

Data Resource Guide  
Fiscal Year 2012



Successful Youth, Strong Leaders, Safer Communities



# **Data Resource Guide Fiscal Year 2012**

## **Maryland Department of Juvenile Services**

State of Maryland  
Martin O'Malley, Governor  
Sam Abed, Secretary  
December 2012

This guide fulfills the Statutory Reporting Requirements set forth in Md. Human Services Code Ann. § 9-204 regarding the Agency's comprehensive juvenile services plan as well as reporting juvenile recidivism rates.

# Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

## EXECUTIVE STAFF

Sam Abed, Secretary

Jay Cleary, Chief of Staff

Linda McWilliams, Deputy Secretary for Operations

Lynette Holmes, Deputy Secretary for Support Services

## PROJECT LEADERS

Lynette Holmes

Susan Nicely

John Irvine

Nic Ryan

Lakshmi Iyengar

## REVIEW TEAM

Kingsley Ayuk

Susan Nicely

Patricia Elmore

Falguni Patel

Lynette Holmes

Timothy Singleton

John Irvine

Claire Souryal-Shriver

Lakshmi Iyengar

Marci Stables

Lavinia Jackson

Mussie Tewolde

Virginia Meyers

Susan Russell Walters

## LAYOUT DESIGN

Susan Nicely

The DJS Executive Staff and Project Leaders would like to thank everyone who contributed to this document. Our appreciation goes to the members of the agency who contributed a wealth of information with special thanks to Scott Beal, Gilbert Becker, Richard Burton, Toni Christian, Michael DiBattista, Esther Diggs, Cory Fink, Patricia Flanigan, Reginald Garnett, Lisa Garry, Donna Hamilton, Michael Ito, Michael Lewis, Naquasha Moreland, Wallis Norman, Roxanne Parson, Betsy Tolentino, and Crystal Washington Hall. We would also like to thank Jill Farrell and Sarah Thomas at the University of Maryland - The Innovation Institute for Innovation and Implementation, Jane Trainer at the Office of State Planning, Ida J. Williams at the Maryland State Police, Katharine Oliver and Beth Hart at the Maryland State Department of Education, and Boyce Williams at the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services. We are especially grateful to the field staff who gather the data necessary to complete the *Data Resource Guide*.



# Table of Contents

## INTRODUCTION

A Message from Governor Martin O’Malley ..... iv  
 A Word from the Secretary ..... v  
 Terms and Concepts ..... vi

## SECTION I: AN OVERVIEW OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) ..... 3  
 Organizational Chart and Agency Organization ..... 4  
 Agency Vision, Goals, and Values ..... 5  
 DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2012 ..... 6  
 DJS Historical Evolution and Timeline ..... 7  
 Maryland Juvenile Justice Services Process Flowchart ..... 10  
 Talent Management and Organizational Development ..... 12  
 Flow of FY 2012 Case Referrals ..... 13

## SECTION II: INTAKE AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision ..... 17  
 Statewide, Regional, and County Activity ..... 20  
 Trends ..... 82

## SECTION III: DETENTION

Introduction to Detention ..... 91  
 Statewide and Specific Detention Facility Information ..... 94  
 Alternatives to Detention (ATD) ..... 112

## SECTION IV: COMMITTED PROGRAMS

Introduction to Committed Programs ..... 117  
 Statewide and Committed Programs by Type ..... 122  
 State-Operated Facilities ..... 138  
 Silver Oak (Private Provider) ..... 152  
 Evidence-Based Services (EBS) ..... 154

## SECTION V: RECIDIVISM RATES

Measuring Recidivism Rates ..... 159  
 Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases ..... 161  
 Recidivism Rates for Probation Placements ..... 174

## SECTION VI: APPENDICES

Appendix A: Community Services Staffing Distribution as of June 30, 2012 ..... 179  
 Appendix B: Detention Center Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2012 ..... 180  
 Appendix C: Committed Program Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2012 ..... 180  
 Appendix D: State Operated Facility Expenditures, FY 2012 ..... 181  
 Appendix E: State Operated Facility Per Diems & Average Annual Costs, FY 2012 ..... 181  
 Appendix F: Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) ..... 182  
 Appendix G: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Youth in Detention ..... 187  
 Appendix H: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Committed Youth ..... 189  
 Appendix I: Maryland Comprehensive Assessment & Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen ..... 191  
 Appendix J: Questions from the DJS MCASP Needs Assessment ..... 194  
 Appendix K: Crimes of Violence ..... 196  
 Appendix L: Maryland Citations Pertaining to DJS ..... 196  
 Appendix M: Alternatives to Detention and Shelter Care by Region and County ..... 198  
 Appendix N: Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Strategies and Contact Information ..... 200  
 Appendix O: Relative Rate Index (RRI) ..... 201  
 Appendix P: Census Information by Race, 2011 ..... 204  
 Appendix Q: Cases, Youth, and Ratios by County of Jurisdiction FY 2010- FY 2012 ..... 205  
 Appendix R: Classification of Offenses ..... 206  
 Appendix S: Commonly Used Acronyms ..... 209

## DJS STATISTICAL SUMMARY INFORMATION SHEET (PULLOUT) ..... 211



**STATE OF MARYLAND**  
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR



**MARTIN O'MALLEY**  
GOVERNOR

STATE HOUSE  
100 STATE CIRCLE  
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401-1925  
(410) 974-3901  
(TOLL FREE) 1-800-811-8336  
TTY USERS CALL VIA MD RELAY  
GOVERNOR.O'MALLEY@MARYLAND.GOV

***A MESSAGE FROM GOVERNOR MARTIN O'MALLEY***

Dear Friends:

Welcome to FY 2012 Data Resource Guide, presented for the second year by the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services.

Maryland is committed to protecting our most vulnerable children and their families. Together, we've driven down juvenile homicides in Maryland. Through the Violence Prevention Initiative, Operation Safe Kids, the use of GPS monitoring for youth offenders and continued work with the Baltimore City Police Department and the Mayor's Office, our efforts are saving children's lives in Baltimore City.

We're continuing to take steps to support our communities. We've established a cross-border agreement with Washington D.C. to share information about juvenile offenders, and we're enhancing our relationships with local law enforcement agencies to enable access to real-time electronic records. And, through our Child Safety Dashboard, we are working to better care for children who are under the guidance of both the Department of Juvenile Services and the Department of Human Resources.

To protect the safety of all Marylanders, particularly our youngest and most vulnerable citizens, we've created initiatives to help keep at-risk children off the streets and provide them with educational activities and service opportunities. Thank you for your partnership in our shared pursuit for a safer Maryland.

Sincerely,

Governor



## A Word from the Secretary...

Welcome to the second edition of the Data Resource Guide. This past year has been a great year for the Department of Juvenile Services and for the youth and communities we serve. With thoughtful initiatives and innovative strategies we have succeeded in reducing the length of time youth await placement in treatment services, strengthened our continuum of services, and expanded recreation and program offerings for our youth. As we strengthen the Department and the services we provide the already high level of commitment and passion our staff display every day continues to grow.

If you are already familiar with our DRG, you will find the layout to be substantially similar to our first edition, but with some exciting new information to provide our staff, stakeholders, and constituents. This edition of the DRG includes breakouts of data for young women involved with DJS, recidivism information for youth who were served in the community, and a relative rate index for each major decision point impacting a youth having contact with the criminal justice system.



**Sam Abed**

Breaking out data separately for girls allows DJS to better see gender differences for youth in the Juvenile Justice system. It also responds to legislators, advocates, and practitioners who are seeking locality specific data on girls. Also see the Department's Report on Female Offenders for additional information on young ladies involved with DJS. Additionally, expanding our recidivism analysis for youth on probation is an important step in evaluating the effectiveness of supervision in the community as well as programming and treatment services delivered in the community.

The addition of the relative rate index analysis is designed to give local DJS leadership as well as local practitioners a starting point to address racial disparities in our system. Disproportionate Minority Contact or DMC has been a persistent issue for youth and adult systems alike all over the country. Disparities may exist at different points of contact with the system and it is our goal to ensure that those disparities are not the result of discrimination or bias. DJS has implemented objective decision making tools like the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) to address the issue of bias, however, despite this, disparities continue. Therefore, we must dive deeper into the data and identify patterns of concern so we can achieve a measurable impact on these disparities.

I hope that you will find this DRG to be a true resource for you and that it will allow you to better understand the juveniles we serve here in Maryland each day. It is only by understanding our trends that we can hope to truly evaluate our programs and services and make changes that will make a positive impact on the lives of the youth, their families, and our communities at large.

Lastly, I want to acknowledge the tremendous work that went in to creating this Guide. The Research and Evaluation staff in cooperation with the Information Technology staff worked countless hours to analyze, compile, and create this book which is based on the work and data collected each day by the community, detention, and committed program staff. My sincere thanks is extended to you all.

Sincerely,

Sam Abed



## Terms and Concepts

**Absent Without Leave (AWOL):** A youth who absconds from a non-secure residential program.

**Adjudicatory Hearing:** Proceeding before a juvenile judge or master to determine the truth of allegations made against a youth. If the allegations concerning the commitment of a delinquent act are found to be true, the youth may be adjudicated delinquent.

**Aftercare:** Individualized services provided to a youth who is discharged from a residential program.

**Alternatives To Detention (ATD):** Residential settings/services provided to youth in lieu of detention that satisfy the detaining court's requirement to keep the youth and the public safe and ensure the youth appears in court. ATDs may include: home; home with additional services; home under community detention and/or electronic monitoring; family shelter care; structured shelter care; acute care hospitals; and psychiatric respite care programs.

**Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST):** DJS client database.

**Average Daily Population (ADP):** Daily population of youth in residential placement (state or privately owned) averaged over 365 days.

**Average Length of Stay (ALOS):** Average total number of days in residential placement between admission and release. Youth detained in more than one facility during a contiguous stay are counted as a single placement.

**Case Management Specialist (CMS):** DJS staff who provide case management services in a community or residential setting to emotionally or socially maladjusted, delinquent, or victimized juveniles.

**Certificate of Placement (COP):** The document which reflects youth's location, services, and authorizes payment for services.

**CHALLENGE:** A behavioral management program implemented in DJS' residential facilities with the goal of developing pro-social behavior and individual accountability/responsibility of youth using a token economy and social skills education to incentivize positive behavior and accountability.

**Child In Need of Assistance (CINA):** A youth who has been physically, sexually, or emotionally abused or neglected by a parent or other person responsible for the youth's care.

**Child In Need of Supervision (CINS):** A youth who commits an offense that, if committed by an adult, would not be a crime (e.g. truancy, run-away or "ungovernable").

**Commitment versus Admission:** A commitment is a court order placing a delinquent youth in DJS' care. The youth is usually placed into an out-of-home program, but may also be provided services at home. An admission occurs when a juvenile physically arrives at a facility and is officially entered into the facility's rolls. An admission may occur days/weeks after the juvenile is committed to DJS (during which time he/

she is held in secure detention, in "pending placement" status.) A single admission to an out-of-home program could be the result of multiple commitments (e.g. a juvenile may be committed by more than one court, or have multiple charges with "committed" dispositions). Thus the number of commitments in a FY will be slightly different from the number of admissions to committed programs.

**Community Detention (CD):** The supervision and guidance of juveniles under court ordered placement to the DJS Community Detention Program. DJS ensures youth compliance with the terms and conditions of community detention through supervision, field visits, surveillance, and electronic monitoring.

**Complaint:** A written statement made by any person or agency to a DJS intake officer, which if true would support allegations of a juvenile petition.

**Continuum of Care:** Legislation passed in 2012 authorizing DJS to transfer youth directly from one facility/program to another facility/program (of equal or higher security level) without first asking the court to modify the commitment order.

**Day/Evening Reporting Center (D/ERC):** A program that serves youth as an alternative to detention. Youth are required to report daily to ensure the youth is monitored and gets back to court for hearings.

**Delinquent:** A youth who has been adjudicated for an act which would be a crime if committed by an adult and who requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation.

**Detention:** Temporary, short-term (1-30 days) physically secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition.

**Detention Hearing:** A court proceeding to determine whether a youth shall be placed in or continued in detention.

**Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):** An assessment of a youth's risk to reoffend and/or failing to appear for future court dates used to guide whether the youth should be detained, placed in a detention alternative, or released to a parent/guardian.

**Direct Care Staff:** An employee whose primary duty is to provide direct supervision of youth.

**Disposition:** The action taken by the juvenile court that outlines whether the youth requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation and, if so, the nature of such assistance that an adjudicated youth will receive. (Note: In adult courts, this is known as a "sentence.")

**Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC):** A rate of contact with the juvenile justice system among youth of a specific minority group that is significantly different than the rate of contact for whites (i.e., non-Hispanic Caucasians) or for other minority groups (See RRI definition).

**Ejection from Committed Placement:** When a youth is removed from a committed program and placed into secure detention, due to behavior, adjustment, or other reasons not related to a new offense. These cases generally require a new committed placement.

**Electronic Monitoring (EM):** A statewide program providing close monitoring of youth in the community as an alternative to residential



placement/detention. Youth are fitted with an ankle bracelet that monitors youth movement and compliance within established location parameters.

**Escape:** A youth who absconds from a secure residential program.

**Evidence Based Services (EBS):** Programs that have been found to be effective based on the results of rigorous evaluations.

**Felony vs. Misdemeanor:** In Maryland a crime is either a felony or a misdemeanor. Generally, felonies are the more serious of these two types of crimes. However, there is no clear line for determining whether a crime is a felony or misdemeanor based on length of incarceration. Unless specified in a statute or the offense was a felony at common law, a crime is considered a misdemeanor. Most statutes specify whether a crime is a misdemeanor or a felony. Common law crimes retain common law grades as either felonies or misdemeanors unless changed through the legislative process. The General Assembly may choose to label a statutory crime a felony or misdemeanor independent of the amount of punishment the statute provides. The General Assembly may also choose to change the status of a crime from a misdemeanor to a felony or a felony to a misdemeanor.

**Fiscal Year (FY):** The time period measured from July 1<sup>st</sup> of one year to June 30<sup>th</sup> of the following year. FY 2012 runs from July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012.

**For Authorization of Formal Petition (FAFP):** DJS has statutory authority to screen all juvenile complaints referred. A case not resolved or diverted through an informal agreement by DJS intake officers will result in an FAFP that requests the juvenile court take action on the complaint.

**Functional Family Therapy (FFT - an EBS):** An outcome-driven prevention/intervention program for youth demonstrating the entire range of maladaptive behaviors such as delinquency, violence, substance use, Conduct Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, or Disruptive Behavior Disorder. Flexible delivery of service is provided by one or two person teams to clients in-home, clinic, school, juvenile court, community-based programs, and at re-entry from institutional placement.

**Global Positioning System (GPS):** A global navigation satellite system that provides location and time information of anyone with a global positioning system receiver.

**Group Home:** A residential program licensed by DHR, DJS or MHA/DHMH to provide 24-hour supervised out-of-home care for 4 or more youth providing a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services.

**Hardware Secure Facility:** A facility that relies primarily on the use of construction and hardware such as locks, bars, and fences to restrict freedom.

**Informal (or "Pre-Court") Supervision:** An agreement between DJS and a youth and family to enter into counseling and/or DJS monitoring without court involvement.

**Intake:** The process for reviewing a complaint against a youth and determining whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether

judicial action is in the best interest of the public and/or the youth. During intake youth and their family are offered services.

**Juvenile Court:** A division of the Circuit Court in Maryland.

**Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI):** A best practice model that addresses inappropriate and unnecessary use of detention and reduces the failures of juveniles to appear in court. The goals of JDAI are to reduce overcrowding in detention centers by safely maintaining the youth in the community in detention alternatives without jeopardizing public safety or increasing the number of youth who fail to appear for court.

**Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit (JJMU):** The Unit investigates the needs of children under the jurisdiction of DJS and determines whether their needs are being met in compliance with State law. This includes evaluating conditions of facilities housing youth, reporting on treatment of and services to youth, and investigating allegations of child abuse.

**Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP):** MCASP uses integrated case management to assess youths' risks and needs throughout their DJS involvement and develop interventions to accomplish the goals of public safety and youth rehabilitation. MCASP enables DJS to strengthen individualized service plans for youth and families and match them with appropriate services/programs; to track youth progress; and to ensure that each youth receives the level of supervision consistent with his or her risk to public safety.

**Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC):** Under DPSCS, MPCTC is vested with the authority to set standards of initial selection and training for all governmental law enforcement, correctional, parole and probation, and juvenile services employees in the State of Maryland, and to otherwise upgrade the professionalism of these officers. MPCTC provides certification and on-going training to DJS staff.

**Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care (MTFC - an EBS):** A cost-effective alternative to group or residential treatment, committed placements, and hospitalization for youth with chronic anti-social behavior, emotional disturbance, and delinquency. Community families are recruited, trained, and closely supervised to provide youth with treatment and intensive supervision at home, in school, and in the community; clear and consistent limits with follow-through on consequences; positive reinforcement for appropriate behavior; a relationship with a mentoring adult; and separation from delinquent peers.

**Multisystemic Therapy (MST - an EBS):** An intensive family- and community-based treatment program that addresses the serious anti-social behavior of juvenile offenders. The major goal of MST is to empower parents and youth with the skills and resources needed to independently resolve the difficulties that arise in coping with family, peer, school, and neighborhood problems. Intervention strategies include family therapy, structural family therapy, behavioral parent training, and cognitive behavior therapies. MST is a home-based model of service delivery.

**Operation Safe Kids (OSK):** A collaboration between the health department, DJS and other state and city agencies to provide intensive



community-based case management and monitoring of high-risk juvenile offenders to prevent them from becoming victims/perpetrators of violent crimes and to ensure they have the tools to become productive adults.

**Pending Placement:** Youth who have been committed for placement in an out-of-home residential facility and are awaiting placement. Youth may be pending placement in a variety of settings including: detention; home; home with additional services; home under community detention; and/or electronic monitoring; family shelter care; structured shelter care; acute care hospitals; or psychiatric respite care programs.

**Petition:** A formal written request filed with the juvenile court alleging that a child is delinquent, in need of supervision (CINS) or in need of assistance (CINA).

**Placements versus Admissions:** A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention or a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Thus, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

**Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Training (PACT):** PACT Evening Reporting Center serves youth 14 to 17 years of age who would otherwise be in juvenile detention. It utilizes a youth development model and works to collaborate with participants, their families, DJS, and other partners to develop a plan to address the underlying issues which lead to anti-social or deviant behavior.

**Probation:** Court-ordered supervision of youth in the community that may include community service, restitution, counseling, etc.

**Recidivism:** Subsequent juvenile or adult criminal involvement of youths released from DJS committed residential programs or placed on probation.

**Relative Rate Index (RRI):** A measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool for measuring which of the various contact points (arrest, court referral, etc.) show greater or lesser disproportionality.

**Resident Advisor:** DJS staff position that provides supervision, support, care and monitoring of youth placed in DJS-operated facilities.

**Residential Treatment Center (RTC):** A mental health facility for children and adolescents with long-term serious emotional, behavioral, and psychological problems. RTCs provide intensive services and should only be considered when therapeutic services available in the community are insufficient to address a youth's needs. In addition to Maryland RTCs, DJS uses a variety of out-of-state providers including RTCs funded through MA, with rates set through MSDE, and facilities that are not RTCs and serve moderate-to-high-risk multi-problem youth. These are youth who may be exhibiting moderate psychiatric symptomatology and aggressive behavior, or who have histories of unsuccessful/repeated placements and/or hospitalizations. Treatment models vary depending on the client focus of the program but all provide individualized treatment plans, are comprehensive in services, highly structured, treatment oriented and behaviorally focused.

**Resolved at Intake:** A determination that furthering the case would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety.

**Shelter Care:** Temporary, short-term (1-30 days), non-secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition. Shelter beds serve as an alternative to detention or other short-term circumstance where family or other housing is not available.

**Social History Investigation (SHI - formerly PDI):** The written study of a youth and his/her family that is presented to the juvenile court. A Social History Investigation emphasizes social and legal histories as well as the domain areas of: family functioning, substance abuse, mental health, somatic health, education, employment, and life skills.

**Spotlight on Schools (SOS) Program:** An initiative to place case managers on-site at certain key schools across the state. DJS Spotlight workers monitor and respond immediately to attendance issues, referrals for disruptive behavior, suspensions, and drop out issues for youth under DJS supervision, and offer prevention and early intervention services to other at-risk students. In addition, SOS workers provide immediate, on-site intake services for students involved in delinquent activity.

**Staff Secure:** Programs where a youth's movement is controlled by staff supervision rather than by restrictive architectural features.

**State Advisory Board:** The Board consists of 19 members appointed by the Governor for three-year terms. The Board recommends to the Secretary of Juvenile Services policies and programs to improve State juvenile services. The Board helps plan development, use of resources, and helps inform the public of the Department's work.


**Statewide Maryland Automated Records Tracking (SMART):** A web-based tool used to provide a consent-driven client tracking system for both state agencies and private treatment providers.

**Treatment Service Plan (TSP):** A written document identifying treatment objectives, services, and service linkages that address the needs of the youth and family. It also examines the safety and appropriateness of the youth's placement, guides DJS's recommendations to the juvenile court for permanency planning (where appropriate), level of supervision, and services required.

**Ungovernable:** Defined by the Juvenile Court Statistics as being beyond the control of parents, guardians, or custodians or being disobedient of parental authority (i.e. CINS juveniles who are truants from school, violate curfew laws, or run away, are ungovernable).

**Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI):** Implemented in January 2008, the VPI Unit ensures intensified levels of supervision and targeted services for youth at highest risk of being victims/perpetrators of crimes of violence. This Initiative incorporates a level system and continuum of graduated responses to ensure that immediate and appropriate actions are consistently applied when youth are non-compliant.

**Youth:** The preferred term for individuals under 18 years of age as used by DJS.



# Section I: An Overview of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

Juvenile Courtroom at the Baltimore City  
Juvenile Justice Center





## Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

The Maryland Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) provides individualized care and treatment to youth who have violated the law or who are a danger to themselves or others. DJS uses objective assessment tools specifically developed for Maryland's youth, manages youth with the guidance of the data collected, and works with partners in the community to achieve meaningful improvements to the outcomes of the youth we serve.

### OBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT TOOLS

DJS uses the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) to evaluate whether a youth should be held in secure detention while awaiting a hearing on the merits of an offense charged. This tool helps the Department improve its detention practices by taking subjectivity out of the critical decision of whether to detain a youth. Using this tool may help DJS reach the goal of detaining only those youth who pose a risk to public safety, to themselves, or who would not otherwise appear in court.

If a youth has been adjudicated delinquent, the Department then utilizes the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning Tool (MCASP) to assess the youth's treatment needs and serve as the basis for the Treatment Service Plan (TSP) development. Using an objective instrument allows the Department to make better matches between youth and the course of treatment available within the continuum of care. The continuum of care consists of both state-operated facilities and privately-operated programs designed to address youth needs, as well as the factors that led the youth to delinquent behavior.

#### MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES (DJS)

*By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and after-care services.*

*DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.*

### MANAGING WITH DATA


The information developed from assessment tools as well as information collected by caseworkers, investigators, and facility staff is regularly analyzed by the Office of Research and Evaluation. Reports are generated for StateStat, the Annual Statistical Report, and for numerous ad hoc requests. This *Data Resource Guide* represents the most comprehensive report of the Department's data ever. Locally specific information is now readily available to all. This is crucial for practitioners because statewide trends could mask individual local trends that run counter to conventional wisdom. As innovative new ways of examining our information are uncovered, they will be incorporated into this publication.

### COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS

DJS's partnerships start in the community at the field offices. In each locality throughout the state, DJS employs staff who work with the courts to provide intake services, supervision of youth, and recommendations to the court on detention as well as placement into care. Field staff work with community-based treatment providers to develop a range of offerings that meet the needs of youth in that community. State partners, such as the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), the Department of Human Resources (DHR) and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) collaborate at many levels to deliver services in the most effective manner. DJS also works closely with the Maryland State Police, local law enforcement, and the Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention to ensure public safety.



## Organizational Chart AS OF NOVEMBER, 2012



## Agency Organization

The Department of Juvenile Services serves the entire state by providing support for community programs and services, community supervision and case management, and custody and care of committed juveniles.

Headquarters, located in Baltimore City, houses the Office of the Secretary and functions as the hub for all support services.

Administered by the Secretary, agency functions are divided among the following:

- The Secretary oversees the Chief of Staff, Deputy Secretaries, Office of the Inspector General, Offices of Legislation, Policy, and Communication.
- The Chief of Staff oversees the office of fair practice/equal employment divisions.
- The Deputy Secretary for Support Services manages support functions including budgeting, capital planning, general services, human resources, information technology, Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) and Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) functions (Systems Reform), research and evaluation, and talent management and organizational development.
- The Deputy Secretary for Operations oversees operations that provide community-based services to youth and families, including community detention, field offices, and Statewide Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) efforts. She also oversees residential operations, including education, managing director of BCJJC/transportation services, as well as somatic health, victim, substance abuse, and mental health services.



## Vision Statement

**Successful Youth, Strong Leaders, Safer Communities.**

### DJS Goals

1. Reduce violence against children through collaboration with law enforcement and other agency partners.
2. Reduce recidivism for supervised or committed youth.
3. Keep supervised and committed youth safe while holding youth accountable for their actions.
4. Promote continuums of care for referred and delinquent youth.
5. Build, maintain and empower a diverse, competent, and professional workforce.
6. Enhance the quality, availability and use of technology.

### DJS Values

- We value the safety of the citizens of Maryland and the fair, safe, and humane treatment for all youth in our care.
- We value the families, communities, stakeholders, and staff who support positive change in our youth.
- We value the experience, expertise, diversity, and integrity of our staff.
- We value fairness and cultural competence regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual identity, or religion.
- We value creating opportunities for youth and families to promote positive growth and development.
- We value a community-based approach with the least restrictive appropriate interventions.
- We value continuous improvement and learning in all individuals.
- We value excellence, innovation, and quality practices based on data and research.
- We value change for the growth opportunities it brings.
- We value accountability.
- We value collaboration and teamwork.





Youth participating in an African Drumming & Culture Class held at Baltimore City Day and Evening Reporting Center.



## DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2012

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012, the Department expended a total of \$286.2 Million. The first pie chart reflects the major cost centers for which these funds were expended and the second shows the expenditures by major object group.



Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.



## Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution

### 1830

- Maryland Legislature passes “An Act to Establish a House of Refuge for Juvenile Delinquents” creating for the first time an authority to provide troubled children with homes, education, and job training.

### 1850-1882

- Maryland builds four “reform schools” for young people, governed by private boards and segregated by race and sex.
- House of Refuge opens (1850).

### 1866

- Maryland Industrial School for Girls opens, and is operated under various names, finally as the Montrose School, and closing in 1988.

### 1870

- House of Reformation & Instruction for Colored Children opens. It operated under various names, renamed to the Cheltenham Youth Facility in 1992.

### 1882

- Industrial Home for Colored Girls opens. Merged with Montrose School for Girls in 1962.

### 1910-1918

- House of Refuge (opened in 1850) becomes Maryland School for Boys (1910) and in 1918 becomes the Maryland Training School for Boys.

### 1922

- State Department of Education operates the training schools.

### 1943

- State Department of Public Welfare, Bureau of Child Welfare, Division of Institutions.

### 1966-1969

- State Department of Juvenile Services becomes the central coordinating agency for juvenile investigation, probation and aftercare services, and for State juvenile, diagnostic, training, detention, and rehabilitation institutions.

### 1967

- State Department of Juvenile Services assumes administrative responsibilities for all State children’s centers and boys’ forestry camps.

### 1968

- Patterson House (Group Home for Girls) opens. It closes in 1992.

### 1969

- Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, organizes the Juvenile Services Administration to administer all schools, youth detention centers, forestry camps, and probation/aftercare programs.

### 1970

- Alfred D. Noyes Children’s Center opens in Montgomery County.

### 1972

- Group Home for Boys opens, becoming the William Donald Schaefer House in 1992.
- Maryland Youth Residence Center opens.

### 1982

- J. DeWeese Carter Center opens.

### 1985

- Maryland Training School for Boys becomes the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School.

### 1987


- Juvenile Services Administration becomes an independent agency.

### 1989

- The Department of Juvenile Services becomes a cabinet-level department.

### 1992

- Victor Cullen Academy opens, which was a former tuberculosis sanatorium.
- Boys’ Village of Maryland renamed to Cheltenham Youth Facility.



Buildings on the campus of the House of Reformation in the early 1900's. The House of Reformation originally opened in 1870 and is the predecessor to what is known today as the Cheltenham Youth Facility.



## Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution (continued)

### 1999

- In November, DJS replaces its existing mainframe application, Information System for Youth Services (ISYS), with a client-server system referred to as the Automated Statewide Support and Information Systems Tools (ASSIST).

### 2002

- Victor Cullen Academy closes.
- HB 1081 - Requires the establishment of a community detention program.
- HB 1011 - Requires the Department to operate the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center as a centralized regional juvenile justice intake, assessment, court, and detention facility for Baltimore City and specified the powers and duties related to the Center.
- HB 962 - Authorizes the juvenile court to adopt a treatment service plan recommended by the Department in making a disposition on a specified petition. It also requires the Department to ensure that a treatment service plan adopted by the court is implemented within 25 days after disposition.

### 2003

- Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC) opens in October.

### 2004

- Opens Day/Evening Center in Baltimore City in December.
- SB 767 /HB 1141 - Requires a child discharged from a committed residential placement receive step-down aftercare according to specified parameters.

### 2005

- Closes Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School Committed and Impact Programs in July.
- HB 1339 - Requires the Secretary of Juvenile Services to establish a Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program in Baltimore City and County.

### 2007

- Victor Cullen Center reopens in July.
- Maryland Youth Residence Center (MYRC) closes in October.
- SB 359 - Reorganization and Regionalization requires DJS to serve youth with specified programming that delivers services on a regional basis.
- Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center closes in December.
- SB 175 - Requires the Secretary of Juvenile Services to establish Maryland Rising, a statewide program of volunteer mentors for children who have spent a specified amount of time in a committed placement.

### 2008

- Group Home Reform - Keeping Maryland Youth in Maryland Per Diem Reduction Initiative.
- Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) begins in Baltimore City in January and statewide in November.
- Opens Day/Evening Reporting Center in Prince George's County in November.
- SB 742 - Provides that all contracted residential child care programs must post a "Residents' Bill of Rights" in the facility and provide residents and their parents/guardians a handbook of the policies of the provider.

### 2009

- Collaboration with Operation Safe Kids to serve VPI youth in Baltimore City and Prince George's County.
- Silver Oak Academy opens (private provider serving only DJS youth).

### 2010

- Child Safety Net Dashboard launches in February.
- Murphy Shelter at Cheltenham closes in March.
- HB 1382 - Increases the ability to share information and collaborate with the District of Columbia or a state agency in Virginia regarding juveniles.


### 2011

- SB 62 - Authorizes the State Department of Education and the Department of Juvenile Services to share educational records when necessary to ensure the appropriate delivery of services.
- HB 1190 - Expands the Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program to include Cecil County, Montgomery County, and Prince George's County.
- The committed female population moves from Waxter Facility to J. Dewees Carter Center in November and CHALLENGE, a new behavioral management program, is implemented.

### 2012

- SB 245 - Allows the Department to facilitate youth movement between committed facilities to develop a continuum of care.
- CHALLENGE Program begins at Victor Cullen Center in March and is at all facilities by October 2012.

# Department of Juvenile Services Historical Evolution Highlights Since 2000




# Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

## Process Flowchart

**Key:**

- Enter system
- Process for regular intake referral
- ▨ Referral involving detention request
- Court process for all youth
- Referral to outside services
- Exit system

Oval: End point  
 Rectangle: Process  
 Diamond: Non-DJS decision point  
 Starburst: MCASP tool





## STEPS IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM

1. Youth can be referred to DJS by law enforcement, schools, and parents. Some police departments run diversion programs, and only those youth who fail out of the program would be referred to DJS.
2. DJS makes an emergency detention decision to determine if a youth requires secure detention until the next court day. This decision is guided by a Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI).
3. The intake complaint is assessed by an intake officer, who has statutory authority to determine how the case should be handled. The options are:
  - Resolved, when it is determined that furthering the case would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety.
  - Informal adjustment, where the family signs a 90-day agreement to certain conditions without court involvement. This is the main DJS court diversion program.
  - Formally authorize the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court for a hearing.
4. At the detention hearing, the juvenile court determines if detention is required until the adjudicatory or dispositional hearing. Youth may also be detained directly by the juvenile court in cases where the youth is presented during court hours, either on a new charge, a writ or warrant, or due to a violation or sanction of a supervision order, (probation, community detention, drug court, etc.) In Maryland, all secure detention centers are operated by DJS.
5. Youth who present a lower risk may also be supervised in programs providing alternatives to secure detention. These programs ensure that the youth is available to attend the adjudicatory hearing, and must comply with certain restrictions, which include house arrest, electronic monitoring, or day and/or evening reporting centers. Structured shelter is also used for cases where the youth cannot return home after arrest but otherwise represents a lower risk.
6. DJS formally authorizes the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court. The State's Attorney then reviews the complaint, and may dismiss it, or file a petition to the juvenile court for an adjudicatory hearing.
7. At the adjudicatory hearing, the juvenile court determines the outcome of the petitioned charges, which can be sustained or not sustained.
8. While a youth is awaiting adjudication, disposition and/or supervision or placement, DJS conducts a series of assessments and investigations which will guide the DJS recommendation to the court on how the case should be handled.
9. For cases where the charges are sustained, a dispositional hearing is held to determine if the youth requires supervision by DJS under a probation order, or will be committed to DJS' care which usually indicates an out-of-home placement.
10. For youth whose disposition is probation, DJS case managers provide supervision and services while the youth resides at home. Depending on the risk-level of the youth, supervision may be moderate, or may be intensive, including high intensive under the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI).
11. Youth who are committed to the Department for out-of-home placement often continue to wait in detention "pending placement" for an appropriate placement to become available.
12. Youth who are committed to an out-of-home placement may be placed in a broad variety of programs (state-run or private, secure or non-secure) depending on the risk-level and treatment needs of the youth.
13. DJS has committed diversion programs for youth who might otherwise be placed out-of-home. These evidence-based in-home programs provide services and treatment to the youth and family, including Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), and Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care - Adolescent (MTFC-A).
14. Youth returning home from a committed placement are supervised by DJS case managers. This supervision is at various intensity levels, and case managers also assist youth with school re-entry, employment, and other services.



## Talent Management and Organizational Development

The Talent Management and Organizational Development unit (TMOD) supports DJS and its employees through strategic processes and procedures that promote and support effective practices in juvenile justice. TMOD provides leadership and strategic direction for organizational development that includes management of the following processes: strategic planning; training continuum from Entry Level Training to Executive Training and the Leadership Development Institute; and the federally funded grant that informs organizational improvement and transformation.

An organization's backbone is the people and the talents they bring to the job. TMOD assists in the design of employee engagement strategies to motivate, manage, retain, and develop DJS' workforce to its full potential in alignment with the vision, mission, values, goals, and strategic plan.

Talent Management has, as its ultimate goal, creating a work environment that finds, retains, and engages the best talent. The key to success for any organization is the ability to attract and retain skilled and talented people. Talent management is the process of caring, training, developing, and keeping a workforce to accomplish the goals of the organization by providing continuous learning opportunities which enhance the knowledge, skills, and abilities of all DJS employees.

TMOD conducts 12 Entrance-Level Training (ELT) sessions per year for newly hired employees to complete within the first year of their employment with the agency. TMOD also conducts four Supervisor Training sessions per year for employees who were promoted to supervisory positions. Supervisor training must be completed within one year of the promotion date.

DJS is moving toward a Training Resource Officer model that will enhance the delivery of required annual in-service training and provide direct continuing training support for staff. The Training Resource Officer responsibilities will include: ensuring 100% compliance with in-service training requirements for current staff within their purview; coordinating, in conjunction with facility or regional scheduler, a comprehensive in-service training program annually; ensuring that the training schedule will exact compliance with the Maryland Correctional Training Commission regulations (minimum of 18 hours of in-service training); ensuring that the training schedule will exact compliance with national standards for juvenile justice training of 40 hours in-service training; tracking and ensuring accurate data-entry in the Skills Manager database for all staff within their purview; manages course registration and administration for the assigned facility; and serves as the lead role model in their regional office or facility for new staff. The Training Resource Officer provides technical guidance, advice and instruction to new DJS staff; determines individual organizational needs for region or facility; coordinates all aspects of training for the facility; plans employee development strategies and interventions; correctly applies concepts learned in the classroom to field training operations; monitors the progress of trainees; makes recommendations for improvement; and ensures trainees are current on all qualifications.

DJS employees providing direct care to youth under the Department's supervision are required by the Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC) to be certified. TMOD is responsible to ensure that all DJS employees complete their required annual training to maintain their certifications.

**In-Service Training:** TMOD is developing a comprehensive in-service training structure that will provide intermediate and advanced training in some content areas. Additionally, TMOD is developing opportunities to engage technology in training through webinars, videoconferencing, and teleconferencing.

**Specialized Training/Initiatives:** TMOD will partner with other organizations that develop training to meet the needs of staff. The Family Tree received a grant to conduct Child Sexual Abuse Training for facility staff. This training has been aligned with the requirements of the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA).

**Statewide Initiatives:** Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) Unit and the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP - the DJS case management model).

**Skills Manager Training Database:** MPCTC requires all public safety agencies across the State to maintain all training data in the Skills Manager Training Database. Skills Manager is a robust system for collecting, managing, and reporting of records related to the development of DJS employees for the duration of their career. It monitors personnel training and certifications in many categories including ELT, in-service training, and instructor training. This training management system has a component entitled VISTA that will allow staff to provide employees with convenient access to their professional development and training records.


**Leadership Development Institute (LDI):** DJS has deferred the Leadership Development Institute to evaluate the efficacy of the program and the success of former participants. In lieu of LDI, DJS will support and encourage participation in the Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions' Leadership Development Institute which offers a variety of courses in management and leadership development.



**DJS Gang Specialist Frank Clark conducts entry-level training for newly hired direct care workers**




## Flow of FY 2012 Case Referrals



In FY 2012:

- There were a total of 32,915 intakes processed by DJS.
- 47.7% of those intakes involved a formal petition (as opposed to being resolved at intake or juvenile receiving 90-days of pre-court supervision).
- Of the 15,687 petitions, 81.8% resulted in a court disposition and the remaining 18.2% had the petition either denied by the State's Attorney or the petition withdrawn.
- Of the 12,833 court dispositions:
  - 29.6% received probation.
  - 11.5% were committed to DJS.
  - The remaining 58.9% were dismissed/closed, continued, transferred, nolle pros., pending disposition, jurisdiction waived, writ pending, inter-region/state, or other.





## Section II: Intake and Community Supervision

Females in the West Baltimore YO Center's PACT program testing the equipment in a newly created community-based recording studio.





## Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision

The DJS Operations Division - Community Services subdivision provides a continuum of services to youth across multiple stages of the juvenile justice system. The provision of community services begins at DJS intake where DJS intake officers receive complaints from persons or agencies – for example, private citizens, schools, victims, or law enforcement agencies – and assess whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is warranted. In addition to screening complaints received at intake, Community Services staff directly supervise youth placed on Informal (or Pre-Court) Supervision, Community Detention and Electronic Monitoring (CD/EM), Probation, Aftercare, and/or Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) program supervision. Case supervision and management consists of a number of tasks which will be described in more detail below.

### Juvenile Intake

DJS intake officers review all delinquent and Child in Need of Supervision (CINS) complaints, citations, referrals for service, and peace order requests. Intake officers are directed to make a determination within 25 days as to whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction, and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public or the child. DJS intake officers are authorized to either: (a) disapprove a complaint as legally insufficient; (b) resolve the matter at intake; (c) propose an informal adjustment period (also called pre-court supervision); or (d) authorize the filing of a petition by the State's Attorney's Office.

The intake decision-making process may involve an interview with the youth, parent and/or guardian, and where applicable, the victim(s). The intake decision is also guided by the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen (See Appendix I). The MCASP Intake Risk Screen is completed at intake for all alleged offenses except alcohol and tobacco citations and status offenses (e.g., curfew violations and runaway complaints). MCASP Intake Risk Screen items create a delinquency history score and a social history score. The instrument generates a recommended intake decision based on the intersection of these two scores and the nature of the most serious instant offense (whether it is a felony or misdemeanor). If a youth is referred for a violent felony offense, the instrument recommends that the case is handled formally by authorizing the filing of a petition.

In addition to assessing the merit of complaints received at intake and making the case forwarding decision, DJS intake officers are responsible for determining whether juveniles who have been taken into custody require secure detention or may be released to a parent, guardian, or other responsible adult and, if so, under what conditions. Some youth are released to a caregiver without any additional restrictions or conditions. Other youth are released to a caregiver and required to participate in an alternative to detention (ATD) program such as CD/EM. Lastly, some youth are detained or placed in shelter care pending a court hearing which is held no later than the next court date.

Intake officers are directed by statute (Md. Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings, section 3-8A-15) to authorize detention if detention is deemed necessary to protect the youth or others, or if the youth is

deemed likely to leave the jurisdiction of the court. Shelter care may be authorized for the same reasons as detention. Additionally, it can be utilized if a parent, guardian, or custodian is not available to provide supervision and care until the child returns to court.

The decision to authorize detention is guided by a decision-making tool called the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI). The DRAI is designed to provide an objective assessment of a youth's risk to the community as well as the probability that the youth will fail to appear for future court dates. The risk assessment was implemented in 2005 and validated in 2006.

Intake services are provided by DJS regions during normal working hours (i.e., Mon-Fri., 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.). The Baltimore City Region provides 24/7 intake services and houses a statewide call center at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center. The statewide call center handles all emergency intake services during non-traditional work hours and/or days for all regions across the state.

### Community Supervision - Informal Adjustment (or Pre-Court Supervision)

As discussed above, one option for a case received at DJS intake is to handle the case informally without involving the juvenile court through an Informal Adjustment/Pre-Court Supervision period of up to 90 days. Pre-Court Supervision is an agreement executed by the DJS intake officer that stipulates conditions of the supervision period. The agreement requires consent by the youth, parent/guardian, and victim (where applicable). Agreements are tailored to the individual circumstances of the case and may include the payment of restitution, the completion of community service hours, as well as participation in specialized counseling or treatment programs, such as substance abuse treatment. In some circumstances, the period of informal supervision may be extended to 180 days to allow for the completion of a substance abuse treatment program. Note that if a youth fails to meet the conditions of the agreement, the DJS Intake Officer may elect to forward the case to the State's Attorney's Office for review.

### Community Supervision – Community Detention

DJS provides regional community detention and electronic



monitoring services (CD/EM) typically for youth whose cases have been forwarded to the juvenile court at intake and are pending adjudication or disposition. The program operates as the primary state-run ATD, allowing youth to continue participating in community activities such as school or work, and helping maintain community ties and support systems. Note that CD/EM may also be used as a condition of probation or aftercare supervision or as a component of the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) to provide intensive community supervision (see Community Supervision – Violence Prevention Initiative).

Community Detention supervision includes face-to-face and telephone contacts as well as random unannounced visits at home, school, and work. The level of supervision depends on the assessed supervision needs of each youth and the court-ordered release conditions. Community Detention officers are trained to detect violations of court-ordered release conditions and report any changes in a youth's home or school situation. Youth who violate the conditions of release may be withdrawn from the program and placed in secure detention.

The electronic monitoring component provides an additional layer of supervision. Compliance is monitored electronically through an ankle bracelet placed on the youth by a Community Detention officer. A transmitting unit is placed in the family home. A daily report is generated by the central computer and transmitted to an EM coordinator. This information is examined and any violations of the authorized schedule are transmitted for further consideration.

The CD/EM command center is located in Baltimore City. A centrally-operated command center is most efficient given the need for 24/7 staffing.

### **Community Supervision – Probation**

Youth who are adjudicated and found delinquent by the juvenile court may be placed on probation under the supervision of a DJS case management specialist (CMS). Probation is the most frequently used juvenile court disposition. A probation term requires youth to abide by general supervision conditions, as well as any special conditions imposed by the court.

At the start of probation supervision (or in some instances, prior to disposition if ordered by the juvenile court), a Social History Investigation and Report is completed by the assigned CMS. This report describes the social adjustment and circumstances of the youth and their family.

In addition, the CMS completes the MCASP Needs Assessment that includes components of the MCASP Intake Risk Screen completed at intake but provides a much broader and more comprehensive assessment of individual need. The MCASP Needs

Assessment categorizes youth as either low, moderate or high on each of the following criminogenic need domains (i.e., needs related to re-offending risk): (a) School; (b) Use of Free Time; (c) Employment; (d) Community Relationships; (e) Family; (f) Alcohol and Drugs; (g) Mental Health; (h) Attitudes and Aggression; and (i) Neighborhood Safety. (See Appendix J for a list MCASP Needs Assessment items).

The CMS uses the social history and MCASP Needs Assessment data to develop recommendations to the juvenile court and to create the Treatment Service Plan (TSP). A TSP is completed for each youth under court ordered supervision. A TSP includes the recommended supervision level for the youth, specific goals for the youth and family to meet, and a statement of services to be provided to the youth and family. In developing the TSP, input from youth, parents and/or guardians, and service providers (as appropriate) is also solicited.

### **Community Supervision – Aftercare and Re-entry**

Aftercare supervision is similar to probation supervision in that the CMS performs many of the same supervisory tasks and relies on the same tools for decision-making (e.g., the MCASP Needs Assessment). Aftercare services are provided for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS for placement outside the home, or occasionally for the receipt of in-home services in some jurisdictions. A CMS is assigned to the case upon commitment, and planning for aftercare starts before the youth is placed in a residential program.

Aftercare case management is comprehensive, beginning with assessments of the youth's progress while in residential placement to the provision of services intended to facilitate community reintegration upon release. Building on the services provided in the residential placement, the CMS is responsible for linking services for the youth and family, monitoring the youth's adjustment back to the community, and ensuring compliance with any court directive. The MCASP Needs Assessment provides guidance to case managers as they coordinate and plan for services.

A variety of programs are used to assist youth in the re-entry process, including independent living programs, transitional educational services, employment programs – such as, job readiness training, career exploration and vocational training – and continued substance abuse and mental health treatment.

One example of a comprehensive re-entry program is the Continuum of Opportunity Re-entry Program and Services (CORPS) initiative. The U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) awarded DJS funding in 2009 to implement CORPS in Baltimore City. The initiative involves multiple partners (e.g., the Baltimore City Public Schools, the Community College of Baltimore County, and the Baltimore City Mayor's Office on Criminal Justice) and is characterized by intensive case management, educational and employment opportunities, career training, mentoring, and community service opportunities.




### Community Supervision - Violence Prevention Initiative

The Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) is an intensive supervision program intended to reduce the number of juvenile homicides and non-fatal shootings, both offending and victimization. The VPI program focuses on youth under supervision (either probation or aftercare) who are believed to be at high-risk of either violent offending or violent victimization. The program combines intensive surveillance (e.g., frequent contact with youth during non-traditional hours such as evenings and weekends, electronic monitoring) with enhanced service delivery (e.g., drug treatment, employment training). Youth are sanctioned swiftly upon evidence of non-compliance in order to ensure the earliest possible intervention.

### Interstate Compact on Juveniles (ICJ)

The ICJ unit provides for the cooperative supervision of probation and aftercare youth moving from state to state and the return of runaways, absconders, and escapees. In 2008, a new compact was enacted forming the Interstate Commission for Juveniles. Maryland and 40 other states are currently members. The ICJ governs each member state as to the provision of proper supervision or return of juveniles, delinquents, and status offenders on probation or aftercare who have absconded, escaped, or runaway and in so doing have endangered their own safety or the safety of others. It is the responsibility of each member state to provide supervision and services to ICJ cases using the same standards that prevail for its own juveniles placed on probation or aftercare supervision.

## DJS Offices by County of Jurisdiction and Region



Region I (Baltimore City)	Region II (Central)	Region III (Western)	Region IV (Eastern)	Region V (Southern)	Region VI (Metro)
HQ - MD State DJS	5 - Towson	12 - Allegany	16 - Denton	25 - Annapolis	30 - Rockville
1 - Central	6 - Dundalk/Essex	13 - Frederick	17 - Cambridge	26 - Glen Burnie	31 - Silver Spring
2 - Southern	7 - Arbutus	14 - Oakland	18 - Centreville	27 - Prince Frederick	32 - Largo
3 - Plaza	8 - Garrison	15 - Hagerstown	19 - Easton	28 - La Plata	33 - Upper Marlboro
4 - MYRC	9 - Westminster		20 - Snow Hill	29 - Leonardtown	
	10 - Bel Air		21 - Elkton		
	11 - Ellicott City		22 - Chestertown		
			23 - Princess Anne		
			24 - Salisbury		



## Total Statewide Activity

There are six regions across the State that encompass 24 jurisdictions.

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	2.6%	3.2%	3.4%
Police	92.4%	91.4%	90.4%
School Referrals*	1.9%	1.7%	1.8%
Violation of Probation**	2.6%	3.2%	3.7%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>40,697</b>	<b>35,874</b>	<b>32,915</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	43.0%	44.8%	47.7%
Informaled	17.4%	17.1%	17.3%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.6%	38.1%	35.0%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>40,697</b>	<b>35,874</b>	<b>32,915</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.4%	8.9%	9.4%
Continued/Stet	7.9%	9.0%	13.0%
Dismissed/Closed	31.2%	30.1%	31.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.2%	1.4%	0.9%
Nolle Pros.	4.2%	3.7%	3.3%
Other**	7.8%	8.7%	6.2%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	4.0%	1.3%	4.6%
Petition Denied by SAO	3.8%	4.1%	3.6%
Probation	25.4%	27.4%	24.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.1%	2.1%	1.4%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	4.0%	3.2%	2.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>17,513</b>	<b>16,059</b>	<b>15,687</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	883	N/A	883
Pre-Court	1,464	N/A	1,464
Probation	3,375	N/A	3,375
VPI*	902	202	1,104
Aftercare	1,023	547	1,570

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 2.7% (from 528,311 to 542,750).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 9.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 47.7% of complaints were formaled while 17.3% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 8.4% to 9.4%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 25.4% to 24.2%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 74.5 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in Confinement in a Secure Correctional Facility (4.58), Secure Detention (2.98), and Arrests (2.14).
- DMC Strategies and relative rate indices data is not available for female youth.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 15.9 days
- Pending Placement - 41.0 days
- State-Operated Committed - 149.8 days

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	60.0%	58.8%	59.9%
White	33.7%	35.0%	33.7%
Hispanic/Other	6.3%	6.3%	6.4%
Sex			
Male	73.0%	73.3%	72.7%
Female	27.0%	26.7%	27.3%
Age			
11 and under	2.8%	2.9%	3.5%
12	3.3%	3.9%	3.6%
13	6.1%	6.7%	7.1%
14	12.1%	12.2%	12.7%
15	19.9%	18.6%	18.5%
16	24.3%	23.9%	23.1%
17	28.8%	29.0%	28.7%
18-20	2.7%	2.8%	2.8%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>40,697</b>	<b>35,874</b>	<b>32,915</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	22.4%	30.7%	26.5%	33.6%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%
First Degree Assault	0.9%	3.3%	0.5%	1.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Murder	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%
Robbery	2.4%	8.4%	2.6%	10.7%
Second Degree Assault	17.5%	16.3%	20.0%	18.0%
Sex Offense	1.5%	2.2%	3.3%	2.8%
Property Offenses	33.1%	32.4%	35.8%	34.9%
Arson	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	3.4%	1.6%	2.4%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.5%	7.0%	7.4%	7.1%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%
Malicious Destruction	6.3%	5.8%	6.5%	5.4%
Tampering	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
Theft	16.0%	13.1%	18.0%	16.6%
Trespassing	3.2%	2.1%	1.7%	2.2%
Drug Related Offenses	14.5%	14.0%	15.2%	14.2%
Narcotics Distribution	2.6%	6.2%	2.5%	3.5%
Narcotics Possession	11.8%	7.8%	12.7%	10.7%
Status Offenses	8.3%	3.8%	0.2%	0.1%
Alcohol Violation	2.8%	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%
Runaway	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	2.6%	1.9%	0.0%	0.1%
Uncategorized Offenses	21.7%	19.1%	22.3%	17.3%
Bomb Threat	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.2%	1.6%	4.1%	4.1%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	1.1%	1.9%	1.6%
Disturbing the Peace	6.5%	4.0%	4.1%	3.0%
False Report	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%	0.2%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	1.0%	0.9%	1.4%
Harassment	0.6%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%	2.2%	5.0%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.8%	1.7%	1.1%	2.9%
Reckless Endangerment	0.7%	1.0%	0.6%	0.9%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	1.8%	0.6%	0.7%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.8%	3.7%	3.3%	2.3%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>48,202</b>	<b>10,861**</b>	<b>3,398</b>	<b>1,472</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, harassment, fireworks viol., forgery, tampering, loitering, unspec. felony, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion  
<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes 29 out-of-state and 8 placements with an unknown county of residence

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.0%	1.6%	1.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.5%	7.5%	3.4%	3.9%
- Drugs	3.6%	11.1%	2.9%	4.3%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.5%	2.5%	4.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>68.2%</b>	<b>49.7%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	25.6%	22.3%	25.1%	20.1%
- Weapons	2.0%	1.8%	3.0%	2.5%
- Property	27.8%	16.9%	26.1%	23.3%
- Drugs	10.4%	7.5%	13.3%	12.6%
- Unspecified	2.3%	1.3%	3.9%	3.2%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</b>	<b>32,915</b>	<b>6,034</b>	<b>2,672</b>	<b>1,105</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Female Statewide Activity

Data presented on this spread reflects only the female population.

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	4.3%	5.4%	5.8%
Police	93.8%	92.4%	91.9%
School Referrals*	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Violation of Probation**	1.5%	1.9%	1.9%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>10,986</b>	<b>9,572</b>	<b>8,978</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	29.4%	30.6%	33.8%
Informaled	19.5%	18.6%	20.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	51.1%	50.8%	45.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>10,986</b>	<b>9,572</b>	<b>8,978</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	5.3%	5.8%	6.3%
Continued/Stet	9.6%	10.8%	15.0%
Dismissed/Closed	31.8%	31.7%	31.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%
Nolle Pros.	6.2%	6.4%	5.1%
Other**	7.6%	8.5%	6.5%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	4.6%	1.5%	4.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.6%	4.3%	4.2%
Probation	24.0%	24.9%	22.3%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.9%	2.0%	1.4%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	3.9%	3.4%	2.7%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>3,227</b>	<b>2,931</b>	<b>3,037</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	177	N/A	177
Pre-Court	466	N/A	466
Probation	699	N/A	699
VPI*	53	14	67
Aftercare	142	109	250

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 7.5% (from 257,771 to 277,002).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 8.1% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 33.8% of complaints were formaled while 20.7% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 5.3% to 6.3%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 24.0% to 22.3%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.3 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 80.3 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Data on racial and ethnic disparity is not available by sex.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 15.2 days versus 16.1 for males.
- Pending Placement (including ejections) - 30.3 days versus 42.9 for males.
- State-Operated Committed - 205.3 days versus 148.5 for males.

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	56.0%	57.4%	58.9%
White	38.3%	37.3%	36.1%
Hispanic/Other	5.7%	5.3%	5.0%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	2.2%	2.4%	3.0%
12	3.8%	4.1%	3.9%
13	7.0%	7.6%	8.4%
14	12.7%	13.7%	14.4%
15	21.0%	18.9%	19.8%
16	24.0%	22.6%	22.1%
17	26.8%	28.0%	26.2%
18-20	2.4%	2.7%	2.3%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>10,986</b>	<b>9,572</b>	<b>8,978</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	26.5%	34.2%	31.3%	35.6%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.8%	5.0%	0.5%	3.7%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Murder	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.7%	3.7%	0.8%	2.1%
Second Degree Assault	24.5%	23.3%	29.6%	28.7%
Sex Offense	0.4%	1.7%	0.5%	0.5%
Property Offenses	31.9%	30.9%	35.3%	34.6%
Arson	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%	0.5%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	1.9%	1.1%	2.1%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	2.7%	4.6%	4.0%	2.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%	5.6%	2.5%	7.4%
Tampering	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	21.5%	15.9%	26.1%	21.3%
Trespassing	2.4%	2.2%	1.4%	0.5%
Drug Related Offenses	7.9%	5.6%	8.5%	8.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.8%	0.8%	0.9%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	7.1%	4.8%	7.6%	8.0%
Status Offenses	11.8%	6.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	4.4%	0.9%	0.3%	0.0%
Runaway	1.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	3.6%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	21.9%	23.1%	24.5%	21.8%
Bomb Threat	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.5%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.8%	0.8%	1.9%	3.7%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%	1.3%	1.2%	1.6%
Disturbing the Peace	8.3%	5.8%	7.1%	7.4%
False Report	0.8%	1.1%	0.9%	0.5%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%	1.1%
Harassment	1.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.1%	3.8%	7.3%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.3%	2.3%	0.3%	1.1%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	1.5%	0.8%	0.5%
Resisting Arrest	1.3%	1.6%	1.4%	1.6%
Telephone Misuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.4%	4.1%	2.8%	3.7%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>11,935</b>	<b>1,423**</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>188</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, harassment, fireworks viol., forgery, tampering, loitering, unspec. felony, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion  
<sup>\*\*</sup> Include 4 out-of-state and 1 placement with an unknown county of residence

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel*</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	1.4%	0.7%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.1%	4.9%	4.5%	3.3%
- Drugs	1.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.6%	1.1%	2.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>74.6%</b>	<b>66.6%</b>	<b>75.1%</b>	<b>75.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	33.5%	33.4%	33.6%	30.0%
- Weapons	1.0%	1.1%	1.5%	2.0%
- Property	32.2%	24.7%	28.3%	30.7%
- Drugs	5.8%	5.2%	8.2%	8.7%
- Unspecified	2.0%	2.2%	3.6%	4.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>11.3%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>8,978</i>	<i>899</i>	<i>551</i>	<i>150</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>27.3%</i>	<i>14.9%</i>	<i>20.6%</i>	<i>13.6%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Region I - Summary

Baltimore City Region  
Assistant Regional Directors: Donna El-Amin, Lawrence Gillis, Yolanda Jackson, and Dwain Johnson

Executive Director: Delmas Wood

3 Offices:  
300 N. Gay St., Baltimore, MD 21202 (ph) 443-263-3926  
6502 Reisterstown Rd., Baltimore, MD 21215 (ph) 410-585-2100  
530 N. Hilton St., Baltimore, MD 21229 (ph) 410-362-4400

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	3.3%	5.8%	8.0%
Police	82.8%	78.4%	74.2%
School Referrals*	10.3%	11.2%	11.9%
Violation of Probation**	2.9%	3.6%	4.8%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.8%	1.1%	1.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,585</b>	<b>4,880</b>	<b>4,402</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	72.1%	74.0%	79.6%
Informaled	3.8%	6.6%	8.1%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	24.1%	19.4%	12.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>6,585</b>	<b>4,880</b>	<b>4,402</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	10.1%	10.7%	7.8%
Continued/Stet	5.5%	7.4%	9.3%
Dismissed/Closed	54.5%	53.4%	57.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	1.2%	1.1%	0.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	2.4%	0.9%	3.2%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.9%	2.2%	2.7%
Probation	23.4%	23.4%	18.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.6%	0.6%	0.3%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>4,748</b>	<b>3,611</b>	<b>3,502</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	168	N/A	168
Pre-Court	141	N/A	141
Probation	638	N/A	638
VPI*	363	92	455
Aftercare	206	103	309

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 22.3% (from 62,624 to 48,644).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 25.8% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 79.6% of complaints were formaled while 8.1% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 10.1% to 7.8%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 23.4% to 18.2%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 15.0 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 10.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 60.4 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (7.73), Secure Detention (1.68), and Petition (1.19).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 13.0 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 48.8 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 132.6 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	95.4%	95.5%	95.4%
White	3.7%	3.6%	3.6%
Hispanic/Other	0.9%	0.9%	1.0%
Sex			
Male	86.0%	83.1%	79.6%
Female	14.0%	16.9%	20.4%
Age			
11 and under	1.7%	2.9%	3.4%
12	2.1%	2.7%	2.8%
13	4.8%	5.6%	6.8%
14	11.3%	11.1%	12.7%
15	20.9%	19.1%	19.4%
16	27.3%	25.8%	23.5%
17	29.7%	30.8%	29.3%
18-20	2.0%	1.9%	1.9%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,585</b>	<b>4,880</b>	<b>4,402</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	31.6%	35.9%	30.0%	24.2%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	2.5%	4.3%	0.9%	2.2%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.9%
Robbery	5.1%	8.5%	4.7%	7.5%
Second Degree Assault	21.7%	19.5%	20.2%	11.9%
Sex Offense	2.0%	3.2%	3.9%	1.8%
Property Offenses	26.4%	23.9%	30.5%	23.3%
Arson	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	5.7%	6.1%	2.5%	1.8%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.5%	4.3%	4.9%	2.2%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	2.2%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%	3.2%	3.8%	2.2%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Theft	8.9%	7.8%	17.9%	12.3%
Trespassing	2.7%	1.4%	1.3%	2.2%
Drug Related Offenses	28.6%	28.8%	26.4%	32.6%
Narcotics Distribution	14.2%	18.6%	8.5%	15.9%
Narcotics Possession	14.4%	10.2%	17.9%	16.7%
Status Offenses	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	12.9%	11.2%	13.1%	19.8%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.7%	0.7%	1.1%	4.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	0.9%	1.9%	2.6%
Disturbing the Peace	3.4%	2.2%	0.9%	0.4%
False Report	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.8%	1.2%	2.5%	3.5%
Harassment	0.8%	0.1%	0.2%	0.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.2%	2.3%	1.4%	3.5%
Reckless Endangerment	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%	1.4%	0.6%	1.3%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	0.5%	0.6%	3.2%	2.2%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>5,031</b>	<b>2,882</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>227</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>12.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>24.9%</b>	<b>33.0%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>27.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	0.6%	2.1%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	7.3%	8.6%	4.5%	3.6%
- Drugs	15.9%	23.5%	9.3%	18.6%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.3%	1.0%	5.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>57.2%</b>	<b>43.8%</b>	<b>71.1%</b>	<b>57.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	24.8%	21.4%	22.1%	17.0%
- Weapons	2.0%	1.5%	4.1%	6.2%
- Property	16.0%	11.3%	23.3%	12.9%
- Drugs	13.7%	9.1%	19.4%	19.1%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.4%	2.1%	2.6%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>4,402</i>	<i>2,292</i>	<i>515</i>	<i>194</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>13.4%</i>	<i>38.0%</i>	<i>19.3%</i>	<i>17.6%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Region II - Summary

Central Region  
 Counties of Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, and Howard

Regional Director: James McClafferty

308 Washington Avenue  
 Towson, MD 21204  
 410-512-4041

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.4%	0.4%	0.6%
Police	95.0%	94.5%	93.0%
School Referrals*	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%
Violation of Probation**	3.4%	4.6%	5.5%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.5%	0.3%	0.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>9,827</b>	<b>9,030</b>	<b>7,929</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	42.7%	45.8%	48.2%
Informed	15.6%	13.5%	14.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	41.7%	40.6%	37.2%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>9,827</b>	<b>9,030</b>	<b>7,929</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.9%	7.8%	9.6%
Continued/Stet	10.4%	8.1%	15.7%
Dismissed/Closed	18.5%	18.6%	16.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	2.2%	0.9%	1.0%
Nolle Pros.	15.2%	11.5%	10.3%
Other**	6.9%	8.4%	7.1%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	3.9%	1.5%	4.2%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.9%	1.2%	0.9%
Probation	31.6%	37.8%	31.3%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.9%	3.2%	2.3%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>4,197</b>	<b>4,140</b>	<b>3,820</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	146	N/A	146
Pre-Court	299	N/A	299
Probation	719	N/A	719
VPI*	119	16	135
Aftercare	135	92	227

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 5.8% (from 139,012 to 147,129).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 7.0% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 48.2% of complaints were formaled while 14.6% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 6.9% to 9.6%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 31.6% to 31.3%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 28.4 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.7 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 83.4 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Since one region can include counties that are so vastly different in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate would mask variations at the county level.
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 20.0 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 31.9 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 157.7 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	55.7%	55.1%	55.6%
White	41.2%	41.5%	41.2%
Hispanic/Other	3.1%	3.4%	3.2%
Sex			
Male	69.4%	70.5%	69.7%
Female	30.6%	29.5%	30.3%
Age			
11 and under	2.6%	2.4%	2.9%
12	3.5%	3.6%	3.9%
13	6.2%	6.9%	7.6%
14	12.6%	11.8%	11.8%
15	19.0%	18.4%	18.1%
16	24.1%	24.9%	24.4%
17	29.1%	29.3%	28.1%
18-20	2.8%	2.6%	3.1%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>9,827</b>	<b>9,030</b>	<b>7,929</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	23.2%	27.1%	27.5%	26.8%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%
First Degree Assault	0.6%	2.8%	0.5%	1.6%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2.4%	9.2%	3.3%	8.9%
Second Degree Assault	18.6%	13.5%	19.3%	13.7%
Sex Offense	1.6%	1.0%	4.3%	2.2%
Property Offenses	39.7%	41.7%	39.1%	46.6%
Arson	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	0.3%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	4.8%	1.7%	5.1%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.2%	8.8%	9.8%	11.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Malicious Destruction	7.0%	7.4%	7.0%	3.8%
Tampering	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	21.3%	18.5%	18.4%	23.6%
Trespassing	3.2%	1.5%	1.6%	2.2%
Drug Related Offenses	13.9%	13.2%	15.4%	14.1%
Narcotics Distribution	1.3%	2.4%	1.1%	1.6%
Narcotics Possession	12.6%	10.8%	14.3%	12.5%
Status Offenses	4.4%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.5%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	18.8%	16.5%	18.0%	12.5%
Bomb Threat	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.4%	0.7%	1.3%	1.3%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	1.1%	1.6%	1.3%
Disturbing the Peace	5.5%	3.4%	2.9%	2.9%
False Report	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	1.1%	0.8%	2.2%
Harassment	0.8%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.5%	3.0%	7.3%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.1%	0.4%	0.8%	2.2%
Reckless Endangerment	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.5%	1.9%	0.8%	0.3%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.3%	3.1%	1.4%	2.2%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>11,307</b>	<b>1,131</b>	<b>877</b>	<b>313</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	0.9%	2.2%	1.3%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.5%	8.4%	3.6%	5.1%
- Drugs	1.7%	4.8%	1.5%	1.3%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	1.2%	1.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>74.9%</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>70.1%</b>	<b>66.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	26.6%	20.3%	25.9%	16.2%
- Weapons	2.3%	1.6%	2.4%	2.1%
- Property	34.6%	23.1%	25.3%	31.5%
- Drugs	9.8%	9.7%	14.8%	14.9%
- Unspecified	1.6%	1.6%	1.8%	1.7%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>7,929</i>	<i>689</i>	<i>676</i>	<i>235</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>24.1%</i>	<i>11.4%</i>	<i>25.3%</i>	<i>21.3%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Baltimore County

Case Management Specialist Supervisors: Tracy Whitaker (Arbutus), John Perrötta & Chris Dulik (Dundalk/Essex), Janica Cole (Garrison), and Connie Ridgely (Towson)

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	95.7%	94.1%	93.0%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.5%	5.6%	6.2%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.7%	0.3%	0.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,278</b>	<b>5,530</b>	<b>4,801</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	48.3%	51.1%	53.6%
Informed	11.3%	8.8%	9.1%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.3%	40.1%	37.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>6,278</b>	<b>5,530</b>	<b>4,801</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.5%	7.8%	8.5%
Continued/Stet	11.2%	9.4%	18.5%
Dismissed/Closed	15.1%	12.0%	13.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	2.9%	1.2%	0.8%
Nolle Pros.	20.1%	15.8%	14.2%
Other**	5.7%	6.6%	6.2%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	4.2%	2.0%	5.1%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Probation	31.2%	40.7%	30.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.0%	3.7%	2.6%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>3,035</b>	<b>2,827</b>	<b>2,572</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesies, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	45	N/A	45
Pre-Court	112	N/A	112
Probation	441	N/A	441
VPI*	90	12	102
Aftercare	73	52	125

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Located in Region II - 4 Offices:  
 308 Washington Ave., Towson, MD 21204 (ph) 410-512-4050  
 431 Eastern Blvd. Ste. 100, Baltimore, MD 21201 (ph) 410-780-1200  
 10999 Red Run Blvd., Owings Mills, MD 21117 (ph) 410-356-5774  
 900 Walker Ave., Baltimore, MD 21228 (ph) 410-455-7800

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.6% (from 71,782 to 70,598).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 7.0% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 53.6% of complaints were formaled while 9.1% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 6.5% to 8.5%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 31.2% to 30.6%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 31.2 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 87.6 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.53), Referral to Juvenile Court (1.10), and Diversion (1.07).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 21.3 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 32.9 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 153.8 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.5%	66.5%	67.5%
White	29.9%	30.8%	30.3%
Hispanic/Other	2.5%	2.7%	2.2%
Sex			
Male	70.1%	71.2%	70.3%
Female	29.9%	28.8%	29.7%
Age			
11 and under	2.8%	2.6%	2.9%
12	3.8%	3.6%	4.8%
13	6.5%	7.1%	8.2%
14	13.3%	12.6%	12.0%
15	19.5%	19.9%	18.4%
16	24.7%	24.4%	25.3%
17	27.0%	27.6%	25.1%
18-20	2.4%	2.2%	3.1%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,278</b>	<b>5,530</b>	<b>4,801</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	27.0%	31.0%	31.3%	28.7%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.6%
First Degree Assault	0.7%	3.1%	0.6%	1.2%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	3.3%	12.2%	4.2%	14.4%
Second Degree Assault	20.9%	14.3%	20.6%	11.4%
Sex Offense	1.9%	0.6%	5.8%	1.2%
Property Offenses	44.6%	46.5%	40.0%	52.1%
Arson	0.7%	1.2%	0.8%	0.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.7%	6.8%	1.1%	7.8%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.3%	9.6%	10.4%	15.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Malicious Destruction	7.5%	7.4%	8.5%	4.2%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	23.8%	19.9%	17.9%	21.6%
Trespassing	3.5%	1.7%	1.3%	2.4%
Drug Related Offenses	11.8%	9.4%	15.5%	9.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.4%	2.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	10.4%	6.9%	14.9%	9.0%
Status Offenses	1.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	15.5%	12.8%	13.2%	10.2%
Bomb Threat	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.1%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	1.4%	1.5%	2.4%
Disturbing the Peace	4.0%	2.0%	2.8%	1.8%
False Report	0.8%	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.9%	0.8%	3.0%
Harassment	0.6%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.5%	1.7%	3.6%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.7%	0.3%	0.2%	1.8%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.7%	1.8%	1.1%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%	1.2%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>6,579</b>	<b>649</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>167</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed


<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>27.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.7%	2.4%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	4.1%	9.1%	3.2%	7.8%
- Drugs	1.7%	5.0%	0.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>52.7%</b>	<b>72.6%</b>	<b>62.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	28.0%	18.0%	29.8%	11.6%
- Weapons	2.4%	1.8%	2.4%	3.1%
- Property	37.9%	24.4%	22.7%	34.1%
- Drugs	8.3%	7.3%	16.1%	11.6%
- Unspecified	1.1%	1.1%	1.5%	1.6%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>4,801</i>	<i>438</i>	<i>409</i>	<i>129</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>14.6%</i>	<i>7.3%</i>	<i>15.3%</i>	<i>11.7%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Carroll County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Mathew Fonseca

Located in Region II - 1 Office:

101 N. Court St., Westminster, MD 21157 (ph) 410-871-3600

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	3.7%	4.0%	5.0%
Police	87.2%	88.2%	86.4%
School Referrals*	6.0%	3.7%	3.1%
Violation of Probation**	2.8%	3.9%	5.5%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>970</b>	<b>752</b>	<b>781</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	29.4%	34.8%	33.2%
Informaled	27.1%	32.6%	34.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	43.5%	32.6%	32.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>970</b>	<b>752</b>	<b>781</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	14.0%	20.6%	19.3%
Continued/Stet	7.4%	11.8%	11.2%
Dismissed/Closed	20.0%	10.7%	11.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Nolle Pros.	7.4%	8.8%	10.4%
Other**	11.9%	8.4%	5.8%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	1.4%	1.5%	2.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	1.1%	1.2%
Probation	35.1%	35.9%	34.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.8%	0.8%	1.9%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	1.1%	0.4%	0.4%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>259</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	17	N/A	17
Pre-Court	63	N/A	63
Probation	71	N/A	71
VPI*	3	2	5
Aftercare	31	19	50

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### • U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 6.7% (from 16,857 to 17,981).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 13.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 33.2% of complaints were formaled while 34.6% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 14.0% to 19.3%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 35.1% to 34.0%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.5 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 54.2 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in Secure Detention (2.13), Arrest (1.79), and Petition (1.74).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 22.9 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 25.8 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 170.7 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	13.5%	11.8%	7.7%
White	84.5%	86.0%	90.0%
Hispanic/Other	2.0%	2.1%	2.3%
Sex			
Male	70.4%	71.5%	72.3%
Female	29.6%	28.5%	27.7%
Age			
11 and under	2.2%	2.0%	3.6%
12	2.1%	3.2%	1.8%
13	6.2%	6.9%	6.1%
14	13.4%	13.0%	12.3%
15	20.3%	16.1%	18.7%
16	23.0%	25.1%	21.1%
17	31.0%	30.7%	33.5%
18-20	1.9%	2.9%	2.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>970</b>	<b>752</b>	<b>781</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	14.0%	23.5%	24.5%	22.9%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.1%	0.7%	2.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	13.1%	20.9%	18.4%	22.9%
Sex Offense	0.7%	1.3%	4.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	29.4%	28.8%	38.8%	37.5%
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	2.6%	2.0%	2.1%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.3%	7.8%	10.2%	8.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.8%	7.2%	6.1%	6.2%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	12.3%	11.1%	14.3%	16.7%
Trespassing	2.9%	0.0%	4.1%	4.2%
Drug Related Offenses	23.4%	28.8%	14.3%	25.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.0%	2.6%	4.1%	4.2%
Narcotics Possession	22.4%	26.1%	10.2%	20.8%
Status Offenses	13.3%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	2.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	6.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	19.9%	16.3%	22.4%	14.6%
Bomb Threat	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.8%	0.7%	0.0%	2.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.6%	2.6%	0.0%	4.2%
False Report	0.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	6.0%	5.2%	16.3%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.8%	1.4%	0.0%	2.1%
Reckless Endangerment	1.0%	2.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.7%	1.3%	2.0%	6.2%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,154</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.4%	3.5%	2.4%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.4%	9.4%	2.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	4.7%	4.9%	3.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>69.8%</b>	<b>63.5%</b>	<b>68.3%</b>	<b>81.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	22.4%	31.8%	24.4%	33.3%
- Weapons	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	28.9%	10.6%	31.7%	21.2%
- Drugs	15.7%	18.8%	9.8%	27.3%
- Unspecified	2.2%	2.4%	2.4%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>781</i>	<i>85</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.4%</i>	<i>1.4%</i>	<i>1.5%</i>	<i>3.0%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Harford County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Paul Bowden

Located in Region II - 1 Office:

Riseau District Court, Bel Air, MD 21014 (ph) 410-836-4680

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	94.9%	96.1%	94.6%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	5.1%	3.8%	5.4%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,165</b>	<b>1,321</b>	<b>1,064</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	33.1%	37.9%	38.5%
Informaled	15.0%	7.4%	9.5%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	51.8%	54.7%	52.0%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,165</b>	<b>1,321</b>	<b>1,064</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.5%	6.4%	15.4%
Continued/Stet	11.7%	2.0%	2.0%
Dismissed/Closed	21.2%	34.7%	22.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.6%	0.4%	1.2%
Nolle Pros.	1.3%	1.0%	0.2%
Other**	11.4%	21.8%	18.0%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	4.1%	0.4%	2.4%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.0%	0.8%	0.5%
Probation	37.8%	30.7%	37.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.3%	1.6%	0.5%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>410</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	78	N/A	78
Pre-Court	25	N/A	25
Probation	110	N/A	110
VPI*	16	1	17
Aftercare	18	12	30

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### • U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 6.7% (from 23,936 to 25,537).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 5.4% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 38.5% of complaints were formaled while 9.5% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 8.5% to 15.4%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 37.8% to 37.6%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 12.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 75.4 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (1.96), Secure Detention (1.49), and Petition (1.28).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 14.7 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 29.3 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 154.0 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	38.6%	31.6%	41.4%
White	58.6%	65.9%	55.6%
Hispanic/Other	2.7%	2.5%	2.9%
Sex			
Male	68.0%	66.8%	67.9%
Female	32.0%	33.2%	32.1%
Age			
11 and under	2.8%	2.4%	2.4%
12	4.7%	4.2%	2.9%
13	6.5%	6.7%	7.9%
14	12.8%	9.8%	12.7%
15	17.9%	17.4%	20.3%
16	22.1%	25.9%	22.8%
17	30.0%	31.5%	28.5%
18-20	3.0%	2.1%	2.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,165</b>	<b>1,321</b>	<b>1,064</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	21.5%	15.2%	28.7%	23.2%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.4%	3.2%	0.6%	3.6%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.8%	3.2%	2.5%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	18.5%	7.8%	23.1%	12.5%
Sex Offense	1.7%	0.9%	2.5%	7.1%
Property Offenses	28.6%	39.2%	31.2%	46.4%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	1.4%	3.1%	3.6%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.8%	11.5%	11.2%	10.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.0%	10.6%	2.5%	1.8%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	15.5%	15.7%	12.5%	30.4%
Trespassing	2.3%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	15.3%	18.0%	16.9%	19.6%
Narcotics Distribution	1.1%	2.3%	1.9%	1.8%
Narcotics Possession	14.2%	15.7%	15.0%	17.9%
Status Offenses	8.0%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	5.2%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	26.7%	23.0%	23.1%	10.7%
Bomb Threat	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.3%	0.5%	1.2%	1.8%
Deadly Weapon	3.4%	0.9%	1.9%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	12.4%	7.8%	3.1%	3.6%
False Report	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.5%	1.9%	1.8%
Harassment	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	3.7%	9.4%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.2%	0.0%	2.5%	1.8%
Reckless Endangerment	0.4%	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.1%	4.1%	0.0%	1.8%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.6%	3.7%	1.9%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,575</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>56</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed


<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.3%	0.0%	1.7%	5.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	4.4%	5.1%	5.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	4.4%	2.6%	2.5%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.0%	3.4%	2.5%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>72.7%</b>	<b>59.6%</b>	<b>68.4%</b>	<b>67.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.6%	24.6%	25.6%	20.0%
- Weapons	4.7%	2.6%	3.4%	0.0%
- Property	24.2%	18.4%	23.9%	25.0%
- Drugs	12.5%	14.0%	12.8%	22.5%
- Unspecified	1.6%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,064</i>	<i>114</i>	<i>117</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.2%</i>	<i>1.9%</i>	<i>4.4%</i>	<i>3.6%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Howard County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Tim Madden

Located in Region II - 1 Office:  
 3451 Court House Dr., Ellicott City, MD 21043 (ph) 410-480-7878

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.1%	0.1%	0.6%
Police	97.3%	97.8%	95.6%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	2.3%	1.8%	2.9%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.2%	0.3%	0.9%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,414</b>	<b>1,427</b>	<b>1,283</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	34.7%	38.5%	45.1%
Informaled	27.4%	27.3%	27.1%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	37.8%	34.1%	27.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,414</b>	<b>1,427</b>	<b>1,283</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	3.9%	3.3%	6.2%
Continued/Stet	6.3%	5.1%	15.0%
Dismissed/Closed	36.7%	42.0%	32.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.2%	0.4%	1.4%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Other**	7.5%	5.3%	4.0%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	3.5%	0.0%	2.4%
Petition Denied by SAO	6.3%	5.8%	5.2%
Probation	26.9%	30.4%	28.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	4.5%	3.5%	2.4%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	4.3%	4.4%	2.4%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>491</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>579</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	6	N/A	6
Pre-Court	99	N/A	99
Probation	97	N/A	97
VPI*	11	1	12
Aftercare	13	10	23

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 24.9% (from 26,437 to 33,013).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 4.4% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 45.1% of complaints were formaled while 27.1% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 3.9% to 6.2%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 26.9% to 28.8%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.8 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.8 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 89.7 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Secure Detention (3.36), Arrest (1.83), and Petition (1.61).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 15.7 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 35.5 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 160.8 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	46.5%	55.2%	51.8%
White	46.7%	37.1%	40.2%
Hispanic/Other	6.8%	7.7%	8.0%
Sex			
Male	66.8%	70.5%	67.1%
Female	33.2%	29.5%	32.9%
Age			
11 and under	1.7%	2.2%	2.9%
12	2.5%	3.4%	2.4%
13	4.3%	6.3%	5.8%
14	9.0%	10.1%	10.1%
15	17.2%	15.1%	15.0%
16	23.6%	25.4%	24.4%
17	36.6%	33.0%	35.9%
18-20	5.1%	4.5%	3.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,414</b>	<b>1,427</b>	<b>1,283</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	17.5%	32.1%	12.3%	28.6%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.5%	3.6%	0.0%	2.4%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2.0%	15.2%	1.4%	9.5%
Second Degree Assault	14.1%	9.8%	10.1%	14.3%
Sex Offense	0.9%	2.7%	0.7%	2.4%
Property Offenses	38.2%	36.6%	44.9%	35.7%
Arson	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.2%	2.7%	2.2%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.6%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.8%	1.8%	6.5%	2.4%
Tampering	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	23.0%	25.9%	28.3%	31.0%
Trespassing	2.9%	5.4%	1.4%	2.4%
Drug Related Offenses	14.1%	4.5%	13.8%	14.3%
Narcotics Distribution	1.5%	1.8%	1.4%	4.8%
Narcotics Possession	12.7%	2.7%	12.3%	9.5%
Status Offenses	7.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	22.9%	25.9%	29.0%	21.4%
Bomb Threat	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.7%	3.6%	4.3%	4.8%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.2%	3.6%	3.6%	4.8%
False Report	0.7%	1.8%	0.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	4.5%	0.0%	2.4%
Harassment	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	6.4%	6.2%	15.9%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.3%	0.0%	1.4%	4.8%
Reckless Endangerment	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.5%	6.2%	0.0%	4.8%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,999</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>42</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	0.0%	1.8%	3.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.7%	9.6%	3.7%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.9%	3.8%	1.8%	3.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	2.8%	3.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>69.9%</b>	<b>67.3%</b>	<b>63.3%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	21.7%	11.5%	11.9%	12.1%
- Weapons	1.2%	0.0%	1.8%	3.0%
- Property	34.2%	42.3%	33.9%	39.4%
- Drugs	9.7%	5.8%	13.8%	6.1%
- Unspecified	3.0%	7.7%	1.8%	6.1%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,283</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>109</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.9%</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>4.1%</i>	<i>3.0%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Region III - Summary

Western Region  
Counties of Allegany, Frederick, Garrett, and Washington

Regional Director: Robert McElvie

1 James Day Drive  
Cumberland, MD 21502  
301-722-1600

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	5.4%	5.3%	5.7%
Police	88.3%	87.9%	86.7%
School Referrals*	0.7%	0.7%	1.0%
Violation of Probation**	5.4%	5.9%	6.4%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,603</b>	<b>3,541</b>	<b>3,169</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	37.9%	43.3%	48.0%
Informed	30.1%	25.9%	21.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	32.1%	30.8%	30.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>3,603</b>	<b>3,541</b>	<b>3,169</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.3%	7.2%	6.8%
Continued/Stet	7.8%	7.6%	11.1%
Dismissed/Closed	24.9%	27.9%	26.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.5%	0.3%	0.5%
Nolle Pros.	3.6%	3.0%	2.6%
Other**	13.1%	11.3%	9.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	3.8%	1.4%	2.8%
Petition Denied by SAO	13.6%	14.3%	13.6%
Probation	21.1%	22.9%	24.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.0%	2.5%	1.9%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	1.2%	1.6%	0.7%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,364</b>	<b>1,534</b>	<b>1,520</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	32	N/A	32
Pre-Court	152	N/A	152
Probation	266	N/A	266
VPI*	22	1	23
Aftercare	102	50	152

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 8.0% (from 42,961 to 46,409).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 13.3% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 48.0% of complaints were formaled while 21.7% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 7.3% to 6.8%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 21.1% to 24.5%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.8 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 56.6 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Since one region can include counties that are so vastly different in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate would mask variations at the county level.
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 20.6 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 41.1 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 142.5 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	30.0%	33.1%	36.0%
White	66.3%	63.3%	60.1%
Hispanic/Other	3.8%	3.5%	3.9%
Sex			
Male	70.0%	73.0%	72.3%
Female	30.0%	27.0%	27.7%
Age			
11 and under	3.5%	4.0%	4.6%
12	4.7%	5.6%	3.6%
13	7.4%	9.2%	10.4%
14	13.4%	15.3%	14.5%
15	20.8%	18.2%	18.5%
16	21.2%	22.3%	21.0%
17	25.9%	23.0%	25.2%
18-20	3.0%	2.4%	2.1%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,603</b>	<b>3,541</b>	<b>3,169</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	21.8%	24.4%	27.1%	39.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.7%	3.2%	0.4%	5.0%
Second Degree Assault	19.6%	16.7%	23.6%	29.0%
Sex Offense	1.1%	2.3%	3.2%	5.0%
Property Offenses	30.5%	30.7%	34.2%	38.0%
Arson	0.9%	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.0%	5.6%	4.9%	6.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.1%	7.6%	12.0%	12.0%
Tampering	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%	1.0%
Theft	12.7%	13.4%	13.7%	15.0%
Trespassing	2.1%	2.2%	2.1%	4.0%
Drug Related Offenses	11.8%	13.7%	8.8%	8.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.3%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	10.5%	12.7%	8.1%	8.0%
Status Offenses	10.0%	2.7%	0.7%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	2.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.9%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Unmanageable	1.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	25.9%	28.4%	29.2%	15.0%
Bomb Threat	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	1.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.5%	2.0%	6.3%	2.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	0.6%	1.8%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	9.8%	8.7%	4.9%	5.0%
False Report	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.2%	4.2%	8.1%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.0%	3.7%	1.1%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.1%	1.4%	0.7%	4.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.7%	3.2%	0.7%	1.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.9%	3.0%	4.2%	2.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>4,661</b>	<b>693</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>100</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	1.7%	2.2%	2.6%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.1%	4.0%	4.0%	1.3%
- Drugs	1.6%	1.4%	0.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.9%	3.1%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>68.5%</b>	<b>60.4%</b>	<b>69.6%</b>	<b>74.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	30.0%	30.6%	27.7%	28.6%
- Weapons	1.1%	0.6%	2.2%	0.0%
- Property	27.8%	22.8%	25.9%	33.8%
- Drugs	7.9%	5.8%	8.0%	9.1%
- Unspecified	1.7%	0.6%	5.8%	2.6%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>3,169</i>	<i>346</i>	<i>224</i>	<i>77</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>9.6%</i>	<i>5.7%</i>	<i>8.4%</i>	<i>7.0%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Allegany County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Renee Page

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

1 James Day Dr., Cumberland, MD 21502 (ph) 301-722-1660

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	2.3%	2.7%	1.9%
Police	95.8%	94.1%	94.0%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.9%	3.1%	2.2%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>765</b>	<b>647</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	30.9%	43.0%	36.6%
Informed	24.7%	14.0%	18.9%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	44.4%	43.0%	44.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>765</b>	<b>647</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	3.5%	4.6%	5.9%
Continued/Stet	3.5%	0.0%	0.8%
Dismissed/Closed	48.2%	50.5%	44.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	8.9%	10.6%	4.2%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	19.1%	17.3%	26.2%
Probation	14.4%	14.0%	17.7%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>237</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	2	N/A	2
Pre-Court	22	N/A	22
Probation	54	N/A	54
VPI*	5	0	5
Aftercare	19	12	31

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 17.5% (from 6,569 to 5,417).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 6.0% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 36.6% of complaints were formaled while 18.9% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 3.5% to 5.9%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 14.4% to 17.7%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 13.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 24.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 58.0 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.58), and Delinquent Findings(1.86).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 13.8 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 24.5 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 163.9 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	16.6%	16.6%	16.8%
White	82.1%	82.6%	81.9%
Hispanic/Other	1.3%	0.8%	1.2%
Sex			
Male	65.1%	66.7%	68.3%
Female	34.9%	33.3%	31.7%
Age			
11 and under	4.9%	4.7%	8.8%
12	7.1%	6.5%	5.1%
13	11.4%	9.9%	10.7%
14	12.6%	15.2%	17.3%
15	23.2%	19.3%	16.8%
16	18.1%	20.5%	16.7%
17	21.4%	22.1%	23.8%
18-20	1.3%	1.7%	0.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>765</b>	<b>647</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	23.2%	28.9%	20.0%	26.7%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.3%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	21.3%	22.2%	17.5%	26.7%
Sex Offense	1.0%	4.4%	2.5%	0.0%
Property Offenses	21.3%	32.2%	35.0%	46.7%
Arson	0.9%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	2.5%	13.3%	0.0%	6.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.7%	2.2%	17.5%	13.3%
Tampering	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%
Theft	8.4%	11.1%	17.5%	13.3%
Trespassing	2.0%	1.1%	0.0%	6.7%
Drug Related Offenses	10.5%	8.9%	5.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.6%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	8.9%	5.6%	5.0%	0.0%
Status Offenses	19.4%	8.9%	5.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	10.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	4.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	2.2%	1.1%	2.5%	0.0%
Un governable	1.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	25.6%	21.1%	35.0%	26.7%
Bomb Threat	1.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.3%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	12.5%	7.8%	20.0%	26.7%
False Report	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.9%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.1%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	4.1%	1.1%	2.5%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>922</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>15</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.4%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.7%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>59.7%</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>68.4%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.5%	25.0%	21.1%	30.8%
- Weapons	1.5%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	19.5%	15.4%	34.2%	30.8%
- Drugs	7.0%	5.8%	5.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.2%	0.0%	7.9%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>38.5%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>647</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.0%</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>1.4%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Frederick County

Case Management Program Supervisors: Singleton Golden and William Keefer

Located in Region III - 1 Office:  
801 N. East St. Suite 3, Frederick, MD 21701 (ph) 240-629-3030

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	3.8%	2.6%	3.5%
Police	88.5%	90.1%	87.5%
School Referrals*	1.3%	0.9%	0.9%
Violation of Probation**	6.3%	6.3%	7.9%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,568</b>	<b>1,586</b>	<b>1,347</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	35.8%	37.5%	42.2%
Informaled	35.3%	31.7%	25.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	28.9%	30.8%	31.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,568</b>	<b>1,586</b>	<b>1,347</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	2.0%	3.5%	6.3%
Continued/Stet	6.0%	7.1%	10.4%
Dismissed/Closed	29.5%	25.6%	26.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.2%	0.7%	1.4%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Other**	21.4%	16.8%	15.8%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	1.1%	1.2%	2.5%
Petition Denied by SAO	14.8%	20.7%	15.6%
Probation	17.4%	18.7%	18.3%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.6%	2.2%	1.4%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	3.0%	3.4%	1.9%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>562</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>569</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	12	N/A	12
Pre-Court	83	N/A	83
Probation	70	N/A	70
VPI*	10	0	10
Aftercare	30	16	46

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 17.3% (from 20,979 to 24,600).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 12.5% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 42.2% of complaints were formaled while 25.8% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 2.0% to 6.3%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 17.4% to 18.3%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 61.8 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest(2.92), Secure Detention (2.05), and Petition (1.41).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 17.4 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 22.1 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 138.2 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	35.3%	39.8%	41.1%
White	59.4%	54.4%	52.0%
Hispanic/Other	5.4%	5.7%	6.8%
Sex			
Male	71.5%	74.4%	74.0%
Female	28.5%	25.6%	26.0%
Age			
11 and under	2.7%	3.3%	3.0%
12	4.5%	3.6%	2.2%
13	7.0%	8.9%	7.2%
14	14.0%	16.8%	12.8%
15	19.7%	19.0%	20.6%
16	21.2%	22.6%	23.2%
17	27.3%	23.3%	27.7%
18-20	3.4%	2.4%	3.1%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,568</b>	<b>1,586</b>	<b>1,347</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	19.9%	25.1%	21.7%	34.9%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.8%	5.3%	0.0%	2.3%
Second Degree Assault	18.0%	15.2%	15.9%	25.6%
Sex Offense	0.9%	3.3%	5.8%	7.0%
Property Offenses	33.1%	32.5%	34.8%	37.2%
Arson	0.7%	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	0.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	3.5%	2.9%	1.4%	7.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.8%	11.5%	11.6%	9.3%
Tampering	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	16.2%	14.0%	15.9%	16.3%
Trespassing	2.1%	2.1%	1.4%	4.7%
Drug Related Offenses	15.1%	18.5%	17.4%	16.3%
Narcotics Distribution	1.3%	0.4%	1.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	13.8%	18.1%	15.9%	16.3%
Status Offenses	9.2%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	3.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	1.9%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	22.7%	21.0%	26.1%	11.6%
Bomb Threat	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	2.4%	3.3%	13.0%	4.7%
Deadly Weapon	0.4%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	8.1%	2.1%	1.4%	0.0%
False Report	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.3%	7.8%	5.8%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.4%	1.6%	0.0%	4.7%
Reckless Endangerment	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.7%	2.1%	1.4%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.7%	1.6%	1.4%	2.3%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,953</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>43</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed


<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.2%	2.7%	7.8%	5.6%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	3.6%	3.9%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.7%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.2%	0.0%	7.8%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.7%</b>	<b>67.6%</b>	<b>72.5%</b>	<b>80.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	26.1%	23.4%	19.6%	25.0%
- Weapons	0.5%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%
- Property	32.5%	36.0%	29.4%	33.3%
- Drugs	10.9%	7.2%	15.7%	16.7%
- Unspecified	1.7%	0.9%	3.9%	5.6%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>11.3%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,347</i>	<i>111</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>4.1%</i>	<i>1.8%</i>	<i>1.9%</i>	<i>3.3%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Garrett County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Robert Peters

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

7000 Thayer Center, Oakland, MD 21550 (ph) 301-334-8608

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	13.1%	11.1%	9.5%
Police	81.8%	83.4%	84.1%
School Referrals*	2.5%	3.7%	3.4%
Violation of Probation**	2.5%	1.8%	3.0%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>232</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	26.7%	34.3%	39.7%
Informaled	53.0%	41.3%	33.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	20.3%	24.4%	26.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>232</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	33.3%	16.1%	10.9%
Continued/Stet	0.0%	1.1%	5.4%
Dismissed/Closed	17.5%	37.6%	28.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	7.9%	5.4%	8.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.6%	2.2%	5.4%
Probation	34.9%	35.5%	34.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.6%	2.2%	6.5%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>92</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	9	N/A	9
Pre-Court	19	N/A	19
Probation	34	N/A	34
VPI*	0	0	0
Aftercare	10	2	12

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

- *U.S. Census Data:*
  - Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 6.1% (from 3,093 to 2,903).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2012):*
  - 15.9% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):*
  - 39.7% of complaints were formaled while 33.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 33.3% to 10.9%.
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 34.9% to 34.8%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 16.3 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 13.6 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 37.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (10.97).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 26.2 days versus 15.9 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 38.0 days versus 41.0 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 119.5 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	11.0%	19.6%	15.9%
White	83.5%	77.5%	84.1%
Hispanic/Other	5.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	69.1%	72.0%	71.6%
Female	30.9%	28.0%	28.4%
Age			
11 and under	4.7%	4.8%	3.4%
12	3.0%	4.4%	3.4%
13	0.4%	5.5%	3.4%
14	13.6%	12.5%	8.6%
15	23.3%	18.1%	18.1%
16	19.1%	27.7%	27.6%
17	33.1%	25.1%	33.2%
18-20	3.0%	1.8%	2.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>232</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	22.5%	22.5%	25.7%	46.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.3%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	20.7%	17.5%	17.1%	30.8%
Sex Offense	1.5%	2.5%	8.6%	15.4%
Property Offenses	33.0%	37.5%	37.1%	30.8%
Arson	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	8.3%	10.0%	22.9%	7.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.6%	12.5%	0.0%	7.7%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	10.8%	15.0%	11.4%	15.4%
Trespassing	1.5%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	8.6%	7.5%	11.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.9%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	7.7%	5.0%	11.4%	0.0%
Status Offenses	19.4%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	2.2%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	16.4%	30.0%	25.7%	23.1%
Bomb Threat	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.9%	5.0%	5.7%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.2%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
False Report	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.4%	5.0%	5.7%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	4.3%	5.0%	5.7%	7.7%
Reckless Endangerment	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.3%	2.5%	0.0%	7.7%
Telephone Misuse	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	0.6%	2.5%	8.6%	7.7%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>13</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>35.3%</b>	<b>29.6%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.6%	11.8%	3.7%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	5.9%	11.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>54.7%</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>40.7%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.5%	17.6%	18.5%	28.6%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	17.2%	5.9%	7.4%	28.6%
- Drugs	5.6%	5.9%	11.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>232</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>1.0%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Washington County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Rick Growden

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

44 N. Potomac St. Suite 300, Hagerstown, MD 21740 (ph) 301-791-7171

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	8.9%	10.3%	10.7%
Police	83.2%	80.4%	81.0%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	7.7%	8.8%	7.8%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>966</b>	<b>919</b>	<b>943</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	49.9%	56.4%	66.0%
Informaled	20.6%	21.1%	14.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	29.5%	22.5%	19.2%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>966</b>	<b>919</b>	<b>943</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	12.2%	11.4%	7.1%
Continued/Stet	13.1%	14.1%	16.4%
Dismissed/Closed	7.9%	14.5%	18.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	9.8%	8.7%	6.4%
Other**	6.4%	6.4%	5.1%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	8.7%	2.3%	4.8%
Petition Denied by SAO	11.0%	7.3%	8.2%
Probation	27.2%	31.3%	31.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.7%	3.9%	2.1%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>622</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	9	N/A	9
Pre-Court	28	N/A	28
Probation	109	N/A	109
VPI*	7	0	7
Aftercare	43	20	63

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

- *U.S. Census Data:*
  - Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 9.5% (from 12,320 to 13,489).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2012):*
  - 19.0% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):*
  - 66.0% of complaints were formaled while 14.8% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 12.2% to 7.1%.
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 27.2% to 31.2%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.3 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 12.6 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 56.5 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.71), and Secure Detention (1.35).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 24.2 days versus 15.9 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 34.9 days versus 41.0 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 142.2 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	37.6%	39.3%	46.7%
White	59.5%	58.5%	50.8%
Hispanic/Other	2.9%	2.2%	2.5%
Sex			
Male	72.2%	76.1%	72.6%
Female	27.8%	23.9%	27.4%
Age			
11 and under	3.2%	4.1%	4.3%
12	3.6%	8.8%	4.6%
13	6.3%	10.3%	16.6%
14	13.1%	13.6%	16.4%
15	19.9%	15.8%	16.6%
16	24.5%	21.5%	19.0%
17	25.7%	22.5%	20.6%
18-20	3.6%	3.3%	1.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>966</b>	<b>919</b>	<b>943</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	23.4%	22.8%	32.1%	48.3%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.8%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.8%	2.8%	0.7%	13.8%
Second Degree Assault	20.5%	16.3%	30.7%	34.5%
Sex Offense	1.3%	0.9%	0.7%	0.0%
Property Offenses	32.2%	28.1%	32.9%	37.9%
Arson	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.6%	5.0%	3.6%	3.4%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	12.8%	5.6%	13.6%	17.2%
Tampering	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Theft	11.0%	13.4%	12.1%	13.8%
Trespassing	2.5%	2.8%	2.9%	3.4%
Drug Related Offenses	8.8%	12.2%	5.0%	3.4%
Narcotics Distribution	1.2%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	7.7%	11.6%	4.3%	3.4%
Status Offenses	3.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	32.6%	35.9%	30.0%	10.3%
Bomb Threat	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.0%	1.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	0.9%	2.1%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	12.2%	13.8%	3.6%	3.4%
False Report	0.3%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.4%	0.9%	2.1%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.9%	2.5%	12.1%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.9%	5.3%	0.7%	3.4%
Reckless Endangerment	1.7%	1.9%	1.4%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	4.0%	4.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.9%	4.7%	5.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,462</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>29</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>15.1%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	4.2%	3.7%	4.8%
- Drugs	1.5%	1.2%	0.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.2%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>73.5%</b>	<b>62.7%</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>76.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	35.5%	38.6%	36.1%	33.3%
- Weapons	2.0%	0.6%	2.8%	0.0%
- Property	29.5%	18.1%	25.9%	38.1%
- Drugs	4.9%	4.8%	4.6%	4.8%
- Unspecified	1.6%	0.6%	6.5%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>943</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>108</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.9%</i>	<i>2.8%</i>	<i>4.0%</i>	<i>1.9%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Region IV - Summary

Eastern Shore Region  
Counties of Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester

Regional Director: John Gadsby

600 Dover Road, Suite 104  
Easton, MD 21601  
410-822-5010

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.7%	1.3%	1.6%
Police	97.2%	96.6%	96.2%
School Referrals*	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Violation of Probation**	1.7%	1.8%	1.7%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,851</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>4,313</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	29.8%	35.0%	37.8%
Informed	25.4%	21.3%	23.1%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	44.8%	43.7%	39.0%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>4,851</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>4,313</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.5%	7.3%	7.4%
Continued/Stet	15.6%	16.8%	17.6%
Dismissed/Closed	21.2%	23.4%	23.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	5.4%	5.7%	4.9%
Nolle Pros.	1.5%	2.8%	3.6%
Other**	8.9%	7.1%	6.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.2%	0.4%	2.1%
Petition Denied by SAO	7.6%	8.1%	6.9%
Probation	24.4%	22.7%	20.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.8%	2.1%	2.5%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	4.9%	3.7%	4.4%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,446</b>	<b>1,598</b>	<b>1,632</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesies, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	136	N/A	136
Pre-Court	233	N/A	233
Probation	317	N/A	317
VPI*	24	9	33
Aftercare	155	77	232

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 0.1% (from 38,847 to 38,872).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 3.8% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 37.8% of complaints were formaled while 23.1% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 7.5% to 7.4%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 24.4% to 20.2%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.9 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.7 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 72.8 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Since one region can include counties that are so vastly different in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate would mask variations at the county level.
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 20.9 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 25.4 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 155.8 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	39.7%	40.5%	42.4%
White	57.0%	55.4%	53.5%
Hispanic/Other	3.2%	4.1%	4.1%
Sex			
Male	69.1%	70.4%	69.3%
Female	30.9%	29.6%	30.7%
Age			
11 and under	4.3%	4.0%	5.7%
12	4.1%	5.2%	5.1%
13	7.6%	7.6%	7.3%
14	11.4%	11.6%	12.4%
15	17.9%	16.5%	15.0%
16	21.2%	19.9%	20.0%
17	31.2%	32.3%	32.3%
18-20	2.4%	2.8%	2.2%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,851</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>4,313</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	22.9%	31.4%	19.7%	30.6%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.6%	3.3%	0.0%	0.6%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.8%	4.3%	0.9%	1.9%
Second Degree Assault	19.6%	19.3%	17.9%	21.9%
Sex Offense	1.8%	4.3%	0.9%	6.2%
Property Offenses	28.0%	36.3%	37.3%	34.4%
Arson	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%	0.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	2.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.9%	10.4%	1.8%	4.4%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.0%	10.7%	12.2%	8.8%
Tampering	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%
Theft	12.2%	10.1%	20.6%	16.9%
Trespassing	2.0%	1.1%	1.2%	3.1%
Drug Related Offenses	14.6%	7.4%	10.7%	12.5%
Narcotics Distribution	1.4%	2.2%	0.0%	2.5%
Narcotics Possession	13.2%	5.2%	10.7%	9.4%
Status Offenses	12.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	7.8%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	1.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%
Uncategorized Offenses	22.5%	21.3%	32.2%	22.5%
Bomb Threat	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.5%	1.5%	0.9%	1.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	1.5%	1.2%	1.2%
Disturbing the Peace	8.8%	5.3%	13.4%	10.0%
False Report	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%	0.6%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.9%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.1%	1.9%	7.2%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.1%	2.0%	0.6%	3.1%
Reckless Endangerment	1.0%	2.3%	2.4%	1.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	2.5%	1.5%	1.2%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.9%	1.7%	4.5%	3.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>5,910</b>	<b>945</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>160</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed


<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.8%	0.4%	0.7%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	4.8%	1.1%	0.7%
- Drugs	1.7%	4.1%	0.0%	2.2%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	1.1%	0.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>64.7%</b>	<b>59.7%</b>	<b>76.2%</b>	<b>73.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	28.4%	32.8%	23.5%	27.2%
- Weapons	1.5%	2.3%	1.4%	1.5%
- Property	21.9%	17.9%	34.5%	31.6%
- Drugs	11.5%	5.2%	11.4%	9.6%
- Unspecified	1.4%	1.6%	5.3%	3.7%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>11.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>4,313</i>	<i>442</i>	<i>281</i>	<i>136</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>13.1%</i>	<i>7.3%</i>	<i>10.5%</i>	<i>12.3%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Caroline County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Taneesha DeShields

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:  
 317 Carter Ave. Suite 105, Denton, MD 21629 (ph) 410-819-6556

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.3%	0.0%	1.6%
Police	97.8%	96.8%	96.9%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.4%	3.2%	1.6%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>256</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	30.7%	30.7%	34.4%
Informaled	14.6%	12.7%	20.3%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	54.7%	56.5%	45.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>256</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	5.4%	10.3%	2.3%
Continued/Stet	9.9%	10.3%	29.5%
Dismissed/Closed	32.4%	37.9%	34.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	6.9%	5.7%
Other**	12.6%	12.6%	8.0%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.9%	0.0%	4.5%
Petition Denied by SAO	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	31.5%	18.4%	14.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.7%	1.1%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>88</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	13	N/A	13
Pre-Court	11	N/A	11
Probation	13	N/A	13
VPI*	0	0	0
Aftercare	7	3	10

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.7% (from 3,335 to 3,180).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 3.1% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 34.4% of complaints were formaled while 20.3% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 5.4% to 2.3%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 31.5% to 14.8%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 21.4 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 78.0 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest(1.50).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 16.4 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 13.4 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 125.0 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	29.6%	24.0%	38.3%
White	68.2%	70.0%	55.9%
Hispanic/Other	2.2%	6.0%	5.9%
Sex			
Male	71.3%	70.0%	67.2%
Female	28.7%	30.0%	32.8%
Age			
11 and under	3.3%	3.5%	11.3%
12	3.0%	2.8%	4.3%
13	5.8%	8.1%	3.9%
14	17.7%	18.7%	14.1%
15	18.5%	16.3%	21.1%
16	16.9%	20.8%	20.7%
17	32.3%	26.9%	24.2%
18-20	2.2%	2.8%	0.4%
Error/Missing	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>256</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	19.5%	21.6%	8.3%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.8%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	17.8%	10.8%	8.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	36.2%	27.0%	33.3%	66.7%
Arson	0.8%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	8.6%	8.1%	0.0%	33.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.6%	2.7%	8.3%	33.3%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	9.4%	13.5%	25.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	9.6%	29.7%	25.0%	33.3%
Narcotics Distribution	1.3%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	8.4%	27.0%	25.0%	33.3%
Status Offenses	8.8%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	4.4%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	25.9%	16.2%	33.3%	0.0%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.3%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	13.4%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
False Report	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.9%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.3%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.3%	2.7%	8.3%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.9%	2.7%	8.3%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.3%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>67.2%</b>	<b>52.4%</b>	<b>81.8%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	35.5%	14.3%	18.2%	0.0%
- Weapons	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	21.5%	14.3%	27.3%	33.3%
- Drugs	7.4%	23.8%	27.3%	33.3%
- Unspecified	1.6%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>256</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Cecil County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Tyra Kenly

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

106 E. Main St. Suite 102, Elkton, MD 21921 (ph) 410-996-2800

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	1.0%	0.9%	0.5%
Police	96.6%	97.7%	99.5%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.6%	0.8%	0.0%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>674</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>567</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	45.8%	51.3%	55.0%
Informaled	13.8%	16.1%	19.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.4%	32.6%	25.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>674</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>567</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.4%	9.2%	3.5%
Continued/Stet	8.7%	8.9%	12.8%
Dismissed/Closed	12.9%	19.2%	21.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	3.2%	3.0%	3.8%
Nolle Pros.	1.9%	3.3%	1.9%
Other**	4.2%	2.6%	7.1%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	2.6%	6.7%
Petition Denied by SAO	19.1%	13.7%	7.4%
Probation	28.8%	29.9%	33.7%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	12.0%	7.4%	1.6%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>312</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	63	N/A	63
Pre-Court	21	N/A	21
Probation	72	N/A	72
VPI*	1	0	1
Aftercare	21	4	25

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 11.1% (from 9,448 to 10,499).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 0.5% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 55.0% of complaints were formaled while 19.0% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 7.4% to 3.5%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 28.8% to 33.7%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.2 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 107.4 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest(1.59).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 15.2 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 18.7 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 149.7 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	15.7%	19.3%	29.6%
White	80.1%	76.7%	67.2%
Hispanic/Other	4.2%	4.0%	3.2%
Sex			
Male	71.4%	71.2%	68.6%
Female	28.6%	28.8%	31.4%
Age			
11 and under	4.7%	4.2%	3.4%
12	5.9%	6.4%	4.1%
13	9.2%	9.7%	7.2%
14	13.2%	11.4%	12.5%
15	18.8%	15.9%	15.7%
16	20.9%	21.4%	24.3%
17	26.1%	27.5%	30.7%
18-20	0.9%	3.6%	2.1%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>674</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>567</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	27.3%	35.2%	16.5%	40.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	23.2%	31.4%	15.4%	30.0%
Sex Offense	2.3%	0.0%	1.1%	10.0%
Property Offenses	37.9%	41.0%	52.7%	40.0%
Arson	2.9%	7.6%	0.0%	10.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.3%	2.9%	1.1%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.3%	14.3%	2.2%	10.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.5%	7.6%	17.6%	10.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	17.2%	8.6%	31.9%	10.0%
Trespassing	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	9.2%	8.6%	7.7%	10.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.8%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	7.4%	7.6%	7.7%	10.0%
Status Offenses	8.5%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	5.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	17.0%	13.3%	23.1%	10.0%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.2%	1.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.0%	4.8%	12.1%	10.0%
False Report	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.5%	1.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	4.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.3%	1.9%	3.3%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.3%	1.9%	2.2%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>10</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed


<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	2.6%	1.4%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.9%	3.9%	2.9%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>67.5%</b>	<b>58.4%</b>	<b>82.9%</b>	<b>77.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	30.9%	32.5%	21.4%	33.3%
- Weapons	1.1%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
- Property	27.3%	18.2%	50.0%	33.3%
- Drugs	7.8%	7.8%	8.6%	11.1%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>567</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>70</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>1.3%</i>	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Dorchester County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Christopher Miele

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:  
 310 Gay St., Cambridge, MD 21613 (ph) 410-228-6452

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	1.8%	7.7%	12.7%
Police	96.9%	90.7%	83.5%
School Referrals*	0.0%	1.1%	0.8%
Violation of Probation**	1.3%	0.5%	1.8%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>387</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	26.5%	43.7%	35.7%
Informaled	32.3%	28.2%	26.9%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	41.2%	28.0%	37.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>387</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.4%	2.1%	12.3%
Continued/Stet	25.2%	14.6%	6.5%
Dismissed/Closed	23.5%	40.1%	37.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.7%	2.6%	0.7%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	2.5%	5.7%	8.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Petition Denied by SAO	5.9%	2.6%	3.6%
Probation	28.6%	27.6%	26.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.4%	4.7%	1.4%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.8%	0.0%	1.4%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>138</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	7	N/A	7
Pre-Court	27	N/A	27
Probation	35	N/A	35
VPI*	7	2	9
Aftercare	3	2	5

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### • U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 10.1% (from 3,030 to 2,725).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 16.5% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 35.7% of complaints were formaled while 26.9% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 8.4% to 12.3%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 28.6% to 26.8%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 21.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.5 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 72.0 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.72), Secure Detention (1.76), and Petition (1.36).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 19.0 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 18.5 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 92.0 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	58.6%	62.9%	56.1%
White	38.8%	33.5%	34.9%
Hispanic/Other	2.7%	3.6%	9.0%
Sex			
Male	67.5%	72.7%	75.5%
Female	32.5%	27.3%	24.5%
Age			
11 and under	2.9%	4.6%	11.1%
12	2.7%	7.1%	5.2%
13	8.5%	9.6%	7.0%
14	11.6%	14.8%	16.0%
15	22.3%	16.9%	16.5%
16	24.5%	20.0%	17.3%
17	26.3%	26.0%	25.1%
18-20	1.3%	0.7%	1.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>387</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	25.7%	18.2%	20.0%	41.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.8%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Second Degree Assault	22.8%	15.2%	17.1%	35.3%
Sex Offense	2.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.9%	36.4%	45.7%	35.3%
Arson	2.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	2.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	12.2%	6.1%	11.4%	11.8%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	10.5%	10.6%	31.4%	11.8%
Trespassing	1.4%	7.6%	2.9%	11.8%
Drug Related Offenses	10.6%	4.5%	5.7%	5.9%
Narcotics Distribution	1.2%	3.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Narcotics Possession	9.4%	1.5%	5.7%	0.0%
Status Offenses	6.1%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.5%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	2.5%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	28.7%	33.3%	28.6%	17.6%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	13.4%	6.1%	17.1%	0.0%
False Report	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.6%	1.5%	2.9%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.7%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	2.8%	6.1%	2.9%	5.9%
Resisting Arrest	2.5%	6.1%	0.0%	5.9%
Telephone Misuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.2%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>641</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>17</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.8%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	4.5%	0.0%	8.3%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>79.6%</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>75.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	40.1%	22.7%	24.1%	41.7%
- Weapons	2.3%	9.1%	3.4%	0.0%
- Property	28.7%	18.2%	41.4%	33.3%
- Drugs	7.0%	4.5%	3.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>387</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>1.1%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Kent County

Case Management Program Supervisor: William Clark

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

315 High St. Suite 202, Chestertown, MD 21620 (ph) 410-778-6103

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	1.3%	1.9%	2.2%
Police	90.5%	90.2%	96.4%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	8.2%	7.9%	0.7%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>139</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	31.9%	29.0%	41.7%
Informaled	10.8%	7.0%	18.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	57.3%	64.0%	39.6%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>139</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	4.1%	0.0%	1.7%
Continued/Stet	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Dismissed/Closed	40.5%	30.6%	46.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.4%	1.6%	6.9%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	9.5%	22.6%	6.9%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	2.7%	8.1%	22.4%
Probation	39.2%	35.5%	15.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>58</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	6	N/A	6
Pre-Court	5	N/A	5
Probation	7	N/A	7
VPI*	1	0	1
Aftercare	6	1	7

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 20.5% (from 1,701 to 1,352).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 3.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 41.7% of complaints were formaled while 18.7% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 4.1% to 1.7%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 39.2% to 15.5%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 36.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 53.0 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.22).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 16.0 days versus 15.9 statewide.
- Pending Placement - 9.7 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - There were no youth released from State-Operated Committed programs in FY 2012

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	44.4%	41.1%	46.0%
White	54.3%	55.1%	51.1%
Hispanic/Other	1.3%	3.7%	2.9%
Sex			
Male	74.6%	80.8%	84.2%
Female	25.4%	19.2%	15.8%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	2.3%	4.3%
12	2.2%	0.0%	6.5%
13	4.3%	6.5%	10.1%
14	8.2%	12.1%	14.4%
15	20.7%	27.1%	16.5%
16	24.1%	26.6%	12.2%
17	37.1%	23.4%	34.5%
18-20	3.4%	1.9%	1.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>139</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	21.0%	14.3%	20.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	18.1%	14.3%	20.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	43.8%	28.6%	80.0%	100.0%
Arson	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.7%	14.3%	20.0%	100.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	17.6%	0.0%	40.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	1.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offenses	11.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	14.8%	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Bomb Threat	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.4%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
False Report	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.4%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.3%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.9%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	25.2%	33.3%	25.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	22.3%	16.7%	75.0%	100.0%
- Drugs	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Queen Anne's County

Case Management Program Supervisor: K. Denise Whiteley

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

120 Broadway, Suite 9, Centreville, MD 21617 (ph) 410-819-4180

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%
Police	97.5%	99.1%	98.1%
School Referrals*	1.4%	0.3%	0.4%
Violation of Probation**	1.1%	0.3%	1.2%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>257</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	21.4%	33.4%	29.2%
Informaled	21.1%	7.2%	4.3%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	57.5%	59.4%	66.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>257</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	1.6%	0.9%	2.7%
Continued/Stet	29.5%	29.0%	20.0%
Dismissed/Closed	23.0%	27.1%	36.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	4.9%	3.7%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Other**	8.2%	11.2%	9.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	3.3%	6.5%	4.0%
Probation	18.0%	15.9%	22.7%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	9.8%	4.7%	2.7%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	1.6%	0.9%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>75</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	5	N/A	5
Pre-Court	1	N/A	1
Probation	26	N/A	26
VPI*	0	1	1
Aftercare	7	6	13

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### • U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 17.1% (from 4,079 to 4,778).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 1.9% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 29.2% of complaints were formaled while 4.3% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 1.6% to 2.7%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 18.0% to 22.7%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.9 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.3 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 52.8 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.77).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 33.0 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 12.3 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 132.3 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	18.6%	18.1%	22.6%
White	80.7%	81.6%	76.3%
Hispanic/Other	0.7%	0.3%	1.2%
Sex			
Male	69.8%	68.4%	73.2%
Female	30.2%	31.6%	26.8%
Age			
11 and under	1.8%	2.5%	3.1%
12	2.5%	1.9%	2.3%
13	4.2%	1.9%	3.9%
14	14.0%	11.6%	9.3%
15	25.3%	21.9%	17.9%
16	20.4%	27.2%	23.0%
17	29.1%	31.6%	35.8%
18-20	2.8%	1.6%	4.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>257</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	18.1%	16.1%	13.6%	14.3%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.7%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	16.0%	12.9%	13.6%	14.3%
Sex Offense	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	26.6%	51.6%	27.3%	57.1%
Arson	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.4%	9.7%	0.0%	28.6%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.3%	6.5%	13.6%	14.3%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	11.1%	22.6%	13.6%	14.3%
Trespassing	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	19.5%	12.9%	27.3%	28.6%
Narcotics Distribution	2.1%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	17.4%	9.7%	27.3%	28.6%
Status Offenses	16.2%	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	7.3%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	2.1%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	6.6%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	19.5%	9.7%	31.8%	0.0%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.9%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%
False Report	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	7.1%	3.2%	18.2%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.9%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.6%	3.2%	4.5%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>7</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.9%	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>84.2%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	28.0%	23.1%	21.1%	25.0%
- Weapons	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	19.5%	15.4%	31.6%	75.0%
- Drugs	12.5%	7.7%	26.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>257</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Somerset County

Acting Case Management Program Supervisor: Spencer Tracy

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

12155 Elm St. Suite B, Princess Anne, MD 21853 (ph) 410-845-4680

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Police	100.0%	99.2%	95.5%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	0.4%	2.0%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>200</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	24.2%	42.6%	51.0%
Informaled	26.0%	24.2%	24.5%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	49.8%	33.2%	24.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>200</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	0.0%	5.8%	7.8%
Continued/Stet	24.5%	22.1%	45.1%
Dismissed/Closed	34.0%	37.5%	21.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	9.4%	8.7%	3.9%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%
Other**	20.8%	5.8%	7.8%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	7.5%	0.0%	2.0%
Probation	3.8%	17.3%	2.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	2.9%	1.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>102</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	3	N/A	3
Pre-Court	18	N/A	18
Probation	8	N/A	8
VPI*	2	0	2
Aftercare	9	6	15

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 9.0% (from 1,833 to 1,667).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 4.5% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 51.0% of complaints were formaled while 24.5% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 0.0% to 7.8%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 3.8% to 2.9%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.9 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 51.6 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.07), and Petition (1.84)
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 30.1 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 39.0 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 215.0 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	50.2%	67.2%	64.5%
White	48.9%	32.0%	33.0%
Hispanic/Other	0.9%	0.8%	2.5%
Sex			
Male	66.7%	73.0%	76.0%
Female	33.3%	27.0%	24.0%
Age			
11 and under	6.8%	9.0%	15.5%
12	2.7%	4.5%	7.5%
13	9.1%	9.4%	7.0%
14	16.4%	6.1%	16.5%
15	16.9%	24.2%	11.0%
16	24.2%	20.5%	22.0%
17	22.4%	23.4%	20.0%
18-20	1.4%	2.9%	0.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>200</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	26.3%	16.8%	44.4%	71.4%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	2.7%	5.8%	0.0%	7.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.4%	2.9%	11.1%	14.3%
Second Degree Assault	18.6%	8.1%	33.3%	50.0%
Sex Offense	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.5%	64.2%	11.1%	21.4%
Arson	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.9%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	12.6%	37.6%	11.1%	7.1%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	9.3%	11.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Trespassing	3.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	6.8%	4.0%	11.1%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.3%	3.5%	11.1%	0.0%
Status Offenses	4.4%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	31.0%	13.9%	33.3%	7.1%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%
Disturbing the Peace	12.6%	2.3%	11.1%	0.0%
False Report	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.2%	0.6%	22.2%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	2.2%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	3.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	6.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>365</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.5%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.5%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>72.5%</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>88.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	33.0%	18.2%	42.9%	66.7%
- Weapons	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%
- Property	30.5%	39.4%	0.0%	11.1%
- Drugs	5.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.5%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>200</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Talbot County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Timothy Haynes

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

600 Dover Rd. Suite 104, Easton, MD 21601 (ph) 410-822-5010

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%
Police	98.0%	97.8%	97.0%
School Referrals*	1.7%	1.5%	0.9%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>234</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	20.8%	24.3%	26.5%
Informaled	25.4%	27.9%	32.1%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	53.8%	47.8%	41.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>234</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.8%	10.6%	14.5%
Continued/Stet	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed/Closed	47.3%	45.5%	41.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	5.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
Other**	4.1%	0.0%	4.8%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	11.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	7.6%	6.5%
Probation	36.5%	27.3%	19.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>62</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	9	N/A	9
Pre-Court	14	N/A	14
Probation	17	N/A	17
VPI*	0	0	0
Aftercare	5	5	10

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### • U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.2% (from 3,024 to 2,959).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 3.0% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 26.5% of complaints were formaled while 32.1% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 6.8% to 14.5%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 36.5% to 19.4%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 36.6 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.3 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 46.3 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.13), and Petition (1.53).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 14.8 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 27.8 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 0.0 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	34.9%	31.2%	31.2%
White	59.2%	61.4%	59.4%
Hispanic/Other	5.9%	7.4%	9.4%
Sex			
Male	65.1%	67.6%	67.5%
Female	34.9%	32.4%	32.5%
Age			
11 and under	4.8%	2.6%	2.6%
12	4.2%	4.0%	2.6%
13	10.4%	5.5%	5.6%
14	7.9%	13.2%	11.1%
15	13.0%	15.4%	12.4%
16	20.6%	19.9%	24.8%
17	34.9%	35.3%	36.8%
18-20	4.2%	4.0%	4.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>234</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	20.1%	51.7%	12.5%	37.5%
Child Abuse	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	16.9%	24.1%	12.5%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.6%	27.6%	0.0%	37.5%
Property Offenses	17.4%	20.7%	25.0%	12.5%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	1.3%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%	6.9%	0.0%	12.5%
Tampering	0.3%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	8.7%	0.0%	18.8%	0.0%
Trespassing	1.8%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	23.2%	3.4%	12.5%	37.5%
Narcotics Distribution	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Narcotics Possession	21.9%	3.4%	12.5%	12.5%
Status Offenses	10.8%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	5.5%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	28.5%	20.7%	50.0%	12.5%
Bomb Threat	0.3%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.7%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%
False Report	1.1%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	13.7%	6.9%	25.0%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.1%	0.0%	18.8%	12.5%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>8</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.6%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>68.8%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	28.2%	41.7%	12.5%	0.0%
- Weapons	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	17.5%	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%
- Drugs	20.5%	0.0%	12.5%	16.7%
- Unspecified	1.3%	0.0%	18.8%	16.7%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>234</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Wicomico County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Jennifer  
Wimbrow Jenkins

201 Baptist St. Room 1134, Suite 21, Salisbury, MD 21801(ph) 410-713-3800  
*Located in Region IV - 1 Office:*

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%
Police	97.8%	96.6%	95.9%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.9%	2.8%	3.5%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,326</b>	<b>1,311</b>	<b>1,235</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	35.3%	40.0%	44.7%
Informaled	30.6%	20.7%	22.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	34.1%	39.3%	32.6%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,326</b>	<b>1,311</b>	<b>1,235</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	9.6%	9.7%	10.9%
Continued/Stet	25.4%	26.7%	25.7%
Dismissed/Closed	13.7%	8.0%	9.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	6.6%	6.1%	5.6%
Nolle Pros.	3.0%	5.7%	7.1%
Other**	11.3%	8.0%	6.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	6.2%	12.8%	10.9%
Probation	15.8%	17.1%	12.7%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.0%	1.5%	2.5%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	5.3%	4.4%	8.7%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>468</b>	<b>525</b>	<b>552</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	17	N/A	17
Pre-Court	73	N/A	73
Probation	100	N/A	100
VPI*	11	6	17
Aftercare	78	44	122

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 7.7% (from 8,456 to 7,802).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 4.1% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 44.7% of complaints were formaled while 22.8% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 9.6% to 10.9%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 15.8% to 12.7%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 19.5 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 24.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 61.7 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (4.78), Secure Detention (1.60), and Petition (1.33).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 23.2 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 27.9 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 168.3 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	65.8%	64.1%	67.0%
White	31.4%	31.8%	29.5%
Hispanic/Other	2.8%	4.1%	3.5%
Sex			
Male	66.3%	69.3%	62.2%
Female	33.7%	30.7%	37.8%
Age			
11 and under	7.8%	6.0%	7.4%
12	6.8%	10.0%	9.6%
13	10.5%	11.2%	13.4%
14	14.1%	13.9%	16.0%
15	18.1%	17.2%	17.4%
16	20.7%	17.6%	16.2%
17	20.6%	22.7%	19.1%
18-20	1.4%	1.3%	0.9%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,326</b>	<b>1,311</b>	<b>1,235</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	35.3%	39.3%	22.4%	24.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.9%	6.5%	2.4%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	31.3%	20.8%	18.8%	18.7%
Sex Offense	2.0%	8.0%	1.2%	5.5%
Property Offenses	28.1%	28.6%	21.2%	34.1%
Arson	1.2%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.1%	12.0%	3.5%	2.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%	3.5%	4.7%	6.6%
Tampering	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Theft	15.7%	9.5%	10.6%	20.9%
Trespassing	1.4%	0.5%	2.4%	3.3%
Drug Related Offenses	6.2%	5.8%	5.9%	9.9%
Narcotics Distribution	1.4%	3.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Narcotics Possession	4.7%	2.8%	5.9%	8.8%
Status Offenses	6.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Alcohol Violation	1.8%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	1.9%	0.3%	0.0%	1.1%
Uncategorized Offenses	24.5%	25.3%	50.6%	30.8%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.7%	3.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Deadly Weapon	2.2%	2.5%	3.5%	1.1%
Disturbing the Peace	12.5%	6.8%	27.1%	16.5%
False Report	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	1.1%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.7%	1.5%	4.7%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.0%	3.1%	0.0%	4.4%
Reckless Endangerment	0.4%	2.0%	3.5%	1.1%
Resisting Arrest	1.6%	2.5%	2.4%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.0%	0.8%	9.4%	4.4%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,476</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>91</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed


<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	1.6%	0.0%	1.2%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.4%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	5.7%	0.0%	1.2%
- Unspecified	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.5%</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>65.2%</b>	<b>71.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	39.2%	38.5%	26.1%	22.9%
- Weapons	2.4%	4.2%	2.9%	1.2%
- Property	24.1%	17.7%	18.8%	32.5%
- Drugs	4.3%	1.6%	7.2%	9.6%
- Unspecified	1.5%	0.5%	10.1%	4.8%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>23.2%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,235</i>	<i>192</i>	<i>69</i>	<i>83</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.8%</i>	<i>3.2%</i>	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>7.5%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Worcester County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Sheila Warner

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

301 C Commerce St., Snow Hill, MD 21863 (ph) 410-632-0206

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	1.3%	1.1%	0.5%
Police	97.2%	98.0%	98.9%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.6%	0.9%	0.6%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>949</b>	<b>952</b>	<b>1,038</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	18.7%	19.3%	23.6%
Informaled	31.9%	29.8%	28.1%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	49.4%	50.8%	48.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>949</b>	<b>952</b>	<b>1,038</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	9.0%	7.1%	4.1%
Continued/Stet	4.0%	6.5%	3.7%
Dismissed/Closed	23.7%	28.8%	34.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	11.9%	15.8%	11.4%
Nolle Pros.	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	10.7%	4.9%	3.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	1.1%	0.0%	0.4%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.7%	1.6%	0.8%
Probation	29.4%	26.1%	25.7%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	4.0%	3.3%	9.4%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	4.0%	6.0%	6.1%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>245</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	13	N/A	13
Pre-Court	63	N/A	63
Probation	40	N/A	40
VPI*	2	0	2
Aftercare	19	5	24

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.7% (from 3,941 to 3,912).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 1.1% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 23.6% of complaints were formaled while 28.1% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 9.0% to 4.1%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 29.4% to 25.7%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 26.7 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 67.6 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in Secure Detention (4.32), Transfers to Adult Court (2.86), and Petitions (1.97).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 17.2 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 29.9 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 116.8 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	19.8%	17.6%	18.7%
White	75.6%	77.5%	78.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.6%	4.8%	3.2%
Sex			
Male	72.1%	69.1%	72.4%
Female	27.9%	30.9%	27.6%
Age			
11 and under	1.1%	0.8%	1.1%
12	1.2%	0.6%	1.1%
13	3.1%	2.8%	2.0%
14	3.9%	6.0%	6.2%
15	14.0%	9.9%	10.1%
16	21.0%	18.0%	21.8%
17	51.2%	56.3%	53.8%
18-20	4.6%	5.6%	4.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>949</b>	<b>952</b>	<b>1,038</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	8.6%	33.7%	23.3%	22.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.3%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.6%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	6.5%	26.5%	23.3%	11.1%
Sex Offense	1.1%	1.0%	0.0%	11.1%
Property Offenses	19.7%	17.3%	40.0%	33.3%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.2%	3.1%	1.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.6%	4.1%	18.3%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	9.0%	10.2%	15.0%	22.2%
Trespassing	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	29.1%	12.2%	16.7%	22.2%
Narcotics Distribution	1.5%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	27.5%	9.2%	16.7%	22.2%
Status Offenses	25.7%	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	23.4%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.0%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	16.9%	21.4%	20.0%	22.2%
Bomb Threat	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.9%	9.2%	1.7%	0.0%
False Report	0.2%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.3%	2.0%	13.3%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.6%	3.1%	0.0%	11.1%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.4%	6.1%	3.3%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,314</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>9</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.9%	4.5%	1.8%	11.1%
- Drugs	1.9%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>48.3%</b>	<b>59.1%</b>	<b>82.1%</b>	<b>77.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	8.0%	33.3%	25.0%	33.3%
- Weapons	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	13.7%	9.1%	37.5%	22.2%
- Drugs	24.2%	10.6%	16.1%	22.2%
- Unspecified	1.5%	6.1%	3.6%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>30.9%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,038</i>	<i>66</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.2%</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>2.1%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Region V - Summary

Southern Region  
 Counties of Anne Arundel, Calvert, Charles, and St. Mary's

Regional Director: Douglas Mohler

49 Old Solomons Island Rd., Suite 300  
 Annapolis, MD 21401  
 410-295-5740

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
Police	97.6%	97.0%	96.2%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.8%	2.3%	3.0%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,819</b>	<b>6,647</b>	<b>5,850</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	32.1%	33.5%	38.3%
Informaled	21.3%	20.4%	20.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	46.6%	46.1%	41.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>6,819</b>	<b>6,647</b>	<b>5,850</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.4%	8.0%	11.0%
Continued/Stet	11.3%	13.7%	14.2%
Dismissed/Closed	14.9%	15.0%	21.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.1%	1.3%	0.2%
Nolle Pros.	1.3%	1.3%	1.1%
Other**	15.1%	20.1%	10.6%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	11.2%	2.4%	8.4%
Petition Denied by SAO	7.7%	4.6%	4.2%
Probation	27.0%	29.0%	26.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.4%	3.8%	1.4%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>2,188</b>	<b>2,226</b>	<b>2,238</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	250	N/A	250
Pre-Court	308	N/A	308
Probation	584	N/A	584
VPI*	98	18	116
Aftercare	135	95	230

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 9.4% (from 80,441 to 88,021).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 3.8% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 38.3% of complaints were formaled while 20.0% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 7.4% to 11.0%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 27.0% to 26.9%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 25.8 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 77.8 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Since one region can include counties that are so vastly different in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate would mask variations at the county level.
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 12.2 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 33.9 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 169.1 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	49.5%	49.9%	51.9%
White	46.9%	45.5%	43.4%
Hispanic/Other	3.6%	4.6%	4.7%
Sex			
Male	67.7%	69.2%	70.6%
Female	32.3%	30.8%	29.4%
Age			
11 and under	4.0%	3.7%	4.1%
12	4.1%	4.7%	4.1%
13	7.0%	7.9%	7.5%
14	13.7%	12.5%	14.3%
15	19.0%	18.8%	18.9%
16	22.6%	22.9%	21.6%
17	27.3%	26.8%	27.3%
18-20	2.2%	2.7%	2.1%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,819</b>	<b>6,647</b>	<b>5,850</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	23.0%	27.3%	23.8%	29.2%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.8%	3.3%	0.5%	0.8%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.4%	4.8%	0.7%	4.7%
Second Degree Assault	18.9%	17.5%	20.4%	21.0%
Sex Offense	1.8%	1.6%	2.2%	2.7%
Property Offenses	36.0%	37.6%	35.2%	39.7%
Arson	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%	0.8%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	1.2%	0.5%	0.4%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.5%	8.0%	7.2%	8.9%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.2%	7.9%	6.5%	8.6%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%
Theft	19.4%	17.4%	17.9%	18.3%
Trespassing	3.6%	2.0%	1.8%	2.3%
Drug Related Offenses	13.7%	9.2%	14.8%	15.6%
Narcotics Distribution	1.5%	2.0%	1.6%	1.9%
Narcotics Possession	12.3%	7.2%	13.2%	13.6%
Status Offenses	3.6%	2.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.8%	0.9%	0.2%	0.0%
Runaway	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	23.7%	23.7%	26.0%	15.6%
Bomb Threat	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.5%	0.6%	4.2%	2.3%
Deadly Weapon	2.2%	1.0%	2.7%	2.7%
Disturbing the Peace	6.6%	4.1%	4.2%	3.1%
False Report	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%	4.9%	7.4%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.3%	1.5%	0.4%	1.6%
Reckless Endangerment	0.9%	2.4%	0.9%	3.1%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.4%
Telephone Misuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	6.5%	5.4%	5.4%	1.6%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>8,653</b>	<b>1,419</b>	<b>554</b>	<b>257</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	1.6%	0.7%	0.6%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.3%	5.7%	1.6%	1.9%
- Drugs	1.9%	3.9%	2.1%	2.6%
- Unspecified	1.0%	0.3%	3.0%	3.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>78.0%</b>	<b>62.7%</b>	<b>75.1%</b>	<b>75.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	27.2%	26.0%	24.5%	25.6%
- Weapons	2.7%	1.1%	3.5%	1.9%
- Property	33.8%	25.0%	29.3%	28.2%
- Drugs	10.2%	7.8%	12.7%	17.3%
- Unspecified	4.1%	2.8%	5.1%	1.9%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>5,850</i>	<i>612</i>	<i>433</i>	<i>156</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>17.8%</i>	<i>10.1%</i>	<i>16.2%</i>	<i>14.1%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Anne Arundel County

Asst. Regional Director: Vacant (Annapolis)  
 Case Management Specialist Supervisor: Kelly Stevens  
 (Glen Burnie)

49 Old Solomons Island Rd. Suite 300, Annapolis, MD 21401 (ph) 410-295-5740  
 7500 Ritchie Hwy. Suite 21, Glen Burnie, MD 21061 (ph) 410-412-7115

Located in Region V - 2 Offices:

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%
Police	98.1%	97.1%	95.8%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.1%	2.0%	3.3%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,004</b>	<b>3,969</b>	<b>3,476</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	33.2%	35.4%	39.9%
Informaled	12.8%	13.0%	14.9%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	54.1%	51.5%	45.2%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>4,004</b>	<b>3,969</b>	<b>3,476</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.3%	9.2%	13.4%
Continued/Stet	10.3%	12.4%	11.3%
Dismissed/Closed	14.8%	14.9%	22.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.1%	1.8%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	14.6%	20.3%	11.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	17.8%	3.6%	10.6%
Petition Denied by SAO	6.0%	5.2%	4.1%
Probation	24.6%	28.7%	25.3%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.9%	3.3%	1.2%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.5%	0.6%	0.2%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,328</b>	<b>1,407</b>	<b>1,387</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	215	N/A	215
Pre-Court	118	N/A	118
Probation	318	N/A	318
VPI*	67	14	81
Aftercare	62	53	115

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 3.7% (from 47,858 to 49,608).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 4.2% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 39.9% of complaints were formaled while 14.9% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 8.3% to 13.4%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 24.6% to 25.3%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 85.7 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.57), Probation (1.13), and Referral to Juvenile Court (1.07).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 13.1 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 37.3 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 166.7 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	47.8%	47.8%	48.5%
White	48.0%	46.2%	44.8%
Hispanic/Other	4.3%	6.0%	6.7%
Sex			
Male	65.3%	68.4%	70.4%
Female	34.7%	31.6%	29.6%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	3.7%	4.4%
12	4.1%	4.8%	4.1%
13	8.0%	8.3%	7.8%
14	14.7%	13.2%	14.5%
15	18.8%	18.8%	18.9%
16	23.0%	23.0%	21.5%
17	25.3%	25.6%	26.4%
18-20	2.2%	2.6%	2.3%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,004</b>	<b>3,969</b>	<b>3,476</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	22.3%	29.4%	25.3%	32.9%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.6%	4.1%	1.0%	0.7%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.6%	5.4%	1.4%	5.4%
Second Degree Assault	18.0%	18.0%	20.6%	22.8%
Sex Offense	2.0%	1.6%	2.4%	4.0%
Property Offenses	38.4%	37.2%	37.5%	36.2%
Arson	0.8%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.5%	9.6%	8.4%	8.1%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.0%	8.3%	7.1%	7.4%
Tampering	0.1%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Theft	19.7%	14.5%	18.6%	16.8%
Trespassing	4.9%	3.0%	1.7%	3.4%
Drug Related Offenses	13.2%	9.0%	11.1%	13.4%
Narcotics Distribution	1.6%	2.0%	2.4%	2.7%
Narcotics Possession	11.6%	7.0%	8.8%	10.7%
Status Offenses	2.5%	1.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.3%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	23.5%	23.2%	25.7%	17.4%
Bomb Threat	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.4%	0.1%	2.0%	2.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	1.6%	3.4%	4.7%
Disturbing the Peace	6.1%	3.4%	5.1%	2.0%
False Report	0.6%	0.1%	1.0%	0.7%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%	3.8%	8.1%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.7%	1.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Reckless Endangerment	1.2%	3.8%	1.4%	4.7%
Resisting Arrest	1.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.7%
Telephone Misuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	7.7%	6.4%	4.7%	1.3%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>5,247</b>	<b>798</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>149</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed


<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>16.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.5%	0.9%	1.1%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.4%	3.4%	2.2%	1.1%
- Drugs	2.2%	4.6%	2.6%	3.3%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	1.3%	3.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>79.9%</b>	<b>64.4%</b>	<b>69.8%</b>	<b>72.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	26.4%	25.4%	25.4%	28.3%
- Weapons	2.5%	2.2%	3.4%	3.3%
- Property	36.3%	26.3%	28.0%	26.1%
- Drugs	9.8%	6.5%	7.8%	13.0%
- Unspecified	4.9%	4.0%	5.2%	2.2%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>3,476</i>	<i>323</i>	<i>232</i>	<i>92</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>10.6%</i>	<i>5.4%</i>	<i>8.7%</i>	<i>8.3%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Calvert County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Kimberly Atkinson

200 Duke St. Suite 2800, Prince Frederick, MD 20678 (ph) 443-550-6275  
*Located in Region V - 1 Office:*

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	1.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Police	98.7%	99.7%	99.3%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>709</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>540</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	27.4%	27.8%	29.4%
Informaled	30.7%	30.7%	29.4%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	41.9%	41.5%	41.1%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>709</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>540</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	1.5%	2.9%	5.0%
Continued/Stet	22.2%	29.1%	23.9%
Dismissed/Closed	10.3%	9.9%	8.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	1.2%	1.9%
Nolle Pros.	6.2%	6.4%	10.1%
Other**	11.9%	19.8%	14.5%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	40.7%	25.0%	30.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	6.2%	5.8%	5.0%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>159</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	7	N/A	7
Pre-Court	45	N/A	45
Probation	80	N/A	80
VPI*	4	1	5
Aftercare	20	9	29

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 13.0% (from 9,178 to 10,374).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 0.7% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 29.4% of complaints were formaled while 29.4% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 1.5% to 5.0%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 40.7% to 30.2%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 21.9 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 25.1 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 64.6 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in Secure Detention (2.04), and Arrests (1.61).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 12.0 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 24.3 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 143.3 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	24.7%	25.4%	26.1%
White	73.3%	73.5%	70.9%
Hispanic/Other	2.0%	1.1%	3.0%
Sex			
Male	69.8%	69.8%	69.4%
Female	30.2%	30.2%	30.6%
Age			
11 and under	3.5%	2.1%	3.1%
12	4.9%	3.2%	4.6%
13	5.1%	6.5%	10.0%
14	14.1%	11.8%	16.7%
15	19.2%	21.2%	19.1%
16	21.2%	24.9%	19.6%
17	30.0%	29.1%	25.6%
18-20	2.0%	1.3%	0.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>709</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>540</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	20.3%	17.2%	18.0%	19.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	17.0%	11.7%	16.4%	19.2%
Sex Offense	2.7%	3.1%	1.6%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.1%	35.2%	49.2%	57.7%
Arson	2.1%	0.8%	4.9%	3.8%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	2.1%	7.0%	3.3%	7.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.8%	8.6%	11.5%	15.4%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%
Theft	12.9%	17.2%	21.3%	26.9%
Trespassing	1.7%	0.8%	8.2%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	17.8%	14.8%	21.3%	11.5%
Narcotics Distribution	2.1%	4.7%	1.6%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	15.7%	10.2%	19.7%	11.5%
Status Offenses	14.0%	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	7.6%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	4.8%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	19.8%	22.7%	11.5%	11.5%
Bomb Threat	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.3%	0.0%	3.3%	3.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.6%	6.3%	0.0%	7.7%
False Report	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.8%	0.8%	4.9%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.5%	7.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.9%	4.7%	1.6%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>713</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>26</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	4.3%	3.4%	2.0%	6.3%
- Drugs	2.6%	6.9%	4.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	3.4%	4.0%	6.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>62.4%</b>	<b>53.4%</b>	<b>82.0%</b>	<b>62.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	23.3%	27.6%	20.0%	18.8%
- Weapons	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	21.3%	15.5%	46.0%	25.0%
- Drugs	13.5%	6.9%	14.0%	18.8%
- Unspecified	3.1%	3.4%	2.0%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>540</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.6%</i>	<i>1.0%</i>	<i>1.9%</i>	<i>1.4%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Charles County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Mary Ann Stewart

Located in Region V - 1 Office:

200 Kent Ave., La Plata, MD 20646 (ph) 301-392-6900

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	96.0%	95.7%	97.1%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.7%	3.7%	2.4%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,413</b>	<b>1,423</b>	<b>1,076</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	28.6%	32.7%	32.3%
Informaled	35.0%	31.8%	26.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	36.4%	35.5%	40.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,413</b>	<b>1,423</b>	<b>1,076</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.2%	7.1%	5.2%
Continued/Stet	12.4%	11.4%	20.7%
Dismissed/Closed	16.8%	18.7%	9.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%
Nolle Pros.	1.2%	0.9%	0.6%
Other**	16.8%	19.7%	14.1%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.2%	0.4%	8.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	3.7%	1.1%	4.6%
Probation	32.9%	32.0%	32.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	5.7%	6.0%	1.7%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	1.5%	2.6%	2.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>348</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	13	N/A	13
Pre-Court	97	N/A	97
Probation	118	N/A	118
VPI*	14	1	15
Aftercare	34	20	54

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 21.7% (from 13,989 to 17,027).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 2.9% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 32.3% of complaints were formaled while 26.8% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 8.2% to 5.2%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 32.9% to 32.8%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 33.0 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.4 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 67.2 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.30), and Secure Detention (1.41).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 9.9 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 31.2 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 210.1 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.8%	69.6%	77.0%
White	26.8%	27.9%	21.7%
Hispanic/Other	3.4%	2.5%	1.4%
Sex			
Male	70.2%	70.0%	70.1%
Female	29.8%	30.0%	29.9%
Age			
11 and under	3.3%	3.3%	3.4%
12	3.8%	4.3%	3.9%
13	4.9%	6.7%	5.7%
14	11.4%	11.6%	13.7%
15	18.3%	19.2%	19.2%
16	24.3%	21.4%	21.7%
17	31.1%	29.8%	29.7%
18-20	2.8%	3.7%	2.7%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,413</b>	<b>1,423</b>	<b>1,076</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	25.1%	27.6%	26.0%	18.4%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.2%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.9%	3.8%	0.0%	4.1%
Second Degree Assault	21.0%	21.8%	24.4%	14.3%
Sex Offense	1.0%	0.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Property Offenses	34.4%	36.4%	25.2%	46.9%
Arson	0.5%	1.3%	0.8%	2.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.8%	1.7%	0.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.2%	6.7%	7.6%	16.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.7%	5.4%	3.8%	10.2%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	21.4%	20.5%	12.2%	18.4%
Trespassing	1.9%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	15.9%	7.1%	13.7%	22.4%
Narcotics Distribution	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%	2.0%
Narcotics Possession	14.5%	5.0%	13.0%	20.4%
Status Offenses	2.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	22.6%	27.2%	35.1%	12.2%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.0%	1.7%	9.2%	2.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.5%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.0%	5.0%	5.3%	2.0%
False Report	0.5%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	2.0%
Harassment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.3%	10.0%	6.9%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	2.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.4%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.5%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	4.4%	2.9%	11.5%	4.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,555</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>49</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed


<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.0%	7.7%	1.1%	4.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	3.4%	1.1%	4.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	5.7%	4.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>79.3%</b>	<b>58.1%</b>	<b>78.4%</b>	<b>76.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	28.4%	22.2%	31.8%	16.0%
- Weapons	4.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
- Property	32.6%	23.1%	19.3%	28.0%
- Drugs	10.2%	11.1%	14.8%	28.0%
- Unspecified	4.0%	1.7%	10.2%	4.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,076</i>	<i>117</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.3%</i>	<i>1.9%</i>	<i>3.3%</i>	<i>2.3%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## St. Mary's County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Daniel Schaidt

Located in Region V - 1 Office:

23110 Leonard Hall Dr., Leonardtown MD 20650 (ph) 301-880-2850

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	96.5%	96.5%	94.7%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.5%	3.1%	4.7%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>693</b>	<b>636</b>	<b>758</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	37.8%	28.5%	45.4%
Informaled	32.9%	30.8%	26.9%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	29.3%	40.7%	27.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>693</b>	<b>636</b>	<b>758</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.5%	6.6%	9.9%
Continued/Stet	6.9%	14.9%	14.5%
Dismissed/Closed	16.0%	10.5%	37.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	4.2%	7.2%	2.0%
Other**	17.2%	19.3%	2.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	2.3%	0.0%	2.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	27.5%	13.3%	6.1%
Probation	19.5%	27.6%	25.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>344</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	14	N/A	14
Pre-Court	48	N/A	48
Probation	68	N/A	68
VPI*	13	2	15
Aftercare	20	12	32

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

- *U.S. Census Data:*
  - Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 17.0% (from 9,416 to 11,012).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2012):*
  - 5.3% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):*
  - 45.4% of complaints were formaled while 26.9% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 6.5% to 9.9%.
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 19.5% to 25.6%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 25.8 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.6 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 57.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.00), and Secure Detention (1.90).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 12.2 days versus 15.9 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 18.9 days versus 41.0 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 163.5 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	43.0%	42.9%	50.5%
White	54.8%	53.1%	48.0%
Hispanic/Other	2.2%	3.9%	1.5%
Sex			
Male	74.2%	71.4%	73.6%
Female	25.8%	28.6%	26.4%
Age			
11 and under	8.2%	6.0%	4.4%
12	3.5%	6.6%	4.4%
13	7.6%	9.1%	6.7%
14	11.7%	11.6%	12.9%
15	21.4%	15.4%	17.9%
16	18.5%	23.6%	23.2%
17	27.8%	25.2%	29.2%
18-20	1.3%	2.5%	1.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>693</b>	<b>636</b>	<b>758</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	24.7%	25.6%	18.2%	36.4%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.1%	3.1%	0.0%	3.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.6%	5.9%	0.0%	6.1%
Second Degree Assault	21.4%	15.0%	15.2%	24.2%
Sex Offense	1.6%	1.6%	3.0%	3.0%
Property Offenses	32.0%	40.9%	31.8%	30.3%
Arson	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	2.1%	4.3%	4.5%	3.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.9%	8.7%	4.5%	6.1%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	19.3%	23.6%	22.7%	18.2%
Trespassing	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%	3.0%
Drug Related Offenses	10.5%	8.7%	27.3%	18.2%
Narcotics Distribution	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	10.0%	8.3%	27.3%	18.2%
Status Offenses	4.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.9%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	28.8%	22.4%	22.7%	15.2%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.2%	1.6%	4.5%	3.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	0.4%	4.5%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	10.3%	4.3%	1.5%	6.1%
False Report	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.5%	5.9%	7.6%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	4.6%	0.8%	1.5%	3.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.8%	1.6%	0.0%	3.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	5.3%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,138</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>33</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.2%	11.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	5.5%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>78.5%</b>	<b>67.5%</b>	<b>84.1%</b>	<b>91.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.7%	30.7%	14.3%	30.4%
- Weapons	2.8%	0.0%	7.9%	0.0%
- Property	33.4%	28.1%	34.9%	39.1%
- Drugs	9.4%	8.8%	27.0%	21.7%
- Unspecified	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>758</i>	<i>114</i>	<i>63</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.3%</i>	<i>1.9%</i>	<i>2.4%</i>	<i>2.1%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Region VI - Summary

Metro Region  
Counties of Montgomery and Prince George's

Regional Director: Delmonica Hawkins

14735 Main Street  
Upper Marlboro, MD 20772  
301-952-2580

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	6.1%	7.9%	6.4%
Police	91.7%	89.8%	91.0%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Violation of Probation**	1.4%	1.5%	1.6%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.8%	0.8%	0.9%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>9,012</b>	<b>7,213</b>	<b>7,252</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	39.6%	40.9%	41.0%
Informed	17.0%	18.7%	18.4%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	43.4%	40.4%	40.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>9,012</b>	<b>7,213</b>	<b>7,252</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	9.2%	10.8%	12.3%
Continued/Stet	3.1%	5.1%	11.2%
Dismissed/Closed	31.5%	33.9%	33.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.5%	1.6%	0.4%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	10.6%	9.4%	6.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	3.4%	1.3%	6.1%
Petition Denied by SAO	2.3%	2.8%	0.8%
Probation	22.1%	21.2%	22.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.7%	0.9%	0.6%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	15.6%	12.9%	6.7%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>3,570</b>	<b>2,950</b>	<b>2,975</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	152	N/A	152
Pre-Court	332	N/A	332
Probation	850	N/A	850
VPI*	277	66	343
Aftercare	292	129	421

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 5.6% (from 164,426 to 173,674).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2012):

- 9.0% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

- 41.0% of complaints were formaled while 18.4% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 9.2% to 12.3%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 22.1% to 22.4%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 48.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 27.7 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 78.3 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

- Since one region can include counties that are so vastly different in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate would mask variations at the county level.
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 17.3 days versus 15.9 statewide
- Pending Placement - 46.1 days versus 41.0 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 153.3 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.7%	70.8%	70.4%
White	11.9%	11.4%	12.6%
Hispanic/Other	18.4%	17.8%	17.0%
Sex			
Male	74.7%	76.1%	75.8%
Female	25.3%	23.9%	24.2%
Age			
11 and under	1.8%	1.6%	1.9%
12	2.3%	2.4%	2.4%
13	4.9%	4.2%	4.9%
14	10.9%	11.7%	11.7%
15	21.3%	20.1%	20.3%
16	26.4%	25.9%	25.3%
17	28.8%	30.3%	29.3%
18-20	3.5%	3.9%	4.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>9,012</b>	<b>7,213</b>	<b>7,252</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	17.5%	30.2%	27.3%	46.3%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.7%
First Degree Assault	0.9%	3.1%	0.7%	2.2%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Murder	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%
Robbery	3.3%	11.7%	2.9%	22.4%
Second Degree Assault	12.0%	13.6%	20.0%	18.6%
Sex Offense	1.2%	1.5%	3.6%	1.9%
Property Offenses	31.4%	33.4%	36.9%	28.9%
Arson	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.1%	2.6%	2.1%	3.4%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.7%	7.8%	10.6%	7.0%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	1.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%	4.9%	3.4%	3.4%
Tampering	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	14.6%	14.4%	18.5%	12.8%
Trespassing	4.3%	3.0%	2.1%	1.2%
Drug Related Offenses	10.9%	6.4%	9.9%	5.8%
Narcotics Distribution	1.1%	1.4%	1.4%	0.5%
Narcotics Possession	9.8%	5.0%	8.5%	5.3%
Status Offenses	15.7%	7.9%	0.4%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.6%	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Runaway	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	2.9%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	9.0%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	24.5%	22.0%	25.5%	19.0%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
Con. to Commit Offens	2.7%	3.0%	10.8%	8.2%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	1.2%	1.8%	1.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.1%	4.4%	3.8%	1.2%
False Report	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%	0.2%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	1.1%	0.8%	1.2%
Harassment	0.4%	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.7%	1.8%	1.3%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.5%	1.2%	2.0%	3.6%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	0.6%	0.1%	0.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.5%	1.4%	0.4%	0.5%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	5.8%	6.1%	3.4%	2.7%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>12,640</b>	<b>3,740</b>	<b>715</b>	<b>415</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>33.9%</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>36.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	1.1%	1.7%	1.3%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.6%	7.4%	4.6%	6.2%
- Drugs	1.6%	2.8%	1.7%	0.7%
- Unspecified	0.5%	1.1%	5.9%	8.5%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>45.7%</b>	<b>68.7%</b>	<b>45.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	20.1%	18.4%	27.4%	16.9%
- Weapons	1.9%	2.5%	3.3%	2.0%
- Property	26.2%	17.5%	23.0%	15.0%
- Drugs	9.8%	5.0%	9.4%	6.5%
- Unspecified	3.7%	2.2%	5.5%	5.2%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
Missing	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>7,252</i>	<i>1,616</i>	<i>543</i>	<i>307</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>22.0%</i>	<i>26.8%</i>	<i>20.3%</i>	<i>27.8%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Montgomery County

Case Management Specialist Supervisors: Doug Powell and George Proctor (Rockville); Eric Gaines (acting), Sean Murphy, and Kwabena Tuffour (Silver Spring)

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	1.7%	3.5%	2.5%
Police	94.6%	92.8%	93.5%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.0%	3.2%	3.3%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.7%	0.5%	0.7%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,811</b>	<b>2,828</b>	<b>2,806</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	47.1%	46.9%	45.1%
Informaled	24.3%	27.6%	27.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	28.6%	25.5%	27.1%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>3,811</b>	<b>2,828</b>	<b>2,806</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	10.6%	10.0%	9.6%
Continued/Stet	2.1%	2.2%	2.7%
Dismissed/Closed	33.2%	37.2%	33.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.3%	1.1%	0.6%
Nolle Pros.	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Other**	12.0%	9.3%	10.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	5.0%	1.8%	6.4%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.6%	6.3%	2.0%
Probation	25.1%	27.8%	32.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.5%	1.5%	0.9%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	4.5%	2.6%	1.1%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,794</b>	<b>1,326</b>	<b>1,266</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	48	N/A	48
Pre-Court	184	N/A	184
Probation	253	N/A	253
VPI*	51	4	55
Aftercare	99	47	146

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Located in Region VI - 2 Offices:

7300 Calhoun Pl. Suite 500, Rockville, MD 20850 (ph) 301- 610-8500  
 8905 Fairview Rd. 6th Floor, Silver Spring, MD 20910 (ph) 301- 650-6750

#### • U.S. Census Data:

• Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 9.7% (from 84,893 to 93,124).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2012):

• 6.5% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):

• 45.1% of complaints were formaled while 27.8% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):

• Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 10.6% to 9.6%.

• Percent of probation dispositions increased from 25.1% to 32.9%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):

• Time from offense to intake referral date was 44.3 days.

• Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.2 days.

• Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 79.8 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):

• Youth of color are most over-represented in Secure Detention (2.66), Petitions (1.90), and Arrests (1.87)

• See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):

• Pre-Dispositional Detention - 16.8 days versus 15.9 statewide

• Pending Placement - 33.0 days versus 41.0 statewide

• State-Operated Committed - 160.8 days versus 149.8 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	49.4%	49.2%	45.5%
White	21.9%	23.8%	26.8%
Hispanic/Other	28.7%	27.0%	27.7%
Sex			
Male	74.1%	76.9%	76.4%
Female	25.9%	23.1%	23.6%
Age			
11 and under	1.7%	1.9%	1.4%
12	2.6%	2.8%	2.1%
13	4.3%	4.4%	4.0%
14	9.3%	10.8%	10.0%
15	18.1%	19.0%	18.8%
16	26.2%	22.8%	25.3%
17	33.2%	32.7%	34.0%
18-20	4.6%	5.6%	4.4%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,811</b>	<b>2,828</b>	<b>2,806</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	14.8%	28.9%	19.1%	24.5%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.8%	3.6%	0.7%	3.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	3.5%	13.1%	3.3%	10.2%
Second Degree Assault	9.0%	11.4%	12.2%	10.2%
Sex Offense	1.4%	0.7%	3.0%	1.0%
Property Offenses	31.8%	33.0%	35.6%	28.6%
Arson	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.7%	3.1%	3.3%	6.1%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.3%	6.9%	13.2%	2.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.1%	3.1%	2.0%	4.1%
Tampering	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	17.2%	17.8%	15.5%	16.3%
Trespassing	1.9%	1.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	17.8%	8.0%	11.6%	20.4%
Narcotics Distribution	1.7%	1.4%	3.0%	2.0%
Narcotics Possession	16.1%	6.5%	8.6%	18.4%
Status Offenses	9.1%	3.8%	1.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	6.7%	1.8%	1.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	26.5%	26.4%	32.7%	26.5%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	6.6%	9.2%	18.8%	12.2%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	1.6%	0.7%	2.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.6%	3.7%	4.6%	3.1%
False Report	0.7%	1.4%	0.7%	1.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Harassment	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.4%	2.4%	0.7%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.8%	1.0%	3.0%	3.1%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	1.4%	0.7%	1.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.8%	4.8%	3.3%	4.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>4,366</b>	<b>901</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>98</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed


<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>36.3%</b>	<b>16.8%</b>	<b>19.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>15.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.4%	1.1%	2.5%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	4.0%	6.5%	5.0%	4.2%
- Drugs	2.2%	3.1%	4.0%	2.8%
- Unspecified	0.6%	2.5%	9.9%	8.5%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>54.1%</b>	<b>40.8%</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>60.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	13.1%	13.7%	17.3%	14.1%
- Weapons	2.3%	2.2%	1.5%	0.0%
- Property	22.7%	17.9%	16.8%	16.9%
- Drugs	13.4%	5.6%	11.4%	21.1%
- Unspecified	2.6%	1.3%	7.4%	8.5%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>
Missing	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,806</i>	<i>446</i>	<i>202</i>	<i>71</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>8.5%</i>	<i>7.4%</i>	<i>7.6%</i>	<i>6.4%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Prince George's County

Case Management Specialist Supervisors: Ronald Barnes & Wanda Frink; James Swader, Kenny Hooks, Andrea McKeon, & Lisa Smith-Boykins (acting) (Largo); Martin Johnson, Katherine Ball (acting), & Leslie Shell (Upper Marlboro)

14735 Main St. Suite 79M, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (ph) 301- 952-2580  
 99 Commerce Pl. 2nd Floor, Largo, MD 20774 (ph) 301- 333-3310

Located in Region VI - 2 Offices:

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	9.3%	10.8%	8.9%
Police	89.5%	87.8%	89.4%
School Referrals*	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%
Violation of Probation**	0.2%	0.4%	0.5%
Waiver from Adult Court	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>5,201</b>	<b>4,385</b>	<b>4,446</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 2000 and 2011, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 1.3% (from 79,533 to 80,550).
- **Complaint Source (FY 2012):**
  - 10.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- **Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2012):**
  - 38.4% of complaints were formalized while 12.5% were informaled.
- **Intake Trends (FY 2010-2012):**
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 7.7% to 14.3%.
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 26.7% to 10.8%.
- **Time Frames - Averages (FY 2012):**
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 50.4 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 30.5 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 76.7 days.
- **Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2011):**
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Secure Detention (1.70), Petitions (1.22), and Arrests (1.87).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):**
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 17.5 days versus 15.9 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 49.6 days versus 41.0 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 146.3 days versus 149.8 statewide

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	34.1%	37.0%	38.4%
Informaled	11.6%	13.0%	12.5%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	54.2%	50.0%	48.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>5,201</b>	<b>4,385</b>	<b>4,446</b>

#### Court Disposition of Formalized Cases

Court Disposition of Formalized Cases	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Committed to DJS	7.7%	11.5%	14.3%
Continued/Stet	4.1%	7.5%	17.4%
Dismissed/Closed	29.8%	31.2%	32.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.7%	2.0%	0.3%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	9.2%	9.5%	3.9%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	1.9%	0.9%	5.8%
Petition Denied by SAO	19.0%	15.8%	14.5%
Probation	26.7%	21.2%	10.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.0%	0.5%	0.4%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	26.7%	21.2%	10.8%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,776</b>	<b>1,624</b>	<b>1,709</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesies, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	104	N/A	104
Pre-Court	148	N/A	148
Probation	596	N/A	596
VPI*	226	62	288
Aftercare	193	82	275

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	84.6%	84.8%	86.1%
White	4.6%	3.4%	3.6%
Hispanic/Other	10.8%	11.8%	10.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	75.2%	75.5%	75.5%
Female	24.8%	24.5%	24.5%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.8%	1.3%	2.2%
12	2.1%	2.2%	2.6%
13	5.3%	4.1%	5.4%
14	12.2%	12.3%	12.8%
15	23.7%	20.7%	21.3%
16	26.6%	27.9%	25.3%
17	25.6%	28.7%	26.4%
18-20	2.7%	2.7%	4.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>5,201</b>	<b>4,385</b>	<b>4,446</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	19.0%	30.7%	33.3%	53.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.9%
First Degree Assault	1.0%	2.9%	0.7%	1.9%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Murder	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%
Robbery	3.2%	11.2%	2.7%	26.2%
Second Degree Assault	13.6%	14.2%	25.7%	21.1%
Sex Offense	1.1%	1.8%	4.1%	2.2%
Property Offenses	31.1%	33.6%	37.9%	29.0%
Arson	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	2.5%	1.2%	2.5%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.9%	8.1%	8.7%	8.5%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	1.3%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%	5.5%	4.4%	3.2%
Tampering	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	13.2%	13.3%	20.6%	11.7%
Trespassing	5.6%	3.6%	2.9%	1.6%
Drug Related Offenses	7.2%	5.9%	8.7%	1.3%
Narcotics Distribution	0.8%	1.4%	0.2%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.4%	4.5%	8.5%	1.3%
Status Offenses	19.2%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	4.4%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	13.4%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	23.5%	20.6%	20.1%	16.7%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.7%	1.0%	4.9%	6.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%	1.1%	2.7%	0.6%
Disturbing the Peace	7.4%	4.6%	3.2%	0.6%
False Report	0.6%	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	1.5%	1.2%	1.6%
Harassment	0.6%	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%	1.6%	1.7%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.4%	1.4%	1.2%	3.8%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	0.7%	0.2%	0.3%
Resisting Arrest	1.8%	1.4%	0.2%	0.3%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	6.9%	6.6%	3.4%	2.2%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>8,274</b>	<b>2,839</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>317</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>33.0%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>41.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	1.0%	1.2%	1.7%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.3%	7.8%	4.4%	6.8%
- Drugs	1.2%	2.7%	0.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.5%	3.5%	8.5%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>66.6%</b>	<b>47.5%</b>	<b>77.1%</b>	<b>41.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	24.5%	20.3%	33.4%	17.8%
- Weapons	1.7%	2.6%	4.4%	2.5%
- Property	28.4%	17.4%	26.7%	14.4%
- Drugs	7.6%	4.8%	8.2%	2.1%
- Unspecified	4.3%	2.5%	4.4%	4.2%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>4,446</i>	<i>1,170</i>	<i>341</i>	<i>236</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>13.5%</i>	<i>19.4%</i>	<i>12.8%</i>	<i>21.4%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2012 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2012 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## County/Regional Trends

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY COUNTY/REGION FY 2010-2012

County/ Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
				Placements*			ADP			Placements*			ADP**		
	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY10	FY11	FY12
<b>R-I (Balt. City)</b>	6,585	4,880	4,402	2,844	2,247	2,292	105.6	75.5	82.6	576	620	457	72.7	88.7	63.4
Baltimore City	6,585	4,880	4,402	2,844	2,247	2,292	105.6	75.5	82.6	576	620	457	72.7	88.7	63.4
<b>R-II (Central)</b>	9,827	9,030	7,929	739	731	687	36.9	41.4	36.0	226	189	224	22.2	21.7	19.0
Baltimore Co.	6,278	5,530	4,801	515	497	438	27.1	30.7	24.2	166	138	155	17.8	18.2	13.3
Carroll	970	752	781	70	71	85	3.2	5.0	4.2	22	16	25	1.2	1.2	1.9
Harford	1,165	1,321	1,064	82	111	113	3.7	3.9	4.8	24	25	31	1.6	1.7	2.3
Howard	1,414	1,427	1,283	72	52	51	3.0	1.8	2.8	14	10	13	1.6	0.6	1.6
<b>R-III (Western)</b>	3,603	3,541	3,169	296	296	346	15.4	18.9	19.6	67	68	53	5.8	6.9	4.6
Allegany	833	765	647	56	50	52	2.0	2.1	1.9	9	7	11	0.4	0.9	0.8
Frederick	1,568	1,586	1,347	86	98	111	3.9	4.8	5.8	19	23	19	1.8	1.6	1.4
Garrett	236	271	232	8	8	17	0.4	0.7	1.2	5	4	6	0.2	0.5	0.5
Washington	966	919	943	146	140	166	9.1	11.2	10.6	34	34	17	3.4	4.0	2.0
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>	4,851	4,563	4,313	409	384	440	19.6	21.5	25.1	156	132	146	12.6	9.6	10.5
Caroline	362	283	256	20	16	19	1.0	1.2	0.8	3	5	6	0.2	0.2	0.2
Cecil	674	528	567	54	54	77	2.4	3.6	3.0	15	17	13	1.6	1.5	0.7
Dorchester	449	439	387	30	36	22	0.8	1.5	1.2	9	11	15	0.7	0.6	0.7
Kent	232	214	139	13	11	6	0.5	0.7	0.2	6	5	1	0.3	0.4	0.0
Queen Anne's	285	320	257	20	12	13	0.8	0.9	1.2	12	3	6	0.6	0.1	0.2
Somerset	219	244	200	29	39	33	1.5	2.3	2.7	12	9	9	0.9	0.8	1.0
Talbot	355	272	234	18	13	12	0.5	0.5	0.5	8	2	2	1.3	0.3	0.2
Wicomico	1,326	1,311	1,235	183	156	192	9.2	8.4	12.6	72	67	86	5.5	4.4	6.9
Worcester	949	952	1,038	42	47	66	2.8	2.4	2.9	19	13	8	1.5	1.4	0.6
<b>R-V (Southern)</b>	6,819	6,647	5,850	607	612	612	17.9	17.6	20.4	219	199	183	20.5	23.0	15.4
Anne Arundel	4,004	3,969	3,476	266	284	323	8.9	10.0	10.9	138	132	127	13.5	16.5	11.7
Calvert	709	619	540	71	70	58	1.0	1.1	2.0	14	9	12	1.6	0.5	0.8
Charles	1,413	1,423	1,076	176	159	117	5.8	4.2	3.7	55	45	31	4.3	5.3	2.2
St. Mary's	693	636	758	94	99	114	2.2	2.3	3.8	12	13	13	1.1	0.7	0.7
<b>R-VI (Metro)</b>	9,012	7,213	7,252	1,826	1,699	1,610	74.9	77.0	76.5	459	417	444	43.6	48.4	57.0
Montgomery	3,811	2,828	2,806	489	448	445	25.4	22.9	21.2	167	122	92	14.5	10.8	8.8
Prince George's	5,201	4,385	4,446	1,337	1,251	1,165	49.5	54.0	55.3	292	295	352	29.1	37.5	48.2
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>40,697</b>	<b>35,874</b>	<b>32,915</b>	<b>6,762</b>	<b>6,046</b>	<b>6,034</b>	<b>272.8</b>	<b>255.5</b>	<b>262.4</b>	<b>1,707</b>	<b>1,628</b>	<b>1,511</b>	<b>177.3</b>	<b>198.3</b>	<b>169.9</b>

\* Includes youth from out-of-state; \*\* ADP includes Ejection ADP

• Between FY 2010 and FY 2012:

- Intake Complaints decreased 19.1%
- Pre-Dispositional Detention Placements decreased 10.8% and ADP decreased 3.8%
- Post-Dispositional Pending Placements decreased 11.5% and ADP decreased 4.2%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



**EJECTION\* (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) AND FIRST TIME PROBATION DISPOSITIONS AND AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD BY COUNTY/REGION FY 2010-2012**

County/ Region	Ejection Placement						First Time Probation			Average Supervised		
	Placements			ADP			Dispositions			Caseload**		
	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY10	FY11	FY12
<b>R-I (Balt. City)</b>	N/A	N/A	95	N/A	N/A	16.8	778	684	515	1,719	1,656	1,192
Baltimore City	N/A	N/A	95	N/A	N/A	16.8	778	684	515	1,719	1,656	1,192
<b>R-II (Central)</b>	N/A	N/A	39	N/A	N/A	4.5	793	743	676	1,270	1,108	949
Baltimore Co.	N/A	N/A	25	N/A	N/A	3.1	505	478	409	825	728	586
Carroll	N/A	N/A	9	N/A	N/A	0.7	69	67	41	139	112	98
Harford	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	0.2	105	85	117	159	135	143
Howard	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	0.5	114	113	109	148	132	122
<b>R-III (Western)</b>	N/A	N/A	15	N/A	N/A	1.6	224	188	224	426	400	355
Allegany	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	0.3	28	37	38	75	77	70
Frederick	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	0.3	86	60	51	136	124	101
Garrett	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	0.0	23	23	27	47	40	39
Washington	N/A	N/A	9	N/A	N/A	1.0	87	68	108	168	159	145
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>	N/A	N/A	33	N/A	N/A	3.8	279	268	281	564	487	471
Caroline	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	0.1	17	16	11	30	23	20
Cecil	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	0.4	71	76	70	109	100	83
Dorchester	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	0.0	27	29	29	24	36	44
Kent	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	0.0	10	5	4	24	20	14
Queen Anne's	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	0.1	10	19	19	27	32	34
Somerset	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	0.7	9	11	7	29	19	20
Talbot	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	0.0	23	13	16	54	34	22
Wicomico	N/A	N/A	18	N/A	N/A	2.3	78	72	69	190	164	182
Worcester	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	0.2	34	27	56	76	58	54
<b>R-V (Southern)</b>	N/A	N/A	31	N/A	N/A	3.4	420	366	433	932	889	793
Anne Arundel	N/A	N/A	21	N/A	N/A	2.5	223	197	232	445	461	433
Calvert	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	0.3	73	54	50	137	122	97
Charles	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	0.2	83	84	88	258	210	165
St. Mary's	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	0.4	41	31	63	92	96	98
<b>R-VI (Metro)</b>	N/A	N/A	101	N/A	N/A	16.3	757	614	543	1,589	1,520	1,357
Montgomery	N/A	N/A	26	N/A	N/A	3.1	267	210	202	516	472	377
Prince George's	N/A	N/A	75	N/A	N/A	13.2	490	404	341	1,073	1,046	979
<b>Statewide</b>	N/A	N/A	317	N/A	N/A	46.3	3,251	2,863	2,672	6,486	6,040	5,092

\*This information was acquired from case notes, thus only one year of data is available. Data includes youth from out-of-state.

\*\*Includes all probation, aftercare, and VPI cases not in committed placements.

- Between FY 2010 and FY 2012:
  - First Time Probation Dispositions decreased 17.8%
  - Average Supervised Caseload decreased 21.5%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## County/Regional Trends (cont.)

### COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS, PLACEMENTS, AND ADP\* BY COUNTY/REGION FY 2010-2012

County / Region	Committed								
	First Time Comm Dispositions			Placements			ADP		
	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY10	FY11	FY12
<b>R-I (Balt. City)</b>	328	263	194	491	447	412	235.1	237.8	209.1
Baltimore City	328	263	194	491	447	412	235.1	237.8	209.1
<b>R-II (Central)</b>	172	176	235	247	234	266	121.6	114.6	132.7
Baltimore Co.	109	103	129	145	142	146	71.8	73.9	81.8
Carroll	19	33	33	45	49	58	22.9	21.6	27.2
Harford	19	21	40	30	23	37	16.8	10.7	13.8
Howard	25	19	33	27	20	25	10.1	8.4	9.8
<b>R-III (Western)</b>	90	80	77	160	147	156	84.7	77.3	86.5
Allegany	14	16	13	23	39	28	11.2	14.4	19.4
Frederick	33	24	36	46	41	57	32.6	23.7	25.9
Garrett	8	9	7	12	9	10	6.4	5.7	7.5
Washington	35	31	21	79	58	61	34.5	33.5	33.7
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>	117	138	136	171	195	178	106.6	97.9	110.2
Caroline	6	7	3	14	13	7	9.1	7.3	3.7
Cecil	16	13	9	17	29	17	13.6	14.5	15.3
Dorchester	9	8	12	11	10	13	6.0	4.7	5.2
Kent	3	4	1	7	6	3	3.9	5.9	1.1
Queen Anne's	7	5	4	11	10	12	9.4	8.0	5.8
Somerset	3	7	9	8	9	9	4.0	4.8	5.8
Talbot	6	7	6	10	8	10	3.5	3.4	5.3
Wicomico	46	75	83	67	89	95	38.2	38.7	56.8
Worcester	21	12	9	26	21	12	19.0	10.6	11.2
<b>R-V (Southern)</b>	140	155	156	300	249	278	131.4	121.9	136.1
Anne Arundel	67	96	92	159	136	166	72.3	66.4	80.9
Calvert	7	17	16	20	23	21	17.4	11.5	16.5
Charles	48	21	25	84	51	49	28.6	27.7	21.6
St. Mary's	18	21	23	37	39	42	13.1	16.3	17.1
<b>R-VI (Metro)</b>	351	342	307	489	455	453	211.5	244.5	256.8
Montgomery	117	101	71	187	200	145	83.6	100.7	77.0
Prince George's	234	241	236	302	255	308	127.9	143.9	179.8
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>1,198</b>	<b>1,154</b>	<b>1,105</b>	<b>1,888</b>	<b>1,771</b>	<b>1,783</b>	<b>905.5</b>	<b>913.8</b>	<b>957.2</b>

\*"Disposition" counts all youth newly committed to the Department, not all of which end up placed into committed out of home placements. Those that are placed are reflected in the "Placements" and "ADP" figures. Includes youth from out-of-state.


- *Between FY 2010 and FY 2012:*
  - First Time Committed Dispositions decreased 7.8%
  - Committed Placements increased 5.6%
  - ADP increased 5.7%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.




## Long-Term Trends

### JUVENILE COMPLAINTS FY 2002-2012




- Juvenile complaints decreased 33.9% between FY 2002 and FY 2011 and 8.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

### JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY SEX FY 2002-2012



- Though males have consistently had more complaints than females, complaints decreased for both sexes between FY 2002 and FY 2012 (41.5% for males and 32.5% for females).

### JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY RACE FY 2002-2012




- Complaints decreased between FY 2002 and FY 2012 for blacks (28.3%) and whites (55.8%). Other race youth complaints increased through FY08 (81.1%) and then decreased 29.6% between FY 2008 and FY 2012 .

Data Sources: FY 2002- FY 2008 data from DJS' Annual Statistical Report; FY 2009- FY 2012 data was run for this *Guide*. Updates made subsequent to data being run are not included.




## Long-Term Trends (cont.)

### PERCENT CHANGE IN JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY COMPLAINT TYPE FY 2002-2012




- Between FY 2002 and FY 2012, there was an overall decrease in all complaint types. The largest decrease was in Non-Violent Felonies (63%).

### PROPORTION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY COMPLAINT TYPE FY 2002-2012



- The proportion of juvenile complaints in each of the categories remained relatively stable between FY 2002 and FY 2012. The largest change was the proportion of Non-Violent Misdemeanor complaints which increased from 58.8% to 68.2% during this time.


### DJS CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS FY 2002-2012



- Authorized formal petitions have consistently comprised the highest number of case forwarding decisions. Between FY 2002 and FY 2012, authorized formal petitions decreased 31.9%, informals decreased 64.2%, and the number of cases being resolved or dismissed decreased 21.8%.  
 Data Sources: FY 2002- FY 2008 data from DJS' Annual Statistical Report; FY 2009- FY 2012 data was run for this *Guide*. Updates made subsequent to data being run are not included.




### PERCENT CHANGE IN PRE-DISPOSITION DETENTION PLACEMENTS BY COMPLAINT TYPE FY 2002-2012




- Between FY 2002 and FY 2012, there was a decrease in complaints for Non-Violent Felonies and for Other offenses while Crimes of Violence and Non-Violent Misdemeanors increased.

### PROPORTION OF PRE-DISPOSITION DETENTION PLACEMENTS BY COMPLAINT TYPE FY 2002-2012



- Between FY 2002 and FY 2012, the proportion of pre-dispositional detention placements for Non-Violent Felonies decreased from 29.8% to 20.1% and Non-Violent Misdemeanors increased from 40.7% to 49.7%.

### COURT DISPOSITIONS OF PETITIONED CASES FY 2002-2012




- Probation dispositions have consistently been higher than committed dispositions. Between FY 2002 and FY 2012, probation dispositions have decreased 31.4% and committed dispositions decreased 2.4%..

Data Sources: FY 2002- FY 2008 data from DJS' Annual Statistical Report; FY 2009- FY 2012 data was run for this Guide. Updates made subsequent to data being run are not included.



## Section III: Detention



On March 31, 2012, Cheltenham Youth Facility held a Ceramics Family Day. Under the guidance of one of CYF's art teachers, youth and their families were taught how to make pots, bowls, and cups. This event was organized to help youth and their families have time to bond in a calm, creative atmosphere.





## Introduction to Detention (Pre-Disposition)

The juvenile court may detain youth on a temporary basis in a physically restricting (or secure) facility pending court disposition. Detention may be ordered if it is determined that the youth present a threat to themselves or to the community. (See Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision for an overview of detention authorized by intake officers at the point of intake.) Maryland youth are detained in one of seven detention facilities operated by DJS across the State.

### DETENTION ADMISSIONS

Each detention facility operates an admissions area (24 hours a day) where all youth admitted to detention are processed. An admissions officer interviews youth to collect and verify basic identifying information. The admissions officer also performs a search of the DJS information system, ASSIST, to obtain available information on a youth's delinquency and placement history. A photograph of each youth is taken and included in the case record for identification purposes. The rules of the detention facility, including the grievance procedure, are provided to each youth in the facility handbook. Youth are issued clothing to wear in the facility. Personal clothing is catalogued, packaged, and placed in secure storage.

The admissions process includes an initial health screening. It is performed by admissions nursing staff and consists of a review of physical health, mental health, and substance abuse needs. A full medical history and physical examination is conducted by a licensed physician or nurse practitioner within seven days of admission.

### DETENTION CASE MANAGEMENT

Youth who are detained by the juvenile court are assigned a DJS community case manager. The community case manager is based in the local DJS office within the youth's home jurisdiction. To complete a social history investigation, the community case manager visits the youth in detention, interviews the youth and family, completes the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) risk and needs assessment (where applicable, post-adjudication), and provides recommendations to the juvenile court at disposition.

### DETENTION SERVICES

#### MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE SCREENING AND ASSESSMENT

DJS Behavioral Health Services provides mental health screening, assessment, and treatment services at all DJS detention facilities. Services may include suicide prevention, crisis intervention and stabilization, and medical evaluation and monitoring.

Upon admission, each youth is screened by a qualified mental health professional. The screening consists of an interview, the administration of tests as appropriate, and the review of any prior assessments or records (e.g., psychosocial or clinical assessments). If the initial mental health screen reveals symptoms of mental health issues,

recommendations regarding the need for further services and/or the need for a more in-depth assessment are made. In-depth assessments are conducted by psychiatrists or licensed psychologists and provide an examination of emotional functioning, adaptive functioning, and cognitive functioning as well as recommendations for treatment.

Certified addictions counselors screen and assess youth in detention and determine appropriate levels of substance abuse treatment needed by youth. This information assists case managers in linking youth with appropriate community and residential services. Addictions counselors work closely with the Department's medical director for those youth requiring medication management as a result of their drug addiction.

#### EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

The Maryland State Department of Education's (MSDE) Juvenile Services Education Program (JSE) provides educational programming to youth in the majority of DJS detention facilities consistent with the requirements of Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), Title 13A. The program includes instruction in Core Content subjects, Career and Technology Education, library/media services, life skills, and special education services. MSDE will be required to assume



Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center exterior



educational programming for the remaining DJS residential detention facilities including Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center and Alfred D. Noyes Center in FY 2013.

Students are pre-tested upon entry to the JSE education program to assess baseline academic skills. Teachers use the results to design learning plans for each student that form the basis of daily instruction. After each 30-days of enrollment, the student is post-tested to determine academic gains. Students in a JSE program can earn credit toward the Maryland High School Diploma that they may receive upon return to their home high school. Alternately, some students are enrolled in advanced studies classes designed to prepare them to obtain their diploma by examination using the GED tests.

#### **RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES**

A structured recreation program is available to many youth in detention. The recreation program provides a variety of activities promoting physical and mental health. Youth participate in recreational activities for a minimum of one hour per day (two hours per day of recreational activity is encouraged).

## **Introduction to Detention - Pending Placement (Post-Disposition)**

Youth who have been committed to the custody of the Department for residential placement may be placed in detention on a temporary basis by the juvenile court. Youth detained post-disposition are considered to be pending placement. That is, they are waiting to be admitted or placed in one of many possible out-of-home treatment programs. Some programs are considered to be community-based (for example, foster care, treatment foster care, group homes, or therapeutic group homes). Other programs are not community-based (for example, inpatient substance abuse treatment, DJS-operated Youth Center, or Residential Treatment Center). Some youth may require placement into a secure facility. Secure placement options include the Victor Cullen Center (for males) and the J. DeWeese Carter Youth Center (for females) in Maryland or an out-of-state program contracted by DJS.

A review hearing is held in accordance with Md. Code and Judicial Proceedings, 3-8A-15(k) for any youth in detention 25 days after the court has made a disposition. At the hearing, DJS is required to explain the reasons for continued detention. The court will continue to conduct a hearing every 25 days thereafter until a youth has been placed.

Youth who are pending placement receive the same core services as youth detained pre-dispositionally, including educational



**Youth at Cheltenham Youth Facility preparing for a game in an NCAA-style basketball tournament to celebrate March Madness.**

services, screening, evaluation, and treatment for substance abuse, mental health, and somatic health (including dietary and dental).

Youth receive bi-weekly visits from their community case manager. Each facility also allows family visitation during the week or on weekends (the visitation schedule varies by facility).

During the pending placement period, the community case manager maintains contact with the youth's family and works to identify the most appropriate placement for the youth based on a number of factors, including the youth's social history and the MCASP risk and needs assessment profile. The community case manager is also responsible for reporting to the juvenile court regarding the youth's placement status.

In addition to court review, pending placement cases are reviewed by the Department on a weekly basis at facility management meetings. Regional-level meetings are held on a monthly basis to review placement statistics and identify barriers to placement and ways to expedite the process.

Youth who are waiting to be placed in either a DJS-operated Youth Center or the Victor Cullen Center may participate in the Treatment Oriented Program (TOP). This program provides youth the opportunity to complete the orientation phase of their prospective program while still in detention. Youth complete workbooks with their residential case managers and participate in video-conferences with the treatment teams. By completing the orientation phase prior



to program admission, youth are able to reduce their total length of stay in the committed program.

## Pending Placement (Post-Ejection from a Committed Program)

Youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed program, who require a new committed placement, and do not require a new court hearing due to a new offense may be placed in detention.

Some youth committed to the custody of the Department and placed in a residential program may not successfully complete the designated program. Some youth run away from their placement or are ejected due to misbehavior. For other youth, additional needs may become evident during the course of the program, thereby necessitating a different type of program.

In this event, youth may subsequently be placed in a detention facility. While in pending placement, the Department re-staffs the youth's case and works to secure acceptance to the most appropriate, alternative program. While these youth have not committed a new offense and will therefore not require a new court hearing, they are entitled to a

**A Note to Readers:**


*It is strongly recommended that readers review the following distinction as it will assist in understanding data presented in this section:*

**Placements versus Admissions:** A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

court review hearing every 25 days while detained on pending placement status.

Note that effective June 1, 2012, the Department was authorized to transfer youth directly from one facility or program to another facility or program (of equal or higher security level) without first asking the court to modify the commitment order by means of a court review hearing. The Department expects that this legislative change will help reduce the pending placement population.

## DJS Secure Detention Facilities by Region






## Total Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity: 454 beds


The Maryland Department of Juvenile Services owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility houses a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are post-disposition awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only one year of data is available.

### PLACEMENTS\*, FY 2010-2012



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. † Includes ejections

### ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2012



### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	80.5%	80.3%	79.8%
White	14.6%	15.2%	15.3%
Hispanic/Other	4.9%	4.5%	4.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	87.6%	86.9%	83.6%
Female	12.4%	13.1%	14.4%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
12	0.6%	1.0%	0.9%
13	3.1%	3.6%	4.4%
14	9.9%	8.4%	9.7%
15	19.6%	18.4%	16.9%
16	25.4%	25.7%	25.1%
17	27.8%	28.8%	28.2%
18-20	13.1%	13.9%	14.5%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>7,390</b>	<b>6,892</b>	<b>6,783</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Placements (FY 2012):

- 38.7%, 26.2%, and 11.5% of placements were from Baltimore City, Metro, and Central Region respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 71.4% were from Washington D.C., 14.3% were from Pennsylvania, and 7.1% each from North Carolina and New York.

#### Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 8.2% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and 1.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 16.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012. Data prior to FY 2011 are incomplete and therefore are not reported.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 10.8% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 0.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 7.5% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and decreased 9.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- There were 313 Ejection releases in FY 2012. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, ALOS increased 7.4% for Pre-D, and increased 10.6% for Post-D (including ejections).

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crime of Violence: 23.8% of Pre-D; 21.4% of Post-D; 14.2% of Ejection
- Felony: 20.1% of Pre-D; 15.6% of Post-D; 15.1% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 49.7% of Pre-D; 57.1% of Post-D; 65.3% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 3.9% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 4.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- In FY 2012, ADP for Pre-D was 262; for Post-D was 124; for Ejection was 46.



### PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2012\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.8%	0.4%	0.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	5.3%	1.8%	3.5%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.9%	7.4%	4.7%
Carjacking	0.5%	0.8%	0.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	3.1%	3.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	1.7%	2.2%
Disturbing the Peace	1.9%	2.8%	3.8%
First Degree Assault	3.7%	1.8%	0.9%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	1.0%	0.6%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.0%	3.0%	3.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.8%	4.1%	2.8%
Murder	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
Narcotics Distribution	11.1%	5.9%	5.4%
Narcotics Possession	7.4%	12.2%	9.1%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	6.3%	4.9%	2.5%
Resisting Arrest	1.8%	1.1%	1.3%
Robbery	12.0%	12.6%	9.5%
Second Degree Assault	19.4%	17.4%	23.7%
Sex Offense	2.0%	1.8%	1.9%
Theft Felony	1.9%	2.3%	3.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.9%	10.1%	11.0%
Trespassing	2.4%	1.9%	2.5%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.3%	1.7%	1.9%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>15.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	1.1%	1.6%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	7.5%	5.4%	5.7%
- Drugs	11.1%	5.7%	4.4%
- Unspecified	0.5%	3.4%	3.5%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>49.7%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>65.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	22.3%	19.5%	25.9%
- Weapons	1.8%	2.6%	2.8%
- Property	16.9%	19.9%	23.7%
- Drugs	7.5%	12.4%	10.1%
- Unspecified	1.3%	2.7%	2.8%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>
Interstate/Warrant	0.6%	1.5%	0.3%
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>6,034</i>	<i>1,194</i>	<i>317</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2012



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2010-2012\*



\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2010-2012\*

		Placements With DRAI	Placements No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2012	Pre-D	54.0%	46.0%	6,079	15.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,211	37.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	313	59.4
FY 2011	Pre-D	38.0%	62.0%	6,045	16.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,676	44.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2010	Pre-D	N/A	N/A	6,818	14.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,648	37.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Pending. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.




## Female Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


Total Capacity for Females: 64 beds

DJS operates three detention facilities to serve girls: Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center, Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center, and Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center. Some youth are detained Pre-Disposition and other youth may be detained post-disposition prior to being placed in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth who are now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only one year of data is available.

### PLACEMENTS\*, FY 2010-2012



### ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2012



### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	73.4%	72.0%	71.5%
White	20.6%	23.7%	23.7%
Hispanic/Other	6.0%	4.3%	4.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%
12	0.8%	1.4%	1.1%
13	3.5%	5.8%	7.6%
14	11.9%	10.3%	14.9%
15	21.0%	18.5%	19.1%
16	25.4%	24.7%	24.6%
17	25.3%	28.4%	21.7%
18-20	12.0%	10.9%	10.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>917</b>	<b>902</b>	<b>975</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Placements (FY 2012):

- 32.2%, 23.8%, and 12.9% of placements were from Baltimore City, Metro, and Central Region, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 25.0% each were from Washington D.C., North Carolina, New York, and Pennsylvania.

#### Placement Trends:

- Total placements increased 6.3% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and 8.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 15.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012. Data prior to FY 2011 are incomplete and therefore are not reported.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases increased 6.1% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 12.9% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 10.6% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and decreased 11.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- There were 44 Ejection releases in FY 2012. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, ALOS increased 7.8% for Pre-D and decreased 6.6% for Post-D (including ejections).

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crime of Violence: 16.4% of Pre-D; 11.2% of Post-D; 4.1% of Ejection
- Felony: 7.9% of Pre-D; 10.3% of Post-D; 6.1% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 65.5% of Pre-D; 67.2% of Post-D; 79.6% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 1.4% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 1.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- In FY 2012, ADP for Pre-D was 37; for Post-D was 9; for Ejection was 5.



### PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2012\*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.2%	2.6%	4.1%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	2.4%	1.7%	2.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	4.6%	3.4%	6.1%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	3.4%	4.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	1.7%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.9%	8.6%	10.2%
First Degree Assault	6.3%	4.3%	2.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.5%	4.3%	2.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%	4.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.1%	2.6%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	5.1%	5.2%	6.1%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	9.8%	4.3%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.3%	1.7%	4.1%
Robbery	5.3%	2.6%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	28.6%	25.9%	34.7%
Sex Offense	1.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	17.0%	19.0%	24.5%
Trespassing	1.6%	0.9%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	4.8%	4.3%	2.0%
- Drugs	1.1%	2.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	3.4%	4.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>65.5%</b>	<b>67.2%</b>	<b>79.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	32.8%	29.3%	38.8%
- Weapons	1.1%	1.7%	0.0%
- Property	24.3%	28.4%	34.7%
- Drugs	5.1%	5.2%	6.1%
- Unspecified	2.2%	2.6%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate/Warrant	1.6%	1.7%	0.0%
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>914</i>	<i>116</i>	<i>49</i>


\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2012



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2010-2012\*



\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2010-2012\*

		Placements With DRAI	Placements No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2012	Pre-D	52.0%	48.0%	918	15.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	116	29.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	44	36.1
FY 2011	Pre-D	37.0%	63.0%	813	15.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	180	28.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2010	Pre-D	N/A	N/A	865	14.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	179	33.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Pending. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center

Superintendent: Antoinette McLeod


Rated Capacity: 41 Males and 16 Females

Region VI: Metro

9925 Blackwell Road  
 Rockville, MD 20850  
 301-315-1610


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only one year of data is available.

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2010-2012



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. † Includes ejections

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2012



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	69.8%	70.5%	72.9%
White	12.7%	13.0%	10.4%
Hispanic/Other	17.5%	16.5%	16.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	63.0%	62.6%	61.9%
Female	37.0%	37.4%	38.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
12	0.5%	0.9%	0.5%
13	1.6%	2.2%	4.1%
14	10.2%	8.5%	9.4%
15	20.1%	22.7%	16.8%
16	27.8%	26.0%	30.3%
17	25.7%	27.2%	26.4%
18-20	14.1%	12.3%	12.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>872</b>	<b>823</b>	<b>833</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2012):

- 57.0%, 22.3%, and 6.0% of admissions were from Montgomery County, Prince George's County, and Washington County, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, all were from Washington D.C.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 4.5% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 1.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 10.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012. Data prior to FY 2011 are incomplete and therefore are not reported.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 6.2% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and decreased 1.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 22.5% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 3.9% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- There were 44 Ejection releases in FY 2012. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, ALOS increased 7.5% for Pre-D and increased 0.6% for Post-D (including ejections).

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crime of Violence: 29.9% of Pre-D; 21.0% of Post-D; 6.2% of Ejection
- Felony: 12.2% of Pre-D; 12.6% of Post-D; 8.3% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 48.0% of Pre-D; 56.3% of Post-D; 77.1% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 8.2% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 2.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- In FY 2012, ADP for Pre-D was 34; for Post-D was 10; for Ejection was 5.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2012\*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.5%	1.7%	2.1%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.5%	6.7%	4.2%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.8%	2.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.4%	12.6%	6.2%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	1.7%	2.1%
Disturbing the Peace	1.2%	6.7%	6.2%
First Degree Assault	5.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	1.5%	2.5%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.7%	0.8%	4.2%
Murder	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.7%	1.7%	4.2%
Narcotics Possession	5.3%	10.9%	2.1%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	10.6%	8.4%	8.3%
Resisting Arrest	3.4%	1.7%	2.1%
Robbery	16.4%	11.8%	2.1%
Second Degree Assault	17.3%	16.8%	29.2%
Sex Offense	1.2%	2.5%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	12.1%	9.2%	20.8%
Trespassing	2.2%	0.8%	4.2%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.8%	1.7%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>29.9%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	0.8%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	6.7%	1.7%	4.2%
- Drugs	2.7%	0.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	9.2%	4.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>77.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	21.5%	19.3%	31.2%
- Weapons	1.8%	1.7%	2.1%
- Property	17.5%	16.8%	35.4%
- Drugs	5.3%	11.8%	6.2%
- Unspecified	1.9%	6.7%	2.1%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>
Interstate/Warrant	2.3%	3.4%	0.0%
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>736</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>48</i>


\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2012



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2010-2012\*



### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2010-2012\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2012	Pre-D	39.0%	61.0%	736	17.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	114	31.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	44	34.9
FY 2011	Pre-D	29.0%	71.0%	745	18.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	152	27.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2010	Pre-D	N/A	N/A	785	16.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	204	31.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Pending. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center

Superintendent: Jeremy Smith


Rated Capacity: 120 Males

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only one year of data is available.


Region I: Baltimore City

300 North Gay Street  
 Baltimore, MD 21202  
 443-263-8976

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2010-2012



### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2012



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	96.3%	97.9%	97.6%
White	2.8%	1.5%	1.8%
Hispanic/Other	0.9%	0.6%	0.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.2%	0.1%	0.6%
12	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
13	3.2%	2.5%	3.7%
14	8.8%	8.5%	7.8%
15	19.0%	17.0%	16.1%
16	24.9%	24.9%	23.9%
17	28.8%	29.5%	31.5%
18-20	14.3%	16.8%	15.9%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>2,191</b>	<b>2,208</b>	<b>2,289</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2012):

- 96.6%, 1.0%, and 0.8% of admissions were from Baltimore City, Prince George's County, and Baltimore County, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 50% were from Washington D.C. and 50% were from North Carolina.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions increased 4.5% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and 3.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 18.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012. Data prior to FY 2011 are incomplete and therefore are not reported.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 3.4% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 14.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) increased 6.1% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and decreased 25.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- There were 80 Ejection releases in FY 2012. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, ALOS decreased 1.7% for Pre-D and increased 13.6% for Post-D (including ejections).

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crime of Violence: 20.1% of Pre-D; 16.2% of Post-D; 15.3% of Ejection
- Felony: 37.2% of Pre-D; 27.2% of Post-D; 24.7% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 39.9% of Pre-D; 51.0% of Post-D; 55.3% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 2.4% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 0.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- ADP was above capacity in FY 2012 and FY 2011, and was below capacity in FY 2010.
- In FY 2012, ADP for Pre-D was 65; for Post-D was 41; for Ejection was 15.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2012\*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	8.7%	1.3%	3.5%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	4.8%	3.6%	5.9%
Carjacking	0.9%	1.7%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	1.7%	2.4%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	3.3%	2.4%
Disturbing the Peace	2.0%	1.0%	1.2%
First Degree Assault	3.7%	2.3%	2.4%
Handgun Violation	1.0%	1.7%	2.4%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	1.5%	2.3%	3.5%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.2%	6.0%	5.9%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%
Narcotics Distribution	26.8%	16.9%	14.1%
Narcotics Possession	9.9%	21.5%	16.5%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.6%	7.0%	2.4%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	10.2%	8.6%	8.2%
Second Degree Assault	17.0%	10.6%	10.6%
Sex Offense	1.6%	1.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.7%	2.0%	4.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	3.7%	4.3%	5.9%
Trespassing	1.4%	1.0%	3.5%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.4%	2.3%	3.5%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>15.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>37.2%</b>	<b>27.2%</b>	<b>24.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	0.7%	1.2%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	9.5%	6.0%	8.2%
- Drugs	26.8%	16.9%	12.9%
- Unspecified	0.4%	3.6%	2.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>39.9%</b>	<b>51.0%</b>	<b>55.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	19.2%	11.9%	11.8%
- Weapons	1.7%	4.6%	4.7%
- Property	8.7%	10.3%	17.6%
- Drugs	9.9%	21.5%	17.6%
- Unspecified	0.4%	2.6%	3.5%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
Interstate/Warrant	0.1%	2.3%	1.2%
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>1,954</i>	<i>302</i>	<i>85</i>


\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2012



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2010-2012\*



\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2010-2012\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2012	Pre-D	65.0%	35.0%	1,960	11.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	322	47.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	80	71.4
FY 2011	Pre-D	47.0%	53.0%	1,714	10.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	538	51.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2010	Pre-D	N/A	N/A	2,030	11.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	379	46.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Pending. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School

Superintendent: Mark Hamlett


Rated Capacity: 72 Males

Region II: Central

9700 Old Harford Road  
 Parkville, MD 21234  
 410-663-7601


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only one year of data is available.

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2010-2012



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. † Includes ejections

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2012



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	75.8%	73.0%	69.9%
White	20.7%	24.7%	27.7%
Hispanic/Other	3.5%	2.3%	2.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%
12	0.4%	1.0%	1.2%
13	2.5%	4.0%	4.4%
14	10.0%	7.7%	9.5%
15	19.1%	19.3%	16.5%
16	25.5%	26.3%	24.6%
17	29.7%	28.1%	31.1%
18-20	12.4%	13.2%	12.6%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>1,255</b>	<b>1,078</b>	<b>999</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2012):

- 42.7%, 17.0%, and 9.4% of admissions were from Baltimore County, Baltimore City, and Harford County, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 66.7% were from Washington D.C., and 33.3% were from Pennsylvania.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 20.4% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and 7.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 13.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012. Data prior to FY 2011 are incomplete and therefore are not reported.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 26.8% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and decreased 10.5% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) increased 4.6% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 12.5% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- There were 74 Ejection releases in FY 2012. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, ALOS increased 5.5% for Pre-D and decreased 6.0% for Post-D (including ejections).

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crime of Violence: 27.5% of Pre-D; 22.8% of Post-D; 10.6% of Ejection
- Felony: 16.1% of Pre-D; 13.7% of Post-D; 12.1% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 51.7% of Pre-D; 58.1% of Post-D; 71.2% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 16.8% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 12.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- ADP was below capacity in FY 2012, and was above capacity in FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- In FY 2012, ADP for Pre-D was 42; for Post-D was 21; for Ejection was 8.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2012\*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	5.8%	3.7%	9.1%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.7%	10.8%	1.5%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.4%	1.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	1.2%	1.5%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	1.7%	3.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.1%	2.5%	4.5%
First Degree Assault	2.6%	0.8%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.8%	1.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%	0.8%	6.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.1%	5.0%	4.5%
Murder	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	6.8%	3.7%	3.0%
Narcotics Possession	8.3%	11.2%	10.6%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	5.3%	1.7%	3.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.9%	1.2%	0.0%
Robbery	13.9%	14.5%	9.1%
Second Degree Assault	19.1%	17.4%	24.2%
Sex Offense	1.0%	2.5%	4.5%
Theft Felony	2.2%	3.7%	1.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.1%	12.0%	6.1%
Trespassing	2.4%	3.3%	4.5%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>27.5%</b>	<b>22.8%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	2.1%	4.5%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	8.0%	6.6%	4.5%
- Drugs	6.8%	3.3%	3.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>51.7%</b>	<b>58.1%</b>	<b>71.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	21.2%	19.1%	25.8%
- Weapons	1.7%	2.5%	4.5%
- Property	19.6%	24.5%	28.8%
- Drugs	8.4%	11.6%	10.6%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.4%	1.5%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>
Interstate/Warrant	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>833</i>	<i>241</i>	<i>66</i>


\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2012



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2010-2012\*



\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2010-2012\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2012	Pre-D	53.0%	47.0%	840	19.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	242	29.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	74	44.6
FY 2011	Pre-D	40.0%	60.0%	939	18.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	281	42.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2010	Pre-D	N/A	N/A	1,148	18.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	302	35.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Pending. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Cheltenham Youth Facility

Superintendent: Anthony Wynn

Rated Capacity: 115 Males

Region VI: Metro


11001 Frank Tippet Road

Cheltenham, MD 20623

301-396-4342


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only one year of data is available.

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2010-2012



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. † Includes ejections

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2012



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	83.2%	81.0%	79.2%
White	11.5%	12.7%	13.1%
Hispanic/Other	5.3%	6.3%	7.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%
12	0.4%	0.5%	0.8%
13	3.3%	2.7%	3.1%
14	9.7%	6.6%	8.5%
15	19.6%	18.3%	16.6%
16	27.0%	28.4%	26.6%
17	27.4%	30.1%	26.9%
18-20	12.0%	13.3%	17.0%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>2,101</b>	<b>1,865</b>	<b>1,715</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2012):

- 61.9%, 17.2%, and 6.6% of admissions were from Prince George's County, Anne Arundle County, and Charles County, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 93% were from Washington D.C. and 7% were from North Carolina.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 18.4% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and 8.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 15.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012. Data prior to FY 2011 are incomplete and therefore are not reported.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 18.7% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and decreased 8.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 0.6% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and decreased 0.9% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- There were 91 Ejection releases in FY 2012. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, ALOS increased 23.3% for Pre-D and increased 23.5% for Post-D (including ejections).

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crime of Violence: 29.0% of Pre-D; 31.9% of Post-D; 22.7% of Ejection
- Felony: 12.5% of Pre-D; 14.0% of Post-D; 14.8% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 51.1% of Pre-D; 50.4% of Post-D; 58.0% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 3.8% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 4.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- ADP was below capacity in FY 2010 and FY 2012 and was at capacity in FY 2011.
- In FY 2012, ADP for Pre-D was 61; for Post-D was 38; for Ejection was 11.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2012\*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.8%	2.1%	2.3%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.9%	9.2%	5.7%
Carjacking	0.5%	0.8%	1.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	3.7%	4.5%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	1.1%	4.5%
Disturbing the Peace	1.7%	1.8%	2.3%
First Degree Assault	2.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.3%	1.8%	2.3%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%	3.2%	3.4%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.9%	3.4%	3.4%
Murder	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Narcotics Possession	5.5%	6.1%	3.4%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	8.1%	5.3%	2.3%
Resisting Arrest	1.9%	1.6%	0.0%
Robbery	17.0%	21.9%	17.0%
Second Degree Assault	17.8%	16.9%	23.9%
Sex Offense	2.8%	1.8%	2.3%
Theft Felony	3.4%	2.9%	6.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.7%	9.0%	8.0%
Trespassing	3.8%	1.3%	2.3%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.3%	2.6%	3.4%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>29.0%</b>	<b>31.9%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>14.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	1.6%	1.1%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	7.4%	6.3%	8.0%
- Drugs	3.4%	1.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	4.2%	5.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>51.1%</b>	<b>50.4%</b>	<b>58.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	20.6%	19.3%	25.0%
- Weapons	2.1%	2.9%	6.8%
- Property	20.5%	18.5%	17.0%
- Drugs	5.5%	6.1%	4.5%
- Unspecified	2.3%	3.7%	4.5%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>
Interstate/Warrant	0.8%	1.3%	0.0%
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>1,509</i>	<i>379</i>	<i>88</i>


\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2012



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2010-2012\*



### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2010-2012\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2012	Pre-D	45.0%	55.0%	1,535	14.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	374	37.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	91	53.2
FY 2011	Pre-D	30.0%	70.0%	1,674	13.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	469	40.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2010	Pre-D	N/A	N/A	1,888	12.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	468	32.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Pending. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center


Superintendent: Derrick Witherspoon  
 Rated Capacity: 18 Males and 6 Females

Region IV: Eastern Shore

405 Naylor Mill Road  
 Salisbury, MD 21801  
 443-523-1521


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only one year of data is available.

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2010-2012



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. † Includes ejections

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2012



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	68.8%	68.4%	64.3%
White	27.1%	28.5%	32.9%
Hispanic/Other	4.1%	3.1%	2.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	75.2%	78.6%	77.2%
Female	24.8%	21.4%	22.8%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
12	0.8%	2.8%	3.7%
13	4.3%	6.9%	7.0%
14	12.5%	10.2%	13.8%
15	26.1%	20.9%	18.0%
16	20.2%	22.6%	21.9%
17	23.0%	27.5%	27.5%
18-20	12.0%	7.9%	7.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>356</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2012):

- 50.0%, 13.2%, and 7.6% of admissions were from Wicomico County, Worcester County, and Somerset, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 50% were from Delaware and 17% each were from Alabama, Rhode Island, and Virginia.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 9.0% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and 9.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 13.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012. Data prior to FY 2011 are incomplete and therefore are not reported.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 5.0% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and decreased 10.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 16.9% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and decreased 9.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- There were 26 Ejection releases in FY 2012. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, ALOS increased 35.1% for Pre-D and decreased 12.8% for Post-D (including ejections).

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crime of Violence: 17.7% of Pre-D; 13.2% of Post-D; 0.0% of Ejection
- Felony: 11.0% of Pre-D; 6.6% of Post-D; 3.7% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 60.8% of Pre-D; 67.1% of Post-D; 77.8% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 2.9% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 9.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- ADP was slightly above capacity in FY 2012, below capacity in FY 2011, and at capacity in FY 2010.
- In FY 2012, ADP for Pre-D was 18; for Post-D was 5; for Ejection was 3.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2012\*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	5.7%	5.3%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	2.6%	3.7%
Deadly Weapon	2.8%	2.6%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.8%	10.5%	18.5%
First Degree Assault	5.7%	2.6%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.9%	7.9%	3.7%
Manslaughter	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.1%	5.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	5.3%	0.0%	3.7%
Narcotics Possession	4.2%	7.9%	7.4%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	9.9%	2.6%	3.7%
Resisting Arrest	1.8%	2.6%	3.7%
Robbery	4.6%	2.6%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	28.3%	23.7%	37.0%
Sex Offense	3.2%	3.9%	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.2%	9.2%	14.8%
Trespassing	1.1%	6.6%	3.7%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	4.9%	5.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	5.3%	0.0%	3.7%
- Unspecified	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>60.8%</b>	<b>67.1%</b>	<b>77.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	32.5%	27.6%	40.7%
- Weapons	2.8%	2.6%	0.0%
- Property	20.1%	27.6%	25.9%
- Drugs	4.2%	7.9%	7.4%
- Unspecified	1.1%	1.3%	3.7%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>
Interstate/Warrant	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	283	76	27


\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2012



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2010-2012\*



\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2010-2012\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2012	Pre-D	46.0%	54.0%	284	22.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	72	21.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	26	38.9
FY 2011	Pre-D	33.0%	67.0%	318	18.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	108	25.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2010	Pre-D	N/A	N/A	299	16.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	118	29.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Pending. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center

Superintendent: Terra Harris

Rated Capacity: 42 Females

Region V: Southern


375 Red Clay Road, S.W.

Laurel, MD 20724

301-362-6160

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only one year of data is available.

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2010-2012



#### Source of Admissions (FY 2012):

- 47.8%, 10.1%, and 9.9% of admissions were from Baltimore City, Anne Arundle County, and Baltimore County, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 29% were from New York and 14% each were from Delaware, District of Columbia, Michigan, North Carolina, and Pennsylvania.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions increased 17.0% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and 8.9% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 15.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012. Data prior to FY 2011 are incomplete and therefore are not reported.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases increased 16.3% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 14.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 6.1% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and decreased 15.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- There were 27 Ejection releases in FY 2012. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, ALOS increased 5.4% for Pre-D and decreased 2.4% for Post-D (including ejections).


#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crime of Violence: 18.2% of Pre-D; 11.5% of Post-D; 6.5% of Ejection
- Felony: 7.4% of Pre-D; 9.0% of Post-D; 3.2% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 66.9% of Pre-D; 74.4% of Post-D; 80.6% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 11.5% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 1.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- In FY 2012, ADP for Pre-D was 22; for Post-D was 6; for Ejection was 3.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2012



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	79.0%	73.2%	72.3%
White	18.8%	25.1%	25.7%
Hispanic/Other	2.2%	1.7%	2.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%
12	1.1%	1.9%	1.2%
13	3.4%	6.9%	7.9%
14	12.5%	11.1%	14.6%
15	21.2%	17.7%	20.9%
16	23.7%	22.6%	23.4%
17	27.2%	29.7%	22.3%
18-20	10.7%	10.1%	9.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>593</b>	<b>646</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2012\*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.3%	1.3%	3.2%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	1.8%	2.6%	3.2%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.0%	3.8%	6.5%
Carjacking	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	2.6%	3.2%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.8%	3.8%	9.7%
First Degree Assault	7.4%	5.1%	3.2%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%	5.1%	3.2%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	3.8%	0.0%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.3%	3.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.0%	6.4%	6.5%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	7.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.7%	1.3%	6.5%
Robbery	4.8%	1.3%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	27.9%	29.5%	29.0%
Sex Offense	2.0%	2.6%	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	18.2%	24.4%	25.8%
Trespassing	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.8%	3.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	3.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	1.3%	3.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>66.9%</b>	<b>74.4%</b>	<b>80.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.8%	33.3%	35.5%
- Weapons	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	25.9%	33.3%	38.7%
- Drugs	6.0%	6.4%	6.5%
- Unspecified	1.8%	1.3%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>
Interstate/Warrant	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	598	78	31


\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2012



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2010-2012\*



### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2010-2012\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2012	Pre-D	55.0%	45.0%	598	13.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	80	26.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	27	33.4
FY 2011	Pre-D	40.0%	60.0%	523	14.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	126	28.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2010	Pre-D	N/A	N/A	514	13.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	114	28.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Pending. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Western Maryland Children's Center


Superintendent: Mark Bishop  
 Rated Capacity: 24 Males

Region III: Western

18420 Roxbury Road  
 Hagerstown, MD 21740  
 301-745-6071


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only one year of data is available.

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2010-2012



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. <sup>+</sup> Includes ejections

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2012



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	48.7%	48.8%	48.3%
White	47.2%	49.1%	47.8%
Hispanic/Other	4.1%	2.1%	3.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.3%	1.4%
12	1.5%	1.4%	1.4%
13	3.8%	6.3%	6.9%
14	10.9%	9.1%	12.4%
15	19.2%	18.8%	18.2%
16	23.0%	28.6%	20.7%
17	31.0%	23.0%	26.0%
18-20	10.6%	12.5%	13.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>339</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>362</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

- *Source of Admissions (FY 2012):*
  - 34.0%, 24.0%, and 10.8% of admissions were from Washington County, Frederick County, and Allegany County, respectively.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 50% was from Virginia, and 50% was from West Virginia.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Total admissions increased 6.8% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and 26.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 32.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012. Data prior to FY 2011 are incomplete and therefore are not reported.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases increased 4.5% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 18.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
  - Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 11.8% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 15.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
  - There were 23 Ejection releases in FY 2012. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, ALOS increased 3.5% for Pre-D and decreased 16.5% for Post-D (including ejections).
- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crime of Violence: 21.3% of Pre-D; 20.4% of Post-D; 8.7% of Ejection
  - Felony: 10.8% of Pre-D; 6.1% of Post-D; 8.7% of Ejection
  - Misdemeanor: 57.1% of Pre-D; 71.4% of Post-D; 65.2% of Ejection
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 1.2% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 1.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
  - Overall, ADP has been at or close to capacity since FY 2010.
  - In FY 2012, ADP for Pre-D was 18; for Post-D was 3; for Ejection was 2.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2012\*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	2.2%	2.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	8.3%	10.2%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	2.0%	4.3%
Deadly Weapon	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.0%	0.0%	13.0%
First Degree Assault	4.3%	4.1%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	4.3%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.8%	4.1%	4.3%
Manslaughter	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	2.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	4.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	7.4%	8.2%	0.0%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	8.3%	0.0%	4.3%
Resisting Arrest	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	4.6%	8.2%	8.7%
Second Degree Assault	22.5%	32.7%	30.4%
Sex Offense	3.7%	4.1%	4.3%
Theft Felony	1.5%	0.0%	4.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.3%	18.4%	17.4%
Trespassing	4.3%	0.0%	4.3%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	2.0%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	4.6%	0.0%	4.3%
- Drugs	4.0%	2.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	2.0%	4.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>65.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	25.3%	32.7%	34.8%
- Weapons	0.6%	0.0%	4.3%
- Property	22.5%	30.6%	26.1%
- Drugs	7.4%	8.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>
Interstate/Warrant	0.3%	0.0%	4.3%
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>324</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>23</i>


\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2012



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2010-2012\*



\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2010-2012\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2012	Pre-D	57.0%	43.0%	323	20.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	52	24.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	23	38.3
FY 2011	Pre-D	25.0%	75.0%	273	23.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	65	39.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2010	Pre-D	N/A	N/A	309	19.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	85	34.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Pending. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Alternatives to Detention (ATD)

DJS has a variety of programs designed to safely supervise youth in the community as an alternative to secure detention (ATD) pending adjudication. ATDs include Community Detention (CD) - with the possibility of electronic/GPS monitoring (EM), Day and Evening Reporting Centers, and structured shelter care. DJS also collaborates with private providers in Baltimore City to utilize additional alternative programs, such as the Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Transition Center (PACT) and the Detention Reduction Advocacy Program (DRAP). ATD programs are used either by DJS intake staff for lower risk youth presented for detention (placements must be reviewed by the juvenile court the next court day) or by the juvenile court directly. These alternatives allow youth to continue with school or work and maintain community ties, support systems, or alternative care. In addition, youth are closely supervised and are held accountable for returning to court as required.

### ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM TYPE\* AND REGION, FY 2012

Region of Residence	Adm.	ADP	ALOS*
<b>Baltimore City</b>			
CD	407	34	30
CD/EM	1,208	107	33
Day-Evening Rpt. Ctrs.	285	21	26
DRAP	97	11	39
PACT	115	20	51
Shelter Care	526	17	11
<b>Central Region</b>			
CD	92	7	25
CD/EM	868	82	35
Shelter Care	57	3	24
<b>Eastern Shore Region</b>			
CD	24	4	46
CD/EM	433	45	37
Shelter Care (Seasonal)	17	0	7
<b>Metro Region</b>			
CD	22	4	61
CD/EM	1,056	138	51
Day-Evening Rpt. Ctrs.	114	17	48
Shelter Care	39	3	28
<b>Southern Region</b>			
CD	41	3	28
CD/EM	665	54	30
DRAP	1	0.2	70
Shelter Care	41	1	10
<b>Western Region</b>			
CD	21	2	37
CD/EM	220	18	31
Shelter Care	30	3	39
<b>Total CD</b>	<b>607</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Total CD/EM</b>	<b>4,520</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Total Day-Evening Rpt. Ctr.</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Total DRAP</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Total PACT</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Total Shelter Care</b>	<b>782</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>13</b>

\*ALOS is measured in days; totals include out-of-state youth (see Appendix M for breakdown)

- *Region of Residence (FY 2012):*
  - Nearly three-quarters (74.2%) of ATD program youth came from Baltimore City, Metro Region, and Central Regions combined.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) FY 2012:*
  - ALOS was longest for PACT admissions (51 days) and shortest for Shelter Care admissions (13 days).
- *Average Daily Population (ADP) FY 2012:*
  - ADP was highest for CD/EM admissions (510) and lowest for DRAP admissions (11).
- *ATD Program Youth:*
  - The number of total ATD program youth decreased 19.2% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and 7.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
  - Since FY 2010, the typical ATD program youth has consistently been black, 17 years old, and male.
- *Most Common Alleged Offense Type (FY 2012):*
  - CD/EM: Second Degree Assault
  - Day/Evening Reporting Centers: Narcotics Distribution
  - DRAP: Narcotics Distribution
  - PACT: Narcotics Distribution
  - Shelter Care: Narcotics Possession
- *Offense Category (FY 2012):*
  - Crimes of Violence - 22.1% of CD/EM; 20.3% of Day/Evening Reporting Centers; 23.5% of DRAP; 20.0% of PACT; 8.6% of Shelter Care
  - Felony - 19.8% of CD/EM; 35.8% of Day/Evening Reporting Centers; 33.7% of DRAP; 47.0% of PACT; 22.1% of Shelter Care
  - Misdemeanor - 51.8% of CD/EM; 40.9% of Day/Evening Reporting Centers; 40.8% of DRAP; 29.6% of PACT; 61.9% of Shelter Care
- See Appendix M for specific program names and locations as well as Admissions, ADP, and ALOS data by Program Type and County for FY 2012.

**Note: Data for all tables and bullets presented on the ATD pages comes from ASSIST records and Program Rosters. For that reason, it may not be possible to compare data from this Data Resource Guide section to other published data on these programs.**

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.




### ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2012\*

Most Serious Alleged Offense	CD/EM	D/ERC	DRAP	PACT	Shelter Care
Arson	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	5.0%	6.5%	9.2%	10.4%	5.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.6%	6.8%	8.2%	5.2%	4.9%
Carjacking	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Cons. to Commit Offen	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	0.5%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.9%	0.8%
Disturbing the Peace	2.0%	0.8%	1.0%	1.7%	2.7%
First Degree Assault	4.7%	3.8%	3.1%	9.6%	1.5%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%	0.9%	0.3%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Malicious Destruction	3.3%	2.5%	4.1%	0.0%	3.6%
Manslaughter <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	1.4%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	10.8%	26.8%	22.4%	32.2%	15.7%
Narcotics Possession	8.7%	7.8%	10.2%	5.2%	21.6%
Other <sup>2</sup>	5.1%	3.5%	3.1%	1.7%	5.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%
Robbery	10.1%	10.5%	12.2%	6.1%	2.7%
Second Degree Assault	19.3%	12.8%	13.3%	10.4%	16.8%
Sex Offense	1.3%	0.5%	2.0%	1.7%	1.0%
Theft	11.5%	7.5%	9.2%	7.8%	10.2%
Trespassing	2.2%	2.3%	1.0%	1.7%	2.8%
Unspecified Misdem.	1.5%	2.3%	0.0%	1.7%	1.9%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>5,000</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>782</b>

\* A single admission may involve multiple offenses; <sup>1</sup> Manslaughter is listed in the table above because there was one (0.02%) CD/EM admission; <sup>2</sup> "Other" includes alcohol violations, BB/pellet gun, cruelty to animals, escape, false alarm, forgery, fraud, gambling, loitering, status offenses, tobacco violations, verbal threat, and violation of local ordinance

### YOUTH COUNT BY REGION OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2012



\* Includes CD/EM, Day/Evening Reporting Centers, DRAP, and PACT

### ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012\*

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Alleged Offense)	CD/EM	D/ERC	DRAP	PACT	Shelter Care
Crimes of Violence Fel <sup>+</sup>	22.1%	20.3%	23.5%	20.0%	8.6%
Felony	19.8%	35.8%	33.7%	47.0%	22.1%
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.5%	1.0%	0.9%	0.4%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	7.2%	7.8%	10.2%	13.0%	5.6%
- Drugs	10.8%	26.8%	22.4%	32.2%	15.7%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.9%	0.4%
Misdemeanor	51.8%	40.9%	40.8%	29.6%	61.9%
- Person-to-Person	21.4%	15.5%	15.3%	13.0%	18.5%
- Weapons	2.0%	2.0%	0.0%	1.7%	1.0%
- Property	18.1%	13.3%	15.3%	7.8%	18.4%
- Drugs	8.7%	7.8%	10.2%	5.2%	21.7%
- Unspecified	1.6%	2.3%	0.0%	1.7%	2.2%
Traffic Offenses	1.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	1.4%
Status Offenses	2.6%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Ordinance Offenses	2.3%	0.8%	2.0%	2.6%	4.1%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>5,000</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>782</b>

\* A single admission may involve multiple offenses  
<sup>+</sup> See Appendix L for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### YOUTH COUNT BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	76.6%	75.2%	72.9%
White	19.2%	20.0%	22.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.2%	4.8%	5.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	86.0%	85.3%	83.5%
Female	14.0%	14.7%	16.5%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.6%	0.8%	0.6%
12	1.2%	1.5%	1.7%
13	4.1%	3.8%	4.5%
14	9.6%	9.7%	9.5%
15	19.5%	18.7%	19.1%
16	26.8%	25.7%	25.3%
17	30.2%	31.3%	29.8%
18-20	8.0%	8.3%	9.5%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
<b>Total Youth**</b>	<b>4,853</b>	<b>4,217</b>	<b>3,921</b>

\* Includes CD/EM, Day/Evening Reporting Centers, DRAP, and PACT

\*\* Total represents a count of youth served, since juveniles may be served by multiple ATD programs simultaneously.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Section IV: Committed Programs



Youth from Backbone Mountain Youth Center working to complete construction of a green bathroom for the Evergreen Heritage Foundation. The entire structure runs off of solar power.





## Introduction to Committed Programs

The DJS Division of Operations is responsible for selecting the most appropriate out-of-home “placement” for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS by the juvenile court. Program placements vary based on the treatment services provided as well as by security level. The full range of DJS placement options include: (a) Foster care homes (traditional and treatment foster care); (b) Group Homes (general service, therapeutic and high intensity); (c) Independent Living Programs (often used as a step-down from a more restrictive program placement); (d) Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs); (e) Intermediate Care Centers for Addictions (ICFAs); (f) DJS-operated Youth Centers; and (g) Treatment Facilities providing secure confinement (both DJS-operated and privately contracted).

### PLACEMENT PROCESS

DJS has developed a placement process to select the most appropriate program and treatment services for committed youth. The process begins with a comprehensive assessment of each youth. A staffing meeting is then held to bring together key staff members responsible for resource and treatment service planning. Principal participants include the youth’s case manager, case manager supervisor, and resource coordinator as well as parents and/or guardians who are invited to participate.

At the staffing meeting, all pertinent information collected as part of the assessment is reviewed, including the current offense, delinquency history, social history, MCASP recommended supervision level, MCASP assessment of need, educational records, clinical assessments, as well as the involvement of any other state agency. The staffing meeting culminates with recommendations for program participation and/or treatment services tailored to the circumstances of each youth. DJS then refers the youth’s case to the recommended programs for consideration. Programs may either



Victor Cullen Center students in an Algebra and Geometry class.

### CAPACITY, ADP, AND SECURITY TYPE FOR STATE-OPERATED COMMITTED FACILITIES, FY 2012\*

Facility	Rated Capacity	ADP	Security Type
Backbone Mountain YC	48	47.1	Staff
Green Ridge YC	40	38.8	Staff
J. DeWeese Carter Center <sup>+</sup>	14	9.8	Staff
Meadow Mountain YC	40	40.0	Staff
Savage Mountain YC	36	35.8	Staff
Victor Cullen Center	48	41.9	Hardware
Wm. Donald Schaefer House	19	13.1	Gr. Home
<i>Total</i>	<i>245</i>	<i>226.4</i>	<i>N/A</i>

\*Averages may not add to totals due to rounding.

<sup>+</sup> J. DeWeese Carter opened as a secure residential facility for females in November 2011.

**The utilization rate for State-Operated facilities was 92.4% in FY 2012.**

accept or reject an applicant based on program eligibility criteria and capacity. Upon acceptance, program services are authorized by DJS prior to placement in the program.

DJS contracts with private in-state as well as out-of-state vendors to provide services to committed youth. A Certificate of Placement (COP) database is used to manage the referral and placement of youth with private providers. The database facilitates the placement process, for example, by automatically pulling staffing information from the DJS information system (ASSIST), creating program referral letters, and tracking acceptances and rejections from potential programs.

### Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST):

DJS is currently planning to augment the placement process by creating a specialized diagnostic team responsible for assessing youth prior to court disposition. The new diagnostic process is expected to be fully implemented by late 2012. MAST will assess youth for whom DJS will recommend an out-of-home placement at disposition. The diagnostic team will include a psychologist, social worker, community case manager, resource specialist, Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) representative and other disciplines as needed. Youth who require more specialized assessments (e.g., a neurological, psycho-sexual, or medical assessment) will be referred for evaluation. Following this in-depth review, the team will submit a report for the juvenile court to consider at disposition, to include security and treatment recommendations.

### The Continuum of Care and Central Review Committee (CRC):

The initial committed program placement may not be successful. Some youth run away from their placement or are

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



ejected due to misbehavior. The behavioral, emotional, and/or medical needs of youth may also change. New “Continuum of Care” legislation was passed during the 2012 Legislative Session (effective June 1, 2012). It authorizes the Department to transfer youth directly from one facility or program to another facility or program (of equal or higher security level) without first asking the court to modify the commitment order. The flexibility to move youth from one program to another is expected to reduce the need for secure detention as youth will no longer be detained pending court review and the subsequent placement decision-making process. This legislation is a key component of a larger reform effort to improve the assessment of youth, create a continuum of care, and establish length of stay guidelines. To manage this process, the Department has established the CRC chaired by the Director of Behavioral Health. This team is responsible for conducting weekly case reviews of youth at risk of removal from a committed residential placement; directing the provision of services; and making placement transfer decisions.

**CHALLENGE Program:** DJS operates seven facilities in Maryland (see table on pg. 117). Notably, a new behavioral management program, called CHALLENGE, has been implemented in all of these facilities as of October 1, 2012. CHALLENGE provides youth with clear behavioral expectations within a structured daily routine using positive reinforcers. The program is grounded in the principles of positive reinforcement and modeling and is designed to encourage youth to accept responsibility for their behavior and learn problem-solving and leadership skills. Staff members are trained to teach and model problem-solving and social skills. CHALLENGE is expected to facilitate order and security within the facility and promote an environment characterized by respect and fairness that is conducive to treatment.

## EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

Educational services are provided to youth while in placement in order to maximize their academic achievement as well as the probability that each youth will earn a high school diploma either by earning the required number of credits or by passing the GED. The DJS school curriculum is based on the Maryland State Core Learning Goals which is being revised to reflect the National Education Standards. The curriculum includes grade level instruction in English, social studies, mathematics, and science. Middle school coursework and remediation for high school students is also offered in reading, written language, and math as appropriate. All teachers are certified by the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE). In addition to academics, the curriculum provides opportunities for each youth to develop career and technology skills.

DJS’ Educational Services Unit seeks to collaborate with local school systems. The goals of the unit specific to this collaboration



Girls at Carter Center taking part in a sewing class learning how to make dresses.

are twofold: 1) to provide instruction to youth in credit-bearing coursework as identified by the local school system; and 2) to facilitate the successful transfer of the student’s record and transition of the youth to the local public school or other appropriate educational program. Upon release, eligible youth participate in mandated Maryland School Assessments (MSA) and High School Assessments (HSA). Instruction is individualized for all students, following careful review of records received from local school systems. Required services are provided to special education youth per their Individualized Education Programs (IEP).

Community-based private programs achieve appropriate educational programming by sending students to the local public school in which the facility is located. DJS also strives to further the positive educational track for students in its care when placed in other committed programs through the transfer of educational records. Non-public programs in and out-of-state offer similar educational services to our students; those in the state of Maryland must be approved to operate through the monitoring process of the MSDE Non-public Approval Branch.

MSDE’s Juvenile Services Education Program (JSE) provides educational programming to youth at Victor Cullen and J. DeWeese Carter Center. Consistent with the requirements of Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), Title 13A, the program includes instruction in core content subjects, career and technology education (CTE), library/media services, life skills, and special education services. MSDE will assume responsibility for educational programming in the William Donald Schaefer House and the Youth Centers in FY 2013. Students are pre-tested upon entry to the JSE education program to assess baseline academic skills. Teachers use the results to design learning plans for each student which form the basis of daily



instruction. After each 60-day period of enrollment, the student is post-tested to determine academic gains. Students in a JSE program can earn credit toward the Maryland High School Diploma that they may receive upon return to their home high school. Alternately, some students are enrolled in advanced studies classes designed to prepare them to successfully obtain their diploma by examination using the GED tests. Students completing CTE course work receive appropriate industry certifications.

## BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

**Mental Health Services in Facilities:** DJS Behavioral Health Services provides mental health screening, assessment and treatment services at all departmental detention and long-term treatment facilities. Services include: suicide prevention; crisis intervention and stabilization; medication evaluation and monitoring; and individual, group, and family therapy. As members of the facility team, behavioral health staff and contractors provide support, technical assistance, and education services to the other members of the team on topics related to mental health problems and medication. In the detention centers, psychiatrists, social workers, and psychologists provide evaluations in order to assist the case management staff in identifying appropriate residential placements or community-based services. These decisions are based on the needs of the youth with public safety in mind.

**Mental Health Services in the Community:** Mental health treatment services received by youth on probation and aftercare are provided by local community providers. DJS Behavioral Health Services does have licensed social workers and licensed professional counselors as members of the regional resource staff to provide consultation and assistance to the DJS community staff. The behavioral health clinicians conduct psychosocial assessments for youth who are likely to be placed out-of-home and they also complete Determination of Need certificates for youth who will be placed in community-based placements including foster care, therapeutic foster care, group homes and independent living. Behavioral health clinicians provide a link with the local mental health Core Service Agencies (the local mental health authority) to ensure that appropriate community and residential services are available to DJS youth.

**Substance Abuse Services in Facilities:** DJS Behavioral Health Services provides screening, assessment, and treatment services to youth with substance abuse problems. Certified addiction counselors screen and assess youth in detention and determine appropriate levels of substance abuse treatment needed by youth. These assessments assist case managers in linking youth with appropriate community and residential services. Counselors work closely with the Department's medical director for those youth requiring medication management as a result of their drug addiction. All DJS long-term facilities offer Seven Challenges substance abuse treatment, an evidence-based program implemented in 2010. In

addition, several DJS facilities are certified as drug and alcohol treatment facilities through Maryland Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration (ADAA). A description of the services provided by each DJS facility is provided below:

- William Donald Schaefer House is certified as a long-term residential substance abuse treatment facility.
- Meadow Mountain Youth Center is certified to provide intensive out-patient level of care to committed youth.
- Green Ridge and Backbone Youth Centers provide early intervention education, out-patient, and intensive out-patient levels of care.
- Savage Mountain Youth Center provides early intervention and out-patient levels of care.
- Victor Cullen and Carter Center provide substance abuse services with certified addiction counselors providing early intervention, out-patient and intensive out-patient level of care. These are provided on an individual and group basis.

**Substance Abuse Services in the Community:** The majority of substance abuse services received by youth on probation and aftercare are provided by local community providers. DJS provides linkages to these services through Drug Court and regular probation services. DJS Behavioral Health does provide funding for some of these services, however, the majority of funding comes through the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)/ADAA.

In Baltimore County, DJS Behavioral Health does provide substance abuse assessment services at several local offices that assist case managers and the Resource staff in identifying appropriate community and residential services for youth with substance abuse and addiction problems.

**Behavioral Health and Victim Services:** The Director of Behavioral Health and Victim Services has responsibility for establishing and implementing policies governing the delivery of mental health, substance abuse, evidenced-based and victim services,



Youth at Carter Center taking part in the Family Earth Day activities.



**Youth from Meadow Mountain Youth Center's Aquaculture Program reviewing a water test kit before taking it into the field to complete water quality tests.**

and for hiring licensed and certified staff and contractors. In addition, the Director is responsible for working with other state agencies, including the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) and the Governor's Office for Children (GOC), to ensure that DJS youth have access to services governed by and/or funded by these agencies. Finally, the Department's headquarters staff provide technical assistance as well as direct intervention to resolve interagency issues for the benefit of DJS youth.

DJS offers assistance, support, and information to all victims of juvenile crime. DJS understands that the judicial process can seem overwhelming and complicated at times. DJS helps victims proceed through the judicial system by providing information and understanding of the juvenile court system, assuring that victims are informed and aware of the rights afforded them under Maryland law, and advocating for their rights. DJS provides assistance with the preparation of victim impact statements and the enforcement of court orders for restitution via the restitution collection process. DJS also informs victims on how to initiate civil action on delinquent restitution accounts.

Referral to appropriate services is also available for all victims of juvenile crime who request assistance. Services may include, but are not limited to referral for crisis intervention, emergency services, and information on case status and outcomes. DJS is committed to ensuring that all victims of juvenile crime are treated with dignity, respect, and sensitivity throughout the juvenile justice process.

## **SOMATIC HEALTH**

The Division of Somatic Health Services provides comprehensive, quality health care and nutritional services to youth residing at DJS residential facilities. Its mission is to protect, promote, and advance the health of all youth in the care of the Department. Medical, dental, and dietary services are provided in an interdisciplinary fashion that is developmentally appropriate for youth, and are delivered in accordance with standards set forth by the

National Commission on Correctional Health Care, the American Academy of Pediatrics, The Centers for Disease Control, and the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academy of Science.

Youth admitted to DJS residential facilities require on-going, routine preventative health care similar to other children their age. In addition, they may have unmet or chronic health care needs upon admission, or may develop an acute health condition that needs to be addressed. DJS ensures that each program has licensed nurses, physicians, dietitians, dental providers, and laboratory and pharmacy services to meet the health care needs of the youth. For specialty, surgical, emergency, or in-patient care that may be required, youth are transported to community providers as needed.

Each youth must have a current nursing assessment, history and physical examination, vision screening, and dental examination upon or soon after admission, as well as screening for tuberculosis, anemia, and sexually transmitted infections. Girls are provided comprehensive gynecological care either on-site or in the community in order to meet individual needs. Vaccine records are requested on all youth, and DJS makes every effort to fully immunize youth who are not up to date on recommended and required vaccinations. Youth are seen at regularly scheduled clinic times and as needed for "sick call" requests. In addition, they are seen at least monthly by nursing staff to check on their overall health status and weight.

In order to prepare youth for success upon re-entry into the community, nurses and other DJS staff provide youth with individual and/or group health education and coordinate discharge planning to ensure that youth have their medications or required follow-up medical appointments with community providers.

## **DJS YOUTH CENTERS - CAREER & TECHNOLOGY AND THE GARRETT COLLEGE PROGRAMS**

Youth Career and Technology programming at the DJS Youth Centers includes traditional building trades, auto mechanics, and aquaculture (an innovative green employment opportunity in Maryland). The Youth Centers developed an Aquaculture Technician Certificate that outlines necessary employment competencies and drives instruction. The aquaculture program is offered at the Meadow Mountain Youth Center. The other three Youth Centers also offer vocational programming on-site. Green Ridge and Backbone Mountain Youth Centers offer a 60-hour carpentry program and Savage Mountain Youth Center offers an automotive technician program that is similar in length. Students earn a certificate to document successful completion of the carpentry or automotive program and achievement of the program's competencies.

At Backbone Mountain Youth Center, there is an innovative program that provides students with the unique opportunity to be simultaneously enrolled in high school classes and introductory college courses through Garrett College of Maryland. Students are screened through a referral, record review and interview process for acceptance into the program. The Garrett College initiative has been operational for seven years.



**Vocational Certificates:** The Youth Centers offer a wide variety of certificates that demonstrate competency. The following certificates are offered: EQUIP, Carpentry, Automotive, Aquaculture Technician I, Horticulture Technician I, and/or Archaeology Technician I.

The Youth Centers' vocational program is two-fold. First, and most importantly, a student must earn a Maryland High School Diploma in order to be minimally competitive in this changing marketplace. Second, all students will have opportunities to participate in multiple community projects in order to put into practice the skills learned in the classroom. And finally, from education to counseling through vocational programs, all students in DJS' care have multiple opportunities to build character along with the hard skills necessary to become a productive person in his community.

### CLASSIFICATION

All DJS-operated facilities utilize an objective internal classification system to assess a youth's potential vulnerability and supervision needs. The results of the classification assessment are used to guide appropriate housing decisions and room assignments. The classification assessment is implemented for all youth upon admission to the facility, and allows for reclassification in response to circumstances or special needs that may require modification of housing or room assignment.


#### A Note to Readers:

*It is strongly recommended that readers review the following distinction as it will assist in understanding data presented in this section:*

**Placements versus Admissions:** A placement is based on a decision made by the Department or a judge to place a youth into a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

The Housing Classification Assessment considers the following factors when making housing and room assignments: (a) the severity of the current charge or adjudication; (b) the severity of the most serious prior adjudication; (c) the number of prior serious incidents in custody (youth-on-youth or youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints and escapes or attempted escapes); (d) age, size and offense history of youth, especially when assigning two or more youth to a room; and (e) special needs including suicide risk, mental health, or other concerns that may merit higher or special supervision.

## DJS State-Operated Committed Programs by Region






## Statewide Total

At disposition, the juvenile court may commit a youth to the care of DJS. Legal custody of the youth is thereby transferred to the Department. A range of out-of-home program options (or placements) have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a Foster Home, Group Home, or Independent Living Program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operates seven facilities in Maryland and contracts with others both in-state and out-of-state.

*Note: Though Evidence Based Services (EBS) are presented within this section (pages 154-155), EBS data is not included on the statewide tables as EBS is not solely for committed youth. Data on the statewide pages only includes youth in committed placements.*

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2010-2012\*



\*FY 2010 data includes the Cheltenham Redirect Program which ended in April 2010.



- **Trends for Committed Placements:**
  - Committed placements decreased 5.6% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 0.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **First Time Placements (FY 2012):**
  - Of the 1,783 committed placements, 42% were first time placements.
- **Juveniles Placed (FY 2012):**
  - 25.7% were from the Metro Region, 23.2% were from Baltimore City, and 15.6% were from the Southern Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 69.7% were from Washington D.C., and 12.1% were from Pennsylvania.
- **Offense Type (FY 2012):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Second Degree Assault (18.8%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2012):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Property offenses (23.8%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (21.0%).
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS for all committed placements was 175.2 days.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP for all committed placements in FY 2012 was 957.2.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 52.5% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
  - 19.1% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 20.2% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012\*

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	72.9%	70.4%	70.4%
White	22.3%	24.6%	25.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.8%	5.1%	4.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	85.3%	85.6%	85.8%
Female	14.7%	14.4%	14.2%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%
12	0.3%	0.6%	0.5%
13	2.1%	2.3%	3.0%
14	7.6%	7.7%	7.5%
15	19.9%	17.4%	16.6%
16	25.6%	26.8%	27.1%
17	28.9%	31.7%	31.5%
18-20	15.4%	13.2%	13.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>1,888</b>	<b>1,771</b>	<b>1,783</b>

\*FY 2010 data includes the Cheltenham Redirect Program which ended in April 2010.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2012
Offense Type	
Arson	0.8%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.9%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.3%
Carjacking	0.6%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%
Disturbing the Peace	3.0%
First Degree Assault	1.3%
Handgun Violation	1.2%
Malicious Destruction	4.3%
Manslaughter	0.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%
Murder	0.3%
Narcotics Distribution	4.8%
Narcotics Possession	12.4%
Other/Missing*	3.5%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%
Robbery	9.3%
Second Degree Assault	18.8%
Sex Offense	2.9%
Theft Felony	2.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.0%
Trespassing	1.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.7%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>17.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.8%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	4.4%
- Drugs	4.8%
- Unspecified	3.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>63.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	21.0%
- Weapons	2.8%
- Property	23.8%
- Drugs	12.4%
- Unspecified	3.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.5%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>1,783</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

### COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS\* FOR FY 2010-2012, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2012


	Placements			FY 2012	
	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	118	121	92	61.6	266.0
Group Home	522	464	454	260.8	195.9
Indep. Living	52	77	48	36.2	228.9
ICFA	275	255	249	34.3	49.7
RTC	230	286	276	166.5	206.3
State Operated	485	529	640	226.5	133.4
<i>GH (Schaefer)<sup>1</sup></i>	<i>38</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>64</i>	<i>13.1</i>	<i>75.2</i>
<i>Staff Secure</i>	<i>355</i>	<i>368</i>	<i>448</i>	<i>161.7</i>	<i>138.1</i>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>92</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>128</i>	<i>51.7</i>	<i>148.5</i>
Silver Oak	86	65	67	47.8	272.1
Out-of-State	132	141	170	123.5	275.7
RTC	15	22	18	11.4	221.6
Staff Secure	86	83	99	69.6	262.1
Hardware Sec.	31	36	53	42.5	323.3
<b>Total**</b>	<b>1,888</b>	<b>1,771</b>	<b>1,783</b>	<b>957.2</b>	<b>175.2</b>

\* FY 2010 data includes the Cheltenham Redirect Program which ended in April 2010.

<sup>1</sup> During FY 2012, Schaefer House was classified as a group home and data reflect that classification. For FY 2013, the facility was reclassified as a staff-secure facility.

\*\* Statewide Total Placement counts excludes transfers within and between program/program types, therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.


\* Juveniles transferred to another program



## Statewide Females Only

Female youth represent approximately 14% of the population of youth committed to the care of the Department. Generally, girls are less likely than boys to be committed by the court for the commission of a felony offense and are more likely to report physical abuse, sexual abuse, and higher levels of family dysfunction. With the exception of staff secure behavioral facilities such as the DJS-operated Youth Centers, the same continuum of placement options is available to both girls and boys. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), and the J. DeWeese Carter Center (a hardware secure, DJS-operated facility for girls). Out-of-state contracted programs are also available, however, girls are most likely to be placed in a group home or RTC. Placement in an out-of-state program is uncommon.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2010-2012\*




### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012\*

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	68.3%	58.4%	59.7%
White	28.4%	37.3%	36.0%
Hispanic/Other	3.2%	4.3%	4.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.1%	0.4%	0.4%
13	1.8%	2.7%	5.1%
14	10.4%	9.4%	11.9%
15	26.3%	15.7%	19.8%
16	24.1%	27.1%	28.1%
17	22.7%	33.7%	23.7%
18-20	13.7%	11.0%	11.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>253</b>

#### Trends for Committed Placements:

- Committed placements decreased 8.9% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and decreased 0.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- First Time Placements (FY 2012):**
  - Of the 253 total committed placements, 41% were first time placements.
- Juveniles Placed (FY 2012):**
  - 21.7% were from the Southern Region, 19.4% were from the Central Region, and 18.2% were from Baltimore City.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 50.0% were from Washington D.C., and 50.0% were from Texas.
- Offense Type (FY 2012):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Second Degree Assault (28.1%).
- Offense Category (FY 2012):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (31.6%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (29.2%).
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS for all committed placements was 164.3 days.
- Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP for all committed placements in FY 2012 was 139.5.
- Completion Status:**
  - 36.0% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
  - 27.7% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 25.7% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2012
Offense Type	
Arson	2.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	3.2%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.5%
First Degree Assault	4.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%
Malicious Destruction	3.2%
Manslaughter	0.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.0%
Narcotics Possession	9.5%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.4%
Robbery	1.6%
Second Degree Assault	28.1%
Sex Offense	0.4%
Theft Felony	0.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	21.7%
Trespassing	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.2%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>7.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	3.2%
- Drugs	2.0%
- Unspecified	2.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>75.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.6%
- Weapons	2.4%
- Property	29.2%
- Drugs	9.5%
- Unspecified	2.8%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>7.5%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>253</i>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses


\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

### FEMALE COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS FOR FY 2010-2012, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2012

	Placements			FY 2012	
	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	29	41	23	19.3	215.6
Group Home	138	101	101	46.4	156.5
Indep. Living	6	21	13	8.9	202.4
ICFA	44	46	48	7	50.2
RTC	67	74	82	45.7	206.1
State Operated	9	12	22	9.8	188.3
Hardware Sec.	9	12	22	9.8	188.3
Out-of-State	9	1	3	2.4	804
RTC	3	1	2	1.5	804
Staff Secure	3	0	1	0.9	0
Hardware Sec.	3	0	0	0	0
<i>Total**</i>	<i>278</i>	<i>255</i>	<i>253</i>	<i>139.5</i>	<i>164.3</i>

\*\*Total placement count excludes transfers within and between program and/or program types; therefore may not add up to the total program type provided in this table.

### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program



## Foster Care

Regular Family Foster Care (FC) is continuous 24-hour care and supportive services provided to a youth in a DJS-approved family home. The foster family serves low-risk youth who cannot be managed in their own homes. Youth served may be experiencing behavioral problems and need a respite from family/neighborhood issues. Foster Care also serves youth who need long-term placement, primarily because homes may not be appropriate for them to return. Treatment Foster Care (TFC) is continuous 24-hour care and intensive support services operated by a licensed child placement agency or local Department of Social Services in a family setting for children with serious emotional, behavioral, medical, and/or psychological conditions. The behaviors of the youth served are not so severe that removal from the community is necessary.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2012

Treatment Foster Care	County	Adm.	ADP
Arrow Child & Fam. Ministries	Balt. Co.	6	3.6
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.	0	0.1 <sup>+</sup>
Concern TFC	Pr. George's	1	1.6
Contemporary Family Services	Pr. George's	0	1.0 <sup>+</sup>
Foundations for Home & Com.	Pr. George's	0	N/A
Greenleaf TFC	Mont.	0	N/A
Hearts & Homes- Family Ties	Mont.	2	0.1
Maple Shade After Care	Wicomico	0	1.4 <sup>+</sup>
Ment. MD-Balt Teens in Trans	Balt. Co.	40	21.2
Ment. MD-Lanham Childr. Ser.	Pr. George's	1	0.0
Ment. MD-Salisbury Teens	Wicomico	28	16.9
Multi-Dimen. Com. Solutions	Balt. Co.	1	1.1
Multi-Dimen. NW Human Ser	Mont.	1	0.1
New Pathways	Balt. Co.	0	N/A
Pressley Ridge TFC	Allegany	10	7.5
San Mar TFC	Washington	2	1.1
Woodbourne Center	Balt. City	1	0.3
In-Home Foster Care			
Individual Families	N/A	3	5.6
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<b>96</b>	<b>61.6</b>


<sup>+</sup>Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2012, ADP reflects a balance from FY 2011.

- **Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, overall placements to foster care decreased 22.0%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2012, Mentor Maryland - Baltimore Teens in Transition had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2012):**
  - 28.3% were from the Eastern Shore Region, 23.9% were from Baltimore City, and 16.3% were from the Western Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 100.0% were from Washington D.C.
- **Offense Type (FY 2012):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Second Degree Assault (15.2%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2012):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Property offenses (25.0%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (21.7%).
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 11.0% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 13.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 25.0% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 6.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 14.2% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
  - 43.4% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 26.4% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.



Arrow Child & Family Ministries located in Baltimore

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense Offense Type	FY 2012
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.2%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.4%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.4%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%
Disturbing the Peace	4.3%
First Degree Assault	2.2%
Handgun Violation	2.2%
Malicious Destruction	7.6%
Manslaughter	1.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.1%
Narcotics Possession	10.9%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	5.4%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%
Robbery	6.5%
Second Degree Assault	15.2%
Sex Offense	7.6%
Theft Felony	1.1%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.0%
Trespassing	3.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.1%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>17.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	3.3%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	3.3%
- Drugs	1.1%
- Unspecified	4.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>63.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	21.7%
- Weapons	3.3%
- Property	25.0%
- Drugs	10.9%
- Unspecified	2.2%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.2%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>92</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	66.1%	71.9%	62.0%
White	28.0%	24.0%	32.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.9%	4.1%	5.4%
Sex			
Male	75.4%	66.1%	75.0%
Female	24.6%	33.9%	25.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
12	0.8%	3.3%	1.1%
13	2.5%	0.0%	6.5%
14	5.1%	8.3%	5.4%
15	10.2%	17.4%	10.9%
16	20.3%	21.5%	13.0%
17	33.1%	25.6%	20.7%
18-20	28.0%	21.5%	42.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>92</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Group Homes (General and Therapeutic)

General Group homes are non-profit residential programs for youth in out-of-home placement licensed by DHR, DJS, or Mental Hygiene Administration (MHA) part of DHMH. They provide out-of-home care for four or more youth who are moderate-to-high-risk, and need more structure and supervision than a relative, foster parent, or treatment foster parent could offer, providing a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services.

Therapeutic Group Homes (TGH) are residential programs for youth in out-of-home care that are licensed by MHA and must be non-profit organizations. TGHs provide access to a range of diagnostic and therapeutic mental health services to youth who are moderate-to-high-risk and are emotionally or developmentally disabled.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2012


Group Homes	County	Adm.	ADP
ARC of Washington Co.	Washington	0	2.0 <sup>+</sup>
Arrow Child & Family Ministr.	Harford	0	1.0 <sup>+</sup>
Big Pine Children's Home	Washington	8	9.8
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.	4	8.3
Catocin Summit Adol. Prog	Frederick	25	11.5
Cedar Ridge	Washington	29	20.4
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	Balt. Co.	5	2.2
Greentree Adolescent	Mont.	24	12.9
Hearts and Homes	Mont/Pr. G	56	23.9
Karma Academy for Boys	Balt. Co.	10	7.1
Kent Youth Boys GH	Kent	14	9.6
KEYS	Balt. City	2	0.1
MD Sheriff's Youth Ranch	Frederick	10	5.1
Morning Star Youth Academy	Dorchester	57	28.2
Oak Hill House	Washington	19	13.8
One Love	Balt. City	7	4.7
Our House	Mont.	25	12.2
Potomac Ridge GH	An. Arund	11	12.2
Salem Residential	Allegany	13	11.1
San Mar- Anna Findlay	Washington	15	6.8
St. Ann's Infant & Maternity Pr.	Pr. George's	4	1.1
TuTTie's Place	Balt. City	1	0.0
Way Home - Mt Manor	Balt. City	16	6.4
<b>Therapeutic Group Homes</b>			
Board of Child Care	Charl/Calv	38	11.2
Cedar Ridge	Washington	5	6.7
Guide	City/Pr. G	11	9.6
Maple Sh. Mardela Spec. Care	Wicomico	4	1.2
Mary's Mount Manor*	An. Arund	20	7.2
Mosaic	Howard	0	0.7 <sup>+</sup>
Redl House*	Mont.	16	6.6
San Mar - Allegany Girls	Allegany	13	6.3
San Mar - Jack E. Barr	Washington	3	1.1
Villa Maria	Balt. Co.	0	N/A
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<b>465</b>	<b>260.8</b>

\*Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2012, ADP reflects a balance from FY 2011.

\* Hearts and Homes

- **Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, overall placements to group homes decreased 13.0%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2012, Morning Star Youth Academy had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2012):**
  - 27.1% were from the Metro Region, 17.8% were from Baltimore City, and 16.3% were from the Central Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 50.0% were from Washington D.C., and 20.0% were from Pennsylvania.
- **Offense Type (FY 2012):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Second Degree Assault (20.7%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2012):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Property offenses (29.5%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (24.0%).
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 3.9% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 0.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 3.6% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 11.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 40.4% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
  - 33.6% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 18.7% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense Offense Type	FY 2012
Arson	0.9%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.1%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.8%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	2.9%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%
Disturbing the Peace	3.1%
First Degree Assault	0.9%
Handgun Violation	0.2%
Malicious Destruction	5.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.9%
Narcotics Possession	9.7%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.4%
Resisting Arrest	0.7%
Robbery	7.7%
Second Degree Assault	20.7%
Sex Offense	4.0%
Theft Felony	2.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.1%
Trespassing	2.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.3%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>13.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.8%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	5.3%
- Drugs	2.9%
- Unspecified	3.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>66.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	24.0%
- Weapons	1.1%
- Property	29.5%
- Drugs	9.7%
- Unspecified	2.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.2%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>454</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.0%	65.5%	70.5%
White	20.3%	28.4%	25.3%
Hispanic/Other	2.7%	6.0%	4.2%
Sex			
Male	73.6%	78.2%	77.8%
Female	26.4%	21.8%	22.2%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
12	0.2%	0.6%	0.4%
13	4.0%	4.3%	5.3%
14	9.8%	9.7%	9.5%
15	24.7%	21.6%	19.8%
16	26.4%	22.8%	25.8%
17	25.1%	30.6%	30.0%
18-20	9.8%	9.9%	9.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>522</i>	<i>464</i>	<i>454</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Independent Living Programs

Independent living programs are implemented by a child placement agency licensed by the Department of Human Resources (DHR) for youth ages 15 to 21 who need to become self-sufficient and learn responsible living as they are unlikely to return home. The youth reside in either group homes or supervised apartment units, and must enroll in high school, college, vocational training, or be gainfully employed. Services may include assisting youth to graduate from high school or complete vocational/job training; connecting youth to resources for somatic and mental health services; teaching interpersonal skills; money management; job readiness; conflict management; positive leisure opportunities and communication skills. Older youth who are returning to the community from staff secure and hardware secure placement and who, for a variety of reasons, are not returning to family, are transitioned to independent living programs.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2012

Independent Living	County	Adm.	ADP
Bay Shore Services, Inc.*	Wicomico	0	0.2 <sup>+</sup>
Brd of Child Care- Alt for Yth	St. Mary's	1	1.5
Damamli	Balt. Co.	1	2.2
Future Bound	Mont.	3	4.2
Hearts & Home- Starting Over	Pr. George's	0	N/A
Jumoke	Balt. City	7	1.1
Ment. MD- Balt Teens in Trans	Balt. Co.	9	6.7
Ment. MD- Salisbury	Wicomico	1	0.1
New Pathways-Independ Plus	Balt. City	3	1.2
San Mar- Anderson House	Washington	1	0.1
Alternative Living Units			
Arrow Child & Family Ministri.	Balt. Co.	4	3.4
NCIA Youth in Transition	Balt. Co.	17	15.5
Respite Care			
Sheppard Pratt Towson Respite	Balt. Co.	1	0.2
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<b>48</b>	<b>36.2</b>

\* DJS does not contract with this program; it was part of a one-time interagency agreement that DJS funded the education costs for one youth who had a special situation.


<sup>+</sup>Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2012, ADP reflects a balance from FY 2011.



NCIA has partnered with Jiffy Lube to provide automotive training for youth. NCIA's new automotive center provides youth a foundation of work skills that lead to internships, professional licensure and employment opportunities.

- **Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, overall placements to independent living programs decreased 7.7%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2012, NCIA Youth in Transition had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2012):**
  - 39.6% were from Baltimore City, 35.4% were from the Metro Region, and 6.3% were from the Southern Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 100.0% were from Washington D.C.
- **Offense Type (FY 2012):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Theft Misdemeanor offenses (16.7%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2012):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence offenses (29.2%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (20.8%).
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 10.0% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 16.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP increased 16.0% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 2.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 18.0% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
  - 54.0% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 22.0% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense Offense Type	FY 2012
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.1%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	12.5%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.2%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%
Disturbing the Peace	4.2%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	8.3%
Narcotics Possession	2.1%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.2%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	14.6%
Second Degree Assault	14.6%
Sex Offense	8.3%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.7%
Trespassing	4.2%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.1%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>29.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>18.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	4.2%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	2.1%
- Drugs	8.3%
- Unspecified	4.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>47.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	18.8%
- Weapons	2.1%
- Property	20.8%
- Drugs	2.1%
- Unspecified	4.2%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.2%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>48</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	80.8%	84.4%	83.3%
White	15.4%	15.6%	12.5%
Hispanic/Other	3.8%	0.0%	4.2%
Sex			
Male	88.5%	72.7%	72.9%
Female	11.5%	27.3%	27.1%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	1.9%	1.3%	0.0%
15	9.6%	5.2%	4.2%
16	15.4%	7.8%	16.7%
17	25.0%	37.7%	22.9%
18-20	48.1%	48.1%	56.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>48</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.




## Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFAs)

An ICFA is the most intensive level for residential substance abuse services providing drug and alcohol abuse assessment, treatment, and/or education for moderate-to-high-risk youth. Youth served may have problems in other regions of their lives, i.e., mental health, school, family, peer group, and community. These programs are intense, closed programs able to serve not only substance abusing youth, but also dually diagnosed youth, that is, youth who have both a psychiatric diagnosis for mental health and assessed substance abuse problem. These programs are intended to stabilize the youth, initiate drug treatment and/or counseling services, and develop recommendations for services upon discharge.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2012

ICFA	County	Adm.	ADP
The Jackson Unit	Allegany	125	20.7
Mountain Manor Drug Tr. Ctr.	Balt. City	124	13.6
Pathways Addictions Treat Ctr.	An. Arund	0	N/A
<i>Total Admissions</i>	<i>All</i>	<i>249</i>	<i>34.3</i>

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



- **Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, overall placements to ICFAs decreased 9.5%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2012, The Jackson Unit had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2012):**
  - 25.7% were from the Central Region, 23.7% were from the Southern Region, and 16.5% were from Baltimore City.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 66.7% were from Pennsylvania, and 33.3% were from Texas.
- **Offense Type (FY 2012):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Narcotics Possession (28.1%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2012):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Drug offenses (28.1%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (26.1%).
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 7.3% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 9.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 4.7% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 4.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 73.7% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
  - 6.9% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 12.5% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.



Mountain Manor youth engaged in a group meeting

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense Offense Type	FY 2012
Arson	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.2%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.4%
First Degree Assault	0.4%
Handgun Violation	0.4%
Malicious Destruction	4.4%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	6.0%
Narcotics Possession	28.1%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.4%
Resisting Arrest	0.4%
Robbery	3.2%
Second Degree Assault	12.9%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.9%
Trespassing	2.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>8.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>13.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.4%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	4.8%
- Drugs	6.0%
- Unspecified	2.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>73.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	13.3%
- Weapons	2.4%
- Property	26.1%
- Drugs	28.1%
- Unspecified	3.6%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>249</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	50.2%	51.4%	39.4%
White	45.5%	45.1%	56.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.4%	3.5%	4.0%
Sex			
Male	84.0%	82.0%	80.7%
Female	16.0%	18.0%	19.3%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
13	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%
14	2.5%	3.9%	2.8%
15	16.4%	11.0%	9.6%
16	22.2%	25.1%	23.7%
17	34.2%	35.3%	42.6%
18-20	24.4%	23.5%	21.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>249</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs)

RTCs are residential treatment facilities required to have psychiatrists, psychologists and psychiatric nurses on staff to lead treatment. Maryland RTCs are approved for operation through the State Certificate of Need (CON) process and are licensed through the Mental Health Administration. Some RTCs concentrate on specific populations of youth. For example, locked RTCs focus on youth with behavioral problems and/or who are considered to be potentially harmful to self or others. The RTCs are intended to stabilize the youth's emotional condition, provide services that increase the youth's ability to manage their mental health illness as a potentially life-long challenge, develop social skills for coping with both daily and difficult situations and interpersonal relationships and to transition the youth to a less restrictive environment or to home.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2012

Residential Treatment Fac.	County	Adm.	ADP
Baltimore RTC*	Balt. City	6	4.5
Behav. Health- Anne Arundel**	An. Arund	8	5.6
Behav. Health- Eastern Shore**	Dorchester	24	14.5
Good Shepherd Ctr Female Prg	Balt. Co.	30	25.9
Good Shepherd Ctr Male Prg	Balt. Co.	9	7.8
Jefferson School	Frederick	27	17.9
New Dir. Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.	9	19.7
Potomac Ridge RTC	Mont.	18	11.5
Rockville RTC*	Mont.	0	2.1 <sup>+</sup>
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	Balt. Co.	12	5.6
Villa Maria	Balt. Co.	4	1.3
Woodbourne	Balt. City	19	27.4
<b>Psychiatric Hospitals<sup>1</sup></b>			
Eastern Shore Acute Unit**	Dorchester	3	0.8
Sheppard Pratt Hosp. Towson	Balt. Co.	2	0.1
Springfield Adult Hospital Ctr.	Carroll	1	0.1
Spring Grove Hospital Center	Balt. Co.	61	6.7
<b>Diagnostic Unit/Clinical Evaluation Unit (CEU)<sup>1</sup></b>			
Arrow Child & Fam Ministries	Balt. Co.	30	6.3
Brief Assess. Unit-Rockville*	Mont.	1	0.2
Rockville CEU Diagnostic*	Mont.	10	2.7
Woodbourne Child Diag Tr Ctr	Balt. City	23	5.6
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<b>297</b>	<b>166.5</b>

\*RICA; \*\* Potomac Ridge


<sup>1</sup> Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Unit/CEUs are included on the RTC table because similar services are provided at these facilities.

- **Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, overall placements to residential treatment centers increased 20.0%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2012, Spring Grove Hospital Center had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2012):**
  - 28.3% were from the Southern Region, 15.9% were from the Metro Region, and 15.9% were from the Eastern Shore Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 100.0% were from Washington D.C.
- **Offense Type (FY 2012):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Second Degree Assault (31.9%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2012):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (35.9%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (26.1%).
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 11.9% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 12.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP increased 20.3% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 4.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 43.0% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
  - 14.0% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 27.4% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.



Woodbourne RTC

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense Offense Type	FY 2012
Arson	1.8%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.7%
Deadly Weapon	3.6%
Disturbing the Peace	5.4%
First Degree Assault	2.2%
Handgun Violation	0.7%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.4%
Narcotics Possession	6.2%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.9%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%
Robbery	2.9%
Second Degree Assault	31.9%
Sex Offense	8.7%
Theft Felony	1.1%
Theft Misdemeanor	17.4%
Trespassing	1.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.8%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>11.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	3.3%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	2.5%
- Drugs	0.4%
- Unspecified	0.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>74.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	35.9%
- Weapons	4.3%
- Property	26.1%
- Drugs	6.2%
- Unspecified	2.2%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.4%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>5.8%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>276</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.6%	55.9%	58.0%
White	34.3%	41.3%	40.2%
Hispanic/Other	3.0%	2.8%	1.8%
Sex			
Male	70.9%	74.1%	70.3%
Female	29.1%	25.9%	29.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.9%	0.3%	1.4%
12	2.2%	1.7%	2.5%
13	5.7%	5.9%	8.0%
14	17.4%	15.4%	16.7%
15	22.6%	20.6%	19.6%
16	27.4%	26.2%	26.8%
17	17.8%	23.1%	18.8%
18-20	6.1%	6.6%	6.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>230</i>	<i>286</i>	<i>276</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Out-of-State (OOS) Programs

DJS' policy states that youth may not be placed out-of-state without the approval of the Secretary or designee. The Department adheres to Interstate Compact requirements and agreements with other states regarding request for permission and notification when youth are placed in another state. Maryland Law includes specific criteria for out-of-state placement including the condition that a youth's individualized needs cannot be met through in-state resources. Youth placed in out-of-state facilities are visited by DJS staff at least quarterly, and parents/guardians are provided with opportunities to visit youth at least once per quarter. *Note: Although MD Law specifies numerous OOS program types (i.e. group homes, RTCs, hospitals, etc...) data will be presented on this page only for programs not already captured on a previous page in the Data Resource Guide.*

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2012

Residential Treatment Facility	State	Adm.	ADP
AdvoServ	DE	0	1.4 <sup>+</sup>
Boys' Town	NE	4	2.0
Cottonwood Treatment Center	UT	1	0.9
Devereux (Florida)	FL	3	2.0
Devereux (Georgia)	GA	4	2.8
Keystone Newport News	VA	3	0.3
Three Rivers	SC	1	0.2
UHS of Del-Gulf Coast Treat Ctr	FL	0	1.0 <sup>+</sup>
UHS of Del-Laurel Oaks Behav. Hlth.	AL	3	0.7
<b>Staff Secure</b>			
Bennington School-Intens. Care	VT	2	0.8
Canyon State Academy	AZ	7	8.1
Clarinda Acad. Residen Treat Pr	IA	21	13.0
Cornell Abraxas	PA	15	6.0
Glen Mills School	PA	11	12.1
KidLink Netw-Found. for Living	OH	1	0.9
Lakeside Academy	MI	3	1.4
Natchez Trace Youth Academy*	TN	23	13.1
Summit Academy	PA	7	2.9
Woodward Academy**	IA	13	11.3
<b>Hardware Secure</b>			
Cornell Abraxas Academy	PA	24	12.7
Mid-Atlantic Yth Serv-Luzerne Co	PA	7	7.6
Mid-Atlantic Yth Serv-Western	PA	18	15.2
Turning Point Youth Center***	MI	6	7.0
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<i>177</i>	<i>123.5</i>

\*Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2012, ADP reflects a balance from FY 2011. \*\*Keystone Continuum LLC \*\*\*Woodward Youth Corporation DBA  
\*\*\*CCS of Lansing, Inc





**Natchez Trace Youth Academy**

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

- **Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, overall placements to out-of-state programs increased 28.8%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2012, Cornell Abraxas Academy had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2012):**
  - 45.9% were from Baltimore City, 25.3% were from the Metro Region, and 11.8% were from the Southern Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 90.9% were from Washington D.C., and 9.1% were from Alabama.
- **Offense Type (FY 2012):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Robbery (21.2%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2012):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence offenses (37.1%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (18.8%).
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 4.6% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 7.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP increased 24.7% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 6.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 62.5% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
  - 13.1% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 17.3% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012





## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense Offense Type	FY 2012
Arson	2.9%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.2%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.1%
Carjacking	4.1%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	1.8%
Deadly Weapon	2.4%
Disturbing the Peace	1.2%
First Degree Assault	1.8%
Handgun Violation	2.4%
Malicious Destruction	1.8%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	1.8%
Narcotics Distribution	7.1%
Narcotics Possession	9.4%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	6.5%
Resisting Arrest	0.6%
Robbery	21.2%
Second Degree Assault	16.5%
Sex Offense	2.4%
Theft Felony	1.2%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.5%
Trespassing	1.2%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.4%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>37.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>14.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.8%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	2.9%
- Drugs	7.1%
- Unspecified	2.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>47.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	18.8%
- Weapons	4.7%
- Property	10.6%
- Drugs	9.4%
- Unspecified	3.5%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.8%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>170</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	88.6%	87.9%	89.4%
White	6.1%	7.8%	5.9%
Hispanic/Other	5.3%	4.3%	4.7%
Sex			
Male	93.2%	99.3%	98.2%
Female	6.8%	0.7%	1.8%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%
14	3.0%	3.5%	3.5%
15	13.6%	12.8%	14.1%
16	23.5%	27.7%	26.5%
17	32.6%	38.3%	38.2%
18-20	27.3%	17.0%	17.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>170</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Backbone Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Martin Sharpless  
Rated Capacity: 48 Males

**Staff Secure - Western Region**

Rte 1, 124 Camp 4 Road  
Swanton, MD 21562  
301-359-9190

In 1964, the General Assembly appropriated funds to establish a thirty-five bed forestry camp on Backbone Mountain in Garrett County (Chapter 159, Acts of 1964). The Camp opened in May 1966. It was renamed Backbone Mountain Youth Center in 1977. The Center is located on Backbone Mountain in Garrett County, Maryland. The Backbone Mountain School is housed at the Center and provides regular classroom instruction, GED preparation, and a carpentry vocational program. Backbone Mountain is home to the Backbone Mountain College Program based on Temple University's Inside/Out Program. Some students are admitted to the program for one college semester while in the Department's care. Each student will earn his Maryland High School Diploma as well as earn six to fifteen credits as a college freshman at Garrett Community College. The vocational component is a carpentry program where students earn a 60-hour certificate listing the building and trades competencies learned while in the Department's care. Youth receive individual and group substance abuse interventions, individual therapy/counseling, and psychiatric services as needed.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2010-2012



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	81.7%	81.1%	83.6%
White	15.6%	11.3%	11.7%
Hispanic/Other	2.8%	7.5%	4.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	2.8%	0.9%	4.7%
15	13.8%	14.2%	14.8%
16	27.5%	24.5%	29.7%
17	40.4%	39.6%	35.9%
18-20	15.6%	20.8%	14.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>128</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 17.4% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 20.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2012):

- 35.2% were from Baltimore City, 25.8% were from the Metro Region, and 14.8% were from the Central Region.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 50.0% were from Washington D.C., and 50.0% were from Delaware.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2012):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Narcotics Possession (17.2%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2012):

- The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Property offenses (21.1%) and Misdemeanor Drug offenses (17.2%).
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 15.9% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 13.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 0.2% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 1.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Completion Status:

- 62.2% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
- 2.4% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
- 35.4% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.

#### • Utilization Rate:

- Backbone Mountain's utilization rate for FY 2012 was 98.1% (based on the facility's rated capacity).




## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2012
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.9%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.3%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.2%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%
Disturbing the Peace	2.3%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.6%
Malicious Destruction	4.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.8%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	7.8%
Narcotics Possession	17.2%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	10.9%
Second Degree Assault	14.1%
Sex Offense	0.8%
Theft Felony	3.1%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.3%
Trespassing	1.6%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.6%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>14.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>22.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.6%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	8.6%
- Drugs	7.8%
- Unspecified	4.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>58.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	13.3%
- Weapons	3.1%
- Property	21.1%
- Drugs	17.2%
- Unspecified	3.9%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.1%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>128</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Green Ridge Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Judy Hodel  
Rated Capacity: 40 Males

**Staff Secure - Western Region**

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road  
Flintstone, MD 21530  
301-478-2930

Green Ridge Youth Center was a Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) Camp during the Great Depression and later housed German American Prisoners of War (POWs) during World War II. The next transition for Green Ridge Youth Center was as a rural work camp or Forestry Camp that provided a transition for boys that were being released from the juvenile justice system. Green Ridge currently is a treatment center for forty young men ranging in age from 13-18. The facility offers three types of programming for the youth. Mountain Quest is a 90-day Wilderness based program for youth who are facing their first commitment. The goal of this program is to provide each young man with the time to think about where he is in life and to give him some basic skills for a successful social adjustment. Revelations is a 6-9 month Intensive Outpatient Program that uses Seven Challenges to help young men needing more intensive drug treatment. Odyssey and Team Unity are 6-9 month groups designed for young men who need intensive behavior and social modification treatment. Each of these groups is also offered as outpatient and early intervention drug treatment using Seven Challenges.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2010-2012



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	51.5%	61.1%	62.7%
White	30.3%	28.9%	30.9%
Hispanic/Other	18.2%	10.0%	6.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	2.0%	1.1%	1.8%
14	11.1%	10.0%	5.5%
15	19.2%	25.6%	19.1%
16	26.3%	27.8%	32.7%
17	30.3%	26.7%	30.9%
18-20	11.1%	8.9%	10.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>110</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 11.1% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 22.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2012):

- 43.6% were from the Western Region, 36.4% were from the Metro Region, and 14.5% were from the Central Region.
- There were no placements from Out-of-State at this facility.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2012):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Second Degree Assault (21.8%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2012):

- The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Property offenses (34.5%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (23.6%).
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 2.2% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 15.9% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 1.8% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 2.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Completion Status:

- 72.3% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
- 10.7% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
- 11.6% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.

#### • Utilization Rate:

- Green Ridge Youth Center's utilization rate for FY 2012 was 97.0% (based on the facility's rated capacity).




### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2012
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.8%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.3%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	9.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.8%
First Degree Assault	0.9%
Handgun Violation	0.9%
Malicious Destruction	9.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.8%
Narcotics Possession	7.3%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.5%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%
Robbery	7.3%
Second Degree Assault	21.8%
Sex Offense	1.8%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	17.3%
Trespassing	0.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.8%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>10.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.8%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	1.8%
- Drugs	1.8%
- Unspecified	7.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>70.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	23.6%
- Weapons	0.9%
- Property	34.5%
- Drugs	7.3%
- Unspecified	4.5%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.6%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.8%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>110</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## J. DeWeese Carter Center (FY 2012) Waxter (FY 2010-2011) 300 Scheeler Road


Superintendent: Derrick Witherspoon  
Rated Capacity: 14 Females

**Staff Secure - Eastern Region**

Chestertown, MD 21620  
410-778-6444

The J. DeWeese Carter Center opened as a secure residential facility in November 2011 to serve girls ages 14-18 who are committed to DJS. The program provides gender responsive, trauma-informed rehabilitation and treatment services. The Center implements CHALLENGE, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation. The program's goals for the girls include accepting responsibility for behavior, learning problem solving strategies and peer leadership skills, and developing/improving pro-social skills. Services include individual and group therapy, substance abuse education and treatment, health education, medication assessment and monitoring, and family therapy. The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) provides academic and vocational services. The school provides a 12-month schedule that includes six hours of daily instruction five days a week. The school offers instruction in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, life skills, as well as individualized GED programs that prepare students for successful completion of a high school diploma examination. MSDE offers vocational programming in computer literacy and the ServSafe Program, both of which allow girls to earn certificates to help them secure employment at discharge.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2010-2012



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	88.9%	100.0%	77.3%
White	11.1%	0.0%	18.2%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	11.1%	0.0%	9.1%
15	44.4%	8.3%	18.2%
16	11.1%	41.7%	31.8%
17	22.2%	33.3%	31.8%
18-20	11.1%	16.7%	9.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>22</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 144.4% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 83.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2012):

- 22.7% were from the Metro Region, 22.7% were from Baltimore City, and 18.2% were from the Central Region.
- There were no placements from Out-of-State at this facility.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2012):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Second Degree Assault (40.9%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2012):

- The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (40.9%) and Crimes of Violence offenses (22.7%).
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 24.8% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 19.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP increased 46.3% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 25.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Completion Status:

- 21.1% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
- 21.1% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
- 21.1% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.

#### • Utilization Rate:

- J. DeWeese Carter Center's utilization rate for FY 2012 was 70.0% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

*Data on these pages reflect the Young Women's Program at Carter in FY 2012 and Thomas J.S. Waxter's Committed Program for Girls during FY 2010 and FY 2011.*




## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2012
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	13.6%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault	13.6%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	4.5%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	9.1%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	4.5%
Second Degree Assault	40.9%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.6%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>22.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>77.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	40.9%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	13.6%
- Drugs	9.1%
- Unspecified	13.6%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>22</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Meadow Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Leslie Wilhelm  
 Rated Capacity: 40 Males

**Staff Secure - Western Region**

234 Recovery Road  
 Grantsville, MD 21535  
 301-895-5669

Meadow Mountain Youth Center opened as Meadow Mountain Forestry Camp for Boys in June, 1958 (Chapter 454, Acts of 1957). The Camp reopened as Meadow Mountain Youth Center in October, 1984. The Center is unique among the Youth Centers because it offers an intensive out-patient program providing youth with at least 9-12 hours of drug treatment weekly. In addition, Meadow Mountain houses the Reflections Program which includes a high and low ropes challenge course, an aquatic challenge, and different outdoor activities (i.e., hiking, biking, and rock climbing). The Center is located next to New Germany State Park in Garrett County, Maryland. The Meadow Mountain School is housed at the Center and provides regular classroom instruction, GED preparation, and an aquaculture/science vocational program. In the aquaculture program, students earn a 60-hour certificate listing the competencies needed to become an Aquaculture Technician. Youth also receive individual counseling/therapy, and psychiatric services if needed.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2010-2012



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	79.0%	73.4%	73.0%
White	18.5%	19.1%	21.6%
Hispanic/Other	2.5%	7.4%	5.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	2.5%	2.1%	6.3%
15	13.6%	9.6%	16.2%
16	25.9%	30.9%	18.9%
17	43.2%	42.6%	45.0%
18-20	14.8%	14.9%	13.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>111</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements increased 37.0% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 18.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2012):**
  - 24.3% were from Baltimore City, 23.4% were from the Metro Region, and 20.7% were from the Southern Region.
  - There were no placements from Out-of-State at this facility.
- **Offense Type (FY 2012):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Theft Misdemeanor offenses (18.9%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2012):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Property offenses (30.6%) and Misdemeanor Drug offenses (15.3%).
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 21.2% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 20.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 1.0% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 1.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 63.1% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
  - 2.7% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 32.4% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.
- **Utilization Rate:**
  - Meadow Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate for FY 2012 was 100% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.




## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2012
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.8%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.3%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	2.7%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%
Disturbing the Peace	3.6%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.8%
Malicious Destruction	5.4%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.8%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	9.9%
Narcotics Possession	15.3%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%
Robbery	8.1%
Second Degree Assault	13.5%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.6%
Theft Misdemeanor	18.9%
Trespassing	2.7%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>19.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	5.4%
- Drugs	9.9%
- Unspecified	4.5%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>63.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	14.4%
- Weapons	2.7%
- Property	30.6%
- Drugs	15.3%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.8%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.6%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>111</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Savage Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Todd Foote  
 Rated Capacity: 36 Males

**Staff Secure - Western Region**

164 Freedom Lane  
 Lonaconing, MD 21539  
 301-463-2244

The success of the first forestry camp for boys at Green Ridge prompted the General Assembly to appropriate funds for additional facilities in 1956 (Chapter 98, Acts of 1956). Lonaconing Forestry Camp for Boys opened in December 1957, and was charged with the rehabilitation of adjudicated males 14-18 years of age. The Camp was renamed Savage Mountain Youth Center in 1977. In December 1999, the Center closed but it was reopened in April 2001. The Savage Mountain School is housed at the Center and provides regular classroom instruction, GED preparation, and an automotive vocational program. In the automotive program, students earn a 60-hour certificate listing the automotive competencies learned.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2010-2012



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	86.4%	93.6%	86.9%
White	9.1%	6.4%	13.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	10.6%	2.6%	7.1%
15	21.2%	19.2%	14.1%
16	33.3%	38.5%	30.3%
17	24.2%	33.3%	33.3%
18-20	10.6%	6.4%	15.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>99</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements increased 50.0% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 26.9% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2012):**
  - 32.3% were from Baltimore City, 29.3% were from the Metro Region, and 13.1% were from the Southern Region.
  - There were no placements from Out-of-State at this facility.
- **Offense Type (FY 2012):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Second Degree Assault (19.2%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2012):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (21.2%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (21.2%).
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 6.9% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 7.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP increased 56.3% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 1.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 60.4% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
  - 6.3% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 28.1% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.
- **Utilization Rate:**
  - Savage Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate for FY 2012 was 99.4% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.




## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2012
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	11.1%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.1%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.1%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	3.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	9.1%
Narcotics Possession	5.1%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.0%
Robbery	10.1%
Second Degree Assault	19.2%
Sex Offense	1.0%
Theft Felony	4.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	12.1%
Trespassing	2.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>18.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>22.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.0%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	7.1%
- Drugs	9.1%
- Unspecified	5.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>54.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	21.2%
- Weapons	4.0%
- Property	21.2%
- Drugs	5.1%
- Unspecified	3.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	99


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Victor Cullen Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: James Washington  
Rated Capacity: 48 Males

**Hardware Secure - Western Region**

6000 Cullen Drive  
Sabillasville, MD 21780  
301-739-7543

In July 2007, the Victor Cullen Center (VCC) reopened under state administration as a regional committed treatment center. VCC is a secure facility for delinquent males ages 15-18 in a six- to nine-month treatment program for mental health issues and/or substance abuse. VCC was originally a sanatorium for tuberculosis patients named after the physician in charge of the institution, Dr. Victor F. Cullen. Presently, DJS oversees the treatment/residential programs while the Maryland State Board of Education oversees the education program. The facility operates a behavioral management program utilizing points and levels to provide incentives for youth to develop pro-social behaviors. This includes reinforcing appropriate coping behaviors, providing group interventions in community problem-solving and pro-social skills, and offering opportunities to expand vocational and educational competencies. Behavioral health services include individual, group and family psychotherapy, psychiatric services including medication assessment and management, and emergency psychiatric/crisis intervention. Substance abuse services are also provided on multiple intensity levels dependent upon the youth's assessed need.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2010-2012



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	83.1%	84.4%	90.6%
White	14.5%	8.3%	5.7%
Hispanic/Other	2.4%	7.3%	3.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	6.0%	6.4%	2.8%
15	13.3%	9.2%	13.2%
16	26.5%	26.6%	29.2%
17	34.9%	42.2%	33.0%
18-20	19.3%	15.6%	21.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>106</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 27.7% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and decreased 2.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2012):

- 45.3% were from the Metro Region, 34.9% were from Baltimore City, and 5.7% were from the Southern Region.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 100.0% were from Washington D.C.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2012):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Robbery (19.8%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2012):

- The most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence (29.2%), Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (16.0%).
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS increased 5.1% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 3.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP increased 7.7% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 6.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Completion Status:

- 71.6% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
- 14.9% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
- 4.5% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.

#### • Utilization Rate:

- Victor Cullen Center's utilization rate for FY 2012 was 87.3% (based on the facility's rated capacity).




## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2012
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.8%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.7%
Carjacking	2.8%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	7.5%
Deadly Weapon	2.8%
Disturbing the Peace	2.8%
First Degree Assault	2.8%
Handgun Violation	2.8%
Malicious Destruction	1.9%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.9%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	6.6%
Narcotics Possession	10.4%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	6.6%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%
Robbery	19.8%
Second Degree Assault	13.2%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.5%
Trespassing	0.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>29.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>18.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.8%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	3.8%
- Drugs	6.6%
- Unspecified	5.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>48.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	16.0%
- Weapons	5.7%
- Property	13.2%
- Drugs	10.4%
- Unspecified	2.8%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.9%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.8%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>106</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## William Donald Schaefer House (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Johnitha McNair  
Rated Capacity: 19 Males

**Group Home - Baltimore City Region**

907-909 Druid Park Lake Drive  
Baltimore, MD 21217  
410-230-3189

Opened in 1992, William Donald Schaefer House is situated across from the reservoir in Baltimore City's Druid Hill Park. It provides services to males ages 14-18 and is accredited by the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration. Residents are referred by counselors for substance addiction treatment in a small, nurturing environment. Youth receive intensive individualized and group counseling daily, attend alcohol and drug education classes twice a week, and health education classes given by the program's registered nurse. Residents attend school five days per week, five hours per day in an on-site classroom and a classroom located in a building adjacent to Schaefer House. Youth either earn credits that are accepted by their home schools upon release or prepare for the GED exam. The majority of residents who take the exam secure their GEDs. Residents participate in community service projects and utilize the State Job Bank to find jobs available upon their release. Once a youth has completed residential treatment, he graduates to an intensive community aftercare program to maintain the support network necessary for a drug and alcohol free life.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2010-2012



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	68.4%	77.5%	68.8%
White	18.4%	15.0%	15.6%
Hispanic/Other	13.2%	7.5%	15.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	7.9%	12.5%	1.6%
15	10.5%	17.5%	14.1%
16	26.3%	45.0%	31.2%
17	44.7%	22.5%	40.6%
18-20	10.5%	2.5%	12.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>64</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 68.4% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 60.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2012):

- 40.6% were from the Metro Region, 23.4% were from Baltimore City, and 18.8% were from the Central Region.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 100.0% were from Washington D.C.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2012):

- The most common offense types for juveniles placed in FY 2012 were Narcotics Possession (20.3%) and Second Degree Assault (20.3%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2012):

- The most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (23.4%), Misdemeanor Property offenses (20.3%), and Misdemeanor Drug offenses (20.3%).
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 8.4% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and decreased 0.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP increased 39.4% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 72.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.

#### • Completion Status:

- 58.4% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
- 26.7% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
- 12.9% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.

#### • Utilization Rate:

- William Donald Schaefer House's utilization rate for FY 2012 was 68.9% (based on the facility's rated capacity).




## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2012
Offense Type	
Arson	1.6%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.1%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.2%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.2%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	7.8%
Narcotics Possession	20.3%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	6.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.6%
Robbery	6.2%
Second Degree Assault	20.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.9%
Trespassing	1.6%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.6%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>10.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>17.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	4.7%
- Drugs	7.8%
- Unspecified	4.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>67.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	23.4%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	20.3%
- Drugs	20.3%
- Unspecified	3.1%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>3.1%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>64</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider)


Program Director: Kevin McLeod  
Rated Capacity: 48 Males

**Staff Secure**

999 Crouse Mill Road  
Keymar, Maryland 21757  
410-775-1745

Silver Oak Academy (SOA) is a private residential program owned and operated by Rite of Passage, Inc. SOA is an achievement-based program designed to highlight and develop positive pro-social skills and activities to assist students in transitioning to the community and be successful. The basis of achievement is measured through nine separate program elements. Silver Oak is privately owned and provides residential placements referred by the Maryland DJS. These students range in age from 14-18. Vocational training services include: food service & hospitality management, carpentry/electrical, construction/masonry, cosmetology/barbering, and electronics.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2010-2012



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	82.6%	89.2%	88.1%
White	11.6%	9.2%	10.4%
Hispanic/Other	5.8%	1.5%	1.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	2.3%	1.5%	4.5%
15	23.3%	18.5%	17.9%
16	34.9%	38.5%	38.8%
17	30.2%	38.5%	38.8%
18-20	9.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>67</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements decreased 22.1% between FY 2010 and FY 2012 and increased 3.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2012):**
  - 32.8% were from the Metro Region, 29.9% were from Baltimore City, and 19.4% were from the Central Region.
  - There were no placements from Out-of-State at this facility.
- **Offense Type (FY 2012):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2012 was Robbery (23.9%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2012):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence offenses (34.3%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (13.4%).
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 138.0% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 18.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP increased 71.9% between FY 2010 and FY 2012, and increased 1.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2012.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 70.0% of releases in FY 2012 were considered successful.
  - 17.1% of FY 2012 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 1.4% of releases in FY 2012 were transferred to another program.
- **Utilization Rate:**
  - Silver Oak's utilization rate for FY 2012 was 99.6% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.




## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2012
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	11.9%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.5%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.5%
First Degree Assault	1.5%
Handgun Violation	1.5%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	9.0%
Narcotics Possession	11.9%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.5%
Robbery	23.9%
Second Degree Assault	11.9%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	4.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	4.5%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.5%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>34.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>14.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%
- Property	4.5%
- Drugs	9.0%
- Unspecified	1.5%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>43.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	13.4%
- Weapons	1.5%
- Property	11.9%
- Drugs	11.9%
- Unspecified	4.5%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.5%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	67


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2010-2012



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2012



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Evidence-Based Services (EBS) for Committed and Probation Youth

DJS utilizes EBS in an effort to reduce the use of out-of-home placements and provide empirically supported community-based services that address key juvenile outcomes (e.g., recidivism, school attendance, etc). DJS currently supports three primary EBS: Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), and Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care - Adolescent (MTFC-A). These services were selected from Blueprints Model Programs (University of Colorado), which includes services that have demonstrated sustained reduction effects on violence and aggression, delinquency, and/or drug use. These services are family-based therapeutic models shown to be effective with high-risk youth involved with the juvenile justice system. DJS primarily targets the FFT and MST services for juveniles at risk for out-of-home placement and those being released from out-of-home placement. DJS typically targets MTFC-A services for juveniles court ordered to be placed out-of-home for behavior modification.

### PLACEMENTS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2012

EBS Providers	County/ Region	Place.	ADP
<b>Functional Family Therapy (FFT)</b>			
Center for Children, Inc	An. Arund.	81	30.2
	Calvert	20	7.8
	Charles	58	22.4
	St. Mary's	38	11.5
VisionQuest National	Balt. City	158	49.4
	Balt. Co.	9	2.2
	Carroll	14	3.1
	Harford	9	1.8
	Howard	4	1.0
	East. Shore	49	14.1
	Mont.	82	23.2
	Pr. George's	83	21.0
<b>Multisystemic Therapy (MST)</b>			
Community Counseling and Mentoring Services, Inc.	Mont.	10	3.0
	Pr. George's	54	15.4
Community Solutions, Inc.	Balt. Co.	53	15.6
North American Family Institute - Mid Atlantic Region	Balt. City	86	30.1
	Carroll	14	5.5
	Harford	13	4.4
	Howard	9	3.0
Way Station, Inc.	Frederick	7	1.3
	Washington	5	1.6
<b>Multidimensional Treat. Foster Care (MTFC)</b>			
Community Solutions, Inc.	Balt. Co.	1	1.1
Northwestern Human Serv.	Mont.	0	0.0
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>All Shown</b>	<b>857</b>	<b>268.5</b>

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2012

	FFT	MST	MTFC
Metro	27.3%	25.5%	0.0%
Southern	32.6%	0.0%	0.0%
E. Shore	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Western	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Central	6.9%	35.5%	100.0%
City	26.1%	34.3%	0.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>1</b>

- **Program Placements:**
    - Between FY 2011 and FY 2012, overall placements to EBS Programs increased 3.1%.
    - In FY 2012, there were 605 placements to FFT, 251 placements to MST, and 1 placement to MTFC.
  - **Juveniles Placed (FY 2012):**
    - At the time of placement to the EBS, 63% of juveniles were under probation and 33% on aftercare supervision (following commitment). The remaining 4% were pre-court.
    - FFT placements: 32.6% were from the Southern Region and 27.3% were from the Metro Region
    - MST placements: 35.5% were from the Central Region and 34.3% were from Baltimore City
    - MTFC: the 1 placement was from the Central Region
  - **Most Common Offense Type (FY 2012):**
    - FFT was Second Degree Assault (23.1%).
    - MST was Second Degree Assault (24.7%).
    - MTFC was Second Degree Assault (100%).
  - **Most Common Offense Category (FY 2012):**
    - FFT was Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (25.3%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (24.1%).
    - MST was Misdemeanor Property offenses (25.9%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (25.5%).
    - MTFC was Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (100%).
    - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
  - **Average Length of Stay (FY 2012):**
    - ALOS was highest for MTFC and lowest for MST.
  - **Average Daily Population (FY 2012):**
    - ADP was highest for FFT and lowest for MTFC.
  - **Completion Status According to Program Specific Criteria (FY 2012):**
    - 64.4% of juveniles discharged from FFT\* completed the program.
    - 60.1% of juveniles discharged from MST\* completed the program.
    - 100% of juveniles discharged from MTFC\* completed the program.
- \*Note that these percentages reflect cases discharged within therapist control.

Note: Data for the tables and bullets presented on the EBS pages comes from the Institute for Innovation and Implementation's EBS Database as well as from ASSIST records.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2012

Most Serious Offense	FFT	MST	MTFC
<b>Offense Type</b>			
Arson	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	1.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Bomb Threat	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.1%	6.8%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	3.8%	3.2%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	2.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.5%	1.2%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.3%	5.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	5.1%	4.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	13.1%	11.2%	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	3.8%	4.8%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Robbery	7.4%	7.2%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	23.1%	24.7%	100.0%
Sex Offense	2.6%	0.8%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.8%	2.8%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.1%	15.1%	0.0%
Trespassing	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.0%	2.0%	0.0%
<b>Offense Category</b>			
<b>Crimes of Violence Fel.<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	0.8%	0.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.3%	6.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	5.1%	4.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.8%	2.4%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>68.3%</b>	<b>68.9%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	25.3%	25.5%	100.0%
- Weapons	2.5%	2.8%	0.0%
- Property	24.1%	25.9%	0.0%
- Drugs	13.1%	11.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.3%	3.6%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Interstate Compact Missing</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>605</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>1</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes False Report and Harassment

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2012

Demographics	FFT	MST	MTFC
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	68.6%	76.5%	100.0%
White	19.3%	18.3%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	12.1%	5.2%	0.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Female	18.2%	19.1%	100.0%
Male	81.8%	80.9%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%
13	5.3%	5.2%	0.0%
14	8.6%	12.7%	0.0%
15	19.2%	24.7%	100.0%
16	27.6%	30.7%	0.0%
17	28.8%	24.7%	0.0%
18-20	9.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>605</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>1</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2012



## SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS AT DISCHARGE\*, FY 2012




\* Each program has its own measure of completion.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.





**Section V:  
Recidivism Rates**







## Measuring Recidivism Rates

Measuring recidivism for juvenile offenders is a vital part of program evaluation, and is an essential part of understanding the effectiveness of interventions to address delinquency. Though other outcomes are also important to study, including education, employment, substance abuse, and other non-offense-related outcomes, recidivism remains the key measure of success for juvenile justice programs.

### POST-COMMITMENT RECIDIVISM

DJS has undertaken and published annual recidivism reports for many years, which focused on youth returning from committed out-of-home placements. These studies have been refined and expanded over the years to encompass all out-of-home committed programs (from foster placements to secure confinement), to include information on both juvenile and adult reoffending, and to show three levels of recidivating: a new alleged offense, whether that new offense resulted in conviction, and whether a new out-of-home placement resulted. Presenting all three levels of recidivism provides a fuller picture of the level of new involvement, and is less influenced by police or court practices which can skew results if too narrow a focus is given. Youth are followed for three years from release, and results are shown by year, level of recidivism, demographics, county, program type, and by individual program. It is important to note that although rates are presented by committed program, recidivism is affected by more than just the quality of the program. The quality of aftercare supervision after a youth is released, the community and/or family to which youth return, local economic opportunities, and other factors beyond the Department's control can all affect outcomes.

### PROBATION RECIDIVISM

Committed out-of-home placements make up a relatively small portion of the cases managed by the Department. This year, DJS has expanded the annual recidivism study to encompass youth on probation. This cohort includes all youth newly placed under DJS supervision by a juvenile court, most often under a probation order, though occasionally youth are placed on DJS in-home supervision under a commitment order. These cases are included in the study. Recidivism events are tracked from the date of the start of supervision for one, two and three years, regardless of how long a youth remains under supervision. Cases supervised in home under the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) program are included in this study group.

### RECIDIVISM DEFINITION

The juvenile justice community has not reached a consensus on how best to define recidivism with one measure. Therefore, consistent with other studies, DJS focuses on several measures, including subsequent juvenile and/or criminal involvement of youth. Many youth under supervision or released from committed residential programs are 17 years old, and it is therefore important to include information from the adult criminal justice system and report both juvenile and adult recidivism rates. For purposes of recidivism studies, all new offenses are included, which encompasses new delinquent offenses, new criminal offenses, status and traffic offenses, and technical violations of probation not resulting from a new offense. It is important to note that only those new adjudications, convictions, commitments and incarcerations that stem from a new offense are included. All recidivism rates are youth counts, taking into consideration the juvenile entry first and then the adult entry. If a youth is found to have recidivated in both the juvenile and adult systems, the recidivism event is counted once. It is, however, possible for a youth to show up in both the probation and committed study cohorts. The following chart shows the two study groups, probation and committed, used to analyze the recidivism rates. It shows the cohort definition, date used to define the follow-up period, to what unit the case is assigned, and the summary data tables to be presented.

### A Note to Readers

For purposes of this *Data Resource Guide*, rates will be combined for the juvenile and adult system into three overall categories using the above mentioned Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism measures and labeled as:

1. Rearrest
2. Reconviction
3. Reincarceration

Cohort	Definition	Clock Starts	Assigned to	Data Tables Presented
Probation	Youth with new probation disposition in FY, who were not already on probation or aftercare, and youth with new committed disposition in FY, not already committed, and with no out-of-home placement.	Date of Probation or Committed Disposition	Region of Jurisdiction of Case Manager	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, VPI
Committed	Youth released from committed program in FY	Date of final release, excluding transfers	Final program from which youth was released	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Program Type, Program



Recidivism	Definition	Date Used	Includes	Excludes	Data Source	Follow Up
Juvenile Arrest	Arrests referred to DJS	Complaint date within follow-up period	All complaints referred to DJS	Arrests in other states, arrests for charges occurring prior to release arrests diverted by police and not referred to DJS	DJS ASSIST	12, 24, 36 Months
Adult Arrest	Adult arrest	Arrest date within follow-up period	All Maryland arrests under adult court jurisdiction	None	CJIS	12, 24, 36 Months
Juvenile Adjudication	Sustained delinquent adjudication of complaints included in re-referral count	Date of disposition within follow-up period	Sustained and adjudicated offenses with delinquent finding.	Continued cases	DJS ASSIST	12, 24, 36 Months
Adult Conviction	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count	Date of disposition within follow-up period	Guilty verdict with sustained charges	None	CJIS	12, 24, 36 Months
Juvenile Commitment	Commitment stemming from complaints included in referral and adjudication count	Date of admission to program	New committed admission stemming from re-referral and re-adjudication, even if commitment order already existed from a prior commitment	Commitments not resulting in out of home placement	DJS ASSIST	12, 24, 36 Months
Adult Incarceration	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count with jail time given either in the district or circuit court	Date of conviction within follow-up period	Guilty verdict with sustained charges and confinement in a local or district court	None	CJIS	12, 24, 36 Months

## DATA SOURCES

Information from two different databases (juvenile and adult) is retrieved, processed, and compiled for each of the selected released cohorts. Data gathering involved the following procedures:

- A list of all youths released from the DJS' committed residential programs is obtained from the Department's computerized system, known as ASSIST. In earlier years the Department used the then computerized system Information System for Youth Services (ISYS).
- A master file containing gender, race, date of birth, county of jurisdiction, region of jurisdiction, county of residence, region of residence, the last program name, and program type from which youth was released during the release cohort is created.
- Using the fields mentioned in the master file, sub-programs are created to obtain subsequent referrals, adjudications, and commitments with related fields. Each sub-program data set is compiled in such a way to help divide the follow-up time into one, two, and three years after release.
- To obtain the arrest and disposition information from the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), a list of all youth released from each year's cohort is arranged according to the specified data layout.
- The CJIS uses DJS youth name, date of birth, race and gender as identification or index fields to match the data to provide criminal history record information. Before the program actually looks for the matches, it checks the input data for validity. A check is made if a valid match is found and no check is made for any invalid match against 'Identification /Index' field and these records are added to a text file and returned to DJS with the rest of the response data. This allows DJS to check the unmatched data and submit it for another match run.
  - Name match is as follows: Smith, Gregory will match with Smith, Gregory and Smith Greg. Smith, Greg will match with Smith, Greg and Smith, Gr, but not with Smith, Gregory. DJS youth names are given in full and checked for any abbreviation as Gr or Greg for example.
- Any youth who recidivated in both systems is included in the DJS recidivism and counted only once by discarding that youth in the adult system.



## General Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases

### 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2009-2011 RELEASES, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2012

Follow-up Period	FY 2009			FY 2010			FY 2011		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration
12 Months	57.3%	19.2%	14.1%	56.2%	19.4%	13.6%	55.8%	20.6%	13.9%
24 Months	70.2%	34.8%	27.9%	69.5%	36.0%	28.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	76.3%	45.5%	39.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2011 releases at 12-months were:
  - Rearrest - 55.8%
  - Reconviction - 20.6%
  - Reincarceration - 13.9%
- When comparing the reoffending pattern at 12-months, rearrest rates decreased each year while reconviction rates have increased slightly each year. Reincarceration rates were lowest for FY 2010 releases.

### 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011 RELEASES

Demographics	FY 2011 Releases						
	Total	Rearrests	Reconvictions	Reconvictions	Reconvictions	Reconvictions	Reconvictions
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Black	1,070	624	58.3%	221	20.7%	158	14.8%
White	349	179	51.3%	71	20.3%	39	11.2%
Hispanic/Other	83	35	42.2%	17	20.5%	12	14.5%
<b>Sex</b>							
Male	1,290	744	57.7%	281	21.8%	198	15.3%
Female	212	94	44.3%	28	13.2%	11	5.2%
<b>Age at Release</b>							
11 and Under	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
12	6	5	83.3%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%
13	5	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	N/A
14	62	38	61.3%	17	27.4%	9	14.5%
15	179	120	67.0%	52	29.1%	30	16.8%
16	298	182	61.1%	68	22.8%	35	11.7%
17	459	265	57.7%	86	18.7%	60	13.1%
18 or older	493	226	45.8%	83	16.8%	74	15.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,502</b>	<b>838</b>	<b>55.8%</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>13.9%</b>

Note: Throughout this section, programs that had no releases within a fiscal year will have N/A reported for all recidivism measures.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above:
  - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
  - At rearrest, Black youth had the highest rates. For reconviction, all racial/ethnic groups had similar rates, and for reincarceration, black youth and Hispanic/Other youth had similar rates that were higher than rates for White youth.
  - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Note: All data represents both juvenile and/or adult recidivism



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2009-2011 RELEASES, BY REGION AND COUNTY**

Region/ County	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-eration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-eration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-eration
<b>R-I (Balt. City)</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>72.6%</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>20.2%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>66.1%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>
Baltimore City	329	72.6%	21.6%	17.0%	372	66.7%	20.2%	17.5%	398	66.1%	21.1%	17.3%
<b>R-II (Central)</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>61.3%</b>	<b>25.2%</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>62.7%</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>61.9%</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>
Baltimore Co.	144	65.3%	26.4%	20.8%	116	66.4%	23.3%	18.1%	126	61.9%	23.0%	17.5%
Carroll	60	53.3%	26.7%	13.3%	37	51.4%	24.3%	10.8%	43	58.1%	39.5%	18.6%
Harford	48	58.3%	20.8%	14.6%	38	57.9%	26.3%	10.5%	14	64.3%	14.3%	14.3%
Howard	22	63.6%	22.7%	27.3%	18	72.2%	16.7%	16.7%	14	71.4%	21.4%	14.3%
<b>R-III (Western)</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>53.2%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	<b>30.3%</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>57.8%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
Allegany	25	52.0%	16.0%	8.0%	23	56.5%	26.1%	21.7%	25	56.0%	8.0%	8.0%
Frederick	49	53.1%	14.3%	8.2%	58	58.6%	29.3%	12.1%	40	62.5%	27.5%	7.5%
Garrett	9	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	12	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	10	50.0%	30.0%	20.0%
Washington	56	57.1%	28.6%	16.1%	62	62.9%	35.5%	17.7%	53	56.6%	34.0%	15.1%
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>47.5%</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>55.1%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>46.3%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>
Caroline	14	50.0%	14.3%	7.1%	15	46.7%	26.7%	20.0%	13	46.2%	23.1%	7.7%
Cecil	18	27.8%	11.1%	5.6%	17	70.6%	29.4%	17.6%	14	42.9%	7.1%	0.0%
Dorchester	15	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	12	50.0%	8.3%	8.3%	9	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%
Kent	16	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%
Queen Anne's	14	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18	44.4%	11.1%	5.6%	7	57.1%	14.3%	0.0%
Somerset	11	63.6%	9.1%	9.1%	6	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	11	36.4%	9.1%	9.1%
Talbot	18	66.7%	11.1%	0.0%	12	41.7%	0.0%	0.0%	9	44.4%	11.1%	0.0%
Wicomico	51	47.1%	15.7%	13.7%	65	61.5%	12.3%	12.3%	55	52.7%	9.1%	5.5%
Worcester	24	45.8%	12.5%	12.5%	24	45.8%	4.2%	4.2%	24	41.7%	20.8%	16.7%
<b>R-V (Southern)</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>54.6%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>45.4%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>49.4%</b>	<b>20.8%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
Anne Arundel	111	52.3%	12.6%	9.0%	114	52.6%	18.4%	15.8%	128	52.3%	23.4%	14.1%
Calvert	32	50.0%	15.6%	12.5%	23	47.8%	13.0%	8.7%	14	50.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Charles	68	63.2%	26.5%	16.2%	68	33.8%	7.4%	7.4%	55	36.4%	12.7%	7.3%
St. Mary's	40	50.0%	20.0%	20.0%	33	42.4%	27.3%	15.2%	34	58.8%	29.4%	14.7%
<b>R-VI (Metro)</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>50.6%</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>414</b>	<b>51.0%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>51.1%</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
Montgomery	183	53.0%	19.7%	13.1%	159	47.2%	23.3%	11.9%	169	52.7%	26.0%	18.3%
Prince George's	204	48.5%	16.7%	13.7%	255	53.3%	14.5%	9.8%	201	49.8%	13.9%	10.9%
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>32.0%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,586</b>	<b>57.3%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>1,585</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>1,502</b>	<b>55.8%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>

• When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Note: All data represents both juvenile and/or adult recidivism



**Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases by Program Type**  
**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR RELEASES<sup>1</sup> BY PROGRAM TYPE SUMMARY, FY 2009 - FY 2011\***

Totals for Each Program Type	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Foster Care	90	58.9%	16.7%	14.4%	71	43.7%	11.3%	8.5%	81	48.1%	16.0%	8.6%
Group Home	415	56.6%	19.0%	13.5%	417	57.3%	17.5%	12.2%	333	46.2%	15.9%	10.8%
Independent Living	35	51.4%	20.0%	20.0%	31	48.4%	9.7%	6.5%	35	57.1%	22.9%	20.0%
ICFA	186	52.2%	17.2%	9.7%	237	51.9%	18.6%	13.1%	197	59.9%	25.9%	15.7%
RTC	157	49.0%	12.1%	8.3%	169	47.3%	14.2%	9.5%	206	55.8%	18.4%	10.2%
Out-of-State	114	59.6%	14.9%	13.2%	112	55.4%	16.1%	13.4%	143	63.6%	21.0%	18.2%
State-Operated	589	61.1%	22.9%	17.1%	548	62.0%	25.0%	17.3%	507	59.4%	22.9%	16.0%

<sup>1</sup>Totals presented in the table above include each type of facility reported in that broad category. (For example: "Total Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as In-Home Foster Care). Total State-Operated includes some Privately-Operated Facilities. See page 170 for separate totals.

- Analysis of trends is presented on the specific program type's page.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR FOSTER CARE RELEASES,  
 FY 2009 - FY 2011\***

Treatment Foster Care	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	0
Board of Child Care	2	2	1	1	4	1	0	0	3	1	1	0
Children's Choice (Stevensville)	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Concern	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Contemporary Family Services, Inc	6	3	1	1	7	4	0	0	7	2	1	0
Foundations for Home and Community	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Greenleaf	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Hearts & Homes for Youth - Family Ties	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0
Maple Shade Youth and Family Services	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD-Baltimore	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	5	3	2	2
Mentor MD-Community Based	44	30	10	9	30	12	4	4	12	7	2	1
Mentor MD-Easton	3	1	0	0	6	2	0	0	16	6	0	0
Multi-Dimensional TFC (Baltimore Co.)	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	2	0	0
Multi-Dimensional TFC (Mont Co.)	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	4	3	2
Pressley Ridge	16	8	3	2	8	5	3	2	14	10	4	2
PSI Services III	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
San Mar	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	1	0	0
Woodbourne Center	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
<b>Treatment Foster Care Total</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>59.0%</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>43.8%</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>48.7%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>
<b>In-Home Foster Care</b>												
<b>In-Home Foster Care Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>42.9%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Foster Care</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>58.9%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>43.7%</b>	<b>11.3%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>

- For Total Foster Care releases (including treatment and in-home foster care) between FY 2009 and FY 2011, all measures decreased: rearrest by 10.8%, reconviction by 0.7%, and reincarceration by 5.8%.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES,  
 FY 2009 - FY 2011\***

Group Home	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
All That Matters, Inc.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
ARC of Washington Co.	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Big Pines Children's Home	15	13	6	3	12	7	4	2	6	2	0	0
Board of Child Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	6	0	0	13	5	1	1
Catoctin Summit	21	11	2	0	15	7	3	1	8	4	0	0
Cedar Ridge Ministries & Jordan House	22	16	5	4	31	22	9	5	21	15	7	5
Children's Home	3	3	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eastern Point	6	4	0	0	8	4	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Florence Crittenton	7	1	0	0	14	6	1	1	2	1	0	0
Greentree Adolscnt	18	10	3	2	12	6	0	0	9	2	1	0
Hearts and Homes	31	22	9	6	35	15	5	3	36	23	10	7
Home of New Beginnings Pregnant Teens & Teen Mothers	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Jane Egerton House	4	2	1	1	13	9	3	2	2	1	0	0
Karma Academy for Boys	24	9	3	2	31	14	4	1	16	5	2	1
Kent Youth Boys	7	2	0	0	16	8	3	3	8	5	1	0
KEYS	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Koba Institute	26	20	5	4	17	17	5	5	5	2	1	1
Larrabee House Girls Residential	5	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Maple Shade Youth and Family Services, Inc	4	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
MD Sheriff's Yth Ranch	2	1	0	0	7	5	0	0	7	2	0	0
Morning Star Youth Academy	63	37	9	8	57	35	12	10	42	21	9	7
Mt Clare House	4	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
New Dominion Residential Services	12	5	3	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Oak Hill House	18	11	4	3	13	7	4	4	17	7	4	3
One Love	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0
Our House	21	13	8	6	19	14	3	2	19	7	2	2
Potomac Ridge Crownsville	21	9	3	3	22	16	5	5	19	9	5	4
Salem Residential	2	0	0	0	5	2	1	1	10	4	1	0
San Mar- Anna Findlay High Intensity	7	1	1	0	17	6	2	1	14	3	3	2
St Ann's Prenatal Progr.	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Starflight Enterprises	4	3	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
TuTtie's Place	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Way Home - Mt Manor	8	3	1	1	4	0	0	0	9	4	0	0
<b>Group Home Total</b>	<b>357</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>58.1%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>45.8%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES,  
 FY 2009 - FY 2011\* (CONTINUED)**

Therapeutic Group Home (TGH)	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
All That Matters, Inc.	5	4	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Board of Child Care	7	4	1	0	6	2	1	0	3	1	0	0
Cedar Ridge Ministries Faith Cottā	1	1	1	1	2	2	0	0	4	1	0	0
Guide	8	6	3	2	10	7	2	1	11	7	2	2
Hearts & Homes Youth Muncaster Mill	2	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maple Shade	12	5	1	1	6	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Mary's Mount Manor	5	1	0	0	5	2	1	1	10	6	1	0
Mosaic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
Redl House	5	4	2	1	7	5	0	0	12	6	1	1
San Mar - Allegany Girls	7	5	3	2	14	6	1	0	14	8	2	0
San Mar - Jack E. Barr	5	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	6	0	0	0
Villa Maria	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>TGH Total</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>58.6%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>48.4%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
<b>Total Group Home &amp; TGH</b>	<b>415</b>	<b>56.6%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>57.3%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>10.8%</b>

For Total Group Home (including Therapeutic Group Home) releases between FY 2009 and FY 2011 all measures decreased:

- The rearrest rate decreased 10.4%, the reconviction rate decreased 3.1% and the reincarceration rates decreased 2.7%.

**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INTERMEDIATE CARE FOR ADDICTIONS FACILITY  
 (ICFA) RELEASES, FY 2009 - FY 2011\***

ICFA	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Lois E. Jackson Unit	68	30	11	5	93	51	17	12	90	51	23	11
MTC-Mountain Manor	97	56	16	8	144	72	27	19	107	67	28	20
Right Turn of Maryland	21	11	5	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total ICFA</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>52.2%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>59.9%</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>

For Total ICFA releases between FY 2009 and FY 2011 all measures increased:

- The rearrest rate increased 7.7%, the reconviction rate increased 8.7% and the reincarceration rate increased 6.0%.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING RELEASES,  
 FY 2009 - FY 2011\***

Independent Living	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Board of Child Care	2	0	0	0	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	1
Damamli	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	2	1	1
Future Bound	3	1	0	0	5	1	0	0	2	1	1	0
Hearts & Homes-Starting Over	3	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Jumoke	3	2	1	1	3	1	0	0	4	4	2	2
Martin Pollack	5	3	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD-Baltimore	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
New Pathways-Independ.	3	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Transition Age Yth Prog.	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Independent Living Total</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>42.9%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>53.3%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>26.7%</b>
<b>Alternative Living Units</b>												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
NCIA -Youth in Transition Program	8	5	2	2	13	8	2	1	18	12	3	3
REM Maryland, Inc.	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Alternative Living Units Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>60.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>63.2%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>
<b>Respite Care</b>												
Sheppard Pratt Towson Respite	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center Respite	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total Independent Living, Alternative Living Units, and Respite Care</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>51.4%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>48.4%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>

For Total Independent Living releases including Alternative Living Units and Respite Care:

- Between FY 2009 and FY 2011:
  - The rearrest rate increased 5.7% and the reconviction rate increased 2.9%.
  - The reincarceration rate remained the same.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2011, all measures increased:
  - The rearrest rate increased 8.7%.
  - The reconviction rate increased 13.2%.
  - The reincarceration rate increased 13.5%.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR RTC RELEASES,  
 FY 2009 - FY 2011\***

Residential Treatment Centers	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Baltimore RTC	4	2	1	0	2	2	1	1	8	4	2	2
Behav. Hlth-An. Arund.	5	2	1	1	2	1	0	0	5	2	1	1
Behav. Hlth- East. Shore	12	9	1	1	15	12	4	4	19	13	4	2
Good Shepherd Center Females Program	26	10	2	1	27	15	2	1	19	15	4	2
Good Shepherd Center Males Program	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0
Jefferson School	7	4	2	2	8	3	2	1	10	4	1	0
New Directions Chesap. Treatment Ctr. Hickey	9	1	0	0	14	4	1	1	13	7	1	1
Potomac Ridge	22	10	3	2	16	6	1	1	16	7	2	2
Rockville	3	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	2	0	0
Sheppard Pratt Towson	2	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	5	5	1	1
Villa Maria	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	2	2	1	0
Woodbourne	16	7	2	2	18	10	4	2	15	5	2	1
<b>Residential Treatment Center Total</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>45.3%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>57.3%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>
<b>Psychiatric Hospitals</b>												
Behavioral Health Hospital Rockville	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	0	0	0
Eastern Shore Acute Unit	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	0	0
Sheppard Pratt Hospital Towson	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	2	1	1
Spring Grove Hospital Ctr	26	15	3	2	28	8	2	1	44	25	11	4
Thomas Finan Center	4	1	0	0	4	1	1	1	3	3	1	1
<b>Psychiatric Hospital Total</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>58.2%</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>10.9%</b>
<b>Diagnostic Units / CEU</b>												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	5	4	1	1	9	6	1	1	10	5	1	1
Children's Home Diagnostic Shelt. (Female)	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
RICA Rockville	12	7	1	0	13	7	4	2	12	6	2	0
Woodbourne	1	1	1	1	7	4	1	0	11	4	3	2
<b>Diagnostic Units / CEU Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>68.4%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>58.6%</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>47.1%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>
<b>Total RTC</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>49.0%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>47.3%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>55.8%</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>

For RTC releases including Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Units/CEU:

- Between FY 2009 and FY 2011:
  - The rearrest rate increased 6.8%, the reconviction rate increased 6.3%, and the reincarceration rate increased 1.9%.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2011:
  - The rearrest rate increased 8.5%, the reconviction rate increased 4.2%, and the reincarceration rate increased 0.7%.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2009 - FY 2011\***

Residential Treatment Facility - OOS	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
AdvoServ Programs	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Cottonwood Treatment Center	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	4	2	1	1
Devereux - Florida	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Devereux - Georgia	6	3	0	0	3	2	2	2	4	2	2	2
Keystone Newport News	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	0	0
Laurel Heights Hospital	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Macon Behavioral Health	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Hope Carolinas	2	2	0	0	3	3	2	1	1	0	0	0
North Spring Behavioral Healthcare	2	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
PA Clinical Schools, Inc	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	2	1	1
Palmetto Behav. Health	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0
Pines	5	3	0	0	4	2	0	0	3	2	1	0
Southern Peaks Regional	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Three Rivers - Midland Campus	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
<b>Residential Treatment Facility Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>68.4%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>61.9%</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>
<b>Staff Secure - OOS</b>												
ARCS York Sp Needs Prog	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	1
Bennington School	3	1	0	0	4	2	1	1	2	0	0	0
Canyon State Academy	3	2	0	0	13	8	1	0	16	10	2	1
Clarinda Academy	19	10	2	2	17	9	3	2	21	17	8	8
Cornell Abraxas Intens.	13	10	6	6	3	2	1	1	9	7	4	4
Glen Mills Schools (PA)	8	4	1	0	14	6	0	0	15	8	2	2
Lakeside Acad-Residen.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Natchez Trace Yth Acad.	7	6	1	1	10	10	2	2	5	4	1	1
National Center on Institutions & Alternat.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
Summit Academy	3	3	1	1	2	1	0	0	12	8	2	2
Woodward Youth Corporation	8	3	1	1	3	1	1	1	9	6	1	1
<b>Staff Secure-OOS Total</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>60.9%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>59.1%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>67.4%</b>	<b>22.8%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>

- The Out-of-State table is continued on the next page and the trend analysis is presented there.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE FACILITY RELEASES (OOS),  
 FY 2009 - FY 2011\* (CONTINUED)**

Hardware Secure - OOS	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
CCS - Turning Point	1	1	0	0	6	2	1	1	2	2	1	0
Cornell Abraxas Acad.	8	4	0	0	6	3	1	1	8	4	2	2
Mid-Atlantic - Luzerne	6	2	0	0	5	1	1	1	8	5	1	0
Mid Atlantic - West.PA	12	7	3	3	4	2	1	1	11	5	0	0
Southwest Indiana Reg.	4	2	1	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
<b>Hardware Secure - OOS Total</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>51.6%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>53.3%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>
<b>Total Out-of-State</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>59.6%</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>55.4%</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>

Between FY 2009 and FY 2011, for Out-of-State releases including Residential Treatment Facilities, Staff Secure, and Hardware Secure:

- Rearrest rates increased by 4.0%, reconviction rates increased 6.1% , and reincarceration rates increased 5.0%.

**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR STATE OPERATED AND PRIVATELY OPERATED FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2009 - FY 2011\***

State Operated Facilities	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Backbone Mtn Yth Ctr	67	36	13	12	83	50	18	14	85	46	15	11
Cheltenham ReDirect	125	78	32	23	121	63	27	20	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Green Ridge	82	47	21	13	82	55	31	17	88	56	26	15
Meadow Mtn Yth Ctr	66	33	8	5	70	51	19	15	84	48	12	6
Savage Mtn Yth Ctr	66	49	15	10	44	30	12	6	62	35	14	11
Victor Cullen Center	74	44	18	15	68	44	18	13	98	62	25	24
Waxter Children's Ctr	8	1	1	1	12	5	1	1	11	4	3	1
William Donald Schaefer House	54	36	13	11	43	26	6	4	26	19	7	3
<b>Total State Operated</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>59.8%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>523</b>	<b>62.0%</b>	<b>25.2%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>59.5%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>
<b>Privately Operated Facilities</b>												
Silver Oak Academy	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	25	16	5	5	53	31	14	10
Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center	47	36	14	11	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total Privately Operated</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>76.6%</b>	<b>29.8%</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>64.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>58.5%</b>	<b>26.4%</b>	<b>18.9%</b>
<b>Total State &amp; Privately Operated</b>	<b>589</b>	<b>61.1%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>548</b>	<b>62.0%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>

Between FY 2009 and FY 2011, for State-Operated Facility releases including Privately-Operated Facilities:

- Rearrest rates decreased 1.7% and reincarceration rates decreased 1.1%.
- Reconviction rates increased remained the same.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



**ONE, TWO, AND THREE YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR  
FY 2009 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE**

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrests			Reconvictions			Reincarcerations		
		1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr
<b>Total Foster Care</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>58.9%</b>	<b>68.9%</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>35.6%</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>42.2%</b>
Treatment Foster Care	83	59.0%	69.9%	78.3%	18.1%	38.6%	50.6%	15.7%	31.3%	44.6%
In- Home Foster Care	7	57.1%	57.1%	85.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
<b>Total Group Homes</b>	<b>415</b>	<b>56.6%</b>	<b>70.1%</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>37.3%</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>29.2%</b>	<b>38.8%</b>
Group Home	357	56.3%	69.5%	75.9%	18.5%	38.1%	47.9%	13.2%	30.3%	40.6%
Therapeutic Group Home	58	58.6%	74.1%	82.8%	22.4%	32.8%	39.7%	15.5%	22.4%	27.6%
<b>Total Independent Living</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>51.4%</b>	<b>68.6%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>45.7%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>25.7%</b>	<b>42.9%</b>
Independent Living	21	42.9%	66.7%	71.4%	14.3%	19.0%	42.9%	14.3%	19.0%	38.1%
Alternative Living Units	10	60.0%	70.0%	70.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%
Respite Care	4	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	50.0%	75.0%	75.0%	50.0%	50.0%	75.0%
<b>ICFA Total</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>52.2%</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>69.9%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>29.0%</b>	<b>38.2%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>31.7%</b>
<b>RTC Total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>49.0%</b>	<b>66.2%</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>35.7%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility	106	45.3%	62.3%	68.9%	12.3%	22.6%	33.0%	8.5%	13.2%	24.5%
Psychiatric Hospital	32	50.0%	65.6%	71.9%	9.4%	25.0%	37.5%	6.3%	18.8%	34.4%
Diagnostic Units/CEU	19	68.4%	89.5%	89.5%	15.8%	31.6%	47.4%	10.5%	21.1%	36.8%
<b>Out-of-State (OOS) Total</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>59.6%</b>	<b>72.8%</b>	<b>78.1%</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>31.6%</b>	<b>47.4%</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>30.7%</b>	<b>44.7%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility	19	68.4%	68.4%	73.7%	5.3%	21.1%	36.8%	0.0%	15.8%	31.6%
Staff Secure - OOS	64	60.9%	78.1%	82.8%	18.8%	32.8%	50.0%	17.2%	32.8%	50.0%
Hardware Secure - OOS	31	51.6%	64.5%	71.0%	12.9%	35.5%	48.4%	12.9%	35.5%	41.9%
<b>State Operated Total</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>59.8%</b>	<b>72.1%</b>	<b>77.7%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>48.2%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>43.0%</b>
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr	67	53.7%	64.2%	68.7%	19.4%	35.8%	52.2%	17.9%	34.3%	50.7%
Cheltenham ReDirect	125	62.4%	72.0%	76.0%	25.6%	37.6%	44.8%	18.4%	32.0%	39.2%
Green Ridge	82	57.3%	64.6%	74.4%	25.6%	43.9%	54.9%	15.9%	31.7%	46.3%
Meadow Mountain Youth Ctr	66	50.0%	71.2%	75.8%	12.1%	34.8%	51.5%	7.6%	27.3%	48.5%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	66	74.2%	86.4%	92.4%	22.7%	39.4%	48.5%	15.2%	33.3%	43.9%
Victor Cullen Center	74	59.5%	73.0%	81.1%	24.3%	37.8%	45.9%	20.3%	31.1%	41.9%
Waxter Children's Center	8	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%
William Donald Schaefer House	54	66.7%	83.3%	85.2%	24.1%	33.3%	42.6%	20.4%	25.9%	33.3%
<b>Thomas O' Farrell (Privately Operated - DJS Youth Only)</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>76.6%</b>	<b>85.1%</b>	<b>89.4%</b>	<b>29.8%</b>	<b>51.1%</b>	<b>57.4%</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>51.1%</b>
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,586</b>	<b>57.3%</b>	<b>70.2%</b>	<b>76.3%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>39.4%</b>

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2009 releases at 1 year and 3 years post release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 57.3% and within 3 years it was 76.3%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 19.2% and within 3 years it was 45.5%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 14.1% and within 3 years it was 39.4%.



**ONE AND TWO YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2010 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE**

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrests		Reconvictions		Reincarcerations	
		1 Yr	2Yr	1 Yr	2Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr
<b>Total Foster Care</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>43.7%</b>	<b>59.2%</b>	<b>11.3%</b>	<b>29.6%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>23.9%</b>
Treatment Foster Care	64	43.8%	57.8%	10.9%	29.7%	9.4%	25.0%
In-Home Foster Care	7	42.9%	71.4%	14.3%	28.6%	0.0%	14.3%
<b>Total Group Homes</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>57.3%</b>	<b>69.8%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>27.1%</b>
Group Home	363	58.1%	70.0%	18.2%	36.4%	13.2%	28.9%
Therapeutic Group Home	54	51.9%	68.5%	13.0%	24.1%	5.6%	14.8%
<b>Total Independent Living</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>48.4%</b>	<b>64.5%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>25.8%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>25.8%</b>
Independent Living	15	33.3%	46.7%	6.7%	26.7%	6.7%	26.7%
Alternative Living Units	15	66.7%	80.0%	13.3%	26.7%	6.7%	26.7%
Respite Care	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>ICFA Total</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>65.8%</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>23.6%</b>
<b>RTC Total</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>47.3%</b>	<b>63.9%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>21.9%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility	108	50.0%	64.8%	13.9%	28.7%	10.2%	20.4%
Psychiatric Hospital	32	28.1%	53.1%	9.4%	31.3%	6.3%	21.9%
Diagnostic Units/CEU	29	58.6%	72.4%	20.7%	37.9%	10.3%	27.6%
<b>Out-of-State (OOS) Total</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>55.4%</b>	<b>66.1%</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>33.0%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>27.7%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility	22	63.6%	72.7%	22.7%	45.5%	18.2%	40.9%
Staff Secure - OOS	66	59.1%	68.2%	13.6%	30.3%	10.6%	22.7%
Hardware Secure - OOS	24	37.5%	54.2%	16.7%	29.2%	16.7%	29.2%
<b>State Operated Total</b>	<b>523</b>	<b>62.0%</b>	<b>74.2%</b>	<b>25.2%</b>	<b>42.8%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>35.0%</b>
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr	83	60.2%	80.7%	21.7%	42.2%	16.9%	33.7%
Cheltenham ReDirect	121	52.1%	65.3%	22.3%	40.5%	16.5%	35.5%
Green Ridge Youth Center	82	67.1%	75.6%	37.8%	50.0%	20.7%	36.6%
Meadow Mountain Youth Ctr	70	72.9%	85.7%	27.1%	50.0%	21.4%	42.9%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	44	68.2%	75.0%	27.3%	52.3%	13.6%	38.6%
Victor Cullen Center	68	64.7%	77.9%	26.5%	36.8%	19.1%	32.4%
Waxter Children's Center	12	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%
William Donald Schaefer House	43	60.5%	67.4%	14.0%	34.9%	9.3%	27.9%
<b>Silver Oak (Privately-Operated DJS Youth Only)</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>64.0%</b>	<b>88.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,585</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>69.5%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>36.0%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>28.7%</b>

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2010 releases at 1-year with 2-years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 56.2% and within 2 years it was 69.5%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 19.4% and within 2 years it was 36.0%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 13.6% and within 2 years it was 28.7%.



## General Recidivism Rates for Probation Placements

### 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2009-2011 NEW PROBATION YOUTH, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2012

Follow-up Period	FY 2009			FY 2010			FY 2011		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*
12 Months	46.2%	16.2%	6.2%	46.6%	18.0%	7.1%	46.9%	19.0%	7.9%
24 Months	58.4%	27.6%	14.7%	60.7%	29.7%	15.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	66.3%	36.3%	22.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2011 youth at 12-months were:
  - Rearrest - 46.9%
  - Reconviction - 19.0%
  - Incarceration - 7.9%
- The following reoffending patterns were noted at 12-months when comparing FY 2010 and FY 2011:
  - All measures increased 1% or less (0.3%, 1.0%, 0.8% respectively).

### 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011 NEW PROBATION YOUTH

FY 2011							
Demographics	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*			
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Black	1,833	935	51.0%	375	20.5%	161	8.8%
White	843	330	39.1%	135	16.0%	53	6.3%
Hispanic/Other	187	78	41.7%	34	18.2%	12	6.4%
<b>Sex</b>							
Male	2,302	1,135	49.3%	469	20.4%	203	8.8%
Female	561	208	37.1%	75	13.4%	23	4.1%
<b>Age at Disposition</b>							
11 and Under	42	11	26.2%	2	4.8%	1	2.4%
12	82	36	43.9%	17	20.7%	3	3.7%
13	175	93	53.1%	38	21.7%	16	9.1%
14	312	153	49.0%	69	22.1%	31	9.9%
15	550	282	51.3%	129	23.5%	61	11.1%
16	692	359	51.9%	152	22.0%	53	7.7%
17	764	338	44.2%	116	15.2%	46	6.0%
18 or older	246	71	28.9%	21	8.5%	15	6.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,863</b>	<b>1,343</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>7.9%</b>

Note: The probation cohort reflects youth placed on probation (not youth released from probation) and committed community youth. Therefore, recidivism is measured starting at the disposition date.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above:
  - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
  - Black youth had the highest recidivism rates for all measures.
  - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

\*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2009-2011 NEW CASES, BY REGION AND COUNTY**

Region/ County	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Youth	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration *	# of Youth	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration *	# of Youth	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration *
<b>R-I (Balt. City)</b>	<b>942</b>	<b>60.9%</b>	<b>25.1%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>778</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	<b>25.7%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>684</b>	<b>58.2%</b>	<b>24.9%</b>	<b>11.3%</b>
Baltimore City	942	60.9%	25.1%	8.6%	778	59.4%	25.7%	8.9%	684	58.2%	24.9%	11.3%
<b>R-II (Central)</b>	<b>1004</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>793</b>	<b>46.0%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>743</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>
Baltimore Co.	621	48.6%	15.3%	5.0%	505	48.9%	20.2%	6.3%	478	48.1%	20.7%	7.7%
Carroll	100	31.0%	10.0%	2.0%	69	36.2%	11.6%	2.9%	67	41.8%	26.9%	14.9%
Harford	155	47.1%	14.8%	5.8%	105	44.8%	9.5%	5.7%	85	54.1%	20.0%	10.6%
Howard	128	37.5%	11.7%	5.5%	114	40.4%	16.7%	7.0%	113	45.1%	15.9%	6.2%
<b>R-III (Western)</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>48.2%</b>	<b>20.2%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>44.6%</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>
Allegany	36	47.2%	16.7%	5.6%	28	32.1%	10.7%	7.1%	37	62.2%	21.6%	5.4%
Frederick	80	55.0%	28.8%	6.3%	86	53.5%	22.1%	3.5%	60	50.0%	35.0%	16.7%
Garrett	30	30.0%	10.0%	3.3%	23	26.1%	8.7%	4.3%	23	21.7%	4.3%	4.3%
Washington	82	48.8%	17.1%	3.7%	87	44.8%	27.6%	9.2%	68	52.9%	25.0%	4.4%
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>40.9%</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>49.1%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>42.2%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>
Caroline	27	37.0%	7.4%	7.4%	17	58.8%	5.9%	5.9%	16	43.8%	12.5%	0.0%
Cecil	73	34.2%	11.0%	4.1%	71	42.3%	12.7%	4.2%	76	35.5%	10.5%	2.6%
Dorchester	26	26.9%	7.7%	7.7%	27	40.7%	18.5%	14.8%	29	44.8%	6.9%	3.4%
Kent	12	50.0%	8.3%	0.0%	10	70.0%	30.0%	10.0%	5	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%
Queen Anne's	19	42.1%	15.8%	10.5%	10	50.0%	10.0%	10.0%	19	42.1%	15.8%	0.0%
Somerset	14	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%	9	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	11	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%
Talbot	28	35.7%	3.6%	3.6%	23	34.8%	0.0%	0.0%	13	38.5%	7.7%	0.0%
Wicomico	68	47.1%	14.7%	13.2%	78	55.1%	16.7%	12.8%	72	45.8%	20.8%	16.7%
Worcester	41	53.7%	12.2%	12.2%	34	55.9%	14.7%	11.8%	27	51.9%	3.7%	0.0%
<b>R-V (Southern)</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>420</b>	<b>42.1%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>
Anne Arundel	208	47.6%	12.5%	7.2%	223	48.4%	17.5%	6.7%	197	47.7%	19.8%	7.1%
Calvert	75	29.3%	6.7%	1.3%	73	34.2%	8.2%	0.0%	54	31.5%	7.4%	5.6%
Charles	109	43.1%	12.8%	1.8%	83	33.7%	9.6%	1.2%	84	38.1%	21.4%	2.4%
St. Mary's	41	31.7%	14.6%	7.3%	41	39.0%	12.2%	7.3%	31	32.3%	12.9%	3.2%
<b>R-VI (Metro)</b>	<b>789</b>	<b>33.7%</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>757</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>
Montgomery	278	42.4%	19.4%	7.6%	267	41.6%	22.5%	10.5%	210	46.7%	21.4%	9.5%
Prince George's	511	29.0%	7.2%	4.7%	490	33.3%	8.6%	5.7%	404	32.7%	6.9%	3.0%
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>3,704</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>3,251</b>	<b>46.6%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>2,863</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

\*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.



**PROBATION - VPI RECIDIVISM**

The table below shows recidivism outcomes for a subset of the probation cohort who were assigned to VPI community supervision during their probation term. These data should not be used to generalize to the VPI program as a whole. The analysis excludes VPI participants in placement, under aftercare supervision as well as probation youth with a prior probation disposition. As in the general probation cohort analysis, the follow-up period begins on the disposition date.

**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2009-2011 FOR PROBATION YOUTH ON VPI**


Region	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	PB Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	PB Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	PB Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*
Region I (Balt. City)	61	68.9%	34.4%	19.7%	58	67.2%	22.4%	13.8%	85	70.6%	30.6%	17.6%
Region II (Central)	31	64.5%	25.8%	12.9%	44	54.5%	25.0%	13.6%	53	64.2%	30.2%	17.0%
Region III (Western)	8	62.5%	37.5%	12.5%	5	80.0%	60.0%	0.0%	6	66.7%	50.0%	33.3%
Region IV (Eastern)	2	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9	77.8%	11.1%	11.1%	6	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region V (Southern)	8	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	21	47.6%	19.0%	14.3%	35	65.7%	25.7%	2.9%
Region VI (Metro)	19	63.2%	47.4%	21.1%	57	36.8%	17.5%	10.5%	59	37.3%	10.2%	3.4%
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>65.1%</b>	<b>31.8%</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>54.1%</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>59.8%</b>	<b>24.6%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>

- Some regions have a small number of youth in the analysis group. Therefore the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, it is not advisable to compare data across regions.
- Between FY 2009 and FY 2011, statewide:
  - All recidivism measures decreased (rearrest by 5.3%, reconviction by 7.2%, and incarceration by 4.4%).

\*Since youth in VPI are part of the probation cohort that includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, “incarceration” reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.



# Section VI: Appendices



On Mother's Day, members of DJS Executive Staff took several youth from CORPS and J. DeWeese Carter Center to see an Orioles Game with their mothers.





## Appendix A

### COMMUNITY SERVICES STAFFING DISTRIBUTION AS OF JUNE 30, 2012\*

Office (By Region)	CMS <sup>+</sup>		Mgmt <sup>+</sup>	Admn	RA/CD		Res. Spec.		Services <sup>+</sup>	Int & Secur	Educ	Othr <sup>+</sup>	Total
	Spec	Sup			Offr	Sup	Spec	Sup					
RI- CD - BCJJC	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
RI- CD - MYRC	0	0	1	0	45	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
RI- Evening Rep. Ctr Off.	1	1	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	13
RI- Gay Street Office	78	15	3	16	7	0	2	1	2	6	0	1	131
RI- Hilton St. Office	13	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
RI- Plaza Office	17	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
RII- Arbutus Office	11	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	15
RII- Bel Air Office	10	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
RII- Ellicott City Office	8	2	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
RII- Essex Office	16	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	21
RII- Garrison Office	7	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
RII- Towson Office	16	4	1	4	0	1	3	1	2	0	0	0	32
RII- Westminster Office	9	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
RIII- Allegany Co Office	5	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
RIII- Frederick Office	12	2	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	19
RIII- Hagerstown Office	10	2	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	20
RIII- Oakland Office	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
RIV- Cambridge Office	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
RIV- CD - LESCC	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
RIV- Centreville	4	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
RIV- Chestertown Office	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
RIV- Denton Office	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
RIV- Easton Office	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
RIV- Elkton Office	6	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
RIV- Ocean City Office**	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
RIV- Princess Anne Off.	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
RIV- Salisbury Office	14	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
RIV- Snow Hill Office	5	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
RV- Annapolis Court Hs.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RV- Annapolis Office	20	4	0	3	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	32
RV- Glen Burnie	11	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	17
RV- LaPlata Office	14	3	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
RV- Leonardtown Office	7	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
RV- Prince Frederick Off.	7	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
RVI - CD - Cheltenham	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
RVI - Largo Office	30	6	1	2	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	44
RVI - Rockville Office	26	5	1	3	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	40
RVI - Silver Spring Office	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
RVI - Upr. Marlboro Off.	23	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
<b>Total Filled</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>707</b>
<b>Total Vacancies</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>54</b>

\*Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

\*\*Ocean City Office is a seasonal office, staffed by contractual employees.

+ "CMS" means Case Management Specialist; "Mgmt" includes management and assistant area directors; "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Othr" includes transportation, recreation, and program specialists



## Appendix B

### DETENTION CENTER STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2012

FACILITY (By Region)	Res Advis		GLM*	CMS*	Services <sup>+</sup>	Educ	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Other <sup>+</sup>	Total
	Advis	Sup											
RI- BCJJC**	100	9	8	9	0	0	7	17	20	12	6	1	189
RII- Hickey School**	94	4	5	7	0	0	2	9	12	5	3	5	146
RIII- Headquarters	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	1	0	7
RIII- WMCC	23	3	2	2	2	0	1	2	5	6	1	0	47
RIV- LESCC	24	4	2	2	2	0	1	1	5	5	1	0	47
RV- Waxter Center <sup>1</sup>	40	4	4	4	5	7	1	2	8	3	3	1	82
RVI- Cheltenham**	92	6	6	6	0	0	3	6	7	7	3	0	136
RVI- Noyes Children's Ctr	42	6	4	3	5	7	0	2	0	3	2	0	74
<b>Total Filled</b>	<b>415</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>728</b>
<b>Total Vacant</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>68</b>

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

\*“GLM” represents Group Life Manager; “CMS” represents Case Management Specialists; “Facil” represents Facility Maintenance

+ “Services” includes substance abuse and behavioral health; “Other” includes transportation and recreation

\*\*Substance abuse and behavioral health services at these facilities are provided through a contracted vendor

<sup>1</sup>Waxter Center became a detention facility only during FY 2012 (the committed population was moved to J. Deweese Carter Center).

## Appendix C

### COMMITTED PROGRAM STAFFING<sup>1</sup> (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2012

FACILITY (By Region)	Res Advis		GLM*	CMS*	Services <sup>+</sup>	Educ	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Other <sup>+</sup>	Total
	Advis	Sup											
RI- Schaefer House	10	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	17
RIII- Backbone Mt. Yth Ctr	25	1	1	5	2	8	1	1	3	0	2	0	49
RIII- Green Ridge Yth Ctr	21	0	1	7	3	7	1	1	4	0	2	0	47
RIII- Meadow Mt. Yth Ctr	23	0	0	3	3	5	2	1	3	0	2	0	42
RIII- Savage Mt. Yth Ctr	21	1	0	4	1	8	2	1	3	0	3	0	44
RIII- Victor Cullen	48	5	4	5	4	0	2	6	4	7	1	4	90
RIV- J. DeWeese Carter Ctr <sup>1</sup>	12	2	2	2	1	0	1	1	0	3	1	0	25
<b>Total Filled</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>314</b>
<b>Total Vacant</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>44</b>

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

<sup>1</sup>J. Deweese Carter Center became a program for committed youth during FY 2012.

\*“GLM” represents Group Life Manager; “CMS” represents Case Management Specialists; “Facil” represents Facility Maintenance

+ “Services” includes substance abuse and behavioral health; “Other” includes transportation and recreation



## Appendix D STATE-OPERATED FACILITY EXPENDITURES, FY 2012

	General Admin	Mainten.	Education Services	Somatic Health	Direct Care	Dietary Services	Mental Health Services	Juvenile Incent.	Grand Total
Baltimore City	\$4,357,109	\$593,825	\$1,098	\$1,919,399	\$9,830,334	\$2,168,596	\$1,394,336	\$13,113	\$20,277,810
Carter	\$301,241	\$160,602	\$28,524	\$386,073	\$1,431,816	\$176,309	\$236,762	\$3,703	\$2,725,030
Cheltenham	\$2,121,367	\$1,715,118	\$541,951	\$1,827,272	\$9,097,343	\$1,047,885	\$1,702,484	\$6,321	\$18,059,741
Green Ridge	\$277,951	\$192,244	\$346,524	\$0	\$2,079,429	\$315,227	\$213,118	\$6,729	\$3,431,222
Hickey	\$1,206,749	\$1,756,063	\$0	\$1,395,187	\$8,249,324	\$1,291,947	\$1,320,081	\$4,993	\$15,224,344
Lower East. Shore	\$521,188	\$159,326	\$0	\$580,742	\$2,294,255	\$364,540	\$254,566	\$0	\$4,174,617
Noyes	\$537,224	\$334,588	\$854,333	\$870,170	\$3,886,889	\$727,720	\$470,768	\$3,495	\$7,685,187
Schaefer	\$261,228	\$22,859	\$295,623	\$195,797	\$903,028	\$3,558	\$205,238	\$98	\$1,887,429
State-Wide Youth Centers*	\$754,381	\$1,129,970	\$2,419,348	\$1,172,969	\$6,826,564	\$1,035,825	\$563,337	\$22,579	\$13,924,973
Victor Cullen	\$1,138,342	\$581,796	\$177,798	\$893,130	\$4,619,663	\$646,650	\$325,779	\$4,200	\$8,387,358
Waxter	\$783,876	\$462,297	\$742,066	\$870,249	\$3,227,912	\$548,969	\$623,438	\$3,584	\$7,262,391
Western Maryland	\$411,077	\$173,613	\$0	\$659,751	\$2,141,077	\$398,194	\$211,768	\$12,745	\$4,008,225
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$12,671,733</b>	<b>\$7,282,301</b>	<b>\$5,407,265</b>	<b>\$10,770,739</b>	<b>\$54,587,634</b>	<b>\$8,725,420</b>	<b>\$7,521,675</b>	<b>\$81,560</b>	<b>\$107,048,327</b>

## Appendix E STATE-OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY12

	Per Diem Cost	Avg. Annual Cost
Baltimore City	\$411	\$150,192
Carter	\$560	\$204,428
Cheltenham	\$451	\$164,643
Green Ridge	\$242	\$88,434
Hickey	\$593	\$216,378
L. Eastern Shore	\$463	\$169,081
Noyes	\$435	\$158,818
Schaefer	\$398	\$145,187
Victor Cullen	\$548	\$200,176
State-Wide Youth Centers*	\$310	\$113,303
Waxter	\$661	\$241,436
Western Maryland	\$459	\$167,498

\*Does not include Green Ridge Youth Center which is budgeted separately as a regionalized Youth Center.

## FY12 COMMITTED PROGRAM PER DIEM RATES

Program Type	FY12 Per Diem Range*, **	Avg. FY12 Per Diem Rate**	
In-State	Treatment Foster Care	\$99.59 - \$274.10	\$150.12
	Group Home	\$172.40 - \$289.11	\$207.98
	Therapeutic Group Home	\$236.63 - \$256.52	\$244.68
	Independent Living	\$85.71 - \$188.53	\$134.03
	Alternative Living	\$243.49 - \$251.59	\$247.54
	Respite Care	419.58	\$419.58
	Residential Treatment Center	\$347.00 - \$430.97	\$395.66
	Diagnostic Unit / CEU	\$282.10 - \$288.12	\$285.11
	Out-of-State	Residential Treatment Center	\$255.32 - \$458.00
Staff Secure		\$127.35 - \$314.17	\$187.10
Hardware Secure		\$315.00 - \$367.00	\$347.67

\*\*Per Diem Rates" present privately-operated programs that DJS reimburses for service with a single rate. Rates are not presented for programs funded in whole/part by other sources such as private health care insurance or Medicaid. IFC and Psychiatric Hospitals are excluded as a class for this reason, rates for Residential Treatment Centers are based on 6 of 12 programs utilized by DJS, and rates for private foster care are excluded.

\*\*Average per diem rate does not be used to calculate total cost by program type given the range of per diem rates. The average per diem rate does not take into account individual program utilization and total cost may vary substantially based on the number of youth who actually participate in each program.

\*\*\*Educational costs are not included in the per diem rates. Educational costs may vary within a program depending on the combination of services provided (e.g., regular education versus special education) and the educational needs of youth.



## Appendix F

### DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 1

#### DJS Intake Detention RAI

County: \_\_\_\_\_ Office: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Youth Last Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Youth First Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Youth Assist ID: \_\_\_\_\_ Youth Date of Birth: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date D-RAI Completed: \_\_\_\_\_ Race: \_\_\_\_\_ Ethnicity: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gender: \_\_\_\_\_ Employment Status: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date of Detention Decision: \_\_\_\_\_ Youth Social Security Number: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Intake Worker

Last Name: \_\_\_\_\_ First Name: \_\_\_\_\_ ASSIST ID: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Supplemental Intake Worker

Last Name: \_\_\_\_\_ First Name: \_\_\_\_\_ ASSIST ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Folder Number(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Arrest Date(s): \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Current intake only) \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

#### DRAI Scoring Section

	Point Values						Points Assigned
	A.A. Co.	Balt. City	Balt. Co.	Mont. Co.	P.G. Co.	Other 19 Cos.	
<b>1. Most serious New Alleged Offense (select one and specify offense code)</b>							
Category 1A & I Code: _____	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	
Category 2 Code: _____	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	
Category 3 Code: _____	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	
Category 4 Code: _____	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	
Category 5 Code: _____	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	
No New Offense : _____	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	
<b>2. Number of felony CDS referrals within past three years</b>							
One or more	1	3	1	3	3	1	
None	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>3. Number of complaints forwarded to SAO within past 12 months not denied by SAO</b>							
1 or more Category 1, 1A, or 2 offenses	4	4	4	4	4	4	
4 or more Category 3, 4, or 5 offenses	3	3	3	3	3	3	
2-3 Category 3, 4, or 5 offenses	2	2	2	2	2	2	
1 Category 3, 4, or 5 offense	1	1	1	1	1	1	
No prior offenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	



**DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 2**

	Point Values						Points Assigned
	A.A. Co.	Balt. City	Balt. Co.	Mont. Co.	P.G. Co.	Other 19 Cos.	
<b>4. Prior Severity Scale</b>							
Prior referral within previous 24 hours	7	5	4	4	4	11	
Prior referral within previous 7 days	5	3	3	3	3	8	
6 or more referrals within past 12 months	3	2	2	2	2	5	
1-5 referrals within past 12 months	2	1	1	1	1	3	
No referrals within past 12 months	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>5. Current Supervision Status</b>							
Active DJS Supervision	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Informal Supervision (at intake)	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Continuance (at court)	0	Not Scored					
No Active Supervision/ Investigation Status	0	0	0	0	0	0	

5a. Any DSS (Child Protection)                      Yes                       No

<b>Total Offense History and Supervision Points (items 2-5)</b>	<b>Total Score</b>	
---	--------------------	--

	Point Values						Points Assigned
	A.A. Co.	Balt. City	Balt. Co.	Mont. Co.	P.G. Co.	Other 19 Cos.	
<b>6. History of Failure to Appear (youth served, failed to appear, and writ issued)</b>							
2 or more FTA	2	2	6	3	5	2	
1 FTA	1	1	3	1	3	1	
No FTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>7. History of runaway (within past 12 months, warrant issued, not including DSS placements or escapes)</b>							
2 or more runaways	Not Scored	4	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	
1 runaway	Not Scored	2	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	
No runaways	Not Scored	0	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	
<b>8. Aggravating factors</b>							
Victim/witness intimidation	Not Scored	Not Scored	8	Not Scored	5	Not Scored	
Multiple offenses in referral (separate incidents)	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	3	4	
Child refuses to accept parental supervision	4	Not Scored	3	Not Scored	Not Scored	4	
History of Assaultive Behavior	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	1	Not Scored	4	



**Appendix F (cont.)**  
**DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 3**

	Point Values						Points Assigned
	A.A. Co.	Balt. City	Balt. Co.	Mont. Co.	P.G. Co.	Other 19 Cos.	
<b>9. Migrating factors</b>							
Offender age less than 13	Not Scored	-3	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	-4	
No prior intakes	-3	Not Scored	-4	Not Scored	-3	-4	
No history of FTA or runaway writ/warrant (with prior delinquency referral)	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	-1	Not Scored	-3	
Currently attending school or participating in structured community service activities (e.g. community service, volunteer, mentor, athletics)	-2	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	-4	
Currently employed (employment not part of academic program)	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	Not Scored	

<b>Total FTA History, Runaway History, Aggravating Factor, and Mitigating Factor Points (Items 6-9)</b>	<b>Total Score</b>		
<b>Total Offense History and Supervision Points (From Page 2 - Items 2-5)</b>		_____	
<b>Total FTA History, Aggravating Factor, and Mitigating Factor Points (From Page 4 - Items 6-9)</b>		_____	
<b>TOTAL RISK SCORE – add “Total Offense History and Supervision Points” and “Total FTA History, Aggravating Factor, and Mitigating Factor Points” from above</b>			
	<b>Risk Level</b>		
	<b>Low</b>	<b>Moderate</b>	<b>High</b>
Anne Arundel Co.	-3or lower	-2 to3	4+
Baltimore City	0 or below	1 to 7	8+
Baltimore Co.	0 or below	1 to 6	7+
Montgomery Co.	-1 or lower	0 to 6	7+
Prince George’s Co.	1 or lower	2 to 6	7+
19 other counties	0 or lower	1to 10	11+
<b>Special decisions (check all that apply)</b> *See Special Decisions Chart on page 4			
<b>Discretionary overrides – reason decision did not match risk score (up or down – check all that apply)</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> Parent/Guardian Availability <input type="checkbox"/> Parent/Guardian Refusal <input type="checkbox"/> ATD History <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter Availability <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter Refused <input type="checkbox"/> Violation of Probation <input type="checkbox"/> Mental Health Concerns/Needs <input type="checkbox"/> Other Justification: – Text Box for Mandatory Justification	Intake Worker Signature: _____  Authorizing Supervisor: _____ (Please Print)  Supervisor Signature: _____		
<b>Actual Intake Detention Decision</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> Detain <input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/> CD <input type="checkbox"/> EM <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter <input type="checkbox"/> DRAP <input type="checkbox"/> ERC <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Release to: <input type="checkbox"/> Parent <input type="checkbox"/> Sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Grandparent <input type="checkbox"/> Aunt/Uncle <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Regular Intake Hearing – No Detention Decision Made			



**DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 4**

**DRAI Special Decisions Chart**

Anne Arundel County	Baltimore City	Baltimore County
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Referred for writ or warrant (excluding DSS warrants) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>ATD</b> – Pending formalized case for Category 3 offense or higher <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Pending formalized case Category 3 offense or higher <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Under adult supervision (pre-trial release, bail, probation, parole) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Referred by ATD program for CD/EM or ATD violation <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Interstate hold (for delinquency only, excluding DSS interstate) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Currently an escapee from facility designated as “secure confinement” <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Possessed or used firearm in committing instant offense <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not applicable</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Referred for writ or warrant - No Court authorization (excluding DSS warrants) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>ATD</b> – Referred for writ or warrant – Court authorization (excluding DSS warrants) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Release</b> – Referred for writ or warrant – Court authorization (excluding DSS warrants) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Pending formalized case for Category 3 offense or higher <input type="checkbox"/> <b>ATD</b> – Pending formalized case for Category 3 offense or higher <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Under adult supervision if current offense is Category 3 or higher (pretrial release, bail, probation, parole) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>ATD</b> – Under adult supervision if current offense is category 4 or 5 (pretrial release, bail, probation, parole) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Referred by ATD program for CD/EM or ATD violation <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Interstate hold (for delinquency only, excluding DSS interstate) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Currently an escapee from facility designated as “secure confinement” <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Possessed or used firearm in committing instant offense <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not applicable</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Referred for writ or warrant (excluding DSS warrants) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>ATD</b> – Pending formalized case for Category 3 offense or higher <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Pending formalized case for Category 3 offense or higher <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Under adult supervision (pre-trial release, bail, probation, parole) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Referred by ATD program for CD/EM or ATD violation <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Interstate hold (for delinquency only, excluding DSS interstate) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Currently an escapee from facility designated as “secure confinement” <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Possessed firearm <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Used firearm replica in committing instant offense <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Current alleged offense is auto theft and at least one of the following criteria is met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Someone other than a family member of the child owns the vehicle involved.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The offense involves more than one vehicle or there is a history of auto theft offenses</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The child made an attempt to flee or elude police</li> </ul> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not applicable</b>

Montgomery County	Prince George’s County	Other 19 Counties
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Referred for writ or warrant (excluding DSS warrants) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>ATD</b> – Pending formalized case for Category 3 offense or higher <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Pending formalized case Category 3 offense or higher <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Under adult supervision (pre-trial release, bail, probation, parole) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Referred by ATD program for CD/EM or ATD violation <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Interstate hold (for delinquency only, excluding DSS interstate) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Currently an escapee from facility designated as “secure confinement” <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Possessed or used firearm in committing instant offense <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Thefts from 3 or more vehicles in current referral or thefts from 5 or more vehicles in the past 90 days <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not applicable</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Referred for writ or warrant (excluding DSS warrants) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>ATD</b> – Pending formalized case for Category 3 offense or higher <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Pending formalized case Category 3 offense or higher <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Under adult supervision (pre-trial release, bail, probation, parole) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Referred by ATD program for CD/EM or ATD violation <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Interstate hold (for delinquency only, excluding DSS interstate) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Currently an escapee from facility designated as “secure confinement” <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Possessed or used firearm in committing instant offense <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not applicable</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Referred for writ or warrant (excluding DSS warrants) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>ATD</b> – Pending formalized case for Category 3 offense or higher <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Pending formalized case Category 3 offense or higher <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Under adult supervision (pre-trial release, bail, probation, parole) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Referred by ATD program for CD/EM or ATD violation <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Interstate hold (for delinquency only, excluding DSS interstate) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Currently an escapee from facility designated as “secure confinement” <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Detain</b> – Possessed or used firearm in committing instant offense <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not applicable</b>



**Appendix F (cont.)**  
**DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 5**

<b>DRAI Decision Grids</b>		<b>Incident Offense Category</b>				
		<b>1/1A</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>High</b>	<b>Total Risk Score:</b> City: 8+ AA Co.: 4+ Balt Co., Mont Co., PG Co.: 7+ 19 Co.: 11+	Detain	Detain	ATD	Release	Release
	<b>Moderate</b> City: 1 - 7 AA Co.: -2 - 3 Balt Co.: 1 - 6 Mont Co.: 0 - 6 19 Co.: 1 - 10 PG Co.: 2 - 6	Detain	ATD	ATD	Release	Release
	<b>Low</b> City: < 1 AA Co.: < -3 Balt Co.: < 1 Mont Co.: < 0 PG Co.: < 2 19 Co.: < 1	Detain	ATD	Release	Release	Release

**DJS Intake Detention Risk Assessment Instrument**  
**PART 2 – To Be Completed at First Court Appearance**

**County:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Office:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Youth Last Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Youth First Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Youth Assist ID:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Youth Date of Birth:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Folder Number(s):** \_\_\_\_\_ **Social Security #:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Gender:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Court Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date Form Completed:** \_\_\_\_\_

**DJS Staff: Last Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **First Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **ASSIST ID:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Current Detention Status (prior to Court action)**

Detained

Detention Alternative:  CD  EM  Shelter  DRAP  ERC  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Released

**DJS Recommendation to Court**

Detain

Detention Alternative:  CD  EM  Shelter  DRAP  ERC  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Release to:  Parent  Sibling  Grandparent  Aunt/Uncle  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**Court Detention Decision**

Detain

Detention Alternative:  CD  EM  Shelter  DRAP  ERC  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Release to:  Parent  Sibling  Grandparent  Aunt/Uncle  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**Special Circumstances Affecting Detention Decision:**



## Appendix G

### DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH IN DETENTION

<b>DJS Housing Classification Assessment for Youth in Detention</b>			
Name of Youth	_____	_____	Youth PID: _____
	<i>first</i>	<i>last</i>	<i>MI</i>
			Youth DOB: _____
Date of Admission:	_____	Staff Name:	_____
<b>1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Charge (for detained youth), or Adjudication (for pending placement youth):</b>			
<i>Category I = 4 points</i>	<i>Category III = 2 points</i>		Points: _____
<i>Category II = 3 points</i>	<i>Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point</i>		
<b>2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense:</b>			
<i>Category I = 4 points</i>	<i>Category II = 3 points</i>	<i>Category III = 2 points</i>	Points: _____
<i>Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point</i>	<i>None = 0 points</i>		
<b>3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody* (See summary on Face Sheet)</b>			
<small>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</small>			
<i>6 or more = 6 points</i>	<i>2 or 3 = 2 points</i>		Points: _____
<i>4 or 5 = 4 points</i>	<i>0 or 1 = 0 points</i>		
<b>4. Current Age of Youth:</b>			
<i>Under 14 = 4 Points, 15-17 = 2 Points, 18 and Over = 0 Points.</i>			Points: _____
			<b>Total Score:</b> _____
<b>Recommended Classification:</b> <i>Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i>			
<b>Special Housing Issues.</b> <i>Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.</i>			
Y/N	<b>Issue:</b>	<b>Details</b>	
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	
_____	Protective Custody	_____	
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____	
<b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b>			_____
			<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>
<b>Double Bunking Concerns.</b> <i>Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions</i>			
Y/N	<b>Issue:</b>	<b>Details</b>	
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.	_____	
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together	_____	
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.	_____	
_____	Other. <b>Details:</b>	_____	
<b>Unit Assignment:</b> _____			<b>Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):</b> _____
			<small><i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i></small>
<b>Room Number:</b> _____			<b>Single Room Only? (Y/N)</b> _____



**Appendix G (cont.)**  
**DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH IN DETENTION**

**Housing Classification Re-Assessment for Youth in Detention**

Current Supervision Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Current Pod/Unit Assignment: \_\_\_\_\_

1. Current Score - From Last Classification Assessment Current Score: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
*1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points.*

3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment\* Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
*\*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.*  
*5 or more incidents = 4 Points*  
*2 - 4 incidents = 2 Points*  
*0 - 1 incidents = - 4 Points*

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Recommended Supervision Level: *Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above* \_\_\_\_\_

Special Housing Issues. *Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions*

Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____

**Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:** \_\_\_\_\_

*Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth*

Double Bunking Concerns. *Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions*

Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.	
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together	
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.	
_____	Other. <b>Details:</b>	_____

**Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):** \_\_\_\_\_

Unit Assignment: \_\_\_\_\_ *If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve*

Room Number: \_\_\_\_\_ **Single Room Only? (Y/N)** \_\_\_\_\_



## Appendix H DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR COMMITTED YOUTH

<b>DJS Housing Classification Assessment For Committed Youth</b>		
<b>Name of Youth</b>	<i>first</i> <i>last</i> <i>MI</i>	<b>Youth PID:</b> _____ <b>Youth DOB:</b> _____
<b>Date of Admission:</b> _____	<b>Staff Name:</b> _____	
<b>1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Committing Offense:</b>		
<i>Category I = 4 points</i>	<i>Category III = 2 points</i>	
<i>Category II = 3 points</i>	<i>Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point</i>	<b>Points:</b> _____
<b>2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense:</b>		
<i>Category I = 4 points</i>	<i>Category III = 2 points</i>	
<i>Category II = 3 points</i>	<i>Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point</i>	<b>Points:</b> _____
<b>3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody*</b> (See summary on Face Sheet)		
<i>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</i>		
<i>6 or more = 6 points</i>	<i>2 or 3 = 2 points</i>	
<i>4 or 5 = 4 points</i>	<i>0 or 1 = 0 points</i>	<b>Points:</b> _____
<b>4. Current Age of Youth:</b>		
<i>Under 14 = 4 Points, 15-17 = 2 Points, 18 and Over = 0 Points.</i>		<b>Points:</b> _____
		<b>Total Score:</b> _____
<b>Recommended Classification:</b> <i>Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i>		
<b>Special Housing Issues.</b> <i>Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.</i>		
<b>Y/N</b>	<b>Issue:</b>	<b>Details</b>
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____
<b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b>		
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>		
<b>Special Concerns.</b> <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.</i>		
<b>Y/N</b>	<b>Issue:</b>	
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old	
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other	
_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth	
_____	Other. <b>Details:</b> _____	
		<b>Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):</b> _____
<b>Unit Assignment:</b>	_____	<i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i>
<b>Room Number:</b>	_____	



**Appendix H (cont.)**  
**DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR COMMITTED YOUTH**

<b>Housing Classification Re-Assessment For Committed Youth</b>													
<p><b>Current Supervision Level:</b> _____</p> <p><b>Current Unit Assignment:</b> _____</p>													
<p><b>1. Current Score - From last Classification Assessment</b></p>	<p><b>Current Score:</b> _____</p>												
<p><b>2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment</b>  <i>1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points.</i></p>	<p><b>Points:</b> _____</p>												
<p><b>3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment*</b></p> <p><i>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.</i></p> <p><i>5 or more incidents = 4 Points</i></p> <p><i>2 - 4 incidents = 2 Points</i></p> <p><i>0 - 1 incidents = - 4 Points</i></p>	<p><b>Points:</b> _____</p>												
<p><b>Total Score:</b> _____</p>													
<p><b>Recommended Supervision Level:</b> _____ <i>Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i></p>													
<p><b>Special Housing Issues.</b> <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">Y/N</th> <th style="width: 40%; text-align: left;"><u>Issue:</u></th> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: left;"><u>Details</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Medical Condition/Injury</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Protective Custody</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b> _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i></p>		Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>	_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	_____	Protective Custody	_____	_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____
Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>											
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____											
_____	Protective Custody	_____											
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____											
<p><b>Special Concerns.</b> <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">Y/N</th> <th style="width: 90%; text-align: left;"><u>Issue:</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Other. <b>Details:</b> _____</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>	_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old	_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other	_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth	_____	Other. <b>Details:</b> _____		
Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>												
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old												
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other												
_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth												
_____	Other. <b>Details:</b> _____												
<p><b>Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):</b> _____</p>													
<p><b>Unit Assignment:</b> _____</p>	<p><i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i></p>												
<p><b>Room Number:</b> _____</p>													



## Appendix I

### MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 1

#### MCASP Intake Risk Screen

##### Part I: DELINQUENT HISTORY

ITEM	Scoring
<b>1. Age at First Offense:</b> The age at the time of the offense for which the youth was referred to DJS for the first time on a misdemeanor or felony.	<input type="checkbox"/> Over 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 13 to 14 <input type="checkbox"/> Under 13
<b>2. Misdemeanor Referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor.	<input type="checkbox"/> One or fewer <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or four <input type="checkbox"/> Five or more
<b>3. Felony Referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a felony.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
<b>4. Weapon Referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense includes the possession or use of a firearm or explosive.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One or more
<b>5. Against-person misdemeanor referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was an against-person misdemeanor. An against-person misdemeanor involves threats, force, or physical harm to another person such as assault, sex, coercion, harassment, obscene phone call, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
<b>6. Against-person felony referrals:</b> Total number of referrals for an against-person felony. An against-person felony involves force or physical harm to another person such as homicide, murder, manslaughter, assault, rape, sex, robbery, kidnapping, domestic violence, harassment, criminal mistreatment, intimidation, coercion, obscene harassing phone call, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One or two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
<b>7. Sexual misconduct misdemeanor referrals:</b> Total number of referrals for which the most serious offense was a sexual misconduct 4 <sup>th</sup> degree misdemeanor.	
<b>8. Felony sex offense referrals:</b> Total number of referrals for a felony sex offense – first, second, or third degree.	
<b>9. Detention:</b> Number of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
<b>10. Placement:</b> Number of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJS (including pending placement in a detention facility).	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
<b>11. Escapes:</b> Total number of referrals for escape.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
<b>12. Failure to appear in court warrants:</b> Total number of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-criminal matters.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more



## Appendix I (cont.)

### MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 2

#### Part II - SOCIAL HISTORY

ITEM	Responses
<p><b>1a. Youth's <u>current</u> school enrollment status, regardless of attendance.</b>            If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check <i>Expelled</i> or <i>Dropped out</i>; otherwise check <i>Enrolled full</i> or <i>part-time</i>.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Graduated/GED <input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled full-time <input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled part-time <input type="checkbox"/> Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Dropped out <input type="checkbox"/> Expelled <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>1b. Youth's school conduct, last 3 months. <i>Check all that apply.</i></b>            Conduct problems include things like fighting or threatening students/teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; crimes (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying, cheating.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No school conduct problems <input type="checkbox"/> Conduct problems reported by teachers <input type="checkbox"/> Calls to parents about conduct <input type="checkbox"/> Calls to police about conduct <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>1c. Youth's attendance in last 3 months.</b>            Partial-day absence means missing less than half the school day.            Full-day absence means missing all or most of school day.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No full-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Some full-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Chronic full-day unexcused absences or youth is currently dropped out <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>1d. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> A average <input type="checkbox"/> B average <input type="checkbox"/> C average, no F's <input type="checkbox"/> C or D average, with one or more F's <input type="checkbox"/> Mostly D's and F's <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>2. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with—last 3 months. <i>Check all that apply.</i></b>  <i>Anti-social:</i> Friends that are hostile to the social order, disdain authority, engage in criminal/delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No consistent friends or companions <input type="checkbox"/> Pro-social friends <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-social friends <input type="checkbox"/> Gang member/associate <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>3. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime).</b>            Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJS commitments.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No placements ever <input type="checkbox"/> 1 or more placements <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>4. Number of times youth has run away or gotten kicked out of home (youth's lifetime).</b>            Include <u>all</u> incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No incidents <input type="checkbox"/> 1 incident <input type="checkbox"/> 2 or more incidents <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>5. Current household members with history of jail/prison/detention. <i>Check all members that apply or check "None"</i>.</b>            Mother and father refer to current parent, legal guardian or youth's primary female and male caretakers residing in household.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Mother/female caretaker <input type="checkbox"/> Father/male caretaker <input type="checkbox"/> Older sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Younger sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Other member <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>6. Youth's current compliance with guardian's/caretaker's rules—last 3 months.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth usually obeys rules <input type="checkbox"/> Youth sometimes obeys rules <input type="checkbox"/> Youth consistently disobeys, is hostile to parental authority <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown



**MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 3**

<p><b>7. Current alcohol/drug use.</b> First assess whether the youth has used alcohol or drugs in the last 3 months. If there is an indication of recent use, then examine (1) whether drug use contributes or is related in some way to the youth's recent criminal behavior, and (2) whether the current/recent use is serious enough to disrupt the youth's life, causing problems related to education, family life, social life or health.</p>	
<p><b>7a. Current alcohol use—last 3 months.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>7b. Current drug use—last 3 months.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>7c. Alcohol or drug use contributes to current/recent criminal behavior.</b>          Substance use either motivates criminal behavior (e.g. stealing to support a habit) or is related to criminal behavior in some other way (e.g., substance use is connected with violent, risky or impulsive behaviors that get the youth into trouble).</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>7d. Alcohol or drug use disrupts other areas of youth's life.</b>          Substance use creates problems with any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>School</b> (e.g., problems with school attendance, conduct or grades);</li> <li>• <b>Family</b> (e.g., stealing at home to support use, withdrawing, arguing over use);</li> <li>• <b>Peers</b> (e.g., loss of pro-social friends, inability to form pro-social relationships);</li> <li>• <b>Health</b> (e.g., trips to emergency room, medical problems related to use).</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>8. Victim of physical or sexual abuse during lifetime.</b>  <b>Check all that apply.</b>          Include any history of suspected abuse, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of abuse proven to be false. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Physical abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>9. Victim of neglect during lifetime.</b>          Include any history of suspected neglect, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of neglect proven to be false. Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>10. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever during lifetime).</b>          Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, depression, personality and other diagnosed disorders. <u>Exclude substance abuse, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, ADD/ADHD and special education needs.</u> Confirm by a professional in the social service/healthcare field that the youth was diagnosed, prescribed medicine or treated for a mental health problem.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown



## Appendix J

### QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT

*[Domain 1, the first section of the assessment should be a copy of the auto-calculated delinquent history.]*

Domain 2: SCHOOL (HISTORY)
1. Youth is/was a special education student or has had a formal diagnosis of a special education need (in any grade).
2. Total number of times expelled or suspended for conduct problems. A. Since first grade B. In last 3 months
3. Age at first expulsion or suspension.
Domain 2: SCHOOL (CURRENT)
4. Youth has been enrolled in school during the last 3 months, regardless of attendance.
5. Youth's current school enrollment status, regardless of attendance.
6. Youth believes there is value in getting an education.
7. Youth believes school environment is encouraging.
8. Number of teachers or other school staff the youth likes/ feels comfortable with.
9. Youth's involvement in school activities, last 3 months.
10. Youth's school conduct, last 3 months.
11. Youth's attendance in last 3 months.
12. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.
13. Interviewer's assessment of likelihood the youth will stay in and graduate from high school or an equivalent vocational school.
Domain 3: USE OF FREE TIME
1. Current interest and involvement in <u>structured</u> recreational activities.
2. Current interest and involvement in <u>unstructured</u> recreational activities.
Domain 4: EMPLOYMENT (HISTORY AND CURRENT)
1. History of employment.
2. Youth's history of success on the job.
3. Current employment status.
4. Current interest in employment.
5. Current positive personal relationship(s) with employer(s) or adult coworker(s).
Domain 5: RELATIONSHIPS IN THE COMMUNITY (HISTORY AND CURRENT)
1. Current positive relationships with adults in the community.
2. History of anti-social friends/companions—last few years.
3. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with, last 3 months.
4. Currently in a "romantic" or sexual relationship.
5. Currently admires/emulates anti-social peers.
6. Current resistance to anti-social peer influence.
Domain 6: FAMILY (HISTORY)
1. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime).
2. Number of times youth has either run away or gotten kicked out of home: A. Incidents across lifetime: B. Any incidents in last 3 months? C. Currently a runaway or kicked out?
3. History of non-delinquency citations/petitions (lifetime).
4. Household members who ever lived with youth (at least 3 months) and had a history of jail/prison/detention.
Domain 6: FAMILY (CURRENT HOUSEHOLD)
5. Youth has been living under "adult supervision" during last three months.
6. Parents/parent figures currently living with youth.
7. Annual combined income of youth and family.
8. Current household members with history of jail/prison/detention.
9. Problem history of parents/caretakers who currently live with youth.
10. Problem history of siblings who currently live with youth.
11. Current support network for youth's family.
12. Current level of parental emotional support (affection, caring).
13. Parents provide opportunities to participate in family activities and decisions affecting the youth.
14. Family member(s) youth currently feels close to or has good relationship with.
15. Current level of conflict in youth's household between any members, last 3 months (most serious level).
16. Current parental supervision, last 3 months.



**QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT**

17. Youth's current compliance with parent's rules.
18. Current parental approach to discipline.
19. Current parental approach to rewarding youth.
20. Current parental view of youth's anti-social behavior.
<b>Domain 7: ALCOHOL &amp; DRUGS</b>
1. History of alcohol use prior to the last 3 months. A. Past alcohol use B. Past alcohol use disrupted functioning.
2. History of drug use prior to the last 3 months. A. Past drug use B. Past drug use disrupted functioning.
3. History of alcohol/drug assessment/diagnosis.
4. History of attending alcohol/drug education classes.
5. History of participating in alcohol/drug treatment program.
6. Any drug or alcohol use within last 3 months.
7. Current alcohol use (within last 3 months). A. Any current alcohol use. B. Alcohol use disrupts current functioning.
8. Current drug use (within last 3 months). A. Any current drug use. B. Drug use disrupts current functioning.
9. Type of drugs currently used.
10. Current participation in alcohol/drug treatment, last 3 months.
<b>Domain 8: MENTAL HEALTH (HISTORY)</b>
1. Victim of physical abuse during lifetime.
2. Victim of sexual abuse during lifetime.
3. Victim of neglect during lifetime.
4. History of ADD/ADHD. Confirm by a professional.
5. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever in lifetime).
<b>Domain 8: MENTAL HEALTH (CURRENT)</b>
6. Current health insurance.
7. Current mental health status, last 3 months.
8. ADD/ADHD medication currently prescribed. Confirm by a professional.
9. Mental health treatment currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD treatment. Confirm by a professional.
10. Mental health medication currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD medication. Confirm by a professional.
11. Mental health problem(s) currently interferes in working with the youth.
<b>Domain 9: ATTITUDES &amp; AGGRESSION</b>
<b>PART A: ATTITUDES</b>
1. Primary emotion when committing delinquent act(s) within the last 3 months.
2. Optimism about future.
3. Impulsive; acts before thinking.
4. Youth's belief in control over his/her own anti-social behavior.
5. Youth's empathy, remorse, or sympathy for the victim(s).
6. Respect for authority figures.
7. Youth's attitude toward laws/social norms.
8. Youth's view of his/her anti-social behavior.
9. Youth's belief in successfully meeting conditions of court supervision.
<b>PART B: AGGRESSION</b>
10. Tolerance for frustration.
11. Interpretation of actions and intentions of others in common, non-confrontational settings.
12. Belief in yelling and verbal aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.
13. Belief in fighting and physical aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.
<b>Domain 10: NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY</b>
1. How safe is the youth's neighborhood?
2. Which of the following applies to youth's neighborhood?
3. Youth feels safe in the neighborhood.
4. Youth would like to move because of concerns about own or family's safety.
5. How safe is the youth's neighborhood school?



## Appendix K

### CRIMES OF VIOLENCE

The Maryland Sentencing Commission utilizes the definition of “crime of violence” found in the Md. Code, Correctional Services Article, Sect. 7-101(m), which states violent crime is a crime of violence as defined in section 14-101 of the Criminal Law Article, or burglary in the 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree. Md. Code, Criminal Law, Sect. 14-101 lists the crime of violence as:

(1) abduction; (2) arson in the first degree; (3) kidnapping; (4) manslaughter, except involuntary manslaughter; (5) mayhem; (6) maiming, as previously proscribed under former Article 27, §§ 385 and 386 of the Code; (7) murder; (8) rape; (9) robbery under § 3-402 or § 3-403 of this article; (10) carjacking; (11) armed carjacking; (12) sexual offense in the first degree; (13) sexual offense in the second degree; (14) use of a handgun in the commission of a felony or other crime of violence; (15) child abuse in the first degree under § 3-601 of this article; (16) sexual abuse of a minor under § 3-602 of this article if:

(i) the victim is under the age of 13 years and the offender is an adult at the time of the offense; and

(ii) the offense involved:

1. vaginal intercourse, as defined in § 3-301 of this article;
2. a sexual act, as defined in § 3-301 of this article;
3. an act in which a part of the offender’s body penetrates, however slightly, into the victim’s genital opening or anus; or
4. the intentional touching, not through the clothing, of the victim’s or the offender’s genital, anal, or other intimate area for sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse;

(17) an attempt to commit any of the crimes described in items (1) through (16) of this subsection; (18) continuing course of conduct with a child under § 3-315 of this article; (19) assault in the first degree; (20) assault with intent to murder; (21) assault with intent to rape; (22) assault with intent to rob; (23) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the first degree; and (24) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the second degree.

## Appendix L

### MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS

#### Human Services Article

##### • Title 9 – Juvenile Services

- Establishes the functions of the Department of Juvenile Services; enumerates the authority of the Secretary; details the organization and administration of DJS; establishes the operation of state facilities, advisory boards, juvenile care facilities, and regional services; and specifies the procedures for Interstate Compact and the Juvenile Services facility capital program.

#### Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article

##### • Title 3 – Courts of General Jurisdiction

- Establishes the jurisdiction and functions of the juvenile court.

##### • Subtitle 8 – Juvenile Causes – Children in Need of Assistance (CINA)

- **§ 3-823. Permanency plan for out-of-home placement:** Requires the court to hold a permanency planning hearing to determine the permanency plan for a child no later than 11 months after a child is committed.

##### • Subtitle 8A – Juvenile Causes – Children Other than CINAs and Adults

- **§ 3-8A-01. Definitions:** Provides the meanings of words commonly used in juvenile justice (e.g. adjudicatory hearing, community detention, detention, disposition, petition, violation.)
- **§3-8A-02. Purposes and construction of subtitle:** Establishes the goals of the Juvenile Justice System.
- **§3-8A-03. Jurisdiction of court:** Specifies the jurisdiction of the court over delinquent, child in need of supervision (CINS), Peace Order, and Interstate Compact juveniles; details exceptions to this jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-05. Determination of Jurisdiction:** Specifies the age of the person at the time the alleged delinquent act was committed controls the determination of jurisdiction under this subtitle.
- **§3-8A-06. Waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes how the juvenile court may waive jurisdiction of a youth to the adult court.
- **§3-8A-07. Retention, termination or waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes when the court may terminate jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-08. Venue:** Establishes the venue for a CINS petition, a petition concerning delinquency, a peace order request, and certain offenses.
- **§3-8A-09. Transfer of Proceedings:** Describes when the court may transfer certain proceedings to the youth’s county of residence or domicile.
- **§3-8A-10. Complaint; preliminary procedures:** Procedural requirements when an intake office receives a complaint from a person or agency, or certain citations issued by a police officer.
- **§3-8A-10.1. Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program:** Describes the CINS pilot program.



## MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS (CONT.)

- **§3-8A-12. Certain information inadmissible in subsequent proceedings:** Describes when certain statements or information are inadmissible in certain proceedings.
- **§3-8A-13. Petition; general procedures:** Describes the general procedures for filing a petition that alleges delinquency or CINS, and for filing peace order requests.
- **§3-8A-14. Taking child into custody:** Defines methods by which youth may be taken into custody (e.g. by court order/law enforcement officer.)
- **§3-8A-15. Detention and shelter care prior to hearing:** Provides the authorization for placing a youth in detention, community detention or shelter care for a child who may be in need of supervision or delinquent.
- **§3-8A-16.1. Blood lead level testing:** Authorizes a court to order a child to undergo blood lead level testing after a petition has been filed, but before an adjudication.
- **§3-8A-17. Study and Examination of child, etc:** Describes when the court may direct DJS or another qualified agency to make a study concerning the child, the child's family, the child's environment, and other matters relating to the disposition of the case.
- **§3-8A-17.1 - §3-8A-17.12. Juvenile Competency:** Procedural requirements regarding juvenile competency; including, evaluations, reports, hearings, services, court findings, and dismissal.
- **§3-8A-18. Adjudication:** Requires the court to hold an adjudicatory hearing; requires the allegations in the petition be proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the youth has committed a delinquent act.
- **§3-8A-19. Disposition; costs:** Requires the court to hold a separate disposition hearing after an adjudicatory hearing unless the court has dismissed/ waived the petition/ citation; allows disposition to be held on the day of the adjudicatory hearing; and describes permitted dispositions.
- **§3-8A-19.1 - §3-8A-19.5. Peace order request:** Describes procedures for an intake officer to file a peace order request, peace order hearings, forms of relief the order may include, service of a peace order; modification or recessions, and violations an order.
- **§3-8A-20. Right to counsel:** Specifies when a youth is entitled to the assistance of counsel.
- **§3-8A-20.1. Treatment service plan:** Defines "treatment service plan" and describes the procedures for development and implementation of a treatment service plan.
- **§3-8A-22. Limitations on place of commitment:** Specifies the limitations on where the court may detain, commit, or transfer a youth.
- **§3-8A-23. Effect of proceedings under subtitle:** Describes the effect of an adjudication and disposition of a child.
- **§3-8A-24. Effective period of order of commitment; renewal of order:** Specifies the length of time for an order of commitment, procedures for renewal of an order, and when the order is effective.
- **§3-8A-25. Progress reports:** Specifies requirements for visitation by a juvenile counselor of a child who is committed to an individual or to a public or private agency or institution. Authorizes the court to require the custodian to file periodic reports.
- **§3-8A-26. Order controlling conduct of a person before the court:** Authorizes the court to make an appropriate order controlling conduct under certain circumstances.
- **§3-8A-27. Confidentiality of records:** Describes confidentiality requirements, procedures, and exceptions for police and court records pertaining to or concerning a child.
- **§3-8A-28. Judgment of restitution:** Authorizes the court to enter a judgment of restitution against the parent of a child, the child, or both.
- **§3-8A-29. Parents liable for support after commitment:** Authorizes the court to order either parent/both parents to pay support of the child.
- **§3-8A-30. Contributing to certain conditions of a child:** Describes the offense of contributing to certain conditions of a child and provides for a penalty.
- **§3-8A-32. Appointment of attorney or advocate to represent child's interest:** Authorizes a court to appoint an attorney to represent a child's interest under certain conditions.
- **§3-8A-33. Citation for violation of certain alcoholic beverages law:** Authorizes a law enforcement officer to issue a citation to a youth if the officer has probable cause to believe that the child is violating certain alcoholic beverage, tobacco, or salvia divinorum possession laws.
- **§3-8A-34. Rights of victim or witness of delinquent act:** Refers to the rights of victims and witnesses of delinquent acts.



## Appendix M

### ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION AND SHELTER CARE BY REGION

Region I (Baltimore City)	Location
Aunt CC's Harbor House Shelter	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Community Detention at MYRC	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore City
Baltimore City PACT Center	Baltimore City
Detention Reduction Advocacy Program (DRAP)	Baltimore City
DJS Day/Evening Reporting Center	Baltimore City
TuTTie's Place Short Term (Group Home Setting)*	Baltimore City
Youth Enterprise Services - Liberty House Shel Care	Baltimore City
Region II (Central)	
Baltimore County Community Detention	Baltimore Co.
Baltimore County Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore Co.
Carroll County Community Detention	Carroll
Carroll County Electronic Monitoring	Carroll
Catholic Charities TASC (Shelter Home)	Harford
Harford County Community Detention	Harford
Harford County Electronic Monitoring	Harford
Howard County Community Detention	Howard
Howard County Electronic Monitoring	Howard
The Board of Child Care - Sh. Term High Inten. GH	Baltimore Co.
Region III (Western)	
Allegany County Community Detention	Allegany
Allegany County Electronic Monitoring	Allegany
Dallas and Mary Bunch Shelter Care	Allegany
Frederick County Electronic Monitoring	Frederick
Garrett County Community Detention	Garrett
Garrett County Electronic Monitoring	Garrett
John and Nancy Castle - Shelter	Washington
Joseph and Debra McCahey - Shelter	Washington
Pressley Ridge - Treatment Foster Care (Shelter)	Allegany
San Mar - Graff Shelter	Washington
The Maryland Salem Children's Trust Shelter, Inc	Garrett
Washington County Electronic Monitoring	Washington

Region IV (Eastern Shore)	Location
Caroline County Community Detention	Caroline
Caroline County Electronic Monitoring	Caroline
Cecil County Community Detention	Cecil
Cecil County Electronic Monitoring	Cecil
Dorchester County Community Detention	Dorchester
Dorchester County Electronic Monitoring	Dorchester
Hot Boards Shelter (Seasonal)	Worcester
Kent County Community Detention	Kent
Kent County Electronic Monitoring	Kent
Queen Anne's County Community Detention	Qn Anne's
Queen Anne's County Electronic Monitoring	Qn Anne's
Somerset County Community Detention	Somerset
Somerset County Electronic Monitoring	Somerset
Talbot County Community Detention	Talbot
Talbot County Electronic Monitoring	Talbot
Wicomico County Community Detention	Wicomico
Wicomico County Electronic Monitoring	Wicomico
Worcester County Community Detention	Worcester
Worcester County Electronic Monitoring	Worcester
Region V (Southern)	
Anne Arundel County Community Detention	An. Arundel
Anne Arundel County Electronic Monitoring	An. Arundel
Board of Child Care -Alt. for Yth - TRIAD Emer Pl. Pg	St. Mary's
Calvert County Electronic Monitoring	Calvert
Charles County Electronic Monitoring	Charles
St. Mary's County Electronic Monitoring	St. Mary's
Region VI (Metro)	
Montgomery County Community Detention	Montgomery
Montgomery County Electronic Monitoring	Montgomery
Prince George's County Community Detention	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Electronic Monitoring	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Evening Reporting Center	Pr. George's

\*This facility, which is a group home, is used for short-term or shelter placements as needed and based on bed availability.




**ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION BY REGION/COUNTY**

Region/County	CD			CD/EM			D/ERC			DRAP			PACT			Shelter Care		
	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS
<b>R-I (Balt. City)</b>	407	34	30	1,208	107	33	285	21	26	97	11	39	115	20	51	526	17	11
Baltimore City	407	34	30	1,208	107	33	285	21	26	97	11	39	115	20	51	526	17	11
<b>R-II (Central)</b>	92	7	25	868	82	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	3	24
Baltimore Co.	57	4	26	573	56	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	7
Carroll	8	1	24	98	10	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	1	23
Harford	23	2	27	129	11	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	66
Howard	4	0	13	68	4	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0
<b>R-III (Western)</b>	21	2	37	220	18	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	3	39
Allegany	20	2	37	24	3	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	67
Frederick	0	0	0	97	6	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	14
Garrett	1	0	0	5	1	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	94	8	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	2	39
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>	24	4	46	433	45	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	7
Caroline	7	1	33	23	3	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	2	0	38	59	6	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	10	2	55	33	3	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Kent	3	0	43	7	1	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	46
Queen Anne's	2	0	40	13	1	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	0	0	0	19	3	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
Talbot	0	0	32	9	1	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	15
Wicomico	0	0	0	215	18	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	14
Worcester	0	0	0	55	8	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
<b>R-V (Southern)</b>	41	3	28	665	54	30	0	0	0	1	0.2	70	0	0	0	41	1	10
Anne Arundel	41	3	28	340	27	28	0	0	0	1	0.2	70	0	0	0	22	1	7
Calvert	0	0	0	58	5	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	6
Charles	0	0	0	143	10	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	19
St. Mary's	0	0	0	124	12	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	9
<b>R-VI (Metro)</b>	22	4	61	1,056	138	51	114	17	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	3	28
Montgomery	14	4	65	204	36	81	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	1	16
Prince George's	8	1	28	852	102	42	113	17	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	3	37
<b>Out of State</b>	0	0	0	70	11	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	72	0	2
<b>Statewide</b>	607	55	32	4,520	455	38	399	37	32	98	11	39	115	20	51	782	29	13



## Appendix N DISPROPORTIONATE MINORITY CONTACT (DMC) STRATEGIES AND CONTACT INFORMATION

**DMC Strategies**



Effective DMC reduction strategies prevent system entry and further involvement of youth of color in the juvenile justice system and eliminate disparate treatment of these youth in system decision-making. Efforts that are most successful also include strategies that increase cultural competence and responsiveness to specific needs of system-involved youth and families of color. These strategies include the following:

- School and Community-Based Diversion
- Detention Risk Screening
- Alternatives to Secure Detention
- Community Alternatives to Placement
- Cultural and Linguistic Competence
- Community Engagement
- Family Involvement
- Graduated Sanctions

Jurisdiction	Implementing Agency	Address	Contact Information
Anne Arundel County	Anne Arundel County Partnership for Children, Youth and Families	1 Harry S. Truman Parkway, Suite 103 Annapolis, Maryland 21401	Phone: 410.222.7423
Baltimore City	The Family League of Baltimore City, Inc.	2305 N. Charles Street, Suite 200 Baltimore, Maryland 21218	Phone: 410.662.5500
Baltimore County	Baltimore County Local Management Board	6401 York Road, 3rd Floor Baltimore, MD 21212	Phone: 410.887.4254
Montgomery County	Montgomery County Collaboration Council for Children, Youth and Families, Inc.	12320 Parklawn Drive, Rockville, MD 20852	Phone: 301.610.0147 ext. 217
Charles County	Tri-County Youth Services Bureau	75 Industrial Park Drive Waldorf, MD 20602	Phone: 301.645.1837
Wicomico County	Wicomico County Board of Education	101 Long Avenue Salisbury, MD 21802	Phone: 410.677.4536



## Appendix O

### RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

The Relative Rate Index (RRI) is a measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool for measuring which of the various contact points (arrest, court referral, etc.) show greater or lesser disproportionality. The RRI was developed by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. For more detailed information, see: <http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/dmcdp/asp/whatis.asp>

#### DEFINITIONS FOR KEY CONTACT POINTS

The table below shows each key contact point included in the tables that follow along with a brief explanation of each point. Understanding each contact point and how it is defined in the calculations will provide the basis for proper interpretation of the rates.

Contact Point	Definition
<b>Population</b>	Population of youth ages 7 - 17. Data from MD Dept. of Planning.
<b>Arrests</b>	Arrests of juveniles by law enforcement. Data from MD State Police. Rate is based on youth in the general population.
<b>Referrals</b>	Juvenile complaints referred to DJS intake, from police or other sources, including delinquent and non-delinquent offenses, as recorded in ASSIST. Rate is per arrest.
<b>Diversions</b>	Complaints diverted, (resolved or informally adjusted to pre-court supervision), without formal petition. Rate is per referral.
<b>Detention</b>	Cases resulting in detention both pre-disposition or pending placement, but does not include youth ejected to detention from a committed placement. Rate is per referral.
<b>Petitioned</b>	Complaints resulting in juvenile court petitions. Rate is per referral.
<b>Delinquent</b>	Complaints resulting in delinquent findings. Rate is per petition.
<b>Probation</b>	Probation dispositions. Rate is per delinquent finding.
<b>Confinement</b>	Youth placed in Secure Committed program: Victor Cullen, Carter Center or secure private out of state programs. Rate is per delinquent finding.
<b>Transferred</b>	Juvenile petitions waived up to adult court. Does not include charges under original adult jurisdiction. Rate is per petition.

#### RRI TABLE FOR ALL MINORITY YOUTH

The data presented here shows the RRI for minority youth compared to white youth. An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disparity at that decision point. A higher value means more disparity (higher minority over-representation), and a lesser value means less disparity (under-representation). The RRI for each decision point is based on the number of youth of each race represented at that point compared with the number at the previous decision point.

#### RATES OF CONTACT FOR ALL YOUTH

This table shows the number and rate of representation for all youth, regardless of color, at each key juvenile justice decision point. The rates are based on the general population for this table, rather than based on the previous decision point.



## Appendix O (cont.) RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

### RRI FOR ALL MINORITIES COMPARED WITH WHITE YOUTH, AT KEY CONTACT POINTS

	Juvenile Arrests Adjusted*	Refer to Juvenile Court/ Intake Adjusted*	Cases Diverted <sup>+</sup>	Cases Involving Secure Detention <sup>+</sup>	Cases Petitioned <sup>+</sup>	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings <sup>+</sup>	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement <sup>+</sup>	Cases Resulting in Confinement In Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities <sup>+</sup>	Cases Transferred to Adult Court <sup>+</sup>
<b>R-I (Balt. City)</b>									
Baltimore City	7.73	0.68	0.89	1.68	1.19	1.17	0.95	**	**
<b>R-II (Central)</b>									
Baltimore Co.	2.53	1.10	1.07	1.04	0.96	0.95	0.86	**	1.25
Carroll	1.79	1.00	0.78	2.13	1.74	0.95	0.90	**	**
Harford	1.96	0.85	0.91	1.49	1.28	0.78	1.10	**	**
Howard	1.83	1.20	0.76	3.36	1.61	1.10	0.73	**	**
<b>R-III (Western)</b>									
Allegany	2.58	0.94	0.98	1.55	1.12	1.86	**	**	**
Frederick	2.92	1.07	0.74	2.05	1.41	1.21	0.62	**	**
Garrett	10.97	0.60	0.67	**	1.52	**	**	**	**
Washington	3.71	0.93	0.90	1.35	1.08	1.05	0.76	**	**
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>									
Caroline	1.50	0.88	1.17	**	0.94	**	**	**	**
Cecil	1.59	1.01	0.77	1.27	1.03	0.82	1.17	**	**
Dorchester	2.72	1.08	0.72	1.76	1.36	1.09	**	**	**
Kent	3.22	0.80	1.01	**	0.82	**	**	**	**
Queen Anne's	2.77	0.76	0.88	**	1.35	**	**	**	**
Somerset	3.07	0.98	0.70	1.18	1.84	**	**	**	**
Talbot	2.13	0.93	0.78	**	1.53	**	**	**	**
Wicomico	4.78	0.81	0.83	1.60	1.33	0.88	0.81	**	0.78
Worcester	0.87	0.94	0.84	4.32	1.97	0.63	**	**	2.86
<b>R-V (Southern)</b>									
Anne Arundel	2.57	1.07	0.91	0.75	1.06	1.13	1.00	1.08	**
Calvert	1.61	0.92	0.96	2.04	1.09	0.85	**	**	**
Charles	2.30	0.78	1.06	1.41	0.91	0.93	0.82	**	**
St. Mary's	3.00	0.88	1.04	1.90	0.83	1.26	1.48	**	**
<b>R-VI (Metro)</b>									
Montgomery	1.87	1.25	0.67	2.66	1.90	1.06	0.74	**	**
Prince George's	1.87	1.02	0.90	1.70	1.22	0.99	**	**	**
<b>State</b>	2.14	0.98	0.79	2.98	1.37	0.95	0.85	4.58	1.15

\* Minority youth includes: African American, Asian or Pacific Islander, American Indian, Alaskan Native, Other/Unknown. Hispanic youth are counted as White because Maryland state arrest data were collected as part of the FBI's Uniform Crime Report effort and utilize the FBI's racial designations (White, Black, American Indian or Alaskan Native, and Asian or Pacific Islander). Data on Hispanic origin are not collected.

<sup>+</sup> Hispanic youth are counted as minority youth in this column because Hispanic origin is included in the DJS ASSIST measure of race.

- Statistically significant results are shaded; Results that are not statistically significant are shown in regular font
- Insufficient number of cases for analysis are shown as \*\*; An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disproportional contact. Higher rates indicate greater disproportionality.



**RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)**

**RATE OF DJS CONTACT FOR ALL YOUTH PER 10,000 COMPARED WITH GENERAL POPULATION, AT KEY CONTACT POINTS, FY 2011**

Region/County	Population	Arrest	Refer to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
<b>R-I (Balt. City)</b>	<b>48,644</b>	<b>956.5</b>	<b>1,001.4</b>	<b>272.0</b>	<b>599.0</b>	<b>596.4</b>	<b>268.9</b>	<b>165.3</b>	<b>14.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>
Baltimore City	48,644	956.5	1,001.4	272.0	599.0	596.4	268.9	165.3	14.2	2.1
<b>R-II (Central)</b>	<b>147,129</b>	<b>773.4</b>	<b>613.5</b>	<b>341.1</b>	<b>58.8</b>	<b>257.9</b>	<b>138.9</b>	<b>99.6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.5</b>
Baltimore Co.	70,598	1,152.4	782.7	393.4	84.1	377.2	201.0	150.3	1.8	4.7
Carroll	17,981	346.5	418.2	287.5	47.8	134.0	100.1	60.1	1.1	0.0
Harford	25,537	393.5	517.3	325.0	48.6	150.4	95.2	52.9	0.4	0.8
Howard	33,013	489.2	432.3	270.8	18.5	153.3	61.2	48.8	0.0	0.6
<b>R-III (Western)</b>	<b>46,409</b>	<b>605.9</b>	<b>763.2</b>	<b>435.3</b>	<b>72.2</b>	<b>247.4</b>	<b>123.5</b>	<b>78.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>0.9</b>
Allegany	5,417	1,170.4	1,417.8	854.7	99.7	428.3	164.3	92.3	1.8	0.0
Frederick	24,600	530.9	644.7	402.8	47.6	176.8	85.8	52.0	0.4	1.2
Garrett	2,903	551.2	933.5	589.0	31.0	213.6	168.8	110.2	0.0	0.0
Washington	13,489	527.8	679.8	292.8	114.9	310.6	166.1	113.4	2.2	0.7
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>	<b>38,872</b>	<b>1,049.9</b>	<b>1,174.1</b>	<b>766.4</b>	<b>144.6</b>	<b>336.5</b>	<b>176.7</b>	<b>84.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>23.2</b>
Caroline	3,180	1,018.9	889.9	610.1	69.2	229.6	147.8	50.3	0.0	3.1
Cecil	10,499	649.6	502.9	261.0	71.4	195.3	143.8	65.7	1.0	7.6
Dorchester	2,725	1,464.2	1,611.0	873.4	187.2	502.8	231.2	161.5	3.7	18.3
Kent	1,352	1,316.6	1,582.8	1,131.7	147.9	406.8	273.7	162.7	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	4,778	594.4	671.8	454.2	35.6	215.6	115.1	44.0	0.0	8.4
Somerset	1,667	1,289.7	1,457.7	821.8	323.9	497.9	96.0	114.0	0.0	54.0
Talbot	2,959	807.7	919.2	733.4	57.5	189.3	121.7	50.7	0.0	6.8
Wicomico	7,802	1,594.5	1,680.3	1,057.4	303.8	566.5	279.4	107.7	3.8	41.0
Worcester	3,912	1,319.0	2,436.1	1,850.7	176.4	393.7	163.6	94.6	0.0	74.1
<b>R-V (Southern)</b>	<b>88,021</b>	<b>762.5</b>	<b>755.7</b>	<b>505.7</b>	<b>83.2</b>	<b>205.2</b>	<b>140.3</b>	<b>70.0</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>3.2</b>
Anne Arundel	49,608	819.6	800.5	515.4	75.2	229.0	153.2	74.6	3.0	5.0
Calvert	10,374	567.8	599.6	449.2	69.4	159.1	99.3	52.1	0.0	1.9
Charles	17,027	824.6	835.7	554.4	105.1	220.2	172.1	90.4	4.1	0.6
St. Mary's	11,012	593.0	577.6	439.5	98.1	118.1	71.7	34.5	1.8	0.0
<b>R-VI (Metro)</b>	<b>173,674</b>	<b>501.8</b>	<b>415.4</b>	<b>245.3</b>	<b>113.2</b>	<b>136.6</b>	<b>92.3</b>	<b>33.4</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>2.7</b>
Montgomery	93,124	485.1	303.8	156.2	58.7	100.6	79.2	35.4	2.9	1.5
Prince George's	80,550	521.2	544.4	348.4	176.2	178.3	107.4	31.0	5.1	4.1
<b>State Total</b>	<b>542,750</b>	<b>706.6</b>	<b>660.9</b>	<b>369.5</b>	<b>135.9</b>	<b>245.6</b>	<b>137.3</b>	<b>76.6</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>4.0</b>

• This table calculates the rate of DJS contact for all youth at each stage, compared with the general population. For example, for every 10,000 youth in Baltimore City, there are 599 youth detained and in Prince George's County, it is 176 per 10,000 youth.



## Appendix P

### CENSUS INFORMATION, 2011\* POPULATION ESTIMATES BY RACE (AGE 11-17)

Region / County	White <sup>1</sup>	Black <sup>1</sup>	American Indian/ Alaska <sup>1</sup>	Asian <sup>1</sup>	Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander <sup>1</sup>	Two or More <sup>1</sup>	Hispanic (Any Race)	Total
<b>R-I (Balt. City)</b>	7,203	37,885	131	488	16	1,107	1,815	48,644
Baltimore City	7,203	37,885	131	488	16	1,107	1,815	48,644
<b>R-II (Central)</b>	89,315	34,720	773	8,877	50	5,591	7,804	147,129
Baltimore Co	36,965	23,726	203	3,494	24	2,482	3,703	70,598
Carroll	16,018	598	30	272	6	447	610	17,981
Harford	18,638	4,010	53	636	13	964	1,223	25,537
Howard	17,693	6,385	487	4,475	6	1,698	2,268	33,013
<b>R-III (Western)</b>	35,988	4,075	86	1,274	23	1,830	3,133	46,409
Allegany	4,907	177	9	36	2	205	81	5,417
Frederick	17,807	2,465	56	985	8	973	2,306	24,600
Garrett	2,752	67	2	17	0	29	35	2,903
Washington	10,522	1,365	20	236	13	622	711	13,489
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>	28,435	6,385	109	654	10	1,311	1,968	38,872
Caroline	2,343	470	7	29	0	112	220	3,180
Cecil	8,627	866	28	99	5	369	505	10,499
Dorchester	1,593	913	14	36	0	64	106	2,725
Kent	984	234	3	12	0	40	79	1,352
Queen Anne's	4,144	279	18	52	0	113	172	4,778
Somerset	905	610	4	18	0	49	82	1,667
Talbot	2,200	414	5	71	4	73	192	2,959
Wicomico	4,804	1,892	17	279	1	359	450	7,802
Worcester	2,835	708	13	59	0	135	162	3,912
<b>R-V (Southern)</b>	55,121	20,460	275	2,389	54	4,139	5,583	88,021
Anne Arundel	32,887	9,094	115	1,558	39	2,176	3,739	49,608
Calvert	7,925	1,374	40	121	2	484	428	10,374
Charles	6,383	8,326	74	476	9	905	855	17,027
St Mary's	7,926	1,666	47	235	3	574	561	11,012
<b>R-VI (Metro)</b>	46,554	74,754	378	14,120	77	6,165	31,625	173,674
Montgomery	40,624	17,957	168	11,826	44	3,934	18,571	93,124
Prince George's	5,930	56,797	210	2,294	33	2,231	13,054	80,550
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>262,616</b>	<b>178,278</b>	<b>1,752</b>	<b>27,802</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>20,143</b>	<b>51,930</b>	<b>542,750</b>

\* Data Sources: Census 2010 Summary File One, Fifteen-Page Race/Ethnic by Age Profile (Release Date: August 11, 2011) and Census 2011 Population Estimates by Races (July 1, 2011)

<sup>1</sup> Excludes Hispanic and Latino



## Appendix Q

### NUMBER OF CASES, YOUTH, AND RATIO OF CASES TO YOUTH BY COUNTY OF JURISDICTION\*: FY 2010 - 2012

Region/County of Jurisdiction	FY 2010			FY 2011			FY 2012		
	Cases	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Cases	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Cases	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth
<b>R-I (Baltimore City)</b>	<b>6,585</b>	<b>3,862</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>4,880</b>	<b>3,142</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>4,402</b>	<b>2,932</b>	<b>1.5</b>
Baltimore City	6,585	3,862	1.7	4,880	3,142	1.6	4,402	2,932	1.5
<b>R-II (Central)</b>	<b>9,827</b>	<b>7,047</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>9,030</b>	<b>6,403</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>7,929</b>	<b>5,672</b>	<b>1.4</b>
Baltimore County	6,278	4,311	1.5	5,530	3,754	1.5	4,801	3,343	1.4
Carroll	970	707	1.4	752	560	1.3	781	573	1.4
Harford	1,165	920	1.3	1,321	1,002	1.3	1,064	810	1.3
Howard	1,414	1,109	1.3	1,427	1,087	1.3	1,283	946	1.4
<b>R-III (Western)</b>	<b>3,603</b>	<b>2,384</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>3,541</b>	<b>2,222</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>3,169</b>	<b>1,957</b>	<b>1.6</b>
Allegany	833	501	1.7	765	448	1.7	647	439	1.5
Frederick	1,568	1,073	1.5	1,586	981	1.6	1,347	814	1.7
Garrett	236	175	1.3	271	192	1.4	232	167	1.4
Washington	966	635	1.5	919	601	1.5	943	537	1.8
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>	<b>4,851</b>	<b>3,646</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>3,398</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>4,313</b>	<b>3,295</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Caroline	362	224	1.6	283	187	1.5	256	182	1.4
Cecil	674	472	1.4	528	393	1.3	567	409	1.4
Dorchester	449	323	1.4	439	299	1.5	387	273	1.4
Kent	232	145	1.6	214	141	1.5	139	83	1.7
Queen Anne's	285	222	1.3	320	211	1.5	257	197	1.3
Somerset	219	160	1.4	244	162	1.5	200	143	1.4
Talbot	355	289	1.2	272	215	1.3	234	175	1.3
Wicomico	1,326	966	1.4	1,311	936	1.4	1,235	884	1.4
Worcester	949	845	1.1	952	854	1.1	1,038	949	1.1
<b>R-V (Southern)</b>	<b>6,819</b>	<b>4,951</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>6,647</b>	<b>4,774</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>5,850</b>	<b>4,112</b>	<b>1.4</b>
Anne Arundel	4,004	2,929	1.4	3,969	2,781	1.4	3,476	2,417	1.4
Calvert	709	538	1.3	619	467	1.3	540	420	1.3
Charles	1,413	1,038	1.4	1,423	1,057	1.3	1,076	790	1.4
St. Mary's	693	446	1.6	636	469	1.4	758	485	1.6
<b>R-VI (Metro)</b>	<b>9,012</b>	<b>6,553</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>7,213</b>	<b>5,255</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>7,252</b>	<b>5,361</b>	<b>1.4</b>
Montgomery	3,811	2,622	1.5	2,828	2,045	1.4	2,806	1,988	1.4
Prince George's	5,201	3,931	1.3	4,385	3,210	1.4	4,446	3,373	1.3
<b>State Total</b>	<b>40,697</b>	<b>27,054</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>35,874</b>	<b>24,101</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>32,915</b>	<b>22,334</b>	<b>1.5</b>

\* It is possible for a youth to receive cases in more than one jurisdiction. For such cases youth are counted in the jurisdiction where the case was received. Therefore, the State total will not add up to the region or county totals.



## Appendix R

### CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES

<b>Felony Crimes of Violence</b>	<b>DRAI Category</b>	<b>Misdemeanor Crimes of Violence</b>	<b>DRAI Category</b>
Arson 1st Degree	1	Robbery	1
Assault 1st Degree	1	Robbery with Deadly Weapon	1
Attempted Murder	1	Sex Offense 1st Degree	1
Attempted Rape or Sex Offense	1	Sex Offense 2nd Degree	1
Carjacking	1	Sex Offense, 2nd Degree (no force or threat)	1
Child Abduction of Individual Under 16	1	Sex Offense, 2nd Degree (w/force or threat)	1
In State Abduction of Child Under 12	1	Burglary 1st Degree	2
Kidnapping	1	Burglary With Explosives	2
Manslaughter	1	Child Abuse	2
Murder 1st Degree	1	Sex Abuse by Household Member	2
Murder 2nd Degree	1	Burglary 2nd Degree	4
Rape 1st Degree	1	Burglary 3rd Degree	4
Rape 2nd Degree	1		
<b>Felony Person-to-Person Offenses</b>	<b>DRAI Category</b>	<b>Misdemeanor Person-to-Person Offenses</b>	<b>DRAI Category</b>
Hate crime involving death of a victim	1	Gang Offense	3
Homicide by Motor Vehicle or Vessel While Int	1	Hate crime other	3
Manslaughter by Automobile	1	Retaliating against a Victim or Witness	3
Assault on Police Officer	2	Reckless Endangerment	3
Destructive Devices	2	Stalking	3
CDS - Weapons Use	2	Assault 2nd Degree / Battery	4
Child Pornography	2	Escape 2nd Degree	4
Escape	2	Failure to Appear - connection felony	4
Escape-Aiding	2	Harassment	4
Hate crime involving a separate felony crime	2	Indecent Exposure	4
Incest	2	In State Family Abduction	4
Poisoning	2	Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle/ Vessel	4
Removing Firearm from Possession of Law Enforcement Officer	2	Prostitution, Abduction persuade intice	4
Sex Offense 3rd Degree (No Force or Threat)	2	Sex Offense 4th Degree	4
Sex Offense 3rd Degree (w/force or threat)	2	Electronic Mail Abuse	5
Sodomy; Unnatural or Perverted Sexual Practice	2	Resisting Arrest	5
Out-Of-State Family Abduction	3	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering, Common Law	5
Pandering	5	Visual Surveillance, Unlawful	5
<b>Felony Weapons Offenses</b>	<b>DRAI Category</b>	<b>Misdemeanor Weapons Offenses</b>	<b>DRAI Category</b>
Use of Machine Gun for a crime	1	Deadly Weapon on Public School Property	2
		Handgun Violation	2
		Use of Machine Gun for Aggressive Purposes	2
		Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor	3



**CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES (CONT.)**

<b>Felony Property Offenses</b>	<b>DRAI Category</b>	<b>Misdemeanor Property Offenses</b>	<b>DRAI Category</b>
Arson 2nd Degree	2	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	3
Unauthorized Use Felony	2	Unauthorized Use Misdemeanor	3
Unauthorized Taking of a Motor Vehicle	3	Attempted Arson	4
Breaking and Entering a Research Facility	3	Arson Misdemeanor	4
Cruelty to Animals - Felony Racehorse	3	Breaking and Entering Motor Vehicles	4
Railroad Obstructing	3	Forgery, counterfeit &/or Uttering	4
Forgery, counterfeit &/or Uttering-Felony	3	Malicious Burning - Misdemeanor	4
Fraud-Felony (Medicaid)	3	Arson - Threat	4
Malicious Burning - Felony	3	Unauthorized Removal of Property	4
Motor Vehicle Theft	3	Burglary 4th Degree	5
Theft Felony	3	Code Grabbing Device	5
Verbal Threat - Extortion	3	False Alarm	5
Written Threat	3	False Report	5
Bomb Threat, Fake Device	4	Fraud	5
Bomb Threat, False Statement	4	Gambling	5
Cloned Wireless Phone - Possession with Intent to Distribute, Distribution, Manufacturing or Sell	5	Malicious Destruction	5
Trademark Counterfeiting-Felony	5	Trademark Counterfeiting-Misdemeanor	5
		Telephone Misuse	5
		Theft Misdemeanor	5
		Trespassing	5
		Transfer recorded Sound/Images w/o Consent	5
<b>Felony Drug Offenses</b>	<b>DRAI Category</b>	<b>Misdemeanor Drug Offenses</b>	<b>DRAI Category</b>
CDS - Import Certain Amount into State	2	CDS - Possession	4
CDS - Distribution	2	CDS - False Prescription	4
CDS (Marijuana) - Manufacture or Distribution with Intent to Distribute	3	Distribution of Inhalants	4
CDS - Using Minors for Manufacture or Distribution	3	Non-CDS, Possession	4
CDS (Other) - Manufacture or Distribution with Intent to Distribute	3	Drug Paraphernalia	5
CDS (Marijuana) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Use of Inhalants	5
CDS (Other) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3		
Non-CDS, Distribution	3		
Receipt of Proceeds From CDS Offenses	4		
<b>Felony- Unspecified Offenses</b>	<b>DRAI Category</b>	<b>Misdemeanor - Unspecified Offenses</b>	<b>DRAI Category</b>
Conspiracy to Commit Any (Felony)Offense	3	Conspiracy to Commit Any (Misdemeanor)Offense	5
Unspecified Felony	3	Unspecified Misdemeanor	5



## Appendix R (cont.)

### CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES

Offense	DRAI Category
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	
Driving under Influence CDS	2
Driving under Influence Drugs and/or Alcohol	2
Driving While Impaired	2
Driving While Intoxicated	2
Traffic Violation Incarcerable	4
Traffic Violation Non-Incarcerable	5
<b>Status Offenses</b>	
Alcoholic Bev. Violation	5
Alcohol Possession on School Premises	5
Pager at School	5
Runaway	5
Tobacco Violation	5
Truant	5
Ungovernable	5
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	
Discharging Firearms	3
Failure to Appear - Citation	4
BB Gun / Pellet Gun	5
Disturbing the Peace	5
Disorderly Intoxication	5
Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	5
Fireworks Violation	5
Violation of Local Ordinance	5
Loitering	5
Violation of Natural Resources Article	5
Tampering	5
<b>Violation of Probation</b>	
Violation of Probation	4



## Appendix S

### COMMONLY USED ACRONYMS

ALOS	Average Length of Stay	GOC	Governor's Office for Children
ART®	Aggression Replacement Therapy	GOCCP	Governor's Office of Crime Control & Prevention
ASSIST	Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools	GPS	Global Positioning System
ATD	Alternatives to Detention	ICFA	Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions
AWOL	Absent Without Leave	IRC	Inter-agency Rate Committee
BCDC	Baltimore City Detention Center	JCR	Joint Chairmen's Reports
BCJJC	Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center	JDAI	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative
BMHS	Baltimore Mental Health Systems	JJDPA	Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act
CD	Community Detention	JJMU	Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit
CDS	Controlled Dangerous Substances	JPR	Judicial Proceedings Reports
CHHS	Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School	LESCC	Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center
CINA	Child In Need of Assistance	MAST	Multi-Disciplinary Assessment Staffing Teams
CINS	Child In Need of Supervision	MCASP	Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning
CJCA	Council of Juvenile Correctional Administrators	MHA	Mental Hygiene Administration
CJIS	Criminal Justice Information System	MSDE	Maryland State Department of Education
CMS	Case Management Specialist	MST	Multisystemic Therapy
CMSS	Case Management Specialist Supervisor	MTFC	Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care
COMAR	Code of Maryland Regulations	MYRC	Maryland Youth Residence Center
COP	Certificate of Placement	NCCD	National Council on Crime and Delinquency
CRC	Central Review Committee	NFS	Non-Fatal Shooting
CYF	Cheltenham Youth Facility	OJJDP	Office of Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention
DBM	Department of Budget and Management	PREA	Prison Rape Elimination Act
DDA	Development Disabilities Administration	RA	Resident Advisor
DHMH	Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	RICA	Regional Institute for Children and Adolescents
DHR	Department of Human Resources	RRI	Relative Rate Index
DMC	Disproportionate Minority Contact	RTC	Residential Treatment Center
DOC	MD Division of Corrections	SAB	(DJS) State Advisory Board
DPP	MD Division of Parole and Probation	SAO	State's Attorneys Office
DPSCS	Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services	SMART	Statewide Maryland Automated Records Tracking
DRAI	Detention Risk Assessment Instrument	SOS	Spotlight on Schools Program
DRAP	Detention Reduction Advocacy Program	TFC	Treatment Foster Care
D/ERC	Day/Evening Reporting Center	TGH	Therapeutic Group Home
EBP	Evidence Based Programs (or services - EBS)	TSP	Treatment Service Plan
EM	Electronic Monitoring	VOP	Violation of Probation
FAFP	For Authorization of Formal Petition	VPI	Violence Prevention Initiative
FFT	Functional Family Therapy (an EBS)	WMCC	Western Maryland Children's Center
FY	Fiscal Year		





# Maryland Department of Juvenile Services FY 2012 Statistical Information

## MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and after-care services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

## Intake & Community Supervision Information

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2010-2012

Complaint Source	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Citizen	2.6%	3.2%	3.4%
Police	92.4%	91.4%	90.4%
School Referrals*	1.9%	1.7%	1.8%
Violation of Probation**	2.6%	3.2%	3.7%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>40,697</b>	<b>35,874</b>	<b>32,915</b>

\*Includes School Resource Officers  
\*\*Includes only technical violations

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	60.0%	58.8%	59.9%
White	33.7%	35.0%	33.7%
Hispanic/Other	6.3%	6.3%	6.4%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	73.0%	73.3%	72.7%
Female	27.0%	26.7%	27.3%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	2.8%	2.9%	3.5%
12	3.3%	3.9%	3.6%
13	6.1%	6.7%	7.1%
14	12.1%	12.2%	12.7%
15	19.9%	18.6%	18.5%
16	24.3%	23.9%	23.1%
17	28.8%	29.0%	28.7%
18-20	2.7%	2.8%	2.8%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>40,697</b>	<b>35,874</b>	<b>32,915</b>

## Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2010-2012

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Authorized Formal Petition	43.0%	44.8%	47.7%
Informed	17.4%	17.1%	17.3%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.6%	38.1%	35.0%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>40,697</b>	<b>35,874</b>	<b>32,915</b>
<b>Court Disposition of Formaled Cases</b>			
Committed to DJS	8.4%	8.9%	9.4%
Continued/Stet	7.9%	9.0%	13.0%
Dismissed/Closed	31.2%	30.1%	31.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.2%	1.4%	0.9%
Nolle Pros.	4.2%	3.7%	3.3%
Other**	7.8%	8.7%	6.2%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	4.0%	1.3%	4.6%
Petition Denied by SAO	3.8%	4.1%	3.6%
Probation	25.4%	27.4%	24.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.1%	2.1%	1.4%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	4.0%	3.2%	2.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>17,513</b>	<b>16,059</b>	<b>15,687</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, services not ordered and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Guide

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2012

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel*</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.0%	1.6%	1.0%
- Weapons	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.5%	7.5%	3.4%	3.9%
- Drugs	3.6%	11.1%	2.9%	4.3%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.5%	2.5%	4.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>68.2%</b>	<b>49.7%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	25.6%	22.3%	25.1%	20.1%
- Weapons	2.0%	1.8%	3.0%	2.5%
- Property	27.8%	16.9%	26.1%	23.3%
- Drugs	10.4%	7.5%	13.3%	12.6%
- Unspecified	2.3%	1.3%	3.9%	3.2%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions</b>	<b>32,915</b>	<b>6,034</b>	<b>2,672</b>	<b>1,105</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses

## Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2012

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	883	N/A	883
Pre-Court	1,464	N/A	1,464
Probation	3,375	N/A	3,375
VPI*	902	202	1,104
Aftercare	1,023	547	1,570

\* Counts for Aftercare & Probation do not include VPI youth

### COMPLAINTS AND PLACEMENTS BY COUNTY/REGION, FY 2012

County/ Region	Intake Complaints	Pre-D Detention Placements*	Post-D Pending Placements*	Ejection Placements*	Committed Placements*
<b>R-I (Balt. City)</b>	<b>4,402</b>	<b>2,292</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>412</b>
Baltimore City	4,402	2,292	457	95	412
<b>R-II (Central)</b>	<b>7,929</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>266</b>
Baltimore Co.	4,801	438	155	25	146
Carroll	781	85	25	9	58
Harford	1,064	113	31	3	37
Howard	1,283	51	13	2	25
<b>R-III (Western)</b>	<b>3,169</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>156</b>
Allegany	647	52	11	4	28
Frederick	1,347	111	19	2	57
Garrett	232	17	6	0	10
Washington	943	166	17	9	61
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>	<b>4,313</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>178</b>
Caroline	256	19	6	3	7
Cecil	567	77	13	5	17
Dorchester	387	22	15	1	13
Kent	139	6	1	0	3
Queen Anne's	257	13	6	1	12
Somerset	200	33	9	3	9
Talbot	234	12	2	1	10
Wicomico	1,235	192	86	18	95
Worcester	1,038	66	8	1	12
<b>R-V (Southern)</b>	<b>5,850</b>	<b>612</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>278</b>
Anne Arundel	3,476	323	127	21	166
Calvert	540	58	12	3	21
Charles	1,076	117	31	5	49
St. Mary's	758	114	13	2	42
<b>R-VI (Metro)</b>	<b>7,252</b>	<b>1,610</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>453</b>
Montgomery	2,806	445	92	26	145
Prince George's	4,446	1,165	352	75	308
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>32,915</b>	<b>6,034</b>	<b>1,511</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>1,783</b>

\* Includes youth from out-of-state

## Secure Detention Information

The Maryland Department of Juvenile Services owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility serves a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are Post-Disposition awaiting placement in a committed program (pending).

### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	80.5%	80.3%	79.8%
White	14.6%	15.2%	15.3%
Hispanic/Other	4.9%	4.5%	4.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	87.6%	86.9%	83.6%
Female	12.4%	13.1%	14.4%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
12	0.6%	1.0%	0.9%
13	3.1%	3.6%	4.4%
14	9.9%	8.4%	9.7%
15	19.6%	18.4%	16.9%
16	25.4%	25.7%	25.1%
17	27.8%	28.8%	28.2%
18-20	13.1%	13.9%	14.5%
Error/Missing	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>7,390</b>	<b>6,892</b>	<b>6,783</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2010-2012\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2012	Pre-D	54.0%	46.0%	6,079	15.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,211	37.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	313	59.4
FY 2011	Pre-D	38.0%	62.0%	6,045	16.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,676	44.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2010	Pre-D	N/A	N/A	6,818	14.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,648	37.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Pending

### CAPACITY, ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND UTILIZATION, FY 2012

Facility	Capacity	Admissions*	ADP	Utilization
Alfred D. Noyes	57	833	48	84.2%
Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Ctr	120	2,289	122	101.7%
Charles H. Hickey School	72	999	70	97.2%
Cheltenham Youth Facility	115	1,715	110	95.7%
Lower Eastern Shore Children's Ctr	24	356	25	104.2%
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr	42	646	30	71.4%
Western MD Children's Center	24	362	24	100.0%

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

## Committed Placement Information

A range of out-of-home program options (or placements) have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a Foster Home, Group Home, or Independent Living Program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operates seven facilities in Maryland and contracts with others both in-state and out-of-state.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010-2012

Demographics	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	72.9%	70.4%	70.4%
White	22.3%	24.6%	25.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.8%	5.1%	4.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	85.3%	85.6%	85.8%
Female	14.7%	14.4%	14.2%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%
12	0.3%	0.6%	0.5%
13	2.1%	2.3%	3.0%
14	7.6%	7.7%	7.5%
15	19.9%	17.4%	16.6%
16	25.6%	26.8%	27.1%
17	28.9%	31.7%	31.5%
18-20	15.4%	13.2%	13.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>1,888</b>	<b>1,771</b>	<b>1,783</b>

\* FY 2010 data includes the Cheltenham Redirect Program which ended in April 2010.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS\* FOR FY 2010-2012, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2012

	Placements			FY 2012	
	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	118	121	92	61.6	266.0
Group Home	522	464	454	260.8	195.9
Indep. Living	52	77	48	36.2	228.9
ICFA	275	255	249	34.3	49.7
RTC	230	286	276	166.5	206.3
State Operated	485	529	640	226.5	133.4
GH (Schaefer) <sup>1</sup>	38	40	64	13.1	75.2
Staff Secure	355	368	448	161.7	138.1
Hardware Sec.	92	121	128	51.7	148.5
Silver Oak	86	65	67	47.8	272.1
Out-of-State	132	141	170	123.5	275.7
RTC	15	22	18	11.4	221.6
Staff Secure	86	83	99	69.6	262.1
Hardware Sec.	31	36	53	42.5	323.3
<b>Total**</b>	<b>1,888</b>	<b>1,771</b>	<b>1,783</b>	<b>957.2</b>	<b>175.2</b>

\* FY 2010 data includes the Cheltenham Redirect Program which ended in April 2010.


<sup>1</sup> During FY 2012, Schaefer House was classified as a group home and data reflect that classification. For FY 2013, the facility was reclassified as a staff-secure facility.

\*\* Statewide Total Placement counts excludes transfers within and between program/program types, therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

## Financial Information

### FY 2012 OPERATING EXPENDITURES\*

**TOTAL:**  
**\$286.2 MILLION**



\* Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

### STATE OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY 2012

Facility	Per Diem Cost	Avg. Annual Cost
Baltimore City	\$411	\$150,192
Carter	\$560	\$204,428
Cheltenham	\$451	\$164,643
Green Ridge	\$242	\$88,434
Hickey	\$593	\$216,378
L. Eastern Shore	\$463	\$169,081
Noyes	\$435	\$158,818
Schaefer	\$398	\$145,187
Victor Cullen	\$548	\$200,176
State-Wide Youth Centers*	\$310	\$113,303
Waxter	\$661	\$241,436
Western Maryland	\$459	\$167,498

\* Does not include Green Ridge Youth Center which is budgeted separately as a regionalized Youth Center.

**Maryland Department of Juvenile Services**  
**Division of Support Services**  
**One Center Plaza**  
**120 West Fayette Street**  
**Baltimore, MD 21201**  
**www.djs.state.md.us**

**Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Benville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Uchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill Cheverly Chillum Clinton College Park College Park Woods Colmar Manor Coral Hills Cottage City Croom Daniels Park District Heights Eagle Harbor East Riverdale Edmonston Fairmount Heights Forest Heights Forestville Fort Washington Friendly Glassmanor Glenarden Glenn Dale Goddard Greenbelt Hardesty Hillcrest Heights Hollywood Hyattsville Kaywood Gardens Kettering Lake Arbor Landover Landover Hills Landover Park Langley Park Lanham Largo Laurel Marlboro Meadows Marlow Heights Marlyon Mitchellville Morningside Mount Rainier New Carrollton New Hampshire Gardens North Brentwood Oxon Hill Peppermill Village Queenstown Riverdale Park Rosaryville Seabrook Seat Pleasant South Laurel Springbrook Terrace Springdale Springhill Lake Suitland Temple Hills University Park Upper Marlboro Walker Mill West Laurel Westphalia Woodlawn Woodmore **Queen Anne's County** Barclay Centreville Chester Church Hill Grasonville Kent Narrows Kingstown Queen Anne Queenstown Stevensville Sudlersville **Somerset County** Chance Crisfield Dames Quarter Deal Island Eden Fairmount Frenchtown Mount Vernon Princess Anne Rumbley Smith Island West Pocomoke **St. Mary's County** California Charlotte Hall Golden Beach Leonardtown Lexington Park Mechanicsville Piney Point Saint George Island Tall Timbers **Talbot County** Cordova Easton Oxford Saint Aubins Heights Saint Michaels Tilghman Island Trappe **Washington County** Antietam Bagtown Bakersville Beaver Creek Big Pool Big Spring Blue Hill Boonsboro Breathedsville Brownsville Cascade Cavetown Cearfoss Charlton Chewsville Clear Spring Conococheague Dargan Downsview Eakles Mills Edgemont Ernstville Fairplay Fairview Fountain Head Funkstown Gapland Garretts Mill Greensburg Hagerstown Halfway Hancock Highfield Indian Springs Jugtown Keedysville Leitersburg Long Meadow Mapleview Mercersville Mount Aetna Mount Briar Mount Lena Myersdale Orchard Hills Paramount Pecktonville Pinesburg Pondsborne Reid Ringgold Robinwood Rohrsersville Rohrsersville Station Saint James San Mar Sandy Hook Sharpsburg Smithsburg Tilghmanton Trego Williamsport Wilson Yarrowsburg **Wicomico County** Allen Bivalve Delmar Fruitland Hebron Jestersville Lincoln Heights Mandela Springs Nanticoke Nanticoke Acres Parsonsburg Pittsville Powellville Quantico Salisbury Sharptown Spring Grove Tyaskin Waterview Whitehaven Willards **Worcester County** Berlin Bishopville Girdletree Newark North Ocean City Ocean City Ocean Pines Pocomoke City Snow Hill Stockton West Ocean City Whaleyville **Allegany County** Barreilville Barton Bel Air Bier Bowling Green Bowmans Addition Carlos Clarysville Corriganville Cresaptown Cumberland Danville Dawson Detmold Eckhart Mines Etherslie Flintstone Franklin Frostburg Gilmore Grahamtown Klondike La Vale Little Orleans Lonaconing Luke McCoole Midland Midlothian Moscow Mount Savage National Nikep Ocean Oldtown Pleasant Grove Potomac Park Rawlings South Cumberland Spring Gap Vale Summit Westernport Woodland Zihlman **Anne Arundel County** Admiral Heights Annapolis Arden on the Severn Arnold Brooklyn Park Cape Saint Claire Crofton Crownsville Deale Eastport Edgewater Ferndale Forest Villa Friendship Galesville Gambrells Germantown Glen Burnie Green Haven Herald Harbor Highland Beach Hillsmere Shores Jessup Lake Shore Linthicum Londontowne Maryland City Mayo Odenton Parole Pasadena Primrose Acres Pumphrey Riva Riviera Beach Selby-on-the-Bay Severn Severna Park Shady Side South Gate Truxton Heights Tyler Heights Victor Haven Wardour West Annapolis **Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Benville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Uchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Fores Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill