgment of restitution:** Authorizes the court to enter a judgment of restitution against the parent of a child, the child, or both.
- **§3-8A-29. Parents liable for support after commitment:** Authorizes the court to order either parent/both parents to pay support of the child.
- **§3-8A-30. Contributing to certain conditions of a child:** Describes the offense of contributing to certain conditions of a child and provides for a penalty.
- **§3-8A-32. Appointment of attorney or advocate to represent child’s interest:** Authorizes a court to appoint an attorney to represent a child’s interest under certain conditions.
- **§3-8A-33. Citation for certain violations:** Authorizes a law enforcement officer to issue a citation to a child if the officer has probable cause to believe that the child is violating certain alcoholic beverage, tobacco, salvia divinorum, or marijuana possession violations.
- **§3-8A-34. Rights of victim or witness of delinquent act:** Refers to the rights of victims and witnesses of delinquent acts.



## Appendix M

### ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION AND SHELTER CARE BY REGION

Region I - Baltimore City	Location
Baltimore City Community Detention	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Evening Reporting Center	Baltimore City
Baltimore City PACT Center	Baltimore City
Detention Reduction Advocacy Program (DRAP)	Baltimore City
Region II - Central	
Baltimore County Community Detention	Baltimore Co.
Baltimore County Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore Co.
Carroll County Community Detention	Carroll
Carroll County Electronic Monitoring	Carroll
Children's Home (Group Home)*	Baltimore Co.
Harford County Community Detention	Harford
Harford County Electronic Monitoring	Harford
Howard County Community Detention	Howard
Howard County Electronic Monitoring	Howard
MAGIC- Unity Home for Girls (Group Home)*	Baltimore Co.
The Board of Child Care - Sh. Term High Inten. GH*	Baltimore Co.
Region III - Western	
Allegany County Community Detention	Allegany
Allegany County Electronic Monitoring	Allegany
Dallas and Mary Bunch Shelter Care	Allegany
Frederick County Community Detention	Frederick
Frederick County Electronic Monitoring	Frederick
Garrett County Community Detention	Garrett
Garrett County Electronic Monitoring	Garrett
John and Nancy Castle - Shelter	Washington
Joseph and Debra McCarney - Shelter	Washington
Pressley Ridge - Treatment Foster Care (Shelter)	Allegany
The Maryland Salem Children's Trust Shelter, Inc	Garrett
Washington County Community Detention	Washington
Washington County Electronic Monitoring	Washington

Region IV - Eastern Shore	Location
Caroline County Community Detention	Caroline
Caroline County Electronic Monitoring	Caroline
Cecil County Community Detention	Cecil
Cecil County Electronic Monitoring	Cecil
Dorchester County Community Detention	Dorchester
Dorchester County Electronic Monitoring	Dorchester
Hot Boards Shelter (Seasonal)	Worcester
Kent County Community Detention	Kent
Kent County Electronic Monitoring	Kent
Queen Anne's County Community Detention	Qn. Anne's
Queen Anne's County Electronic Monitoring	Qn. Anne's
Somerset County Community Detention	Somerset
Somerset County Electronic Monitoring	Somerset
Talbot County Community Detention	Talbot
Talbot County Electronic Monitoring	Talbot
Wicomico County Community Detention	Wicomico
Wicomico County Electronic Monitoring	Wicomico
Worcester County Community Detention	Worcester
Worcester County Electronic Monitoring	Worcester
Region V - Southern	
Anne Arundel County Community Detention	An. Arundel
Anne Arundel County Electronic Monitoring	An. Arundel
Calvert County Community Detention	Calvert
Calvert County Electronic Monitoring	Calvert
Charles County Community Detention	Charles
Charles County Electronic Monitoring	Charles
St. Mary's County Community Detention	St. Mary's
St. Mary's County Electronic Monitoring	St. Mary's
Region VI - Metro	
Hearts & Homes for Youth, Inc (Kemp Mill GH)*	Montgomery
Montgomery County Community Detention	Montgomery
Montgomery County Electronic Monitoring	Montgomery
Montgomery County Evening Reporting Center	Montgomery
Prince George's County Community Detention	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Electronic Monitoring	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Evening Reporting Center	Pr. George's

\*This facility, which is a group home, is used for short-term or shelter placements as needed and based on bed availability.

## Appendix M (cont.)

### ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION BY REGION/COUNTY\*

Region/County	CD <sup>1</sup>			EM <sup>1</sup>			D/ERC			DRAP			PACT			Shelter		
	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS
<b>R-I- Balt. City</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>13.1</b>	<b>19.7</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>54.5</b>	<b>29.8</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>10.9</b>	<b>34.2</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>49.3</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>52.3</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>10.2</b>	<b>18.6</b>
Baltimore City	244	13.1	19.7	660	54.5	29.8	113	10.9	34.2	52	7.0	49.3	57	6.6	52.3	234	10.2	18.6
<b>R-II- Central</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>20.3</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>31.2</b>	<b>34.4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>17.8</b>
Baltimore Co.	38	2.1	21.0	165	15.0	34.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	11	0.3	11.5
Carroll	1	0.0	6.4	25	2.4	34.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.6	24.2
Harford	2	0.1	13.0	63	5.8	35.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.1	51.0
Howard	0	0.0	0.0	84	7.9	34.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.2	15.1
<b>R-III- Western</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>28.4</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>15.1</b>	<b>32.1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>30.3</b>
Allegany	0	0.0	0.0	8	0.7	33.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	7	0.6	29.5
Frederick	3	0.2	30.5	73	7.1	37.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	6	0.3	16.7
Garrett	1	0.3	94.8	5	1.1	89.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.8	73.7
Washington	4	0.1	14.3	88	6.2	25.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	13	0.8	21.8
<b>R-IV- Eastern</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>18.5</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>18.5</b>	<b>30.7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>27.9</b>
Caroline	0	0.0	0.0	7	0.7	39.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.4	69.4
Cecil	2	0.1	15.6	30	3.1	37.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.0
Dorchester	6	0.1	6.6	31	2.7	31.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.0	0.1
Kent	1	0.1	39.0	7	1.1	70.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	2	0.4	69.7	3	0.1	9.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.1	46.8
Somerset	0	0.0	0.0	19	1.3	25.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Talbot	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.1	42.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.1
Wicomico	14	0.7	18.5	88	6.7	27.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	7	0.7	29.0
Worcester	4	0.0	2.7	33	2.6	31.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.2
<b>R-V- Southern</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>28.6</b>	<b>36.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>10.7</b>
Anne Arundel	11	0.7	24.8	89	10.0	40.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	8	0.2	9.9
Calvert	33	2.9	28.3	11	0.9	32.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Charles	2	0.3	58.8	77	7.6	38.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.1	14.1
St. Mary's	4	0.2	21.0	109	10.1	31.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.0	8.4
<b>R-VI- Metro</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>25.1</b>	<b>315</b>	<b>34.3</b>	<b>40.5</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>14.1</b>	<b>49.4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>35.2</b>
Montgomery	16	1.3	29.0	130	14.2	40.4	20	3.2	57.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	20	1.8	34.5
Prince George's	17	1.0	20.9	185	20.1	40.5	84	10.9	47.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	22	1.9	35.7
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>46.5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>5.0</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>407</b>	<b>23.7</b>	<b>21.2</b>	<b>2,026</b>	<b>186.4</b>	<b>33.8</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>40.9</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>49.3</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>52.3</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>19.6</b>	<b>20.7</b>

\*Averages may not add to totals due to rounding.

<sup>1</sup>The offense tables on the ATD pages report CD/EM combined so if a youth is transferred from CD to EM or vice versa, the youth is counted as one admission. Appendix M separates out CD from EM, so the sum of this total will be slightly higher than the offense table totals presented on the ATD pages.

## Appendix N

### RACIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT LENS, PAGE 1

RACIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT LENS			
Date of Assessment: _____ DJS Unit and Division _____			
Type of Proposed Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Policy <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol <input type="checkbox"/> Residential Program <input type="checkbox"/> Community Program			
Target Implementation: <input type="checkbox"/> Residential <input type="checkbox"/> Community <input type="checkbox"/> Both			
<b>STAGE 1: SCREENING</b> *The screening process helps the policy maker determine whether it is necessary to carry out a full Racial Impact Assessment.			
<b>1. Describe the proposed action:</b>			
<b>2. Geographic Impact:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Statewide <input type="checkbox"/> Regional (Which Region?) _____ (Include justification for a regional strategy)		
<b>3. Screening Considerations:</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>POSSIBLY</b>
A. <b>DJS Client Impact:</b> Will the proposed action change or introduce new policy, protocol, or programming that directly or indirectly affect youth and families who have any level of involvement in the DJS continuum of care?			
B. <b>Staff and Training Impact:</b> Will the proposed action significantly change existing policy or procedures, and therefore require staff training or review?			
C. <b>Expenditure Impact:</b> Will the proposed action change the level and/or proportion of expenditures involved in service delivery?			
D. <b>Relevance to People of Color:</b> Is the proposed action significant to populations who are most vulnerable to disparate treatment and/or outcomes, specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>African-Americans</li> <li>Hispanic or Latinos</li> <li>Limited English Proficient (LEP) populations</li> <li>Youth and families experiencing urban disadvantage</li> <li>Youth and families experiencing rural disadvantage</li> <li>Others (Please specify): _____</li> </ul>			
* It is not necessary to carry out a full racial equity impact assessment if the answer is <b>NO</b> in all cases.			
**Complete a full racial impact assessment if the answer is <b>YES</b> or <b>POSSIBLY</b> to any of the above, which requires completion of Steps 1 through 4 in Stage 2.			

Name and Title of Proposal Lead \_\_\_\_\_

 Please check here if you require technical assistance from the DJS Office of Equity and Reform to complete a full Racial Impact Assessment

## Appendix N (cont.)

### RACIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT LENS, PAGE 2

#### STAGE II: Full Racial Impact Assessment

##### STEP 1: CONSULTATION

Consultation is a step that ensures inclusion of both internal and external stakeholders in policy considerations. Stakeholders include those who will play a role in supporting and/or implementing the proposed action, and those who will be affected by its implementation. Consultation also lends to the transparency of DJS efforts to integrate racial equity into its policy considerations. Consultation methods may include group dialogue, focus groups, personal interviews, etc.

- 1.1 Describe how and when the following entities were consulted in consideration of the proposed actions:
- DJS Staff
  - External system agencies (Juvenile Court, Prosecutor, Indigent Defense, Law Enforcement, Schools, etc)
  - Non-profit/Community Organizations
  - Youth, Parents, and Guardians/Caregivers
  - Service Providers
  - Other (Specify): \_\_\_\_\_

- 1.2 Describe how perspectives/ insights gained from consultation processes have been included in the proposed action.

##### STEP 2: POLICY AIMS AND POPULATION TARGETS

- 2.1 What is the primary objective of the proposed action?
- 2.2 To whom specifically is the proposed action aimed by decision point *within the DJS continuum*?
- 2.3 Identify the racial and ethnic group(s) at the targeted decision point(s) — Refer to the [DJS Data Resource Guide](#) “Youth of Color” statewide and regional trends data for the current year.
- 2.4 Describe any gaps in the data that prevent analysis of the targeted decision point(s), and your efforts to address data gaps with DJS Research and Evaluation.

##### STEP 3: RACIAL IMPACT AND POTENTIAL FOR DISPARITY

###### *In its current form...*

- 3.1 Are there differences within the target group that may lead to some youth benefitting more or being disadvantaged in different ways? Are these differences distinguished by race and ethnicity?
- 3.2 Does the proposed action address a root cause of a problem? Please describe.

##### STEP 4: CONSIDERATION OF ADVANCING EQUITY

###### *In its current form...*

- 4.1 If proposed as a specific racial equity strategy, please describe how the proposed action will specifically benefit youth and families of color.

- | 4.2 | Does the proposed action advance racial equity in terms of the following:  | NO | YES | POSSIBLY |
|-----|--|----|-----|----------|
|     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Limiting and/or monitoring staff use of personal discretion?</li> <li>▪ Changing or eliminating institutional barriers posed by current DJS policy or practice?</li> <li>▪ Acknowledging and seeking to positively influence cultural strongholds in communities of color?</li> <li>▪ Creating or expanding community options for youth who are under DJS care or at risk of deeper levels of care at and beyond the Intake decision point?</li> <li>▪ Other (Describe): _____</li> </ul> |    |     |          |

- 4.3 If the answer is YES or POSSIBLY to any of the above, describe how these equity advancements are achieved, measured, and consider whether the position could be improved upon any further.

- 4.4 If the answer is NO to any of the above, consider and describe how the proposed action can be adjusted to achieve one or more of the equity advancements, and thus eliminate burden specifically on youth of color.

- 4.5 What function or operation of the agency is adversely affected if the proposed action is not implemented?

- 4.6 How will efforts to mitigate burden be implemented? How will they be monitored, by whom, and how frequently?

## Appendix O

### RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

The Relative Rate Index (RRI) is a measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool for measuring how ethnic minorities compare with white youth at various contact points (court referral, diversion, etc.) The RRI was developed by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. For more detailed information, see: <http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/dmcdp/asp/whatis.asp>

#### DEFINITIONS FOR KEY CONTACT POINTS

The definitions below describe each key contact point included in the tables that follow along with a brief explanation of each point. Understanding each contact point and how it is defined in the calculations will provide the basis for proper interpretation of the rates. Though not a 'contact point', the general youth population is included because it is a key data point for calculating the rate of contact.

Contact Point	Definition
<b>Population</b>	Population of youth ages 11-17 as of July 2016. Source MD Dept. of Planning. <i>Note: DJS uses 11-17 as a population denominator due to the low number of cases under 11.</i>
<b>Referrals to Juvenile Court/Intake</b>	Juvenile complaints referred to DJS intake, from police or other sources, including delinquent & non-delinquent offenses, recorded in ASSIST. Rate is based on youth in the general population.
<b>Diversion</b>	Complaints diverted by DJS Intake, (resolved or informally adjusted to pre-court supervision), without formal petition. Rate is per referral.
<b>Detention</b>	Cases resulting in detention both pre-disposition or pending placement, but does not include youth ejected to detention from a committed placement. Rate is per referral.
<b>Petitioned</b>	Complaints resulting in juvenile court petitions. Rate is per referral.
<b>Delinquent</b>	Complaints resulting in delinquent findings. Rate is per petition.
<b>Probation</b>	Probation dispositions. Rate is per delinquent finding.
<b>Confinement</b>	Youth placed in Secure Committed program; Victor Cullen, Carter Center or staff and hardware secure private out-of-state programs. Rate is per delinquent finding.
<b>Transferred</b>	Juvenile petitions waived up to adult court. Does not include charges under original adult jurisdiction. Rate is per petition.

#### RRI TABLE FOR ALL MINORITY YOUTH

The data presented here shows the RRI for minority youth compared to white youth. An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disparity at that decision point. A higher value means more disparity (higher minority over-representation), and a lesser value means under-representation. The RRI for each decision point is based on the number of youth of each race/ethnicity represented at that point compared with the number at the previous decision point.

#### RATES OF CONTACT FOR ALL YOUTH

This table shows the number and rate of representation for all youth, regardless of color, at each key juvenile justice decision point. The rates are based on the general population for this table, rather than based on the previous decision point.

## Appendix O (cont.) RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

### RRIs FOR ALL MINORITIES COMPARED WITH WHITE YOUTH, AT KEY CONTACT POINTS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2017

Region/ County	Referred to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>								
Baltimore City	3.99	0.69	1.04	1.10	**	0.76	**	**
<b>R-II-Central</b>								
Baltimore Co.	3.24	0.92	1.29	0.96	**	1.04	**	**
Carroll	2.26	0.78	1.96	1.39	1.16	**	**	**
Harford	3.37	1.00	0.93	1.00	1.12	0.96	**	**
Howard	1.06	0.65	1.63	1.46	1.00	0.68	**	**
<b>R-III-Western</b>								
Allegany	1.60	0.87	**	1.29	**	0.72	**	**
Frederick	2.49	0.80	1.78	1.33	1.31	0.76	**	**
Garrett	1.98	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Washington	2.93	0.76	1.55	1.17	1.16	0.46	**	**
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>								
Caroline	0.99	1.17	**	0.59	**	**	**	**
Cecil	1.98	0.99	1.12	1.01	0.84	**	**	**
Dorchester	2.97	1.03	**	0.96	0.66	**	**	**
Kent	3.92	0.83	**	**	**	**	**	**
Queen Anne's	3.68	0.91	**	1.13	**	**	**	**
Somerset	1.63	0.82	**	1.72	**	**	**	**
Talbot	3.24	0.97	**	1.11	**	**	**	**
Wicomico	4.25	0.87	1.85	1.35	1.15	**	**	**
Worcester	2.01	0.88	2.40	1.56	0.63	**	**	**
<b>R-V-Southern</b>								
Anne Arundel	0.34	0.95	0.83	1.18	**	1.35	0.49	**
Calvert	0.52	0.94	0.81	1.25	**	**	**	**
Charles	2.27	0.90	0.72	1.28	0.99	**	**	**
St. Mary's	2.74	0.91	1.42	1.22	1.19	0.67	**	**
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>								
Montgomery	4.35	0.68	2.03	1.54	1.23	1.20	**	**
Prince George's	2.37	0.73	1.11	1.61	**	**	**	**
<b>State Total</b>	1.95	0.75	2.16	1.45	0.98	0.81	1.66	1.05

<sup>1</sup> At all decision points minority youth include: African American, Asian or Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Hispanic/Latino and Other/Unknown youth.

- Statistically significant results are **shaded**; Results that are not statistically significant are shown in regular font
- Insufficient number of cases for analysis are shown as \*\*; An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disproportional contact. A rate higher than 1.0 indicates that minority youth are disproportionately over-represented at that decision point, and a rate below 1.0 shows they are under-represented.

## RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

### RATE OF CONTACTS PER 10,000 YOUTH AT KEY CONTACT POINTS, COMPARED WITH GENERAL POPULATION, FY 2017\*

Region/ County	Population (ages 11-17)	Referred to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involved Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	<b>47,864</b>	<b>463.2</b>	<b>82.7</b>	<b>193.3</b>	<b>380.5</b>	<b>140.8</b>	<b>70.0</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>0.8</b>
Balt. City	47,864	463.2	82.7	193.3	380.5	140.8	70.0	9.4	0.8
<b>R-II-Central</b>	<b>142,041</b>	<b>422.8</b>	<b>212.2</b>	<b>28.4</b>	<b>210.6</b>	<b>95.6</b>	<b>74.9</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>
Baltimore Co.	71,550	507.5	232.6	32.7	274.9	123.5	107.2	1.5	2.7
Carroll	15,934	244.1	148.1	18.2	96.0	30.8	23.8	2.5	1.9
Harford	23,044	463.9	287.7	26.9	176.2	102.8	71.2	0.0	1.7
Howard	31,513	291.0	143.1	24.8	147.9	59.7	30.1	0.3	1.0
<b>R-III-Western</b>	<b>45,128</b>	<b>483.3</b>	<b>252.8</b>	<b>42.3</b>	<b>230.5</b>	<b>95.1</b>	<b>76.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>0.7</b>
Allegany	5,851	815.3	541.8	27.3	273.5	112.8	82.0	3.4	0.0
Frederick	23,944	289.0	159.5	28.4	129.5	78.5	47.6	1.7	0.4
Garrett	2,325	770.0	636.7	12.9	133.4	107.5	68.8	0.0	0.0
Washington	13,009	640.3	226.0	79.9	414.3	115.3	129.9	2.3	1.5
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	<b>40,194</b>	<b>718.3</b>	<b>472.0</b>	<b>65.7</b>	<b>246.3</b>	<b>108.2</b>	<b>68.7</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>7.0</b>
Caroline	3,004	892.2	665.8	39.9	226.4	99.9	53.3	0.0	0.0
Cecil	9,616	402.5	191.4	40.6	211.1	105.0	64.5	1.0	0.0
Dorchester	2,558	1,375.8	805.2	74.3	570.7	246.2	168.1	0.0	15.6
Kent	1,584	498.9	385.2	12.6	113.7	56.8	63.1	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	4,432	286.5	157.9	22.6	128.6	72.2	40.6	0.0	0.0
Somerset	2,195	870.0	601.3	86.5	268.8	41.0	18.2	4.6	18.2
Talbot	2,750	589.0	443.6	7.3	145.4	54.5	50.9	0.0	14.5
Wicomico	10,456	811.0	529.8	126.2	281.2	109.0	60.3	6.7	4.8
Worcester	3,598	1,314.8	1,022.9	80.6	291.9	172.3	127.9	0.0	30.6
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	<b>84,054</b>	<b>518.5</b>	<b>377.1</b>	<b>36.3</b>	<b>141.3</b>	<b>66.5</b>	<b>42.7</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>0.2</b>
Anne Arundel	48,503	541.2	406.4	27.2	134.8	64.3	36.5	3.1	0.0
Calvert	9,172	424.1	322.7	40.3	101.4	39.2	32.7	2.2	0.0
Charles	15,455	507.3	342.9	42.7	164.4	77.0	56.3	1.3	1.3
St. Mary's	10,924	512.6	341.4	64.1	171.2	84.2	59.5	1.8	0.0
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	<b>170,741</b>	<b>227.3</b>	<b>108.3</b>	<b>29.3</b>	<b>119.0</b>	<b>46.8</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>0.6</b>
Montgomery	92,389	263.6	119.7	23.6	143.8	51.7	28.1	2.2	1.2
Prince George's	78,352	184.6	94.8	36.1	89.7	41.0	26.8	3.2	0.0
<b>State Total</b>	<b>530,021</b>	<b>406.2</b>	<b>216.3</b>	<b>50.3</b>	<b>189.9</b>	<b>80.3</b>	<b>53.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>

\*Rates are calculated for each decision point based on general population data.

- This table calculates the rate of DJS contact for all youth at each stage, compared with the general population (ages 11-17). For example, for every 10,000 youth in Baltimore City, there are 193.3 youth detained, and in Prince George's County it is 36.1 per 10,000 youth.

## Appendix P

### CENSUS INFORMATION, 2016\* POPULATION ESTIMATES BY RACE (AGE 11-17)<sup>4</sup>

Region / County	White <sup>2</sup>	Black <sup>2</sup>	American Indian/ Alaska <sup>2</sup>	Asian <sup>2</sup>	Hawaiian/ OPI <sup>2</sup>	Two or More <sup>2</sup>	Hispanic/ Latino	Total
<b>R-I - Balt. City</b>	<b>8,052</b>	<b>34,459</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>1,043</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1,322</b>	<b>2,839</b>	<b>47,864</b>
Baltimore City	8,052	34,459	138	1,043	11	1,322	2,839	47,864
<b>R-II - Central</b>	<b>79,255</b>	<b>34,782</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>11,274</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>6,718</b>	<b>9,641</b>	<b>142,041</b>
Baltimore Co	34,922	23,843	193	4,479	14	3,014	5,084	71,550
Carroll	13,744	576	25	336	2	554	698	15,934
Harford	15,972	3,709	45	794	13	1,146	1,365	23,044
Howard	14,617	6,653	61	5,665	18	2,003	2,494	31,513
<b>R-III - Western</b>	<b>32,579</b>	<b>4,590</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>1,652</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2,270</b>	<b>3,934</b>	<b>45,128</b>
Allegany	4,962	428	10	71	4	270	105	5,851
Frederick	15,931	2,531	52	1,271	9	1,213	2,937	23,944
Garrett	2,178	73	1	13	0	30	29	2,325
Washington	9,508	1,557	19	297	7	757	864	13,009
<b>R-IV - Eastern</b>	<b>27,426</b>	<b>7,631</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1,737</b>	<b>2,630</b>	<b>40,194</b>
Caroline	2,134	437	11	21	2	120	278	3,004
Cecil	7,678	739	21	116	6	429	626	9,616
Dorchester	1,367	856	4	30	1	112	188	2,558
Kent	1,184	214	2	19	0	72	93	1,584
Queen Anne's	3,730	232	9	62	2	187	211	4,432
Somerset	860	1,104	4	15	1	96	116	2,195
Talbot	1,942	383	5	54	1	108	257	2,750
Wicomico	5,952	3,047	18	283	1	480	676	10,456
Worcester	2,579	619	11	68	1	134	185	3,598
<b>R-V - Southern</b>	<b>50,251</b>	<b>19,519</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>2,554</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>4,713</b>	<b>6,710</b>	<b>84,054</b>
Anne Arundel	30,633	8,944	114	1,614	40	2,547	4,611	48,503
Calvert	6,853	1,094	38	189	7	525	467	9,172
Charles	5,014	7,907	68	492	8	975	990	15,455
St Mary's	7,751	1,574	29	259	3	666	642	10,924
<b>R-VI - Metro</b>	<b>43,939</b>	<b>66,308</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>15,650</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>6,835</b>	<b>37,650</b>	<b>170,741</b>
Montgomery	36,416	18,225	150	12,523	23	4,534	20,518	92,389
Prince George's	7,523	48,083	171	3,128	14	2,302	17,132	78,352
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>241,501</b>	<b>167,289</b>	<b>1,200</b>	<b>32,841</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>23,595</b>	<b>63,404</b>	<b>530,021</b>

\* Data Sources: Maryland Department of Planning, Population Estimation for State of Maryland. Files used: (1) July 1, 2016 Population by Age (single year), Race, Sex and Hispanic Origin for State of Maryland; (2) July 1, 2016 Population by Age, Sex, Race and Hispanic/Latino Origin for Maryland's Jurisdictions. Available on-line: [http://planning.maryland.gov/msdc/Pop\\_estimate/ARS/CensPopEst.shtml](http://planning.maryland.gov/msdc/Pop_estimate/ARS/CensPopEst.shtml) (accessed in July 2017).

<sup>1</sup>The methodology for calculation of census estimates has been slightly modified for this Data Resource Guide. The proportions of age ranges 11-14 and 15-17 have been computed from the population by age (single year) and ethnicity for the State of Maryland. These proportions were then applied to estimate each Jurisdiction/County population, from the population by age (5-year age group) and ethnicity of Maryland Jurisdictions.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes Hispanic and Latino

Note: estimates with fractional values are rounded to whole numbers, therefore totals may not sum exactly.



## Appendix Q

### NUMBER AND RATIO OF YOUTH, CASES, AND PLACEMENTS BY COUNTY\* FY 2017

County/Region	Complaints			Pre-D Detention Placements			Post-D Detention Placements			Committed Placements		
	Cases	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements <sup>1</sup>	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth
<b>R-I - Balt. City</b>	<b>2,217</b>	<b>1,307</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>925</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Baltimore City	2,217	1,307	1.7	925	519	1.8	200	155	1.3	213	159	1.3
<b>R-II - Central</b>	<b>6,006</b>	<b>3,789</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Baltimore Co.	3,631	2,209	1.6	234	165	1.4	57	45	1.3	66	54	1.2
Carroll	389	246	1.6	29	20	1.5	19	11	1.7	19	13	1.5
Harford	1,069	769	1.4	62	49	1.3	29	22	1.3	34	26	1.3
Howard	917	565	1.6	78	43	1.8	23	14	1.6	30	21	1.4
<b>R-III - Western</b>	<b>2,181</b>	<b>1,243</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Allegany	477	328	1.5	16	14	1.1	16	15	1.1	22	19	1.2
Frederick	692	400	1.7	68	48	1.4	38	29	1.3	36	29	1.2
Garrett	179	127	1.4	3	3	1.0	1	1	1.0	5	4	1.3
Washington	833	388	2.1	104	64	1.6	34	27	1.3	38	32	1.2
<b>R-IV - Eastern</b>	<b>2,887</b>	<b>1,902</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>1.4</b>
Caroline	268	152	1.8	12	9	1.3	6	4	1.5	6	5	1.2
Cecil	387	250	1.5	39	29	1.3	15	12	1.3	11	9	1.2
Dorchester	352	212	1.7	19	14	1.4	7	6	1.2	7	6	1.2
Kent	79	64	1.2	2	2	1.0	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Queen Anne's	127	80	1.6	10	8	1.3	5	3	1.7	6	5	1.2
Somerset	191	139	1.4	19	11	1.7	3	2	1.5	6	4	1.5
Talbot	162	97	1.7	2	2	1.0	1	1	1.0	1	1	1.0
Wicomico	848	515	1.6	132	80	1.7	52	32	1.6	52	33	1.6
Worcester	473	393	1.2	29	18	1.6	1	1	1.0	2	2	1.0
<b>R-V - Southern</b>	<b>4,358</b>	<b>3,002</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Anne Arundel	2,625	1,821	1.4	132	100	1.3	89	72	1.2	96	75	1.3
Calvert	389	272	1.4	37	20	1.9	14	12	1.2	17	14	1.2
Charles	784	544	1.4	66	47	1.4	19	15	1.3	26	22	1.2
St. Mary's	560	365	1.5	70	49	1.4	31	29	1.1	34	33	1.0
<b>R-VI - Metro</b>	<b>3,881</b>	<b>2,539</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Montgomery	2,435	1,493	1.6	218	161	1.4	105	81	1.3	123	98	1.3
Prince George's	1,446	1,046	1.4	283	207	1.4	98	78	1.3	121	90	1.3
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1.1</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>21,530</b>	<b>13,782</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2,665</b>	<b>1,750</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>874</b>	<b>675</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>988</b>	<b>769</b>	<b>1.3</b>

\* Complaint data are based on county of jurisdiction. Detention, Pending Placement, and Committed Placements data are based on county of residence.

<sup>1</sup> Post-ejection detention placements are included.

## Appendix R

### CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES<sup>+</sup>

<b>Crimes of Violence</b>			
	<b>Offense Severity<sup>^</sup></b>		<b>Offense Severity<sup>^</sup></b>
Arson 1st Degree	3	Murder 2nd Degree	1
Assault 1st Degree	2	Murder 2nd Degree - Attempted <sup>1</sup>	2
Burglary 1st Degree	3	Prostitution - Abduct, Persuade, Entice for Prostitution or Certain Sex Crimes	1
Burglary 2nd Degree	4	Rape 1st Degree	1
Burglary 3rd Degree	4	Rape 1st Degree - Attempted <sup>2</sup>	1
Burglary With Explosives	3	Rape 2nd Degree	1
Carjacking - Armed	1	Rape 2nd Degree - Attempted <sup>2</sup>	2
Carjacking - Unarmed	2	Robbery	3
Child Abduction of Individual Under 16	1	Robbery with Deadly Weapon	2
Child Abuse 1st Degree	1	Sex Offense 1st Degree	1
Child Abuse - Physical with Death*	1	Sex Offense 1st Degree - Attempted <sup>2</sup>	1
In State Abduction of Child Under 12	2	Sex Offense 2nd Degree	1
Kidnapping	1	Sex Offense 2nd Degree - Attempted <sup>2</sup>	2
Manslaughter - Voluntary	3	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, 1st Offense	2
Murder 1st Degree	1	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, Subsequent	1
Murder 1st Degree - Attempted <sup>1</sup>	1		
<b>Person-to-Person Offenses</b>			
<b>Felony</b>	<b>Offense Severity<sup>^</sup></b>	<b>Felony</b>	<b>Offense Severity<sup>^</sup></b>
Assault on Police Officer	4	Manslaughter - Negligent Homicide by Automobile	4
Burglary - Home Invasion	2	Manslaughter by Automobile	3
CDS - Weapons Use	2	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc. Subsequent Offense	3
Child Abuse 2nd Degree	3	Out-Of-State Family Abduction	5
Child Pornography	3	Poisoning	1
Destructive Devices	2	Prostitution- Human Trafficking	1
Escape - 1st Degree	3	Removing Firearm from Possession of Law Enforcement Officer	3
Gang Offense - Commission of Crime	2	Sex Abuse by Household Member	1
Gang Offense - Commission of Crime - Resulting in Death of Victim*	1	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (no force or threat)	4
Gang Offense - Manage, Fund Gang	2	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (w/force or threat)	3
Hate Crime Involving a Separate Felony Crime	3	Sex Offense - Use of Personal Identifying Information of an Individual to Invite	3
Hate Crime Involving Death of a Victim	2	Sexual Solicitation of a Minor*	3
Incest	3	Sodomy; Unnatural or Perverted Sexual Practice	3
Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate - Felony*	2	Use of Machine Gun for a Crime	2
Manslaughter - Involuntary	3		

<sup>^</sup>The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

<sup>1</sup> Replaces "Attempted Murder" as of 10/1/17; <sup>2</sup> Replaces "Attempted Rape or Sex Offense" as of 10/1/17; \* Active as of 10/1/2017

<sup>+</sup> DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

## CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES<sup>†</sup>

Person-to-Person Offenses			
Misdemeanor	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>
Affray*	4	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry 1st Offense (incl. on school prop.)	5
Assault 2nd Degree / Battery	4	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry, Subsequent (incl. on school prop.)	2
Assault - Knowingly/ willfully Causing Another to Ingest Bodily Fluid*	4	Handgun Violation - Wear, Carry with Intent to Injure, Kill	2
Child Neglect*	4	Handgun Violation - Wearing, Carrying Under the Influence*	5
Committing a Crime of Violence in Presence of Minor	4	Harassment	5
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Concealed Dangerous Weapon - Wear or Carry	5	Harassment - Revenge Porn	5
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Openly with intent to injure - Dangerous Weapon, Mace/ Chemical Device, Wear or Carry	5	Hate Crime Other	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Certain Ordinance	5	In-State Family Abduction	5
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Pepper Spray <sup>3</sup>	5	Indecent Exposure	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor with Intent To Injure <sup>3</sup>	4	Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate - Misdemeanor*	4
Deadly Weapon on Public School Property	4	Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle/ Vessel	4
Disorderly Intoxication	5	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc.	4
Disturbing the Peace	5	Obstructing Justice - Tamper with or Fabricate Physical Evidence**	4
Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	5	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering, Common Law	4
Driving under Influence CDS	5	Prostitution – Receive money for	3
Driving under Influence Drugs and/or Alcohol	5	Prostitution – Solicitation	5
Driving While Impaired	5	Prostitution, Abduction Persuade Entice <sup>4</sup>	2
Driving While Intoxicated	5	Reckless Endangerment	4
Driving While Using Text Messaging Device, etc. that Causes Accident Resulting Death, Serious Bodily Injury	5	Resisting Arrest	4
Electronic Mail Abuse	5	Retaliating Against a Victim or Witness**	4
Escape 2nd Degree - Remove Monitoring Device	4	Rioting*	3
Escape 2nd Degree - Secure Facility	4	Sex Offense 4th Degree	5
Failure to Appear - Connection Felony	4	Stalking	4
Failure to Appear – Connection Misdemeanor	5	Threat of Mass Violence	4
Failure to Appear - Citation	5	Use of Machine Gun for Aggressive	3
Gang Offense	5	Visual Surveillance, Unlawful	5
Gang Offense - School	4		

<sup>^</sup>The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

<sup>3</sup> Inactive as of 3/1/2015; <sup>4</sup> Inactive as of 10/1/2014; \* Active as of 10/1/2017; \*\*Inactive as of 10/1/2017

<sup>†</sup> DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

## Appendix R (cont.)

### CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES<sup>+</sup>

Property Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>
Arson 2nd Degree	4	Arson Misdemeanor	5
Bad Check Felony - \$100,000 or greater*	3	Arson - Threat	4
Bad Check Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000*	4	Attempted Arson	5
Bad Check Felony - \$1,500 to < \$25,000*	5	Bad Check Misdemeanor*	5
Bad Check Felony - Multiple w/in 30 Days (\$1,500 to \$25,000)*	5	Breaking and Entering Motor Vehicles	5
Breaking and Entering a Research Facility	5	Burglary 4th Degree	5
Cloned Wireless Phone - Possession with Intent to Distribute, Distribution, Manufacturing or Sell	4	Code Grabbing Device	5
Credit Card Felony - \$100,000 or greater*	3	Contraband - Possess, Possess with Intent to Deliver, Receive Telecommunications Device, Accessories	5
Credit Card Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000*	4	Credit Card Misdemeanor*	5
Credit Card Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000*	5	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	5
Credit Card - Unlawfully Use or Disclose*	4	Cruelty to Animals - Surgery on Dogs; Unauthorized Surgical Devocalization	5
Cruelty to Animals - Felony Racehorse	5	False Alarm	4
False Statement - Fake Bomb	4	False Report	5
False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb	4	Forgery - Prescription	5
Forgery, Counterfeit &/or Uttering-Felony	4	Forgery, Counterfeit &/or Uttering - Misd	5
Fraud Felony (Medicaid)	4	Fraud	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$100,000 or greater*	3	Fraud Misdemeanor (Medicaid)*	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$25,000 to 100,000*	4	Gambling	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000*	5	Harassment - Use of Interactive Computer Service to Disclose Personal Identifying Information to Annoy, Threaten, Harass	5
Identity Fraud - Intent to Manufacture/Distribute Personally Identifying Information*	4	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person*	5
Malicious Burning - Felony	5	Identity Fraud Misdemeanor*	5
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	Malicious Burning - Misdemeanor	5
Railroad Obstructing	4	Malicious Destruction	5
Theft Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Possession of Dangerous or Wild Animals	5
Theft Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000*	4	Telephone Misuse	5
Theft Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000***	5	Theft Misdemeanor	5
Trademark Counterfeiting-Felony	4	Trademark Counterfeiting-Misdemeanor	5
Verbal Threat - Extortion	4	Transfer Recorded Sound/Images w/o Consent	5
Written Threat	4	Trespassing	5
		Unauthorized Removal of Property	5

<sup>^</sup>The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

\* Active as of 10/1/2017; \*\*\*Active as of 10/1/2017. Prior to 10/1/17, offense severity was 4

<sup>+</sup> DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

## CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES<sup>+</sup>

<b>Drug Offenses</b>			
<b>Felony</b>	<b>Offense Severity<sup>^</sup></b>	<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>Offense Severity<sup>^</sup></b>
CDS - Import Certain Amount into State	3	CDS - False Prescription	5
CDS - Using Minors for Manufacture or Distribution	3	CDS - Possession Marijuana <sup>4</sup>	5
CDS (Cocaine, Heroin, PCP, LSD, Oxy., Meth.)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	3	CDS - Possession Marijuana > 10 Grams	5
CDS (Marijuana) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	CDS - Possession Other	5
CDS (Other) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Distribution of Inhalants	5
CDS - Distribution (Fake CDS)	4	Drug Paraphernalia	5
CDS (Marij/ Amphet/ Valium/ Ecstasy < 750 grams/ Bup./ Countrft)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	4	Non-CDS, Possession	5
Receipt of Proceeds From CDS Offenses	4	Paraphernalia Controlled - Possess or Distribute - Marijuana*	5
		Paraphernalia Controlled - Possess or Distribute - Non-Marijuana*	5
		Use of Inhalants	5
<b>Unspecified Offenses</b>			
<b>Felony</b>	<b>Offense Severity<sup>^</sup></b>	<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>Offense Severity<sup>^</sup></b>
Conspiracy to Commit Any (Felony) Offense	3	Conspiracy to Commit Any (Misdemeanor) Offense	5
Unspecified Felony	3	Traffic Violation Incarcerable	5
		Unspecified Misdemeanor	5
<b>Other Offenses</b>			
<b>Citation Offenses</b>	<b>Offense Severity<sup>^</sup></b>	<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>Offense Severity<sup>^</sup></b>
Alcohol Possession on School Premises	5	BB Gun / Pellet Gun	5
Alcoholic Beverage Violation	5	Discharging Firearms	5
CDS - Possession Marijuana < 10 Grams	5	Fireworks Violation	5
Lottery Restrictions (Tables Games or Video Lottery Terminals, Facility) Less than 21 years	5	Loitering	5
Pager at School	5	Tampering	5
Tobacco Violation	5	Violation of Local Ordinance	5
Traffic Violation Non-Incarcerable	5	Violation of Natural Resources Article	5
<b>Child in Need of Supervision (CINS)</b>	<b>Offense Severity<sup>^</sup></b>	<b>Violation of Probation</b>	<b>Offense Severity<sup>^</sup></b>
Runaway	5	Violation of Felony Probation	3
Truant	5	Violation of Misdemeanor Probation	5
Ungovernable	5		

<sup>^</sup>The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

<sup>4</sup> Inactive as of 10/1/2014; \* Active as of 10/1/2017

<sup>+</sup> DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

## Appendix R (cont.)

### CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES\*

#### OFFENSES INCLUDED IN THE INTAKE & COMMUNITY SUPERVISION OFFENSE TYPE TABLES AS OTHER PERSON, OTHER PROPERTY, AND ORDINANCE. THE OFFENSES ARE LISTED BASED ON FY 2017 INTAKE CASES.

The following information references back to the Intake and Community Supervision Section's Offense Type tables. Space was limited on those pages and these caveats were not able to be placed directly under those tables. They provide a detailed list of the specific offenses that were categorized under "Other Person", "Other Property" and "Ordinance Offenses" respectively.

\*Other Person includes: Burglary - Home Invasion - Breaking and Entering with Intent to Commit Crime of Violence; Committing a Crime of Violence in the Presence of a Minor; Destructive Devices; Disturbing School Activities or Personnel; Disturbing the Peace; Electronic Mail Abuse; Escape 1st Degree; Escape 2nd Degree - Remove Monitoring Device; Escape 2nd Degree- Secure Facility; Gang Offense; Gang Offense - School; Gang Offense - Commission of Crime; Hate Crime Other; Obstructing Justice - Tamper with or Fabricate Physical Evidence; Poisoning; Police Officer- Resisting or Hindering Common Law; Reckless Endangerment; Resisting Arrest; Retaliating against a Victim or Witness; Stalking; Threat of Mass Violence; and Visual Surveillance - Unlawful.

\*\*Other Property includes: Cruelty to Animals - Felony Racehorse; Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor; False Alarm; False Report; False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb; Forgery - Counterfeit, Forgery - Counterfeit &/or Uttering - Felony; Forgery - Counterfeit &/or Uttering- Misdemeanor; Fraud - Misdemeanor; Gambling; Telephone Misuse; Transfer Recorded Sound/Images without Consent; Trespassing; and Verbal Threat - Extortion.

+++Ordinance Offenses category includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun; Discharging Firearms; Fireworks Violation; Loitering; Tampering; Violation of Local Ordinance; and Violation of Natural Resources Article.

## Appendix S

### OFFENSES RELATED TO YOUTH DETAINED PENDING ADULT CRIMINAL CHARGES

Youth charged with the following offenses, and who are eligible to request transfer of jurisdiction, generally are placed in juvenile detention pending the determination of jurisdiction in adult court. (See Md. Code. Ann., Cts. and Jud. Proc. §3-8A-03)

#### Age 14 years old and up will be automatically charged as an adult for:

- First Degree Murder (ineligible for transfer)
- First Degree Rape
- First Degree Sex Offense
- Any Attempts or Conspiracies to commit the listed offenses

#### Age 16 years old and up will be automatically charged as an adult for:

- Serious/Violent Offenses:
  - Abduction, Kidnapping
  - Second Degree Murder (and Attempt thereof)
  - Manslaughter (except Involuntary Manslaughter)
  - Second Degree Rape (and Attempt thereof)
  - Armed Robbery (and Attempt thereof)
  - First Degree Assault
  - Second Degree Sex Offense (by force, or the threat of force, without consent)(and Attempt thereof)
  - Third Degree Sex Offense (If force, a weapon, or threat of force is used, without consent)
  - Carjacking or Armed Carjacking
- Firearm Offenses:
  - Wear, Carry, Transport Handgun
  - Use of a Handgun or Antique Firearm in Commission of a Crime
  - Use of a Machine Gun in a Crime of Violence
  - Use of a Machine Gun for Aggressive Purpose
  - Possession of Unregistered Short-barreled Shotgun or Short-barreled Rifle
  - Restrictions on Possession of a Regulated Firearm
  - Restrictions on Sale, Rental, or Transfer of Regulated Firearms
  - Sale, Transfer, or Disposal of Stolen Regulated Firearm Prohibited
- Miscellaneous Offenses:
  - Any felony IF prior adult felony conviction
  - Non-incarcerable Traffic and Boating Offenses

## Appendix T

### ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVES MANAGEMENT (AIM)

AIM grids are designed to structure case manager decision-making when responding to positive or negative behaviors of supervised youth. The Graduated Responses grid determines an appropriate response based on the level of infraction and the level of youth supervision. Responses range from a verbal warning at the lowest level, to requesting a formal violation at the highest. This structured process supports the Department's efforts to reward positive behaviors and objectively identify and sanction violations, which reduces inequalities and increases successful completion rates among youth under supervision.

### GRADUATED RESPONSES

	Low Supervision	Moderate Supervision	High/Intensive Supervision
Minor Infraction*	<b>Case Manager Response Options:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>∞ Verbal Warning</li> <li>∞ Make up Missed Appointments</li> </ul>	<b>Case Manager Response Options:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>∞ Verbal Warning</li> <li>∞ Make up Missed Appointments</li> <li>∞ Written Essay or Oral Report</li> <li>∞ Assigned Reading or Video Viewing</li> <li>∞ Community Service (up to 4 hours)</li> </ul>	<b>Case Manager Response Options:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>∞ Verbal Warning</li> <li>∞ Youth &amp; Parent Meeting w/in 1 day</li> <li>∞ Make up Missed Appointments</li> <li>∞ Written Essay or Oral Report</li> <li>∞ Assigned Reading or Video Viewing</li> <li>∞ Community Service (up to 10 hours)</li> <li>∞ Restrict Activity (Short-Term)</li> <li>∞ Modify Curfew (Extended Term)</li> </ul>
Moderate Infraction**	<b>Case Manager Response Options:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>∞ Verbal Warning</li> <li>∞ Youth &amp; Parent Meeting w/in 3 days</li> <li>∞ Community Service (up to 4 hours)</li> </ul>	<b>Case Manager Response Options:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>∞ Verbal Warning</li> <li>∞ Youth &amp; Parent Meeting w/in 1 day</li> <li>∞ Community Service (up to 8 hours)</li> <li>∞ Written Essay or Oral Report</li> <li>∞ Assigned Reading or Video Viewing</li> <li>∞ Restrict Activity (Short-Term)</li> <li>∞ Modify Curfew (Short-Term)</li> <li>∞ Increase Supervision Contacts</li> </ul>	<b>Case Manager Response Options:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>∞ Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 1 day</li> <li>∞ Make up Missed Appointments</li> <li>∞ Written Essay/Oral Report</li> <li>∞ Assigned Reading or Video Viewing</li> <li>∞ Community Service (up to 12 hours)</li> <li>∞ Restrict Activity (Short-Term)</li> <li>∞ Modify Curfew (Extended Term)</li> <li>∞ Increase Supervision Contacts</li> <li>∞ Increase drug screenings (if applicable)</li> <li>∞ GPS for 30 Days (Intensive Level ONLY)</li> <li>∞ File VOP</li> </ul>
Serious Infraction***	<b>Manager Response Options:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>∞ Youth &amp; Parent Meeting w/in 1 day</li> <li>∞ Community Service (up to 6 hours)</li> <li>∞ Modify Curfew (Short-Term)</li> <li>∞ Increase Supervision Level to Moderate</li> </ul>	<b>Case Manager Response Options:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>∞ Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 2 days</li> <li>∞ Make up Missed Appointments</li> <li>∞ Written Essay or Oral Report</li> <li>∞ Assigned Reading or Video Viewing</li> <li>∞ Community Service (up to 10 hours)</li> <li>∞ Restrict Activity (Extended Term)</li> <li>∞ Modify Curfew (Extended -Term)</li> <li>∞ Increase Supervision Contacts</li> <li>∞ Increase drug screenings (if applicable)</li> <li>∞ Increase Supervision Level to High</li> </ul>	<b>Case Manager Response Options:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>∞ Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 1 bus</li> <li>∞ Make up Missed Appointments</li> <li>∞ Written Essay/Oral Report</li> <li>∞ Assigned Reading or Video Viewing</li> <li>∞ Community Service (up to 14 hours)</li> <li>∞ Restrict Activity (Short-Term)</li> <li>∞ Modify Curfew (Extended Term)</li> <li>∞ Increase Supervision Contacts</li> <li>∞ Increase drug screenings (if applicable)</li> <li>∞ GPS for 30 Days (Intensive Level ONLY)</li> <li>∞ File VOP</li> </ul>

\* Programs/Interventions for minor infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services; for moderate supervision, additional options include Evening Reporting Center and Community-Based Advocacy; for high/intensive supervision Evidence-Based Programs are available as well.

\*\* Programs/Interventions for moderate infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services, Outpatient Treatment (if applicable); for moderate supervision, additional options include Evening Reporting Center (if available), Evidence-Based Program Services, Family Services, and Drug Court; for high/intensive supervision, Care Management Entities are available as well.

\*\*\* Programs/Interventions for serious infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Crisis Intervention Services, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services, Outpatient Treatment (if applicable), and Youth Advocacy Program Services; for moderate supervision, additional options include Evidence-Based Program Services and Crisis Intervention Services; for high/intensive supervision, Care Management Entities are available as well.

## Appendix T (cont.) ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVES MANAGEMENT (AIM)

### DJS GRID OF INCENTIVIZED\* BEHAVIORS

	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 0-30 days	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 31 – 90 days (1-3 mos.)	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 91-180 days (4-6 mos.)	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 181 to indefinite (>6 months)
COMPLIANCE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Enrolls in an academic or vocational Program</li> <li>- Improves school attendance</li> <li>- Attends court-ordered Cognitive Programs/treatment services</li> <li>- Keeps office appointments with reminders</li> <li>- Abides by curfew</li> <li>- Improved behavior in school</li> <li>- Improved grades in school</li> <li>- Abides by set family/house rules</li> <li>- Locates and/or begins court-ordered community service</li> <li>- Begins paying restitution</li> <li>- No incidents of whereabouts unknown</li> <li>- Notifies CMS of change in contact information</li> <li>- No suspensions/expulsions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Enrolls in an academic or vocational program</li> <li>- Improves school attendance</li> <li>- Attends and/or completes court-ordered Cognitive programs/treatment services</li> <li>- Keeps office appointments without reminders</li> <li>- Abides by curfew</li> <li>- Improved behavior in school</li> <li>- Improved grades in school</li> <li>- Abides by set family/house rules</li> <li>- Clean urine screen/drug free</li> <li>- Completes at least 50% of court-ordered community service</li> <li>- Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution</li> <li>- No incidents of whereabouts unknown</li> <li>- Notifies CMS of change in contact information</li> <li>- No suspensions/expulsions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Enrolls in an academic or vocational program</li> <li>- Attends school and all classes as scheduled</li> <li>- Attends and/or completes court-ordered Cognitive programs/treatment services</li> <li>- Keeps office appointments without reminders</li> <li>- Abides by curfew</li> <li>- Improved behavior in school</li> <li>- Maintains grade improvements</li> <li>- Abides by set family/house rules</li> <li>- Clean urine screen/drug free</li> <li>- Completes at least 75% of court-ordered community service</li> <li>- Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution</li> <li>- No incidents of whereabouts unknown</li> <li>- Notifies CMS of change in contact information</li> <li>- No suspensions/expulsions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Enrolls in an academic or vocational program</li> <li>- Attends school and all classes as scheduled</li> <li>- Attends and/or completes court-ordered cognitive programs/treatment services</li> <li>- Keeps office appointments without Reminders</li> <li>- Abides by curfew</li> <li>- Improved behavior in school</li> <li>- Maintains grade improvements</li> <li>- Abides by set family/house rules</li> <li>- Clean urine screen/drug free</li> <li>- Completes 100% of court-ordered community service</li> <li>- Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution</li> <li>- No incidents of whereabouts unknown</li> <li>- Notifies CMS of change in contact information</li> <li>- No suspensions/expulsions</li> </ul>
PROSOCIAL INVOLVEMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Obtains/Retains Employment</li> <li>- Receives promotion in grade level or employment</li> <li>- Joined/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school/community)</li> <li>- Participates in additional services</li> <li>- Detaches from negative peer groups</li> <li>- Establishes at least one personal goal</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Obtains/Retains Employment</li> <li>- Receives promotion in grade level or employment</li> <li>- Joined/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community)</li> <li>- Participates in additional services</li> <li>- Remains detached from negative peer groups</li> <li>- Makes progress toward or completes at least one personal goal</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Obtains/Retains Employment</li> <li>- Receives promotion in grade level or employment</li> <li>- Joined/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community)</li> <li>- Participates in additional services</li> <li>- Remains detached from negative peer groups</li> <li>- Makes progress toward or completes at least one personal goal</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Obtains/Retains Employment</li> <li>- Receives promotion in grade level or employment</li> <li>- Joined/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community)</li> <li>- Participates in additional services</li> <li>- Remains detached from negative peer groups</li> <li>- Completes at least one personal goal</li> </ul>
SELF-ADVOCACY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs</li> <li>- Seeks help</li> <li>- Manages time well for at least one important task</li> <li>- Handles a difficult situation well</li> <li>- Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs</li> <li>- Seeks help</li> <li>- Manages time well for at least one important task</li> <li>- Handles a difficult situation well</li> <li>- Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs</li> <li>- Seeks help</li> <li>- Manages time well for at least one important task</li> <li>- Handles a difficult situation well</li> <li>- Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs</li> <li>- Seeks help</li> <li>- Manages time well for at least one important task</li> <li>- Handles a difficult situation well</li> <li>- Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition</li> </ul>

\*The incentives listed below are not a comprehensive list and are only representative of the types of incentives offered, the availability of which varies by region:

Incentives for minor achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0- 30 days, incentives range from verbal praise to a positive letter sent home on their behalf;
- Within 31- 90 days, incentives range from verbal praise to invitation to a monthly Recognition Ceremony;
- 91 days or greater, incentives range from verbal praise to being given additional school supplies.

Incentives for modest achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0- 30 days, incentives range from being given school supplies to being provided with additional personal hygiene supplies; for modest behaviors achieved
- Within 31- 90 days, incentives range from being offered school supplies to being given formal Court recognition;
- 91- 180 days, incentives range from being given books/magazines to being provided a job shadowing opportunity;
- 181 days or greater, incentives range from being given books/magazines to being given college/university gear.

Incentives for major achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0- 30 days, incentives are meal coupons or meals for the youth's family;
- Within 31- 90 days, incentives range from being given recreation center passes to participation in field trips;
- 91- 180 days, incentives range from being given tickets to sporting events to having their case formally petitioned for closure;
- 181 days or greater, incentives range from being given movie tickets to being offered the opportunity to have lunch with their case manager and judge.



# Maryland Department of Juvenile Services FY 2017 Statistical Information

## MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

## Intake & Community Supervision Information

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2015-2017

Complaint Source	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Adult Court Transfers	0.9%	1.3%	1.5%
Citizen	3.0%	2.5%	2.6%
Police*	90.6%	92.2%	91.8%
Violation of Probation**	5.4%	4.0%	4.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>23,469</b>	<b>22,447</b>	<b>21,530</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	63.2%	63.8%	62.1%
White	29.6%	29.0%	30.0%
Hispanic/Other	7.2%	7.2%	7.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	72.5%	73.8%	74.1%
Female	27.5%	26.2%	25.9%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	3.7%	3.6%	3.6%
12	4.5%	4.7%	4.4%
13	7.9%	7.9%	8.1%
14	13.6%	12.9%	13.3%
15	19.2%	19.7%	19.7%
16	22.7%	23.4%	22.3%
17	25.5%	25.1%	25.4%
18-20	3.0%	2.7%	3.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>23,469</b>	<b>22,447</b>	<b>21,530</b>

## Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>+</sup>, FY 2015-2017

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	32.4%	35.9%	38.7%
Informed	16.4%	15.6%	14.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	51.1%	48.5%	46.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>23,469</b>	<b>22,447</b>	<b>21,530</b>
<b>Court Action for Formaled Cases (Previous Methodology)</b>			
Petition Withdrawn, Non Est, Denied by SAO	11.5%	12.6%	13.7%
Stet	8.2%	9.1%	9.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.0%	1.1%	0.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	30.5%	27.3%	21.4%
Pending Disposition**	6.2%	5.6%	12.8%
Services Not Ordered	1.7%	1.8%	0.8%
Probation	27.8%	29.3%	28.3%
Committed to DJS	12.2%	11.9%	11.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Other***	0.9%	1.2%	1.5%
<b>Total Formal Complaints</b>	<b>12,000</b>	<b>10,898</b>	<b>10,063</b>

<sup>+</sup> Reflects the most serious disposition at court

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

\*\*\* Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2017

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>30.4%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>26.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	1.5%	2.3%	3.1%
- Property	5.4%	11.4%	3.4%	4.5%
- Drugs	2.8%	6.8%	2.2%	2.4%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.7%	5.6%	5.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>67.3%</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>73.3%</b>	<b>56.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	39.3%	24.6%	40.1%	25.7%
- Property	24.5%	16.5%	22.7%	22.5%
- Drugs	1.7%	1.8%	3.8%	2.2%
- Unspecified	1.8%	1.7%	6.7%	5.9%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>21,530</b>	<b>2,665<sup>++</sup></b>	<b>1,608</b>	<b>510</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>++</sup> Includes 76 placements of youth from out-of-state

## Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2017

Monthly Avg. Cases	
Community	
Investigation	457.3
Pre-Court	738.6
Probation	2,292.3
Aftercare	727.8
Committed-Aftercare	480.9

### COMPLAINTS AND PLACEMENTS BY COUNTY/REGION, FY 2017

County/ Region	Intake Complaints	Pre-D Detention Placements	Post-D Pending Placements <sup>*</sup>	Post- Ejection Placements	Committed Placements
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	<b>2,217</b>	<b>925</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>213</b>
Baltimore City	2,217	925	200	29	213
<b>R-II-Central</b>	<b>6,006</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>149</b>
Baltimore Co.	3,631	234	57	13	66
Carroll	389	29	19	2	19
Harford	1,069	62	29	3	34
Howard	917	78	23	8	30
<b>R-III-Western</b>	<b>2,181</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>101</b>
Allegany	477	16	16	0	22
Frederick	692	68	38	9	36
Garrett	179	3	1	0	5
Washington	833	104	34	2	38
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	<b>2,887</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>91</b>
Caroline	268	12	6	1	6
Cecil	387	39	15	6	11
Dorchester	352	19	7	3	7
Kent	79	2	0	0	0
Queen Anne's	127	10	5	2	6
Somerset	191	19	3	1	6
Talbot	162	2	1	0	1
Wicomico	848	132	52	15	52
Worcester	473	29	1	1	2
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	<b>4,358</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>173</b>
Anne Arundel	2,625	132	89	20	96
Calvert	389	37	14	1	17
Charles	784	66	19	0	26
St. Mary's	560	70	31	2	34
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	<b>3,881</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>244</b>
Montgomery	2,435	218	105	12	123
Prince George's	1,446	283	98	18	121
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>21,530</b>	<b>2,665</b>	<b>874</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>988</b>

\* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP.

## Secure Detention Information

The Maryland Department of Juvenile Services owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility serves a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are Post-Disposition awaiting placement in a committed program (pending).

### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	79.3%	79.8%	76.5%
White	15.5%	14.4%	17.7%
Hispanic/Other	5.2%	5.8%	5.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	83.2%	85.6%	84.7%
Female	16.8%	14.4%	15.3%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%
12	1.7%	1.9%	1.0%
13	4.6%	4.7%	4.6%
14	10.1%	9.6%	12.1%
15	18.7%	18.8%	20.9%
16	25.1%	24.9%	23.5%
17	25.6%	28.0%	25.0%
18-20	13.7%	12.0%	12.7%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>4,056</b>	<b>3,615</b>	<b>2,967</b>

### PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2015-2017\*

Year	Disposition	Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2017	Pre-D	80.3%	19.7%	2,713	17.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	744	23.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	143	34.9
FY 2016	Pre-D	82.3%	17.7%	3,275	15.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	777	22.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	143	30.1
FY 2015	Pre-D	80.2%	19.8%	3,736	16.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	760	22.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	197	37.1

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

### CAPACITY, ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND UTILIZATION, FY 2017

Facility	Capacity	Admissions*	ADP	Utilization
Alfred D. Noyes	57	372	22.7	39.8%
Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Ctr	120	948	42.6	35.5%
Charles H. Hickey Jr. School	72	537	35.5	49.3%
Cheltenham Youth Detention Ctr	72	619	35.0	48.6%
Lower Eastern Shore Children's Ctr	24	300	14.3	59.6%
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr	42	336	21.7	51.7%
Western MD Children's Center	24	273	15.1	62.9%
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>411</b>	<b>2,967**</b>	<b>187.0</b>	<b>45.5%</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

\*\* Total represents placements not admissions

## Committed Placement Information

A range of out-of-home program options (or placements) have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a Foster Home, Group Home, or Independent Living Program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operates six facilities in Maryland and contracts with others both in-state and out-of-state.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015-2017

Demographics	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	70.2%	72.8%	69.4%
White	23.4%	20.7%	22.1%
Hispanic/Other	6.4%	6.5%	8.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	82.8%	84.0%	83.9%
Female	17.2%	16.0%	16.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%
12	1.3%	0.9%	0.5%
13	3.4%	4.6%	3.0%
14	6.9%	9.3%	8.2%
15	17.1%	16.7%	20.5%
16	27.9%	27.1%	26.7%
17	29.2%	29.5%	27.1%
18-20	13.9%	11.8%	13.9%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>1,161</b>	<b>1,062</b>	<b>988</b>

### COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS<sup>+</sup> FOR FY 2015-2017, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2017

	Placements			FY2017	
	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	73	61	39	26.9	345.9
Group Home	310	237	219	107.3	192.3
Indep. Living	15	23	15	12.5	236.1
ICFA	117	85	56	6.8	52.5
RTC	244	227	207	103.7	228.7
<b>State Operated</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>456</b>	<b>135.6</b>	<b>114.3</b>
<i>Staff Secure</i>	324	394	360	98.5	106.4
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	99	100	96	37.1	149.2
Silver Oak	94	54	71	47.6	282.2
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>40.5</b>	<b>294.5</b>
<i>RTC</i>	10	6	8	3.3	276.6
<i>Staff Secure</i>	50	25	37	24.2	264.1
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	31	22	16	13.0	361.1
<b>Total*</b>	<b>1,161</b>	<b>1,062</b>	<b>988</b>	<b>480.9</b>	<b>194.5</b>


\* Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category, for example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

\*\* Statewide total placement counts excludes transfers within and between program/program types, therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

## Financial Information

### FY 2017 OPERATING EXPENDITURES\*

**TOTAL:**  
**\$279.7 MILLION**



\* Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

### STATE OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY 2017

Facility	Per Diem Cost	Avg. Annual Cost
Baltimore City	\$625	\$228,205
Carter	\$934	\$341,008
Cheltenham	\$943	\$344,336
Green Ridge	\$449	\$164,471
Hickey	\$974	\$355,684
L. Eastern Shore	\$348	\$127,085
Noyes	\$752	\$274,300
State-Wide Youth Centers*	\$823	\$307,584
Victor Cullen	\$981	\$358,207
Waxter	\$951	\$347,242
Western Maryland	\$680	\$248,311

\* Does not include Green Ridge Youth Center which is budgeted separately as a regionalized Youth Center.



**Maryland Department of Juvenile Services**  
**Division of Support Services**  
**One Center Plaza**  
**120 West Fayette Street**  
**Baltimore, MD 21201**  
**www.djs.maryland.gov**

**Allegany County** Barrelville Barton Bel Air Bier Bowling Green Bowmans Addition Carlos Clarysville Corriganville Cresaptown Cumberland Danville Dawson Detmold Eckhart Mines Ellerslie Flintstone Franklin Frostburg Gilmore Grahamtown Klondike La Vale Little Orleans Lonaconing Luke McCoole Midland Midlothian Moscow Mount Savage National Nikep Ocean Oldtown Pleasant Grove Potomac Park Rawlings South Cumberland Spring Gap Vale Summit Westernport Woodland Zihlman **Anne Arundel County** Admiral Heights Annapolis Arden on the Severn Arnold Brooklyn Park Cape Saint Claire Crofton Crownsville Deale Eastport Edgewater Ferndale Forest Villa Friendship Galesville Gambrells Germantown Glen Burnie Green Haven Herald Harbor Highland Beach Hillsmere Shores Jessup Lake Shore Linthicum Londontowne Maryland City Mayo Odenton Parole Pasadena Primrose Acres Pumphrey Riva Riviera Beach Selby-on-the-Bay Severn Severna Park Shady Side South Gate Truxton Heights Tyler Heights Victor Haven Wardour West Annapolis

**Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wethersville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Bennsville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ichester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlerstown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmore Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill Cheverly Chillum Clinton College Park College Park Woods Colmar Manor Coral Hills Cottage City Croom Daniels Park District Heights Eagle Harbor East Riverdale Edmonston Fairmont Heights Forest Heights Forestville Fort Washington Friendly Glassmanor Glenarden Glenn Dale Goddard Greenbelt Hardesty Hillcrest Heights Hollywood Hyattsville Kaywood Gardens Kettering Lake Arbor Landover Landover Hills Landover Park Langley Park Lanham Largo Laurel Marlboro Meadows Marlow Heights Marlton Mitchellville Morningside Mount Rainier New Carrollton New Hampshire Gardens North Brentwood Oxon Hill Peppermill Village Queenstown Riverdale Park Rosaryville Seabrook Seat Pleasant South Laurel Springbrook Terrace Springdale Springhill Lake Suitland Temple Hills University Park Upper Marlboro Walker Mill West Laurel Westphalia Woodlawn Woodmore **Queen Anne's County** Barclay Centreville Chester Church Hill Grasonville Kent Narrows Kingstown Queen Anne Queenstown Stevensville Sudlersville **Somerset County** Chance Crisfield Dames Quarter Deal Island Eden Fairmount Frenchtown Mount Vernon Princess Anne Rumbley Smith Island West Pocomoke **St. Mary's County** California Charlotte Hall Golden Beach Leonardtown Lexington Park Mechanicsville Piney Point Saint George Island Tall Timbers **Talbot County** Cordova Easton Oxford Saint Aubins Heights Saint Michaels Tilghman Island Trappe **Washington County** Antietam Bagtown Bakersville Beaver Creek Big Pool Big Spring Blue Hill Boonsboro Breathedsville Brownsville Cascade Cavetown Cearfoss Charlton Chewsville Clear Spring Conococheague Dargan Downsville Eakles Mills Edgemont Ernsville Fairplay Fairview Fountain Head Funkstown Gapland Garretts Mill Greensburg Hagerstown Halfway Hancock Highfield Indian Springs Jugtown Keedysville Leitersburg Long Meadow Mapleville Maugansville Mercersville Mount Aetna Mount Briar Mount Lena Myersdale Orchard Hills Paramount Pecktonville Pinesburg Pondsville Reid Ringgold Robinwood Rohersville Rohersville Station Saint James San Mar Sandy Hook Sharpsburg Smithsburg Tilghmanton Trego Williamsport Wilson Yarrowsburg **Wicomico County** Allen Bivalve Delmar Fruitland Hebron Jestersville Lincoln Heights Mardela Springs Nanticoke Nanticoke Acres Parsonsburg Pittsville Powellville Quantico Salisbury Sharptown Spring Grove Tyaskin Waterview Whitehaven Willards **Worcester County** Berlin Bishopville Girdletree Newark North Ocean City Ocean City Ocean Pines Pocomoke City Snow Hill Stockton West Ocean City Whaleyville **Allegany County** Barrelville Barton Bel Air Bier Bowling Green Bowmans Addition Carlos Clarysville Corriganville Cresaptown Cumberland Danville Dawson Detmold Eckhart Mines Ellerslie Flintstone Franklin Frostburg Gilmore Grahamtown Klondike La Vale Little Orleans Lonaconing Luke McCoole Midland Midlothian Moscow Mount Savage National Nikep Ocean Oldtown Pleasant Grove Potomac Park Rawlings South Cumberland Spring Gap Vale Summit Westernport Woodland Zihlman **Anne Arundel County** Admiral Heights Annapolis Arden on the Severn Arnold Brooklyn Park Cape Saint Claire Crofton Crownsville Deale Eastport Edgewater Ferndale Forest Villa Friendship Galesville Gambrells Germantown Glen Burnie Green Haven Herald Harbor Highland Beach Hillsmere Shores Jessup Lake Shore Linthicum Londontowne Maryland City Mayo Odenton Parole Pasadena Primrose Acres Pumphrey Riva Riviera Beach Selby-on-the-Bay Severn Severna Park Shady Side South Gate Truxton Heights Tyler Heights Victor Haven Wardour West Annapolis **Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wethersville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Bennsville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ichester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlerstown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmore Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill