

# Data Resource Guide







# **Data Resource Guide Fiscal Year 2016**

## **Maryland Department of Juvenile Services**

State of Maryland  
Larry Hogan, Governor  
Sam Abed, Secretary  
December 2016

This guide fulfills the Statutory Reporting Requirements set forth in Md. Human Services Code Ann. §9-204 regarding the Agency's comprehensive juvenile services plan as well as reporting juvenile recidivism rates.

This Data Resource Guide as well as previous editions can be found on the DJS website:  
<http://djs.maryland.gov/Pages/Data-Resource-Guides.aspx>

# Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

## EXECUTIVE STAFF

Sam Abed, Secretary  
Jay Cleary, Chief of Staff  
Lynette Holmes, Deputy Secretary for Support Services  
Linda McWilliams, Deputy Secretary for Operations

## PROJECT LEADERS

Lynette Holmes	Susan Nicely
John Irvine	Urjita Rami
Lakshmi Iyengar	

## REVIEW TEAM

Matthew Ancona	Lavinia Jackson
Patricia Elmore	Susan Nicely
Patricia L. Fanflik	Falguni Patel
Oneika Ford	Urjita Rami
Lynette Holmes	Claire Souryal-Shriver
John Irvine	Marci Stables
Lakshmi Iyengar	

## LAYOUT DESIGN

Susan Nicely

The DJS Executive Staff and Project Leaders would like to thank everyone who contributed to this document. Our appreciation goes to the members of the agency who contributed a wealth of information with special thanks to Scott Beal, Gilbert Becker, Richard Burton, Michael DiBattista, Esther Diggs, Cory Fink, Patricia Flanagan, Lisa Garry, Donna Hamilton, Michael Ito, Wallis Norman, Fang Qian, John Stevenson, Betsy Tolentino, and John Wisniewski. We would also like to thank Jill Farrell at the University of Maryland, School of Social Work, The Institute for Innovation and Implementation, Jessie Ash at the Maryland Department of Planning, Ida J. Williams at the Maryland State Police, and Boyce Williams at the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services. We are especially grateful to the field staff who gather the data necessary to complete the *Data Resource Guide*.

# Table of Contents

## INTRODUCTION

Letter from the Governor .....	iv
A Word from the Secretary .....	v
Commonly Used Acronyms .....	vi
Terms and Concepts .....	vii

## SECTION I: AN OVERVIEW OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) .....	3
Organizational Chart and Agency Organization .....	5
DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2016 .....	6
DJS Historical Evolution and Timeline .....	7
Maryland Juvenile Justice Services Process Flowchart .....	12
Professional Training and Education Unit .....	14
Flow of FY 2016 Case Referrals .....	15

## SECTION II: INTAKE AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision .....	19
Statewide, Regional, and County Activity .....	22
Regional, County, and Long-Term Trends .....	86

## SECTION III: DETENTION

Introduction to Detention .....	101
Statewide .....	104
Youth Detained Pending Adult Criminal Charges .....	110
Specific Detention Facility Information .....	112
Alternatives to Detention (ATD) .....	126

## SECTION IV: COMMITTED PROGRAMS

Introduction to Committed Programs .....	131
Statewide and Committed Programs by Type .....	136
State-Operated Facilities .....	154
Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider) .....	170
Community-Based Family Therapy Programs for Committed & Probation Youth .....	172

## SECTION V: RECIDIVISM RATES

Measuring Recidivism Rates .....	177
Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases .....	179
Recidivism Rates for Youth with New Probation Dispositions .....	191

## APPENDICES

Appendix A: Community Services Staffing Distribution as of June 30, 2016 .....	197
Appendix B: Detention Center Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2016 .....	198
Appendix C: Committed Program Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2016 .....	198
Appendix D: State-Operated Facility Expenditures, FY 2016 .....	199
Appendix E: State-Operated Facility Per Diems & Average Annual Costs, FY 2016 .....	199
Appendix F: Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) .....	200
Appendix G: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Youth in Detention .....	204
Appendix H: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Committed Youth .....	206
Appendix I: Maryland Comprehensive Assessment & Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen .....	208
Appendix J: Questions from the DJS MCASP Needs Assessment .....	211
Appendix K: Crimes of Violence .....	213
Appendix L: Maryland Citations Pertaining to DJS .....	213
Appendix M: Alternatives to Detention and Shelter Care by Region and County .....	215
Appendix N: Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Strategies and Contact Information .....	217
Appendix O: Relative Rate Index (RRI) .....	218
Appendix P: Census Information by Race, 2015 .....	221
Appendix Q: Number and Ratio of Youth, Cases, and Placements by County, FY 2016 .....	222
Appendix R: Classification of Offenses .....	223
Appendix S: Offenses Related to Youth Detained Pending Adult Criminal Charges .....	227

## DJS STATISTICAL SUMMARY INFORMATION SHEET (PULLOUT) .....

## Letter from the Governor...

Dear Fellow Marylanders:

It is my pleasure to present to you the Department of Juvenile Services' FY2016 Data Resource Guide.

Maryland's Department of Juvenile Services plays an important role in improving the quality of life of young people and families living throughout our great state while serving Maryland's most vulnerable children. Whether it is in the community or in residential facilities, Juvenile Services provides critical support to youth in the juvenile justice system with the goals of increasing their chances of lifelong success and, ultimately, contributing to improved public safety in our neighborhoods.



**Larry Hogan**

The Hogan-Rutherford Administration is committed to protecting our communities while providing comprehensive reforms that improve outcomes for those who have contact with the justice system, including juvenile offenders.

The Department of Juvenile Services has implemented significant reforms within Maryland's juvenile justice system that are achieving positive results. More youth are being diverted from the system at intake, and more juvenile offenders are receiving treatment in their communities or at home. Fewer youth are being placed in the department's care, and those who are committed by a court are receiving robust, highly informed, and well-integrated treatment services.

Our administration is committed to keeping our communities safe while ensuring that all young people are provided with the services they need to succeed. I look forward to continuing to work with the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services to accomplish these goals and change Maryland for the better.

Sincerely,

Larry Hogan  
Governor



## A Word from the Secretary...

Welcome to the sixth edition of the Department of Juvenile Services' Data Resource Guide (DRG). This annual publication forms the backbone of our data-driven decision-making and can hopefully assist in the decision-making process for many of our stakeholders. The information contained in the DRG provides a detailed look at our system and the ongoing trends in recent years. We publish the DRG every year to not only meet statutory obligations but to provide more transparency to our partners both on the local and State level as well as the general public. I hope that the DRG provides the information you need and insight into Maryland's juvenile justice system.


We continue to implement reforms consistent with the developmental approach to juvenile justice, which takes into account the scientific research on the unique attributes of the adolescent brain. That research asserts that adolescent brains are undergoing significant changes in structure and function, which make them vastly different from both children and adults. That is why the department continues to strive to strike a balance between holding youth accountable for their actions while not unnecessarily pushing them deeper into the justice system. In other words, the department seeks to supervise and support as many youth as possible in the community while reserving its more intensive, out-of-home interventions for youth who are risks to public safety.

The entire department has focused on reducing youth involvement in the juvenile justice system and protecting public safety. As a result, we are very pleased to realize a five percentage point reduction in recidivism for FY 2016. To achieve this, every step and every contact the department has with a youth in the system has to work. We can proudly demonstrate that, because of the focus and dedication of all members of the department, Maryland's juvenile system is producing better outcomes and fewer youth re-committing crimes.

I am also pleased to announce a 36 percent decline in the average number of youth being housed in out-of-home treatment programs over the last three years. While our overall population had been declining for almost a decade, the number of youth committed to the department and housed in out-of-home programs remained mostly at the same levels through FY 2014. On July 1, 2015, the department implemented the Accountability and Incentives Management Initiative (AIM) which created a system of graduated responses, sanctions and incentives to encourage department youth being supervised in the community to comply with the terms of their respective court orders. Along with diverting low-risk youth at intake and prioritizing out-of-home placement for youth who are risks to public safety, the AIM Initiative was a contributor to the continued decline in youth residing in out-of-home programs due to its focus on addressing typical adolescent behaviors in the community, rather than through the courts.

As always, I would like to thank everyone involved in the creation of this year's DRG, especially the department's Research and Evaluation Unit, who analyzed and compiled the data. Without their tireless efforts, the DRG would not become a reality. I would also like to thank all of the hard working employees of the Department of Juvenile Services for contributing to success of the youth in our care. As I have said previously, our success is tied to the success of our youth. I look forward to building on our successes to improve the lives of youth, making communities safer and changing Maryland for the better.

Sincerely,



Sam Abed



Sam Abed

## Commonly Used Acronyms

ADP	Average Daily Population
AIM	Accountability and Incentives Management
ALOS	Average Length of Stay
APD	Assistant Public Defender
ART®	Aggression Replacement Training
ASA	Assistant State's Attorney
ASSIST	Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools
ATD	Alternatives to Detention
AWOL	Absent Without Leave
BCDC	Baltimore City Detention Center
BCJJC	Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center
BMHS	Baltimore Mental Health Systems
CD	Community Detention
CDS	Controlled Dangerous Substances
CHHS	Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School
CINA	Child In Need of Assistance
CINS	Child In Need of Supervision
CJCA	Council of Juvenile Correctional Administrators
CJIS	Criminal Justice Information System
CMS	Case Management Specialist
CMSS	Case Management Specialist Supervisor
COMAR	Code of Maryland Regulations
COP	Certificate of Placement
CRC	Central Review Committee
CYF	Cheltenham Youth Facility
D/ERC	Day/Evening Reporting Center
DBM	Department of Budget and Management
DDA	Developmental Disabilities Administration
DHMH	Department of Health and Mental Hygiene
DHR	Department of Human Resources
DJS	Department of Juvenile Services
DMC	Disproportionate Minority Contact
DPSCS	Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services
DRAI	Detention Risk Assessment Instrument
DRAP	Detention Reduction Advocacy Program
DRG	Data Resource Guide
EBS	Evidence Based Services
EM	Electronic Monitoring
FAFP	For Authorization of Formal Petition
FCT	Family Centered Treatment
FFT	Functional Family Therapy (an EBS)

FY	Fiscal Year
GOC	Governor's Office for Children
GOCCP	Governor's Office of Crime Control & Prevention
GPS	Global Positioning System
ICFA	Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions
IRC	Interagency Rates Committee
JCR	Joint Chairmen's Report
JDAI	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative
JJDPA	Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act
JJMU	Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit
LESCC	Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center
MAST	Multi-Disciplinary Assessment Staffing Team
MCASP	Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning
METS	Maryland Evaluation & Treatment Services (previously SMART)
MHA	Mental Hygiene Administration
MHDI	Mental Health Diversion Initiative
MSDE	Maryland State Department of Education
MST	Multisystemic Therapy (an EBS)
MYRC	Maryland Youth Residence Center
NCCD	National Council on Crime and Delinquency
NFS	Non-Fatal Shooting
OJJDP	Office of Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention
OPD	Office of the Public Defender
PREA	Prison Rape Elimination Act
RA	Resident Advisor
RICA	Regional Institute for Children and Adolescents
RRI	Relative Rate Index
RTC	Residential Treatment Center
RTSP	Residential Treatment Service Plan
SAB	State Advisory Board (DJS)
SAO	State's Attorney's Office
SOS	Spotlight on Schools Program
SPI	Safety Planning Initiative
START	Soc Skills Training & Aggression Replacement Techniques
TFC	Treatment Foster Care
TGH	Therapeutic Group Home
TSP	Treatment Service Plan
VOP	Violation of Probation
VPI	Violence Prevention Initiative
WMCC	Western Maryland Children's Center

## Terms and Concepts

**Absent Without Leave (AWOL):** A youth who absconds from a non-secure residential program.

**Accountability Incentives Management (AIM):** A structured statewide system of responses to deter negative behaviors and encourage positive behaviors of youth under court-ordered community supervision. AIM seeks to reduce technical violations of supervision by ensuring certain, fair, and immediate responses to youth behavior that promote successful completion of supervision.

**Adjudicatory Hearing:** Proceeding before a juvenile judge or magistrate to determine the truth of allegations made against a youth. If the allegations concerning the commitment of a delinquent act are found to be true, the youth may be adjudicated delinquent.

**Admission:** See definition for “commitment versus admission” and “placement versus admission”.

**Aftercare:** Supervision and individualized treatment services provided to youth in the community following discharge from a residential program. A youth is assigned an aftercare worker at the time of commitment.

**Alternatives to Detention (ATD):** Community-based program options to secure detention that provide structured supervision and accountability of youth who would otherwise be detained pending adjudicatory hearings in the juvenile court.

**Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST):** DJS client database.

**Average Daily Population (ADP):** Daily population of youth averaged over the number of days in a given time period.

**Average Length of Stay (ALOS):** Average total number of days in residential placement between admission and release. Youth detained in more than one facility during a contiguous stay are counted as a single placement.

**Case Management Specialist (CMS):** DJS staff who provide case management services to youth in community and residential settings. Case managers provide supervision, develop treatment plans, link youth with necessary resources and services, monitor progress, and modify treatment plans as needed.

**Central Review Committee (CRC):** A central committee that convenes weekly to hear case reviews of youth at-risk of removal from a committed placement, direct changes in the youths’ provision of services, and make youth placement transfer decisions. Members include the Directors of the Behavioral Health and Resource Offices, the Executive Directors of Residential Placements and Community Supervision, and a representative from MSDE.

**Certificate of Placement (COP):** The document which reflects a youth’s placement location, services, and authorizes payment for services.

**CHALLENGE:** A behavioral management program implemented in DJS’ state-operated residential facilities with the goal of developing pro-social behavior and individual accountability/responsibility using a token economy and social skills education to incentivize positive behavior.

**Child In Need of Assistance (CINA):** A youth who has been physically, sexually, or emotionally abused or neglected by a parent or other person responsible for the youth’s care.

**Child In Need of Supervision (CINS):** Children who are habitually truant, disobedient, ungovernable, or who commit an offense applicable to children only.

**Commitment versus Admission:** A commitment is a court order placing a delinquent youth in DJS’ care. The youth is usually placed into an out-of-home program, but may also be provided services at home. An admission occurs when a juvenile physically arrives at a facility and is officially entered into the facility’s roster. An admission may occur days/weeks after the juvenile is committed to DJS (in the interim, a youth is considered to be on “pending placement” status – see Pending Placement). A single admission to an out-of-home program could be the result of multiple commitments (e.g., a juvenile may be committed by more than one court, or have multiple charges with “committed” dispositions). Thus, the number of commitments will not equal the number of admissions to committed programs.

**Community Detention (CD):** A program monitored by DJS in which a delinquent child or a child alleged to be delinquent is placed in the home of a parent, guardian, custodian, other fit person, or in shelter care, as a condition of probation or as an alternative to detention (ATD). Community detention includes electronic monitoring (EM).

**Complaint:** A written statement made by any person or agency to a DJS intake officer, which if true would support allegations of a juvenile petition.

**Continuum of Care:** The continuum of care spans in-home probation supervision with services, community-based out-of-home treatment, and state and privately-operated secure programs, all designed to address youth needs, and the factors that led the youth to delinquent behavior. Legislation passed in 2012 authorized DJS to transfer youth directly from one facility/program to another facility/program (of equal or higher security level) without first asking the court to modify the commitment order. This legislation expired in 2016.

**Day/Evening Reporting Center (D/ERC):** A program that serves youth as an alternative to detention. Youth are required to report daily to ensure the youth is monitored and gets back to court for hearings.

**Delinquent:** A youth who has been adjudicated for an act which would be a crime if committed by an adult and who requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation.

**Detention:** Temporary, short-term (1-30 days) physically secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition and require secure custody for the protection of themselves or the community and/or to ensure court appearance.

**Detention Hearing:** A court proceeding to determine whether a youth shall be placed in or continued in detention.

**Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):** An assessment of a youth's risk to reoffend and/or fail to appear for future court dates used to guide whether the youth should be detained, placed in a detention alternative, or released to a parent/guardian.

**Direct Care Staff:** An employee whose primary duty is to provide direct supervision of youth.

**Disposition:** The action taken by the juvenile court that outlines whether the youth requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation and, if so, the nature of such assistance e.g., probation terms or commitment. (Note: In adult courts, this is known as a "sentence.")

**Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC):** A rate of contact with the juvenile justice system among youth of a specific minority group that is significantly different than the rate of contact for whites (i.e., non-Hispanic Caucasians) or for other minority groups (*See RRI definition*).

**Diversion:** A program or practice where the primary goal is to reduce the occurrence of juvenile crime by diverting a youth from the traditional juvenile justice system and providing an alternative to formal processing. Diversion programs and practices vary in terms of the juvenile justice contact point which the youth is diverted and the types of services provided. Juveniles may be diverted by law enforcement before arrest, during DJS intake, or even after adjudication but before disposition.

**Ejection from Committed Placement:** A youth's removal from an out-of-home placement upon determination that he/she failed to comply with the rules and conditions of the program. Following an ejection a youth may require a new out-of-home placement. A youth may remain in detention pending a new placement.

**Electronic Monitoring (EM):** A statewide program providing close monitoring of youth in the community as an alternative to residential placement/detention. Youth wear an ankle bracelet that electronically monitors their movement and compliance to established location parameters. This may involve the use of global positioning systems (GPS).

**Escape:** Absconding from a secure DJS residential program (including youth centers) or detention facility (including Community Detention).

**Evidence Based Services (EBS):** Programs that have been found to be effective based on the results of rigorous evaluations.

**Family Centered Treatment (FCT - an EBS):** A family preservation model of in-home treatment provided by Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc. (IFCS). The IFCS team utilizes FCT to help families learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns.

**Family Engagement:** A collaborative relationship between families and the Department of Juvenile Services throughout the youth's involvement in the system.

**Felony vs. Misdemeanor:** In Maryland a crime is either a felony or a misdemeanor. Generally, felonies are the more serious of these two types of crimes. However, there is no clear line for determining whether a crime is a felony or misdemeanor based on the statutory maximum penalty associated with the offense. Unless specified in a statute or the offense was a felony at common law, a crime is considered a misdemeanor. Most statutes specify whether a crime is a misdemeanor or a felony. Common law crimes retain common law grades as either felonies or misdemeanors unless changed through the legislative process. The General Assembly may choose to label a statutory crime a felony or misdemeanor independent of the amount of punishment the statute provides. The General Assembly may also choose to change the status of a crime from a misdemeanor to a felony or a felony to a misdemeanor.

**Fiscal Year (FY):** The time period measured from July 1<sup>st</sup> of one year to June 30<sup>th</sup> of the following year. FY 2016 runs from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016.



**For Authorization of Formal Petition (FAFP):** DJS has statutory authority to screen all juvenile complaints referred. A case not resolved or diverted through an informal agreement by DJS intake officers will be referred to a juvenile court for formal processing.

**Functional Family Therapy (FFT - an EBS):** An outcome-driven prevention/intervention program for youth demonstrating the entire range of maladaptive behaviors such as delinquency, violence, substance use, Conduct Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, or Disruptive Behavior Disorder. Flexible delivery of service is provided by one or two person teams to clients in home, clinic, school, juvenile court, community-based programs, and at re-entry from institutional placement.

**Global Positioning System (GPS):** A global navigation satellite system that provides location and time information for youth monitored with a global positioning system receiver.

**Group Home:** A residential program licensed by DHR, DJS or MHA/DHMH to provide 24-hour supervised out-of-home care for 4 or more youth providing a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services.

**Hardware Secure Facility:** A facility that relies primarily on the use of construction and hardware such as locks, bars, and fences to restrict freedom.

**Informal (or “Pre-Court”) Supervision:** An agreement between DJS and a youth and family to complete certain conditions such as entering into counseling and/or DJS monitoring without court involvement.

**Intake:** The process for reviewing a complaint against a youth and determining whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public and/or the youth. During intake, youth and their family may be offered services.

**Juvenile Court:** A division of the Circuit Court in Maryland.

**Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI):** A best practice model that addresses inappropriate and unnecessary use of detention and reduces the failures of juveniles to appear in court. A primary goal of JDAI is to reduce overcrowding in detention centers by safely maintaining youth in the community in detention alternatives without jeopardizing public safety or increasing the number of youth who fail to appear for court.

**Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit (JJMU):** Administratively housed in the Office of the Attorney General, the unit investigates the needs of children under the jurisdiction of DJS and determines whether their needs are being met in compliance with State law. This includes evaluating the conditions of youth housing, reporting on treatment of and services to youth, and investigating allegations of child abuse.

**Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP):** MCASP uses integrated case management to assess risks and needs of youth throughout their DJS involvement and develop interventions to accomplish the goals of public safety and youth rehabilitation. MCASP enables DJS to strengthen individualized service plans for youth and families and match them with appropriate services/programs; to track youth progress; and to ensure that each youth receives the level of supervision consistent with his or her risk to public safety.

**Maryland Evaluation and Treatment Services (METS - formerly SMART):** A web-based platform that provides key case management functions, including assessments, contacts, and treatment service planning in coordination with the DJS ASSIST system.

**Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC):** Under DPSCS, MPCTC is vested with the authority to set standards of initial selection and training for all governmental law enforcement, correctional, parole and probation, and juvenile services employees in the State of Maryland, and to otherwise upgrade the professionalism of these officers. MPCTC provides certification and on-going training to DJS staff.

**Mental Health Diversion Initiative:** An initiative to screen and divert youth for mental health services funded by the Annie E. Casey Foundation.

**Misdemeanor:** See definition for “felony versus misdemeanor”.

**Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST):** A specialized regional diagnostic team responsible for assessing and evaluating youth who are detained and at risk of out-of-home placement, prior to disposition. Following the in-depth review, the MAST prepares security and treatment recommendations to the juvenile court. The MAST includes psychologists, social workers, community and facility case managers and supervisors, resource specialists, MSDE representatives, and individuals from other disciplines as needed.

**Multisystemic Therapy (MST - an EBS):** An intensive family- and community-based treatment program that addresses the serious anti-social behavior of juvenile offenders. The major goal of MST is to empower parents and youth with the skills and resources needed to independently resolve the difficulties that arise in coping with family, peer, school, and neighborhood problems. Intervention strategies include family therapy, structural family therapy, behavioral parent training, and cognitive behavior therapies. MST is a home-based model of service delivery.

**Operation Safe Kids (OSK):** A collaboration between the Baltimore City Health Department, DJS, and other state and city agencies to provide intensive community-based case management and monitoring of high-risk juvenile offenders to prevent them from becoming victims/perpetrators of violent crimes and to ensure they have tools to become productive adults.

**Pending Placement:** A temporary placement status for youth who have been committed for placement in an out-of-home residential facility and are awaiting placement. Youth may be “pending placement” in a variety of settings including: detention facility, home, home with additional services, home under community detention and/or electronic monitoring, family shelter care, structured shelter care, acute care hospitals, or psychiatric respite care programs.

**Petition:** A formal written request filed by the State’s Attorney’s Office with the juvenile court alleging that a child is delinquent, in need of supervision (CINS) or in need of assistance (CINA).

**Placement versus Admission:** A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention or a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Thus, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

**Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Training (PACT):** PACT Evening Reporting Center serves youth 14 to 17 years of age in Baltimore City as an alternative to detention. It utilizes a youth development model and works to collaborate with participants, their families, DJS, and other partners to develop a plan to address the underlying issues which lead to anti-social or delinquent behavior.

**Probation:** Court-ordered supervision of youth in the community requiring youth to meet court-ordered probation conditions (general and case specific), including, for example, school attendance, employment, community service, restitution, counseling, or participation in substance abuse treatment.

**Racial Equity:** A condition that would be achieved if one’s racial or ethnic identity no longer predicted, in a statistical sense, how one fares.

**Recidivism:** Subsequent juvenile/adult criminal involvement of youths released from committed residential programs/placed on probation.

**Relative Rate Index (RRI):** A measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool used to assess the level of racial disparity introduced at each decision point (arrest, court referral, etc.) For example, RRI compares the arrest rate for white youth with the arrest rate for youth of a specific minority group. (See Appendix O)

**Re-entry:** A broad term used to refer to issues related to the transition from out-of-home committed placement to community supervision. Generally, re-entry involves a plan to ensure the service delivery once youth return to the community.

**Resident Advisor:** DJS staff position that provides supervision, support, care, and monitoring of youth placed in DJS-operated facilities.

**Residential Treatment Center (RTC):** A mental health facility for children and adolescents with long-term serious emotional, behavioral, and psychological problems. RTCs provide intensive services and should only be considered when therapeutic services available in the community are insufficient to address a youth’s needs. In addition to Maryland RTCs, DJS uses a variety of out-of-state providers, including RTCs funded through Medical Assistance, with rates set by the Maryland Interagency Rates Committee, and facilities that are not RTCs and serve moderate-to-high-risk multi-problem youth. These are youth who may be exhibiting moderate psychiatric symptomatology and aggressive behavior, or who have histories of unsuccessful/repeated placements and/or hospitalizations. Treatment models vary depending on the client focus of the program but all provide individualized treatment plans, are comprehensive in services, highly structured, treatment oriented, and behaviorally focused.

**Residential Treatment Service Plan (RTSP):** A structured treatment planning tool to guide treatment services for youth placed in DJS-operated committed programs.

**Resolved at Intake:** A determination that furthering the case by forwarding it to the State’s Attorney’s Office for formal processing would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety.

**SafeMeasures:** An analytic service provided by the National Council on Crime & Delinquency to improve its community case management system. SafeMeasures pulls data from DJS' existing databases and creates visual indicators and reports to ensure that case managers and supervisors are meeting the agency's requirements for effective community supervision.

**Safety Planning Initiative (SPI):** An intense supervision initiative for youth in DJS care who are witnesses to, or victims of violent acts, or otherwise at-risk of violence. Prior to July 2015, these youth were under the auspices of VPI and captured within those data.

**Shelter Care:** Temporary, short-term (1-30 days), non-secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition. Shelter beds serve as an alternative to detention or other short-term circumstance where family or other housing is not available.

**Social History Investigation (SHI - formerly PDI):** The written study of a youth and his/her family that is presented to the juvenile court. A Social History Investigation emphasizes social and legal histories as well as the domain areas of: family functioning, substance abuse, mental health, somatic health, education, employment, and life skills.

**Social Skills Training and Aggression Replacement Techniques (START):** Based on Aggression Replacement Training (ART), which is an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral, group intervention for committed youth with chronic aggression. START focuses on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning, including skills streaming, anger control training, and moral reasoning training.

**Spotlight on Schools (SOS) Program:** An initiative to place case managers on-site at certain key schools across the state. DJS Spotlight workers monitor and respond immediately to attendance issues, referrals for disruptive behavior, suspensions, and drop-out issues for youth under DJS supervision, and offer prevention and early intervention services to other at-risk students. In addition, SOS workers provide immediate, on-site intake services for students involved in delinquent activity.

**Staff Secure:** Residential programs where youth movement is controlled by staff supervision rather than by restrictive architectural features.

**State Advisory Board:** The Board consists of 19 members appointed by the Governor for 3-year terms. The Board recommends to the Secretary of Juvenile Services policies and programs to improve State juvenile services. The Board helps plan development, use of resources, and helps inform the public of the Department's work.

**Treatment Service Plan (TSP):** A written document identifying treatment objectives, services, and service linkages that address the needs of the youth and family. It also examines the safety and appropriateness of the youth's placement, guides DJS' recommendations to the juvenile court for permanency planning (where appropriate), and monitors level of supervision and services required.

**Under 13 (U-13) Initiative:** A school-based intervention designed to provide support and services for juvenile offenders ages 12 years and younger through collaborative partnerships between DJS, Baltimore City/PG County Public Schools, the local Department of Social Services, and other child-serving stakeholders.

**Ungovernable:** Defined as being beyond the control of parents, guardians, or custodians or being disobedient of parental authority.

**Violation of Probation (VOP):** A hearing conducted by the court to determine if the conditions of community supervision have been violated. A VOP is considered a technical violation if it does not involve a new delinquent offense.

**Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI):** Implemented in January 2008, VPI provides intensified levels of supervision and targeted services for youth at highest risk of being victims/perpetrators of crimes of violence. This initiative incorporates a level system and continuum of graduated responses to ensure that immediate and appropriate actions are consistently applied when youth are non-compliant. VPI ended at the close of FY 2016.

**Youth:** The preferred term for individuals under 18 years of age as used by DJS.





# Section I: An Overview of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services



Young ladies from J. DeWeese Carter Center went on a three-day Reflections Trip, camping and trekking through the mountains of Western Maryland. The youth completed an outdoor 50-foot tight wire, took a zip-line walk, went hiking through Swallow Falls State Park, and biked for 10-miles along the Great Allegheny Passage Trail.



## Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

### MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES (DJS)

*By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.*

*DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.*

The Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) is an executive agency charged with the responsibility of appropriately managing, supervising and treating youth who are involved in the juvenile justice system in Maryland. DJS provides individualized care and treatment to youth who have violated the law or who are a danger to themselves or others. Objective screening and assessment tools are utilized to manage youth with the guidance of the data collected. DJS works with partners in the community to achieve meaningful improvements to the outcomes of the youth served.

### Vision

**Successful Youth, Strong Leaders, Safer Communities**

### DJS Goals

1. Reduce recidivism for supervised or committed youth.
2. Keep supervised and committed youth safe while holding youth accountable for their actions.
3. Ensure a continuum of care for justice-involved youth that is age and developmentally appropriate.
4. Build, value, and empower a diverse, competent, and professional workforce.
5. Enhance the quality, availability, and use of technology to improve services for staff, youth, and families.
6. Increase diversion efforts through partnerships with education, law enforcement, and community agencies to reduce involvement of youth with DJS.

### DJS Values

- The safety of the citizens of Maryland and the fair, safe, and humane treatment for all youth in our care.
- The families, communities, stakeholders, and staff who support positive change in our youth.
- The experience, expertise, diversity, and integrity of our staff.
- Fairness and cultural competence regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual identity, or religion.
- Creating opportunities for youth and families to promote positive growth and development.
- A community-based approach with the least restrictive appropriate interventions.
- Continuous improvement and learning in all individuals.
- Excellence, innovation, and quality practices based on data and research.
- Change for the growth opportunities it brings.
- Accountability.
- Collaboration and teamwork.

## OBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT TOOLS

Objective screening and assessment tools are used to guide key decisions. For example, DJS Intake Officers are statutorily empowered to screen juvenile offenses and determine which complaints should be referred to the State's Attorney's Office for formal court petitioning. This decision is guided by a risk assessment tool that is part of the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) process. It factors in a youth's history of delinquency, history of social risk factors, and the seriousness of the current offense(s) to identify those cases requiring court action.

The Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) evaluates whether a youth should be held in secure detention or placed in a supervised detention alternative while awaiting a hearing on the merits of a juvenile complaint. This tool helps the Department improve its detention practices by taking subjectivity out of the critical detention decision, with a goal of detaining only those youth who pose a risk to public safety, to themselves, or who would not otherwise appear in court.

If a youth has been adjudicated delinquent, the Department then utilizes the MCASP Needs Assessment to identify the youth's treatment and security needs, and serves as the basis for the Treatment Service Plan (TSP) development. Using an objective instrument allows the Department to make better matches between youth and the course of treatment available within the continuum of care. The continuum of care spans in-home probation supervision with services, community-based out-of-home treatment, and state and privately-operated secure programs, all designed to address youth needs, and the factors that led the youth to delinquent behavior.

## MANAGING WITH DATA

The information developed from assessment tools as well as information collected by caseworkers, investigators, auditors, and facility staff is used in all levels of DJS management. Data reports are available to field staff and managers through the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST) and SafeMeasures reporting systems. Data are also regularly analyzed by the Research and Evaluation staff, generating reports for agency decision makers, the Governor's Office, and reports to the Maryland Legislature. This *Data Resource Guide* represents the most comprehensive report of the Department's aggregated data. County and program level data are readily available to all. This is crucial for practitioners because statewide trends could mask local trends. As innovative new ways of examining information are uncovered, these will be incorporated into this publication.

## COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS

DJS' partnerships start in the community at the field offices. In each locality throughout the state, DJS employs staff who work with the courts to provide intake services, supervision of youth, and recommendations to the court on detention as well as placement into care. Field staff work with community-based treatment providers to develop a range of offerings that meet the needs of youth in that community. State partners, such as the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), the Department of Human Resources (DHR) and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) collaborate at many levels to deliver services in the most effective manner. DJS also works closely with the Maryland State Police, local law enforcement agencies in Maryland and in surrounding jurisdictions, and the Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention (GOCCP) to ensure information is shared across agencies, and public safety goals are met.

## Re-entry Strategic Plan Goals

After implementing a number of front-end system reform initiatives in recent years, DJS has expanded its reform efforts to the committed youth population. A statewide retreat was held bringing DJS' community and residential staff and administrators together with agency stakeholders including representatives from the Judiciary, Office of the Public Defender, State's Attorney's Office, service providers, and the advocacy community.


DJS' Strategic Re-Entry Plan was developed and implemented in FY 2016 with goals, objectives, and performance measures to ensure that youth being released from committed placement successfully transition to life back in their home communities. Among the objectives are transition planning components for family engagement, re-enrollment in school, and connecting to work opportunities.

- Goal 1: Reduce recidivism by providing supervision to all youth returning home from committed care.
- Goal 2: Engage families of committed youth at all key case planning decision points.
- Goal 3: Connect all committed youth needing educational services to local education resources.
- Goal 4: Connect all youth to local employment services and resources.
- Goal 5: Connect all youth in need of behavioral or somatic health services to local resources to provide continuity of care.



## Organizational Chart

AS OF APRIL, 2016



## Agency Organization

The Department of Juvenile Services serves the entire state by providing support for community programs and services, community supervision and case management, and custody and care of committed juveniles.



Headquarters, located in Baltimore City, houses the Office of the Secretary and functions as the hub for all support services.

Agency functions are divided among the following:

- The Secretary oversees the Chief of Staff, Deputy Secretaries, Office of the Inspector General, and the Office of Legislation.
- The Chief of Staff oversees the Office of Fair Practice/Equal Employment, the Office of Communications/Special Projects, and the Office of Family Engagement.
- The Deputy Secretary for Support Services manages the following offices: Research and Evaluation, Budget and Fiscal Services, Capital Planning and Budgeting, Information Technology, General Services, Human Resources, and Professional Training and Education.
- The Deputy Secretary for Operations oversees operations that provide community-based services to youth and families, including community detention, regional offices, and Statewide Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) efforts. The Deputy Secretary for Operations also oversees residential operations, including the managing director of Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC)/transportation services, as well as Somatic Health, Substance Abuse, and Mental Health Services.

## DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2016

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016, the Department expended a total of \$278.5 million. The first pie chart reflects the major cost centers for which these funds were expended, and the second shows the expenditures by major object group.



Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

## Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution

### 1800s

- 1830: Maryland Legislature passes “An Act to Establish a House of Refuge for Juvenile Delinquents” creating for the first time an authority to provide troubled children with homes, education, and job training.
- 1850: House of Refuge opens.
- 1850-1882: Maryland builds four “reform schools” for young people, governed by private boards and segregated by race and sex.
- 1866: Maryland Industrial School for Girls opens, and is operated under various names, finally as the Montrose School, and closing in 1988.
- 1870: House of Reformation & Instruction for Colored Children opens. It operated under various names, renamed to the Cheltenham Youth Facility in 1992.
- 1882: Industrial Home for Colored Girls opens. Merged with Montrose School for Girls in 1962.

### 1910-1918

- House of Refuge (opened in 1850) becomes Maryland School for Boys (1910) and in 1918 becomes the Maryland Training School for Boys.

### 1922

- State Department of Education operates the training schools.

### 1943

- State Department of Public Welfare, Bureau of Child Welfare, Division of Institutions operates the training schools.

### 1960s

- 1966-1969: State Department of Juvenile Services becomes the central coordinating agency for juvenile investigation, probation and aftercare services, and for State juvenile, diagnostic, training, detention, and rehabilitation institutions.
- 1967: State Department of Juvenile Services assumes administrative responsibilities for all State children’s centers and boys’ forestry camps.
- 1968: Patterson House (Group Home for Girls) opens. It closes in 1992.
- 1969: Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, organizes the Juvenile Services Administration to administer all schools, youth detention centers, forestry camps, and probation/aftercare programs.

### 1970s

- 1970: Alfred D. Noyes Children’s Center opens in Montgomery County.
- 1972: Group Home for Boys opens, becoming the William Donald Schaefer House in 1992.
- 1972: Maryland Youth Residence Center (MYRC) opens.

### 1980s

- 1982: J. DeWeese Carter Center opens.
- 1985: Maryland Training School for Boys becomes the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School (CHHS).
- 1987: Juvenile Services Administration becomes an independent agency.
- 1989: The Department of Juvenile Services becomes a cabinet-level department.

### 1990s

- 1992: Victor Cullen Academy, which was a former tuberculosis sanatorium, opens.
- 1992: Boys’ Village of Maryland renamed to Cheltenham Youth Facility (CYF).
- 1999: In November, DJS replaces its existing mainframe application, Information System for Youth Services (ISYS), with a client-server system referred to as the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST).

### 2002

- Victor Cullen Academy closes.
- HB 1081 - Requires the establishment of a community detention program.

## Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution (continued)

- HB 1011 - Requires the Department to operate the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC) as a centralized regional juvenile justice intake, assessment, court, and detention facility for Baltimore City and specified the powers and duties related to the Center.
- HB 962 - Authorizes the juvenile court to adopt a treatment service plan recommended by the Department in making a disposition on a specified petition. It also requires the Department to ensure that a treatment service plan adopted by the court is implemented within 25 days after disposition.

### 2003

- Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center opens in October.

### 2004

- Day/Evening Center in Baltimore City opens in December.
- SB 767 /HB 1141 - Requires a child discharged from a committed residential placement to receive step-down aftercare according to specified parameters.

### 2005

- Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School Committed and Impact Programs closes in July.
- HB 1339 - Requires the Secretary of Juvenile Services to establish a Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program in Baltimore City and County.

### 2007

- Victor Cullen Center reopens in July.
- Maryland Youth Residence Center closes in October.
- SB 359 - Reorganization and Regionalization requires DJS to serve youth with specified programming that delivers services on a regional basis.

### 2008

- Group Home Reform - Keeping Maryland Youth in Maryland Per Diem Reduction Initiative.
- Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) begins in Baltimore City in January and statewide in November.
- Opens Day/Evening Reporting Center in Prince George's County in November.
- SB 742 - Provides that all contracted residential child care programs must post a "Residents' Bill of Rights" in the facility and provide residents and their parents/guardians a handbook of the policies of the provider.
- Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center closes in December.

### 2009

- Collaboration with Operation Safe Kids to serve VPI youth in Baltimore City and Prince George's County.
- Silver Oak Academy opens (private provider serving only DJS youth).

### 2010

- Child Safety Net Dashboard launches in February.
- HB 1382 - Allows DJS to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in the District of Columbia and Virginia.

### 2011

- SB 62 - Authorizes the State Department of Education and the Department of Juvenile Services to share educational records when necessary to ensure the appropriate delivery of services.
- HB 1190 - Expands the Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program to include Cecil, Montgomery, and Prince George's Counties.
- The committed female population moves from Waxter Facility to J. Deweese Carter Center in November.
- CHALLENGE behavioral management program is implemented at Carter Center in November.

## 2012

- SB 245 - Allows DJS to begin to develop a continuum of care by granting the agency the ability to move youth between committed placements.
- CHALLENGE is implemented at Victor Cullen Center in March, the Youth Centers in August, and William Donald Schaefer House in October.
- Central Review Committee is formed to implement the terms of SB 245 and commences its operation in July.
- DJS begins screening for victims of human sex trafficking at the Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center in March. The human trafficking screening tool is expanded to the Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center in December.

## 2013

- HB 245 - Expands DJS' ability to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in Delaware, Pennsylvania and West Virginia.
- Silver Oak Academy receives approval to increase their treatment capacity from 48 beds to 96 beds.
- Under-13 (U-13) Initiative commences in Baltimore City in May. The U-13 Initiative is a school-based intervention designed to provide support and services for juvenile offenders ages 12 years and younger through collaborative partnerships between DJS, Baltimore City Public Schools, the local Department of Social Services, and other child-serving stakeholders.
- From March to May, over 56 training sessions are held where approximately 1,100 Department employees learn to recognize the signs of possible human sex trafficking victimization among youth involved in the juvenile justice system and apply appropriate responses to support possible victims.

## 2014

- The Department begins screening for victims of human sex trafficking at the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School in March.
- The U-13 Initiative expands to Prince George's County in May.


## 2015

- Accountability Incentives Management (AIM) - DJS Graduated Responses Initiative is implemented statewide in July.
- SB 172 / HB 618 - Requires eligible youth who are charged as adults and require detention to be held in juvenile detention facilities.
- Seven DJS facilities (Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center, J. DeWeese Carter Center, Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center, Meadow Mountain Youth Center, Savage Mountain Youth Center, Victor Cullen Center, and Western Maryland Children's Center) were audited and earned 100% compliance with federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards.

## 2016


- DJS Re-entry Strategic Plan approved in January.
- Offices of Family Engagement and Equity and Systems Reform created in February.
- Continuum of Care Legislation expired in June. Provisions in the law were set to expire on June 30, 2016. There was no legislative action to extend the time, therefore the statute expired.
- William Donald Schaefer House was officially closed for youth and staff on May 26, 2016.






Historical photo from a Youth Center

Department of Juvenile Services Historical Evolution Highlights Since 2005



# Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

## Process Flowchart



## STEPS IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM (SEE PROCESS FLOWCHART)

1. Youth can be referred to DJS by law enforcement, schools, citizens, and parents. Some police departments run diversion programs, and only those youth who fail out of the program would be referred to DJS. Youth may also be apprehended by law enforcement agencies (on a writ or warrant) for failing to appear in court or violating the conditions of supervision while in an alternative to detention program, for example.
2. DJS makes an emergency detention decision to determine if a youth requires secure detention until the next court day. This decision is guided by a Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI).
3. The intake complaint is assessed by an intake officer, who has statutory authority to determine how the case should be handled. The options are:
  - Disapprove as legally insufficient;
  - Resolve, when it is determined that furthering the case would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety;
  - Informal pre-court supervision, where the family signs a 90-day agreement to certain conditions without court involvement. This is the main DJS court diversion program; or
  - Formally authorize the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court for a hearing.
4. At the detention hearing, the juvenile court determines if detention is required until the adjudicatory or dispositional hearing. Youth may also be detained directly by the juvenile court in cases where the youth is presented during court hours, either on a new charge, a writ or warrant, or due to a violation or sanction of a supervision order (probation, community detention, drug court, etc.). All secure detention centers are operated by DJS.
5. Youth who present a lower risk may also be supervised in programs providing alternatives to secure detention. These programs ensure that the youth is available to attend the adjudicatory hearing. Youth must comply with certain restrictions, which include house arrest, electronic monitoring, or day and/or evening reporting centers. Structured shelter is also used for cases where the youth cannot return home after arrest but otherwise represents a lower risk.
6. DJS formally authorizes the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court. The State's Attorney then reviews the complaint, and may dismiss it, or file a petition to the juvenile court.
7. At the adjudicatory hearing, the juvenile court determines the outcome of the petitioned charges, which can be sustained or not sustained.
8. While a youth is awaiting disposition and/or supervision or placement, DJS conducts a series of assessments and investigations which will guide the DJS recommendation to the court on how the case should be handled.
9. For cases where the charges are sustained, a dispositional hearing is held to determine if the youth requires supervision by DJS under a probation order, or will be committed to DJS' care which usually indicates an out-of-home placement.
10. For youth whose disposition is probation, DJS case managers provide supervision and services while the youth resides at home. Supervision intensity varies depending on the risk level of youth. Standard community supervision levels include low, moderate, or high supervision intensity.
11. Youth who are committed to the Department for out-of-home placement may continue to wait in detention "pending placement" for an appropriate placement to become available.
12. Youth who are committed to an out-of-home placement may be placed in a broad variety of programs (state-run or private, secure or non-secure) depending on the risk level and treatment needs of the youth.
13. DJS has committed diversion programs for youth who might otherwise be placed out-of-home. These in-home programs provide services and treatment to the youth and family, including Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), and Family Centered Treatment (FCT).
14. Youth returning home from a committed placement are supervised on aftercare by DJS case managers who, along with regional re-entry specialists, ensure that youth are connected in the community with required services including education, employment, and health services.



## Professional Training and Education Unit (PTEU)

The Professional Training and Education Unit (PTEU) supports DJS and its employees through innovative training processes and procedures that promote and support effective practices in juvenile justice. PTEU provides leadership and planned direction for organizational development which includes management of processes and a training continuum from Entry Level Training (ELT) through Executive Training.

PTEU assists in the design of employee engagement strategies to motivate, manage, retain, and develop the DJS workforce to its fullest potential in alignment with the vision, mission, values, and goals of the Department.

The key to success for any organization is the ability to attract and retain skilled and talented people. Professional training is the process of developing skills, training employees, and keeping a workforce that accomplishes the goals of the organization by providing continuous learning opportunities to enhance the knowledge, skills, and abilities of all employees.

PTEU conducts ELT sessions as needed for newly hired employees and conducts four Supervisor Training sessions per year for those employees who have been promoted to supervisory positions. PTEU is responsible for ensuring that all DJS employees complete their required mandated annual training to maintain their certifications.

PTEU assigns Regional Training Coordinators who organize the delivery of required annual in-service training and provide direct continuing training support for staff. The coordinators responsibilities include: ensuring 100% compliance with in-service training requirements for current staff within their purview; coordinating, in conjunction with a facility or regional scheduler, an annual comprehensive in-service training program; ensuring that the training schedule meets the requirements of the Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC) regulations and ensuring that the training schedule complies with DJS and national standards for juvenile justice training; tracking and ensuring accurate data-entry in the Skills Manager database for all staff within their purview; and managing course registration and administration for the assigned facilities or regional offices.

**In-Service Training:** PTEU has initiated the development of a comprehensive in-service training structure that will provide intermediate and advanced training in some content areas. Additionally, PTEU initiated the development of opportunities to engage technology in training through webinars, videoconferencing, and teleconferencing.

**Specialized Training/Initiatives:** During FY 2016, the PTEU has completed mandated PREA refresher training for facility staff and authorized providers. In calendar year 2015, Maryland DJS was the first agency in the United States to roll out the Youth Mental Health First Aid (YMHFA) training program and completed training for over 1,200 mandated employees. The PTEU also conducted three MPCTC approved General Corrections Train the Trainer (T for T) courses during the calendar year. The Aspiring Supervisor Program is designed to


develop and enhance knowledge, skills, and abilities of employees who may perform lead, or temporary supervisor duties and responsibilities, or who may aspire to become a supervisor. PTEU continues to partner with outside organizations that develop training to meet the needs of the agency staff. In October 2015 DJS and the Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU), Executive Leadership Institute partnered to provide Executive Leadership Training to 29 members of the Department.



Sykesville PTEU Staff

**Certification Unit:** The Certification Unit is responsible for maintaining the accuracy of the Skills Manager Training Database. Skills Manager is a robust system for collecting, managing, and reporting of records related to career development, education and training of all employees for the length of their career. The unit reports the duty, training and certification status of mandated employees to the MPCTC on a continuous basis. The unit also submits requests for training program approvals, instructor certifications and the year-end training compliance report for mandated employees.

## Flow of FY 2016 Case Referrals



In FY 2016:

- There were a total of 22,429 juvenile complaints processed by DJS.
  - 48.4% (10,846) of those juvenile complaints were referred to the State's Attorney for the authorization of a formal petition. 51.6% were resolved at intake or juvenile received 90-days of pre-court supervision.
  - Of the 22,429 juvenile complaints, there were 2,358 (10.5%) detention placements representing 1,464 distinct youth.
- Of the 10,846 juvenile complaints referred to the State's Attorney, 86.2% (9,347) were petitioned and resulted in a court disposition. The remaining 13.8% includes 7.4% not petitioned (800), 5.3% denied by State's Attorney (578), and 1.1% initial petition withdrawn (121).
- Of the 9,347 court dispositions:
  - 30.2% (2,825) received probation and 9.7% (902) were committed to DJS.
  - The remaining 60.1% were dismissed, closed, nolle pros, jurisdiction transferred, continued or still pending disposition, jurisdiction waived to adult court, writ pending, inter-region/state transfer, or other.
- Of the 902 committed court dispositions, there were 695 committed admissions (77.1%) with 471 distinct youth. The remaining 207 include community commitments and youth who had already been in a committed placement prior to the new complaint.
  - Of the 695 committed admissions, 49.8% (346) were placed into DJS operated facilities while 50.2% (349) were placed into non-DJS operated facilities. Of those placed into non-DJS operated facilities:
    - 30.1% went to a group home, 30.4% went to a RTC, 12.0% went to an ICFA, and 14.9% went to Silver Oak Academy.
    - The remaining 12.6% were placed out-of-state, in foster care, or in independent living facilities.





## Section II: Intake and Community Supervision



In observance of National Crime Victims' Rights Week, Carroll County youth from the Adventure Diversion Program cleaned graffiti off of rocks in Morgan Run State Park.



## Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision

The DJS Community Services Division provides a continuum of services to youth across multiple stages of the juvenile justice system. The provision of community services begins at DJS intake where DJS intake officers receive complaints from persons or agencies, such as private citizens, schools, victims, or law enforcement agencies. Intake officers then assess whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is warranted. In addition to screening complaints received at intake, Community Services staff directly supervise youth placed on Informal (or Pre-Court) Supervision, Community Detention and Electronic Monitoring (CD/EM), Probation, and Aftercare. Case supervision and management consists of a number of tasks which will be described in more detail below.

### Juvenile Intake

DJS intake officers review all delinquent and Child in Need of Supervision (CINS) complaints, citations, referrals for service, and peace order requests. Intake officers are directed to make a determination within 25 days as to whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction, and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public or the child. DJS intake officers are authorized to either: (a) disapprove a complaint as legally insufficient; (b) resolve the matter at intake; (c) propose an informal adjustment period (also called pre-court supervision); or (d) authorize the filing of a petition by the State's Attorney's Office.

The intake decision-making process may involve an interview with the youth, parent and/or guardian, and where applicable, the victim(s). The intake decision is also guided by the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen (see Appendix I). The MCASP Intake Risk Screen is completed at intake for all alleged offenses except alcohol, tobacco, and possession of marijuana (less than 10 grams) citations, status offenses (e.g., curfew violations and runaway complaints), and traffic offenses. MCASP Intake Risk Screen items create a delinquency history score and a social history score. The instrument generates a recommended intake decision based on the intersection of these two scores and the nature of the most serious alleged offense (whether it is a felony or misdemeanor). If a youth is referred for a violent felony offense, the instrument recommends that the case be handled formally.

In addition to assessing the merit of complaints received at intake and making the case forwarding decision, DJS intake officers are responsible for determining whether juveniles who have been taken into custody require secure detention or may be released to a parent, guardian, or other responsible adult and, if so, under what conditions. Some youth are released to a caregiver without any additional restrictions or conditions. Other youth are released to a caregiver and required to participate in an alternative to detention (ATD) program such as CD/EM. Lastly, some youth are detained or placed in shelter care pending a court hearing which is held no later than the next court date.

Intake officers are directed by statute (Md. Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings, section 3-8A-15) to authorize detention if detention

is deemed necessary to protect the youth or others, or if the youth is deemed likely to leave the jurisdiction of the court. Shelter care may be utilized for youth requiring care, but not in a secure setting. Additionally, shelter care can be utilized if a parent, guardian, or custodian is not available to provide supervision and care until the child returns to court.

The decision to authorize detention is guided by a decision-making tool called the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI). The DRAI is designed to provide an objective assessment of a youth's risk to the community as well as the probability that the youth will fail to appear for future court dates. The DRAI used during FY 2016 was implemented in July 2013 (for the current DRAI see Appendix F).

Intake services are provided by DJS regions during normal working hours (i.e., Mon-Fri., 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.). The Baltimore City Region provides 24/7 intake services and houses a centralized intake unit at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center. The centralized intake unit provides state-wide intake services during non-traditional work hours and/or holidays for all regions across the state.

### Community Supervision - Informal Adjustment (or Pre-Court Supervision)

As discussed above, one option for a case received at DJS intake is to handle the case informally without involving the juvenile court through an Informal Adjustment/Pre-Court Supervision period of up to 90 days. Pre-Court Supervision is an agreement executed by the DJS intake officer that stipulates conditions of the supervision period. The agreement requires consent by the youth, parent/guardian, and victim (where applicable). Approval by the State's Attorney's Office is required for a felony offense. Agreements are tailored to the individual circumstances of the case and may include the payment of restitution, the completion of community service hours, as well as participation in specialized counseling or treatment programs such as substance abuse treatment. In some circumstances, the period of informal supervision may be extended to 180 days to allow for the completion of a substance abuse treatment program. Note that if a youth fails to meet the conditions of the agreement, the DJS intake officer may elect to forward the case to the State's Attorney's Office for review.

## **Community Supervision – Community Detention**

DJS provides regional community detention and electronic monitoring services (CD/EM) typically for youth whose cases have been forwarded to the juvenile court at intake and are pending adjudication or disposition. The program operates as the primary state-run ATD, allowing youth to continue participating in community activities such as school or work, and helping maintain community ties and support systems. Note that CD/EM may also be ordered by the court as a condition of probation or aftercare supervision.

Community Detention supervision includes face-to-face and telephone contacts as well as random unannounced visits at home, school, and work. The level of supervision depends on the assessed supervision needs of each youth and the court-ordered release conditions. Community Detention officers are trained to detect violations of court-ordered release conditions and report any changes in a youth's home or school situation. Youth who violate the conditions of release may be withdrawn from the program and placed in secure detention.

The electronic monitoring component provides an additional layer of supervision. Compliance is monitored electronically through an ankle bracelet placed on the youth by a Community Detention officer with a transmitting unit placed in the family home. A daily report is generated by the central computer and transmitted to an EM coordinator. This information is examined and any violations of the authorized schedule are transmitted for further consideration.

The CD/EM command center is located in Baltimore City. This centrally-operated command center provides 24/7 staffing.

## **Community Supervision – Probation**

Youth who are adjudicated and found delinquent by the juvenile court may be placed on probation under the supervision of a DJS case management specialist (CMS). Probation is the most frequently used juvenile court disposition. A probation term requires youth to abide by general supervision conditions, as well as any special conditions imposed by the court.

At the start of probation supervision (or in some instances, prior to disposition if ordered by the juvenile court), a Social History Investigation and report is completed by the assigned CMS. This report describes the social adjustment and circumstances of the youth and their family.

In addition, the CMS completes an MCASP Needs Assessment that includes components of the MCASP Intake Risk Screen completed at intake but provides a much broader and more comprehensive assessment of individual need. The MCASP Needs Assessment categorizes youth as either low, moderate or high on each of the following treatment domains (i.e., needs related to re-offending risk):

(a) School; (b) Use of Free Time; (c) Employment; (d) Community Relationships; (e) Family; (f) Alcohol and Drugs; (g) Mental Health; (h) Attitudes and Aggression; and (i) Neighborhood Safety. (See Appendix J for a list of MCASP Needs Assessment items).

The CMS uses the Social History Investigation and MCASP Needs Assessment to develop recommendations to the juvenile court and to create a Treatment Service Plan (TSP). A TSP is completed for each youth under court ordered supervision and includes the recommended supervision level for the youth, specific goals for the youth and family to meet, and a statement of services to be provided to the youth and family. In developing the TSP, input from youth, parents and/or guardians, and service providers (as appropriate) are also solicited.

## **Community Supervision – Aftercare and Re-entry**

Aftercare supervision is similar to probation supervision in that the CMS performs many of the same supervisory tasks and relies on the same tools for decision-making (e.g., the MCASP Needs Assessment). Aftercare services are provided for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS for placement outside the home, or for the receipt of in-home services in some jurisdictions. A CMS is assigned to the case upon commitment, planning for aftercare is initiated before the youth is placed in a residential program.

Aftercare case management is comprehensive, beginning with assessments of the youth's progress while in residential care, to the identification and monitoring of services needed upon release. Building on the services provided in the residential placement, the CMS is responsible for linking the youth and family to services in the community, monitoring the youth's adjustment, and ensuring compliance with any court directives. As a step-down to community supervision, some youth are also monitored with GPS supervision. The MCASP Needs Assessment provides guidance to case managers as they coordinate and plan for services.

A variety of programs is used to assist youth in the re-entry process, including independent living programs, transitional educational services, and employment programs (e.g., job readiness training, career exploration and vocational training). Continued substance abuse or mental health treatment are also available as needed.

## **Community Supervision – Violence Prevention Initiative**

The Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) is an intensive supervision program intended to reduce the number of juvenile homicides and non-fatal shootings. The VPI program focuses on youth under supervision (either probation or aftercare) who are believed to be at high-risk of involvement in violent offenses. The program combines intensive surveillance (e.g., frequent contact with youth during non-traditional hours such as evenings and weekends, and GPS monitoring) with




enhanced service delivery (e.g., drug or mental health treatment). Youth are sanctioned swiftly upon evidence of non-compliance in order to ensure the earliest possible intervention.

At the end of FY 2016 the Department ended VPI as a separate supervision program, and moved youth assigned to the VPI program to a new level of regular community supervision called “intensive” supervision. Assignment to the intensive supervision level is guided by commission of a violent felony, or youth entering aftercare from a secure program. In contrast to the VPI program, the duration of intensive supervision is shorter (three months rather six to nine months), but continues to include GPS monitoring.

### Interstate Compact on Juveniles (ICJ)

The ICJ unit provides for the cooperative supervision of probation and aftercare youth moving from state to state and the return of runaways, absconders, and escapees. As of 2014, a new compact was enacted forming the Interstate Commission for Juveniles and all 50 states are currently members. The ICJ governs each member state as to the provision of proper supervision or return of juveniles, delinquents, and status offenders on probation or aftercare who have absconded, escaped, or ran away and in so doing endangered their own safety or the safety of others. It is the responsibility of each member state to provide supervision and services to ICJ cases using the same standards that prevail for its own juveniles placed on probation or aftercare supervision.

## DJS Offices by County and Region





## Total Statewide Activity

There are six regions across the state that encompass 24 jurisdictions.

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.9%	0.9%	1.3%
Citizen	2.9%	3.0%	2.5%
Police*	90.6%	90.6%	92.3%
Violation of Probation**	5.6%	5.4%	4.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>25,135</b>	<b>23,473</b>	<b>22,429</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	53.4%	51.1%	48.4%
Informed	17.2%	16.5%	15.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	29.4%	32.4%	36.0%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>25,135</b>	<b>23,473</b>	<b>22,429</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	10.3%	8.4%	8.3%
Continued/Stet	9.4%	9.7%	12.2%
Dismissed/Closed	31.4%	31.1%	26.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.6%	0.9%	1.0%
Nolle Pros.	5.8%	5.1%	5.4%
Other**	5.9%	6.0%	7.2%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	2.0%	3.3%	5.8%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.0%	5.2%	5.3%
Probation	27.5%	27.7%	26.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.5%	0.3%	0.5%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	2.8%	2.3%	2.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>13,417</b>	<b>12,001</b>	<b>10,846</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	581.1	N/A	581.1
Pre-Court	816.8	N/A	816.8
Probation	2,257.5	N/A	2,257.5
VPI*	403.3	115.9	519.2
Aftercare	586.5	457.9	1,044.4

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

#### US Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Maryland population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.9% (from 540,202 to 530,081).

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):

- 48.4% of complaints were formaled while 15.7% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):

- Total complaints decreased 10.8%
- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 10.3% to 8.3%
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 27.5% to 26.0%

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.7 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.2 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 79.8 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in a Secure Detention (2.42), Arrests (2.13) and Confinement in a Secure Correctional Facility (2.13).
- See Appendix O for details on the Relative Rate Index (RRI).

#### Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):

- Crimes of Violence increased from 11.5% to 12.4%
- Felonies increased from 8.5% to 9.4%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 70.3% to 68.0%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.3%	63.2%	63.8%
White	31.3%	29.7%	29.1%
Hispanic/Other	6.4%	7.1%	7.2%
Sex			
Male	72.4%	72.5%	73.8%
Female	27.6%	27.5%	26.2%
Age			
11 and under	3.5%	3.7%	3.6%
12	3.9%	4.5%	4.7%
13	7.6%	7.9%	7.9%
14	13.0%	13.6%	12.9%
15	19.2%	19.2%	19.8%
16	22.5%	22.7%	23.4%
17	26.8%	25.5%	25.0%
18-20	3.5%	3.0%	2.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>25,135</b>	<b>23,473</b>	<b>22,429</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	39.1%	42.7%	43.0%	52.1%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	4.1%	0.8%	3.6%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	18.2%	13.9%	23.0%	17.4%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%	1.1%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	2.4%	2.1%	1.4%
Handgun Violation	0.8%	1.6%	1.7%	4.6%
Harassment	0.6%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	10.1%	8.2%	7.1%	5.5%
Robbery	3.8%	9.3%	3.7%	13.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	1.3%	2.6%	2.8%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.5%	1.7%	1.5%
Property Offenses	36.2%	36.9%	35.4%	27.5%
Arson	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.3%	4.2%	1.5%	1.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.1%	4.7%	4.0%	3.6%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.6%	3.0%	3.9%	3.5%
Malicious Destruction	6.3%	4.9%	6.1%	2.5%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.7%	3.0%	1.9%	1.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.4%	3.9%	1.1%	2.1%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.9%	12.2%	15.8%	12.4%
Drug Related Offenses	5.0%	7.2%	5.6%	6.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.8%	3.6%	2.0%	1.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.2%	3.7%	3.6%	4.7%
Citations	6.7%	2.6%	2.1%	1.4%
Alcohol Violation	1.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.8%	1.5%	1.3%	0.8%
Tobacco Violation	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	2.3%	1.4%	0.1%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.8%	0.7%	0.5%	0.1%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.8%	8.4%	13.2%	12.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.4%	1.8%	5.1%	5.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	1.3%	2.5%	1.8%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.8%	0.6%	1.5%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.6%	4.2%	5.0%	3.9%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>37,045</b>	<b>7,194</b>	<b>2,108</b>	<b>723</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>27.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	1.6%	2.6%	2.2%
- Property	5.3%	8.8%	3.0%	4.8%
- Drugs	2.8%	6.9%	2.3%	2.1%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.6%	4.0%	5.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>68.0%</b>	<b>47.4%</b>	<b>75.7%</b>	<b>56.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	38.5%	26.9%	40.3%	32.3%
- Property	25.0%	16.7%	26.5%	16.2%
- Drugs	2.6%	2.5%	3.5%	4.3%
- Unspecified	1.9%	1.2%	5.4%	4.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>22,429</b>	<b>3,256<sup>++</sup></b>	<b>1,837</b>	<b>579</b>


\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses


<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>++</sup> Includes 56 placements of youth from out-of-state

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Female Statewide Activity

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.5%	0.4%
Citizen	4.2%	5.4%	4.5%
Police*	91.9%	90.3%	92.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.5%	3.8%	3.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,929</b>	<b>6,455</b>	<b>5,879</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	40.1%	39.0%	34.5%
Informed	19.6%	19.2%	16.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.4%	41.8%	48.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>6,929</b>	<b>6,455</b>	<b>5,879</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	5.8%	4.7%	4.0%
Continued/Stet	10.9%	10.6%	14.2%
Dismissed/Closed	32.3%	30.8%	22.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.3%	0.7%	0.6%
Nolle Pros.	8.0%	6.7%	7.7%
Other**	6.4%	7.0%	8.6%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	1.9%	4.0%	7.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.5%	6.5%	5.6%
Probation	26.6%	26.2%	26.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.3%	0.4%	1.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	3.1%	2.5%	2.2%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>2,778</b>	<b>2,520</b>	<b>2,031</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	104.3	N/A	104.3
Pre-Court	228.4	N/A	228.4
Probation	463.1	N/A	463.1
VPI*	35.2	8.0	43.2
Aftercare	107.3	85.1	192.4

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

#### US Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2011 and July 2015, the female population (ages 11-17) decreased 5.0% (from 273,652 to 259,840).

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):

- 34.5% of complaints were formaled while 16.7% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):

- Total complaints decreased 15.2%
- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 5.8% to 4.0%
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 26.6% to 26.2%

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 28.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 83.2 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):

- Data on racial and ethnic disparity are not available by sex.

#### Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):

- Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.5% to 5.0%
- Felonies increased from 4.6% to 4.8%
- Misdemeanors increased from 78.4% to 78.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	61.0%	62.7%	62.3%
White	33.7%	31.6%	32.0%
Hispanic/Other	5.3%	5.6%	5.7%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	3.3%	3.0%	3.0%
12	4.3%	4.9%	4.9%
13	9.5%	8.6%	8.7%
14	14.1%	14.9%	13.8%
15	18.9%	19.8%	21.2%
16	22.7%	22.0%	22.6%
17	24.1%	24.1%	23.8%
18-20	3.1%	2.8%	2.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,929</b>	<b>6,455</b>	<b>5,879</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	47.2%	48.3%	52.5%	55.4%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	4.6%	0.8%	6.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	26.4%	20.2%	33.7%	28.9%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	3.0%	1.5%	2.4%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Harassment	1.2%	0.7%	0.3%	1.2%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	14.5%	13.1%	13.3%	10.8%
Robbery	1.7%	5.1%	1.5%	4.8%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.2%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.2%	0.1%	0.5%	1.2%
Property Offenses	28.8%	33.1%	28.4%	24.1%
Arson	0.5%	1.3%	0.5%	1.2%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.1%	2.2%	0.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.0%	2.9%	2.0%	1.2%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.8%	1.7%	2.0%	2.4%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%	6.1%	6.5%	4.8%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.1%	2.8%	1.3%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.5%	1.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	15.5%	14.1%	15.1%	14.5%
Drug Related Offenses	2.8%	3.0%	3.8%	9.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	1.0%	0.8%	1.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.3%	2.0%	3.0%	8.4%
Citations	6.8%	2.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.2%	1.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	4.1%	3.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.6%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.7%	9.7%	13.1%	10.8%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.1%	2.0%	4.0%	4.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%	1.1%	2.5%	2.4%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.5%	4.4%	6.5%	3.6%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>8,753</b>	<b>891</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>83</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	1.1%	0.6%	0.0%
- Property	3.2%	6.1%	1.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.7%	1.7%	0.8%	1.4%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.6%	2.5%	4.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>78.5%</b>	<b>66.0%</b>	<b>89.0%</b>	<b>80.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	49.1%	41.4%	54.4%	48.6%
- Property	25.6%	22.8%	24.3%	23.6%
- Drugs	2.1%	1.1%	2.5%	6.9%
- Unspecified	1.7%	0.6%	7.7%	1.4%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>5,879</b>	<b>473<sup>++</sup></b>	<b>362</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>12.4%</b>


\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses


<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>++</sup> Includes 7 placements of youth from out-of-state

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Statewide Activity for Youth Under Age 13

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	4.2%	3.9%	3.3%
Police*	94.7%	95.4%	96.4%
Violation of Probation**	1.1%	0.7%	0.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,870</b>	<b>1,914</b>	<b>1,869</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	38.3%	34.8%	35.9%
Informaled	22.6%	25.3%	21.9%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.1%	39.9%	42.2%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,870</b>	<b>1,914</b>	<b>1,869</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	4.5%	3.9%	2.7%
Continued/Stet	13.0%	13.2%	19.8%
Dismissed/Closed	36.1%	34.7%	27.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	5.7%	6.0%	7.0%
Other**	6.4%	6.6%	6.4%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	2.5%	3.8%	6.1%
Petition Denied by SAO	7.0%	6.6%	7.2%
Probation	22.9%	23.7%	22.1%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	1.8%	1.4%	1.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>717</b>	<b>666</b>	<b>671</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	28.6	N/A	28.6
Pre-Court	97.1	N/A	97.1
Probation	126.4	N/A	126.4
VPI*	11.1	4.1	15.1
Aftercare	31.3	4.6	35.9

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

### U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2011 and July 2015, the juvenile population (ages 11-12) decreased 2.0% (from 152,228 to 149,188).

### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):

- 35.9% of complaints were formaled while 21.9% were informaled.

### Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):

- Total complaints decreased 0.1%
- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 4.5% to 2.7%
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 22.9% to 22.1%

### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.6 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 99.6 days.

### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):

- Data on racial and ethnic disparity is not shown due to the small population size.

### Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):

- Crimes of Violence increased from 8.6% to 11.0%
- Felonies decreased from 4.1% to 4.0%
- Misdemeanors increased from 79.5% to 80.7%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.9%	66.2%	69.6%
White	27.2%	30.2%	27.1%
Hispanic/Other	3.9%	3.6%	3.3%
Sex			
Male	71.8%	73.5%	75.1%
Female	28.2%	26.5%	24.9%
Age			
8 and under	114	88	91
9	113	101	112
10	212	213	199
11	443	464	410
12	988	1,048	1,057
Error/Missing	0	0	0
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,870</b>	<b>1,914</b>	<b>1,869</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	51.8%	62.2%	54.7%	75.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.7%	11.1%	0.0%	8.3%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	27.4%	16.7%	27.4%	25.0%
Carjacking	0.1%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.3%	3.3%	3.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	10.0%	9.4%	8.4%	0.0%
Robbery	2.2%	10.0%	1.1%	33.3%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.3%	4.4%	7.4%	8.3%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.2%	1.7%	7.4%	0.0%
Property Offenses	37.7%	28.9%	37.9%	8.3%
Arson	2.7%	1.7%	1.1%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.9%	3.3%	3.2%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	2.8%	2.1%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.9%	4.4%	15.8%	8.3%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.5%	1.7%	2.1%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.4%	12.2%	12.6%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.4%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.2%	7.8%	6.3%	16.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	2.2%	2.1%	8.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%	8.3%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.0%	2.8%	3.2%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>2,831</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>12</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>52.8%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.4%	5.6%	1.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>80.7%</b>	<b>38.9%</b>	<b>85.1%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	47.8%	23.6%	48.3%	36.4%
- Property	30.7%	13.9%	33.3%	9.1%
- Drugs	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.9%	1.4%	3.4%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>1,869</b>	<b>72<sup>++</sup></b>	<b>87</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>


\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses


<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>++</sup> Includes 1 placement of a youth from out-of-state

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Region I-Baltimore City Summary

Executive Director: Dwain Johnson  
Assistant Regional Directors: Latonia Battle-White, John Perotta and Leslie Shell (Acting)

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	1.8%	2.4%	4.7%
Citizen	7.4%	8.4%	6.2%
Police*	84.8%	81.8%	83.4%
Violation of Probation**	6.0%	7.4%	5.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,016</b>	<b>3,408</b>	<b>2,490</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	83.9%	80.7%	73.8%
Informed	6.1%	7.5%	7.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	10.0%	11.8%	18.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>4,016</b>	<b>3,408</b>	<b>2,490</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	9.2%	8.0%	11.6%
Continued/Stet	5.5%	6.3%	6.0%
Dismissed/Closed	55.1%	55.6%	49.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Other**	2.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	2.1%	5.2%	8.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.4%	2.9%	1.7%
Probation	21.3%	20.4%	21.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>3,370</b>	<b>2,751</b>	<b>1,837</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	193.7	N/A	193.7
Pre-Court	63.5	N/A	63.5
Probation	490.2	N/A	490.2
VPI*	155.1	38.5	193.5
Aftercare	110.8	66.2	177.0

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

3 Offices:

300 North Gay Street, Baltimore, MD 21202 (ph) 443-263-8871  
6502 Reisterstown Rd, Baltimore, MD 21215 (ph) 410-362-4400  
530 N. Hilton Street, Baltimore, MD 21229 (ph) 410-585-2100

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Baltimore City population (ages 11-17) decreased 6.0% (from 51,340 to 48,267).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 73.8% of complaints were formaled while 7.8% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 38.0%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 9.2% to 11.6%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 21.3% to 21.4%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 17.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 14.0 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 65.5 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (7.00), Secure Detention (1.27), and Delinquent Findings (1.21).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 22.4% to 25.8%
  - Felonies increased from 20.2% to 26.5%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 54.7% to 45.9%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	95.3%	94.0%	94.2%
White	3.6%	4.4%	4.6%
Hispanic/Other	1.1%	1.6%	1.2%
Sex			
Male	79.1%	77.1%	83.8%
Female	20.9%	22.9%	16.2%
Age			
11 and under	3.8%	2.8%	2.2%
12	3.6%	3.7%	4.0%
13	8.0%	7.3%	7.3%
14	15.1%	15.2%	11.8%
15	20.0%	21.3%	21.6%
16	22.8%	23.3%	24.6%
17	25.2%	24.5%	25.7%
18-20	1.5%	1.9%	2.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,016</b>	<b>3,408</b>	<b>2,490</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	44.6%	40.3%	41.7%	53.9%
Assault (Felony)	3.0%	4.2%	1.7%	6.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	17.5%	11.4%	18.2%	9.6%
Carjacking	1.0%	1.9%	0.7%	2.4%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	3.0%	1.0%	1.2%
Handgun Violation	3.4%	3.0%	4.6%	11.4%
Harassment	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	3.2%	3.9%	3.8%	0.6%
Robbery	11.0%	11.5%	9.4%	18.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.3%	0.8%	1.2%	3.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	34.5%	36.6%	34.5%	18.6%
Arson	0.6%	0.5%	0.2%	0.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	8.1%	8.1%	3.1%	1.8%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.3%	3.3%	3.8%	3.6%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.7%	2.9%	3.6%	3.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.3%	3.3%	3.8%	2.4%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.7%	3.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	3.0%	3.9%	1.9%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.9%	11.3%	17.0%	7.2%
Drug Related Offenses	12.2%	15.3%	7.2%	13.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	7.3%	10.2%	1.7%	6.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	4.9%	5.1%	5.5%	7.2%
Citations	1.6%	1.4%	1.7%	0.6%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.9%	0.9%	1.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.5%	0.7%	0.6%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	1.6%	1.4%	1.4%	0.6%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.4%	5.0%	13.4%	12.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.6%	0.9%	4.8%	4.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.9%	0.6%	1.0%	0.6%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	1.2%	1.2%	2.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.4%	2.2%	6.5%	5.4%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>4,063</b>	<b>1,971</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>167</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>25.8%</b>	<b>31.5%</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>40.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	1.9%	1.4%	1.5%
- Property	13.5%	12.7%	5.4%	2.2%
- Drugs	11.6%	16.5%	1.9%	6.6%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.7%	5.2%	7.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>45.9%</b>	<b>35.4%</b>	<b>66.2%</b>	<b>41.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	25.6%	16.5%	29.4%	21.3%
- Property	16.0%	15.2%	24.8%	8.8%
- Drugs	3.6%	3.2%	6.0%	5.1%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.7%	6.0%	5.9%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>2,490</b>	<b>1,075</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>33.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>23.5%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Region II-Central Summary

Regional Director: Mathew Fonseca; Assistant Regional Director: Celena Falline  
Counties of Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, and Howard

10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130  
Hunt Valley, MD 21031  
410-527-4300

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.6%	0.7%	0.8%
Citizen	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%
Police*	93.0%	93.3%	94.9%
Violation of Probation**	5.8%	5.5%	3.9%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,476</b>	<b>5,882</b>	<b>5,949</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	56.4%	55.2%	53.0%
Informaled	13.8%	13.1%	12.1%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	29.8%	31.6%	34.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>6,476</b>	<b>5,882</b>	<b>5,949</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.7%	6.6%	6.8%
Continued/Stet	13.0%	14.3%	15.4%
Dismissed/Closed	19.0%	19.3%	15.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Nolle Pros.	17.3%	14.8%	13.9%
Other**	5.9%	6.1%	7.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	2.1%	1.8%	5.8%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.8%	1.8%	1.5%
Probation	33.8%	33.5%	31.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.6%	0.7%	1.5%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>3,650</b>	<b>3,248</b>	<b>3,150</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	85.6	N/A	85.6
Pre-Court	179.9	N/A	179.9
Probation	497.9	N/A	497.9
VPI*	58.7	14.2	73.0
Aftercare	68.2	64.4	132.6

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Central Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.6% (from 144,401 to 142,058).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):*
  - 53.0% of complaints were formaled while 12.1% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):*
  - Total complaints decreased 8.1%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 6.7% to 6.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 33.8% to 31.8%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.5 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 90.3 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):*
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):*
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 10.9% to 13.0%
  - Felonies increased from 8.2% to 8.6%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 74.5% to 72.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	58.9%	62.8%	67.9%
White	36.9%	33.1%	27.9%
Hispanic/Other	4.2%	4.1%	4.3%
Sex			
Male	70.5%	70.8%	73.1%
Female	29.5%	29.2%	26.9%
Age			
11 and under	2.7%	3.2%	3.4%
12	3.7%	3.9%	5.1%
13	7.8%	8.2%	8.1%
14	12.2%	12.7%	13.6%
15	19.8%	18.8%	18.6%
16	23.0%	24.0%	23.6%
17	27.2%	27.2%	25.5%
18-20	3.4%	2.0%	2.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,476</b>	<b>5,882</b>	<b>5,949</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	39.7%	41.1%	43.1%	49.6%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	4.6%	0.6%	2.6%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.7%	14.4%	23.4%	13.9%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.9%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.2%	1.6%	2.8%	1.7%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	1.2%	0.9%	4.3%
Harassment	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	9.3%	8.2%	8.5%	7.0%
Robbery	3.4%	8.5%	3.0%	12.2%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	1.3%	2.6%	3.5%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.3%	1.3%	2.6%
Property Offenses	43.8%	44.8%	38.6%	37.4%
Arson	1.2%	1.6%	0.6%	0.9%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.6%	4.8%	0.6%	2.6%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.0%	6.5%	4.5%	7.8%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.5%	3.7%	4.9%	2.6%
Malicious Destruction	7.1%	4.9%	7.9%	2.6%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	4.5%	1.4%	2.1%	1.7%
Theft (Felony)	2.8%	4.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	17.1%	17.3%	16.8%	19.1%
Drug Related Offenses	4.0%	4.3%	5.3%	3.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.3%	1.1%	2.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.7%	3.2%	3.0%	3.5%
Citations	5.1%	2.0%	2.6%	1.7%
Alcohol Violation	0.9%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.3%	1.1%	2.1%	1.7%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.6%	7.2%	10.2%	7.8%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	0.7%	2.8%	3.5%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	1.7%	3.2%	1.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.6%	4.0%	3.8%	2.6%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>9,520</b>	<b>983</b>	<b>531</b>	<b>115</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>36.4%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>25.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	2.4%	2.3%	3.1%
- Property	5.7%	10.4%	2.3%	4.1%
- Drugs	1.9%	2.2%	2.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.2%	1.9%	1.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>72.5%</b>	<b>46.4%</b>	<b>78.7%</b>	<b>63.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	40.3%	27.2%	42.5%	36.1%
- Property	28.6%	17.7%	29.5%	23.7%
- Drugs	2.0%	0.7%	3.3%	3.1%
- Unspecified	1.6%	0.7%	3.3%	1.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>5,949</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>26.0%</b>	<b>16.8%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Baltimore County

Case Management Specialist Supervisors: Tracy Whitaker (Arbutus), Rodney Izzard (Dundalk/Essex), Krista Shockney (Garrison), Adrian Tyree (Hunt Valley)

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.8%	1.1%	1.0%
Citizen	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Police*	92.3%	92.6%	94.5%
Violation of Probation**	6.7%	6.1%	4.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,944</b>	<b>3,596</b>	<b>3,725</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	62.3%	60.5%	56.3%
Informed	10.2%	10.0%	10.4%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	27.5%	29.5%	33.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>3,944</b>	<b>3,596</b>	<b>3,725</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	5.9%	6.3%	6.4%
Continued/Stet	17.4%	18.9%	18.1%
Dismissed/Closed	11.3%	11.7%	8.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Nolle Pros.	24.7%	20.5%	19.8%
Other**	3.2%	2.5%	4.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	2.3%	1.8%	6.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Probation	34.0%	35.8%	34.3%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.4%	0.6%	1.5%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>2,456</b>	<b>2,175</b>	<b>2,096</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
+ Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	43.9	N/A	43.9
Pre-Court	88.6	N/A	88.6
Probation	327.8	N/A	327.8
VPI*	44.8	9.7	54.5
Aftercare	33.8	27.5	61.3

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Located in Region II - 4 Offices:  
900 Walker Ave., Baltimore, MD 21228 (ph) 410-455-7800  
431 Eastern Blvd. Ste. 100, Baltimore, MD 21221 (ph) 410-780-1200  
10999 Red Run Blvd. Ste. 115, Owings Mills, MD 21117 (ph) 410-356-5774  
10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130, Hunt Valley, MD 21031 (ph) 410-527-4300

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Baltimore County population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.3% (from 71,912 to 71,011).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):*
  - 56.3% of complaints were formaled while 10.4% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):*
  - Total complaints decreased 5.6%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 5.9% to 6.4%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 34.0% to 34.3%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.1 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.2 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 91.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.58), Probation (1.35), and Petition (1.10).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):*
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 13.0% to 15.8%
  - Felonies increased from 9.3% to 10.1%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 74.9% to 71.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.9%	72.7%	75.4%
White	27.9%	24.1%	20.8%
Hispanic/Other	3.2%	3.1%	3.9%
Sex			
Male	70.1%	72.4%	73.7%
Female	29.9%	27.6%	26.3%
Age			
11 and under	2.3%	2.9%	3.0%
12	4.2%	3.9%	4.8%
13	8.0%	7.9%	8.1%
14	13.7%	13.7%	13.8%
15	20.2%	20.9%	19.6%
16	22.7%	24.6%	24.4%
17	25.6%	24.0%	24.2%
18-20	3.3%	2.0%	2.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,944</b>	<b>3,596</b>	<b>3,725</b>

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	39.5%	43.2%	41.8%	55.6%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	5.7%	0.6%	3.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.4%	14.6%	22.2%	18.5%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.2%	2.2%	2.8%	1.9%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	1.5%	1.3%	5.6%
Harassment	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	8.3%	6.2%	6.3%	3.7%
Robbery	4.2%	10.0%	3.8%	11.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.3%	1.7%	3.5%	3.7%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.5%	1.3%	5.6%
Property Offenses	48.0%	46.3%	42.4%	35.2%
Arson	1.5%	1.9%	0.9%	1.9%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.4%	4.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.5%	6.4%	5.4%	7.4%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.6%	4.3%	4.1%	3.7%
Malicious Destruction	7.7%	5.5%	7.6%	1.9%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	4.8%	1.7%	2.2%	1.9%
Theft (Felony)	3.5%	4.1%	1.9%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	18.1%	17.6%	19.6%	18.5%
Drug Related Offenses	3.6%	3.4%	5.7%	3.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.3%	1.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.3%	2.4%	3.2%	3.7%
Citations	2.9%	1.5%	2.8%	1.9%
Alcohol Violation	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.3%	0.9%	2.5%	1.9%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.9%	5.3%	7.0%	3.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	1.7%	2.8%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.5%	3.1%	2.8%	3.7%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>6,051</b>	<b>581</b>	<b>316</b>	<b>54</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>40.4%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	3.6%	2.8%	4.3%
- Property	7.3%	8.4%	3.2%	2.1%
- Drugs	1.9%	2.0%	2.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.4%	1.1%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.5%</b>	<b>43.2%</b>	<b>74.7%</b>	<b>66.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	38.0%	23.2%	38.9%	36.2%
- Property	30.6%	19.2%	30.9%	25.5%
- Drugs	1.5%	0.8%	3.5%	2.1%
- Unspecified	1.4%	0.0%	1.4%	2.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>3,725</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Carroll County

Case Management Specialist Supervisor: Brian Gass

Located in Region II - 1 Office:

101 N. Court St., Westminster, MD 21157 (ph) 410-871-3600

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.9%	0.8%
Citizen	4.1%	3.9%	5.8%
Police*	93.0%	90.6%	91.2%
Violation of Probation**	2.7%	4.7%	2.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>513</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>363</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	39.8%	40.6%	28.9%
Informed	35.7%	26.4%	30.9%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	24.6%	33.0%	40.2%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>513</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>363</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	4.9%	12.7%	9.5%
Continued/Stet	10.8%	15.3%	8.6%
Dismissed/Closed	21.1%	14.8%	21.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.0%	2.6%	2.9%
Nolle Pros.	10.3%	7.4%	4.8%
Other**	11.3%	14.3%	18.1%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Probation	39.2%	31.2%	34.3%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.5%	0.0%	1.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>105</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	5.6	N/A	5.6
Pre-Court	28.9	N/A	28.9
Probation	22.2	N/A	22.2
VPI*	2.4	1.5	3.8
Aftercare	6.7	13.3	20.0

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Carroll County population (ages 11-17) decreased 6.0% (from 17,489 to 16,446).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 28.9% of complaints were formaled while 30.9% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 29.2%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 4.9% to 9.5%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 39.2% to 34.3%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 18.1 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.3 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 59.4 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Refer to Juvenile Court (1.79) and Secure Detention (1.70).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 9.2% to 6.3%
  - Felonies increased from 5.8% to 6.3%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 67.4% to 65.3%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	12.1%	15.7%	23.1%
White	83.8%	78.8%	74.7%
Hispanic/Other	4.1%	5.6%	2.2%
Sex			
Male	76.0%	70.8%	77.1%
Female	24.0%	29.2%	22.9%
Age			
11 and under	3.7%	3.2%	5.2%
12	3.5%	1.9%	2.2%
13	7.6%	7.9%	5.5%
14	9.0%	9.9%	12.9%
15	20.7%	15.9%	17.4%
16	21.1%	25.1%	24.8%
17	32.0%	33.7%	29.8%
18-20	2.5%	2.4%	2.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>513</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>363</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	24.6%	35.6%	33.3%	23.1%
Assault (Felony)	0.7%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	14.7%	11.9%	14.8%	7.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	1.0%	3.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	3.7%	7.7%
Harassment	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	3.7%	9.9%	3.7%	7.7%
Robbery	2.0%	7.9%	3.7%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.7%	3.0%	3.7%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.0%	37.6%	29.6%	53.8%
Arson	1.7%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	1.0%	3.7%	7.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.5%	10.9%	3.7%	15.4%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.7%	2.0%	3.7%	7.7%
Malicious Destruction	6.8%	4.0%	7.4%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.1%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	12.0%	14.9%	11.1%	23.1%
Drug Related Offenses	9.0%	11.9%	11.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.7%	2.0%	3.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	7.3%	9.9%	7.4%	0.0%
Citations	14.9%	4.0%	0.0%	7.7%
Alcohol Violation	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	9.0%	4.0%	0.0%	7.7%
Tobacco Violation	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	6.4%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	12.5%	6.9%	25.9%	15.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	3.1%	5.0%	7.4%	7.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.7%	1.0%	11.1%	7.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.7%	1.0%	7.4%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>590</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>13</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\*Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>36.6%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	2.4%	3.7%	0.0%
- Property	1.4%	9.8%	3.7%	7.7%
- Drugs	2.8%	4.9%	3.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	7.4%	7.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>65.3%</b>	<b>46.3%</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	32.2%	26.8%	37.0%	30.8%
- Property	22.3%	9.8%	22.2%	30.8%
- Drugs	7.2%	2.4%	7.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.6%	7.3%	7.4%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Harford County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Paul Bowden

Located in Region II - 1 Office:  
2 South Bond Street, Bel Air, MD 21014 (ph) 410-836-4680

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	91.5%	92.6%	95.5%
Violation of Probation**	8.2%	7.4%	4.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>816</b>	<b>849</b>	<b>850</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	45.1%	47.5%	46.6%
Informed	9.2%	9.4%	6.2%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	45.7%	43.1%	47.2%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>816</b>	<b>849</b>	<b>850</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	9.5%	6.5%	6.3%
Continued/Stet	1.6%	3.0%	2.8%
Dismissed/Closed	23.6%	27.5%	24.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.6%	1.5%	1.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.3%	5.5%	3.0%
Other**	25.5%	23.1%	21.5%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	3.5%	2.0%	4.8%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.1%	0.7%	1.8%
Probation	32.6%	30.3%	33.1%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>396</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	32.4	N/A	32.4
Pre-Court	15.9	N/A	15.9
Probation	69.0	N/A	69.0
VPI*	1.9	1.1	3.0
Aftercare	12.1	13.8	25.9

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Harford County population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.2% (from 24,399 to 23,384).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 46.6% of complaints were formaled while 6.2% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints increased 4.2%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 9.5% to 6.3%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 32.6% to 33.1%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 19.6 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 96.6 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.06) and Probation (1.30).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 5.5% to 6.7%
  - Felonies decreased from 5.3% to 4.1%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 83.4% to 81.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	45.0%	50.9%	55.1%
White	52.6%	45.5%	41.8%
Hispanic/Other	2.5%	3.7%	3.2%
Sex			
Male	71.8%	66.8%	71.6%
Female	28.2%	33.2%	28.4%
Age			
11 and under	2.0%	3.8%	4.1%
12	3.6%	5.4%	6.5%
13	8.0%	9.5%	11.1%
14	10.7%	10.8%	15.1%
15	20.1%	16.6%	16.6%
16	25.7%	21.6%	20.2%
17	26.5%	31.2%	24.9%
18-20	3.6%	1.1%	1.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>816</b>	<b>849</b>	<b>850</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	56.7%	38.7%	45.2%	39.1%
Assault (Felony)	1.5%	3.4%	0.0%	4.3%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	32.4%	16.0%	29.8%	13.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.2%	0.8%	4.8%	4.3%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	16.2%	11.8%	5.8%	4.3%
Robbery	1.0%	5.0%	1.9%	8.7%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Property Offenses	24.9%	41.2%	38.5%	39.1%
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.3%	5.9%	0.0%	4.3%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.0%	5.9%	4.8%	4.3%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.6%	4.2%	7.7%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.5%	4.2%	9.6%	8.7%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.8%	0.8%	1.9%	4.3%
Theft (Felony)	0.5%	3.4%	1.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.8%	16.8%	13.5%	17.4%
Drug Related Offenses	4.7%	5.9%	3.8%	8.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	1.7%	1.9%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.8%	4.2%	1.9%	8.7%
Citations	7.4%	3.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	2.5%	1.9%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.8%	10.1%	10.6%	13.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	0.8%	5.8%	4.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.5%	2.5%	0.0%	4.3%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.0%	5.0%	4.8%	4.3%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,172</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>23</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.4%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	13.8%	1.1%	5.9%
- Drugs	1.3%	3.4%	2.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>81.5%</b>	<b>62.1%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>76.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	58.7%	41.4%	44.4%	41.2%
- Property	19.1%	20.7%	28.9%	23.5%
- Drugs	2.8%	0.0%	2.2%	11.8%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.0%	7.8%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>850</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Howard County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Tim Madden

Located in Region II - 1 Office:

3451 Court House Dr., Ellicott City, MD 21043 (ph) 410-480-7878

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.6%	0.1%	0.6%
Citizen	0.7%	0.5%	0.3%
Police*	96.2%	97.7%	97.0%
Violation of Probation**	2.6%	1.6%	2.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,203</b>	<b>971</b>	<b>1,011</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	51.7%	49.5%	54.7%
Informed	19.6%	21.5%	16.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	28.7%	28.9%	28.6%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,203</b>	<b>971</b>	<b>1,011</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.7%	5.4%	8.1%
Continued/Stet	2.9%	2.5%	15.2%
Dismissed/Closed	46.0%	48.6%	33.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.3%	0.2%	1.1%
Other**	3.4%	4.6%	4.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	1.0%	1.9%	6.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	3.7%	6.4%	7.4%
Probation	32.0%	26.8%	20.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	1.4%	1.5%	2.4%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>481</b>	<b>553</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	3.7	N/A	3.7
Pre-Court	46.5	N/A	46.5
Probation	79.0	N/A	79.0
VPI*	9.7	1.9	11.6
Aftercare	15.5	9.9	25.4

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

### U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Howard County population (ages 11-17) increased 2.0% (from 30,600 to 31,217).

### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):

- 54.7% of complaints were formaled while 16.7% were informed.

### Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):

- Total complaints decreased 16.0%
- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 8.7% to 8.1%
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 32.0% to 20.8%

### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 21.0 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.2 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 89.2 days.

### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.38), Secure Detention (1.83), and Petition (1.70).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

### Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):

- Crimes of Violence increased from 8.5% to 10.8%
- Felonies decreased from 8.1% to 7.7%
- Misdemeanors increased from 68.8% to 71.3%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	55.5%	58.9%	67.2%
White	35.9%	33.9%	25.6%
Hispanic/Other	8.6%	7.2%	7.2%
Sex			
Male	68.8%	68.5%	70.4%
Female	31.2%	31.5%	29.6%
Age			
11 and under	4.4%	4.0%	3.7%
12	2.5%	3.3%	6.1%
13	7.3%	8.1%	6.3%
14	10.0%	12.3%	11.9%
15	18.0%	14.4%	17.4%
16	22.8%	23.5%	22.9%
17	31.2%	32.1%	29.5%
18-20	3.8%	2.3%	2.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,203</b>	<b>971</b>	<b>1,011</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	34.2%	39.0%	48.8%	60.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.5%	3.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	15.8%	14.3%	22.6%	8.0%
Carjacking	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%	4.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	9.9%	11.5%	21.4%	16.0%
Robbery	2.9%	6.6%	1.2%	24.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	1.2%	8.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Property Offenses	46.2%	46.2%	27.4%	32.0%
Arson	0.7%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.3%	6.0%	0.0%	4.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.2%	4.9%	1.2%	8.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	5.5%	2.2%	4.8%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.3%	3.8%	7.1%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	5.2%	1.1%	2.4%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.6%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	20.3%	18.1%	11.9%	20.0%
Drug Related Offenses	3.4%	1.6%	3.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.5%	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.9%	1.1%	2.4%	0.0%
Citations	8.1%	1.6%	3.6%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.0%	1.1%	3.6%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.5%	11.5%	16.7%	8.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	0.5%	4.8%	8.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.9%	1.6%	6.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	1.6%	1.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.8%	7.7%	4.8%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,707</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>25</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>36.5%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	0.0%	1.3%	5.0%
- Property	4.5%	15.9%	0.0%	5.0%
- Drugs	2.2%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.3%</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>89.5%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	36.3%	30.2%	55.3%	35.0%
- Property	31.8%	14.3%	27.6%	15.0%
- Drugs	1.1%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.2%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>1,011</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>3.5%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Region III-Western Summary

Regional Director: Singleton Golden; Assistant Regional Director: William Pickrel  
Counties of Allegany, Frederick, Garrett, and Washington

1 James Day Drive  
Cumberland, MD 21502  
301-722-1600

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.1%	0.2%	0.4%
Citizen	4.7%	4.9%	5.3%
Police*	85.5%	84.4%	85.5%
Violation of Probation**	9.7%	10.6%	8.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,387</b>	<b>2,388</b>	<b>2,145</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	53.3%	56.7%	48.3%
Informed	23.6%	14.6%	19.9%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	23.1%	28.7%	31.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>2,387</b>	<b>2,388</b>	<b>2,145</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.5%	6.9%	8.0%
Continued/Stet	6.1%	6.8%	11.4%
Dismissed/Closed	25.4%	17.1%	14.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.6%	1.0%	0.9%
Nolle Pros.	5.0%	4.1%	4.2%
Other**	6.0%	5.6%	7.9%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	2.3%	1.3%	2.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	14.3%	20.1%	18.2%
Probation	31.4%	35.2%	29.1%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.9%	0.7%	1.8%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.6%	1.5%	1.8%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,272</b>	<b>1,354</b>	<b>1,036</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	23.3	N/A	23.3
Pre-Court	80.0	N/A	80.0
Probation	190.7	N/A	190.7
VPI*	7.7	3.3	11.0
Aftercare	53.7	43.6	97.3

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Western Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.3% (from 46,142 to 45,061).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):*
  - 48.3% of complaints were formaled while 19.9% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):*
  - Total complaints decreased 10.1%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 7.5% to 8.0%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 31.4% to 29.1%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 14.8 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 61.8 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):*
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):*
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 6.1% to 5.0%
  - Felonies increased from 4.9% to 7.3%
  - Misdemeanors increased from 74.0% to 74.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	37.3%	45.8%	44.2%
White	59.9%	48.8%	50.2%
Hispanic/Other	2.8%	5.4%	5.6%
Sex			
Male	72.0%	69.3%	69.4%
Female	28.0%	30.7%	30.6%
Age			
11 and under	3.4%	2.6%	5.2%
12	6.4%	6.7%	5.5%
13	9.1%	10.7%	10.9%
14	14.0%	18.2%	16.6%
15	20.2%	19.5%	19.5%
16	22.0%	21.5%	18.3%
17	22.6%	18.9%	21.6%
18-20	2.3%	1.8%	2.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,387</b>	<b>2,388</b>	<b>2,145</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	40.1%	45.7%	43.6%	54.7%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	5.4%	0.6%	3.1%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.0%	15.6%	24.4%	31.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	3.6%	0.0%	1.6%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	1.2%	0.6%	1.6%
Harassment	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	13.0%	15.6%	12.8%	14.1%
Robbery	1.0%	3.2%	2.3%	1.6%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.7%	0.5%	0.6%	1.6%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Property Offenses	30.8%	29.5%	33.7%	26.6%
Arson	1.8%	1.5%	2.9%	1.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.0%	3.1%	1.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.3%	1.7%	2.9%	1.6%
Malicious Destruction	8.6%	6.8%	9.3%	9.4%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.4%	1.7%	3.5%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.5%	3.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.7%	9.5%	12.8%	14.1%
Drug Related Offenses	3.6%	3.6%	1.2%	4.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	1.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.0%	2.9%	1.2%	3.1%
Citations	7.0%	5.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.9%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.6%	1.5%	2.3%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	4.5%	2.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	1.6%	1.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	12.4%	12.4%	16.9%	14.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.8%	1.7%	4.7%	6.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.9%	2.5%	4.1%	1.6%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	0.8%	1.2%	3.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	7.1%	7.1%	7.0%	3.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>3,211</b>	<b>589</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>64</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\*Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.1%	0.7%	6.3%
- Property	4.2%	6.1%	2.1%	2.1%
- Drugs	1.1%	1.4%	0.0%	2.1%
- Unspecified	1.1%	1.8%	3.5%	6.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>74.5%</b>	<b>66.8%</b>	<b>85.2%</b>	<b>79.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	45.5%	45.1%	50.7%	52.1%
- Property	23.5%	17.0%	26.8%	20.8%
- Drugs	3.1%	2.9%	0.0%	2.1%
- Unspecified	2.4%	1.8%	7.7%	4.2%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>2,145</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Allegany County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Renee Page

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

1 James Day Dr., Cumberland, MD 21502 (ph) 301-722-1663

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	3.5%	3.6%	2.5%
Police*	91.9%	92.5%	95.7%
Violation of Probation**	4.6%	3.9%	1.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>438</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	36.5%	46.2%	40.4%
Informaled	30.1%	11.6%	12.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	33.4%	42.2%	47.0%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>438</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	10.4%	3.7%	7.9%
Continued/Stet	0.5%	2.4%	1.1%
Dismissed/Closed	45.5%	33.7%	31.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Other**	1.4%	6.5%	7.9%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	22.1%	27.6%	24.3%
Probation	18.9%	22.4%	23.7%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.9%	1.6%	4.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>177</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	3.1	N/A	3.1
Pre-Court	2.7	N/A	2.7
Probation	51.8	N/A	51.8
VPI*	1.4	0.0	1.4
Aftercare	14.5	8.2	22.7

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Allegany County population (ages 11-17) decreased 5.8% (from 6,219 to 5,859).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 40.4% of complaints were formaled while 12.6% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 28.0%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 10.4% to 7.9%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 18.9% to 23.7%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 11.1 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 66.2 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.48), and Secure Detention (2.31), and under-represented at Refer to Juvenile Court (0.79).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 2.8% to 4.3%
  - Felonies decreased from 5.6% to 4.6%
  - Misdemeanors increased from 61.7% to 69.2%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	17.8%	26.3%	23.5%
White	81.7%	71.5%	73.5%
Hispanic/Other	0.5%	2.3%	3.0%
Sex			
Male	60.5%	60.8%	63.0%
Female	39.5%	39.2%	37.0%
Age			
11 and under	4.3%	2.6%	5.5%
12	7.2%	8.8%	5.5%
13	9.0%	8.8%	9.8%
14	14.8%	14.3%	16.4%
15	20.6%	23.3%	21.2%
16	19.7%	24.0%	18.7%
17	23.7%	17.8%	21.0%
18-20	0.7%	0.4%	1.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>438</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	36.9%	54.5%	41.7%	33.3%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.2%	27.3%	18.8%	22.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	12.0%	15.9%	18.8%	11.1%
Robbery	1.1%	4.5%	2.1%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.5%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	32.5%	29.5%	37.5%	44.4%
Arson	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	2.3%	4.2%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.7%	11.4%	16.7%	22.2%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.4%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	12.5%	13.6%	16.7%	22.2%
Drug Related Offenses	3.8%	4.5%	2.1%	11.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.0%	4.5%	2.1%	11.1%
Citations	8.7%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.3%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	10.2%	2.3%	2.1%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.3%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.7%	6.8%	12.5%	11.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.4%	6.8%	10.4%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>610</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>9</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.8%	4.5%	2.4%	0.0%
- Property	1.6%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	16.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>77.3%</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	36.1%	50.0%	42.9%	50.0%
- Property	27.2%	18.2%	33.3%	33.3%
- Drugs	3.2%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.7%	4.5%	9.5%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>06</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Frederick County

Case Management Program Supervisor: William Keefer

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

801 N. East St. Suite 3, Frederick, MD 21701 (ph) 240-629-3030

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%
Citizen	3.1%	1.4%	1.0%
Police*	84.4%	88.7%	90.0%
Violation of Probation**	12.4%	9.4%	8.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>622</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	61.5%	60.4%	48.6%
Informed	24.6%	12.5%	16.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	13.9%	27.1%	34.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>622</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.2%	9.0%	11.3%
Continued/Stet	8.9%	11.1%	15.6%
Dismissed/Closed	31.5%	21.9%	17.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.5%	0.7%	0.7%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	8.7%	6.6%	12.6%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	1.9%	1.4%	4.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	11.7%	13.4%	10.3%
Probation	26.0%	30.9%	21.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.9%	0.5%	2.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	1.7%	4.5%	4.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>470</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>302</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	12.0	N/A	12.0
Pre-Court	20.1	N/A	20.1
Probation	61.4	N/A	61.4
VPI*	3.9	2.1	6.0
Aftercare	13.1	18.6	31.7

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Frederick County population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.1% (from 24,024 to 23,769).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 48.6% of complaints were formaled while 16.6% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 18.6%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 7.2% to 11.3%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 26.0% to 21.9%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 31.3 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 78.6 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.93) and under-represented at Refer to Juvenile Court (0.85).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 8.3% to 8.7%
  - Felonies increased from 6.1% to 9.0%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 75.6% to 68.3%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	42.9%	48.6%	45.8%
White	52.4%	41.0%	45.7%
Hispanic/Other	4.7%	10.4%	8.5%
Sex			
Male	78.3%	79.6%	75.1%
Female	21.7%	20.4%	24.9%
Age			
11 and under	2.1%	1.1%	1.6%
12	3.5%	3.1%	2.9%
13	5.8%	7.0%	5.8%
14	10.2%	16.0%	17.7%
15	18.1%	18.4%	21.2%
16	28.3%	26.5%	21.2%
17	27.5%	24.5%	25.1%
18-20	4.6%	3.4%	4.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>622</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	39.1%	41.8%	38.4%	66.7%
Assault (Felony)	2.1%	6.3%	0.0%	7.4%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.0%	14.3%	19.2%	37.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	1.6%	0.0%	3.7%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	10.8%	8.5%	13.7%	11.1%
Robbery	1.5%	5.8%	1.4%	3.7%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	1.6%	0.0%	3.7%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.0%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.6%	29.6%	41.1%	22.2%
Arson	2.5%	4.8%	4.1%	3.7%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.3%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.4%	1.1%	4.1%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.4%	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.9%	10.1%	9.6%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.1%	1.1%	5.5%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	12.6%	10.1%	13.7%	18.5%
Drug Related Offenses	6.2%	4.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	5.4%	3.7%	1.4%	0.0%
Citations	8.8%	7.4%	1.4%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.9%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.2%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.9%	2.1%	1.4%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	4.7%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.7%	12.2%	17.8%	11.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.4%	3.7%	6.8%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.8%	4.2%	4.1%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.0%	1.1%	1.4%	7.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.4%	2.6%	5.5%	3.7%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>977</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>27</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>28.4%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>23.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.1%	0.0%	14.3%
- Property	5.0%	3.4%	3.6%	4.8%
- Drugs	1.6%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	4.5%	3.6%	4.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>68.3%</b>	<b>58.0%</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	42.6%	29.5%	46.4%	42.9%
- Property	18.0%	23.9%	32.1%	19.0%
- Drugs	5.9%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%	1.1%	7.1%	4.8%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Garrett County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Robert Peters

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

7000 Thayer Center, Oakland, MD 21550 (ph) 301-334-8608

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	7.8%	6.1%	11.5%
Police*	89.9%	91.1%	87.3%
Violation of Probation**	2.3%	2.8%	1.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>157</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	17.1%	16.2%	15.9%
Informaled	36.9%	48.6%	31.2%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	46.1%	35.2%	52.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>157</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	32.4%	24.1%	12.0%
Continued/Stet	2.7%	3.4%	16.0%
Dismissed/Closed	16.2%	20.7%	8.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	5.4%	3.4%	16.0%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	2.7%	3.4%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
Probation	37.8%	41.4%	40.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.7%	0.0%	8.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>25</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	0.8	N/A	0.8
Pre-Court	14.6	N/A	14.6
Probation	27.5	N/A	27.5
VPI*	0.0	0.0	0.0
Aftercare	8.4	0.8	9.2

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Garrett County population (ages 11-17) decreased 14.9% (from 2,784 to 2,370).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):*
  - 15.9% of complaints were formaled while 31.2% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):*
  - Total complaints decreased 27.6%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 32.4% to 12.0%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 37.8% to 40.0%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 12.3 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 31.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (7.34) and under-represented at Refer to Juvenile Court (0.44).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):*
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 2.2% to 1.3%
  - Felonies decreased from 3.9% to 3.2%
  - Misdemeanors increased from 47.5% to 58.0%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	13.4%	7.8%	17.2%
White	86.6%	91.6%	81.5%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	0.6%	1.3%
Sex			
Male	83.4%	74.3%	73.9%
Female	16.6%	25.7%	26.1%
Age			
11 and under	2.8%	5.0%	10.2%
12	9.2%	3.9%	3.8%
13	12.9%	10.6%	14.6%
14	15.7%	17.9%	10.8%
15	17.5%	12.8%	15.3%
16	18.9%	17.9%	15.9%
17	21.7%	30.2%	28.0%
18-20	1.4%	1.7%	1.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>157</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	40.4%	57.1%	50.0%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	26.1%	28.6%	33.3%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	10.1%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.7%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Property Offenses	16.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	2.7%	28.6%	0.0%	100.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.6%	14.3%	0.0%	100.0%
Citations	17.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	18.6%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	3.7%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.7%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>58.0%</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	41.4%	50.0%	66.7%	0.0%
- Property	14.6%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Washington County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Rick Growden

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

44 N. Potomac St. Suite 300, Hagerstown, MD 21740 (ph) 301-791-7171

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	0.1%	0.3%
Citizen	6.1%	7.8%	8.5%
Police*	80.6%	75.7%	77.4%
Violation of Probation**	12.9%	16.4%	13.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>798</b>	<b>974</b>	<b>928</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	68.0%	67.2%	57.3%
Informaled	14.2%	11.4%	23.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	17.8%	21.4%	18.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>798</b>	<b>974</b>	<b>928</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	4.8%	6.0%	6.0%
Continued/Stet	6.1%	5.8%	12.2%
Dismissed/Closed	12.5%	7.5%	7.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.2%	1.5%	1.3%
Nolle Pros.	11.6%	7.6%	8.1%
Other**	5.5%	4.7%	4.9%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	3.3%	1.5%	1.5%
Petition Denied by SAO	14.4%	22.3%	21.6%
Probation	40.7%	42.4%	34.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.9%	0.5%	0.8%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.2%	1.3%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>655</b>	<b>532</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	7.4	N/A	7.4
Pre-Court	42.6	N/A	42.6
Probation	50.0	N/A	50.0
VPI*	2.4	1.2	3.6
Aftercare	17.7	16.0	33.7

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Washington County population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.4% (from 13,115 to 13,064).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 57.3% of complaints were formaled while 23.8% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints increased 16.3%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 4.8% to 6.0%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 40.7% to 34.4%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.0 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 12.2 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 50.4 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.71), Secure Detention (1.58), and Petition (1.54).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.0% to 3.6%
  - Felonies increased from 3.9% to 8.2%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 84.5% to 83.8%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	53.3%	61.4%	57.5%
White	43.1%	34.2%	36.9%
Hispanic/Other	3.6%	4.4%	5.6%
Sex			
Male	71.7%	65.6%	67.9%
Female	28.3%	34.4%	32.1%
Age			
11 and under	4.1%	3.3%	6.7%
12	7.8%	8.5%	7.7%
13	11.4%	14.5%	14.0%
14	16.4%	22.1%	16.9%
15	22.8%	19.4%	18.3%
16	18.7%	17.2%	16.6%
17	17.3%	13.4%	18.5%
18-20	1.5%	1.5%	1.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>798</b>	<b>974</b>	<b>928</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	42.2%	46.4%	53.3%	51.9%
Assault (Felony)	0.8%	4.9%	2.2%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.4%	14.6%	37.8%	29.6%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%	4.0%	0.0%	3.7%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	1.1%	2.2%	0.0%
Harassment	1.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	15.3%	19.2%	6.7%	18.5%
Robbery	0.6%	1.7%	4.4%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	33.4%	30.1%	22.2%	25.9%
Arson	1.5%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.8%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.6%	2.3%	2.2%	3.7%
Malicious Destruction	10.3%	4.6%	2.2%	14.8%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	4.6%	2.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.0%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.7%	8.9%	8.9%	7.4%
Drug Related Offenses	1.9%	2.6%	0.0%	3.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	3.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.5%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	3.7%	4.9%	2.2%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.4%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.3%	1.4%	2.2%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	2.6%	2.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	16.0%	13.5%	20.0%	18.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.7%	0.9%	6.7%	11.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	2.0%	6.7%	3.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	10.4%	9.7%	6.7%	3.7%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,436</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>27</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\*Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	5.6%	8.0%	2.6%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%	5.0%
- Unspecified	1.5%	0.6%	5.3%	5.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>83.8%</b>	<b>69.9%</b>	<b>86.8%</b>	<b>90.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	52.5%	52.8%	63.2%	65.0%
- Property	26.9%	12.9%	15.8%	20.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.9%	1.8%	7.9%	5.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>928</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>3.5%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Region IV-Eastern Shore Summary

Regional Director: Cory Fink

Counties of Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester

600 Dover Road, Suite 104

Easton, MD 21601

410-822-5010

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Citizen	1.9%	1.8%	1.8%
Police*	95.8%	95.6%	96.4%
Violation of Probation**	1.9%	2.3%	1.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,290</b>	<b>3,172</b>	<b>3,292</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	34.5%	32.7%	38.4%
Informed	25.1%	24.4%	17.2%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.4%	42.8%	44.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>3,290</b>	<b>3,172</b>	<b>3,292</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	9.7%	9.8%	6.3%
Continued/Stet	14.7%	16.6%	19.1%
Dismissed/Closed	24.6%	21.9%	21.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	2.4%	2.9%	3.5%
Nolle Pros.	4.7%	6.5%	6.2%
Other**	5.7%	4.4%	3.4%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.9%	2.6%	4.2%
Petition Denied by SAO	7.9%	6.9%	9.3%
Probation	25.0%	23.8%	22.3%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.0%	0.7%	1.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	3.4%	3.9%	3.8%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,135</b>	<b>1,038</b>	<b>1,264</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	56.1	N/A	56.1
Pre-Court	139.8	N/A	139.8
Probation	254.5	N/A	254.5
VPI*	13.0	4.4	17.4
Aftercare	77.2	64.6	141.7

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

#### U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Eastern Shore Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.7% (from 41,363 to 40,229).

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):

- 38.4% of complaints were formaled while 17.2% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):

- Total complaints increased 0.1%
- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 9.7% to 6.3%
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 25.0% to 22.3%

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.4 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.7 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 87.2 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):

- Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):

- Crimes of Violence increased from 5.6% to 7.0%
- Felonies increased from 4.5% to 6.0%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 74.1% to 71.0%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	43.8%	50.6%	55.6%
White	51.7%	45.6%	40.0%
Hispanic/Other	4.5%	3.8%	4.4%
Sex			
Male	68.9%	72.5%	71.9%
Female	31.1%	27.5%	28.1%
Age			
11 and under	5.9%	6.2%	4.7%
12	4.9%	6.0%	5.1%
13	8.6%	8.6%	7.9%
14	12.3%	12.7%	11.4%
15	15.5%	17.0%	19.0%
16	20.2%	20.7%	23.4%
17	29.5%	26.9%	26.3%
18-20	3.1%	1.9%	2.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,290</b>	<b>3,172</b>	<b>3,292</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	36.9%	40.4%	42.1%	45.7%
Assault (Felony)	0.8%	2.7%	0.4%	1.4%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	16.4%	14.2%	26.4%	24.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	2.4%	1.2%	1.4%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.4%	0.8%	1.4%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	13.9%	14.0%	10.7%	11.4%
Robbery	1.1%	4.5%	0.0%	2.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.7%	2.5%	2.9%
Property Offenses	34.7%	37.3%	34.3%	32.9%
Arson	0.7%	0.4%	0.8%	1.4%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.0%	1.6%	1.2%	1.4%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.0%	6.6%	3.7%	2.9%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	5.2%	5.0%	1.2%	5.7%
Malicious Destruction	7.3%	7.2%	10.3%	1.4%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.9%	2.9%	1.7%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.7%	2.6%	1.2%	10.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	12.9%	10.8%	14.0%	10.0%
Drug Related Offenses	5.1%	5.9%	9.9%	2.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%	1.8%	5.4%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.9%	4.1%	4.5%	2.9%
Citations	9.8%	3.8%	2.5%	1.4%
Alcohol Violation	3.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.4%	2.4%	1.7%	1.4%
Tobacco Violation	1.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	2.5%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	1.8%	0.7%	1.2%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.2%	10.2%	9.9%	17.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.2%	2.8%	2.9%	2.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	2.3%	2.9%	2.9%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	2.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.3%	4.1%	4.1%	8.6%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>5,674</b>	<b>952</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>70</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>24.3%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.3%	4.9%	2.8%	13.3%
- Drugs	1.8%	3.8%	6.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.3%	1.9%	6.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.0%</b>	<b>60.0%</b>	<b>82.4%</b>	<b>70.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	39.7%	38.0%	47.7%	48.3%
- Property	25.7%	18.3%	27.8%	13.3%
- Drugs	3.5%	3.2%	3.2%	3.3%
- Unspecified	2.2%	0.6%	3.7%	5.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>3,292</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Caroline County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Taneesha DeShields

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:  
317 Carter Ave. Suite 105, Denton, MD 21629 (ph) 410-819-6556

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Citizen	1.1%	0.3%	0.4%
Police*	97.9%	95.8%	98.9%
Violation of Probation**	1.1%	3.1%	0.7%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>284</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	25.9%	24.5%	23.9%
Informed	20.9%	17.8%	8.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	53.2%	57.7%	67.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>284</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.8%	14.3%	2.9%
Continued/Stet	6.8%	7.1%	14.7%
Dismissed/Closed	37.0%	32.9%	30.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
Nolle Pros.	6.8%	2.9%	14.7%
Other**	1.4%	5.7%	2.9%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Probation	41.1%	32.9%	27.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>68</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	4.5	N/A	4.5
Pre-Court	8.3	N/A	8.3
Probation	16.4	N/A	16.4
VPI*	0.0	0.0	0.0
Aftercare	3.6	1.8	5.4

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Caroline County population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.5% (from 3,105 to 3,026).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 23.9% of complaints were formaled while 8.8% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints increased 0.7%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 6.8% to 2.9%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 41.1% to 27.9%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 16.6 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.2 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 70.6 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (1.98) and under-represented at Petition (0.55).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.2% to 4.2%
  - Felonies decreased from 4.9% to 4.6%
  - Misdemeanors increased from 65.0% to 72.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	28.7%	31.8%	38.7%
White	68.1%	65.0%	58.5%
Hispanic/Other	3.2%	3.1%	2.8%
Sex			
Male	68.8%	67.8%	75.0%
Female	31.2%	32.2%	25.0%
Age			
11 and under	1.8%	4.5%	3.9%
12	3.5%	4.9%	4.6%
13	4.6%	9.8%	16.2%
14	12.4%	11.5%	16.2%
15	19.1%	18.9%	22.2%
16	22.0%	23.4%	13.0%
17	33.3%	24.5%	22.5%
18-20	3.2%	2.4%	1.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>284</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	41.0%	22.2%	23.1%	66.7%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.1%	11.1%	7.7%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	15.4%	11.1%	7.7%	66.7%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.1%	77.8%	30.8%	33.3%
Arson	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	11.0%	22.2%	7.7%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.9%	22.2%	15.4%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	4.8%	0.0%	23.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	4.4%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%
Citations	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.8%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.2%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>456</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.8%	25.0%	9.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.7%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>72.5%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	44.0%	25.0%	18.2%	66.7%
- Property	23.6%	0.0%	27.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	4.9%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Cecil County

Assistant Regional Director: Chris Dulik  
Case Management Program Supervisor: Tyra Kenly

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%
Citizen	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	97.7%	100.0%	99.4%
Violation of Probation**	1.6%	0.0%	0.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>349</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	53.7%	48.3%	53.6%
Informed	13.3%	20.0%	12.3%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	33.0%	31.7%	34.1%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>349</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	11.5%	5.6%	4.8%
Continued/Stet	8.5%	15.2%	23.5%
Dismissed/Closed	17.1%	19.2%	8.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.3%	3.5%	2.1%
Nolle Pros.	3.4%	11.1%	2.7%
Other**	5.1%	1.5%	1.1%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	1.7%	2.5%	9.1%
Petition Denied by SAO	17.1%	13.6%	13.9%
Probation	30.3%	27.8%	33.7%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	3.4%	0.0%	0.5%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>187</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	10.8	N/A	10.8
Pre-Court	8.6	N/A	8.6
Probation	63.1	N/A	63.1
VPI*	0.8	0.5	1.3
Aftercare	16.0	7.5	23.5

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

106 E. Main St. Suite 102, Elkton, MD 21921 (ph) 410-996-2800

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Cecil County population (ages 11-17) decreased 3.1% (from 10,105 to 9,794).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 53.6% of complaints were formaled while 12.3% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 20.0%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 11.5% to 4.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 30.3% to 33.7%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.7 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.8 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 120.2 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in a Secure Detention (2.90), Arrests (2.59), and Petition (1.37).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 9.0% to 12.6%
  - Felonies increased from 5.4% to 9.5%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 69.8% to 65.9%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	24.8%	27.8%	41.3%
White	70.9%	69.8%	57.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.4%	2.4%	1.1%
Sex			
Male	75.2%	82.4%	76.2%
Female	24.8%	17.6%	23.8%
Age			
11 and under	4.8%	8.3%	3.4%
12	3.7%	10.5%	6.9%
13	13.3%	6.8%	8.9%
14	16.7%	14.4%	11.5%
15	17.4%	12.4%	19.8%
16	22.0%	22.0%	21.5%
17	20.6%	24.1%	25.2%
18-20	1.4%	1.5%	2.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>349</b>

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	34.5%	51.0%	32.1%	50.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	17.1%	17.3%	13.2%	12.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	9.3%	14.3%	13.2%	12.5%
Robbery	2.0%	11.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.0%	5.7%	25.0%
Property Offenses	37.6%	27.6%	47.2%	25.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	1.9%	12.5%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	5.4%	8.2%	5.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.0%	1.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.4%	3.1%	22.6%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.9%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	4.9%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.4%	13.3%	11.3%	12.5%
Drug Related Offenses	5.8%	1.0%	5.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.5%	1.0%	5.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	10.3%	7.1%	0.0%	12.5%
Alcohol Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.1%	6.1%	0.0%	12.5%
Tobacco Violation	3.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.9%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.7%	9.2%	15.1%	12.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.3%	0.0%	5.7%	12.5%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.1%	4.1%	7.5%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.2%	4.1%	1.9%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>551</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>8</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\*Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>39.6%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	6.6%	2.1%	2.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.0%	2.1%	6.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	16.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>65.9%</b>	<b>45.8%</b>	<b>79.6%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	38.4%	31.3%	42.9%	66.7%
- Property	19.2%	12.5%	36.7%	0.0%
- Drugs	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.2%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Dorchester County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Nicole Griffin-Winder

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:  
310 Gay St., Cambridge, MD 21613 (ph) 410-228-6452

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Citizen	12.6%	7.3%	5.3%
Police*	87.0%	91.3%	94.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.4%	1.2%	0.8%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>529</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	29.7%	33.1%	52.9%
Informaled	49.1%	34.3%	15.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	21.2%	32.6%	31.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>529</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	3.8%	9.3%	5.0%
Continued/Stet	5.0%	9.3%	6.1%
Dismissed/Closed	38.8%	41.4%	44.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Nolle Pros.	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	7.5%	7.9%	2.5%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	1.3%	4.3%	1.8%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.3%	5.0%	25.7%
Probation	30.0%	21.4%	12.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	5.0%	0.7%	1.1%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	2.5%	0.7%	0.4%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>280</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	3.7	N/A	3.7
Pre-Court	20.8	N/A	20.8
Probation	22.9	N/A	22.9
VPI*	4.2	1.5	5.7
Aftercare	5.7	7.9	13.6

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Dorchester County population (ages 11-17) decreased 5.7% (from 2,615 to 2,465).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 52.9% of complaints were formaled while 15.7% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints increased 96.7%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 3.8% to 5.0%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 30.0% to 12.5%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.6 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 86.4 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (4.87), Petition (1.75) and under-represented at Refer to Juvenile Court (0.71).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 5.0% to 10.6%
  - Felonies increased from 3.8% to 6.4%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 80.6% to 75.0%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	51.7%	56.7%	69.4%
White	42.4%	38.3%	27.0%
Hispanic/Other	5.9%	5.0%	3.6%
Sex			
Male	71.0%	71.9%	81.1%
Female	29.0%	28.1%	18.9%
Age			
11 and under	8.9%	8.3%	10.2%
12	5.9%	7.1%	5.1%
13	8.9%	10.2%	7.9%
14	12.3%	14.2%	7.8%
15	18.6%	18.9%	19.8%
16	24.9%	16.5%	35.7%
17	19.7%	24.1%	12.9%
18-20	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>529</b>

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	34.5%	48.0%	59.4%	27.3%
Assault (Felony)	0.8%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	14.6%	11.8%	40.6%	18.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
Harassment	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	12.1%	12.6%	12.5%	0.0%
Robbery	1.6%	7.9%	0.0%	9.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.3%	2.4%	3.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	53.3%	44.9%	34.4%	63.6%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.1%	1.6%	0.0%	9.1%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.2%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	16.2%	8.7%	3.1%	9.1%
Malicious Destruction	7.2%	7.9%	3.1%	9.1%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.7%	4.7%	3.1%	36.4%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	18.4%	13.4%	25.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	2.3%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
Citations	5.1%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	3.4%	4.7%	3.1%	9.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.6%	1.6%	3.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,063</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>11</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>43.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	5.7%	6.3%	3.4%	55.6%
- Drugs	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>96.6%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	38.0%	25.0%	58.6%	22.2%
- Property	34.2%	18.8%	34.5%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.1%	3.1%	3.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Kent County

Case Management Program Supervisor: William Clark

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

315 High St. Suite 202, Chestertown, MD 21620 (ph) 410-778-6103

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	3.4%	12.8%	9.7%
Police*	95.8%	80.9%	90.3%
Violation of Probation**	0.8%	6.4%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>72</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	36.1%	29.8%	40.3%
Informed	13.4%	20.2%	9.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	50.4%	50.0%	50.0%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>72</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	4.7%	7.1%	3.4%
Continued/Stet	7.0%	7.1%	10.3%
Dismissed/Closed	39.5%	42.9%	34.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	11.6%	14.3%	10.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	23.3%	3.6%	3.4%
Probation	9.3%	25.0%	27.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	5.5	N/A	5.5
Pre-Court	1.6	N/A	1.6
Probation	6.5	N/A	6.5
VPI*	0.0	0.0	0.0
Aftercare	4.6	1.4	6.0

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Kent County population (ages 11-17) decreased 5.8% (from 1,679 to 1,582).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 40.3% of complaints were formaled while 9.7% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 39.5%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 4.7% to 3.4%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 9.3% to 27.6%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 13.0 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 13.8 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 83.1 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (8.14).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.4% to 2.8%
  - Felonies increased from 0.0% to 4.2%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 91.4% to 68.1%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	55.5%	57.4%	62.5%
White	42.0%	39.4%	31.9%
Hispanic/Other	2.5%	3.2%	5.6%
Sex			
Male	63.9%	67.0%	59.7%
Female	36.1%	33.0%	40.3%
Age			
11 and under	16.0%	13.8%	5.6%
12	4.2%	2.1%	11.1%
13	2.5%	4.3%	8.3%
14	7.6%	10.6%	6.9%
15	16.0%	23.4%	15.3%
16	16.8%	11.7%	19.4%
17	35.3%	33.0%	33.3%
18-20	1.7%	1.1%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>72</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	36.4%	66.7%	75.0%	100.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	33.3%	12.5%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	23.4%	33.3%	62.5%	100.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	15.9%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.4%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	10.3%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	9.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	22.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	15.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	14.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.7%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	9.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>68.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	41.7%	0.0%	75.0%	100.0%
- Property	13.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Queen Anne's County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Andrew "Joe" Grabis

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

120 Broadway, Suite 9, Centreville, MD 21617 (ph) 410-819-4180

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.7%	0.9%
Citizen	1.9%	0.7%	2.6%
Police*	96.1%	97.8%	90.4%
Violation of Probation**	1.9%	0.7%	6.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>115</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	27.9%	31.6%	38.3%
Informaled	20.1%	20.6%	25.2%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	51.9%	47.8%	36.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>115</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	0.0%	4.7%	15.9%
Continued/Stet	16.3%	39.5%	18.2%
Dismissed/Closed	55.8%	9.3%	25.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	2.3%	7.0%	2.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	11.6%	9.1%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%
Probation	23.3%	25.6%	25.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>44</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	1.4	N/A	1.4
Pre-Court	7.3	N/A	7.3
Probation	8.5	N/A	8.5
VPI*	0.1	0.0	0.1
Aftercare	2.1	1.1	3.1

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Queen Anne's County population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.7% (from 4,585 to 4,462).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 38.3% of complaints were formaled while 25.2% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 25.3%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 0.0% to 15.9%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 23.3% to 25.0%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 18.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 14.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 47.0 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.69).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.4% to 4.3%
  - Felonies increased from 5.1% to 6.1%
  - Misdemeanors increased from 64.7% to 67.8%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	19.5%	17.6%	28.7%
White	77.3%	79.4%	59.1%
Hispanic/Other	3.2%	2.9%	12.2%
Sex			
Male	81.8%	80.1%	77.4%
Female	18.2%	19.9%	22.6%
Age			
11 and under	3.2%	5.1%	5.2%
12	2.6%	1.5%	3.5%
13	8.4%	4.4%	1.7%
14	7.1%	9.6%	11.3%
15	21.4%	11.0%	17.4%
16	25.3%	24.3%	26.1%
17	27.9%	43.4%	30.4%
18-20	3.9%	0.7%	4.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>115</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	37.8%	80.0%	41.7%	33.3%
Assault (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	18.9%	40.0%	33.3%	33.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	10.4%	20.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	20.1%	0.0%	8.3%	33.3%
Arson	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	5.5%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	9.8%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.8%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	7.9%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%
Citations	15.2%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	10.4%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	4.9%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	12.2%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
- Drugs	1.7%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>67.8%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>70.0%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	46.1%	100.0%	50.0%	33.3%
- Property	11.3%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	7.0%	0.0%	10.0%	33.3%
- Unspecified	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Somerset County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Sheila Warner

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

12155 Elm St. Suite B, Princess Anne, MD 21853 (ph) 410-845-4680

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%
Citizen	5.1%	2.1%	4.9%
Police*	93.6%	95.8%	91.8%
Violation of Probation**	0.6%	1.4%	2.7%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>183</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	46.2%	28.5%	44.8%
Informed	29.5%	45.1%	38.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	24.4%	26.4%	16.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>183</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	2.8%	4.9%	3.7%
Continued/Stet	34.7%	46.3%	47.6%
Dismissed/Closed	22.2%	9.8%	19.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.4%	7.3%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	1.4%	2.4%	2.4%
Other**	5.6%	4.9%	2.4%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	2.4%	1.2%
Petition Denied by SAO	16.7%	12.2%	3.7%
Probation	9.7%	9.8%	14.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	5.6%	0.0%	4.9%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>82</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	1.9	N/A	1.9
Pre-Court	14.6	N/A	14.6
Probation	13.5	N/A	13.5
VPI*	0.5	0.0	0.5
Aftercare	2.5	2.9	5.3

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Somerset County population (ages 11-17) decreased 6.8% (from 2,302 to 2,145).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 44.8% of complaints were formaled while 38.8% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints increased 17.3%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 2.8% to 3.7%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 9.7% to 14.6%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 17.8 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.0 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 99.7 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.13), and Petition (1.36).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 2.1% to 3.3%
  - Felonies increased from 4.2% to 7.1%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 85.4% to 82.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	63.5%	66.0%	76.5%
White	35.3%	31.9%	21.9%
Hispanic/Other	1.3%	2.1%	1.6%
Sex			
Male	75.6%	68.1%	76.0%
Female	24.4%	31.9%	24.0%
Age			
11 and under	12.8%	9.0%	8.7%
12	8.3%	4.2%	8.7%
13	10.3%	12.5%	9.3%
14	16.7%	20.1%	21.3%
15	9.0%	20.1%	12.6%
16	16.7%	22.9%	25.1%
17	24.4%	9.0%	12.6%
18-20	1.9%	2.1%	1.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>183</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	41.4%	62.1%	50.0%	100.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.6%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.8%	27.6%	40.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	3.4%	0.0%	50.0%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	16.3%	24.1%	10.0%	50.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	33.2%	6.9%	40.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.8%	3.4%	10.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	14.5%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.6%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.9%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	4.2%	17.2%	10.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.3%	10.3%	10.0%	0.0%
Citations	3.3%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.1%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.9%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	14.8%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	13.6%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	4.4%	7.1%	10.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.6%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>82.5%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>90.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	51.9%	71.4%	50.0%	100.0%
- Property	28.4%	0.0%	30.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.6%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Talbot County

Case Management Specialist Supervisor: K. Denise Whiteley

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:  
600 Dover Rd. Suite 104, Easton, MD 21601 (ph) 410-822-5010

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%
Police*	93.3%	96.7%	98.5%
Violation of Probation**	4.3%	2.5%	1.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>131</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	36.6%	24.8%	22.1%
Informed	18.3%	25.6%	29.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	45.1%	49.6%	48.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>131</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	13.3%	10.0%	10.3%
Continued/Stet	1.7%	6.7%	0.0%
Dismissed/Closed	41.7%	46.7%	34.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	3.3%	0.0%	3.4%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	8.3%	0.0%	17.2%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Probation	31.7%	26.7%	27.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	3.3%	3.4%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	2.6	N/A	2.6
Pre-Court	7.2	N/A	7.2
Probation	4.6	N/A	4.6
VPI*	0.0	0.0	0.0
Aftercare	2.1	3.8	6.0

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Talbot County population (ages 11-17) decreased 5.1% (from 2,867 to 2,721).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 22.1% of complaints were formaled while 29.0% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 20.1%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 13.3% to 10.3%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 31.7% to 27.6%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.1 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 14.5 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 39.2 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.64).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 5.0% to 5.3%
  - Felonies decreased from 9.1% to 6.1%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 73.6% to 69.5%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	38.4%	48.8%	42.0%
White	52.4%	43.0%	45.0%
Hispanic/Other	9.1%	8.3%	13.0%
Sex			
Male	72.6%	78.5%	75.6%
Female	27.4%	21.5%	24.4%
Age			
11 and under	7.9%	5.8%	6.1%
12	3.7%	9.9%	3.8%
13	6.7%	6.6%	6.1%
14	9.1%	13.2%	6.1%
15	9.8%	13.2%	14.5%
16	15.9%	17.4%	26.0%
17	40.9%	33.9%	33.6%
18-20	6.1%	0.0%	3.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>131</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	27.5%	35.3%	12.5%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	14.8%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	4.2%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	33.9%	23.5%	37.5%	50.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.2%	5.9%	37.5%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Malicious Destruction	12.7%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.6%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	11.6%	23.5%	12.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	3.7%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	7.9%	11.8%	12.5%	0.0%
Citations	14.3%	11.8%	12.5%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	7.9%	5.9%	12.5%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.1%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	3.2%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.0%	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.8%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	4.6%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>69.5%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	32.8%	20.0%	25.0%	0.0%
- Property	27.5%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
- Drugs	5.3%	20.0%	12.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.8%	0.0%	12.5%	50.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>131</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Wicomico County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Chris Miele

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:  
201 Baptist St. Room 1134, Suite 21, Salisbury, MD 21801(ph) 410-713-3800

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Citizen	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%
Police*	96.0%	94.6%	97.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.1%	4.3%	2.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,035</b>	<b>958</b>	<b>1,087</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	32.4%	33.9%	36.3%
Informaled	22.5%	20.4%	17.2%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	45.1%	45.7%	46.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,035</b>	<b>958</b>	<b>1,087</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	14.6%	16.0%	7.6%
Continued/Stet	29.3%	22.8%	28.4%
Dismissed/Closed	8.1%	9.8%	8.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.5%	2.8%	3.0%
Nolle Pros.	10.1%	12.9%	14.4%
Other**	5.1%	2.2%	3.0%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.3%	1.5%	2.5%
Petition Denied by SAO	8.1%	8.3%	3.3%
Probation	16.7%	14.2%	19.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.3%	0.6%	0.8%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	6.0%	8.9%	9.1%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>395</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	14.1	N/A	14.1
Pre-Court	49.1	N/A	49.1
Probation	74.9	N/A	74.9
VPI*	6.3	2.3	8.6
Aftercare	31.6	32.3	63.9

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Wicomico County population (ages 11-17) increased 1.2% (from 10,224 to 10,347).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 36.3% of complaints were formaled while 17.2% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints increased 5.0%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 14.6% to 7.6%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 16.7% to 19.5%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 15.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.3 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 89.5 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (4.02), Secure Detention (2.65), and Delinquent Findings (1.46).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 5.4% to 7.5%
  - Felonies increased from 4.0% to 4.5%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 82.2% to 77.6%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	64.6%	74.3%	69.3%
White	31.3%	22.3%	26.0%
Hispanic/Other	4.1%	3.3%	4.7%
Sex			
Male	62.7%	72.8%	64.7%
Female	37.3%	27.2%	35.3%
Age			
11 and under	7.7%	7.1%	3.9%
12	7.7%	7.2%	6.1%
13	12.4%	10.9%	8.6%
14	14.4%	14.2%	13.9%
15	17.0%	21.9%	23.5%
16	19.0%	20.9%	21.6%
17	19.3%	16.2%	21.0%
18-20	2.4%	1.7%	1.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,035</b>	<b>958</b>	<b>1,087</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	41.4%	37.2%	43.8%	43.8%
Assault (Felony)	0.7%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	17.4%	13.2%	29.7%	28.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.6%	2.3%	0.0%	3.1%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	17.9%	13.4%	14.1%	9.4%
Robbery	1.2%	3.7%	0.0%	3.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.6%	38.8%	45.3%	31.3%
Arson	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	2.1%	1.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.0%	6.6%	1.6%	3.1%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.2%	5.4%	1.6%	6.3%
Malicious Destruction	5.8%	7.5%	14.1%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.8%	4.0%	4.7%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.1%	2.3%	0.0%	6.3%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	14.0%	10.6%	21.9%	15.6%
Drug Related Offenses	3.9%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.0%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.2%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.8%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.8%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	3.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	1.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	12.3%	11.5%	10.9%	25.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.5%	4.7%	3.1%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.1%	1.2%	1.6%	6.3%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	6.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	8.3%	5.2%	6.3%	12.5%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>2,031</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>32</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\*Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.2%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.3%	5.1%	1.7%	7.1%
- Drugs	1.4%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	7.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>62.4%</b>	<b>96.6%</b>	<b>78.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	42.9%	36.0%	49.2%	50.0%
- Property	29.3%	22.3%	40.7%	21.4%
- Drugs	2.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.4%	0.5%	6.8%	7.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>1,087</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Worcester County

Assistant Regional Director: Jennifer Wimbrow-Jenkins  
Case Management Program Supervisor: Spencer Tracy

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.1%	0.2%	0.9%
Citizen	0.7%	0.5%	0.9%
Police*	97.8%	98.5%	97.6%
Violation of Probation**	1.3%	0.8%	0.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>675</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>542</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	28.9%	27.2%	27.7%
Informed	32.9%	26.5%	15.5%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	38.2%	46.3%	56.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>675</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>542</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.2%	4.3%	6.7%
Continued/Stet	2.1%	6.1%	6.0%
Dismissed/Closed	36.9%	25.8%	16.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	7.7%	6.1%	16.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
Other**	7.2%	7.4%	6.0%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	1.5%	3.1%	9.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	32.3%	38.7%	32.7%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.6%	1.8%	0.7%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	2.6%	6.7%	4.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>150</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	11.4	N/A	11.4
Pre-Court	22.4	N/A	22.4
Probation	44.0	N/A	44.0
VPI*	1.2	0.0	1.2
Aftercare	9.0	6.0	15.0

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

301 C Commerce St., Snow Hill, MD 21863 (ph) 410-632-0206

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Worcester County population (ages 11-17) decreased 5.0% (from 3,880 to 3,687).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 27.7% of complaints were formaled while 15.5% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 19.7%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 7.2% to 6.7%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 32.3% to 32.7%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.1 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 73.0 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.22), Petition (1.48), and Delinquent Findings (1.47).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.0% to 3.1%
  - Felonies increased from 4.8% to 7.0%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 60.8% to 53.9%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	27.6%	35.8%	33.8%
White	67.1%	59.3%	61.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.3%	4.8%	4.6%
Sex			
Male	68.9%	67.0%	71.4%
Female	31.1%	33.0%	28.6%
Age			
11 and under	1.2%	1.2%	0.6%
12	1.5%	2.0%	0.7%
13	2.7%	5.7%	2.8%
14	7.9%	7.8%	6.1%
15	10.8%	10.2%	11.1%
16	19.6%	22.0%	20.3%
17	50.8%	47.3%	54.1%
18-20	5.6%	3.8%	4.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>675</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>542</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	27.9%	31.5%	42.9%	62.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	9.5%	13.5%	26.2%	37.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Harassment	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	11.9%	16.9%	7.1%	12.5%
Robbery	1.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.3%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Property Offenses	24.3%	38.2%	14.3%	12.5%
Arson	0.1%	2.2%	2.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.4%	2.2%	4.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.6%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.6%	9.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	2.4%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.0%	3.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.4%	11.2%	2.4%	12.5%
Drug Related Offenses	8.6%	7.9%	23.8%	12.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.7%	1.1%	14.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	5.9%	6.7%	9.5%	12.5%
Citations	22.4%	5.6%	9.5%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	11.5%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	9.7%	5.6%	4.8%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	9.8%	1.1%	2.4%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.6%	15.7%	7.1%	12.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.1%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.2%	1.1%	7.1%	12.5%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>782</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>8</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>21.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	4.9%	3.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	3.9%	2.4%	18.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>53.9%</b>	<b>75.6%</b>	<b>65.6%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.3%	53.7%	50.0%	50.0%
- Property	18.6%	17.1%	3.1%	16.7%
- Drugs	4.8%	4.9%	6.3%	16.7%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	12.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Region V-Southern Summary

Regional Director: Douglas Mohler

Counties of Anne Arundel, Calvert, Charles, and St. Mary's

49 Old Solomons Island Rd., Suite 300

Annapolis, MD 21401

410-295-5740

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.7%	0.4%	0.7%
Citizen	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Police*	94.4%	96.1%	96.7%
Violation of Probation**	4.8%	3.4%	2.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,574</b>	<b>4,507</b>	<b>4,632</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	40.2%	36.9%	33.3%
Informaled	20.4%	19.0%	19.1%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.5%	44.1%	47.6%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>4,574</b>	<b>4,507</b>	<b>4,632</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	12.1%	8.6%	7.5%
Continued/Stet	15.5%	12.2%	13.0%
Dismissed/Closed	19.5%	24.7%	29.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.7%	0.2%	1.2%
Nolle Pros.	1.4%	0.8%	1.4%
Other**	9.8%	8.0%	6.5%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	4.1%	6.8%	6.2%
Petition Denied by SAO	3.1%	2.4%	4.9%
Probation	32.2%	35.1%	29.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.7%	0.2%	0.3%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	1.0%	0.8%	0.5%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,838</b>	<b>1,665</b>	<b>1,541</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	117.9	N/A	117.9
Pre-Court	184.1	N/A	184.1
Probation	416.6	N/A	416.6
VPI*	34.2	12.2	46.4
Aftercare	104.6	93.9	198.5

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

#### U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Southern Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.7% (from 85,497 to 84,051).

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):

- 33.3% of complaints were formaled while 19.1% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):

- Total complaints increased 1.3%
- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 12.1% to 7.5%
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 32.2% to 29.5%

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 28.7 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 81.9 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):

- Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):

- Crimes of Violence increased from 7.1% to 8.2%
- Felonies decreased from 5.6% to 4.6%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 77.0% to 74.1%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	55.7%	56.1%	54.4%
White	39.0%	39.5%	41.2%
Hispanic/Other	5.2%	4.4%	4.4%
Sex			
Male	68.6%	69.4%	70.9%
Female	31.4%	30.6%	29.1%
Age			
11 and under	4.8%	5.6%	4.8%
12	4.3%	5.8%	6.0%
13	7.5%	8.5%	8.7%
14	13.3%	13.1%	12.7%
15	18.9%	19.3%	19.9%
16	22.8%	21.2%	22.5%
17	25.8%	24.4%	23.3%
18-20	2.5%	2.1%	1.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,574</b>	<b>4,507</b>	<b>4,632</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	39.7%	44.0%	42.5%	42.7%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	2.8%	0.5%	2.6%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.9%	16.7%	25.2%	19.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	2.6%	1.6%	2.6%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	1.4%	1.1%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	12.3%	11.7%	6.5%	4.3%
Robbery	1.4%	5.3%	0.8%	9.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.8%	1.2%	3.5%	3.4%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.0%	1.1%	2.4%	0.9%
Property Offenses	32.0%	33.6%	31.7%	30.8%
Arson	0.7%	2.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	1.7%	0.8%	0.9%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.8%	5.3%	2.2%	2.6%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.6%	3.1%	3.8%	6.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.7%	6.0%	5.4%	2.6%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.2%	2.5%	1.6%	0.9%
Theft (Felony)	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%	2.6%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.8%	10.6%	15.7%	15.4%
Drug Related Offenses	4.4%	8.1%	6.5%	9.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	1.8%	2.2%	0.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.3%	6.3%	4.3%	8.5%
Citations	8.9%	3.6%	1.9%	2.6%
Alcohol Violation	1.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.7%	1.4%	1.1%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	1.2%	0.8%	2.6%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	1.7%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	13.2%	10.1%	17.3%	14.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	0.7%	6.8%	4.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%	2.2%	2.7%	4.3%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.7%	0.3%	0.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	10.2%	6.4%	7.6%	5.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>7,261</b>	<b>831</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>117</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\*Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>17.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	1.3%	3.7%	2.1%
- Property	2.1%	3.0%	1.2%	4.2%
- Drugs	1.5%	3.5%	2.2%	1.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.5%	4.3%	1.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>63.5%</b>	<b>82.0%</b>	<b>74.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	42.4%	39.0%	41.9%	33.3%
- Property	26.1%	18.0%	25.8%	21.9%
- Drugs	2.8%	4.8%	4.3%	10.4%
- Unspecified	2.8%	1.8%	9.9%	8.3%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>4,632</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Anne Arundel County

Asst. Regional Director: Kimberly Atkinson (Annapolis)  
Case Management Program Supervisor: Seamus Conlan (Glen Burnie)

49 Old Solomons Island Rd. Suite 300, Annapolis, MD 21401 (ph) 410-295-5740  
7500 Ritchie Hwy. Suite 306, Glen Burnie, MD 21061 (ph) 410-412-7115

Located in Region V - 2 Offices:

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	1.0%	0.5%	0.8%
Citizen	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
Police*	93.1%	95.1%	96.5%
Violation of Probation**	5.8%	4.2%	2.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,862</b>	<b>2,750</b>	<b>2,744</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	41.2%	36.0%	31.5%
Informaled	19.8%	18.3%	17.3%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.1%	45.6%	51.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>2,862</b>	<b>2,750</b>	<b>2,744</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	13.4%	9.3%	9.2%
Continued/Stet	14.8%	12.3%	14.6%
Dismissed/Closed	24.9%	29.2%	36.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%
Nolle Pros.	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	10.5%	8.0%	7.0%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	5.4%	10.0%	6.7%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.1%	1.3%	3.2%
Probation	28.4%	29.8%	22.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,178</b>	<b>991</b>	<b>863</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	79.9	N/A	79.9
Pre-Court	103.9	N/A	103.9
Probation	187.9	N/A	187.9
VPI*	24.0	9.6	33.7
Aftercare	44.8	48.7	93.5

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Anne Arundel County population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.8% (from 48,563 to 48,182).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 31.5% of complaints were formaled while 17.3% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 4.1%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 13.4% to 9.2%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 28.4% to 22.5%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 26.1 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 14.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 92.4 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.30), Secure Detention (2.02), and Transferred to Adult Court (1.59).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 7.4% to 8.8%
  - Felonies decreased from 6.6% to 4.7%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 77.4% to 76.0%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	52.2%	54.8%	50.8%
White	40.7%	39.3%	43.8%
Hispanic/Other	7.1%	5.9%	5.5%
Sex			
Male	70.4%	70.2%	71.5%
Female	29.6%	29.8%	28.5%
Age			
11 and under	5.3%	6.0%	5.1%
12	5.3%	5.9%	6.0%
13	8.2%	9.6%	8.1%
14	12.2%	14.1%	13.5%
15	18.4%	18.7%	21.2%
16	22.2%	21.3%	21.4%
17	26.2%	22.6%	23.1%
18-20	2.2%	1.7%	1.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,862</b>	<b>2,750</b>	<b>2,744</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	39.2%	47.3%	41.4%	52.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	4.8%	0.0%	5.1%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.2%	13.9%	23.6%	22.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	3.4%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	1.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	11.6%	11.0%	3.4%	6.8%
Robbery	1.8%	9.1%	0.6%	13.6%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.8%	2.0%	5.7%	1.7%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.1%	1.1%	3.4%	0.0%
Property Offenses	32.8%	34.3%	39.7%	30.5%
Arson	0.5%	3.1%	1.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	2.8%	1.1%	1.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.6%	4.5%	4.6%	3.4%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.2%	4.5%	4.0%	5.1%
Malicious Destruction	5.9%	3.1%	6.9%	1.7%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.8%	3.1%	1.7%	1.7%
Theft (Felony)	1.1%	2.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	15.0%	11.0%	18.4%	16.9%
Drug Related Offenses	4.1%	6.8%	6.9%	6.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	1.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.1%	5.4%	4.0%	6.8%
Citations	6.8%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.2%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	1.6%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	15.3%	9.1%	12.1%	10.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.5%	0.6%	4.6%	6.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%	0.8%	4.0%	1.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	12.6%	7.4%	2.9%	1.7%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>4,413</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>59</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>35.4%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	1.3%	6.5%	2.1%
- Property	2.1%	3.2%	2.0%	2.1%
- Drugs	1.5%	4.4%	3.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	3.9%	2.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>76.0%</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>70.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	41.4%	25.9%	39.2%	37.5%
- Property	28.1%	15.8%	30.7%	20.8%
- Drugs	3.1%	7.0%	3.3%	8.3%
- Unspecified	3.4%	3.2%	4.6%	4.2%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>2,744</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Calvert County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Cynthia Scribner

Located in Region V - 1 Office:  
200 Duke St. Suite 2800, Prince Frederick, MD 20678 (ph) 443-550-6275

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.3%	0.8%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	99.7%	99.0%	99.2%
Violation of Probation**	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>360</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	26.8%	30.9%	28.1%
Informaled	30.1%	19.4%	20.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	43.1%	49.6%	51.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>360</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	1.9%	0.8%	2.0%
Continued/Stet	9.5%	16.5%	24.8%
Dismissed/Closed	9.5%	24.8%	15.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.9%	0.0%	1.0%
Nolle Pros.	2.9%	1.7%	3.0%
Other**	12.4%	6.6%	4.0%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	7.6%	0.0%	4.0%
Probation	49.5%	43.8%	44.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.8%	1.7%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	1.0%	4.1%	1.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>101</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	3.8	N/A	3.8
Pre-Court	15.5	N/A	15.5
Probation	51.2	N/A	51.2
VPI*	2.2	1.1	3.3
Aftercare	13.9	6.4	20.3

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Calvert County population (ages 11-17) decreased 5.8% (from 9,838 to 9,270).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 28.1% of complaints were formaled while 20.0% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 8.2%
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 1.9% to 2.0%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 49.5% to 44.6%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 13.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.5 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 88.1 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.24).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 3.1% to 2.8%
  - Felonies increased from 3.3% to 6.7%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 71.9% to 58.6%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	28.1%	25.1%	35.3%
White	69.1%	71.6%	60.0%
Hispanic/Other	2.8%	3.3%	4.7%
Sex			
Male	64.5%	68.3%	66.4%
Female	35.5%	31.7%	33.6%
Age			
11 and under	2.0%	4.9%	2.8%
12	1.5%	2.8%	2.8%
13	4.3%	7.9%	8.9%
14	11.2%	11.8%	11.4%
15	22.2%	19.9%	24.4%
16	26.3%	23.3%	24.4%
17	31.1%	28.6%	24.4%
18-20	1.3%	0.8%	0.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>360</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	28.3%	34.6%	46.5%	20.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	14.4%	12.3%	23.3%	10.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	11.1%	17.3%	20.9%	0.0%
Robbery	0.8%	2.5%	2.3%	10.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	21.0%	16.0%	20.9%	40.0%
Arson	0.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.4%	0.0%	4.7%	10.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.1%	4.9%	9.3%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.1%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.5%	4.9%	7.0%	30.0%
Drug Related Offenses	11.1%	21.0%	9.3%	20.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	3.0%	6.2%	4.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	8.1%	14.8%	4.7%	20.0%
Citations	22.7%	8.6%	2.3%	10.0%
Alcohol Violation	7.7%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	11.8%	1.2%	2.3%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.5%	2.5%	0.0%	10.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	7.9%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.8%	17.3%	20.9%	10.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.9%	3.7%	14.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.7%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.7%	4.9%	7.0%	10.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>10</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.1%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	3.6%	9.1%	2.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>58.6%</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>88.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.1%	39.4%	41.7%	11.1%
- Property	18.1%	12.1%	25.0%	44.4%
- Drugs	6.1%	9.1%	5.6%	22.2%
- Unspecified	3.3%	3.0%	11.1%	11.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>22.8%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Charles County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Mary Ann Kellstrom-Stewart

Located in Region V - 1 Office:  
200 Kent Ave., La Plata, MD 20646 (ph) 301-392-6900

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.1%	0.6%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	98.3%	99.3%	97.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.4%	0.6%	2.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>859</b>	<b>873</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	37.9%	37.6%	39.7%
Informaled	10.7%	8.3%	11.9%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	51.4%	54.1%	48.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>859</b>	<b>873</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.9%	10.2%	4.3%
Continued/Stet	20.6%	9.3%	8.4%
Dismissed/Closed	10.1%	18.3%	24.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.6%	0.9%	4.6%
Nolle Pros.	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	12.7%	12.1%	9.5%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.6%	3.4%	9.8%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.4%	1.9%	2.9%
Probation	37.3%	41.2%	33.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.3%	0.0%	0.6%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	2.8%	2.8%	2.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>316</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>347</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	15.7	N/A	15.7
Pre-Court	20.4	N/A	20.4
Probation	89.7	N/A	89.7
VPI*	4.6	0.6	5.2
Aftercare	31.0	26.5	57.5

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Charles County population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.5% (from 16,151 to 15,746).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 39.7% of complaints were formaled while 11.9% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints increased 4.8%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 7.9% to 4.3%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 37.3% to 33.4%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 43.7 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 14.7 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 75.2 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (1.69), Delinquent Findings (1.60), and Refer to Juvenile Court (1.09).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 8.3% to 9.6%
  - Felonies decreased from 4.9% to 4.8%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 77.2% to 74.9%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.9%	75.3%	75.5%
White	19.4%	22.4%	21.6%
Hispanic/Other	2.6%	2.3%	2.9%
Sex			
Male	67.6%	68.7%	72.3%
Female	32.4%	31.3%	27.7%
Age			
11 and under	3.4%	4.4%	2.7%
12	2.9%	5.4%	4.6%
13	4.9%	6.4%	6.6%
14	15.1%	10.9%	11.5%
15	19.3%	19.4%	16.3%
16	25.3%	21.2%	28.8%
17	24.5%	28.1%	26.2%
18-20	4.6%	4.2%	3.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>859</b>	<b>873</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	39.2%	45.2%	38.4%	42.3%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.3%	18.6%	25.6%	23.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.6%	5.9%	2.3%	3.8%
Handgun Violation	0.8%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	1.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	10.8%	10.9%	5.8%	3.8%
Robbery	1.1%	3.6%	1.2%	3.8%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.6%	1.4%	0.0%	7.7%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	36.8%	36.2%	26.7%	23.1%
Arson	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.9%	6.3%	0.0%	3.8%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.1%	2.7%	4.7%	7.7%
Malicious Destruction	8.3%	10.9%	1.2%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	3.4%	3.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.7%	3.2%	1.2%	3.8%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	14.7%	9.5%	17.4%	7.7%
Drug Related Offenses	4.1%	6.3%	7.0%	11.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.5%	1.2%	3.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.1%	5.9%	5.8%	7.7%
Citations	8.8%	2.7%	1.2%	7.7%
Alcohol Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	6.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.6%	2.3%	1.2%	7.7%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.9%	9.0%	26.7%	15.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.8%	0.5%	12.8%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.0%	2.7%	3.5%	11.5%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	7.2%	5.9%	10.5%	3.8%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,316</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>26</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	2.8%	1.5%	5.0%
- Property	3.0%	0.0%	1.5%	5.0%
- Drugs	1.1%	0.9%	1.5%	5.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>74.9%</b>	<b>75.7%</b>	<b>85.1%</b>	<b>70.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	43.8%	50.5%	46.3%	45.0%
- Property	26.7%	21.5%	16.4%	10.0%
- Drugs	2.1%	2.8%	7.5%	10.0%
- Unspecified	2.4%	0.9%	14.9%	5.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>873</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>3.5%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## St. Mary's County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Daniel Schaidt

23110 Leonard Hall Dr. Suite 1040, Leonardtown MD 20650 (ph) 301-880-2850  
Located in Region V - 1 Office:

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.2%	0.5%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	91.6%	93.5%	95.6%
Violation of Probation**	8.2%	6.3%	4.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>655</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	49.1%	45.4%	35.1%
Informed	32.4%	40.4%	35.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	18.5%	14.2%	29.2%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>655</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	15.5%	7.8%	8.7%
Continued/Stet	14.6%	13.5%	8.7%
Dismissed/Closed	10.0%	14.8%	13.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	7.9%	4.8%	8.3%
Other**	1.7%	3.0%	1.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	3.8%	1.7%	1.7%
Petition Denied by SAO	9.2%	9.1%	14.3%
Probation	36.4%	44.8%	43.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>230</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	18.5	N/A	18.5
Pre-Court	44.4	N/A	44.4
Probation	87.7	N/A	87.7
VPI*	3.4	0.8	4.2
Aftercare	14.8	12.4	27.2

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the St. Mary's County population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.8% (from 10,945 to 10,852).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 35.1% of complaints were formaled while 35.7% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints increased 34.5%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 15.5% to 8.7%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 36.4% to 43.5%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 28.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.1 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 57.1 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.39), Secure Detention (1.64), and Petition (1.31).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 6.7% to 7.0%
  - Felonies decreased from 3.2% to 2.7%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 78.1% to 73.7%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	60.8%	54.8%	52.1%
White	38.6%	44.8%	46.1%
Hispanic/Other	0.6%	0.4%	1.8%
Sex			
Male	63.0%	67.7%	69.3%
Female	37.0%	32.3%	30.7%
Age			
11 and under	7.0%	6.5%	7.5%
12	3.1%	8.3%	9.5%
13	10.1%	6.3%	14.0%
14	18.3%	12.2%	12.1%
15	18.1%	21.7%	16.9%
16	19.5%	18.7%	17.9%
17	21.8%	24.7%	19.8%
18-20	2.3%	1.6%	2.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>655</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	48.3%	40.3%	48.5%	27.3%
Assault (Felony)	0.6%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	24.4%	22.2%	30.3%	13.6%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.0%	0.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	17.7%	11.4%	6.1%	0.0%
Robbery	0.4%	1.1%	0.0%	4.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	4.5%	4.5%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.7%	2.3%	4.5%	4.5%
Property Offenses	27.9%	36.9%	24.2%	36.4%
Arson	1.5%	2.8%	3.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.1%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.0%	2.3%	1.5%	4.5%
Malicious Destruction	7.6%	6.3%	4.5%	9.1%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	1.3%	1.7%	3.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.2%	1.1%	0.0%	9.1%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.1%	13.6%	12.1%	13.6%
Drug Related Offenses	2.6%	6.8%	3.0%	9.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.0%	4.5%	3.0%	9.1%
Citations	11.2%	5.7%	7.6%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.6%	3.4%	4.5%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	3.9%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	1.1%	3.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.0%	10.2%	16.7%	27.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	2.3%	0.0%	4.5%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.5%	2.3%	0.0%	4.5%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.1%	5.7%	16.7%	13.6%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>999</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>22</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
- Property	0.8%	2.9%	0.0%	10.5%
- Drugs	0.9%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>73.7%</b>	<b>68.6%</b>	<b>87.9%</b>	<b>78.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	50.5%	47.1%	43.9%	21.1%
- Property	21.7%	19.6%	24.2%	26.3%
- Drugs	1.1%	2.0%	3.0%	10.5%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	16.7%	21.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>655</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Region VI-Metro Summary

Regional Director: Delmonica Hawkins; Assistant Regional Directors: Frank Duncan, Kayla Pierce, and Kwabena Tuffour

Counties of Montgomery and Prince George's

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	1.6%	1.4%	2.0%
Citizen	4.7%	5.3%	4.9%
Police*	87.4%	87.9%	88.9%
Violation of Probation**	6.3%	5.4%	4.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,392</b>	<b>4,116</b>	<b>3,921</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	49.0%	47.3%	51.5%
Informed	19.6%	20.8%	18.5%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	31.4%	31.9%	30.0%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>4,392</b>	<b>4,116</b>	<b>3,921</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	18.5%	12.0%	9.7%
Continued/Stet	3.1%	3.3%	8.5%
Dismissed/Closed	32.4%	36.2%	29.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.3%	1.5%	0.9%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	8.8%	11.6%	15.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.3%	1.8%	5.7%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.5%	5.4%	5.8%
Probation	21.3%	18.7%	19.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	13.1%	9.1%	4.6%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>2,152</b>	<b>1,945</b>	<b>2,018</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	104.5	N/A	104.5
Pre-Court	169.5	N/A	169.5
Probation	407.6	N/A	407.6
VPI*	134.6	43.4	178.0
Aftercare	172.1	125.3	297.4

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

14735 Main Street, Suite MO400  
Upper Marlboro, MD 20772  
301-952-2580

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Metro Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.6% (from 171,459 to 170,415).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):*
  - 51.5% of complaints were formaled while 18.5% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):*
  - Total complaints decreased 10.7%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 18.5% to 9.7%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 21.3% to 19.5%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 59.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.2 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 80.5 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):*
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):*
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 15.8% to 16.1%
  - Felonies increased from 7.8% to 9.3%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 64.5% to 61.8%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	71.7%	65.9%	66.8%
White	9.4%	11.4%	11.4%
Hispanic/Other	19.0%	22.6%	21.8%
Sex			
Male	76.0%	76.4%	75.9%
Female	24.0%	23.6%	24.1%
Age			
11 and under	1.2%	1.6%	1.6%
12	2.0%	2.0%	2.4%
13	5.6%	5.2%	5.4%
14	11.7%	11.8%	11.7%
15	20.0%	19.5%	20.8%
16	23.2%	24.1%	26.0%
17	28.8%	27.9%	26.7%
18-20	7.5%	7.7%	5.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,392</b>	<b>4,116</b>	<b>3,921</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	35.9%	45.8%	45.1%	59.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.7%	4.4%	0.5%	3.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	13.9%	14.6%	22.5%	17.9%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	1.6%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	1.7%	4.2%	0.5%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	1.2%	1.3%	3.7%
Harassment	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	8.6%	5.9%	4.2%	4.7%
Robbery	6.0%	13.7%	4.5%	20.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.5%	1.8%	5.6%	3.2%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.7%	1.6%	2.6%
Property Offenses	34.8%	36.9%	36.9%	25.8%
Arson	0.4%	0.7%	1.1%	1.1%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.1%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.2%	4.7%	6.6%	3.2%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.1%	2.4%	5.0%	2.6%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%	4.2%	2.7%	0.5%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	4.7%	4.7%	2.4%	2.1%
Theft (Felony)	3.6%	5.0%	0.5%	2.6%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	14.0%	12.7%	15.9%	11.6%
Drug Related Offenses	3.6%	1.8%	2.9%	2.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%	0.5%	0.8%	0.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.3%	1.2%	2.1%	2.1%
Citations	7.0%	2.5%	1.6%	1.6%
Alcohol Violation	1.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.2%	1.8%	1.3%	1.6%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	5.6%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	12.6%	9.1%	13.5%	10.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	3.7%	2.8%	8.8%	7.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.3%	0.4%	2.1%	1.1%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.6%	0.5%	1.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.3%	4.7%	2.1%	1.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>7,316</b>	<b>1,689</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>190</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>40.8%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>39.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	0.9%	5.8%	2.1%
- Property	5.2%	7.4%	3.8%	5.6%
- Drugs	2.1%	1.2%	1.0%	0.7%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.3%	7.1%	7.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>61.8%</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>42.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	34.5%	24.5%	38.1%	26.1%
- Property	24.3%	17.2%	23.4%	14.1%
- Drugs	1.4%	1.2%	1.6%	1.4%
- Unspecified	1.5%	2.3%	3.5%	0.7%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>3,921</b>	<b>691</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>24.5%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Montgomery County

Case Management Specialist Supervisors: Eric Gaines, George Proctor, Mariam Veppumthara, Sean Murphy (Rockville), and Doug Powell (Silver Spring)

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	1.3%	1.1%	1.2%
Citizen	1.0%	0.7%	0.8%
Police*	93.5%	95.7%	95.4%
Violation of Probation**	4.2%	2.4%	2.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,701</b>	<b>2,301</b>	<b>2,178</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	45.8%	46.5%	55.1%
Informed	26.5%	22.1%	18.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	27.7%	31.4%	26.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,701</b>	<b>2,301</b>	<b>2,178</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	11.7%	6.7%	7.3%
Continued/Stet	2.4%	2.1%	5.8%
Dismissed/Closed	33.0%	40.5%	35.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.8%	2.7%	1.5%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	17.1%	14.5%	13.2%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.4%	2.3%	5.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.2%	9.8%	9.8%
Probation	21.7%	15.1%	18.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	7.3%	5.7%	3.8%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>779</b>	<b>1,070</b>	<b>1,199</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	48.8	N/A	48.8
Pre-Court	81.5	N/A	81.5
Probation	147.5	N/A	147.5
VPI*	31.7	16.2	47.9
Aftercare	38.4	36.0	74.4

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

Located in Region VI - 2 Offices:

7300 Calhoun Pl. Suite 500, Rockville, MD 20855 (ph) 301- 610-8500  
8905 Fairview Rd. 6th Floor, Silver Spring, MD 20910 (ph) 301- 650-6750

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Montgomery County population (ages 11-17) increased 2.9% (from 89,121 to 91,698).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):*
  - 55.1% of complaints were formaled while 18.6% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):*
  - Total complaints increased 28.0%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 11.7% to 7.3%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 21.7% to 18.2%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 49.5 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.7 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 79.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in a Secure Detention (2.50), Refer to Juvenile Court (1.93), and Arrests (1.78).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):*
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 13.4% to 13.8%
  - Felonies increased from 7.2% to 8.1%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 64.2% to 59.9%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	52.3%	53.9%	56.9%
White	18.9%	16.8%	16.2%
Hispanic/Other	28.9%	29.3%	26.9%
Sex			
Male	74.5%	76.1%	77.2%
Female	25.5%	23.9%	22.8%
Age			
11 and under	1.2%	1.6%	1.2%
12	2.2%	1.9%	2.9%
13	4.4%	4.3%	4.9%
14	11.5%	11.3%	10.3%
15	15.5%	19.1%	19.1%
16	21.2%	24.0%	26.6%
17	34.0%	29.5%	30.2%
18-20	10.1%	8.4%	4.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,701</b>	<b>2,301</b>	<b>2,178</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	32.7%	39.7%	43.2%	40.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.9%	3.3%	0.6%	3.6%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	13.0%	12.7%	21.3%	10.9%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	1.9%	1.3%	1.8%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.5%	1.3%	0.0%
Harassment	0.6%	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	8.8%	7.3%	5.2%	5.5%
Robbery	4.9%	12.4%	3.9%	12.7%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.6%	1.4%	7.1%	1.8%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Property Offenses	35.2%	38.7%	28.4%	40.0%
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	2.3%	1.9%	1.8%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.6%	5.2%	5.2%	3.6%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.1%	2.6%	3.9%	5.5%
Malicious Destruction	4.1%	3.5%	0.0%	1.8%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	4.4%	5.9%	1.3%	3.6%
Theft (Felony)	3.4%	4.5%	0.0%	3.6%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	15.9%	14.8%	15.5%	20.0%
Drug Related Offenses	3.7%	1.6%	1.9%	3.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.3%	1.2%	1.9%	3.6%
Citations	11.9%	7.0%	1.9%	3.6%
Alcohol Violation	3.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	6.9%	5.2%	1.9%	3.6%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	16.1%	12.4%	24.5%	12.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	6.5%	7.5%	18.1%	10.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.3%	1.2%	1.9%	1.8%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.0%	3.3%	3.9%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>3,598</b>	<b>426</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>55</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>39.6%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>31.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	0.5%	6.3%	2.4%
- Property	4.1%	5.1%	2.4%	7.1%
- Drugs	2.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.0%	15.0%	4.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>59.9%</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>60.6%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	33.7%	21.8%	36.2%	23.8%
- Property	23.7%	22.3%	16.5%	21.4%
- Drugs	1.4%	1.5%	1.6%	4.8%
- Unspecified	1.1%	1.0%	6.3%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>2,178</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Prince George's County

Case Management Specialist Supervisors: Rosalind Jacobs, Andrea McKeon, Bryan Paige, and Rukaiyah Tyler (Largo), Katherine Ball, Ronald Barnes, and Martin Johnson (Upper Marlboro)

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	1.9%	1.8%	3.0%
Citizen	7.0%	11.0%	10.0%
Police*	83.5%	78.1%	80.8%
Violation of Probation**	7.6%	9.1%	6.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,691</b>	<b>1,815</b>	<b>1,743</b>

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers  
\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS<sup>†</sup>, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	51.0%	48.2%	47.0%
Informed	15.3%	19.2%	18.4%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	33.7%	32.6%	34.6%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>2,691</b>	<b>1,815</b>	<b>1,743</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	22.4%	18.4%	13.2%
Continued/Stet	3.5%	4.9%	12.5%
Dismissed/Closed	32.1%	31.0%	21.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Nolle Pros.	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Other**	4.1%	8.0%	18.4%
Pending Disposition <sup>†</sup>	0.2%	1.1%	6.7%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	21.1%	23.0%	21.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Writ Pending <sup>†</sup>	16.3%	13.3%	5.7%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,373</b>	<b>875</b>	<b>819</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>†</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide  
<sup>†</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

Monthly Average Cases			
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	55.7	N/A	55.7
Pre-Court	88.0	N/A	88.0
Probation	260.1	N/A	260.1
VPI*	102.8	27.3	130.1
Aftercare	133.7	89.3	223.0

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

14735 Main St. Suite M0400, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (ph) 301-952-2580  
99 Commerce Pl. 2nd Floor, Largo, MD 20774 (ph) 301-333-3310

Located in Region VI - 2 Offices:

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:
  - Between July 2011 and July 2015, the Prince George's County population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.4% (from 82,338 to 78,717).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2016):
  - 47.0% of complaints were formaled while 18.4% were informed.
- Intake Trends (FY 2014-2016):
  - Total complaints decreased 35.2%
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 22.4% to 13.2%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 21.1% to 21.4%
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2016):
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 71.3 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.1 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 81.6 days.
- Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2015):
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in a Secure Detention (3.44), Arrests (1.57), and Refer to Juvenile Court (1.38).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2015-2016):
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 18.8% to 19.0%
  - Felonies increased from 8.5% to 10.8%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 64.9% to 64.2%

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	83.9%	81.2%	79.2%
White	3.4%	4.6%	5.5%
Hispanic/Other	12.7%	14.2%	15.3%
Sex			
Male	77.0%	76.7%	74.2%
Female	23.0%	23.3%	25.8%
Age			
11 and under	1.2%	1.7%	2.2%
12	2.0%	2.3%	1.8%
13	6.4%	6.5%	6.1%
14	11.7%	12.4%	13.5%
15	22.8%	20.1%	22.9%
16	24.5%	24.2%	25.2%
17	25.5%	26.0%	22.3%
18-20	5.9%	6.9%	6.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,691</b>	<b>1,815</b>	<b>1,743</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	39.1%	47.9%	46.4%	67.4%
Assault (Felony)	2.4%	4.8%	0.5%	3.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	14.8%	15.3%	23.4%	20.7%
Carjacking	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	1.5%
Child Abuse	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%	1.6%	6.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.0%	1.4%	1.4%	5.2%
Harassment	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%
Other Person <sup>+</sup>	8.4%	5.5%	3.6%	4.4%
Robbery	6.9%	14.1%	5.0%	23.7%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.5%	1.9%	4.5%	3.7%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	0.9%	1.4%	3.7%
Property Offenses	34.5%	36.3%	42.8%	20.0%
Arson	0.6%	0.9%	1.4%	1.5%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.9%	2.8%	3.2%	2.2%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.8%	4.6%	7.7%	3.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	2.3%	5.9%	1.5%
Malicious Destruction	4.3%	4.4%	4.5%	0.0%
Other Property <sup>++</sup>	4.9%	4.3%	3.2%	1.5%
Theft (Felony)	3.8%	5.1%	0.9%	2.2%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	12.2%	12.0%	16.2%	8.1%
Drug Related Offenses	3.4%	1.8%	3.6%	2.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.6%	1.4%	0.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.4%	1.3%	2.3%	1.5%
Citations	2.4%	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Alcohol Violation	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.5%	0.6%	0.9%	0.7%
Tobacco Violation	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.5%	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
CINS Offenses <sup>**</sup>	11.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses <sup>+++</sup>	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.3%	8.0%	5.9%	9.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.0%	1.3%	2.3%	5.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	0.2%	2.3%	0.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.6%	0.5%	1.5%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.5%	5.2%	0.9%	1.5%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>3,718</b>	<b>1,263</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>135</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>+</sup> Other Person, <sup>++</sup> Other Property, and <sup>+++</sup> Ordinance Offenses are listed on page 227.

\*\* Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>41.3%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>43.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.9%	1.0%	5.4%	2.0%
- Property	6.5%	8.3%	4.9%	5.0%
- Drugs	2.1%	1.4%	1.6%	1.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.4%	1.6%	9.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>64.2%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>70.8%</b>	<b>39.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	35.6%	25.5%	39.5%	27.0%
- Property	25.0%	15.2%	28.1%	11.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	1.0%	1.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%	1.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</b>	<b>1,743</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>% of Statewide</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2016 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2016 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Regional Summary Trends

Youth from out-of-state with complaints in Maryland are listed by the Maryland jurisdiction where they are charged or supervised, except for youth in detention or committed placement, who are listed by their region of residence/jurisdiction.

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2014-2016

County / Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
				Placements			ADP			Placements*			ADP*		
	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16
R-I-Balt. City	4,016	3,408	2,490	1,608	1,358	1,075	52.7	48.9	36.8	244	205	213	23.3	15.1	17.1
R-II-Central	6,476	5,882	5,949	573	503	412	24.5	24.3	21.5	187	154	141	11.8	9.1	9.6
R-III-Western	2,387	2,388	2,145	261	291	277	15.1	15.6	14.0	72	78	78	3.2	4.2	3.7
R-IV-Eastern	3,290	3,172	3,292	332	318	345	17.1	16.4	16.9	108	97	92	5.9	5.0	4.4
R-V-Southern	4,574	4,507	4,632	491	390	400	16.2	14.6	16.1	180	149	168	7.0	9.0	9.3
R-VI-Metro	4,392	4,116	3,921	1,061	801	691	61.7	51.1	38.0	361	259	228	31.2	22.1	14.6
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	40	53	56	0.8	1.2	2.4	24	14	12	1.4	1.3	1.2
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>25,135</b>	<b>23,473</b>	<b>22,429</b>	<b>4,366</b>	<b>3,714</b>	<b>3,256</b>	<b>188.2</b>	<b>172.0</b>	<b>145.8</b>	<b>1,176</b>	<b>956</b>	<b>932</b>	<b>83.8</b>	<b>65.8</b>	<b>59.8</b>

\* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP. \*\* N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction

### POST-EJECTION DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), FIRST-TIME PROBATION DISPOSITIONS, AND AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2014-2016

County / Region	Post-Ejection Detention Placement Placements			ADP			First-Time Probation Dispositions*			Average Supervised Caseload**		
	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16
R-I-Balt. City	61	46	38	7.5	4.4	3.6	551	472	367	932	933	756
R-II-Central	27	29	10	3.0	2.3	0.7	616	511	478	758	721	625
R-III-Western	20	11	13	1.4	1.5	0.7	190	205	142	291	266	252
R-IV-Eastern	28	17	11	2.0	1.3	1.0	200	199	216	451	378	345
R-V-Southern	30	31	28	1.5	3.2	1.8	390	335	322	679	632	555
R-VI-Metro	84	50	39	9.1	6.1	2.7	389	293	312	1,090	865	714
Out-of-State***	4	1	4	0.3	0.1	0.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>24.9</b>	<b>18.9</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>2,336</b>	<b>2,015</b>	<b>1,837</b>	<b>4,202</b>	<b>3,793</b>	<b>3,247</b>

\* Includes youth with no prior probation or commitment order who are placed on DJJ in-home supervision under a new probation or commitment order. \*\* Includes all probation, aftercare, and VPI cases not in committed placements. \*\*\* N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction

### COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS, PLACEMENTS, AND ADP BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2014-2016

County / Region	First-Time Committed Dispositions*			Committed					
				Placements			ADP		
	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16
R-I-Balt. City	189	143	136	286	237	207	164.8	122.3	104.3
R-II-Central	104	99	97	204	157	151	124.6	87.9	77.7
R-III-Western	49	53	48	117	116	107	74.0	67.1	46.2
R-IV-Eastern	73	64	60	148	101	105	113.6	94.0	68.5
R-V-Southern	131	98	96	280	217	216	159.5	119.9	105.0
R-VI-Metro	260	165	142	432	315	257	239.3	204.5	159.5
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	27	17	16	25.5	16.8	12.6
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>806</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>579</b>	<b>1,494</b>	<b>1,160</b>	<b>1,059</b>	<b>901.3</b>	<b>712.5</b>	<b>573.9</b>

\* "Disposition" counts all youth newly committed to the Department, not all of whom end up placed into committed out-of-home placements. Those that are placed are reflected in the "Placements" and "ADP" figures. \*\* N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## County/Regional Trends

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2014-2016<sup>+</sup>

County / Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
	FY14	FY15	FY16	Placements			ADP			Placements*			ADP*		
	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	<b>4,016</b>	<b>3,408</b>	<b>2,490</b>	<b>1,608</b>	<b>1,358</b>	<b>1,075</b>	<b>52.7</b>	<b>48.9</b>	<b>36.8</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>23.3</b>	<b>15.1</b>	<b>17.1</b>
Baltimore City	4,016	3,408	2,490	1,608	1,358	1,075	52.7	48.9	36.8	244	205	213	23.3	15.1	17.1
<b>R-II-Central</b>	<b>6,476</b>	<b>5,882</b>	<b>5,949</b>	<b>573</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>24.5</b>	<b>24.3</b>	<b>21.5</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>11.8</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>9.6</b>
Baltimore Co.	3,944	3,596	3,725	376	329	250	17.6	17.4	14.2	102	80	79	6.3	4.9	6.2
Carroll	513	466	363	46	41	41	2.2	2.2	1.4	25	26	17	0.9	1.0	0.7
Harford	816	849	850	98	87	58	3.1	3.1	3.4	32	28	28	2.8	1.8	2.0
Howard	1,203	971	1,011	53	46	63	1.7	1.6	2.6	28	20	17	1.8	1.3	0.6
<b>R-III-Western</b>	<b>2,387</b>	<b>2,388</b>	<b>2,145</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>15.1</b>	<b>15.6</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>3.7</b>
Allegany	608	533	438	28	33	22	1.3	1.2	1.7	14	5	12	0.5	0.3	0.5
Frederick	764	702	622	90	66	88	5.2	3.5	5.2	29	34	35	1.1	1.5	1.9
Garrett	217	179	157	6	8	4	0.4	0.4	0.2	3	3	1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Washington	798	974	928	137	184	163	8.2	10.4	6.9	26	36	30	1.4	2.3	1.3
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	<b>3,290</b>	<b>3,172</b>	<b>3,292</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>17.1</b>	<b>16.4</b>	<b>16.9</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.4</b>
Caroline	282	286	284	8	11	4	0.5	0.3	0.4	5	4	3	0.2	0.2	0.2
Cecil	436	410	349	44	47	48	3.5	1.9	2.9	16	12	10	0.6	0.8	0.5
Dorchester	269	423	529	8	25	32	0.3	1.9	1.3	1	11	12	0.0	0.4	1.0
Kent	119	94	72	6	5	1	0.4	0.3	0.2	3	3	1	0.2	0.1	0.0
Queen Anne's	154	136	115	10	9	3	0.2	0.5	0.1	3	1	1	0.2	0.1	0.0
Somerset	156	144	183	28	22	14	1.0	1.1	0.8	14	4	5	0.9	0.2	0.1
Talbot	164	121	131	5	8	5	0.4	0.2	0.2	2	4	2	0.1	0.1	0.0
Wicomico	1,035	958	1,087	173	158	197	9.0	8.9	9.4	49	51	50	3.2	3.0	2.0
Worcester	675	600	542	50	33	41	1.9	1.1	1.6	15	7	8	0.5	0.3	0.4
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	<b>4,574</b>	<b>4,507</b>	<b>4,632</b>	<b>491</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>16.2</b>	<b>14.6</b>	<b>16.1</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>9.3</b>
Anne Arundel	2,862	2,750	2,744	228	169	158	9.1	8.2	7.6	86	83	86	4.1	5.2	5.5
Calvert	392	391	360	43	39	33	1.0	0.8	1.1	14	12	11	0.4	0.7	0.6
Charles	833	859	873	114	107	107	3.7	3.7	3.3	42	27	46	1.7	2.0	2.2
St. Mary's	487	507	655	106	75	102	2.5	1.9	4.1	38	27	25	0.8	1.2	1.0
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	<b>4,392</b>	<b>4,116</b>	<b>3,921</b>	<b>1,061</b>	<b>801</b>	<b>691</b>	<b>61.7</b>	<b>51.1</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>31.2</b>	<b>22.1</b>	<b>14.6</b>
Montgomery	1,701	2,301	2,178	218	177	197	9.7	12.5	11.9	87	61	82	7.0	3.7	4.2
Prince George's	2,691	1,815	1,743	843	624	494	51.9	38.6	26.0	274	198	146	24.2	18.3	10.4
<b>Out-of-State**</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>25,135</b>	<b>23,473</b>	<b>22,429</b>	<b>4,366</b>	<b>3,714</b>	<b>3,256</b>	<b>188.2</b>	<b>172.0</b>	<b>145.8</b>	<b>1,176</b>	<b>956</b>	<b>932</b>	<b>83.8</b>	<b>65.8</b>	<b>59.8</b>

\* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP. \*\* N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction; <sup>+</sup> If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

• Between FY 2014 and FY 2016:

- Intake Complaints decreased 10.8%
- Pre-Dispositional Detention Placements decreased 25.4% and ADP decreased 22.5%
- Post-Dispositional Pending Placements decreased 20.7% and ADP decreased 28.7%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

## County/Regional Trends (cont.)

### POST-EJECTION DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), FIRST-TIME PROBATION DISPOSITIONS, AND AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2014-2016<sup>+</sup>

County / Region	Post-Ejection Detention Placements			ADP			First-Time Probation Dispositions*			Average Supervised Caseload**		
	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	61	46	38	7.5	4.4	3.6	551	472	367	932	933	756
Baltimore City	61	46	38	7.5	4.4	3.6	551	472	367	932	933	756
<b>R-II-Central</b>	27	29	10	3.0	2.3	0.7	616	511	478	758	721	625
Baltimore Co.	16	14	9	1.4	1.2	0.6	367	332	285	467	457	406
Carroll	4	3	0	0.4	0.2	0.0	59	40	27	55	50	31
Harford	4	5	1	0.8	0.2	0.1	76	70	90	116	84	83
Howard	3	7	0	0.4	0.6	0.0	114	69	76	121	129	104
<b>R-III-Western</b>	20	11	13	1.4	1.5	0.7	190	205	142	291	266	252
Allegany	5	0	1	0.2	0.1	0.0	38	39	42	57	60	68
Frederick	7	5	5	0.3	0.5	0.2	53	66	56	27	75	78
Garrett	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10	12	6	106	40	36
Washington	8	6	7	0.9	0.9	0.5	89	88	38	100	91	70
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	28	17	11	2.0	1.3	1.0	200	199	216	451	378	345
Caroline	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.1	24	18	11	20	17	20
Cecil	0	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	43	44	49	83	74	80
Dorchester	0	2	3	0.0	0.2	0.4	13	25	29	22	28	33
Kent	1	0	0	0.2	0.0	0.0	5	4	8	19	9	11
Queen Anne's	2	0	0	0.1	0.0	0.0	7	9	10	13	10	11
Somerset	6	0	1	0.3	0.0	0.0	12	9	10	17	21	16
Talbot	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12	7	8	16	9	7
Wicomico	17	13	5	1.3	1.0	0.3	49	40	59	198	147	113
Worcester	1	1	1	0.0	0.1	0.1	35	43	32	63	62	54
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	30	31	28	1.5	3.2	1.8	390	335	322	679	632	555
Anne Arundel	15	17	17	1.0	1.8	1.3	170	152	153	336	321	257
Calvert	5	2	0	0.1	0.3	0.0	38	36	36	79	69	67
Charles	5	4	6	0.4	0.4	0.3	105	85	67	158	148	125
St. Mary's	5	8	5	0.1	0.6	0.3	77	62	66	106	94	106
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	84	50	39	9.1	6.1	2.7	389	293	312	1,090	865	714
Montgomery	27	14	10	2.6	1.4	0.5	106	110	127	306	236	218
Prince George's	57	36	29	6.5	4.7	2.2	283	183	185	784	629	497
<b>Out-of-State***</b>	4	1	4	0.3	0.1	0.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Statewide</b>	254	185	143	24.9	18.9	11.0	2,336	2,015	1,837	4,202	3,793	3,247

\* Includes youth with no prior probation/commitment order who are placed on DJS in-home supervision under a new probation /commitment order. \*\*Includes all probation, aftercare, and VPI cases not in committed placements. \*\*\* N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction. + If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

• Between FY 2014 and FY 2016:

- Post-Ejection Detention Placements decreased 43.7% and ADP decreased 55.8%
- First-Time Probation Dispositions decreased 21.4% and Average Supervised Caseload decreased 22.8%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS, PLACEMENTS, AND ADP BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2014-2016<sup>+</sup>

County / Region	First-Time Committed Dispositions*			Committed Placements			ADP		
	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY14	FY15	FY16
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	189	143	136	286	237	207	164.8	122.3	104.3
Baltimore City	189	143	136	286	237	207	164.8	122.3	104.3
<b>R-II-Central</b>	104	99	97	204	157	151	124.6	87.9	77.7
Baltimore Co.	58	43	47	108	72	79	65.6	41.9	37.3
Carroll	11	20	13	27	33	26	17.5	14.2	14.7
Harford	13	18	17	42	28	26	25.2	17.2	13.9
Howard	22	18	20	27	24	20	16.3	14.6	11.9
<b>R-III-Western</b>	49	53	48	117	116	107	74.0	67.1	46.2
Allegany	11	6	6	25	19	17	19.5	12.1	8.0
Frederick	21	22	21	39	47	42	21.7	26.2	20.1
Garrett	5	1	1	8	7	3	4.9	3.7	0.8
Washington	12	24	20	45	43	45	27.9	25.1	17.3
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	73	64	60	148	101	105	113.6	94.0	68.5
Caroline	2	5	3	7	5	3	2.7	2.6	1.4
Cecil	16	10	6	23	12	10	14.1	11.9	7.9
Dorchester	2	6	9	5	11	15	12.7	8.4	9.8
Kent	2	2	1	6	2	1	3.7	3.2	1.4
Queen Anne's	2	3	3	6	2	3	4.7	1.3	1.1
Somerset	3	2	2	15	7	7	7.5	6.5	2.9
Talbot	2	4	2	3	5	5	7.8	6.5	3.8
Wicomico	32	28	28	69	50	51	52.7	47.5	34.6
Worcester	12	4	6	14	7	10	7.8	6.0	5.6
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	131	98	96	280	217	216	159.5	119.9	105.0
Anne Arundel	69	58	48	155	106	112	102.4	66.9	58.1
Calvert	11	9	9	24	14	11	15.5	8.5	6.6
Charles	30	25	20	54	56	58	24.7	24.7	27.1
St. Mary's	21	6	19	47	41	35	16.9	19.7	13.2
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	260	165	142	432	315	257	239.3	204.5	159.5
Montgomery	43	31	42	118	80	95	56.5	48.7	53.6
Prince George's	217	134	100	314	235	162	182.8	155.9	105.9
<b>Out-of-State**</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	27	17	16	25.5	16.8	12.6
<b>Statewide</b>	806	622	579	1,494	1,160	1,059	901.3	712.5	573.9

\*"Disposition" counts all youth newly committed to the Department, not all of whom end up placed into committed out-of-home placements. Those that are placed are reflected in the "Placements" and "ADP" figures. \*\* N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction. \* If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

• Between FY 2014 and FY 2016:

- First-Time Committed Dispositions decreased 28.2%
- Committed Placements decreased 29.1% and ADP decreased 36.3%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

## Change in Percentage Points for Trends By Region/County of RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION from FY2014-2016

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS CHANGES FROM FY2014-2016, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I - Balt. City	-38.0%	-1,526
R-VI - Metro	-10.7%	-471
R-III - Western	-10.1%	-242
R-II - Central	-8.1%	-527
R-IV - Eastern	0.1%	2
R-V - Southern	1.3%	58

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-39.5%	-47
Baltimore City	-38.0%	-1,526
Prince George's	-35.2%	-948
Carroll	-29.2%	-150
Allegany	-28.0%	-170
Garrett	-27.6%	-60
Queen Anne's	-25.3%	-39
Talbot	-20.1%	-33
Cecil	-20.0%	-87
Worcester	-19.7%	-133
Frederick	-18.6%	-142
Howard	-16.0%	-192
Calvert	-8.2%	-32
Baltimore	-5.6%	-219
Anne Arundel	-4.1%	-118
Caroline	0.7%	2
Harford	4.2%	34
Charles	4.8%	40
Wicomico	5.0%	52
Washington	16.3%	130
Somerset	17.3%	27
Montgomery	28.0%	477
St. Mary's	34.5%	168
Dorchester	96.7%	260

### PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENT CHANGES FROM FY2014-2016, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI - Metro	-34.9%	-370
R-I - Balt. City	-33.1%	-533
R-II - Central	-28.1%	-161
R-V - Southern	-18.5%	-91
R-IV - Eastern	3.9%	13
R-III - Western	6.1%	16

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-83.3%	-5
Queen Anne's	-70.0%	-7
Caroline	-50.0%	-4
Somerset	-50.0%	-14
Prince George's	-41.4%	-349
Harford	-40.8%	-40
Baltimore County	-33.5%	-126
Garrett	-33.3%	-2
Baltimore City	-33.1%	-533
Anne Arundel	-30.7%	-70
Calvert	-23.3%	-10
Allegany	-21.4%	-6
Worcester	-18.0%	-9
Carroll	-10.9%	-5
Montgomery	-9.6%	-21
Charles	-6.1%	-7
St. Mary's	-3.8%	-4
Frederick	-2.2%	-2
Talbot	0.0%	0
Cecil	9.1%	4
Wicomico	13.9%	24
Howard	18.9%	10
Washington	19.0%	26
Dorchester	>100%	24

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

### PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADP CHANGES FROM FY2014-2016, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI - Metro	-38.38%	-23.66
R-I - Balt. City	-30.16%	-15.91
R-II - Central	-12.17%	-2.98
R-III - Western	-7.00%	-1.06
R-IV - Eastern	-1.13%	-0.19
R-V - Southern	-0.97%	-0.16

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Queen Anne's	-60.29%	-0.14
Talbot	-51.89%	-0.20
Prince George's	-49.86%	-25.89
Garrett	-47.69%	-0.17
Kent	-43.41%	-0.16
Carroll	-36.33%	-0.80
Baltimore City	-30.16%	-15.91
Baltimore County	-19.42%	-3.41
Caroline	-18.12%	-0.09
Cecil	-18.04%	-0.63
Anne Arundel	-16.34%	-1.48
Washington	-15.63%	-1.29
Worcester	-13.93%	-0.26
Somerset	-12.99%	-0.13
Charles	-10.38%	-0.38
Frederick	0.27%	0.01
Wicomico	4.55%	0.41
Harford	10.62%	0.32
Calvert	16.81%	0.16
Montgomery	22.95%	2.23
Allegany	29.51%	0.39
Howard	55.10%	0.91
St. Mary's	60.67%	1.54
Dorchester	>100%	1.02

### POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENT CHANGES FROM FY2014-2016, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI - Metro	-36.8%	-133
R-II - Central	-24.6%	-46
R-IV - Eastern	-14.8%	-16
R-I - Balt. City	-12.7%	-31
R-V - Southern	-6.7%	-12
R-III - Western	8.3%	6

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Garrett	-66.7%	-2
Kent	-66.7%	-2
Queen Anne's	-66.7%	-2
Somerset	-64.3%	-9
Prince George's	-46.7%	-128
Worcester	-46.7%	-7
Caroline	-40.0%	-2
Howard	-39.3%	-11
Cecil	-37.5%	-6
St. Mary's	-34.2%	-13
Carroll	-32.0%	-8
Baltimore County	-22.5%	-23
Calvert	-21.4%	-3
Allegany	-14.3%	-2
Baltimore City	-12.7%	-31
Harford	-12.5%	-4
Montgomery	-5.7%	-5
Talbot	0.0%	0
Anne Arundel	0.0%	0
Wicomico	2.0%	1
Charles	9.5%	4
Washington	15.4%	4
Frederick	20.7%	6
Dorchester	>100%	11

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

## Change in Percentage Points for Trends By Region/County of RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION from FY2014-2016 (cont.)

### POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADP CHANGES FROM FY2014-2016, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI - Metro	-53.32%	-16.66
R-I - Balt. City	-26.46%	-6.16
R-IV - Eastern	-25.82%	-1.53
R-II - Central	-19.32%	-2.29
R-III - Western	16.69%	0.53
R-V - Southern	33.79%	2.35

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-96.12%	-0.24
Queen Anne's	-89.65%	-0.16
Garrett	-88.65%	-0.13
Somerset	-88.16%	-0.82
Howard	-64.80%	-1.19
Talbot	-63.63%	-0.05
Prince George's	-57.22%	-13.86
Montgomery	-39.85%	-2.80
Wicomico	-35.28%	-1.11
Harford	-26.60%	-0.74
Baltimore City	-26.46%	-6.16
Carroll	-21.07%	-0.19
Cecil	-19.58%	-0.12
Worcester	-15.04%	-0.08
Washington	-7.99%	-0.11
Allegany	-4.48%	-0.02
Baltimore County	-2.59%	-0.16
Caroline	26.46%	0.05
St. Mary's	28.98%	0.23
Charles	31.13%	0.52
Anne Arundel	33.82%	1.38
Calvert	52.90%	0.22
Frederick	71.49%	0.79
Dorchester	>100%	1.00

### POST-EJECTION DETENTION PLACEMENT CHANGES\* FROM FY2014-2016, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-II - Central	-63.0%	-17
R-IV - Eastern	-60.7%	-17
R-VI - Metro	-53.6%	-45
R-I - Balt. City	-37.7%	-23
R-III - Western	-35.0%	-7
R-V - Southern	-6.7%	-2

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Calvert	-100.0%	-5
Carroll	-100.0%	-4
Howard	-100.0%	-3
Queen Anne's	-100.0%	-2
Kent	-100.0%	-1
Somerset	-83.3%	-5
Allegany	-80.0%	-4
Harford	-75.0%	-3
Wicomico	-70.6%	-12
Montgomery	-63.0%	-17
Prince George's	-49.1%	-28
Baltimore County	-43.8%	-7
Baltimore City	-37.7%	-23
Frederick	-28.6%	-2
Washington	-12.5%	-1
Caroline	0.0%	0
Worcester	0.0%	0
St. Mary's	0.0%	0
Anne Arundel	13.3%	2
Charles	20.0%	1
Garrett	N/A	0
Cecil	N/A	0
Dorchester	N/A	3
Talbot	N/A	0

\* Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0. ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

### POST-EJECTION DETENTION ADP CHANGES\* FROM FY2014-2016, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-II - Central	-75.69%	-2.27
R-VI - Metro	-70.75%	-6.46
R-IV - Eastern	-51.70%	-1.05
R-I - Balt. City	-51.50%	-3.85
R-III - Western	-49.93%	-0.69
R-V - Southern	19.85%	0.31

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Carroll	-100.00%	-0.38
Howard	-100.00%	-0.41
Kent	-100.00%	-0.23
Queen Anne's	-100.00%	-0.12
Calvert	-100.00%	-0.11
Somerset	-89.04%	-0.29
Allegany	-86.75%	-0.13
Harford	-83.08%	-0.65
Montgomery	-80.31%	-2.09
Wicomico	-77.90%	-1.00
Prince George's	-66.93%	-4.36
Baltimore County	-58.32%	-0.83
Frederick	-53.28%	-0.18
Baltimore City	-51.50%	-3.85
Washington	-42.36%	-0.38
Charles	-29.43%	-0.10
Anne Arundel	34.93%	0.34
St. Mary's	>100%	0.18
Caroline	>100%	0.08
Worcester	>100%	0.09
Garrett	N/A	0.00
Cecil	N/A	0.00
Dorchester	N/A	0.43
Talbot	N/A	0.00

### FIRST-TIME PROBATION DISPOSITION CHANGES FROM FY2014-2016, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I - Balt. City	-33.4%	-184
R-III - Western	-25.3%	-48
R-II - Central	-22.4%	-138
R-VI - Metro	-19.8%	-77
R-V - Southern	-17.4%	-68
R-IV - Eastern	8.0%	16

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Washington	-57.3%	-51
Carroll	-54.2%	-32
Caroline	-54.2%	-13
Garrett	-40.0%	-4
Charles	-36.2%	-38
Prince George's	-34.6%	-98
Baltimore City	-33.4%	-184
Howard	-33.3%	-38
Talbot	-33.3%	-4
Baltimore County	-22.3%	-82
Somerset	-16.7%	-2
St. Mary's	-14.3%	-11
Anne Arundel	-10.0%	-17
Worcester	-8.6%	-3
Calvert	-5.3%	-2
Frederick	5.7%	3
Allegany	10.5%	4
Cecil	14.0%	6
Harford	18.4%	14
Montgomery	19.8%	21
Wicomico	20.4%	10
Queen Anne's	42.9%	3
Kent	60.0%	3
Dorchester	>100%	16

\* Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Change in Percentage Points for Trends By Region/County of RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION from FY2014-2016 (cont.)

### AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD CHANGES FROM FY2014-2016, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI - Metro	-34.5%	-375.5
R-IV - Eastern	-23.6%	-106.5
R-I - Balt. City	-18.9%	-176.2
R-V - Southern	-18.2%	-123.9
R-II - Central	-17.6%	-133.5
R-III - Western	-13.3%	-38.5

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Garrett	-66.2%	-70.3
Talbot	-56.9%	-8.9
Carroll	-43.4%	-23.9
Wicomico	-43.0%	-85.1
Kent	-40.9%	-7.7
Prince George's	-36.6%	-287.2
Washington	-30.1%	-30.3
Montgomery	-28.9%	-88.4
Harford	-28.1%	-32.5
Anne Arundel	-23.6%	-79.3
Charles	-20.6%	-32.6
Baltimore City	-18.9%	-176.2
Queen Anne's	-17.1%	-2.2
Calvert	-15.0%	-11.9
Worcester	-14.7%	-9.3
Howard	-13.8%	-16.7
Baltimore County	-13.0%	-60.5
Cecil	-3.9%	-3.2
Somerset	-3.2%	-0.5
Caroline	-1.8%	-0.4
St. Mary's	0.0%	0.0
Allegany	18.9%	10.8
Dorchester	49.6%	10.9
Frederick	>100%	51.3

### FIRST-TIME COMMITTED DISPOSITION CHANGES FROM FY2014-2016, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI - Metro	-45.4%	-118
R-I - Balt. City	-28.0%	-53
R-V - Southern	-26.7%	-35
R-IV - Eastern	-17.8%	-13
R-II - Central	-6.7%	-7
R-III - Western	-2.0%	-1

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Garrett	-80.0%	-4
Cecil	-62.5%	-10
Prince George's	-53.9%	-117
Kent	-50.0%	-1
Worcester	-50.0%	-6
Allegany	-45.5%	-5
Somerset	-33.3%	-1
Charles	-33.3%	-10
Anne Arundel	-30.4%	-21
Baltimore City	-28.0%	-53
Baltimore County	-19.0%	-11
Calvert	-18.2%	-2
Wicomico	-12.5%	-4
St. Mary's	-9.5%	-2
Howard	-9.1%	-2
Montgomery	-2.3%	-1
Frederick	0.0%	0
Talbot	0.0%	0
Carroll	18.2%	2
Harford	30.8%	4
Caroline	50.0%	1
Queen Anne's	50.0%	1
Washington	66.7%	8
Dorchester	>100%	7

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENT CHANGES FROM FY2014-2016, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI - Metro	-40.5%	-175
R-IV - Eastern	-29.1%	-43
R-I - Balt. City	-27.6%	-79
R-II - Central	-26.0%	-53
R-V - Southern	-22.9%	-64
R-III - Western	-8.5%	-10

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-83.3%	-5
Garrett	-62.5%	-5
Caroline	-57.1%	-4
Cecil	-56.5%	-13
Calvert	-54.2%	-13
Somerset	-53.3%	-8
Queen Anne's	-50.0%	-3
Prince George's	-48.4%	-152
Harford	-38.1%	-16
Allegany	-32.0%	-8
Worcester	-28.6%	-4
Anne Arundel	-27.7%	-43
Baltimore City	-27.6%	-79
Baltimore	-26.9%	-29
Wicomico	-26.1%	-18
Howard	-25.9%	-7
St. Mary's	-25.5%	-12
Montgomery	-19.5%	-23
Carroll	-3.7%	-1
Washington	0.0%	0
Charles	7.4%	4
Frederick	7.7%	3
Talbot	66.7%	2
Dorchester	>100%	10

### COMMITTED ADP CHANGES FROM FY2014-2016, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE


Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-IV - Eastern	-39.69%	-45.10
R-II - Central	-37.66%	-46.94
R-III - Western	-37.47%	-27.71
R-I - Balt. City	-36.70%	-60.47
R-V - Southern	-34.17%	-54.51
R-VI - Metro	-33.33%	-79.77

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Garrett	-83.04%	-4.06
Queen Anne's	-77.29%	-3.62
Kent	-63.10%	-2.35
Somerset	-60.78%	-4.53
Allegany	-59.02%	-11.49
Calvert	-57.17%	-8.85
Talbot	-50.81%	-3.96
Caroline	-48.13%	-1.29
Harford	-44.96%	-11.33
Cecil	-43.79%	-6.19
Anne Arundel	-43.28%	-44.33
Baltimore County	-43.20%	-28.34
Prince George's	-42.04%	-76.84
Washington	-37.99%	-10.59
Baltimore City	-36.70%	-60.47
Wicomico	-34.26%	-18.05
Worcester	-28.58%	-2.23
Howard	-27.14%	-4.42
Dorchester	-22.73%	-2.88
St. Mary's	-21.83%	-3.69
Carroll	-16.24%	-2.85
Frederick	-7.23%	-1.57
Montgomery	-5.18%	-2.93
Charles	9.56%	2.36

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.


## Long-Term Trends

### JUVENILE COMPLAINTS FY 2007-2016




- Juvenile complaints decreased 56.1% between FY 2007 and FY 2016 and 4.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

### JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY SEX FY 2007-2016



- Juvenile complaints decreased for both sexes between FY 2007 and FY 2016 and the percent reduction was very similar for males and females (56.4% for males and 55.5% for females).


### JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY RACE FY 2007-2016



- Between FY 2007 and FY 2016, juvenile complaints decreased for all races: Blacks (51.5%), Whites (65.8%), and youth of other races (37.9%).


Data Sources: FY 2006-2012 data from revised ASSIST run in May 2015; FY 2013 data were run in October 2015; FY 2014 to 2016 data were run in September and October 2016.

## DJS CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS FY 2007-2016



- Authorized formal petitions have consistently comprised the highest number of case forwarding decisions. Between FY 2007 and FY 2016, authorized formal petitions decreased 49.9%, informals decreased 66.6%, and the number of cases being resolved or disapproved decreased 57.5%.

## COURT DISPOSITIONS\* OF PETITIONED CASES FY 2007-2016



\* Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

- Probation dispositions have consistently been higher than committed dispositions. Between FY 2007 and FY 2016, probation dispositions decreased 49.7% and committed dispositions decreased 48.5%.

## ALOS FOR PROBATION RELEASES, PRE-D PLACEMENTS AND COMMITTED PLACEMENTS FY 2007-2016


ALOS	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Probation Releases	434.3	430.4	425.4	452.5	427.3	406.0	391.9	387.8	396.8	407.4
Pre-D Placements	16.9	15.2	14.2	14.6	16.0	16.0	14.1	15.8	16.4	16.0
Committed Placements	192.2	193.3	185.8	183.8	203.4	198.9	192.8	216.8	229.2	213.2

- Between FY 2007 and FY 2016, ALOS for probation releases decreased 6.2%, pre-D detention ALOS decreased 5.3%, and committed ALOS increased 10.9%.

Data Sources: FY 2006-2012 data from revised ASSIST run in May 2015; FY 2013 data were run in October 2015; FY 2014 to 2016 data were run in September and October 2016 (except court disposition data which were all run in October 2016).


## Long-Term Trends (cont.)

### DETAINED AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2007-2016




- Between FY 2007 and FY 2016, ADP for the total detained population decreased 39.1%. Pre-Disposition ADP has consistently been higher than Pending Placement ADP and both groups saw a decrease between FY 2007 and FY 2016 (46.8% decrease for Pre-Disposition and 67.3% for Pending Placement). The population of youth detained pending adult criminal charges began being tracked in FY 2014 and ADP increased 96.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016.

### COMMITTED RESIDENTIAL AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2007-2016



- Between FY 2007 and FY 2016, ADP for the total committed population decreased 43.6%. ADP decreased 49.2% for Private In-State facilities and 20.1% for DJS-Operated facilities while ADP for Private Out-of-State facilities decreased 47.6%.

### DJS SUPERVISED YOUTH FY 2007-2016




- Between FY 2007 and FY 2016, total caseload decreased 55.7%, informal cases decreased 53.4%, aftercare cases decreased 53.1%, and probation cases decreased 64.1%

Data Sources: FY 2006-2012 data from revised ASSIST run in May 2015; FY 2013 data were run in October 2015; FY 2014 to 2016 data were run in September and October 2016.



## Section III: Detention



Youth and their parents make ceramics together in the studio at Cheltenham Youth Facility. This creative activity provides a way for youth and their parents to interact using art as a vehicle to bond, build, and connect.





## Introduction to Detention

DJS operates seven detention facilities across the State to provide temporary and secure custody of youth subject to court jurisdiction. Youth may be detained at various points in the juvenile justice system. The juvenile court may order detention for youth pending a court hearing (pre- or post-disposition), pending initial placement in a committed program, or pending placement in a committed program post-ejection. Youth are most commonly detained for new complaints alleging delinquent behavior, violations of alternative to detention (ATD) program conditions, or writs and warrants issued by the court. Note that DJS detention facilities may additionally serve youth pending adult court charges while the transfer of jurisdiction from adult to juvenile court is being considered (see “Youth Detained Pending Adult Criminal Charges” on page 110).

### DETENTION PROCESS

The decision whether to detain a youth is driven primarily by the juvenile court. However, juvenile detention may be authorized by DJS intake officers on a temporary basis at the request of a law enforcement officer or community detention officer. DJS is empowered by statute to detain a youth who either poses a clear risk to themselves or others, or is deemed likely to leave the jurisdiction of the court. This emergent decision is guided by a Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) (see Appendix F) and is subject to court review on the next court day. The DRAI is designed to provide an objective assessment of the likelihood that a youth will recidivate or fail to appear for a court hearing. The instrument yields a risk score based on the following factors: (a) the number and seriousness of pending charges; (b) the youth’s delinquency and supervision history; and (c) the youth’s FTA and escape/AWOL history. Based on the risk score, the DRAI recommends either release, placement in an ATD, or detention.

### DETENTION ADMISSIONS

Each detention facility operates an admissions area 24 hours a day where all youth admitted to detention are processed. An admissions officer interviews youth to collect and verify basic identifying information. The admissions officer also performs a search of the DJS information system, ASSIST, to obtain available information on a youth’s delinquency and placement history. A photograph of each youth is taken and included in the case record for identification

purposes. The rules of the detention facility, including the grievance procedure, are provided to each youth in the facility handbook. Youth are issued clothing to wear in the facility. Personal clothing is catalogued, packaged, and placed in secure storage.

The admissions process includes an initial health screening. It is performed by admissions nursing staff and consists of a review of physical health, mental health, and substance abuse needs. A full medical history and physical examination is conducted by a licensed physician or nurse practitioner within seven days of admission.

### DETENTION CASE MANAGEMENT


Youth who are detained by the juvenile court are assigned a DJS community case manager and a facility case manager. The community case manager is based in the local DJS office within the youth’s home jurisdiction. To complete a Social History Investigation, the community case manager visits the youth in detention, interviews the youth and family, and completes the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Risk Screen and Needs Assessment. The facility case manager acts as a liaison with the court, family, community case manager, and treatment services while the youth is in detention.

## Services Provided in Detention Facilities

### BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES


DJS Behavioral Health Services (BHS) is responsible for establishing and implementing policies governing the delivery of mental health, substance abuse, and evidence-based treatment services to youth under DJS supervision. BHS maintains a staff of licensed, certified counselors and contractors to provide complete assessments and necessary services. More specifically, BHS provides integrated mental health and substance use disorder screening, assessments, and short-term crisis services in DJS detention facilities.

Upon admission to a detention center, each youth is screened by a qualified mental health professional. The screening consists of an interview, the administration of tests as appropriate, and the review



MSDE class at Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School

## JUVENILE DETENTION POPULATION ADP\*, HISTORICAL \*\* AND PROJECTED \*\*\*, FY 2011-2019



\*ADP includes all youth in pre-disposition and post-disposition (pending placement) status and does not include the adult authorized population as that is presented separately at the bottom of this page.

\*\* FY 2016 data for the forecast came from the Daily Population Report which was generated prior to the Data Resource Guide data.


\*\*\* Projected values were generated by an ARIMA model.

### ADP is projected to increase 17.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2019.

of any prior assessments or records (e.g., psychosocial or clinical assessments). If the initial mental health screen reveals mental health concerns, recommendations are made for more in-depth assessments or additional services. Further in-depth assessments are conducted by psychiatrists or licensed psychologists that examine the emotional, adaptive, and cognitive functioning of detained youth with greater mental health issues.

Additionally, certified addictions counselors screen and assess youth in detention and determine appropriate levels of substance abuse treatment needs. This information assists case managers in linking youth with appropriate community and residential services. Addictions counselors work closely with the Department's medical director for those youth requiring medication management as a result of their drug addiction.

## ADULT AUTHORIZED DETENTION POPULATION ADP, HISTORICAL \* AND PROJECTED \*\*, FY 2014-2019



\* FY 2016 data for the forecast came from the Daily Population Report which was generated prior to the Data Resource Guide data. \*\* Projected values were generated by an exponential smoothing model.

### ADP is projected to increase 87.3% between FY 2016 and FY 2019.

## EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

The Maryland State Department of Education's (MSDE) Juvenile Services Education (JSE) program provides educational programming to youth in DJS detention facilities consistent with the requirements of the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), Title 13A. The program includes instruction in Core Content subjects, Career and Technology Education, library/media services, life skills, and special education services. Students are pre-tested upon entry to the JSE program to assess baseline academic skills. Teachers use the results to design learning plans for each student that form the basis of daily instruction. After each 30-day period of enrollment, the student is post-tested to determine academic gains. Students in a JSE program can earn credit toward the Maryland High School Diploma that they may receive upon return to their home high school. Alternately, some students are enrolled in classes designed to prepare them to take their GED examination.

## RECREATIONAL SERVICES

A structured recreation program is available to many youth in detention. The recreation program provides a variety of activities promoting physical and mental health. Youth participate in recreational activities for a minimum of one hour per day (two hours per day of recreational activity is encouraged).

## Pending Placement

### PENDING PLACEMENT (POST-DISPOSITION)

Youth who have been committed to the custody of the Department for residential placement may be placed in detention on a temporary basis by the juvenile court. Youth detained post-disposition are considered to be 'pending placement', as they are waiting to be admitted or placed in one of many possible out-of-home committed treatment programs.

Youth who are pending placement receive the same core services as youth detained prior to disposition, including educational services, screening, evaluation, and treatment for substance abuse, mental health, and somatic health (including dietary and dental).

Youth receive bi-weekly visits from their community case manager. Each facility also allows family visitation during the week or on weekends (the visitation schedule varies by facility).

A review hearing is held in accordance with Md. Code and Judicial Proceedings, 3-8A-15(k) for any youth in detention 25 days after the court has made a disposition. At the hearing, DJS is required to explain the reasons for continued detention. The court will continue to conduct a hearing every 25 days thereafter until a youth has been placed.

During the pending placement period, the community case manager maintains contact with the youth's family. The community case manager is also responsible for reporting to the juvenile court regarding the youth's placement status. In addition to court review, pending placement cases are reviewed by the Department on a weekly basis at facility management meetings. Regional-level meetings are held on a monthly basis to review placement statistics and identify barriers to placement and ways to expedite the process.

#### PENDING PLACEMENT AFTER EJECTION FROM A COMMITTED PROGRAM

Some youth committed to the custody of the Department cannot be safely maintained in their program and must be ejected. Youth ejected

from a committed program who require a new committed placement, and do not require a new court hearing due to a new offense, are often placed in detention.


While detained pending a new placement, the Department's Central Review Committee (CRC) re-evaluates the youth's case, and works to secure acceptance to the most appropriate, alternative program. Youth placed in DJS operated facilities are transferred within 7 days of the CRC decision, provided there is available bed space.

#### A Note to Readers:

*It is strongly recommended that readers review the following distinction as it will assist in understanding data presented in this section:*

**Placements versus Admissions:** A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

## DJS Secure Detention Facilities by Region






## Total Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity: 454 beds


DJS owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility houses a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program. This population does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth waiting for a new committed placement. Data presented here does not include youth detained under adult court jurisdiction (see page 110-111).

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



\*Total excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

### ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2016



### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	78.7%	79.3%	79.8%
White	16.1%	15.6%	14.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.1%	5.1%	5.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	84.6%	83.2%	85.6%
Female	15.4%	16.8%	14.4%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.7%	0.6%	0.2%
12	0.8%	1.7%	1.9%
13	3.6%	4.6%	4.7%
14	10.2%	10.1%	9.6%
15	19.6%	18.7%	18.8%
16	23.8%	25.1%	24.9%
17	25.7%	25.6%	27.9%
18-20	15.6%	13.6%	12.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>4,781</b>	<b>4,054</b>	<b>3,615</b>

#### Source of Placements (FY 2016):

- 98.4% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 47 were from Washington, D.C.; 6 were from Virginia; and 3 were each from Delaware and Pennsylvania.

#### Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 24.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 10.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 7.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 1.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 25.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 12.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D releases decreased 18.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 2.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Ejection releases decreased 45.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 27.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 1.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 2.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 22.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 3.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 26.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 18.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2016:

- Crimes of Violence increased from 28.7% to 31.7%
- Felonies increased from 17.4% to 17.9%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 50.6% to 47.4%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 24.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 13.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

## PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2016\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.8%	2.5%	4.2%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.7%	7.2%	5.6%
Carjacking	0.7%	1.4%	2.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	4.7%	4.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	1.4%	2.1%
Disturbing the Peace	3.7%	3.4%	2.1%
First Degree Assault-Felony	8.2%	2.9%	4.2%
Handgun Violation	1.9%	2.8%	0.7%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.4%	3.9%	3.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	2.3%	2.8%
Murder	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	6.9%	1.6%	2.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.9%	5.4%	2.1%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.7%	2.8%	2.8%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%	1.3%	4.2%
Robbery	12.6%	11.9%	16.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	16.7%	20.4%	21.0%
Sex Offense	1.5%	2.7%	1.4%
Theft Felony	2.0%	2.3%	1.4%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.2%	13.9%	11.2%
Trespassing	1.5%	0.9%	0.7%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.1%	2.8%	4.9%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>12.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	1.1%	0.7%
- Property	8.8%	5.1%	5.6%
- Drugs	6.9%	1.6%	2.1%
- Unspecified	0.6%	4.4%	4.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>47.4%</b>	<b>62.9%</b>	<b>60.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	26.9%	32.8%	34.3%
- Property	16.7%	21.7%	18.2%
- Drugs	2.5%	4.7%	2.1%
- Unspecified	1.2%	3.7%	5.6%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant/Hold</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>3,256</i>	<i>789</i>	<i>143</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2014-2016



## PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2014-2016\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	# of Releases	ALOS
FY 2016	Pre-D	82.2%	17.8%	3,277	16.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	776	22.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	143	30.1
FY 2015	Pre-D	80.3%	19.7%	3,734	16.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	760	23.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	197	36.8
FY 2014	Pre-D	74.8%	25.2%	4,419	15.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	953	29.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	261	40.9

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.


ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Female Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity for Females: 64 beds


DJS operates three detention facilities that serve girls: Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center (co-ed), Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center (co-ed), and Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center. Some youth are detained awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and other youth are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth who are now waiting for a new committed placement.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



\*Total excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

### ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2016



### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	73.4%	75.8%	76.2%
White	21.4%	19.4%	19.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.2%	4.8%	4.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.8%	2.6%	1.3%
13	7.1%	6.6%	7.1%
14	11.7%	12.6%	8.5%
15	20.8%	20.4%	18.8%
16	26.4%	21.1%	27.9%
17	20.4%	25.0%	24.8%
18-20	12.3%	11.6%	11.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>734</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>520</b>

#### Source of Placements (FY 2016):

- 98.7% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 4 were from Washington, D.C.; 2 were from Virginia; and 1 was from Pennsylvania.

#### Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 29.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 23.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 6.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 3.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 30.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 21.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D releases decreased 8.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 23.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Ejection releases decreased 64.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 47.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 0.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 10.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D ALOS increased 10.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 22.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- ALOS for ejections increased 40.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 21.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2016:

- Crimes of Violence increased from 14.6% to 20.1%
- Felonies increased from 9.2% to 9.5%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 72.0% to 66.0%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 19.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 6.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

## PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2016\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.8%	1.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.1%	1.7%	12.5%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.0%	6.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	3.3%	6.3%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	3.3%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	8.5%	5.8%	6.3%
First Degree Assault-Felony	7.6%	3.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.8%	5.0%	6.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	3.3%	6.3%
Murder	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.5%	7.4%	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	7.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.3%	3.3%	0.0%
Robbery	6.6%	4.1%	12.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	27.1%	28.9%	12.5%
Sex Offense	0.6%	1.7%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.7%	0.8%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.9%	22.3%	18.8%
Trespassing	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.6%	2.5%	12.5%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	6.1%	0.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	1.7%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>66.0%</b>	<b>86.8%</b>	<b>75.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	41.4%	47.1%	25.0%
- Property	22.8%	28.1%	31.3%
- Drugs	1.1%	7.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	4.1%	18.8%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant/Hold</i>	<i>3.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<b>Total Offenses by Admission</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>16</b>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2014-2016



## PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2014-2016\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	# of Releases	ALOS
FY 2016	Pre-D	77.0%	23.0%	480	14.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	114	28.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	18	46.0
FY 2015	Pre-D	80.2%	19.8%	609	13.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	149	23.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	34	37.9
FY 2014	Pre-D	70.9%	29.1%	692	14.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	125	25.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	51	32.8


\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Under Age 13 Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


Youth under 13 who are detained by the court represent very few of the youth detained by DJS. Rather than placing these youth in a detention facility, DJS most frequently uses an alternative to detention (ATD) with placement at home or in a shelter. However, if a youth must be in a detention center they are housed with youth closest in age and size. If deemed highly vulnerable they may be placed in the infirmary, with one-on-one staff supervision or sent to a smaller facility with a lower population. Wherever placed, youth under 13 receive all the same services as other detained youth.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



\*Total excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

### ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2016



### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	86.3%	87.1%	83.8%
White	13.7%	10.7%	8.1%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	2.1%	8.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	87.7%	80.6%	90.5%
Female	12.3%	19.3%	9.5%
<b>Age*</b>			
8 and under	0	0	0
9	1	0	0
10	8	4	2
11	25	21	4
12	39	68	68
Error/Missing	0	0	0
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>74</b>

\*Due to the small number of youth in each age category, actual numbers are presented instead of percentages.

#### Source of Placements (FY 2016):

- 98.7% of placements were from regions within Maryland. One youth was from Washington, D.C.

#### Placement Trends:

- Total placements increased 1.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 20.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 1.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 3.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases increased 31.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 1.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D releases increased 11.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 25.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- There were no Ejection releases.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 1.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 2.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D ALOS increased 5.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 8.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- There were no Ejection releases.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2016:

- Crimes of Violence increased from 35.5% to 52.8%
- Felonies decreased from 7.5% to 5.6%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 51.6% to 38.9%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 13.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 5.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- There is no capacity specifically for the Under 13 age group.



## PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2016\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	0.0%	100.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	19.4%	22.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	1.4%	11.1%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	15.3%	11.1%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	18.1%	33.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	5.6%	11.1%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>52.8%</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>38.9%</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	23.6%	33.3%	100.0%
- Property	13.9%	11.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant/Hold</i>	<i>1.4%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>1</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2014-2016



## PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2014-2016\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	# of Releases	ALOS
FY 2016	Pre-D	86.1%	13.9%	93	12.6
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	10	29.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	0	N/A
FY 2015	Pre-D	89.2%	10.8%	94	12.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	8	27.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	0	N/A
FY 2014	Pre-D	87.1%	12.9%	71	12.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	9	27.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	0	N/A

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Youth Detained Pending Adult Criminal Charges

Youth age 17 and under alleged to have committed a criminal act excluded from juvenile court jurisdiction may also be held in pre-trial adult detention facilities. MD law provides an avenue for the adult court to order a youth to be held in a juvenile detention facility if he or she is eligible for transfer of jurisdiction (Md. Code, Criminal Procedure, §4-202). These youth may be held in juvenile detention pending a transfer hearing to determine if jurisdiction should remain in the adult court or be transferred to the juvenile court. Data in this section reflects youth held in DJS detention facilities for the adult court pending a transfer hearing, and information on youth whose complaints were transferred (who may or may not have been detained during the report year.)

Starting in July 2013, DJS entered into agreements with localities to utilize the current law more consistently resulting in higher numbers of such youth held in DJS facilities. In October 2015, legislation went into effect (SB172/ HB618) that requires eligible youth requiring detention to be held in DJS facilities pending transfer determination, unless the court specifically rules otherwise.

### PLACEMENTS FOR FY 2015-2016 AND ADP, ALOS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016 (LEFT SIDE). CASES TRANSFERRED TO THE JUVENILE COURT WITH COURT DISPOSITIONS BY REGION OF JURISDICTION, FY 2016 (RIGHT SIDE).

Region/County	Placements		FY 2016		FY 2016 Complaints			
	FY 2015	FY 2016	ADP	ALOS	Transferred to Juvenile Court	Committed	Probation	Dismissed/ Other
<b>R-I- Balt. City</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>43.9</b>	<b>108.5</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>51.7%</b>	<b>32.2%</b>	<b>16.1%</b>
Baltimore City	116	156	43.9	108.5	118	51.7%	32.2%	16.1%
<b>R-II- Central</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>9.6</b>	<b>83.1</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>51.1%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>
Baltimore Co.	15	34	7.9	85.1	37	24.3%	56.8%	18.9%
Carroll	0	0	0.0	0.0	3	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%
Harford	1	1	0.1	45.7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Howard	1	6	1.6	76.7	5	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%
<b>R-III- Western</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>73.2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Allegany	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Frederick	3	6	2.2	68.1	6	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%
Garrett	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Washington	4	7	1.3	77.3	3	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
<b>R-IV- Eastern</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>55.4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
Caroline	1	0	0.5	254.6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cecil	1	1	0.1	30.8	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Dorchester	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kent	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Queen Anne's	0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Somerset	0	2	0.6	101.8	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Talbot	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wicomico	1	8	0.5	22.0	3	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%
Worcester	0	0	0.0	0.0	5	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%
<b>R-V- Southern</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>63.0</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>39.4%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>
Anne Arundel	3	5	1.0	69.8	22	54.5%	31.8%	13.6%
Calvert	1	3	0.7	80.4	3	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
Charles	3	2	0.0	0.7	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%
St. Mary's	0	1	0.1	38.9	3	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%
<b>R-VI- Metro</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>42.4</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>
Montgomery	21	27	3.8	37.8	27	40.7%	25.9%	33.3%
Prince George's	14	49	7.2	46.4	52	50.0%	26.9%	23.1%
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>72.4</b>	<b>81.7</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>52</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016

Most Serious Alleged Juvenile Offense of Transferred Cases	FY 2016
Arson	0.7%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	2.0%
Carjacking	1.7%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	2.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	32.9%
Handgun Violation	19.0%
Kidnapping	1.4%
Manslaughter	0.3%
Murder	6.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.4%
Reckless Endangerment	0.7%
Robbery	19.7%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	3.7%
Sex Offense	3.7%
Theft Felony	1.4%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.3%
Unspecified Felony	1.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.3%
<b>Total Transferred Cases</b>	<b>295</b>

## OFFENSE CATEGORY FY 2016

<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>75.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.3%
- Property	1.7%
- Drugs	1.4%
- Unspecified	2.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>18.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	16.9%
- Property	0.3%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%
<b>Total Transferred Cases</b>	<b>295</b>

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

*Note: The population data for youth detained by the adult court presented here are not included in the data presented in the rest of the Detention section, which only includes youth detained under jurisdiction of the juvenile court. The offense data shown here reflects the resulting juvenile court offense for those cases that were transferred down from adult court jurisdiction, and does not reflect the original adult charge.*

## YOUTH DETAINED UNDER ADULT JURISDICTION DEMOGRAPHICS BY DETENTION FACILITY, FY 2016

Demographics	Alfred D. Noyes Children Center	Balt. City Juvenile Justice Center	Charles H. Hickey Jr. School	Cheltenham Youth Facility	Lower East Shore Children's Center	Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center	Western Maryland Children's Center	Statewide
<b>Race</b>								
Black	65.4%	93.4%	76.3%	87.5%	73.3%	76.5%	66.7%	85.5%
White	0.0%	1.8%	13.6%	1.6%	13.3%	11.8%	5.6%	4.7%
Hispanic/ Other	34.6%	4.8%	10.2%	10.9%	13.3%	11.8%	27.8%	9.7%
<b>Sex</b>								
Male	84.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	73.3%	0.0%	100.0%	92.5%
Female	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.7%	100.0%	0.0%	7.5%
<b>Age</b>								
Under 11	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.3%
15	7.7%	3.6%	3.4%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
16	38.5%	37.7%	45.8%	32.8%	40.0%	41.2%	33.3%	38.7%
17	50.0%	54.5%	39.0%	56.3%	40.0%	58.8%	50.0%	53.8%
18-20	3.8%	3.6%	11.9%	9.4%	13.3%	0.0%	11.1%	5.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>318*</b>
<b>ADP</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>40.5</b>	<b>10.9</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>72.4</b>
<b>ALOS</b>	<b>30.1</b>	<b>92.2</b>	<b>67.1</b>	<b>49.7</b>	<b>43.2</b>	<b>68.2</b>	<b>58.2</b>	<b>81.7</b>

\* Statewide admissions exclude transfers

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center

Superintendent: Antonia Reason

Rated Capacity: 41 Males and 16 Females

Region VI: Metro


9925 Blackwell Road

Rockville, MD 20850

301-315-1610


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth waiting for a new committed placement. Noyes was closed for renovations between July 15, 2015 - December 2, 2015 which impacted admission trends.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2014-2016



\*Total excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2016



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	73.1%	71.7%	70.8%
White	13.6%	12.8%	10.9%
Hispanic/Other	13.2%	15.5%	18.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	56.3%	64.0%	68.1%
Female	43.7%	36.0%	31.9%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.2%	2.2%	1.2%
13	5.1%	6.4%	4.7%
14	12.6%	11.1%	8.9%
15	17.9%	16.3%	18.3%
16	24.5%	26.8%	24.9%
17	21.3%	25.9%	32.7%
18-20	18.3%	11.3%	9.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>406</b>	<b>257</b>

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2016):

- 96.5% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 8 were from Washington, D.C. and 1 was from Virginia.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 45.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 36.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 9.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 4.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 50.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 42.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D releases decreased 41.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 18.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Ejection releases decreased 78.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 75.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 10.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 8.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 26.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 17.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 51.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 52.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2016:

- Crimes of Violence increased from 28.3% to 34.3%
- Felonies decreased from 10.6% to 8.9%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 58.0% to 50.7%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 57.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 54.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2016\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.3%	4.5%	9.1%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	9.9%	3.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.4%	6.1%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.1%	6.1%	18.2%
First Degree Assault-Felony	8.0%	4.5%	9.1%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	1.5%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	3.0%	9.1%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	5.6%	9.1%	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	5.2%	1.5%	9.1%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%	4.5%	0.0%
Robbery	16.9%	15.2%	9.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	15.5%	18.2%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.4%	1.5%	9.1%
Theft Felony	4.2%	4.5%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.5%	10.6%	0.0%
Trespassing	5.6%	1.5%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>34.3%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>27.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
- Property	7.5%	9.1%	9.1%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	4.5%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>50.7%</b>	<b>59.1%</b>	<b>63.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	27.7%	36.4%	36.4%
- Property	21.1%	15.2%	9.1%
- Drugs	1.4%	6.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	1.5%	18.2%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant/Hold</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<b>Total Offenses by Admission</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>11</b>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2014-2016



## ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2014-2016\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	# of Releases	ALOS
FY 2016	Pre-D	71.8%	28.2%	208	16.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	65	16.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	8	15.5
FY 2015	Pre-D	67.2%	32.8%	360	17.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	80	19.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	33	32.3
FY 2014	Pre-D	62.1%	37.9%	421	18.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	111	22.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	37	32.0

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center

Superintendent: Jeremy Smith

Rated Capacity: 120 Males

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth waiting for a new committed placement.


Region I: Baltimore City

300 North Gay Street

Baltimore, MD 21202


443-263-8976

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2014-2016



\*Total excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2016



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	96.1%	94.9%	95.5%
White	3.2%	4.5%	3.5%
Hispanic/Other	0.7%	0.5%	1.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.6%	1.5%	0.1%
12	1.4%	1.7%	1.7%
13	2.5%	4.8%	4.4%
14	10.1%	10.7%	9.6%
15	16.6%	20.3%	19.7%
16	25.1%	24.4%	25.5%
17	28.5%	26.6%	30.3%
18-20	14.2%	10.0%	8.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>1,540</b>	<b>1,284</b>	<b>1,092</b>

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2016):

- 99.9% of placements were from regions within Maryland. One youth was from Virginia.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 29.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 15.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI decreased 3.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 0.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 30.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 17.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D releases decreased 9.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 4.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Ejection releases decreased 33.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 11.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 6.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 5.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 16.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 18.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 32.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 2.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2016:

- Crimes of Violence decreased from 33.5% to 32.6%
- Felonies increased from 27.6% to 33.6%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 37.6% to 32.5%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 28.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 15.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2016\*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	11.8%	5.0%	7.1%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	9.4%	6.9%	2.4%
Carjacking	1.5%	4.4%	2.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	4.4%	2.4%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	0.0%	2.4%
Disturbing the Peace	1.5%	0.6%	2.4%
First Degree Assault-Felony	7.9%	3.8%	11.9%
Handgun Violation	3.5%	5.7%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.5%	1.3%	2.4%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	17.4%	5.7%	4.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.5%	5.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	3.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%	1.3%	0.0%
Robbery	15.8%	19.5%	26.2%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	8.4%	12.6%	19.0%
Sex Offense	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.0%	13.8%	7.1%
Trespassing	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.6%	1.9%	7.1%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>32.6%</b>	<b>36.5%</b>	<b>40.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>33.6%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.7%	0.0%	2.4%
- Property	12.7%	6.3%	7.1%
- Drugs	17.4%	5.7%	4.8%
- Unspecified	0.7%	4.4%	2.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>32.5%</b>	<b>45.9%</b>	<b>40.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	14.6%	19.5%	23.8%
- Property	14.0%	18.9%	9.5%
- Drugs	3.2%	5.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	2.5%	7.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant/Hold</i>	<i>0.1%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<b>Total Offenses by Admission</b>	<b>992</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>42</b>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

<sup>1</sup> Includes Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016



\* Includes Out-of-State youth

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2014-2016



## ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2014-2016\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	# of Releases	ALOS
FY 2016	Pre-D	88.1%	11.9%	1,002	11.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	155	24.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	45	26.1
FY 2015	Pre-D	88.9%	11.1%	1,210	12.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	148	20.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	51	25.6
FY 2014	Pre-D	92.0%	8.0%	1,439	10.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	172	29.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	68	38.9

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School

Superintendent: Antoinette McLeod

Rated Capacity: 72 Males

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth waiting for a new committed placement.


Region II: Central

9700 Old Harford Road

Parkville, MD 21234


410-663-7601

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2014-2016



\*Total excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2016



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	66.9%	66.1%	70.7%
White	29.8%	29.9%	22.8%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	4.0%	6.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%
12	0.6%	0.4%	2.5%
13	4.1%	4.0%	2.5%
14	9.4%	8.4%	9.9%
15	19.6%	18.1%	21.8%
16	24.6%	28.1%	22.5%
17	27.2%	28.6%	29.3%
18-20	14.1%	12.1%	11.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>628</b>

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2016):

- 98.9% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 5 were from Washington, D.C., and 1 was each from Delaware and Virginia.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 13.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 6.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 20.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 3.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 19.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 6.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D releases increased 0.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 32.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Ejection releases decreased 51.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 63.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 14.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 21.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D ALOS increased 5.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 7.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 13.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 8.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2016:

- Crimes of Violence increased from 32.3% to 37.8%
- Felonies decreased from 17.1% to 14.4%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 48.1% to 44.7%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 4.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 4.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2016\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.7%	2.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	16.1%	11.0%	7.7%
Carjacking	0.2%	2.2%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	3.9%	7.7%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%	0.6%	7.7%
Disturbing the Peace	3.0%	1.7%	7.7%
First Degree Assault-Felony	8.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	3.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.3%	4.4%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Murder	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.6%	1.1%	7.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	4.1%	6.6%	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	3.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	12.9%	11.6%	7.7%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	16.4%	18.2%	23.1%
Sex Offense	1.9%	3.3%	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.3%	3.9%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.9%	13.8%	23.1%
Trespassing	0.9%	1.7%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.1%	2.8%	7.7%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>37.8%</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.2%	1.7%	0.0%
- Property	9.0%	6.6%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.6%	1.1%	7.7%
- Unspecified	0.6%	3.3%	7.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>60.2%</b>	<b>76.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	24.7%	29.3%	38.5%
- Property	17.0%	22.1%	30.8%
- Drugs	1.7%	5.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.3%	3.9%	7.7%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant/Hold</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<b>Total Offenses by Admission</b>	<b>535</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>13</b>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2014-2016



## ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2014-2016\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	# of Releases	ALOS
FY 2016	Pre-D	83.9%	16.1%	539	20.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	176	20.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	16	25.0
FY 2015	Pre-D	80.1%	19.9%	574	16.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	133	22.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	44	27.4
FY 2014	Pre-D	63.5%	36.5%	668	17.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	175	19.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	33	28.9

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Cheltenham Youth Facility

Superintendent: Dexter Dunbar

Rated Capacity: 115 Males

Region VI: Metro

11003 Frank Tippet Road


Cheltenham, MD 20623

301-782-2400

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth waiting for a new committed placement.


As of November 2016, the capacity was changed to 72-beds and the facility name was changed to Cheltenham Youth Detention Center.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2014-2016



\*Total excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2016



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	78.8%	78.3%	77.7%
White	12.5%	13.5%	14.1%
Hispanic/Other	8.7%	8.2%	8.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%
12	0.2%	0.8%	1.1%
13	2.2%	2.3%	3.2%
14	8.4%	6.3%	8.4%
15	20.6%	15.4%	16.3%
16	21.8%	28.4%	24.9%
17	26.8%	25.8%	28.0%
18-20	20.0%	20.9%	17.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>1,226</b>	<b>993</b>	<b>907</b>

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2016):

- 96.3% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 32 were from Washington, D.C. and 1 was each from Pennsylvania and Virginia.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 26.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 8.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 8.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 5.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 26.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 9.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D releases decreased 27.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 12.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Ejection releases decreased 34.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 32.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 8.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 10.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 10.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 5.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 25.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 22.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2016:

- Crimes of Violence increased from 30.7% to 38.1%
- Felonies decreased from 13.0% to 10.3%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 51.4% to 48.7%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 36.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 22.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.



## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2016\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	4.3%	1.9%	4.3%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	11.6%	7.4%	6.5%
Carjacking	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	7.9%	6.5%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	1.4%	2.2%
Disturbing the Peace	2.2%	1.9%	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	8.7%	3.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.5%	2.3%	2.2%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.8%	3.3%	2.2%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.8%	2.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	4.1%	4.2%	6.5%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	5.1%	4.7%	2.2%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	0.9%	8.7%
Robbery	15.1%	16.3%	15.2%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	18.2%	18.6%	23.9%
Sex Offense	2.4%	4.2%	2.2%
Theft Felony	2.5%	1.9%	2.2%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.0%	9.8%	13.0%
Trespassing	2.2%	1.4%	2.2%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.2%	4.2%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>38.1%</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>23.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%
- Property	6.8%	3.7%	6.5%
- Drugs	2.2%	0.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	8.4%	6.5%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>48.7%</b>	<b>57.7%</b>	<b>63.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	28.0%	28.4%	37.0%
- Property	15.6%	20.5%	19.6%
- Drugs	2.9%	3.7%	6.5%
- Unspecified	2.3%	5.1%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant/Hold</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<b>Total Offenses by Admission</b>	<b>790</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>46</b>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2014-2016



## ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2014-2016\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	# of Releases	ALOS
FY 2016	Pre-D	79.2%	20.8%	801	16.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	221	20.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	46	25.9
FY 2015	Pre-D	73.4%	26.6%	881	18.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	251	22.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	68	33.3
FY 2014	Pre-D	71.2%	28.8%	1,086	18.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	304	23.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	70	34.7

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center

Superintendent: Derrick Witherspoon

Rated Capacity: 18 Males and 6 Females

Region IV: Eastern Shore


405 Naylor Mill Road

Salisbury, MD 21801

443-523-1526


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth waiting for a new committed placement.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2014-2016



\*Total excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2016



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	62.5%	73.7%	77.2%
White	30.7%	22.6%	19.2%
Hispanic/Other	6.8%	3.7%	3.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	77.6%	82.3%	82.1%
Female	22.4%	17.7%	17.9%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.1%	0.9%	0.3%
12	1.1%	2.9%	3.0%
13	5.7%	6.6%	6.0%
14	13.6%	10.3%	12.5%
15	24.7%	18.6%	14.6%
16	19.3%	24.3%	25.7%
17	20.2%	21.4%	28.7%
18-20	14.2%	15.1%	9.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>369</b>

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2016):

- 98.9% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 2 were from Washington, D.C. and 2 were from Delaware.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions increased 4.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 5.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 29.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 7.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases increased 8.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 2.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D releases decreased 1.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 9.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Ejection releases decreased 37.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 17.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 8.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 13.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 17.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 3.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- ALOS for ejections increased 20.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 3.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2016:

- Crimes of Violence decreased from 26.1% to 24.1%
- Felonies increased from 11.7% to 12.9%
- Misdemeanors increased from 57.0% to 59.6%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 5.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 5.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2016\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	2.5%	4.1%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	13.5%	6.8%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.7%	6.8%	4.8%
First Degree Assault-Felony	6.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	1.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.7%	5.4%	4.8%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.9%	1.4%	4.8%
Murder	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	5.3%	6.8%	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	7.5%	4.1%	9.5%
Resisting Arrest	3.1%	2.7%	4.8%
Robbery	6.6%	8.1%	14.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	23.8%	27.0%	33.3%
Sex Offense	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.2%	8.1%	4.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.0%	12.2%	19.0%
Trespassing	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	5.0%	12.2%	4.8%
- Drugs	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	1.4%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>59.6%</b>	<b>73.0%</b>	<b>81.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	36.1%	43.2%	57.1%
- Property	19.1%	21.6%	23.8%
- Drugs	4.1%	6.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant/Hold</i>	<i>1.9%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<b>Total Offenses by Admission</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>21</b>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2014-2016



## ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2014-2016\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	# of Releases	ALOS
FY 2016	Pre-D	89.0%	11.0%	322	13.6
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	72	14.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	20	22.7
FY 2015	Pre-D	81.8%	18.2%	315	15.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	66	14.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	17	23.6
FY 2014	Pre-D	59.3%	40.7%	296	14.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	73	17.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	32	18.9

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center

Superintendent: Lisa Steeple

Rated Capacity: 42 Females

Region V: Southern


375 Red Clay Road, S.W.

Laurel, MD 20724

301-362-6160


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth waiting for a new committed placement.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2014-2016



\*Total excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2016



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	78.8%	78.7%	77.1%
White	18.3%	17.5%	18.6%
Hispanic/Other	2.9%	3.7%	4.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.6%	2.4%	1.7%
13	7.0%	5.3%	6.4%
14	11.9%	12.6%	8.3%
15	21.4%	22.0%	18.3%
16	26.9%	21.5%	28.8%
17	21.6%	24.6%	24.0%
18-20	10.1%	11.6%	12.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>513</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>420</b>

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2016):

- 98.6% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 3 were from Washington, D.C., 2 were from Virginia, and 1 was from Pennsylvania.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 18.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 17.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 1.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 5.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 20.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 15.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D releases decreased 3.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 33.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Ejection releases decreased 40.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 45.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.

- ALOS for Pre-D increased 15.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 22.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D ALOS increased 26.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 14.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- ALOS for ejections increased 4.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 9.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2016:

- Crimes of Violence increased from 15.7% to 21.3%
- Felonies increased from 9.9% to 10.1%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 70.4% to 64.6%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 1.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 9.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2016\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.1%	1.9%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.1%	1.9%	18.2%
Carjacking	0.3%	0.0%	9.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	3.9%	9.1%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	3.9%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.4%	5.8%	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	7.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%	4.9%	9.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	3.9%	9.1%
Murder	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.8%	4.9%	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.6%	1.0%	0.0%
Robbery	7.2%	4.9%	9.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	26.6%	31.1%	18.2%
Sex Offense	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.5%	23.3%	18.2%
Trespassing	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.8%	2.9%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>27.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	1.9%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>64.6%</b>	<b>86.4%</b>	<b>72.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	39.1%	47.6%	27.3%
- Property	23.9%	29.1%	36.4%
- Drugs	0.8%	4.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	4.9%	9.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant/Hold</i>	<i>2.9%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<b>Total Offenses by Admission</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>11</b>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2014-2016



## ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2014-2016\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	# of Releases	ALOS
FY 2016	Pre-D	76.6%	23.4%	380	14.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	100	27.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	12	36.7
FY 2015	Pre-D	82.1%	17.9%	450	12.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	75	23.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	22	33.4
FY 2014	Pre-D	75.1%	24.9%	479	12.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	104	21.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	20	35.0

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.



## Western Maryland Children's Center

Superintendent: Mark Bishop

Rated Capacity: 24 Males

Region III: Western


18420 Roxbury Road

Hagerstown, MD 21740

301-745-6071


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth waiting for a new committed placement.

### ADMISSIONS, FY 2014-2016



\*Total excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection.

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2016



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	53.8%	64.4%	64.8%
White	42.0%	30.1%	28.5%
Hispanic/Other	4.1%	5.5%	6.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	98.4%	96.5%	95.9%
Female*	1.6%	3.5%	4.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	3.5%	1.4%	0.0%
12	3.2%	6.9%	1.6%
13	4.1%	10.4%	7.3%
14	11.5%	13.8%	11.4%
15	20.7%	21.1%	19.2%
16	21.6%	18.0%	25.2%
17	23.2%	18.3%	23.3%
18-20	12.1%	10.0%	11.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>369</b>

\*Although the facility is for males only, females have been on rare occasions temporarily admitted in emergencies.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2016):

- 99.5% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was each from Washington, D.C. and Pennsylvania.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions increased 17.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 27.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 7.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 4.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases increased 8.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 10.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D releases decreased 8.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 28.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Ejection releases increased 18.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 100% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 19.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 13.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Post-D ALOS increased 23.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 6.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- ALOS for ejections decreased 64.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 57.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2015-2016:

- Crimes of Violence increased from 21.0% to 24.7%
- Felonies increased from 12.1% to 13.0%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 62.3% to 58.2%

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 8.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 8.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

## ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2016\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	4.3%	3.9%	6.7%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.7%	2.6%	4.4%
Carjacking	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	2.6%	6.7%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	2.6%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.7%	10.4%	2.2%
First Degree Assault-Felony	13.0%	3.9%	2.2%
Handgun Violation	2.0%	2.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.0%	2.6%	8.9%
Manslaughter	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.0%	1.3%	2.2%
Murder	1.3%	2.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	6.0%	1.3%	4.4%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	7.4%	5.2%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.0%	1.3%	4.4%
Robbery	3.0%	0.0%	11.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	19.7%	33.8%	24.4%
Sex Offense	0.3%	2.6%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.7%	3.9%	4.4%
Theft Misdemeanor	5.4%	13.0%	13.3%
Trespassing	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.7%	1.3%	4.4%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>24.7%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>17.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>17.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.3%	2.6%	0.0%
- Property	7.0%	9.1%	11.1%
- Drugs	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.0%	1.3%	6.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>58.2%</b>	<b>74.0%</b>	<b>64.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	38.8%	53.2%	33.3%
- Property	14.7%	16.9%	22.2%
- Drugs	3.0%	1.3%	4.4%
- Unspecified	1.7%	2.6%	4.4%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant/Hold</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<b>Total Offenses by Admission</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>45</b>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

## ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2014-2016



## ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2014-2016\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	# of Releases	ALOS
FY 2016	Pre-D	73.6%	26.4%	296	15.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	72	13.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	44	6.4
FY 2015	Pre-D	78.3%	21.7%	267	17.6
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	56	14.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	22	15.1
FY 2014	Pre-D	66.4%	33.6%	274	18.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	79	10.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	37	17.8

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

## Alternatives to Detention (ATD)

DJS has a variety of programs designed to safely supervise youth in the community as an alternative to detention. ATDs include Community Detention (CD) - with or without electronic/GPS monitoring (EM), and Day & Evening Reporting Centers (D/ERC). In addition, DJS collaborates with private providers to utilize additional alternative programs. These programs include the Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Transition Center (PACT) and the Detention Reduction Advocacy Program (DRAP) for Baltimore City youth, as well as Hearts and Homes for Youth, Inc. which operates a D/ERC in Montgomery County. Shelter beds may also serve as an ATD in some circumstances when release-eligible youth are unable to return home. ATD programs are used either by DJS intake staff for medium risk youth presented for detention (placements must be reviewed by the juvenile court the next court day) or by the juvenile court directly. These alternatives allow youth to continue with school or work and maintain community ties, support systems, or alternative care. Youth on ATD supervision are expected to appear for scheduled hearings and not have any new arrests.

### ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM TYPE AND REGION, FY 2016

Region of Residence	ADM	ADP	ALOS*
<b>R-I - Baltimore City</b>	<b>1,828</b>	<b>124.8</b>	<b>24.4</b>
CD	375	13.6	13.4
CD/EM	800	61.2	27.5
D/ERC	166	12.1	26.6
DRAP	48	9.8	79.2
PACT	69	13.1	61.1
Shelter Care	370	15.1	13.5
<b>R-II - Central</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>39.1</b>	<b>30.7</b>
CD	69	4.4	23.2
CD/EM	372	33.5	33.6
Shelter Care	28	1.1	10.8
<b>R-III - Western</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>20.5</b>	<b>26.9</b>
CD	12	0.6	15.9
CD/EM	229	17.2	27.3
Shelter Care	42	2.7	27.2
<b>R-IV - Eastern</b>	<b>292</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>34.1</b>
CD	47	3.0	24.8
CD/EM	224	23.8	37.3
Shelter Care (Seasonal)	21	1.2	20.3
<b>R-V - Southern</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>37.8</b>	<b>31.9</b>
CD	43	2.6	21.7
CD/EM	386	34.4	33.6
Shelter Care	18	0.8	16.2
<b>R-VI - Metro</b>	<b>659</b>	<b>74.0</b>	<b>40.2</b>
CD	80	4.9	21.6
CD/EM	425	50.7	43.2
D/ERC	95	14.4	52.7
Shelter Care	59	4.0	22.9
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>36.7</b>
<b>Total CD</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>29.1</b>	<b>17.0</b>
<b>Total CD/EM</b>	<b>2,484</b>	<b>228.3</b>	<b>33.5</b>
<b>Total D/ERC</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>26.5</b>	<b>35.6</b>
<b>Total DRAP</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>79.2</b>
<b>Total PACT</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>13.1</b>	<b>61.1</b>
<b>Total Shelter Care</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>15.2</b>

\*ALOS is measured in days; totals include out-of-state youth (see Appendix M for breakdown)

- *Region of Residence (FY 2016):*
  - Over half (54.9%) of ATD program youth came from Baltimore City and Metro Regions combined.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) FY 2014-FY 2016:*
  - CD/EM decreased from 35.4 days to 33.5 days
  - D/ERC increased from 32.4 days to 35.6 days
  - DRAP increased from 56.6 days to 79.2 days
  - PACT increased from 43.6 days to 61.1 days
  - Shelter Care remained relatively stable (15.0 days to 15.2 days)
- *Average Daily Population (ADP) FY 2014-FY 2016:*
  - CD/EM decreased 34.2%
  - D/ERC decreased 25.1%
  - DRAP increased 30.7%
  - PACT decreased 37.0%
  - Shelter Care decreased 27.5%
- *ATD Program Youth:*
  - The total number of ATD youth decreased 28.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and 15.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
  - Since FY 2014, the typical ATD program youth has consistently been black, 17 years old, and male.
- *Most Common Offense Type (FY 2016):*
  - CD and CD/EM: Robbery (16.4%)
  - D/ERC: Robbery (17.6%)
  - DRAP: Robbery (31.3%)
  - PACT: Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use (21.7%)
  - Shelter Care: Second Degree Assault (17.9%)
- *See Appendix M for specific program names and locations as well as Admissions, ADP, and ALOS data by Program Type and County for FY 2016.*

### ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2016\*


Most Serious Alleged Offense	CD & CD/EM**	D/ERC	DRAP	PACT	Shelter Care
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	7.3%	7.3%	8.3%	21.7%	12.2%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	10.7%	13.4%	4.2%	2.9%	8.1%
Carjacking	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.5%
Disturbing the Peace	3.0%	2.3%	0.0%	2.9%	3.5%
First Degree Assault-Felony	6.3%	8.0%	12.5%	2.9%	2.8%
Handgun Violation	2.3%	3.4%	2.1%	2.9%	0.2%
Malicious Destruction	2.7%	1.5%	4.2%	1.4%	3.4%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.8%	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%	1.4%
Murder	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	8.2%	13.0%	10.4%	20.3%	8.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.9%	4.2%	2.1%	8.7%	5.8%
Other <sup>1</sup>	3.6%	3.1%	0.0%	1.4%	8.1%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%	1.1%
Robbery	16.4%	17.6%	31.3%	20.3%	9.9%
Second Degree Assault-Misd	15.3%	10.7%	4.2%	8.7%	17.9%
Sex Offense	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
Theft	9.3%	8.0%	14.6%	2.9%	10.8%
Trespassing	1.2%	1.9%	0.0%	1.4%	1.9%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdem.	1.2%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>2,890</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>565</b>

\* A single admission may involve multiple offenses.

<sup>1</sup>“Other” includes BB/pellet gun, bomb threat, escape, false alarm, forgery, fraud, gambling, harassment, loitering, obstructing justice, poisoning, reckless endangerment, status offenses, tampering, verbal threat, and violation of local ordinance

\*\* Admissions counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including both CD alone and CD with EM.

### YOUTH COUNT BY REGION OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2016



\* Includes CD/EM, D/ERC, DRAP, PACT, and Shelter Care

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

### ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016\*

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Alleged Offense)	CD & CD/EM**	D/ERC	DRAP	PACT	Shelter Care
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>33.8%</b>	<b>39.5%</b>	<b>43.8%</b>	<b>24.6%</b>	<b>19.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>23.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.8%	2.1%	0.0%	1.1%
- Property	9.3%	8.8%	8.3%	21.7%	14.0%
- Drugs	8.2%	13.0%	10.4%	20.3%	8.3%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>43.9%</b>	<b>34.1%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>31.9%</b>	<b>51.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	25.3%	16.9%	10.4%	15.9%	25.8%
- Property	14.5%	12.3%	20.8%	7.2%	19.6%
- Drugs	2.8%	2.7%	2.1%	8.7%	5.5%
- Unspecified	1.3%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
Interstate/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>2,890</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>565</b>

\* A single admission may involve multiple offenses.

<sup>+</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

\*\* Admission counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including both CD alone and CD with EM.

### YOUTH COUNT BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	74.2%	75.3%	77.8%
White	20.9%	19.6%	16.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.9%	5.1%	5.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	82.1%	82.4%	84.8%
Female	17.9%	17.6%	15.2%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.9%	0.6%	0.7%
12	1.4%	1.4%	2.4%
13	4.6%	5.1%	5.7%
14	11.3%	10.9%	10.4%
15	19.6%	19.4%	20.0%
16	23.4%	24.8%	24.5%
17	27.4%	27.2%	27.5%
18-20	11.4%	10.4%	8.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Youth<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>3,196</b>	<b>2,731</b>	<b>2,297</b>

\* Includes CD/EM, D/ERC, DRAP, PACT, and Shelter Care

<sup>1</sup> Total represents a count of youth served, since juveniles may be served by multiple ATD programs simultaneously.





## Section IV: Committed Programs



Seven department facilities took part in the 3rd Annual Mountain Madness event held at Meadow Mountain Youth Center. Mountain Madness is an obstacle course that tests the youths' endurance and agility.






## Introduction to Committed Programs

The DJS Division of Operations is responsible for selecting the most appropriate out-of-home placement for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS by the juvenile court. Program placements vary based on the treatment services provided as well as by security level. The full range of DJS placement options include: (a) Foster Care Homes (traditional and treatment foster care); (b) Group Homes (general service and therapeutic); (c) Independent Living Programs/Alternative Living Units (often used as a step-down from a more restrictive program placement); (d) Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) and non-Medicaid Residential Treatment Facilities (out-of-state); (e) Intermediate Care Centers for Addictions (ICFAs); (f) Behavioral Programs, e.g. DJS-operated Youth Centers (including the Green Ridge Mountain Quest program) (g) out-of-state programs in a staff secure setting; and (h) hard-ware secure treatment programs, both DJS-operated and privately contracted out-of-state.

DJS has established three levels of residential program placements based largely on the level of program restrictiveness (see Figure below). Level I includes all programs where youth reside in a community setting and attend community schools. Level II includes programs where educational programming is provided on-grounds and youth movement and freedom is restricted primarily by staff monitoring and supervising. Level III programs provide the highest level of security by augmenting staff supervision with physical attributes of the facility, i.e., locks, bars and fences.

### PLACEMENT PROCESS

The DJS placement process is designed to select the most appropriate program and treatment services for committed youth. The process



### CAPACITY, ADP, AND SECURITY TYPE FOR STATE-OPERATED COMMITTED FACILITIES, FY 2016

Facility	Rated Capacity	ADP	Security Type
Backbone Mountain YC	48	28.6	Staff
Green Ridge Mountain Quest	10	7.3	Staff
Green Ridge YC	30	20.6	Staff
J. DeWeese Carter Center	14	7.8	Hardware
Meadow Mountain YC	40	28.5	Staff
Savage Mountain YC	24	17.5	Staff
Victor Cullen Center	48	30.6	Hardware
Wm. Donald Schaefer House	19 <sup>+</sup>	9.3	Staff
<i>Total</i>	<i>233</i>	<i>150.2*</i>	<i>N/A</i>

\*William Donald Schaefer House was officially closed as of May 26, 2016.

\*Averages may not add to totals due to rounding.

The utilization rate for state-operated facilities was 64.5% in FY 2016.

begins with a comprehensive assessment of each youth. A “staffing” meeting is then held to bring together key personnel responsible for resource and treatment service planning. Principal participants include the youth’s case manager, case manager supervisor, and resource coordinators. Parents and/or guardians are also invited to participate.

At the staffing meeting, all pertinent information collected as part of the assessment is reviewed, including the current offense(s), delinquency history, social history, MCASP recommended supervision level, MCASP assessment of treatment needs, educational records, clinical assessments, and the involvement of any other state agency. The staffing meeting culminates with recommendations for program participation and/or treatment services tailored to the circumstances of each youth. DJS then refers the youth’s case to the recommended program(s) for consideration.

**Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST):** MAST is a specialized diagnostic team responsible for assessing youth who are detained pending court disposition and are at risk of placement. Implemented in December 2013, the MAST team includes a psychologist, social worker, substance abuse counselor, community case manager, detention facility case manager supervisor, resource specialist, and a Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) representative. Youth who require more specialized assessments (e.g., a neurological, psycho-sexual, or medical assessment) are referred for evaluation and the results are presented during MAST. Following this in-depth review, the team submits a report for the juvenile court to consider at disposition, including security and treatment recommendations.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



**In recognition of National Crime Victims Awareness Week, Savage Mountain Youth Center partnered with the Family Crisis Resource Center, Inc. (FCRC) in Cumberland. Youth worked in pairs to create blankets for children entering shelter care at FCRC.**

DJS contracts with an array of programs, both private in-state as well as out-of-state vendors, to provide services to committed youth. Programs may either accept or reject an applicant based on program eligibility criteria and capacity. Program services are authorized by DJS prior to the youth's placement in the program. A Certificate of Placement (COP) database is used to manage the referral and placement of youth with private providers. The database facilitates the placement process, for example, by automatically pulling staffing information from the DJS information system (ASSIST), creating program referral letters, and tracking acceptances and rejections from potential programs.

**Central Review Committee (CRC):** The initial committed program placement may not be successful for a number of reasons. Some youth may run away from their placement or are ejected due to misbehavior. Additionally, the behavioral, emotional, and/or medical needs of youth may also change. To handle these cases, DJS established the CRC, chaired by the Director of Behavioral Health. The CRC conducts weekly case reviews of youth at-risk of removal from a committed residential placement; directs changes in the provision of services; and makes placement transfer recommendations. Continuum of Care legislation effective in July 2012 facilitated the work of the CRC by authorizing DJS to transfer youth directly from one facility or program to another (of equal or higher security level) without first requesting the court to modify the commitment order (SB 245). Note that the Continuum of Care legislation expired as of June 30, 2016; however, the CRC continues to meet and manage ejection cases.

## SERVICES PROVIDED IN DJS COMMITTED PROGRAMS

Youth being under the care of a committed program are provided a multitude of services including behavioral health, somatic health, and educational services.

## BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

DJS Behavioral Health Services (BHS) provides integrated mental health treatment in DJS, committed facilities. Services include: suicide prevention; crisis intervention and stabilization; medication evaluation and monitoring; brief individual, group, and family therapy; and crisis counseling. As members of the facility team, BHS staff and contractors provide support, technical assistance, and educational services to the other members of the team on topics related to mental health concerns and medication.


Each facility, with the exception of J. DeWeese Carter Center and Victor Cullen, has a Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment component certified by the Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH), Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ) under the authority of the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration (ADAA). In addition, all DJS committed facilities offer Seven Challenges substance abuse treatment, an evidence-based program implemented in 2009. Seven Challenges adopts a health decision-making model, encouraging youth to make a well-informed health decision by weighing the costs (e.g., physical, psychological, and social harm) and benefits of drug use.

Substance abuse treatment services provided by each facility are shown below:

- Backbone Mountain Youth Center: Early intervention, outpatient, and intensive outpatient levels of treatment (Certified)
- Green Ridge Youth Center: Early intervention, outpatient, and intensive outpatient levels of treatment (Certified)
- J. DeWeese Carter Center: Early intervention and outpatient levels of treatment (Not Certified)
- Meadow Mountain Youth Center: Intensive outpatient level of treatment (Certified)
- Savage Mountain Youth Center: Early intervention and outpatient levels of treatment (Certified)
- Victor Cullen Center: Early intervention, outpatient, and intensive outpatient levels of treatment (Not Certified)
- William Donald Schaefer House: Long-term (4 months), residential, medium intensity program (Certified)

**CHALLENGE Program:** Implemented in all committed, state-operated facilities (see page 131) since 2012, CHALLENGE is a behavioral management program intended to facilitate order and security within the facility and promote an environment characterized

### COMMITTED POPULATION ADP\*, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED\*\*, FY 2011-2019



\*ADP includes all state-operated programs as well as in- and out-of-state private committed programs.

\*\* Projected values were generated by an ARIMA model.

**ADP is projected to decrease 16.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2019.**

by respect and fairness. The program is grounded in the principles of positive reinforcement and modeling and is designed to encourage youth to accept responsibility for their behavior and learn problem-solving and leadership skills. Facility staff are trained to teach and model problem-solving and social skills.

### SOMATIC HEALTH

The Somatic Health Services Unit provides comprehensive, quality health care and nutritional services to youth at DJS residential facilities. Its mission is to protect, promote, and advance the health of all youth in the care of the Department.

Medical, dental, optometry, and dietary services are provided in an inter-disciplinary fashion that is developmentally appropriate for youth. These services are delivered in accordance with standards set forth by the National Commission on Correctional Health Care, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Centers for Disease Control, and the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academy of Science.

Youth admitted to DJS residential facilities require on-going, routine preventative health care similar to other children their age. In some cases, youth may have unmet or chronic health care needs upon admission, or may develop an acute health condition that needs to be addressed. DJS ensures that each program has licensed nurses, physicians, dietitians, dental providers, and laboratory and pharmacy services to meet the health care needs of the youth. For specialty, surgical, emergency, or in-patient care that may be required, youth are transported to community providers as needed.

Each youth must have a current nursing assessment, history and physical examination, vision screening, and dental screening upon or soon after admission. Youth are also screened for tuberculosis,

anemia, and sexually transmitted infections. Girls are provided comprehensive gynecological care either on-site or in the community in order to meet individual needs.

Vaccine records are requested on all youth, and DJS makes every effort to fully immunize youth who are not up to date on recommended and required vaccinations. DJS participates in the Federal Vaccine for Children's Program.



**Youth participating in the 3rd Annual Mountain Madness event. This fun event provided the youth with healthy competition and the opportunity to show off their racing skills.**



Youth are seen at regularly scheduled clinic times and as needed for “sick call” requests. In addition, they are seen at least monthly by nursing staff to check on their overall health status and weight.

## EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

Educational services are provided to youth by the Maryland State Department of Education, Juvenile Services Education System (MSDE JSES). JSES provides youth residing in all of the department’s residential facilities with the ability to earn a high school diploma via the earning of credits or passage of the GED. In addition to academics, the educational programs provide opportunities for each youth to develop career and technology skills that will further assist them when they transition back to the community.

The MSDE JSES curriculum is currently based on the Maryland State College and Career Readiness Standards (CCRS). The curriculum includes grade level instruction in English, Social Studies, Mathematics, and Science. Middle school coursework and remediation for high school students is also offered in reading, written language, and math as appropriate.

Youth who have a current Individualized Education Program (IEP) are provided with the required services listed in their IEP. Additionally, eligible youth participate in mandated Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) state assessments.

## DJS YOUTH CENTERS - CAREER & TECHNOLOGY AND THE GARRETT COLLEGE PROGRAMS

The DJS Youth Centers offer on-site Career and Technology programming to all participants. The two primary goals of this programming are high school completion and job skill development. Youth may be trained in the traditional building trades, such as carpentry, as well as in aquaculture, an innovative green employment opportunity in Maryland. Certificates are awarded for successful completion of the program, signifying employment competency. Aquaculture is offered at Meadow Mountain Youth Center, and a 60-hour carpentry program is offered at the Green Ridge and Backbone Mountain Youth Centers. In addition, all youth have opportunities to participate in community projects that employ their acquired skills.

The Backbone Mountain Youth Center also offers an innovative program that provides youth with the unique opportunity to be simultaneously enrolled in high school classes and introductory

college courses through Garrett College of Maryland. Youth are screened through a referral, record review, and interview process for acceptance into the program. The Garrett College initiative has been operational since September 2006.

## CLASSIFICATION

All DJS-operated facilities utilize the Housing Classification Assessment, an objective internal classification system to assess a youth’s potential vulnerability and supervision needs (see Appendix H). The results of the classification assessment are used to guide appropriate housing decisions and room assignments. The classification assessment is implemented for all youth upon admission to the facility, and allows for reclassification in response to circumstances or special needs that may require modification of housing or room assignment.

## RE-ENTRY PROCESS

DJS recognizes the importance of effectively transitioning youth from placement back to the community. Youth who are released from commitment face a number of challenges in returning to daily life such as re-enrolling in school or accessing needed somatic or behavioral health services. DJS has implemented focused efforts to assist with this transition.



**The Governor and First Lady during a visit to Backbone Mountain Youth Center.**

The re-entry process is now led by a Director of Re-Entry and a team of regional re-entry specialists who oversee each youth's return to the community. A re-entry staffing, similar to MAST, is held 45 days prior to release during which the youth's housing plan, educational/occupational needs, ongoing behavioral/somatic health service requirements, and family relationships are reviewed.


After the youth has been in the community for 30 days, DJS follows up to assure that all needed services have been accessed, successfully re-enrolled in their local public school, and that the youth has remained in stable and suitable housing.

#### A Note to Readers:

*It is strongly recommended that readers review the following distinction as it will assist in understanding data presented in this section:*

**Placement versus Admission:** A placement is based on a decision made by the Department or a judge to place a youth into a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

## DJS State-Operated Committed Programs by Region




## Statewide Total

At disposition, the juvenile court may commit a youth to the care of DJS. Legal custody of the youth is thereby transferred to the Department. A range of out-of-home program options (or placements) have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operated seven committed facilities in Maryland during FY 2016 including William Donald Schaefer House which closed in July 2016. DJS also contracts with other facilities both in-state and out-of-state.

*Note: Though Community-Based Family Therapy Programs are presented within this section (pages 172-173), these data are not included on the statewide tables as these programs are not solely for committed youth. Data on the statewide pages only include youth in committed placements.*

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	70.5%	70.3%	72.7%
White	24.3%	23.3%	20.8%
Hispanic/Other	5.2%	6.5%	6.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	83.4%	82.9%	84.2%
Female	16.6%	17.1%	15.8%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
12	0.7%	1.2%	0.9%
13	3.1%	3.4%	4.6%
14	9.6%	7.0%	9.3%
15	19.9%	16.9%	16.8%
16	26.8%	27.9%	27.0%
17	26.6%	29.2%	29.4%
18-20	13.2%	14.0%	11.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>1,494</b>	<b>1,160</b>	<b>1,059</b>

- **Trends for Committed Placements:**
  - Committed placements decreased 29.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 8.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2016
- **First-Time Placements (FY 2016):**
  - Of the 1,059 committed placements 38.9% were first time placements.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 98.5% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 8 were from Washington D.C., 5 were from Virginia, 2 were from Delaware, and 1 was from Pennsylvania.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Second Degree Assault (21.3%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 19.6% to 21.3%
  - Felonies increased from 11.5% to 12.7%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 67.8% to 64.8%
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population (FY 2015-2016):**
  - ADP for all committed placements decreased 19.5% from 712.5 to 573.9.
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2015-2016):**
  - The average LOS for all committed placements decreased 5.1% from 224.7 to 213.2.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	19.1%	20.4%	19.5%
Reg-II - Central	13.7%	13.5%	14.3%
Reg-III - Western	7.8%	10.0%	10.1%
Reg-IV - Eastern	9.9%	8.7%	9.9%
Reg-V - Southern	18.7%	18.7%	20.4%
Reg-VI - Metro	28.9%	27.2%	24.3%
Out-of-State	1.8%	1.5%	1.5%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>1,494</b>	<b>1,160</b>	<b>1,059</b>

## COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.5%
Carjacking	1.2%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.3%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%
Disturbing the Peace	3.6%
First Degree Assault-Felony	3.1%
Handgun Violation	2.3%
Malicious Destruction	4.4%
Manslaughter	0.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%
Murder	0.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	4.4%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	3.3%
Resisting Arrest	2.0%
Robbery	10.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	21.3%
Sex Offense	3.4%
Theft Felony	2.2%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.0%
Trespassing	0.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.2%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>21.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.6%
- Property	5.1%
- Drugs	1.8%
- Unspecified	4.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>64.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	34.7%
- Property	22.1%
- Drugs	3.9%
- Unspecified	4.1%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.9%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>1,059</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS\* FOR FY 2014-2016, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2016

	Placements			FY2016	
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	117	74	59	45.5	292.3
Group Home	397	309	237	137.1	191.8
Indep. Living	35	15	20	12.5	304.2
ICFA	163	117	84	11.2	50.0
RTC	287	241	224	111.0	154.2
<b>State Operated</b>	<b>572</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>150.2</b>	<b>125.0</b>
Staff Secure	438	323	394	111.8	122.0
Hardware Sec.	134	98	99	38.4	133.9
Silver Oak	82	94	53	49.8	287.0
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>56.6</b>	<b>345.2</b>
RTC	23	10	6	6.2	341.8
Staff Secure	50	50	25	30.4	302.4
Hardware Sec.	36	30	20	20.0	327.1
<b>Total*</b>	<b>1,494</b>	<b>1,160</b>	<b>1,059</b>	<b>573.9</b>	<b>213.2</b>

\* Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category (For example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care).

\* Statewide total placement counts excludes transfers within and between program/program types, therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016




\* Juveniles transferred to another program

## Statewide Females Only

Female youth represent approximately 16% of the population of youth committed to the care of the Department. Generally, girls are less likely than boys to be committed by the court for the commission of a felony offense and are more likely to report physical abuse, sexual abuse, and higher levels of family dysfunction. With the exception of staff secure behavioral facilities such as the DJS-operated Youth Centers, the same continuum of placement options is available to both girls and boys. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), and the J. DeWeese Carter Center, a hardware secure, DJS-operated facility for girls. Out-of-state contracted programs are also available; however, girls are most likely to be placed in a group home or RTC in-state.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	59.7%	66.2%	67.9%
White	33.9%	27.8%	28.0%
Hispanic/Other	6.5%	6.1%	3.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.8%	1.5%	1.2%
13	5.6%	6.1%	8.4%
14	10.9%	7.6%	6.0%
15	22.2%	15.7%	17.4%
16	25.8%	26.3%	28.1%
17	24.6%	29.3%	29.9%
18-20	9.7%	13.6%	9.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>167</b>

#### • Trends for Committed Placements:

- Committed placements decreased 32.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 15.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2016

#### • First-Time Placements (FY 2016):

- Of the 167 committed placements 31.1% were first time placements.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2016):

- 99.4% of placements were from regions within Maryland. There was one placement from Virginia.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2016):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Second Degree Assault (31.7%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):

- Crimes of Violence decreased from 10.7% to 10.2%
- Felonies decreased from 5.6% to 3.0%
- Misdemeanors increased from 83.2% to 86.2%
- See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population (FY 2015-2016):

- ADP for all committed placements decreased 26.5% from 126.7 to 93.1.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2015-2016):

- The average LOS for all committed placements decreased 0.9% from 218.1 to 216.1.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	12.5%	19.2%	15.0%
Reg-II - Central	16.5%	12.6%	13.8%
Reg-III - Western	10.1%	12.6%	13.2%
Reg-IV - Eastern	12.1%	10.6%	9.0%
Reg-V - Southern	28.2%	24.7%	29.9%
Reg-VI - Metro	19.0%	19.7%	18.6%
Out-of-State	1.6%	0.5%	0.6%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>167</b>



## COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	1.2%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	3.0%
Carjacking	0.6%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.6%
Deadly Weapon	2.4%
Disturbing the Peace	7.8%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.4%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	5.4%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.8%
Resisting Arrest	4.8%
Robbery	4.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	31.7%
Sex Offense	2.4%
Theft Felony	0.6%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.8%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>10.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.6%
- Property	0.6%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>86.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	53.9%
- Property	22.2%
- Drugs	5.4%
- Unspecified	4.8%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.6%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>167</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## FEMALE COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS<sup>+</sup> FOR FY 2014-2016, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2016

	Placements			FY2016	
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	45	22	17	17.1	275.5
Group Home	106	79	60	26.4	164.0
Indep. Living	8	6	6	4.4	380.1
ICFA	39	32	25	3.0	52.6
RTC	83	70	61	30.5	136.8
<b>State Operated</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>127.8</b>
Hardware Sec.	23	20	17	7.8	127.8
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>479.7</b>
RTC	6	1	2	0.9	544.9
Staff Secure	2	4	1	1.8	231.7
Hardware Sec.	0	3	1	1.2	332.4
<b>Total*</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>93.1</b>	<b>216.1</b>

<sup>+</sup> Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category (For example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care).

\*Total placement count excludes transfers within and between program and/or program types; therefore may not add up to the total program type provided in this table.

## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016




\* Juveniles transferred to another program

## Statewide Under Age 13 Only

Youth who are under 13 and committed to the care of DJS may be placed in a range of residential facilities. State-owned and operated committed facilities are designed for youth ages 13 years and older. Because of the needs of youth under age 13, they are most frequently placed in treatment foster care, group homes, therapeutic group homes, diagnostic units, or Residential Treatment Centers (RTC). Wherever placed, youth under age 13 receive all the same services that other committed youth receive.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	46.2%	72.2%	72.7%
White	53.8%	27.8%	9.1%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	76.9%	83.3%	81.8%
Female	23.1%	16.7%	18.2%
<b>Age*</b>			
8 and under	0	0	0
9	0	0	0
10	0	1	0
11	3	3	1
12	10	14	10
Error/Missing	0	0	0
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>11</b>

\*Due to the small number of youth in each age category, actual numbers are presented instead of percentages.

- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
  - Committed placements decreased 15.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 38.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2016
- *First-Time Placements (FY 2016):*
  - Of the 11 committed placements, 63.6% were first-time placements.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2016):*
  - 100% of placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2016):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Second Degree Assault (36.4%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):*
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 16.7% to 36.4%
  - Felonies decreased from 16.7% to 9.1%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 66.7% to 54.5%
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population (FY 2015-2016):*
  - ADP for all committed placements decreased 40.0% from 14.5 to 8.7.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015-2016):*
  - The average LOS for all committed placements increased 12.8% from 374.7 to 422.5.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	15.4%	38.9%	27.3%
Reg-II - Central	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Reg-III - Western	38.5%	16.7%	0.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	30.8%	16.7%	27.3%
Reg-V - Southern	0.0%	27.8%	36.4%
Reg-VI - Metro	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>11</b>

## COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	9.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	18.2%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	9.1%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	9.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	36.4%
Sex Offense	9.1%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>36.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	9.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>54.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	45.5%
- Property	9.1%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>11</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

## UNDER 13 COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS<sup>+</sup> FOR FY 2014- 2016, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2016\*


	Placements			FY2016	
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	4	3	1	1.5	316.1
Group Home	4	3	4	2.6	189.6
Indep. Living	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
ICFA	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
RTC	6	13	9	4.6	159.9
<b>State Operated</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Staff Secure	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Hardware Sec.	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Silver Oak	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
RTC	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Staff Secure	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Hardware Sec.	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Total**</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>422.5</b>

<sup>+</sup> Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category (For example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care).

\* ADP and ALOS reflect youth who were released in FY 2016 and were 13 years of age at the time of admission.

\*\* Total placement count excludes transfers within and between program and/or program types; therefore may not add up to the total program type provided in this table.

## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

## Foster Care

Traditional Foster Care is continuous 24-hour care with supportive services provided to a youth in a DJS-approved family home. The foster family serves low-risk youth who cannot be managed in their own homes. Youth served may be experiencing behavioral problems and need a respite from family/neighborhood issues. Foster Care also serves youth who need long-term placement, primarily because it may not be appropriate for youth to return to their home. Treatment Foster Care (TFC) is continuous 24-hour care with intensive support services operated by a licensed child placement agency or local Department of Social Services in a family setting for children with serious emotional, behavioral, medical, and/or psychological conditions. The behaviors of the youth served are not so severe that removal from the community is necessary.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2016

Treatment Foster Care	County	Adm.	ADP
Arrow Child & Fam. Ministries	Balt. Co.	5	5.4
Board of Child Care <sup>+</sup>	Balt. Co.	0	0.2
Children's Home <sup>1</sup>	Balt. Co.	0	0.0
Greenleaf	Mont.	1	1.9
Hearts & Homes - Family Ties	Mont.	1	1.9
Ment. MD-Balt Teens in Trans	Balt. Co.	14	6.0
Ment. MD - Lanham	Balt. Co.	2	0.8
Ment. MD - Salisbury Teens	Wicomico	17	17.1
Multi-Dimen. Com. Solutions <sup>+</sup>	Balt. Co.	0	0.1
New Pathways	Balt. Co.	1	0.1
Pressley Ridge	Allegany	10	5.6
PSI Services III	Balt. Co.	1	0.3
San Mar	Washington	1	2.0
Woodbourne Center	Balt. City	2	2.2
<b>Traditional Foster Care</b>			
Individual Families	N/A	6	1.8
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>45.5</b>

<sup>+</sup>Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2016, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

<sup>1</sup>Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2016, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.



Staff member at Pressley Ridge playing a game with a youth.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, overall placements to foster care decreased 49.6%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2016, Mentor Maryland - Salisbury Teens had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 100% of placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Second Degree Assault (16.9%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 2.8% to 11.9%
  - Felonies increased from 8.3% to 13.6%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 87.5% to 71.2%
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 47.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 39.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 24.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 4.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	28.2%	18.9%	32.2%
Reg-II - Central	10.3%	13.5%	8.5%
Reg-III - Western	21.4%	13.5%	16.9%
Reg-IV - Eastern	18.8%	24.3%	23.7%
Reg-V - Southern	7.7%	20.3%	8.5%
Reg-VI - Metro	8.5%	6.8%	10.2%
Out-of-State	5.1%	2.7%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>59</b>

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	3.4%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.8%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	11.9%
First Degree Assault-Felony	1.7%
Handgun Violation	1.7%
Malicious Destruction	5.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.4%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	5.1%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	6.8%
Resisting Arrest	1.7%
Robbery	5.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	16.9%
Sex Offense	6.8%
Theft Felony	1.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.6%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.8%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>11.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>13.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	3.4%
- Property	3.4%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	6.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	40.7%
- Property	20.3%
- Drugs	3.4%
- Unspecified	6.8%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>3.4%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>59</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	71.8%	73.0%	72.9%
White	25.6%	23.0%	18.6%
Hispanic/Other	2.6%	4.1%	8.5%
Sex			
Male	61.5%	70.3%	71.2%
Female	38.5%	29.7%	28.8%
Age			
11 and under	0.9%	1.4%	0.0%
12	2.6%	2.7%	1.7%
13	3.4%	5.4%	6.8%
14	10.3%	5.4%	10.2%
15	6.0%	10.8%	13.6%
16	17.1%	17.6%	8.5%
17	23.9%	28.4%	22.0%
18-20	35.9%	28.4%	37.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>59</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Group Homes

General group homes are non-profit residential programs for youth in out-of-home placement licensed by DHR, DJS, or the Mental Health Administration (MHA), which is part of DHMH. They provide out-of-home care for four or more youth who are moderate- to high-risk, and need more structure and supervision than a relative, foster parent, or treatment foster parent could offer. General group homes also provide a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services. Therapeutic group homes (TGH) are residential programs for youth in out-of-home care that are licensed by MHA and must be non-profit organizations. TGHs provide access to a range of diagnostic and therapeutic mental health services to youth who are moderate to high-risk and have an emotional or developmental disability. Those TGHs that provide educational programs on their grounds are considered to be staff secure programs.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2016

Group Homes	County	Adm.	ADP
ARC of Washington Co.	Washington	9	4.5
Board of Child Care <sup>+</sup>	Balt. Co.	0	1.0
Catocin Summit Adol. Prog	Frederick	16	6.4
Cedar Ridge	Washington	14	9.4
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	Balt. Co.	11	4.5
Greentree Adolescent	Mont.	1	2.2
Hearts and Homes	Mont/Pr. G	21	11.9
MAGIC	Balt. Co.	3	1.1
Morning Star Youth Academy	Dorchester	32	11.8
Oak Hill House	Washington	8	8.0
One Love	Balt. City	10	4.1
Our House	Mont.	18	8.3
Salem Trust	Garrett	16	9.5
San Mar - Jone Bowman	Washington	1	0.8
Shining Tree	Washington	10	8.2
St. Ann's Infant & Maternity Pr.	Pr. George's	3	2.7
<b>Therapeutic Group Homes</b>			
Board of Child Care	Charl/Calv	15	13.7
Cedar Ridge	Washington	18	11.3
Mary's Mount Manor*	An. Arund	20	6.8
Redl House*	Mont.	13	7.4
San Mar - Allegany Girls	Allegany	6	3.5
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>137.1</b>

<sup>+</sup>Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2016, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

\* Operated by Hearts and Homes

#### • Trends for Program Placements:

- Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, overall placements to group homes decreased 40.3%.

#### • Program Admissions:

- In FY 2016, Morning Star Youth Academy had the highest number of admissions.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2016):

- 97.9% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 3 were from Washington D.C., 1 was from New York and 1 was from Virginia.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2016):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Second Degree Assault (24.9%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):

- Crimes of Violence decreased from 16.6% to 14.3%
- Felonies decreased from 13.4% to 13.1%
- Misdemeanors increased from 69.7% to 70.9%
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 43.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 23.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS increased 0.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 1.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.



A new dormitory being finished at Our House

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	16.6%	23.6%	19.4%
Reg-II - Central	13.9%	12.3%	16.5%
Reg-III - Western	8.1%	10.0%	16.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	10.3%	10.4%	10.1%
Reg-V - Southern	21.2%	14.9%	15.2%
Reg-VI - Metro	27.2%	27.5%	20.7%
Out-of-State	2.8%	1.3%	2.1%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>237</b>

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.2%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.6%
Deadly Weapon	0.4%
Disturbing the Peace	5.1%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.4%
Handgun Violation	1.3%
Malicious Destruction	3.4%
Manslaughter	0.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.0%
Murder	0.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	4.2%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	6.8%
Resisting Arrest	1.7%
Robbery	5.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	24.9%
Sex Offense	5.5%
Theft Felony	1.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.0%
Trespassing	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.4%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>13.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	3.4%
- Property	2.5%
- Drugs	2.1%
- Unspecified	5.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>70.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	39.7%
- Property	22.4%
- Drugs	4.2%
- Unspecified	4.6%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.4%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.4%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>237</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	66.2%	67.3%	67.1%
White	29.2%	26.2%	30.4%
Hispanic/Other	4.5%	6.5%	2.5%
Sex			
Male	73.3%	74.4%	74.7%
Female	26.7%	25.6%	25.3%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.0%	1.0%	1.7%
13	5.3%	5.2%	4.6%
14	10.1%	6.8%	9.3%
15	19.9%	18.1%	19.8%
16	22.7%	20.7%	21.5%
17	26.2%	30.4%	28.3%
18-20	14.9%	17.8%	14.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>237</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2016



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.


## Independent Living Programs (Including Alternative Living Units)

Independent Living Programs are implemented by a child placement agency licensed by DHR for youth 15 to 21 years of age who need to become self-sufficient and learn responsible living skills as they are unlikely to return home. Youth reside in either group homes or supervised apartment units, and must be enrolled in high school, college, vocational training, or be gainfully employed. During the program, youth learn about interpersonal skills, money management, job readiness, conflict management, positive leisure opportunities, and communication skills. Alternative Living Units are residences owned, leased, or operated by a licensee that: (a) provides residential services for children who, because of a developmental disability, require specialized living arrangements; (b) admits not more than three children; and (c) provides 24 hour care.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2016

Independent Living	County	Adm.	ADP
Damamli	Balt. Co.	2	2.2
Future Bound	Mont.	5	2.1
Jumoke	Balt. City	4	1.4
Ment. MD - Baltimore	Balt. Co.	2	3.2
Ment. MD - Salisbury	Wicomico	4	1.9
New Pathways - Independ. Plus	Balt. City	1	0.8
San Mar - Anderson House	Washington	1	0.5
Alternative Living Units			
Arrow Child & Family Ministri.	Balt. Co.	1	0.3
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>12.5</b>

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, overall placements to independent living programs/alternative living programs decreased 42.9%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2016, Future Bound had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Sex Offense (20.0%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 13.3% to 15.0%
  - Felonies increased from 6.7% to 30.0%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 80.0% to 55.0%
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 54.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 6.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 7.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 25.2% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.



Jumoke

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	22.9%	46.7%	15.0%
Reg-II - Central	14.3%	13.3%	15.0%
Reg-III - Western	0.0%	6.7%	5.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	5.7%	13.3%	15.0%
Reg-V - Southern	22.9%	13.3%	30.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	20.0%	6.7%	20.0%
Out-of-State	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	5.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	10.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	15.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	10.0%
Sex Offense	20.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	10.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>15.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>30.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	20.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	5.0%
- Unspecified	5.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>55.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	15.0%
- Property	20.0%
- Drugs	10.0%
- Unspecified	10.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>20</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	74.3%	66.7%	55.0%
White	14.3%	20.0%	30.0%
Hispanic/Other	11.4%	13.3%	15.0%
Sex			
Male	77.1%	60.0%	70.0%
Female	22.9%	40.0%	30.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%
16	11.4%	0.0%	5.0%
17	17.1%	13.3%	15.0%
18-20	65.7%	86.7%	80.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFAs)

An ICFA is the most intensive level for residential substance abuse services, providing drug and alcohol abuse assessment, treatment, and/or education for moderate- to high-risk youth. Youth served may have problems in other aspects of their lives, i.e., mental health, school, family, peer group, and/or community. These programs are intensive, closed programs able to serve not only substance abusing youth, but also dually diagnosed youth; that is, youth who have both a psychiatric diagnosis and an assessed substance abuse problem. These programs are intended to stabilize youth, initiate drug treatment and/or counseling services, and develop recommendations for services upon discharge.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2016

ICFA	County	Adm.	ADP
Lois E. Jackson Unit	Allegany	66	10.2
MTC - Mountain Manor	Balt. City	18	1.0
<i>Total Admissions</i>	<i>All</i>	<i>84</i>	<i>11.2</i>



Mountain Manor Drug Treatment Center

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
  - Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, overall placements to ICFAs decreased 48.5%.
- *Program Admissions:*
  - In FY 2016, Lois E. Jackson Unit had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2016):*
  - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2016):*
  - The most common offense types for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Theft Misdemeanor (29.8%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):*
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 6.0% to 4.8%
  - Felonies decreased from 11.2% to 7.1%
  - Misdemeanors increased from 81.0% to 85.7%
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 54.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 37.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 1.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 16.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	12.9%	10.3%	14.3%
Reg-II - Central	20.9%	24.8%	26.2%
Reg-III - Western	7.4%	17.9%	14.3%
Reg-IV - Eastern	6.7%	8.5%	6.0%
Reg-V - Southern	41.1%	26.5%	33.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	11.0%	12.0%	6.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>163</i>	<i>117</i>	<i>84</i>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	1.2%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.1%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	2.4%
Deadly Weapon	3.6%
Disturbing the Peace	3.6%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.4%
Malicious Destruction	3.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	15.5%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%
Robbery	1.2%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	13.1%
Sex Offense	2.4%
Theft Felony	3.6%
Theft Misdemeanor	29.8%
Trespassing	1.2%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.4%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.2%
- Property	4.8%
- Drugs	1.2%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>85.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.8%
- Property	38.1%
- Drugs	13.1%
- Unspecified	4.8%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>2.4%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>84</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	35.6%	38.5%	44.0%
White	60.7%	56.4%	50.0%
Hispanic/Other	3.7%	5.1%	6.0%
Sex			
Male	76.1%	72.6%	70.2%
Female	23.9%	27.4%	29.8%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.9%	1.2%
14	3.7%	6.8%	1.2%
15	15.3%	6.8%	16.7%
16	27.0%	16.2%	20.2%
17	32.5%	43.6%	36.9%
18-20	21.5%	25.6%	23.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>84</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Residential Treatment Centers (Includes Psychiatric Hospitals & Diagnostic Units/CEUs)

Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) provide more intensive psychiatric and psychological treatment services. These centers are required to have psychiatrists, psychologists, and psychiatric nurses on staff to lead treatment. Maryland RTCs are approved for operation through the State Certificate of Need (CON) process and are licensed through the Mental Health Administration (MHA). Some RTCs concentrate on specific youth populations. For example, locked RTCs focus on youth with behavioral problems and/or considered to be potentially harmful to self or others. The RTCs are intended to stabilize the youth's emotional condition; to provide services that increase the youth's ability to manage his/her mental illness as a potentially life-long challenge; to help the youth develop social skills for coping with both daily or difficult situations and interpersonal relationships; and to transition the youth to a less restrictive environment or home.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2016

Residential Treatment Ctr.	County	Adm.	ADP
Behav. Health - Eastern Shore*	Dorchester	0	0.0
Good Shepherd Ctr Female Prg	Balt. Co.	18	15.0
Good Shepherd Ctr Male Prg	Balt. Co.	6	2.6
Jefferson School	Frederick	9	10.7
New Dir. Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.	21	19.2
Potomac Ridge	Mont.	7	6.6
RICA Baltimore RTC	Balt. City	2	2.3
RICA Rockville RTC <sup>1</sup>	Mont.	0	0.0
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	Balt. Co.	32	14.9
Villa Maria	Balt. Co.	3	2.4
Woodbourne	Balt. City	29	22.4
<b>Psychiatric Hospitals<sup>2</sup></b>			
Behav. Health Hosp. Rockville	Mont.	2	0.1
Brook Lane Hospital	Washington	3	0.1
Eastern Shore Acute Unit*	Dorchester	2	0.1
Shep. Pratt Hosp. Ell. City & Towson	Balt. Co.	2	0.4
Spring Grove Hospital Center	Balt. Co.	45	4.3
Springfield Adult Hospital Ctr.	Carroll	1	0.2
Thomas Finan Center <sup>+</sup>	Allegany	0	0.1
<b>Diagnostic Unit/Clinical Evaluation Unit (CEU)<sup>2</sup></b>			
Arrow Child & Fam Ministries	Balt. Co.	33	7.0
Children's Home Diag Center	Balt. Co.	4	0.5
RICA Rockville	Mont.	12	2.1
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>111.0</b>

\*Potomac Ridge

+Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2016, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

<sup>1</sup> Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2016, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.

<sup>2</sup> Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Unit/CEUs are included on the RTC table because similar services are provided at these facilities.



Good Shepherd

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, overall placements to residential treatment centers decreased 22.0%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2016, Spring Grove Hospital Center had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 98.2% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 2 were from Washington D.C. and 2 were from Delaware.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Second Degree Assault (30.8%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 14.3% to 15.2%
  - Felonies increased from 8.0% to 12.1%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 76.8% to 71.9%
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 27.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 12.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 12.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 6.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	11.8%	16.2%	16.5%
Reg-II - Central	11.8%	14.9%	12.9%
Reg-III - Western	12.5%	10.0%	10.3%
Reg-IV - Eastern	16.4%	12.9%	9.8%
Reg-V - Southern	19.9%	29.5%	28.1%
Reg-VI - Metro	25.4%	14.9%	20.5%
Out-of-State	2.1%	1.7%	1.8%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>224</b>

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.9%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.0%
Carjacking	0.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.1%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%
Disturbing the Peace	3.6%
First Degree Assault-Felony	3.1%
Handgun Violation	1.3%
Malicious Destruction	7.1%
Manslaughter	0.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	1.3%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.7%
Resisting Arrest	2.7%
Robbery	4.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	30.8%
Sex Offense	11.6%
Theft Felony	1.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.8%
Trespassing	1.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.7%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>15.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	4.9%
- Property	4.5%
- Drugs	0.9%
- Unspecified	1.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	46.4%
- Property	20.1%
- Drugs	1.3%
- Unspecified	4.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.4%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.4%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>224</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	57.1%	67.6%	67.0%
White	35.9%	30.3%	25.4%
Hispanic/Other	7.0%	2.1%	7.6%
Sex			
Male	71.1%	71.0%	72.8%
Female	28.9%	29.0%	27.2%
Age			
11 and under	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
12	1.4%	4.1%	3.6%
13	7.3%	8.3%	12.1%
14	17.1%	10.0%	15.6%
15	22.6%	20.3%	19.6%
16	26.8%	32.4%	26.3%
17	17.8%	18.3%	17.4%
18-20	6.3%	5.4%	4.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>224</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Out-of-State (OOS) Programs

DJS policy states that youth may not be placed out-of-state without the approval of the Secretary or designee. The Department adheres to Interstate Compact requirements and agreements with other states regarding requests for permission and notification when youth are placed in another state. Maryland law includes specific criteria for out-of-state placement including the condition that a youth's individualized needs cannot be met through in-state resources. Youth placed in out-of-state facilities are visited by DJS staff at least quarterly and parents/guardians are provided with opportunities to visit youth at least once per quarter. *Note: OOS programs are licensed by local authorities, and not by Maryland agencies. DJS contracts with vendors to provide services in two broad categories: 1. RTC-level specialized mental health services, and 2. Staff- and Hardware-Secure programming., data will be presented on this page only for programs not already captured on a previous page in the Data Resource Guide.*

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2016

Residential Treatment Center	State	Adm.	ADP
Boys' Town <sup>+</sup>	NE	0	0.9
Devereux (Florida) <sup>+</sup>	FL	0	1.1
Devereux (Georgia)	GA	4	2.1
Devereux (Pennsylvania) <sup>1</sup>	PA	0	0.0
Kid Link-Coastal Harbor Treat Ctr	GA	1	0.7
UHS of Del-Gulf Coast Treat Ctr	FL	1	0.8
UHS of Del-Laurel Oaks Behav. Hlth. <sup>+</sup>	AL	0	0.6
<b>Staff Secure</b>			
Canyon State Academy	AZ	2	3.5
Clarinda Academy	IA	3	4.5
Cornell Abraxas <sup>+</sup>	PA	0	0.6
Glen Mills School	PA	11	11.9
KidLink Netw-Found. for Living <sup>+</sup>	OH	0	0.3
Natchez Trace Youth Academy	TN	4	3.7
New Outlook Academy	PA	1	0.2
Summit Academy <sup>+</sup>	PA	0	0.7
Woodward Academy	IA	4	5.0
<b>Hardware Secure</b>			
Acadia Health Care- Capstone Acad <sup>+</sup>	MI	0	1.6
CCS - Turning Point	MI	5	4.7
Cornell Abraxas Academy	PA	7	8.2
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	PA	6	1.6
Mid-Atlantic Western	PA	4	3.9
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>56.6</b>

<sup>+</sup>Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2016, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs

<sup>1</sup>Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2016, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2014 and FY 2016, overall placements to out-of-state programs decreased 53.2%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2016, Glen Mills School had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 90.2% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 3 were from Washington D.C., 1 was from Pennsylvania and 1 was from Virginia.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Second Degree Assault (27.5%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 33.3% to 35.3%
  - Felonies decreased from 18.9% to 15.7%
  - Misdemeanors increased from 47.8% to 49.0%
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 41.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 30.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 10.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 17.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.



Glen Mills School

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	32.1%	27.8%	33.3%
Reg-II - Central	8.3%	4.4%	17.6%
Reg-III - Western	0.0%	5.6%	2.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	4.6%	1.1%	5.9%
Reg-V - Southern	11.9%	11.1%	15.7%
Reg-VI - Metro	37.6%	46.7%	15.7%
Out-of-State	5.5%	3.3%	9.8%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>51</b>

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	5.9%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.9%
Carjacking	2.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.9%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	9.8%
Handgun Violation	3.9%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	3.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	3.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	2.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	3.9%
Resisting Arrest	2.0%
Robbery	11.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	27.5%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.8%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>35.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>15.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	5.9%
- Drugs	3.9%
- Unspecified	5.9%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>49.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.4%
- Property	15.7%
- Drugs	2.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>51</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	88.1%	82.2%	94.1%
White	7.3%	10.0%	3.9%
Hispanic/Other	4.6%	7.8%	2.0%
Sex			
Male	92.7%	91.1%	92.2%
Female	7.3%	8.9%	7.8%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.9%	0.0%	2.0%
14	7.3%	2.2%	2.0%
15	13.8%	17.8%	17.6%
16	24.8%	32.2%	11.8%
17	27.5%	26.7%	49.0%
18-20	25.7%	21.1%	17.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>51</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Backbone Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Danjuma Gaskins  
 Rated Capacity: 48 Males

**Staff Secure - Region III - Western**

Rte 1, 124 Camp 4 Road  
 Swanton, MD 21562  
 301-359-9190

The Youth Center opened in 1966 as a 35-bed forestry camp on Backbone Mountain in Garrett County with funds appropriated by the General Assembly and became a youth center in 1977. The facility offers programs including the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and CHALLENGE, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment and a level of care is determined. Backbone is certified to offer Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient Services. Youth participate in individual and group interventions. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. For youth with chronic aggression, START is provided: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. Educational services are provided by MSDE on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam. The College Program is offered in conjunction with Garrett College of Maryland. Youth are screened through a referral, record review, and interview for acceptance into the program. Selected youth are admitted for one college semester while in the care of DJS and earn a Maryland High School Diploma as well as up to 15 college credits.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	80.3%	73.5%	79.1%
White	17.1%	14.7%	13.6%
Hispanic/Other	2.6%	11.8%	7.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	10.3%	7.4%	7.3%
15	24.8%	14.7%	10.9%
16	28.2%	22.1%	40.9%
17	23.9%	32.4%	30.0%
18-20	12.8%	23.5%	10.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>110</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements decreased 6.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 61.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 100% of placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense types for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Robbery (15.5%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 27.9% to 26.4%
  - Felonies increased from 10.3% to 18.2%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 61.8% to 54.5%
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 19.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 15.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 15.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 17.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2015-FY 2016):**
  - Backbone Mountain's utilization rate increased from 51.7% in FY 2015 to 59.5% in FY 2016 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	4.5%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	10.0%
Carjacking	1.8%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.5%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%
Disturbing the Peace	3.6%
First Degree Assault-Felony	1.8%
Handgun Violation	6.4%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.8%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	4.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	4.5%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%
Robbery	15.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	14.5%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.2%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.4%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>26.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>18.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	7.3%
- Drugs	4.5%
- Unspecified	6.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>54.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.1%
- Property	15.5%
- Drugs	3.6%
- Unspecified	6.4%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.9%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>110</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	18.8%	19.1%	21.8%
Reg-II - Central	12.8%	7.4%	11.8%
Reg-III - Western	1.7%	2.9%	4.5%
Reg-IV - Eastern	8.5%	11.8%	15.5%
Reg-V - Southern	21.4%	23.5%	22.7%
Reg-VI - Metro	35.9%	35.3%	23.6%
Out-of-State	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>117</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>110</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Green Ridge Mountain Quest (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Judith Hodel  
 Rated Capacity: 10 Males


**Staff Secure - Region III - Western**

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road  
 Flintstone, MD 21530  
 301-478-2930

Mountain Quest is a 90-day program for committed males age 13-18 located at Green Ridge Youth Center. Mountain Quest is a first-time commitment program that provides youth time away from their families and communities giving them the opportunity to change their behavior while learning responsibility for their actions. The Mountain Quest program gives youth the opportunity to work on thought patterns and behaviors that will help them become successful in treatment when they return to the community. Family work and interventions are critical at this time to better assess needs and provide an open line of communication. Mental health counseling is provided on an as-needed basis.

The facility offers individual and group substance abuse interventions. For youth with chronic aggression, START is provided: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. Educational services are provided by MSDE on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	75.0%	73.0%	51.7%
White	20.0%	16.2%	27.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.0%	10.8%	20.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	7.5%	8.1%	13.8%
14	10.0%	18.9%	6.9%
15	25.0%	24.3%	41.4%
16	30.0%	32.4%	17.2%
17	22.5%	10.8%	20.7%
18-20	5.0%	5.4%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements decreased 27.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 21.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no placements from Out-of-State at this facility.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Second Degree Assault (37.9%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 27.0% to 6.9%
  - Felonies increased from 10.8% to 20.7%
  - Misdemeanors increased from 62.2% to 69.0%
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 21.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 19.8% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 18.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 1.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2015-FY 2016):**
  - Green Ridge Mountain Quest's utilization rate decreased from 91.0% in FY 2015 to 72.7% in FY 2016 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

*Prior to FY 2014, Green Ridge Youth Center and Green Ridge Mountain Quest were reported together. Therefore, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2014.*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	10.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.9%
Deadly Weapon	3.4%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.4%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	6.9%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	3.4%
Robbery	6.9%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	37.9%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.4%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.3%
Trespassing	3.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.4%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>6.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>20.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	13.8%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	6.9%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>69.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	48.3%
- Property	13.8%
- Drugs	3.4%
- Unspecified	3.4%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>3.4%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>29</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-II - Central	5.0%	10.8%	10.3%
Reg-III - Western	20.0%	35.1%	20.7%
Reg-IV - Eastern	2.5%	0.0%	3.4%
Reg-V - Southern	7.5%	8.1%	20.7%
Reg-VI - Metro	65.0%	45.9%	44.8%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>29</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Green Ridge Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Judith Hodel  
 Rated Capacity: 30 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road  
 Flintstone, MD 21530  
 301-478-2930

Built originally as a Civilian Conservation Corps Camp, Green Ridge Youth Center has had a variety of uses in its 90-year history. Currently, Green Ridge is a treatment center for males age 13-18. The facility offers programming that includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and CHALLENGE, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment and a level of care is determined. Green Ridge Youth Center is certified to offer Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient Services. Youth participate in individual and group interventions. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. For youth with chronic aggression, START is provided: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. Educational services are provided by MSDE on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	67.1%	69.8%	69.6%
White	19.2%	25.6%	18.8%
Hispanic/Other	13.7%	4.7%	11.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
14	5.5%	2.3%	10.1%
15	24.7%	14.0%	17.4%
16	27.4%	30.2%	39.1%
17	31.5%	32.6%	24.6%
18-20	9.6%	20.9%	8.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>69</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements decreased 5.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 60.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense types for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Robbery (14.5%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 30.2% to 26.1%
  - Felonies increased from 9.3% to 20.3%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 60.5% to 52.2%
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 19.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 35.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 8.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 12.3% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2015-FY 2016):**
  - Green Ridge Youth Center's utilization rate increased from 50.7% in FY 2015 to 68.7% in FY 2016 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

*Prior to FY 2014, Green Ridge Youth Center and Green Ridge Mountain Quest were reported together. Therefore, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2014.*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	8.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.7%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	8.7%
Deadly Weapon	2.9%
Disturbing the Peace	2.9%
First Degree Assault-Felony	4.3%
Handgun Violation	1.4%
Malicious Destruction	2.9%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.3%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	4.3%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.9%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%
Robbery	14.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	13.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.1%
Trespassing	1.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.9%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>26.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>20.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	11.6%
- Drugs	1.4%
- Unspecified	7.2%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>52.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	26.1%
- Property	18.8%
- Drugs	2.9%
- Unspecified	4.3%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>1.4%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>69</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	8.2%	16.3%	4.3%
Reg-II - Central	17.8%	11.6%	13.0%
Reg-III - Western	16.4%	11.6%	8.7%
Reg-IV - Eastern	4.1%	11.6%	7.2%
Reg-V - Southern	11.0%	18.6%	17.4%
Reg-VI - Metro	42.5%	30.2%	49.3%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>69</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## J. DeWeese Carter Center


Superintendent: Annette Miller  
 Rated Capacity: 14 Females

**Hardware Secure – Region IV – Eastern**

300 Scheeler Road  
 Chestertown, MD 21620  
 410-778-6444

J. DeWeese Carter Center opened as a secure residential facility in November 2011 to serve females ages 14-18 who are committed to DJS. The program provides gender responsive, trauma-informed rehabilitation and treatment services. The Center implements CHALLENGE, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation. The program's goals for the girls include accepting responsibility for behavior, learning problem solving strategies and peer leadership skills, and developing/improving pro-social skills. Services include individual and group therapy, substance abuse education and treatment, health education, medication assessment and monitoring, and family therapy. MSDE provides academic and vocational services. The school provides a 12-month schedule that includes six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, life skills, as well as individualized GED programs that prepare youth for successful completion of a high school diploma examination. MSDE offers vocational programming in computer literacy, Introduction to Telecommunications, and the ServSafe Program, all of which allow girls to earn certificates to help them secure employment at discharge.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	73.9%	65.0%	70.6%
White	21.7%	20.0%	23.5%
Hispanic/Other	4.3%	15.0%	5.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	13.0%	5.0%	17.6%
15	21.7%	15.0%	11.8%
16	39.1%	35.0%	35.3%
17	17.4%	40.0%	23.5%
18-20	8.7%	5.0%	11.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements decreased 26.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 15.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Second Degree Assault (29.4%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence decreased from 35.0% to 11.8%
  - Felonies increased from 5.0% to 5.9%
  - Misdemeanors increased from 60.0% to 82.4%
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 28.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 22.0% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 20.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 36.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2015-FY 2016):**
  - J. DeWeese Carter Center's utilization rate decreased from 71.4% in FY 2015 to 55.7% in FY 2016 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.9%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	5.9%
Disturbing the Peace	5.9%
First Degree Assault-Felony	5.9%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	11.8%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.9%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	11.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	29.4%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	17.6%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>11.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	5.9%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>82.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	47.1%
- Property	35.3%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>17</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	17.4%	25.0%	5.9%
Reg-II - Central	21.7%	10.0%	11.8%
Reg-III - Western	0.0%	15.0%	11.8%
Reg-IV - Eastern	4.3%	10.0%	5.9%
Reg-V - Southern	21.7%	15.0%	41.2%
Reg-VI - Metro	30.4%	25.0%	23.5%
Out-of-State	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>17</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Meadow Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Todd Bowman  
 Rated Capacity: 40 Males

**Staff Secure - Region III - Western**

234 Recovery Road  
 Grantsville, MD 21535  
 301-895-5669

Meadow Mountain Youth Center opened as a forestry camp for boys in June, 1958 and reopened in October 1984 as an intensive out-patient program providing youth with at least nine hours of drug treatment weekly, which includes Seven Challenges group therapy. Additionally, Meadow Mountain uses CHALLENGE, DJS' behavioral management program, and houses the Reflections Program which includes a high and low ropes challenge course, an aquatic challenge, and different outdoor activities. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment and a level of care is determined. Meadow Mountain is certified to offer Intensive Outpatient Services as well as Clinically Managed High Intensity Residential services. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. For youth with chronic aggression, START is provided: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. Educational services are provided by MSDE on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam. Also offered is an aquaculture vocational program in which youth earned a 60-hour certificate with competencies needed to become an Aquaculture Technician I.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/ Ethnicity</b>			
Black	69.0%	61.8%	68.5%
White	27.6%	27.6%	22.8%
Hispanic/Other	3.4%	10.5%	8.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	9.2%	5.3%	6.5%
15	13.8%	15.8%	10.9%
16	28.7%	22.4%	30.4%
17	29.9%	40.8%	40.2%
18-20	18.4%	15.8%	12.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>92</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements increased 5.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 21.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 98.9% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There was one placement from Virginia.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Second Degree Assault (17.4%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 14.3% to 19.6%
  - Felonies increased from 13.0% to 19.6%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 67.5% to 57.6%
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 25.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 2.9% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 22.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 14.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2015-FY 2016):**
  - Meadow Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate increased from 69.1% in FY 2015 to 71.4% in FY 2016 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.4%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	8.7%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%
Disturbing the Peace	1.1%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.2%
Handgun Violation	1.1%
Malicious Destruction	5.4%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	3.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	10.9%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	3.3%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%
Robbery	16.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	17.4%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	5.4%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.7%
Trespassing	2.2%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.1%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>19.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>19.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	8.7%
- Drugs	3.3%
- Unspecified	7.6%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>57.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	25.0%
- Property	20.7%
- Drugs	9.8%
- Unspecified	2.2%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>2.2%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>92</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	14.9%	17.1%	12.0%
Reg-II - Central	16.1%	13.2%	16.3%
Reg-III - Western	1.1%	1.3%	3.3%
Reg-IV - Eastern	9.2%	17.1%	19.6%
Reg-V - Southern	26.4%	18.4%	18.5%
Reg-VI - Metro	32.2%	31.6%	29.3%
Out-of-State	0.0%	1.3%	1.1%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>76</i>	<i>92</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Savage Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Todd Foote


**Staff Secure - Region III - Western**

Rated Capacity: 24 Males (reduced from 36 at the beginning of FY 2016)

164 Freedom Lane  
Lonaconing, MD 21539  
301-463-2244

The success of the first forestry camp for boys at Green Ridge prompted the General Assembly to appropriate funds for additional facilities in 1956 (Chapter 98, Acts of 1956). Lonaconing Forestry Camp for Boys opened in December 1957 and was charged with the rehabilitation of adjudicated males 14-18 years of age. The Camp was renamed Savage Mountain Youth Center in 1977. The facility offers programming that includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and CHALLENGE, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment and a level of care determined. Savage Mountain is certified to offer Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient Services. Youth participate in individual and group interventions. Family counseling is offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. For youth with chronic aggression, START is provided: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. Educational services are provided by MSDE on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam. In January 2013, the capacity was reduced from 36 to 24, so the staff could offer increased support for youth with higher aggressivity.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	83.5%	75.4%	91.4%
White	9.4%	15.4%	5.7%
Hispanic/Other	7.1%	9.2%	2.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
14	10.6%	6.2%	12.9%
15	17.6%	16.9%	22.9%
16	34.1%	36.9%	27.1%
17	29.4%	27.7%	25.7%
18-20	8.2%	12.3%	8.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>70</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements decreased 17.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 7.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Theft Misdemeanor (20.0%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 26.2% to 30.0%
  - Felonies decreased from 15.4% to 7.1%
  - Misdemeanors increased from 58.5% to 61.4%
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 44.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 19.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 27.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 22.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2015-FY 2016):**
  - Savage Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate increased from 60.3% in FY 2015 to 72.9% in FY 2016 (based on the facility's rated capacity which changed from 36 in FY 2015 to 24 in FY 2016).

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.9%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	11.4%
Carjacking	2.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	1.4%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%
Disturbing the Peace	2.9%
First Degree Assault-Felony	1.4%
Handgun Violation	4.3%
Malicious Destruction	4.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	1.4%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	14.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	14.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	20.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	8.6%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>30.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	5.7%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>61.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	24.3%
- Property	27.1%
- Drugs	1.4%
- Unspecified	8.6%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.4%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>70</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	25.9%	18.5%	22.9%
Reg-II - Central	14.1%	9.2%	14.3%
Reg-III - Western	1.2%	1.5%	2.9%
Reg-IV - Eastern	14.1%	1.5%	11.4%
Reg-V - Southern	11.8%	21.5%	18.6%
Reg-VI - Metro	32.9%	46.2%	30.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>70</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Victor Cullen Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Johnitha McNair (Interim)  
Rated Capacity: 48 Males

**Hardware Secure - Region III - Western**

6000 Cullen Drive  
Sabillasville, MD 21780  
301-739-7543

The Victor Cullen Center (VCC) was originally a sanatorium for tuberculosis patients named after the physician in charge of the institution, Dr. Victor F. Cullen. In July 2007, VCC reopened under state administration as a regional committed treatment center. VCC is a secure facility for delinquent males primarily serving youth ages 15-18 in a six- to nine-month treatment program for mental health issues and/or substance abuse. The facility offers programming that includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and CHALLENGE, DJS' behavioral management program. Youth receive individual and group substance abuse interventions, and outpatient and early intervention substance abuse treatment are also available. Individual and family counseling are offered for every youth, as well as psychiatric services. All youth participate in START: an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, and family days. Educational services are provided by MSDE on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, and social studies, OSHA certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	91.0%	80.8%	84.1%
White	6.3%	9.0%	12.2%
Hispanic/Other	2.7%	10.3%	3.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
14	3.6%	0.0%	3.7%
15	21.6%	21.8%	11.0%
16	26.1%	34.6%	19.5%
17	27.9%	34.6%	42.7%
18-20	19.8%	9.0%	23.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>82</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 26.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 5.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2016):

- 95.1% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from Out-of-State, 2 were from Washington D.C., and 2 were from Virginia.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2016):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Robbery (26.8%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):

- Crimes of Violence increased from 35.9% to 47.6%
- Felonies increased from 11.5% to 14.6%
- Misdemeanors decreased from 51.3% to 37.8%
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 32.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 17.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 5.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 18.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.

#### • Utilization Rate (FY 2015-FY 2016):

- Victor Cullen Center's utilization rate decreased from 77.3% in FY 2015 to 63.8% in FY 2016 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	2.4%
Carjacking	7.3%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	8.5%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.2%
First Degree Assault-Felony	7.3%
Handgun Violation	4.9%
Malicious Destruction	6.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	2.4%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.9%
Resisting Arrest	2.4%
Robbery	26.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	11.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.8%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>47.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>14.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	2.4%
- Drugs	2.4%
- Unspecified	9.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>37.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	19.5%
- Property	15.9%
- Drugs	2.4%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>82</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	27.9%	28.2%	34.1%
Reg-II - Central	9.0%	12.8%	11.0%
Reg-III - Western	4.5%	1.3%	6.1%
Reg-IV - Eastern	5.4%	10.3%	3.7%
Reg-V - Southern	10.8%	7.7%	11.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	40.5%	37.2%	29.3%
Out-of-State	1.8%	2.6%	4.9%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>111</i>	<i>78</i>	<i>82</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## William Donald Schaefer House (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Johnitha McNair  
Rated Capacity: 19 Males


**Staff Secure - Region I - Baltimore City**

907 Druid Park Lake Drive  
Baltimore, MD 21217  
410-230-3193

Opened in 1992, William Donald Schaefer House is situated across from Baltimore City's Druid Hill Park. It provides services to males ages 14-17 and is accredited by the Maryland Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration and certified by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. Substance abuse treatment using the evidence-based Seven Challenges program is provided in a small, nurturing environment with intensive individual and group counseling daily. Youth attend alcohol and drug education groups five days a week, and health education classes given by the program's registered nurse. They also attend school five days per week, six hours per day, overseen by MSDE. Youth may earn credits to be transferred to their home schools upon release or prepare for the GED exam. They participate in community service projects and work with the teachers on skill-building and job preparation. Once a youth has completed residential treatment, he graduates to an intensive community aftercare program to maintain the support network necessary for a drug and alcohol free life.

*As of May 26, 2016 William Donald Schaefer House was closed as ADP remained under its rated capacity. The youth who were being served at William Donald Schaefer House will continue to be served within the DJS system.*

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	75.0%	67.6%	66.7%
White	19.4%	23.5%	16.7%
Hispanic/Other	5.6%	8.8%	16.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	5.6%	5.9%	8.3%
15	19.4%	14.7%	8.3%
16	38.9%	58.8%	33.3%
17	36.1%	20.6%	41.7%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>24</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements decreased 33.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 29.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Second Degree Assault (29.2%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 2.9% to 20.8%
  - Felonies decreased from 14.7% to 8.3%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 82.4% to 70.8%
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 32.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 22.5% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 0.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 9.7% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2015-FY 2016):**
  - William Donald Schaefer House's utilization rate decreased from 63.2% in FY 2015 to 48.9% in FY 2016 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	16.7%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.2%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.2%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.2%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	16.7%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	29.2%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	8.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.3%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.2%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>20.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	8.3%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>70.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	41.7%
- Property	25.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	4.2%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>24</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	19.4%	14.7%	0.0%
Reg-II - Central	11.1%	0.0%	4.2%
Reg-III - Western	8.3%	8.8%	12.5%
Reg-IV - Eastern	13.9%	26.5%	33.3%
Reg-V - Southern	13.9%	11.8%	4.2%
Reg-VI - Metro	33.3%	38.2%	45.8%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>24</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider)

Program Director: Kevin McLeod


Staff Secure

Rated Capacity: 96 Males

999 Crouse Mill Road  
Keymar, Maryland 21757  
410-775-1745

Silver Oak Academy (SOA) is a private residential program owned and operated by Rite of Passage, Inc. SOA is an achievement-based program designed to highlight and develop positive pro-social skills and activities to assist youth in transitioning to the community and being successful. The basis of achievement is measured through nine separate program elements. SOA serves youth ages 14-18. Vocational training services include: food service and hospitality management, carpentry/electrical, construction/masonry, cosmetology/barbering, and electronics. SOA has a large campus that includes a year-round high school approved by MSDE, a competition gym (with wrestling and weight rooms), outdoor competition fields, and a running track. During FY 2014, the capacity at SOA increased from 48 to 96.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2014-2016



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	90.2%	85.1%	84.9%
White	6.1%	9.6%	11.3%
Hispanic/Other	3.7%	5.3%	3.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	2.4%	3.2%	0.0%
15	28.0%	13.8%	7.5%
16	39.0%	24.5%	37.7%
17	29.3%	47.9%	41.5%
18-20	1.2%	10.6%	13.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>53</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements decreased 35.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 43.6% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2016):**
  - 100% of the placements were from regions within Maryland. There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- **Offense Type (FY 2016):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2016 was Robbery (22.6%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2015-2016):**
  - Crimes of Violence increased from 25.5% to 39.6%
  - Felonies decreased from 10.6% to 9.4%
  - Misdemeanors decreased from 62.8% to 50.9%
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 18.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and decreased 18.1% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 20.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2016 and increased 12.4% between FY 2015 and FY 2016.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2015-FY 2016):**
  - Silver Oak's utilization rate decreased from 63.3% in FY 2015 to 51.9% in FY 2016 (based on the facility's rated capacity).

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2016
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.9%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	9.4%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.8%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.8%
First Degree Assault-Felony	9.4%
Handgun Violation	5.7%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misdemeanor)	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.9%
Resisting Arrest	5.7%
Robbery	22.6%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	11.3%
Sex Offense	1.9%
Theft Felony	1.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.1%
Trespassing	1.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.9%
Offense Category	
<b>Crime of Violence*</b>	<b>39.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	3.8%
- Drugs	1.9%
- Unspecified	3.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>50.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	30.2%
- Property	18.9%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.9%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>53</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violations, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Destructive Devices, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape, False Report, Fraud, Harassment, Kidnapping, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, and Unspecified Felony.

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2014-2016

Region	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Reg-I - Baltimore City	23.2%	21.3%	28.3%
Reg-II - Central	17.1%	21.3%	28.3%
Reg-III - Western	1.2%	8.5%	1.9%
Reg-IV - Eastern	8.5%	4.3%	3.8%
Reg-V - Southern	24.4%	13.8%	20.8%
Reg-VI - Metro	24.4%	29.8%	17.0%
Out-of-State	1.2%	1.1%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>82</i>	<i>94</i>	<i>53</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2014-2016



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2016



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## Community-Based Family Therapy Programs for Committed & Probation Youth

DJS uses research-supported, community-based family therapy programs to address the needs of youth, thereby reducing the likelihood of recidivism, and to reduce out-of-home placements. Evidence-Based Services (EBS) constitute those designated as “model” programs by Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development (Center for the Study and Prevention of Violence at the University of Colorado Boulder) and currently include Functional Family Therapy (FFT) and Multisystemic Therapy (MST). These services are family-based therapeutic models shown to be effective with youth involved with the juvenile justice system. DJS primarily targets FFT and MST for juveniles on probation or aftercare supervision in the community. In addition, Family Centered Treatment (FCT), provided by the Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc., is a family preservation model of in-home treatment, which helps families to learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns. FCT is utilized with youth who are committed or on probation.

### PLACEMENTS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2016

EBS Providers		County / Region	Place.	ADP
FFT	Center for Children, Inc.	An. Arund.	85	25.6
		Calvert	5	1.3
		Charles	48	11.5
		St. Mary's	43	11.1
	VisionQuest National	Balt. City	92	33.7
		Balt. Co.	5	1.5
		Carroll	10	3.4
		Harford	5	2.3
		Howard	29	9.3
		East. Shore	48	14.4
		Mont.	41	9.7
		Pr. George's	64	20.0
MST	Community Counseling and Mentoring Service, Inc.	Mont.	10	3.3
		Pr. George's	47	13.9
	Community Solutions, Inc.	Balt. Co.	22	5.8
		Frederick	12	3.5
	Way Station, Inc.	Washington	7	2.1
FCT		Institute for Family Centered Services (IFCS), Inc.	Balt. City	50
	Balt. Co.		25	11.6
	Carroll		25	8.7
	Harford		0	0.2
	Howard		29	9.9
	Allegany		10	4.0
	Frederick		17	8.3
	Washington		22	7.3
	Caroline		1	0.5
	Cecil		4	2.1
	Dorchester		1	0.7
	Kent		1	0.1
	Queen		4	0.9
	Somerset		4	1.4
	Wicomico		15	7.2
	Worcester		2	1.1
	An. Arund.		0	0.9
	Calvert		9	4.7
	Charles		23	9.7
	St. Mary's		20	9.2
	Mont.		34	13.3
	Pr. George's		49	24.0
			Total Placements	All shown

#### Community-Based Family Therapy Program Placements (FY 2016):

- 51.7% of placements were to FFT.
- 10.7% of placements were to MST.
- 37.6% of placements were to FCT.

#### Juveniles Placed (FY 2016):

- At the time of placement to a community-based family therapy program, 55.0% of juveniles were under probation, 37.1% were on aftercare supervision, 5.3% were under pre-court supervision, and 2.2% were under administrative, community detention, interstate-compact, detention, and investigation combined. The remaining 0.3% were diversion cases without supervision.

#### Most Common Offense Type (FY 2016):

- FFT was Second Degree Assault (26.9%).
- MST was Second Degree Assault (31.6%).
- FCT was Second Degree Assault (22.3%).

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2016):

- ALOS was highest for FCT while FFT and MST were similar.

#### Average Daily Population (FY 2016):

- ADP was similar for FFT and FCT while it was lowest for MST.

#### Completion Status According to Program Specific Criteria (FY 2016):

- 74.3% of juveniles discharged from FFT\* completed the program.
- 72.4% of juveniles discharged from MST\* completed the program.
- 65.7% of juveniles discharged from FCT\* completed the program.

\*Note that these percentages reflect cases discharged within therapist control.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2016

	FFT	MST	FCT
Reg I-Balt. City	19.4%	0.0%	15.1%
Reg II-Central	10.3%	22.4%	22.3%
Reg III-Western	0.0%	19.4%	14.2%
Reg IV-E. Shore	10.1%	0.0%	9.6%
Reg V-Southern	38.1%	0.0%	14.8%
Reg VI-Metro	22.1%	58.2%	24.1%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	475	98	345

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2016

Most Serious Offense	FFT	MST	FCT
Offense Type			
Arson	1.1%	3.1%	0.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	2.3%	0.0%	1.4%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.5%	1.0%	5.5%
Carjacking	0.4%	0.0%	0.9%
Cons. to Commit Offense	3.8%	4.1%	4.3%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	2.0%	2.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.2%	7.1%	7.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	1.3%	0.0%	2.0%
Handgun Violation	1.7%	1.0%	1.7%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.1%	9.2%	4.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	1.0%	1.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	2.0%	0.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	5.3%	2.0%	2.3%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	5.5%	6.1%	7.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	2.0%	0.9%
Robbery	5.7%	4.1%	9.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	26.9%	31.6%	22.3%
Sex Offense	3.6%	2.0%	2.0%
Theft Felony	2.7%	2.0%	1.4%
Theft Misdemeanor	12.8%	14.3%	17.1%
Trespassing	0.6%	2.0%	0.9%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	4.0%	3.1%	4.6%
Offense Category			
<b>Crime of Violence<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>11.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.9%	2.0%	1.4%
- Property	5.1%	5.1%	3.8%
- Drugs	1.1%	2.0%	2.0%
- Unspecified	3.6%	3.1%	4.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>79.6%</b>	<b>71.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	40.2%	45.9%	36.5%
- Property	22.9%	27.6%	26.7%
- Drugs	3.4%	2.0%	2.6%
- Unspecified	4.8%	4.1%	5.2%
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Citations</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
<b>CINS</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>
<b>Interstate Compact Missing</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>345</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, False Report, Fraud Misdemeanor, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Note: EBS data comes from the Institute for Innovation and Implementation's EBS Database, except for offense data that comes from ASSIST. The source for all FCT data is ASSIST.


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2016

Demographics	FFT	MST	FCT
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	65.5%	69.4%	71.6%
White	22.7%	10.2%	21.7%
Hispanic/Other	11.8%	20.4%	6.7%
Sex			
Female	18.7%	22.4%	20.9%
Male	81.3%	77.6%	79.1%
Age			
11 and under	0.8%	1.0%	2.9%
12	3.2%	4.1%	1.2%
13	4.4%	5.1%	7.0%
14	13.1%	13.3%	11.9%
15	17.5%	29.6%	15.4%
16	25.3%	27.6%	27.2%
17	24.4%	19.4%	24.1%
18-20	11.4%	0.0%	10.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>345</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2016



## SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS AT DISCHARGE\*, FY 2016



\* Each program has its own measure of completion.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.





## Section V: Recidivism Rates



A mural on display at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center.





## Measuring Recidivism Rates

Measuring recidivism for juvenile offenders is a vital part of program evaluation, and is an essential part of understanding the effectiveness of interventions to address delinquency. Though other outcomes are also important to study, including education, employment, substance abuse, and other non-offense-related outcomes, recidivism remains a key measure of success for juvenile justice programs.

### POST-COMMITMENT RECIDIVISM

DJS has undertaken and published annual recidivism reports for many years, which focused on youth returning from committed out-of-home placements. These studies have been refined and expanded over the years to encompass all out-of-home committed programs (from foster placements to secure confinement), to include information on both juvenile and adult reoffending, and to show three levels of recidivating: a new alleged offense, whether that new offense resulted in conviction, and whether a new out-of-home placement resulted. Youth are followed for three years from release, and results are shown by year, level of recidivism, demographics, county, program type, and by individual program. It is important to note that although rates are presented by committed program, recidivism is affected by more than just the quality of the program. The quality of aftercare supervision after a youth is released, the community and/or family to which youth return, local economic opportunities, and other factors beyond the Department's control can all affect outcomes.

### PROBATION RECIDIVISM

Committed out-of-home placements make up a relatively small portion of the cases managed by the Department, so in recent years, DJS expanded the annual recidivism study to capture youth on probation. This cohort includes all youth newly placed under DJS supervision by a juvenile court, most often under a probation order, though occasionally youth are placed under DJS in-home supervision under a commitment order (these cases are included in the study). Recidivism events are tracked from the date of the start of supervision for up to three years, regardless of how long a youth remains under supervision. Cases supervised in-home under the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) program are included in this study group.

### RECIDIVISM DEFINITION

The juvenile justice community has not reached a consensus on how best to define recidivism with one measure. Therefore, consistent with other studies, DJS focuses on several measures, including subsequent juvenile and/or criminal involvement of youth. Because some youth may age out of juvenile court jurisdiction during the recidivism follow-up period, it is important to include information from the adult criminal justice system, and to report both juvenile and adult recidivism rates. For purposes of these recidivism studies, a new arrest includes all new delinquent or criminal offenses. This would be either a felony or a misdemeanor offense. Status offenses, traffic citations, ordinance, and violations of probation not including a new delinquent offense are not counted in recidivism rates. It is important to note that only those new adjudications, convictions, commitments, and incarcerations that stem from a new arrest are included. Those stemming from offenses that occurred prior to the probation or commitment episode studied would not be counted. All recidivism rates are calculated at the youth level, rather than the case level. If a youth is found to have recidivated in both the juvenile and adult systems, the recidivism event is counted once. It is, however, possible for a youth to show up in both the probation and committed study cohorts. The following chart includes data definitions used to analyze recidivism rates.

Cohort	Definition	Clock Starts	Assigned to	Further Breakdown/Summary
Probation	Youth with a first-time probation disposition in FY, and youth committed to in-home supervision in FY, who had not previously been placed on probation or committed to DJS	Date of Probation Disposition or Probation Start Date	Region of Jurisdiction of Case Manager	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, VPI, Assessed Risk
Committed	Youth released from committed program in FY	Date of final release, excluding transfers	Final program from which youth was released	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Program Type, Program, Assessed Risk

## Revised Recidivism Methodology

Beginning in FY 2015, the recidivism methodology was revised as follows: 1. The date used to report the event is based on the date of offense (for juvenile offenses) or arrest (for adult charges), rather than the date of any resulting court decision or placement. For example: a new offense in the first year after release, where the charge was not adjudicated until the second year, is counted as a first-year adjudication. In prior editions, the date of the court action or placement was used for reporting recidivism beyond the offense level. 2. Only misdemeanor and felony offenses are counted for recidivism. Technical violations, citations or other non-delinquent referrals are not counted. This Guide includes a five-year chart using the revised methodology for all years to show the long-term trend.

Recidivism	Definition	Date Used	Excludes	Data Source
Juvenile Arrest	Subsequent offense referred to DJS	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes violations of probation or aftercare not involving a new delinquent offense. Excludes CINS referrals, citations, local ordinance violations, arrests in other states, arrests for offenses occurring prior to release, and arrests diverted by police and not referred to DJS.	DJS ASSIST
Adult Arrest	Adult arrest in Maryland	Arrest date within follow-up period	Excludes violations of probation or parole, alcohol citation, civil citations, arrests outside of Maryland, and Federal holds.	CJIS
Juvenile Adjudication	Sustained delinquent adjudication included in reoffense	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes continued cases	DJS ASSIST
Adult Conviction	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count	Arrest date within follow-up period	Same as adult arrest	CJIS
Juvenile Commitment	Commitment stemming from offense included in reoffense and readjudication	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes commitments not resulting in out-of-home placement	DJS ASSIST
Adult Incarceration	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count resulting in a sentence of incarceration (or possible confinement)	Arrest date within follow-up period	Same as adult arrest	CJIS

For purposes of this *Data Resource Guide*, rates will be combined for the juvenile and adult system into three overall categories using the below mentioned juvenile and/or criminal justice recidivism measures and labeled as:

1. Rearrest
2. Reconviction
3. Reincarceration

## DATA SOURCES

Information from two different databases (juvenile and adult) is retrieved, processed, and compiled for each of the selected released cohorts. Data gathering involves the following procedures:

- A list of all youths newly assigned to probation, or released from the DJS committed residential programs is obtained from the Department's ASSIST management information system.
- Master cohort files are created containing gender, race, date of birth, county of jurisdiction, region of jurisdiction, county of residence, and region of residence. For committed youth, the last program name and program type from which youth was released during the release cohort is also included. Risk level is added using the MCASP assessment completed closest to the committed release date for committed youth and disposition date for probation youth.
- For both committed and probation cohort files, juvenile recidivism events are added from the ASSIST database, including subsequent arrests, adjudications, and commitments. Dates of offense are used to code recidivism events as occurring one, two, and three years after release.
- Adult arrest and court disposition information is obtained from the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), using DJS youth name, date of birth, race, and gender as identification or index fields. These records are added to a text file and returned to DJS with the rest of the response data. Name match is as follows: Smith, Gregory will match with Smith, Gregory and Smith Greg. Smith, Greg will match with Smith, Greg and Smith, Gr, but not with Smith, Gregory. DJS youth names are given in full and checked for any abbreviation as Gr or Greg for example.
- Youth who recidivate in both systems are counted only once by the first offense or arrest date during the follow-up period.



## Overall Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases

### 6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2013-2015 RELEASES, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2016

Follow-up Period	FY2013			FY2014			FY2015		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration
6 Months	30.0%	12.2%	9.0%	31.3%	12.3%	9.7%	30.3%	10.1%	7.6%
12 Months	46.9%	21.7%	17.1%	45.7%	20.8%	16.6%	44.1%	16.7%	12.8%
24 Months	62.4%	33.3%	26.8%	61.4%	29.7%	24.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	69.6%	39.0%	32.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2015 releases at 12-months were:
  - Rearrest: 44.1%
  - Reconviction: 16.7%
  - Reincarceration: 12.8%
- When comparing the reoffending pattern at 12-months, rates were lowest for FY 2015 releases for all three recidivism measures.

### 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015 RELEASES

Demographics	FY2015 Releases						
	Total	Rearrests		Reconvictions		Reincarcerations	
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Black	804	386	48.0%	145	18.0%	111	13.8%
White	273	95	34.8%	37	13.6%	30	11.0%
Hispanic/Other	66	23	34.8%	9	13.6%	5	7.6%
<b>Sex</b>							
Male	960	440	45.8%	177	18.4%	139	14.5%
Female	183	64	35.0%	14	7.7%	7	3.8%
<b>Age at Release</b>							
11 and Under	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	2	1	50.0%	0	N/A	0	N/A
13	20	7	35.0%	0	N/A	0	N/A
14	42	21	50.0%	8	19.0%	6	14.3%
15	152	78	51.3%	27	17.8%	19	12.5%
16	260	139	53.5%	61	23.5%	37	14.2%
17	311	135	43.4%	54	17.4%	43	13.8%
18 or older	356	123	34.6%	41	11.5%	41	11.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,143</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>44.1%</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>12.8%</b>

#### Note to Readers:

Throughout this recidivism section, analysis of trends information appearing in bullets is based on the actual data and not the rounded figures presented in the graphs/tables.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
  - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
  - Black youth had the highest rates for all recidivism measures.
  - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.


## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2013-2015 RELEASES, BY REGION AND COUNTY

Region/ County	FY 2013				FY 2014				FY 2015			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
<b>R-I - Balt.City</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>60.4%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>63.0%</b>	<b>27.6%</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>65.4%</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>
Baltimore City	326	60.4%	25.5%	23.3%	257	63.0%	27.6%	23.0%	214	65.4%	26.2%	20.6%
<b>R-II - Central</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>50.8%</b>	<b>24.6%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>
Baltimore Co.	120	60.8%	30.8%	15.0%	100	56.0%	24.0%	19.0%	75	46.7%	22.7%	18.7%
Carroll	44	34.1%	25.0%	13.6%	26	50.0%	26.9%	19.2%	26	61.5%	26.9%	23.1%
Harford	29	51.7%	17.2%	17.2%	42	35.7%	21.4%	9.5%	31	45.2%	16.1%	16.1%
Howard	19	36.8%	10.5%	10.5%	23	56.5%	30.4%	21.7%	28	53.6%	25.0%	17.9%
<b>R-III - Western</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>47.2%</b>	<b>23.2%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>43.4%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>35.5%</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>
Allegany	22	31.8%	22.7%	22.7%	24	45.8%	20.8%	20.8%	19	31.6%	10.5%	5.3%
Frederick	42	57.1%	21.4%	11.9%	35	28.6%	8.6%	5.7%	42	31.0%	16.7%	14.3%
Garrett	13	30.8%	30.8%	23.1%	11	72.7%	36.4%	27.3%	6	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	48	50.0%	22.9%	12.5%	43	46.5%	25.6%	14.0%	40	45.0%	15.0%	10.0%
<b>R-IV - Eastern</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>45.7%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>43.9%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>
Caroline	4	75.0%	25.0%	25.0%	7	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Cecil	13	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%	20	50.0%	30.0%	25.0%	12	25.0%	8.3%	8.3%
Dorchester	18	27.8%	16.7%	16.7%	14	57.1%	42.9%	42.9%	7	42.9%	28.6%	0.0%
Kent	3	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	6	50.0%	50.0%	33.3%	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Queen Anne's	9	55.6%	11.1%	11.1%	9	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%	3	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Somerset	14	42.9%	14.3%	7.1%	7	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	13	53.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Talbot	10	70.0%	30.0%	30.0%	3	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wicomico	85	35.3%	16.5%	16.5%	55	50.9%	27.3%	25.5%	53	49.1%	15.1%	13.2%
Worcester	14	42.9%	21.4%	21.4%	19	42.1%	10.5%	10.5%	8	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%
<b>R-V - Southern</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>23.5%</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>39.6%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>39.8%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>
Anne Arundel	162	47.5%	23.5%	19.1%	150	39.3%	16.7%	10.7%	111	43.2%	15.3%	11.7%
Calvert	17	35.3%	11.8%	0.0%	16	37.5%	12.5%	6.3%	23	30.4%	17.4%	8.7%
Charles	33	48.5%	30.3%	21.2%	41	36.6%	17.1%	17.1%	50	36.0%	14.0%	12.0%
St. Mary's	35	42.9%	22.9%	17.1%	38	44.7%	21.1%	21.1%	37	40.5%	16.2%	5.4%
<b>R-VI - Metro</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>39.2%</b>	<b>18.3%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>37.2%</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>34.1%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>8.4%</b>
Montgomery	135	40.7%	23.7%	17.8%	102	51.0%	25.5%	20.6%	72	51.4%	26.4%	18.1%
Prince George's	286	38.5%	15.7%	13.6%	266	32.0%	11.7%	10.2%	236	28.8%	6.8%	5.5%
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,530</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>1,338</b>	<b>45.7%</b>	<b>20.8%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>1,143</b>	<b>44.1%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore, if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.


## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL<sup>1</sup>, FY 2015 RELEASES



<sup>1</sup>There were 16 youth who did not have MCASP Risk Levels. They are psychiatric hospital/diagnostic youth.

- Youth with a high risk level had higher recidivism rates for all three measures.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2011-2015 RELEASES, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2016



- For all three measures, recidivism rates were lowest in FY 2015.

## Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases by Program Type

### 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR RELEASES<sup>1</sup> BY PROGRAM TYPE SUMMARY, FY 2013 - 2015

Total for Each Program Type	FY 2013				FY 2014				FY 2015			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Foster Care	80	45.0%	22.5%	18.8%	79	36.7%	13.9%	11.4%	69	31.9%	8.7%	8.7%
Group Home	332	41.6%	16.9%	12.3%	332	37.3%	19.9%	17.2%	268	41.0%	14.6%	11.2%
ICFA	175	46.9%	21.1%	15.4%	106	41.5%	16.0%	8.5%	81	42.0%	16.0%	12.3%
Independent Living	43	39.5%	23.3%	23.3%	32	37.5%	12.5%	9.4%	15	33.3%	20.0%	13.3%
RTC	201	38.3%	17.4%	14.9%	189	49.2%	18.5%	16.9%	184	45.1%	20.1%	14.7%
Out-of-State	129	57.4%	31.0%	24.0%	112	48.2%	22.3%	21.4%	90	45.6%	17.8%	14.4%
State-Operated	570	51.6%	23.9%	18.8%	488	52.5%	24.6%	18.0%	436	47.9%	17.7%	13.3%

<sup>1</sup>Totals presented in the table above include each type of facility reported in that broad category. For example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as In-Home Foster Care. State-Operated includes Silver Oak Academy (a privately-operated facility in Maryland).

- Analyses of trends are presented on the following pages for each specific program type.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR FOSTER CARE RELEASES, FY 2013 - FY 2015\*

Treatment Foster Care	FY2013				FY2014				FY2015			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Children's Home	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Greenleaf	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hearts & Homes for Youth - Family	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Mentor MD - Baltimore	25	14	7	7	29	11	3	3	21	9	2	2
Mentor MD - Community-Based	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD-Lanham	2	2	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD - Salisbury Teens	32	14	8	8	23	9	4	4	22	5	3	3
Multi-Dimensional	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	0	0
New Pathways	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Pressley Ridge	8	3	1	0	13	3	1	0	7	2	0	0
PSI Services III - Intensive TFC	1	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
San Mar	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Woodbourne	1	1	1	0	2	2	1	1	6	1	0	0
<b>Treatment Foster Care</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>36.0%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>30.2%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>
<b>In-Home Foster Care</b>												
<b>In-Home Foster</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
<b>Total Foster</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>45.0%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>36.7%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>31.9%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>

- For total Foster Care releases (including Treatment and In-Home Foster Care) between FY 2013 and FY 2015:
  - Rearrest rates decreased by 13.1%
  - Reconviction rates decreased by 13.8%
  - Reincarceration rates decreased by 10.1%.

Note: Throughout this section, programs that had no releases within a fiscal year will have N/A reported for all recidivism measures.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2013 - FY 2015\*

Group Home	FY2013				FY2014				FY2015			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
ARC of Washington	5	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	8	3	0	0
Board of Child Care	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	2	1	1
Catoctin Summit Adolescent Program	20	5	1	0	30	10	6	6	22	6	2	2
Cedar Ridge Ministries	19	11	3	2	14	6	4	4	9	6	2	2
Children's Home (GH & Trans)	11	5	1	1	11	7	6	6	10	3	2	2
Greentree Adolescent	22	12	2	2	13	8	5	3	19	10	4	3
Hearts and Homes	41	11	7	6	51	15	9	7	30	9	3	2
Karma Academy for Boys	9	4	2	0	7	3	3	3	4	2	0	0
Kent Youth Boys	12	5	4	3	11	3	3	3	4	3	1	0
KEYS	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
MAGIC	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	0
MD Sheriff's Youth Ranch	5	4	2	2	4	2	1	1	7	5	2	1
Morning Star Youth	40	19	10	7	35	12	7	6	13	7	2	2
Oak Hill House	13	3	1	1	12	2	1	1	14	6	3	2
One Love Group	7	1	1	1	7	2	1	1	3	1	0	0
Our House Youth	16	9	4	4	14	6	2	2	16	7	2	1
Salem Trust	13	6	2	1	16	7	3	2	18	6	2	1
San Mar -Graff Acad. for Life Readiness	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
San Mar- Jone Bowman	4	1	0	0	9	4	0	0	9	1	1	1
Shining Tree	7	4	2	1	7	2	1	1	9	3	3	2
St Ann's Infant & and Maternity Program	6	3	0	0	5	1	0	0	5	2	0	0
TuTTie's Place	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Way Home - Mt Manor	16	5	0	0	14	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Group Home Total</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>40.4%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>40.1%</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>

- The Group Home Releases table is continued on the next page and trend analyses are presented there.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.



## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2013 - FY 2015\* (CONTINUED)

Therapeutic Group Home (TGH)	FY2013				FY2014				FY2015			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Board of Child Care	20	12	4	3	32	13	5	3	14	5	2	2
Cedar Ridge	5	1	0	0	7	2	2	2	13	7	3	3
Guide	10	4	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maple Shade	3	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mary's Mount Manor**	9	2	1	1	10	4	0	0	13	3	0	0
Redl House**	9	5	3	1	9	7	5	4	11	6	2	2
San Mar-Allegany Girls	4	2	1	0	8	2	0	0	8	5	2	1
San Mar Jack E.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
<b>Therapeutic Group Home Total</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>44.3%</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>
<b>Total Group Home and TGH</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>41.6%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>37.3%</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>41.0%</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>11.2%</b>

\*\*Operated by Hearts and Homes

For total Group Home (including Therapeutic Group Home) releases:

- Between FY 2013 and FY 2015:
  - All measures of recidivism decreased.
  - Rearrest rates remained relatively stable (decreased 0.6%), reconviction rates decreased 2.3% and reincarceration rates decreased 1.2%.
- Between FY 2014 and FY 2015:
  - Rearrest rates increased 3.7%.
  - Reconviction rates decreased 5.3% and reincarceration rates decreased 6.0%.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INTERMEDIATE CARE FOR ADDICTIONS FACILITY (ICFA) RELEASES, FY 2013 - FY 2015\*

ICFA	FY2013				FY2014				FY2015			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Lois E. Jackson Unit	92	37	15	8	78	29	10	7	57	24	9	6
MTC - Mountain Manor	83	45	22	19	28	15	7	2	24	10	4	4
<b>Total ICFA</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>41.5%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>

For total ICFA releases:

- Between FY 2013 and FY 2015:
  - All measures of recidivism decreased.
  - Rearrest rates decreased 4.9%, reconviction rates decreased 5.1%, and reincarceration rates decreased 3.1%.
- Between FY 2014 and FY 2015:
  - Rearrest rates remained relatively stable (increased 0.5%) and reincarceration rates increased 3.9%.
  - Reconviction rates remained the same.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING RELEASES, FY 2013 - FY 2015\*

Independent Living	FY2013				FY2014				FY2015			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Damamli Independent	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Future Bound	5	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	4	2	1	1
Jumoke	11	5	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD - Baltimore	7	2	1	1	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Mentor MD-Salisbury	2	1	0	0	4	2	1	1	2	0	0	0
New Pathways-Independ. Plus	5	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	1	1
San Mar - Anderson	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Transition Age Youth Program	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
<b>Independent Living Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>
<b>Alternative Living Units</b>												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	1	0
NCIA -Youth in Transition Program	10	7	4	4	20	10	3	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Alternative Living Units Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>53.8%</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Independent Living &amp; Alternative Living Units</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>39.5%</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>

For total Independent Living releases including Alternative Living Units:

- Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, all measures decreased:
  - Rearrest rates decreased 6.2%.
  - Reconviction rates decreased 3.3%.
  - Reincarceration rates decreased 9.9%.
- Between FY 2014 and FY 2015:
  - Rearrest rates decreased 4.2%.
  - Reconviction rates increased 7.5%.
  - Reincarceration rates increased 4.0%.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR RTC RELEASES, FY 2013 - FY 2015\*

Residential Treatment Centers (RTC)	FY2013				FY2014				FY2015			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Behav.Hlth-East.Shore	14	11	4	3	9	5	4	4	9	4	1	1
Good Shepherd Center -Females	23	4	2	2	10	4	1	0	11	5	0	0
Good Shepherd Center Males	6	5	3	3	4	4	1	1	5	0	0	0
Jefferson School	14	8	5	4	5	3	1	1	6	3	2	2
New Directions Chesapeake	6	2	1	1	13	4	2	2	12	6	2	1
Potomac Ridge	9	3	1	0	14	8	3	2	16	9	3	1
RICA Baltimore	7	0	0	0	5	2	1	0	7	3	1	1
RICA Rockville	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	9	2	1	0	11	5	1	1	9	4	4	3
Villa Maria	1	1	0	0	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	0
Woodbourne	15	5	1	1	17	7	5	5	32	16	10	8
<b>Residential Treat. Total</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>39.4%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>48.4%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>
<b>Psychiatric Hospitals</b>												
Behavioral Health Hospital Rockville	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
East. Shore Acute Unit	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sheppard Pratt Ellicott City	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Southern MD Hospital Ctr	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spring Grove Hospital Ctr	50	18	9	9	40	19	7	7	32	12	5	2
Springfield Adult Hosp. Ctr.	2	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	5	0	0	0
Thomas Finan Center	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
<b>Psychiatric Hosp. Total</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>35.7%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>32.5%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>
<b>Diagnostic Units / CEU</b>												
Arrow Child & Family Ministries	18	8	4	3	20	12	4	4	23	11	6	5
Children's Home (Females)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
RICA Rockville	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	0
Woodbourne	20	7	3	3	29	15	3	3	9	7	3	3
<b>Diag. Units/CEU Total</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>39.0%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>54.3%</b>	<b>25.7%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>
<b>Total RTC</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>38.3%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>49.2%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>45.1%</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>14.7%</b>

For RTC releases including Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Units/CEU between FY 2013 and FY 2015:

- Rearrest rates increased 6.8%, reconviction rates increased 2.7%, and reincarceration rates decreased 0.3%.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2013 - FY 2015\*

Residential Treatment Facility OOS	FY2013				FY2014				FY2015			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
AdvoServ Programs	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Boys Town	1	1	1	0	4	3	2	1	1	0	0	0
Cottonwood Treatment Center	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	3	1	1
Devereux - Florida	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Devereux - Georgia	5	2	2	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Devereux - Pennsylvania	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Keystone Newport News	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Hope Carolinas	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	3	2	2	4	1	1	1
Three Rivers - Midland Campus	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
UHS of DE, Inc - Laurel	4	3	2	2	4	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
<b>Residential Treatment Facility</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>47.1%</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>23.5%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
<b>Staff Secure -OOS</b>												
Bennington School	1	1	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Canyon State Academy	6	4	2	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Clarinda Academy	13	7	5	4	12	7	3	3	4	1	0	0
Cornell Abraxas Intens.	5	4	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Glen Mills Schools (PA)	11	7	2	0	9	2	0	0	15	6	2	2
KidLink Coastal Harbor Treatment	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
KidLink Network Foundations for Living	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Lakeside Academy	2	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Natchez Trace Yth Acad.	14	7	4	3	11	7	2	2	14	10	3	2
Summit Academy	9	5	2	1	4	1	0	0	7	3	1	1
Woodward Academy	14	7	4	4	8	7	6	6	4	4	2	1
<b>Staff Secure OOS</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>59.7%</b>	<b>31.2%</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>51.0%</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>

- The Out-of-State Facility Releases table is continued on the next page and trend analyses are presented there.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2013 - FY 2015\* (CONTINUED)

Hardware Secure - OOS	FY2013				FY2014				FY2015			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Acadia Health-Capstone	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	1
CCS Turning Point	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Cornell Abraxas Acad.	16	8	4	2	16	6	3	3	12	5	2	1
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	4	1	1	1	12	7	3	3	6	3	2	2
Mid Atlantic West, PA	10	8	5	5	8	5	2	2	4	1	0	0
NHS Yth Services, Inc. Northwestern Academy	3	2	0	0	7	1	0	0	5	0	0	0
<b>Hardware Secure OOS Total</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>52.8%</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>44.2%</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>34.5%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>
<b>Out-of-State Total</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>57.4%</b>	<b>31.0%</b>	<b>24.0%</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>48.2%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>45.6%</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>

Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, for Out-of-State releases including Residential Treatment Facilities, Staff Secure, and Hardware Secure:

- Rearrest rates decreased by 11.8%, reconviction rates decreased 13.2%, and reincarceration rates decreased 9.6%.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR STATE-OPERATED AND PRIVATELY OPERATED FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2013 - FY 2015\*

State-Operated Facilities	FY2013				FY2014				FY2015			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Backbone Mtn Yth Ctr.	102	54	25	21	70	31	11	5	62	21	8	5
Green Ridge Yth Ctr.	52	26	16	11	48	21	15	12	46	24	10	7
Green Ridge-Mtn Quest	43	24	10	7	35	18	11	8	29	12	4	2
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr.	16	7	3	3	18	7	1	0	16	8	2	0
Meadow Mtn Yth Ctr.	74	40	27	19	66	32	18	13	52	21	8	7
Savage Mtn Yth Center	78	38	18	16	59	33	13	9	56	30	11	10
Victor Cullen Center	100	52	24	22	99	67	30	27	79	45	20	16
William Donald Schaefer House	46	26	8	6	33	19	8	5	29	15	3	2
<b>Total State Operated</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>52.3%</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>53.3%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>47.7%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>
<b>Privately Operated Facility</b>												
Silver Oak Academy	59	27	5	2	60	28	13	9	67	33	11	9
<b>Total Privately Operated</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>45.8%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>
<b>Total State and Privately Operated</b>	<b>570</b>	<b>51.6%</b>	<b>23.9%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>488</b>	<b>52.5%</b>	<b>24.6%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>47.9%</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>

Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, for State-Operated Facility releases including Silver Oak:

- Rearrest rates decreased 3.6%, reconviction rates decreased 6.2%, and reincarceration rates decreased 5.5%.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.



## ONE, TWO, AND THREE YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2013 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrests			Reconvictions			Reincarcerations		
		1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr
<b>Foster Care Total</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>45.0%</b>	<b>51.3%</b>	<b>60.0%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>27.5%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>
Treatment Foster Care	77	46.8%	51.9%	61.0%	23.4%	27.3%	29.9%	19.5%	22.1%	24.7%
In-Home Foster Care	3	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%
<b>Group Home Total</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>41.6%</b>	<b>57.5%</b>	<b>65.4%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>35.2%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>28.3%</b>
Group Home	272	40.4%	55.9%	65.1%	15.8%	26.8%	33.5%	11.8%	21.3%	27.6%
Therapeutic Group Home	60	46.7%	65.0%	66.7%	21.7%	38.3%	43.3%	15.0%	25.0%	31.7%
<b>Independent Living Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>39.5%</b>	<b>55.8%</b>	<b>67.4%</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>34.9%</b>	<b>41.9%</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>32.6%</b>	<b>37.2%</b>
Independent Living	30	33.3%	53.3%	66.7%	20.0%	33.3%	40.0%	20.0%	30.0%	33.3%
Alternative Living Units	13	53.8%	61.5%	69.2%	30.8%	38.5%	46.2%	30.8%	38.5%	46.2%
<b>IFCA Total</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>61.1%</b>	<b>65.1%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>35.4%</b>	<b>40.6%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>26.9%</b>	<b>33.1%</b>
<b>RTC Total</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>38.3%</b>	<b>57.7%</b>	<b>68.2%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>32.3%</b>	<b>38.8%</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>32.3%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility	104	39.4%	56.7%	65.4%	17.3%	31.7%	36.5%	13.5%	25.0%	29.8%
Psychiatric Hospital	56	35.7%	53.6%	62.5%	17.9%	26.8%	33.9%	17.9%	26.8%	28.6%
Diagnostic Units/ CEU	41	39.0%	65.9%	82.9%	17.1%	41.5%	51.2%	14.6%	34.1%	43.9%
<b>Out-of-State Total</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>57.4%</b>	<b>69.0%</b>	<b>72.9%</b>	<b>31.0%</b>	<b>38.8%</b>	<b>41.9%</b>	<b>24.0%</b>	<b>31.0%</b>	<b>35.7%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	16	56.3%	62.5%	62.5%	37.5%	37.5%	37.5%	31.3%	31.3%	37.5%
Staff Secure - OOS	77	59.7%	72.7%	76.6%	31.2%	37.7%	42.9%	23.4%	28.6%	35.1%
Hardware Secure - OOS	36	52.8%	63.9%	69.4%	27.8%	41.7%	41.7%	22.2%	36.1%	36.1%
<b>State Operated Total</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>52.3%</b>	<b>67.7%</b>	<b>74.6%</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>42.9%</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>37.6%</b>
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr.	102	52.9%	73.5%	78.4%	24.5%	35.3%	42.2%	20.6%	29.4%	38.2%
Green Ridge Youth Center	52	50.0%	59.6%	67.3%	30.8%	34.6%	38.5%	21.2%	25.0%	30.8%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	43	55.8%	72.1%	74.4%	23.3%	27.9%	34.9%	16.3%	20.9%	30.2%
J. DeWeese Carter Center	16	43.8%	43.8%	62.5%	18.8%	25.0%	37.5%	18.8%	18.8%	31.3%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	74	54.1%	67.6%	77.0%	36.5%	43.2%	52.7%	25.7%	33.8%	43.2%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	78	48.7%	69.2%	82.1%	23.1%	37.2%	43.6%	20.5%	33.3%	39.7%
Victor Cullen Center	100	52.0%	69.0%	71.0%	24.0%	43.0%	47.0%	22.0%	40.0%	44.0%
William Donald Schaefer House	46	56.5%	63.0%	69.6%	17.4%	23.9%	32.6%	13.0%	17.4%	26.1%
<b>Silver Oak (Privately-Operated DJS Youth Only)</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>45.8%</b>	<b>69.5%</b>	<b>76.3%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>23.7%</b>	<b>27.1%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,530</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>62.4%</b>	<b>69.6%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>39.0%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>26.8%</b>	<b>32.9%</b>

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2013 releases at 1 and 3 years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 46.9% and within 3 years it was 69.6%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 21.7% and within 3 years it was 39.0%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 17.1% and within 3 years it was 32.9%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## ONE AND TWO YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2014 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrests		Reconvictions		Reincarcerations	
		1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr
<b><i>Foster Care Total</i></b>	<b>79</b>	<b>36.7%</b>	<b>55.7%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>
Treatment Foster Care	75	36.0%	54.7%	12.0%	25.3%	10.7%	24.0%
In-Home Foster Care	4	50.0%	75.0%	50.0%	75.0%	25.0%	50.0%
<b><i>Group Home Total</i></b>	<b>332</b>	<b>37.3%</b>	<b>53.0%</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>22.6%</b>
Group Home	265	36.2%	53.2%	20.4%	26.4%	18.1%	23.4%
Therapeutic Group Home	67	41.8%	52.2%	17.9%	25.4%	13.4%	19.4%
<b><i>Independent Living Total</i></b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>53.1%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>21.9%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>21.9%</b>
Independent Living	12	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%
Alternative Living Units	20	50.0%	60.0%	15.0%	30.0%	10.0%	30.0%
<b><i>IFCA Total</i></b>	<b>106</b>	<b>41.5%</b>	<b>60.4%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>15.1%</b>
<b><i>RTC Total</i></b>	<b>189</b>	<b>49.2%</b>	<b>63.5%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>24.3%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility	91	48.4%	61.5%	22.0%	30.8%	18.7%	26.4%
Psychiatric Hospital	46	47.8%	63.0%	17.4%	30.4%	17.4%	26.1%
Diagnostic Units / CEU	52	51.9%	67.3%	13.5%	23.1%	13.5%	19.2%
<b><i>Out-of-State Total</i></b>	<b>112</b>	<b>48.2%</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>27.7%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	17	47.1%	70.6%	29.4%	35.3%	23.5%	35.3%
Staff Secure - OOS	52	51.9%	65.4%	23.1%	30.8%	23.1%	28.8%
Hardware Secure - OOS	43	44.2%	58.1%	18.6%	23.3%	18.6%	23.3%
<b><i>State Operated Total</i></b>	<b>428</b>	<b>53.3%</b>	<b>67.5%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>34.6%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr.	70	44.3%	57.1%	15.7%	27.1%	7.1%	14.3%
Green Ridge Youth Center	48	43.8%	66.7%	31.3%	35.4%	25.0%	31.3%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	35	51.4%	57.1%	31.4%	37.1%	22.9%	22.9%
J. DeWeese Carter Youth Center	18	38.9%	61.1%	5.6%	16.7%	0.0%	5.6%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	66	48.5%	56.1%	27.3%	34.8%	19.7%	31.8%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	59	55.9%	71.2%	22.0%	32.2%	15.3%	25.4%
Victor Cullen Center	99	67.7%	83.8%	30.3%	44.4%	27.3%	38.4%
William Donald Schaefer House	33	57.6%	72.7%	24.2%	30.3%	15.2%	18.2%
<b><i>Silver Oak (Privately-Operated DJS Youth)</i></b>	<b>60</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>35.0%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>26.7%</b>
<b><i>Statewide Total</i></b>	<b>1,338</b>	<b>45.7%</b>	<b>61.4%</b>	<b>20.8%</b>	<b>29.7%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>24.3%</b>

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2014 releases at 1 and 2 years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 45.7% and within 2 years it was 61.4%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 20.8% and within 2 years it was 29.7%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 16.6% and within 2 years it was 24.3%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

## Overall Recidivism Rates for Youth with First-Time Probation Dispositions

### 6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2013-2015 NEW PROBATION YOUTH, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2016\*

Follow-up Period	FY 2013			FY 2014			FY 2015		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*
6 Months	26.9%	12.0%	5.0%	28.3%	11.9%	4.4%	27.0%	11.0%	3.6%
12 Months	39.5%	18.5%	8.0%	40.8%	19.0%	7.7%	40.2%	17.6%	7.0%
24 Months	52.1%	26.1%	13.7%	52.7%	26.7%	12.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	57.2%	29.7%	17.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2015 youth at 12-months were:
  - Rearrest: 40.2%
  - Reconviction: 17.6%
  - Incarceration: 7.0%
- Comparing the 12-month rates for FY 2013 and FY 2015, rearrest rates and reconviction rates remained relatively stable (increased 0.7% and decreased 0.9% respectively). Incarceration rates decreased 1.1%.

### 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2015 NEW PROBATION YOUTH\*

Demographics	FY 2015						
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*			
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Black	1,349	603	44.7%	272	20.2%	110	8.2%
White	544	176	32.4%	67	12.3%	6	1.1%
Hispanic/Other	121	31	25.6%	15	12.4%	24	19.8%
<b>Sex</b>							
Male	1,549	648	41.8%	301	19.4%	128	8.3%
Female	465	162	34.8%	53	11.4%	12	2.6%
<b>Age at Disposition</b>							
11 and Under	28	6	21.4%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%
12	73	31	42.5%	16	21.9%	4	5.5%
13	145	75	51.7%	35	24.1%	11	7.6%
14	302	156	51.7%	66	21.9%	28	9.3%
15	441	195	44.2%	99	22.4%	39	8.8%
16	430	186	43.3%	74	17.2%	24	5.6%
17	439	130	29.6%	52	11.8%	24	5.5%
18 or older	156	31	19.9%	9	5.8%	9	5.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,014</b>	<b>810</b>	<b>40.2%</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>7.0%</b>

Note: The probation cohort includes youth placed on probation (not youth released from probation) and committed community youth. Therefore, recidivism is measured starting at the disposition date.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
  - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
  - Black youth had the highest rates for rearrest and reconviction while Hispanic/Other youth had the highest incarceration rates.
  - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

\*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system. Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.


## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2013-2015 NEW PROBATION YOUTH, BY REGION AND COUNTY\*

Region/ County	FY 2013				FY 2014				FY 2015			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*
<b>R-I - Balt.City</b>	<b>527</b>	<b>52.8%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>551</b>	<b>55.9%</b>	<b>27.2%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>472</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>
Baltimore City	527	52.8%	26.6%	10.8%	551	55.9%	27.2%	10.2%	472	44.7%	19.5%	8.1%
<b>R-II - Central</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>38.0%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>40.3%</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>41.9%</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>
Baltimore Co.	345	40.9%	21.2%	6.4%	367	43.1%	19.6%	5.2%	332	43.4%	18.7%	5.4%
Carroll	70	24.3%	11.4%	5.7%	59	22.0%	16.9%	10.2%	40	22.5%	12.5%	5.0%
Harford	120	34.2%	20.0%	5.8%	76	40.8%	19.7%	3.9%	70	37.1%	14.3%	7.1%
Howard	115	41.7%	25.2%	9.6%	114	40.4%	25.4%	10.5%	69	50.7%	26.1%	5.8%
<b>R-III - Western</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>40.2%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
Allegany	29	41.4%	20.7%	10.3%	38	44.7%	26.3%	13.2%	39	51.3%	17.9%	5.1%
Frederick	61	45.9%	21.3%	13.1%	53	30.2%	13.2%	5.7%	66	40.9%	19.7%	7.6%
Garrett	25	24.0%	8.0%	4.0%	10	40.0%	30.0%	30.0%	12	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	79	40.5%	15.2%	5.1%	89	43.8%	14.6%	2.2%	88	58.0%	27.3%	5.7%
<b>R-IV - Eastern</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>36.5%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>37.9%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>
Caroline	13	38.5%	15.4%	15.4%	24	41.7%	8.3%	0.0%	18	38.9%	5.6%	0.0%
Cecil	70	22.9%	8.6%	4.3%	43	25.6%	16.3%	4.7%	44	25.0%	15.9%	0.0%
Dorchester	19	42.1%	15.8%	10.5%	13	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%	24	41.7%	16.7%	8.3%
Kent	11	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	4	100.0%	75.0%	50.0%
Queen Anne's	14	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	9	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%
Somerset	6	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%	12	58.3%	25.0%	8.3%	9	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Talbot	17	41.2%	23.5%	11.8%	12	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%	7	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%
Wicomico	97	34.0%	7.2%	4.1%	49	46.9%	16.3%	10.2%	40	50.0%	15.0%	12.5%
Worcester	52	21.2%	7.7%	3.8%	35	22.9%	0.0%	0.0%	43	27.9%	14.0%	2.3%
<b>R-V - Southern</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>36.7%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>36.1%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>
Anne Arundel	193	45.6%	20.2%	9.8%	170	35.9%	15.9%	7.6%	152	38.2%	19.1%	9.9%
Calvert	42	33.3%	14.3%	4.8%	38	50.0%	13.2%	7.9%	36	33.3%	22.2%	19.4%
Charles	83	36.1%	19.3%	10.8%	105	39.0%	21.0%	9.5%	85	31.8%	16.5%	4.7%
St. Mary's	79	26.6%	6.3%	2.5%	77	28.6%	10.4%	3.9%	62	38.7%	14.5%	8.1%
<b>R-VI - Metro</b>	<b>496</b>	<b>32.3%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>27.2%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>
Montgomery	171	37.4%	18.1%	8.8%	106	31.1%	19.8%	13.2%	110	35.5%	17.3%	7.3%
Prince George's	325	29.5%	11.7%	7.1%	283	25.8%	9.2%	5.7%	183	26.8%	7.1%	5.5%
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>2,563</b>	<b>39.5%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>2,336</b>	<b>40.8%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>2,014</b>	<b>40.2%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

\*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system. Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.


## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL<sup>1</sup>, FY 2015 NEW PROBATION YOUTH\*



<sup>1</sup> There were 49 youth out of 2,339 who did not have MCASP risk levels. Percentages are calculated excluding these missing values.

- Youth with a high risk level and a moderate risk level had similar rearrest and reconviction rates, though consideration needs to be given to the smaller number of youth with a high risk. At incarceration, youth with high risk levels had the highest recidivism rates.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2011-2015 NEW PROBATION YOUTH, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2016\*



- Rearrest rates and reconviction rates were lowest in FY 2012 and incarceration rates were lowest in FY 2015.

\*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system. Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.



## NEW PROBATION YOUTH - VPI RECIDIVISM

The table below shows recidivism outcomes for a subset of the new probation youth cohort who were assigned to VPI community supervision during their probation term. These data should not be used to generalize the VPI program as a whole. The analysis excludes VPI participants in placement, under aftercare supervision as well as probation youth with a prior probation disposition. As in the overall probation cohort analysis, the follow-up period begins on the disposition date.

## 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2013-2015 FOR NEW PROBATION YOUTH ON VPI\*

Region	FY2013				FY2014				FY2015			
	Probation Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	Probation Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	Probation Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*
Region I - Balt. City	66	51.5%	21.2%	6.1%	85	51.8%	29.4%	15.3%	105	47.6%	21.0%	10.5%
Region II - Central	35	51.4%	22.9%	11.4%	50	40.0%	16.0%	8.0%	50	56.0%	34.0%	16.0%
Region III - Western	7	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	2	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	8	75.0%	37.5%	25.0%
Region IV - Eastern	4	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6	66.7%	33.3%	16.7%	8	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%
Region V - Southern	34	52.9%	20.6%	11.8%	29	27.6%	13.8%	10.3%	27	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%
Region VI - Metro	58	36.2%	19.0%	8.6%	44	38.6%	13.6%	13.6%	58	37.9%	13.8%	8.6%
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>46.6%</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>43.5%</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>46.1%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>

- Some regions have a small number of youth in the analysis group. Therefore the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, it is not advisable to compare data across regions.
- Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, statewide:
  - Rearrest rates remained relatively stable (decreased 0.5%).
  - Reconviction rates increased 2.6%.
  - Incarceration rates increased 2.9%.
- Between FY 2014 and FY 2015, statewide:
  - Rearrest rates increased 2.6%.
  - Reconviction rates increased 1.4%.
  - Incarceration rates remained relatively stable (decreased 0.8%).

\*Since youth in VPI are part of the probation cohort that includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system. Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

# Appendices



The Prince George's County Evening Reporting Center youth went to Climb Zone in Laurel, MD where they challenged themselves to climb new highs, overcome obstacles and achieve a goal to get to the top.



## Appendix A

### COMMUNITY SERVICES STAFFING DISTRIBUTION AS OF JUNE 30, 2016

	Facilities (By Region)	CMS <sup>+</sup>		Mgmt <sup>+</sup>	Admn	RA/CD		Res. Spec.		Services <sup>+</sup>	Int & Secur	Othr <sup>+</sup>	Total
		Spec	Sup			Offr	Sup	Spec	Sup				
R-I-Baltimore City	CD - BCJJC	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	CD - MYRC	0	0	1	1	38	6	0	0	0	0	0	46
	Evening Rep. Ctr Office	2	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	8
	Gay Street Office	43	14	3	13	4	0	3	1	0	6	0	87
	Hilton St. Office	9	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	Plaza Office	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
R-II-Central	Arbutus Office	8	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Bel Air Office	7	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Ellicott City Office	9	2	0	0	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	20
	Essex Office	14	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	Garrison Office	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Hunt Valley Office	9	4	1	5	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	24
R-III-Western	Westminster Office	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Allegany Co Office	4	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	10
	Frederick Office	6	2	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	13
	Hagerstown Office	8	2	0	3	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	18
	Oakland Office	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Cambridge Office	3	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
R-IV-Eastern	CD - LESCC	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Centreville Office	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Chestertown Office	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Denton Office	2	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
	Easton Office	3	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	9
	Elkton Office	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
R-V-Southern	Ocean City Office*	4	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	11
	Princess Anne Office	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	6
	Salisbury Office	11	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Snow Hill Office	4	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Annapolis Office	18	4	2	5	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	34
	Glen Burnie Office	7	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
R-VI-Metro	LaPlata Office	10	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	16
	Leonardtown Office	7	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Prince Frederick Office	5	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Largo Office	26	7	1	6	7	0	2	1	0	0	1	51
	Rockville Office	13	3	1	4	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	26
	Silver Spring Office	7	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	10
	Upper Marlboro Office	14	3	2	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	25
	<b>Total Filled</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>580</b>
	<b>Total Vacancies</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>51</b>

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

\*Ocean City Office is a seasonal office, staffed by contractual employees.

+ "CMS" means Case Management Specialist; "Mgmt" includes management and assistant area directors; "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Othr" (other) includes transportation, recreation, and program specialists.

## Appendix B

### DETENTION CENTER STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2016<sup>1</sup>

Facility (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services+	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Othr+	Total
	Advis	Sup										
R-I-Baltimore City												
BCJJC**	105	8	6	12	5	3	17	19	10	4	3	192
R-II-Central												
Hickey School**	102	5	7	7	4	3	8	11	7	2	3	159
R-III-Western												
WMCC	26	4	2	2	2	1	2	5	5	1	1	51
R-IV-Eastern												
LESCC	25	4	2	2	3	1	2	5	4	1	0	49
R-V-Southern												
Waxter Center	37	6	2	3	6	1	0	6	2	2	0	65
R-VI-Metro												
Cheltenham**	81	7	7	12	5	2	3	8	6	2	2	135
Noyes Children's Ctr.	38	4	4	4	2	0	2	0	4	2	1	61
Total Filled	414	38	30	42	27	11	34	54	38	14	10	712
Vacancies Available	44	4	8	2	6	7	7	5	10	2	2	97

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

\*“GLM” represents Group Life Manager; “CMS” represents Case Management Specialists; “Facil” represents Facility Maintenance and Building Security at BCJJC.

+ “Services” includes substance abuse and behavioral health; “Othr” includes transportation and recreation.

\*\*Direct clinical services are provided by contracted vendors. For the MAST initiative, DJS added full-time, non-contractual psychologist and social work positions.

<sup>1</sup>MSDE assumed responsibility for all education positions that were previously under the auspices of DJS.

## Appendix C

### COMMITTED PROGRAM STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2016<sup>1</sup>

Facility (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services+	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Othr+	Total
	Advis	Sup										
R-I (Baltimore City)												
Schaefer House <sup>2</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R-III (Western)												
Backbone Mt. Yth Ctr	24	3	3	4	3	1	2	3	0	2	1	46
Green Ridge Yth Ctr	23	1	3	5	2	1	2	6	0	2	0	45
Meadow Mt. Yth Ctr	28	2	2	3	4	2	2	4	0	2	2	51
Savage Mt. Yth Ctr	25	1	3	4	4	1	2	4	0	2	1	47
Victor Cullen	44	6	3	5	5	2	5	7	6	2	3	88
Yth Ctrs Central Office	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	1	0	1	0	8
R-IV (Eastern)												
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr	15	2	2	1	0	1	1	0	2	2	1	27
Total Filled	159	15	16	23	18	10	17	25	8	13	8	312
Vacancies Available	28.5	4	6	3	6	1	1	0	4	2	1	56.5

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

\*“GLM” represents Group Life Manager; “CMS” represents Case Management Specialists; “Facil” represents Facility Maintenance.

+ “Services” includes substance abuse and behavioral health; “Other” includes transportation and recreation.

<sup>1</sup>MSDE assumed responsibility for all education positions that were previously under the auspices of DJS.

<sup>2</sup>Schaefer House was closed as of 5/26/2016 and all employees were moved to other facilities.



## Appendix D

### STATE-OPERATED FACILITY EXPENDITURES, FY 2016

	General Admin	Mainten.	Education Services*	Somatic Health	Direct Care	Dietary Services	Mental Health Services	Juvenile Incent.	Grand Total
Baltimore City	\$4,172,078	\$669,865	\$414,499	\$1,992,500	\$11,252,642	\$1,864,524	\$2,013,271	\$1,431	\$22,380,810
Carter	\$445,206	\$153,458	\$45,469	\$412,943	\$1,482,275	\$157,989	\$298,864	\$441	\$2,996,645
Cheltenham	\$2,386,178	\$708,144	\$250,402	\$1,712,490	\$10,031,301	\$866,685	\$2,385,956	\$39	\$18,341,195
Green Ridge	\$411,176	\$411,652	\$0	\$1,106	\$2,519,228	\$442,397	\$184,361	\$423	\$3,970,343
Hickey	\$1,187,725	\$2,977,273	\$276,995	\$1,434,115	\$9,427,123	\$1,278,822	\$1,088,104	\$266	\$17,670,423
Lower East Shore	\$400,016	\$264,056	\$72,628	\$619,572	\$3,141,648	\$479,046	\$263,512	\$749	\$5,241,227
Noyes	\$799,371	\$546,413	\$18,626	\$509,683	\$4,810,331	\$372,857	\$461,083	\$1,359	\$7,519,723
Schaefer	\$238,000	\$48,055	\$43,450	\$184,084	\$1,440,477	\$18,285	\$207,590	\$7,463	\$2,187,404
State-Wide Youth Centers*	\$999,839	\$4,947,788	\$529,049	\$1,419,955	\$9,626,995	\$1,213,142	\$1,097,508	\$3,938	\$19,838,214
Victor Cullen	\$1,172,558	\$1,286,201	\$113,428	\$851,276	\$5,628,577	\$645,320	\$579,890	\$0	\$10,277,250
Waxter	\$1,316,155	\$524,527	\$118,321	\$911,851	\$3,890,452	\$543,552	\$734,923	\$383	\$8,040,164
Western Maryland	\$536,586	\$414,368	\$74,970	\$715,001	\$2,846,537	\$479,728	\$258,363	\$0	\$5,325,553
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$14,064,888</b>	<b>\$12,951,800</b>	<b>\$1,957,837</b>	<b>\$10,764,576</b>	<b>\$66,097,586</b>	<b>\$8,362,347</b>	<b>\$9,573,425</b>	<b>\$16,492</b>	<b>\$123,788,951</b>

\*Education costs reflect the amounts collected from Local Education Agencies. These funds are transferred to MSDE who is responsible for the operation of the Education programs in DJS facilities.

## Appendix E

### STATE-OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY16

	Per Diem Cost	Avg. Annual Cost
Baltimore City	\$640	\$234,261
Carter	\$1,034	\$378,356
Cheltenham	\$825	\$301,012
Green Ridge	\$389	\$142,306
Hickey	\$846	\$309,492
L. Eastern Shore	\$776	\$283,989
Noyes	\$804	\$294,161
Schaefer	\$630	\$230,533
State-Wide Youth Centers*	\$707	\$265,928
Victor Cullen	\$908	\$332,151
Waxter	\$776	\$283,937
Western Maryland	\$721	\$263,848

\*Does not include Green Ridge Youth Center which is budgeted separately as a regionalized Youth Center.

### FY 2016 COMMITTED PROGRAM PER DIEM RATES

	Program Type	FY16 Per Diem Range*, ***	Avg. FY16 Per Diem Rate**
In-State	Treatment Foster Care	\$108.86 - \$276.08	\$172.48
	Group Home	\$173.45 - \$292.36	\$214.10
	Therapeutic Group Home	\$253.56 - \$267.18	\$259.13
	Independent Living	\$96.71 - \$229.32	\$139.26
	Alternative Living	\$253.41	\$253.41
	Residential Treatment Center	\$378.71 - \$535.74	\$457.19
	Diagnostic Unit/CEU	\$296.41 - \$312.83	\$304.62
Out-of-State	Residential Treatment Center	\$284.45 - \$458.00	\$367.19
	Staff Secure	\$134.98 - \$351.75	\$219.89
	Hardware Secure	\$338.20 - \$365.00	\$354.75

\*\*\*Per Diem Ranges are presented for privately-operated programs that DJS reimburses for service using a single rate. Rates are not presented for programs that are funded in whole or in part by other sources such as private health care insurance or Medicaid. IFCA and Psychiatric Hospitals are excluded as a class for this reason, and rates for Residential Treatment Centers are based on 6 of 11 programs utilized by DJS.

\*\*The average per diem rate should not be used to calculate the total cost by program type given the range of per diem rates. The average per diem rate does not take into account individual program utilization and the total cost may vary substantially based on the number of youth who actually participate in each program.

\*\*\*Educational costs are not included in per diem rates. Educational costs may vary within a program depending on the combination of services provided (e.g., regular education vs. special education) and the educational needs of youth placed.

## Appendix F

### DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 1

1 Maryland DJS Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Implemented 7/6/13; Revised 7/1/14, 7/1/15

Youth Name: ASSIST ID:  
Date of Birth: Race:  
Gender: Complaint ID/ Complaint Date:  
Worker Name: ASSIST ID:  
Date D-RAI Completed: Date of Decision:

☐ Completed Pre-Detention ☐ Completed Post-Detention

1. Most Serious Pending Offense (Pending Adjudication or Pending at Intake) (specify)

Category I.....	15	
Category II.....	12	
Category III.....	7	= <input type="text"/>
Category IV.....	5	
Category V.....	3	
NO Pending Offense.....	0	

2. Additional Alleged Offenses: Include Charges Pending Adjudication and Charges Pending at Intake (Including Current Complaint)

Two or more additional Category I or II Offenses.....	10	
One additional Category I or II Offense.....	7	
One or more additional Category III Offense.....	5	= <input type="text"/>
One or more additional Category IV or V Offense.....	1	
NO additional current or pending charges.....	0	

DETAIN = 15+

3. Current Supervision Status

VPI Supervision .....	8	
Aftercare Supervision .....	4	
Probation Supervision .....	3	= <input type="text"/>
Pre-Court or ATD Supervision .....	2	
NO current supervision.....	0	

ATD = 10 - 14

4. Prior Sustained Adjudications

One or more prior sustained adjudication(s) for a Category I or II Offense.....	8	
Two or more prior sustained adjudications for Category III or IV Offenses.....	6	
One prior sustained adjudication for a Category III or IV Offense.....	4	= <input type="text"/>
One or more prior sustained adjudication(s) for a Category V Offense.....	1	
NO prior sustained adjudication.....	0	

5. History of Failure to Appear for a Court Hearing (**within past 12 months**)

Two or more writs/warrants for failure to appear in past 12 months.....	3	
One writ/warrant for failure to appear in past 12 months.....	1	= <input type="text"/>
NO warrants for failure to appear in past 12 months.....	0	

RELEASE = 0 - 9

6. History of Escape/AWOL (**within past 12 months**)

One or more escapes from secure confinement or custody.....	4	
One or more instances of AWOL from non-secure, court-ordered placement.....	3	= <input type="text"/>
NO escapes/ AWOLs in past 12 months.....	0	

RISK CATEGORY =

RISK SCORE =

## DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 2

2 Maryland DJS Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Implemented 7/6/13; Revised 7/1/14, 7/1/15

Rationale (Check ALL that Apply)

- ☐ New Complaint
- ☐ Violation of Probation / Violation of Commitment
- ☐ Pre-Adjudicated ATD Violation
- ☐ VPI GPS Violation
- ☐ NON-VPI GPS Violation with Detention Language in Court Order (Detain at First Infraction)
- ☐ Post-Disposition ATD Violation (No New Offense)
- ☐ ATD Violation with Detention Language in Court Order (Detain at First Infraction)
- ☐ Writ or Warrant
- ☐ Adult Court Involvement
- ☐ Court-Ordered Detention at Hearing (include Committed Youth Held for Court Hearing)  
(Drop-Down with Hearing type: Arraignment, Adjudication, Disposition, Review, Review - Drug Court)
- ☐ Interstate Hold
- ☐ Firearm use / possession (Exclude BB Guns)
- ☐ Escape – Secure Facility
- ☐ Ejected – Committed Placement

INDICATED DRAI DECISION:

MANDATORY HOLD REASONS:

ACTUAL DECISION:

RELEASE

☐

DETENTION ALTERNATIVE  
(ATD)

☐

SECURE DETENTION

☐

## Appendix F (cont.)

### DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 3

3 Maryland DJS Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Implemented 7/6/13; Revised 7/1/14, 7/1/15

Please check **ALL** aggravating and mitigating factors below that impact your decision to override.

OVERRIDE UP TO ATD OR SECURE DETENTION REASON	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent refusal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent unavailable
<input type="checkbox"/>	Juvenile has a history of violence in the home or victim resides in the home.
<input type="checkbox"/>	ATD or Shelter refusal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shelter unavailable
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify, <b>required</b> ): _____

OVERRIDE DOWN TO ATD OR RELEASE REASON	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Lesser offenses included in the score
<input type="checkbox"/>	Age of youth
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent willing/able to provide supervision
<input type="checkbox"/>	Juvenile has no prior record
<input type="checkbox"/>	Offense less serious than indicated by charge
<input type="checkbox"/>	New charge referred is not recent
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify, <b>required</b> ): _____

**DISCRETIONARY OVERRIDE WITH SUPERVISORY APPROVAL ONLY:**

Discretionary Overrides – SUPERVISOR APPROVAL OBTAINED

☐ Yes

☐ No

Intake Worker Signature:

Authorizing Supervisor:

## DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 4

4 Maryland DJS Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Implemented 7/6/13; Revised 7/1/14, 7/1/15

### FIRST COURT APPEARANCE:

#### Current Detention Status (prior to Court action)

- ☐ Detained  
☐ Detention Alternative: ☐ CD ☐ Shelter ☐ DRAP ☐ ERC ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Released

#### DJS Recommendation to Court

- ☐ Detain  
☐ Detention Alternative: ☐ CD ☐ Shelter ☐ DRAP ☐ ERC ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Release to: ☐ Parent ☐ Sibling ☐ Grandparent ☐ Aunt/Uncle ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Court Detention Decision

- ☐ Detain  
☐ Detention Alternative: ☐ CD ☐ Shelter ☐ DRAP ☐ ERC ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Release to: ☐ Parent ☐ Sibling ☐ Grandparent ☐ Aunt/Uncle ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Special Circumstances Affecting Detention Decision:



## Appendix G

### DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH IN DETENTION

DJS Housing Classification Assessment for Youth in Detention			
Name of Youth	first	last	MI
			Youth PID: _____ Youth DOB: _____
Date of Admission: _____		Staff Name: _____	
<b>1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Charge</b> (for detained youth), or <b>Adjudication</b> (for pending placement youth): <i>Category I = 4 points                      Category III = 2 points</i> <i>Category II = 3 points                      Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point</i>			
			Points: _____
<b>2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense:</b> _____			
<i>Category I = 4 points                      Category II = 3 points                      Category III = 2 points</i> <i>Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point                      None = 0 points</i>			
			Points: _____
<b>3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody*</b> (See summary on Face Sheet) <i>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</i>			
<i>6 or more = 6 points                      2 or 3 = 2 points</i> <i>4 or 5 = 4 points                      0 or 1 = 0 points</i>			
			Points: _____
<b>4. Current Age of Youth:</b> _____			
<i>Under 14 = 4 Points,    15-17 = 2 Points,    18 and Over = 0 Points.</i>			
			Points: _____
			Total Score: _____
<b>Recommended Classification:</b> <i>Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i>			
<b>Special Housing Issues.</b> Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.			
Y/N	<b>Issue:</b>	<b>Details</b>	
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	
_____	Protective Custody	_____	
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____	
<b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b> _____			
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>			
<b>Double Bunking Concerns.</b> Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions			
Y/N	<b>Issue:</b>		
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.		
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together		
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.		
_____	Other. <b>Details:</b>	_____	
Unit Assignment: _____		<b>Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):</b> _____ <i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i>	
Room Number: _____		Single Room Only? (Y/N) _____	

## DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH IN DETENTION

Housing Classification Re-Assessment for Youth in Detention			
Current Supervision Level: _____			
Current Pod/Unit Assignment: _____			
1. Current Score - From Last Classification Assessment		Current Score: _____	
2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment		Points: _____	
<i>1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points.</i>			
3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment*		Points: _____	
<small>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.</small>			
<i>5 or more incidents</i>		<i>= 4 Points</i>	
<i>2 - 4 incidents</i>		<i>= 2 Points</i>	
<i>0 - 1 incidents</i>		<i>= - 4 Points</i>	
		Total Score: _____	
Recommended Supervision Level: _____ <small>Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</small>			
Special Housing Issues. Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions			
Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>	
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	
_____	Protective Custody	_____	
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____	
<b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b> _____			
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>			
Double Bunking Concerns. Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions			
Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>		
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.		
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together		
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.		
_____	Other. <b>Details:</b> _____		
Unit Assignment: _____			
Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____			
<small>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</small>			
Room Number: _____		Single Room Only? (Y/N) _____	

## Appendix H

### DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR COMMITTED YOUTH

DJS Housing Classification Assessment For Committed Youth			
Name of Youth	first	last	MI
			Youth PID: <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px;"></span>
			Youth DOB: <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px;"></span>
Date of Admission: <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 150px;"></span>		Staff Name: <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 150px;"></span>	
<b>1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Committing Offense:</b>			
Category I = 4 points		Category III = 2 points	
Category II = 3 points		Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point	
			Points: <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 50px;"></span>
<b>2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense:</b>			
Category I = 4 points		Category III = 2 points	
Category II = 3 points		Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point	
			Points: <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 50px;"></span>
<b>3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody*</b> (See summary on Face Sheet)			
<small>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</small>			
6 or more = 6 points		2 or 3 = 2 points	
4 or 5 = 4 points		0 or 1 = 0 points	
			Points: <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 50px;"></span>
<b>4. Current Age of Youth:</b>			
Under 14 = 4 Points,		15-17 = 2 Points,	
		18 and Over = 0 Points.	
			Points: <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 50px;"></span>
			<b>Total Score:</b> <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px;"></span>
<b>Recommended Classification:</b> Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px;"></span>			
<b>Special Housing Issues.</b> Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.			
Y/N	<b>Issue:</b>	<b>Details</b>	
	Medical Condition/Injury		
	Protective Custody		
	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:		
<b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b>			
<small>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</small>			
<b>Special Concerns.</b> Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.			
Y/N	<b>Issue:</b>	<b>Details:</b>	
	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old		
	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other		
	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth		
	Other.		
<b>Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):</b> <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px;"></span>			
<b>Unit Assignment:</b> <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px;"></span>		<small>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</small>	
<b>Room Number:</b> <span style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px;"></span>			

## DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR COMMITTED YOUTH

<b>Housing Classification Re-Assessment For Committed Youth</b>											
<b>Current Supervision Level:</b> _____											
<b>Current Unit Assignment:</b> _____											
<b>1. Current Score - From last Classification Assessment</b>	<b>Current Score:</b> _____										
<b>2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment</b> <i>1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points.</i>											
<b>Points:</b> _____											
<b>3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment*</b> <i>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.</i>											
<i>5 or more incidents</i>	<i>= 4 Points</i>										
<i>2 - 4 incidents</i>	<i>= 2 Points</i>										
<i>0 - 1 incidents</i>	<i>= - 4 Points</i>										
<b>Points:</b> _____											
<b>Total Score:</b> _____											
<b>Recommended Supervision Level:</b> _____ <i>Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i>											
<b>Special Housing Issues.</b> <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions</i>											
<b>Y/N</b>	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left; width: 40%;"><u>Issue:</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Details</u></th> </tr> <tr> <td>Medical Condition/Injury</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Protective Custody</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	Protective Custody	_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____		
<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>										
Medical Condition/Injury	_____										
Protective Custody	_____										
Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____										
<b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b> _____ <i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>											
<b>Special Concerns.</b> <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.</i>											
<b>Y/N</b>	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left; width: 40%;"><u>Issue:</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Details:</u></th> </tr> <tr> <td>Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other.</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details:</u>	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old	_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other	_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth	_____	Other.	_____
<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details:</u>										
Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old	_____										
Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other	_____										
Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth	_____										
Other.	_____										
<b>Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):</b> _____											
<b>Unit Assignment:</b> _____	<i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i>										
<b>Room Number:</b> _____											

## Appendix I

### MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 1

#### MCASP Intake Risk Screen

##### Part I: DELINQUENT HISTORY

ITEM	Scoring
<b>1. Age at First Offense:</b> The age at the time of the offense for which the youth was referred to DJS for the first time on a misdemeanor or felony.	<input type="checkbox"/> Over 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 13 to 14 <input type="checkbox"/> Under 13
<b>2. Misdemeanor Referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor.	<input type="checkbox"/> One or fewer <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or four <input type="checkbox"/> Five or more
<b>3. Felony Referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a felony.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
<b>4. Weapon Referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense includes the possession or use of a firearm or explosive.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One or more
<b>5. Against-person misdemeanor referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was an against-person misdemeanor. An against-person misdemeanor involves threats, force, or physical harm to another person such as assault, sex, coercion, harassment, obscene phone call, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
<b>6. Against-person felony referrals:</b> Total number of referrals for an against-person felony. An against-person felony involves force or physical harm to another person such as homicide, murder, manslaughter, assault, rape, sex, robbery, kidnapping, domestic violence, harassment, criminal mistreatment, intimidation, coercion, obscene harassing phone call, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One or two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
<b>7. Sexual misconduct misdemeanor referrals:</b> Total number of referrals for which the most serious offense was a sexual misconduct 4 <sup>th</sup> degree misdemeanor.	
<b>8. Felony sex offense referrals:</b> Total number of referrals for a felony sex offense – first, second, or third degree.	
<b>9. Detention:</b> Number of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
<b>10. Placement:</b> Number of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJS (including pending placement in a detention facility).	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
<b>11. Escapes:</b> Total number of referrals for escape.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
<b>12. Failure to appear in court warrants:</b> Total number of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-criminal matters.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more



## MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 2

### Part II - SOCIAL HISTORY

ITEM	Responses
<b>1a. Youth's <u>current</u> school enrollment status, regardless of attendance.</b> If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check <i>Expelled</i> or <i>Dropped out</i> ; otherwise check <i>Enrolled full</i> or <i>part-time</i> .	<input type="checkbox"/> Graduated/GED <input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled full-time <input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled part-time <input type="checkbox"/> Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Dropped out <input type="checkbox"/> Expelled <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<b>1b. Youth's school conduct, last 3 months. <i>Check all that apply.</i></b> Conduct problems include things like fighting or threatening students/teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; crimes (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying, cheating.	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No school conduct problems <input type="checkbox"/> Conduct problems reported by teachers <input type="checkbox"/> Calls to parents about conduct <input type="checkbox"/> Calls to police about conduct <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<b>1c. Youth's attendance in last 3 months.</b> Partial-day absence means missing less than half the school day. Full-day absence means missing all or most of school day.	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No full-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Some full-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Some partial-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Chronic full-day unexcused absences or youth is currently dropped out <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<b>1d. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> A average <input type="checkbox"/> B average <input type="checkbox"/> C average, no F's <input type="checkbox"/> C or D average, with one or more F's <input type="checkbox"/> Mostly D's and F's <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<b>2. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with—last 3 months. <i>Check all that apply.</i></b> <i>Anti-social:</i> Friends that are hostile to the social order, disdain authority, engage in criminal/delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> No consistent friends or companions <input type="checkbox"/> Pro-social friends <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-social friends <input type="checkbox"/> Gang member/associate <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<b>3. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime).</b> Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJS commitments.	<input type="checkbox"/> No placements ever <input type="checkbox"/> 1 or more placements <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<b>4. Number of times youth has run away or gotten kicked out of home (youth's lifetime).</b> Include <u>all</u> incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement.	<input type="checkbox"/> No incidents <input type="checkbox"/> 1 incident <input type="checkbox"/> 2 or more incidents <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<b>5. Current household members with history of jail/prison/detention. <i>Check all members that apply or check "None".</i></b> Mother and father refer to current parent, legal guardian or youth's primary female and male caretakers residing in household.	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Mother/female caretaker <input type="checkbox"/> Father/male caretaker <input type="checkbox"/> Older sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Younger sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Other member <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<b>6. Youth's current compliance with guardian's/caretaker's rules—last 3 months.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth usually obeys rules <input type="checkbox"/> Youth sometimes obeys rules <input type="checkbox"/> Youth consistently disobeys, is hostile to parental authority <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown

## Appendix I (cont.)

### MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 3

<p><b>7. Current alcohol/drug use.</b> First assess whether the youth has used alcohol or drugs in the last 3 months. If there is an indication of recent use, then examine (1) whether drug use contributes or is related in some way to the youth's recent criminal behavior, and (2) whether the current/recent use is serious enough to disrupt the youth's life, causing problems related to education, family life, social life or health.</p>	
<p><b>7a. Current alcohol use—last 3 months.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>7b. Current drug use—last 3 months.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>7c. Alcohol or drug use contributes to current/recent criminal behavior.</b>          Substance use either motivates criminal behavior (e.g. stealing to support a habit) or is related to criminal behavior in some other way (e.g., substance use is connected with violent, risky or impulsive behaviors that get the youth into trouble).</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>7d. Alcohol or drug use disrupts other areas of youth's life.</b>          Substance use creates problems with any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>School</b> (e.g., problems with school attendance, conduct or grades);</li> <li>• <b>Family</b> (e.g., stealing at home to support use, withdrawing, arguing over use);</li> <li>• <b>Peers</b> (e.g., loss of pro-social friends, inability to form pro-social relationships);</li> <li>• <b>Health</b> (e.g., trips to emergency room, medical problems related to use).</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>8. Victim of physical or sexual abuse during lifetime.</b>  <b>Check all that apply.</b>          Include any history of suspected abuse, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of abuse proven to be false. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Physical abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>9. Victim of neglect during lifetime.</b>          Include any history of suspected neglect, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of neglect proven to be false. Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>10. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever during lifetime).</b>          Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, depression, personality and other diagnosed disorders. <u>Exclude substance abuse, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, ADD/ADHD and special education needs.</u> Confirm by a professional in the social service/healthcare field that the youth was diagnosed, prescribed medicine or treated for a mental health problem.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown

## Appendix J

### QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT

*[Domain 1, the first section of the assessment is a copy of the auto-calculated delinquent history.]*

Domain 2: SCHOOL (HISTORY)
1. Youth is/was a special education student or has had a formal diagnosis of a special education need (in any grade).
2. Total number of times expelled or suspended for conduct problems. A. Since first grade B. In last 3 months
3. Age at first expulsion or suspension.
Domain 2: SCHOOL (CURRENT)
4. Youth has been enrolled in school during the last 3 months, regardless of attendance.
5. Youth's current school enrollment status, regardless of attendance.
6. Youth believes there is value in getting an education.
7. Youth believes school environment is encouraging.
8. Number of teachers or other school staff the youth likes/ feels comfortable with.
9. Youth's involvement in school activities, last 3 months.
10. Youth's school conduct, last 3 months.
11. Youth's attendance in last 3 months.
12. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.
13. Interviewer's assessment of likelihood the youth will stay in and graduate from high school or an equivalent vocational school.
Domain 3: USE OF FREE TIME
1. Current interest and involvement in <u>structured</u> recreational activities.
2. Current interest and involvement in <u>unstructured</u> recreational activities.
Domain 4: EMPLOYMENT (HISTORY AND CURRENT)
1. History of employment.
2. Youth's history of success on the job.
3. Current employment status.
4. Current interest in employment.
5. Current positive personal relationship(s) with employer(s) or adult coworker(s).
Domain 5: RELATIONSHIPS IN THE COMMUNITY (HISTORY AND CURRENT)
1. Current positive relationships with adults in the community.
2. History of anti-social friends/companions—last few years.
3. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with, last 3 months.
4. Currently in a "romantic" or sexual relationship.
5. Currently admires/emulates anti-social peers.
6. Current resistance to anti-social peer influence.
Domain 6: FAMILY (HISTORY)
1. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime).
2. Number of times youth has either run away or gotten kicked out of home: A. Incidents across lifetime: B. Any incidents in last 3 months? C. Currently a runaway or kicked out?
3. History of non-delinquency citations/petitions (lifetime).
4. Household members who ever lived with youth (at least 3 months) and had a history of jail/prison/detention.
Domain 6: FAMILY (CURRENT HOUSEHOLD)
5. Youth has been living under "adult supervision" during last three months.
6. Parents/parent figures currently living with youth.
7. Annual combined income of youth and family.
8. Current household members with history of jail/prison/detention.
9. Problem history of parents/caretakers who currently live with youth.
10. Problem history of siblings who currently live with youth.
11. Current support network for youth's family.
12. Current level of parental emotional support (affection, caring).
13. Parents provide opportunities to participate in family activities and decisions affecting the youth.
14. Family member(s) youth currently feels close to or has good relationship with.
15. Current level of conflict in youth's household between any members, last 3 months (most serious level).
16. Current parental supervision, last 3 months.

## Appendix J (cont.)

### QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT

17. Youth's current compliance with parent's rules.
18. Current parental approach to discipline.
19. Current parental approach to rewarding youth.
20. Current parental view of youth's anti-social behavior.
<b>Domain 7: ALCOHOL &amp; DRUGS</b>
1. History of alcohol use prior to the last 3 months. A. Past alcohol use B. Past alcohol use disrupted functioning.
2. History of drug use prior to the last 3 months. A. Past drug use B. Past drug use disrupted functioning.
3. History of alcohol/drug assessment/diagnosis.
4. History of attending alcohol/drug education classes.
5. History of participating in alcohol/drug treatment program.
6. Any drug or alcohol use within last 3 months.
7. Current alcohol use (within last 3 months). A. Any current alcohol use. B. Alcohol use disrupts current functioning.
8. Current drug use (within last 3 months). A. Any current drug use. B. Drug use disrupts current functioning.
9. Type of drugs currently used.
10. Current participation in alcohol/drug treatment, last 3 months.
<b>Domain 8: MENTAL HEALTH (HISTORY)</b>
1. Victim of physical abuse during lifetime.
2. Victim of sexual abuse during lifetime.
3. Victim of neglect during lifetime.
4. History of ADD/ADHD. Confirm by a professional.
5. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever in lifetime).
<b>Domain 8: MENTAL HEALTH (CURRENT)</b>
6. Current health insurance.
7. Current mental health status, last 3 months.
8. ADD/ADHD medication currently prescribed. Confirm by a professional.
9. Mental health treatment currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD treatment. Confirm by a professional.
10. Mental health medication currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD medication. Confirm by a professional.
11. Mental health problem(s) currently interferes in working with the youth.
<b>Domain 9: ATTITUDES &amp; AGGRESSION</b>
<b>PART A: ATTITUDES</b>
1. Primary emotion when committing delinquent act(s) within the last 3 months.
2. Optimism about future.
3. Impulsive; acts before thinking.
4. Youth's belief in control over his/her own anti-social behavior.
5. Youth's empathy, remorse, or sympathy for the victim(s).
6. Respect for authority figures.
7. Youth's attitude toward laws/social norms.
8. Youth's view of his/her anti-social behavior.
9. Youth's belief in successfully meeting conditions of court supervision.
<b>PART B: AGGRESSION</b>
10. Tolerance for frustration.
11. Interpretation of actions and intentions of others in common, non-confrontational settings.
12. Belief in yelling and verbal aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.
13. Belief in fighting and physical aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.
<b>Domain 10: NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY</b>
1. How safe is the youth's neighborhood?
2. Which of the following applies to youth's neighborhood?
3. Youth feels safe in the neighborhood.
4. Youth would like to move because of concerns about own or family's safety.
5. How safe is the youth's neighborhood school?

## Appendix K

### CRIME OF VIOLENCE

The Maryland State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy uses the definition found in the Md. Code, Correctional Services Article, Sect. 7-101(m) of “crime of violence”, which states violent crime is a crime of violence as defined in section 14-101 of the Criminal Law Article or burglary in the 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree. Md. Code, Criminal Law, Sect. 14-101 lists the crime of violence as:

(1) abduction; (2) arson in the first degree; (3) kidnapping; (4) manslaughter, except involuntary manslaughter; (5) mayhem; (6) maiming, as previously proscribed under former Article 27, §§385 and 386 of the Code; (7) murder; (8) rape; (9) robbery under §3-402 or §3-403 of this article; (10) carjacking; (11) armed carjacking; (12) sexual offense in the first degree; (13) sexual offense in the second degree; (14) use of a handgun in the commission of a felony or other crime of violence; (15) child abuse in the first degree under §3-601 of this article; (16) sexual abuse of a minor under §3-602 of this article if:

(i) the victim is under the age of 13 years and the offender is an adult at the time of the offense; and

(ii) the offense involved:

1. vaginal intercourse, as defined in §3-301 of this article;
2. a sexual act, as defined in §3-301 of this article;
3. an act in which a part of the offender’s body penetrates, however slightly, into the victim’s genital opening or anus; or
4. the intentional touching, not through the clothing, of the victim’s or the offender’s genital, anal, or other intimate area for sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse;

(17) an attempt to commit any of the crimes described in items (1) through (16) of this subsection; (18) continuing course of conduct with a child under §3-315 of this article; (19) assault in the first degree; (20) assault with intent to murder; (21) assault with intent to rape; (22) assault with intent to rob; (23) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the first degree; and (24) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the second degree.

## Appendix L

### MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS

#### Human Services Article

##### • Title 9 - Juvenile Services

- Establishes the functions of the Department of Juvenile Services; enumerates the authority of the Secretary; details the organization and administration of DJS; establishes the operation of state facilities, advisory boards, juvenile care facilities, and regional services; and specifies the procedures for Interstate Compact and the Juvenile Services facility capital program.

#### Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article

##### • Title 3 - Courts of General Jurisdiction

- Establishes the jurisdiction and functions of the juvenile court.

##### • Subtitle 8 – Juvenile Causes – Children in Need of Assistance (CINA)

- **§3-823. Permanency plan for out-of-home placement:** Requires the court to hold a permanency planning hearing to determine the permanency plan for a child no later than 11 months after a child is committed.

##### • Subtitle 8A - Juvenile Causes – Children Other than CINAs and Adults

- **§3-8A-01. Definitions:** Provides the meanings of words commonly used in juvenile justice (e.g. adjudicatory hearing, community detention, detention, disposition, petition, violation.)
- **§3-8A-02. Purposes and construction of subtitle:** Establishes the goals of the Juvenile Justice System.
- **§3-8A-03. Jurisdiction of court:** Specifies the jurisdiction of the court over delinquent, child in need of supervision (CINS), Peace Order, and Interstate Compact juveniles and details exceptions to this jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-05. Determination of Jurisdiction:** Specifies the age of the person at the time the alleged delinquent act was committed and controls the determination of jurisdiction under this subtitle.
- **§3-8A-06. Waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes how the juvenile court may waive jurisdiction of a youth to the adult court.
- **§3-8A-07. Retention, termination or waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes when the court may terminate jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-08. Venue:** Establishes the venue for a CINS petition, a petition concerning delinquency, a Peace Order Request, and certain offenses.
- **§3-8A-09. Transfer of Proceedings:** Describes when the court may transfer certain proceedings to the youth’s county of residence or domicile.
- **§3-8A-10. Complaint; preliminary procedures:** Procedural requirements when an intake office receives a complaint from a person or agency or certain citations issued by a police officer.
- **§3-8A-10.1. Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program:** Describes the CINS pilot program.



## Appendix L (cont.)

### MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS (CONT.)

- **§3-8A-12. Certain information inadmissible in subsequent proceedings:** Describes when certain statements or information are inadmissible in certain proceedings.
- **§3-8A-13. Petition; general procedures:** Describes the general procedures for filing a petition that alleges delinquency or CINS and for filing peace order requests.
- **§3-8A-14. Taking child into custody:** Defines methods by which youth may be taken into custody (e.g. by court order/law enforcement officer.)
- **§3-8A-15. Detention and shelter care prior to hearing:** Provides the authorization for placing a youth in detention, community detention, or shelter care for a child who may be in need of supervision or delinquent.
- **§3-8A-16.1. Blood lead level testing:** Authorizes a court to order a child to undergo blood lead level testing after a petition has been filed, but before an adjudication.
- **§3-8A-17. Study and Examination of child, etc:** Describes when the court may direct DJS or another qualified agency to make a study concerning the child, the child's family, the child's environment, and other matters relating to the disposition of the case.
- **§3-8A-17.1 - §3-8A-17.12. Juvenile Competency:** Procedural requirements regarding juvenile competency; including evaluations, reports, hearings, services, court findings, and dismissal.
- **§3-8A-18. Adjudication:** Requires the court to hold an adjudicatory hearing; requires the allegations in the petition be proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the youth has committed a delinquent act.
- **§3-8A-19. Disposition; costs:** Requires the court to hold a separate disposition hearing after an adjudicatory hearing unless the court has dismissed/ waived the petition/ citation; allows disposition to be held on the day of the adjudicatory hearing; and describes permitted dispositions.
- **§3-8A-19.1 - §3-8A-19.5. Peace Order Request:** Describes procedures for an intake officer to file a Peace Order Request, peace order hearings, forms of relief the order may include, service of a peace order; modification or recessions, and violations of an order.
- **§3-8A-20. Right to counsel:** Specifies when a youth is entitled to the assistance of counsel.
- **§3-8A-20.1. Treatment service plan:** Defines "treatment service plan" and describes the procedures for development and implementation of a treatment service plan.
- **§3-8A-22. Limitations on place of commitment:** Specifies the limitations on where the court may detain, commit, or transfer a youth.
- **§3-8A-23. Effect of proceedings under subtitle:** Describes the effect of an adjudication and disposition of a child.
- **§3-8A-24. Effective period of order of commitment; renewal of order:** Specifies the length of time for an order of commitment, procedures for renewal of an order, and when the order is effective.
- **§3-8A-25. Progress reports:** Specifies requirements for visitation by a juvenile counselor of a child who is committed to an individual or to a public or private agency or institution. Authorizes the court to require the custodian to file periodic reports.
- **§3-8A-26. Order controlling conduct of a person before the court:** Authorizes the court to make an appropriate order controlling conduct under certain circumstances.
- **§3-8A-27. Confidentiality of records:** Describes confidentiality requirements, procedures, and exceptions for police and court records pertaining to or concerning a child.
- **§3-8A-28. Judgment of restitution:** Authorizes the court to enter a judgment of restitution against the parent of a child, the child, or both.
- **§3-8A-29. Parents liable for support after commitment:** Authorizes the court to order either parent/both parents to pay support of the child.
- **§3-8A-30. Contributing to certain conditions of a child:** Describes the offense of contributing to certain conditions of a child and provides for a penalty.
- **§3-8A-32. Appointment of attorney or advocate to represent child's interest:** Authorizes a court to appoint an attorney to represent a child's interest under certain conditions.
- **§3-8A-33. Citation for certain violations:** Authorizes a law enforcement officer to issue a citation to a child if the officer has probable cause to believe that the child is violating certain alcoholic beverage, tobacco, salvia divinorum, or marijuana possession violations.
- **§3-8A-34. Rights of victim or witness of delinquent act:** Refers to the rights of victims and witnesses of delinquent acts.

## Appendix M

### ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION AND SHELTER CARE BY REGION

Region I - Baltimore City	Location
Baltimore City Community Detention	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Evening Reporting Center	Baltimore City
Baltimore City PACT Center	Baltimore City
Detention Reduction Advocacy Program (DRAP)	Baltimore City
Youth Enterprise Services - Liberty House Shel Care	Baltimore City
Region II - Central	
Baltimore County Community Detention	Baltimore Co.
Baltimore County Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore Co.
Carroll County Community Detention	Carroll
Carroll County Electronic Monitoring	Carroll
Harford County Community Detention	Harford
Harford County Electronic Monitoring	Harford
Howard County Community Detention	Howard
Howard County Electronic Monitoring	Howard
MAGIC- Unity Home for Girls (Group Home)*	Baltimore Co.
The Board of Child Care - Sh. Term High Inten. GH	Baltimore Co.
Region III - Western	
Allegany County Community Detention	Allegany
Allegany County Electronic Monitoring	Allegany
Dallas and Mary Bunch Shelter Care	Allegany
Frederick County Community Detention	Frederick
Frederick County Electronic Monitoring	Frederick
Garrett County Community Detention	Garrett
Garrett County Electronic Monitoring	Garrett
John and Nancy Castle - Shelter	Washington
Joseph and Debra McCarney - Shelter	Washington
Pressley Ridge - Treatment Foster Care (Shelter)	Allegany
The Maryland Salem Children's Trust Shelter, Inc	Garrett
Washington County Community Detention	Washington
Washington County Electronic Monitoring	Washington

Region IV - Eastern Shore	Location
Caroline County Community Detention	Caroline
Caroline County Electronic Monitoring	Caroline
Cecil County Community Detention	Cecil
Cecil County Electronic Monitoring	Cecil
Dorchester County Community Detention	Dorchester
Dorchester County Electronic Monitoring	Dorchester
Hot Boards Shelter (Seasonal)	Worcester
Kent County Community Detention	Kent
Kent County Electronic Monitoring	Kent
Queen Anne's County Community Detention	Qn Anne's
Queen Anne's County Electronic Monitoring	Qn Anne's
Somerset County Community Detention	Somerset
Somerset County Electronic Monitoring	Somerset
Talbot County Community Detention	Talbot
Talbot County Electronic Monitoring	Talbot
Wicomico County Community Detention	Wicomico
Wicomico County Electronic Monitoring	Wicomico
Worcester County Community Detention	Worcester
Worcester County Electronic Monitoring	Worcester
Region V - Southern	
Anne Arundel County Community Detention	An. Arundel
Anne Arundel County Electronic Monitoring	An. Arundel
Calvert County Community Detention	Calvert
Calvert County Electronic Monitoring	Calvert
Charles County Community Detention	Charles
Charles County Electronic Monitoring	Charles
St. Mary's County Community Detention	St. Mary's
St. Mary's County Electronic Monitoring	St. Mary's
Region VI - Metro	
CHOICE Program	Pr. George's
Hearts & Homes for Youth, Inc (Harriet Tubman Shelter)	Montgomery
Montgomery County Community Detention	Montgomery
Montgomery County Electronic Monitoring	Montgomery
Montgomery County Evening Reporting Center	Montgomery
Prince George's County Community Detention	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Electronic Monitoring	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Evening Reporting Center	Pr. George's

\*This facility, which is a group home, is used for short-term or shelter placements as needed and based on bed availability.

## Appendix M (cont.)

### ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION BY REGION/COUNTY\*


Region/County	CD <sup>1</sup>			EM <sup>1</sup>			D/ERC			DRAP			PACT			Shelter		
	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS
<b>R-I- Balt. City</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>13.6</b>	<b>13.4</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>61.2</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>12.1</b>	<b>26.6</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>79.2</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>13.1</b>	<b>61.1</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>15.1</b>	<b>13.5</b>
Baltimore City	375	13.6	13.4	800	61.2	27.5	166	12.1	26.6	48	9.8	79.2	69	13.1	61.1	370	15.1	13.5
<b>R-II- Central</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>23.2</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>33.5</b>	<b>33.6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>10.8</b>
Baltimore Co.	58	3.0	18.8	210	18.4	33.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	14	0.3	3.7
Carroll	1	0.1	23.8	37	3.0	30.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.1	10.1
Harford	7	0.5	23.3	57	6.0	36.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.5	43.3
Howard	3	0.9	103.8	68	6.2	32.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.2	10.7
<b>R-III- Western</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>15.9</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>17.2</b>	<b>27.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>27.2</b>
Allegany	3	0.1	16.8	8	0.9	42.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	12	0.8	26.1
Frederick	2	0.1	15.0	81	7.3	30.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	9	0.2	19.1
Garrett	2	0.0	1.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.2	31.5
Washington	5	0.3	22.7	140	9.0	24.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	18	1.5	32.6
<b>R-IV- Eastern</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>24.8</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>23.8</b>	<b>37.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>20.3</b>
Caroline	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.2	28.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Cecil	2	0.5	97.0	34	4.5	51.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.0	0.1
Dorchester	1	0.1	20.8	31	3.5	38.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.1	36.8
Kent	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.8	69.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.1
Queen Anne's	2	0.2	39.9	2	0.0	8.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.3
Somerset	1	0.1	29.5	8	0.7	31.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	6.9
Talbot	4	0.5	47.5	5	0.2	13.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.2	35.9
Wicomico	36	1.3	13.2	102	8.1	30.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	10	0.7	24.6
Worcester	1	0.3	76.6	36	5.8	45.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.2	24.3
<b>R-V- Southern</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>21.7</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>34.4</b>	<b>33.6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>16.2</b>
Anne Arundel	22	1.4	22.0	135	12.6	33.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	10	0.4	13.8
Calvert	13	0.8	23.0	32	2.9	41.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.3	93.7
Charles	1	0.0	0.6	86	9.6	42.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	6	0.2	9.9
St. Mary's	7	0.5	22.3	133	9.2	25.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	6.9
<b>R-VI- Metro</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>21.6</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>50.7</b>	<b>43.2</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>14.4</b>	<b>52.7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>22.9</b>
Montgomery	39	2.2	19.6	133	14.9	40.3	40	7.5	61.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	15	0.7	15.8
Prince George's	41	2.7	23.4	292	35.9	44.6	55	6.9	46.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	44	3.3	25.3
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>56.6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>29.1</b>	<b>17.0</b>	<b>2,484</b>	<b>228.3</b>	<b>33.5</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>26.5</b>	<b>35.6</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>79.2</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>13.1</b>	<b>61.1</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>15.2</b>

\*Averages may not add to totals due to rounding.

<sup>1</sup>The offense tables on the ATD pages report CD/EM combined so if a youth is transferred from CD to EM or vice versa, the youth is counted as one admission. Appendix M separates out CD from EM, so the sum of this total will be slightly higher than the offense table totals presented on the ATD pages.

## Appendix N

### DISPROPORTIONATE MINORITY CONTACT (DMC) STRATEGIES AND CONTACT INFORMATION



Effective DMC reduction strategies prevent entry and further involvement of youth of color in the juvenile justice system and eliminate disparate treatment of these youth in system decision-making. Efforts that are most successful also include strategies that increase cultural competence and responsiveness to specific needs of system-involved youth and families of color. These strategies include the following:

- School and Community-Based Diversion
- Detention Risk Screening
- Alternatives to Secure Detention
- Community Alternatives to Placement
- Cultural and Linguistic Competence
- Community Engagement
- Family Involvement
- Graduated Sanctions

Jurisdiction	Implementing Agency	Address	Contact Information
Anne Arundel County	Anne Arundel County Partnership for Children, Youth and Families	1 Harry S. Truman Parkway, Suite 103 Annapolis, MD 21401	Phone: 410.222.7423
Baltimore City	The Family League of Baltimore City, Inc.	2305 N. Charles Street, Suite 200 Baltimore, MD 21218	Phone: 410.662.5500
Baltimore County	Circuit Court for Baltimore County* (Until 7/1/2016)	N/A	N/A
Montgomery County	Montgomery County Collaboration Council for Children, Youth and Families, Inc.	12320 Parklawn Drive Rockville, MD 20852	Phone: 301.610.0147 ext. 217
Prince George's County	Department of Family Services	6420 Allentown Road Camp Springs, MD 20748	Phone: 301.265.8401
Wicomico County	Wicomico County Board of Education	101 Long Avenue Salisbury, MD 21802	Phone: 410.677.4536

\*Through 7/1/2016, DMC strategies for Baltimore County were implemented by the Baltimore County Circuit Court. The Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention, on behalf of the Juvenile Grant Planning and Review Council, is continuing to seek an implementing agency to maintain these functions.

## Appendix O

### RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

The Relative Rate Index (RRI) is a measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool for measuring how ethnic minorities compare with white youth at various contact points (arrest, court referral, etc.) The RRI was developed by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. For more detailed information, see:

<http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/dmcd/b/asp/whatis.asp>

### DEFINITIONS FOR KEY CONTACT POINTS

The definitions below describe each key contact point included in the tables that follow along with a brief explanation of each point. Understanding each contact point and how it is defined in the calculations will provide the basis for proper interpretation of the rates. Though not a 'contact point', the general youth population is included because it is a key data point for calculating the rate of contact.

Contact Point	Definition
<b>Population</b>	Population of youth ages 11 - 17 as of July 2015. Source: MD Dept. of Planning. <i>Note: DJS uses 11-17 as a population denominator due to the low number of cases under 11.</i>
<b>Arrests</b>	Arrests of juveniles under age 18 by law enforcement. Annual data extrapolated from Jul-Dec 2015 MD State Police arrests. Rate is based on youth in the general population.
<b>Referrals</b>	Juvenile complaints referred to DJS intake, from police or other sources, including delinquent and non-delinquent offenses, as recorded in ASSIST. Rate is per arrest.
<b>Diversion</b>	Complaints diverted by DJS Intake, (resolved or informally adjusted to pre-court supervision), without formal petition. Rate is per referral.
<b>Detention</b>	Cases resulting in detention both pre-disposition or pending placement, but does not include youth ejected to detention from a committed placement. Rate is per referral.
<b>Petitioned</b>	Complaints resulting in juvenile court petitions. Rate is per referral.
<b>Delinquent</b>	Complaints resulting in delinquent findings. Rate is per petition.
<b>Probation</b>	Probation dispositions. Rate is per delinquent finding.
<b>Confinement</b>	Youth placed in Secure Committed program: Victor Cullen, Carter Center or secure private out-of-state programs. Rate is per delinquent finding.
<b>Transferred</b>	Juvenile petitions waived up to adult court. Does not include charges under original adult jurisdiction. Rate is per petition.

### RRI TABLE FOR ALL MINORITY YOUTH

The data presented here shows the RRI for minority youth compared to white youth. An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disparity at that decision point. A higher value means more disparity (higher minority over-representation), and a lesser value means under-representation. The RRI for each decision point is based on the number of youth of each race/ethnicity represented at that point compared with the number at the previous decision point.

### RATES OF CONTACT FOR ALL YOUTH

This table shows the number and rate of representation for all youth, regardless of color, at each key juvenile justice decision point. The rates are based on the general population for this table, rather than based on the previous decision point.



## RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

### RRIs FOR ALL MINORITIES COMPARED WITH WHITE YOUTH, AT KEY CONTACT POINTS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2016

Region/ County	Juvenile Arrests Adjusted	Referrals to DJS Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>									
Baltimore City	7.00	0.65	0.85	1.27	1.07	1.21	**	**	**
<b>R-II-Central</b>									
Baltimore Co.	3.58	1.04	0.89	1.10	1.10	0.85	1.35	**	**
Carroll	1.25	1.79	0.99	1.70	1.02	**	**	**	**
Harford	3.06	1.04	1.01	1.02	0.99	0.83	1.30	**	**
Howard	2.38	1.11	0.61	1.83	1.70	1.24	**	**	**
<b>R-III-Western</b>									
Allegany	2.48	0.79	0.96	2.31	1.06	1.38	**	**	**
Frederick	2.93	0.85	0.93	1.16	1.08	0.98	0.74	**	**
Garrett	7.34	0.44	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Washington	3.71	1.29	0.60	1.58	1.54	1.20	0.94	**	**
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>									
Caroline	1.98	0.90	1.19	**	0.55	**	**	**	**
Cecil	2.59	1.14	0.68	2.90	1.37	1.10	0.82	**	**
Dorchester	4.87	0.71	0.61	**	1.75	0.47	**	**	**
Kent	8.14	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Queen Anne's	3.69	0.99	0.89	**	1.21	**	**	**	**
Somerset	2.13	1.10	0.81	**	1.36	**	**	**	**
Talbot	2.64	1.11	0.92	**	1.34	**	**	**	**
Wicomico	4.02	0.95	0.86	2.65	1.34	1.46	**	**	**
Worcester	2.22	0.68	0.85	1.53	1.48	1.47	0.77	**	**
<b>R-V-Southern</b>									
Anne Arundel	2.30	0.97	0.99	2.02	1.03	1.30	0.94	**	1.59
Calvert	2.24	0.90	1.06	0.68	0.87	1.15	**	**	**
Charles	1.69	1.09	1.06	0.85	0.92	1.60	**	**	**
St. Mary's	3.39	0.83	0.87	1.64	1.31	0.97	1.01	**	**
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>									
Montgomery	1.78	1.93	0.72	2.50	1.41	1.22	1.33	**	
Prince George's	1.57	1.38	0.83	3.44	1.29	0.85	**	**	**
<b>State Total</b>	2.13	0.99	0.75	2.42	1.42	0.97	1.03	2.13	0.61

<sup>1</sup> At all decision points minority youth include: African American, Asian or Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Hispanic/Latino and Other/Unknown youth. Note that police arrest data do not break out youth of Hispanic origin by race. All Hispanic youth are therefore assumed to be white and subtracted out of the total number of white arrests. At all other decision points, the ASSIST race/ethnicity field is used which captures Hispanic/Latino youth as a separate race/ethnicity.

- Statistically significant results are shaded; Results that are not statistically significant are shown in regular font
- Insufficient number of cases for analysis are shown as \*\*; An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disproportional contact. A rate higher than 1.0 indicates that minority youth are disproportionately over-represented at that decision point, and a rate below 1.0 shows they are under-represented.

## Appendix O (cont.)

### RATE OF CONTACTS PER 10,000 YOUTH AT KEY CONTACT POINTS, COMPARED WITH GENERAL POPULATION, FY 2016\*

Region/ County	Population (ages 11-17)	Arrest	Referred to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involved Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	48,266.95	533.7	515.9	135.3	222.7	380.6	156.8	81.4	7.0	0.6
Baltimore City	48,266.95	533.7	515.9	135.3	222.7	380.6	156.8	81.4	7.0	0.6
<b>R-II-Central</b>	142,058.00	496.6	418.8	197.0	29.0	221.7	91.9	70.5	1.0	1.1
Baltimore Co.	71,011.39	666.1	524.6	229.4	35.2	295.2	116.2	101.3	1.5	1.3
Carroll	16,445.51	235.9	220.7	156.9	24.9	63.8	38.9	21.9	0.0	1.8
Harford	23,384.20	345.5	363.5	194.1	24.8	169.3	89.4	56.0	0.9	1.7
Howard	31,216.81	361.3	323.9	146.7	20.2	177.1	66.3	36.8	0.3	0.0
<b>R-III-Western</b>	45,061.45	450.5	476.0	246.1	61.5	229.9	107.9	66.8	1.6	2.0
Allegany	5,858.78	621.3	747.6	445.5	37.6	302.1	138.3	71.7	0.0	0.0
Frederick	23,769.43	328.2	261.7	134.6	37.0	127.1	68.6	27.8	2.1	0.8
Garrett	2,369.70	472.6	662.5	557.0	16.9	105.5	67.5	42.2	0.0	0.0
Washington	13,063.55	592.5	710.4	303.1	124.8	407.2	173.0	140.1	1.5	5.4
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	40,229.20	765.1	818.3	504.1	85.3	314.2	128.8	70.1	1.5	10.9
Caroline	3,026.10	852.6	938.5	713.8	13.2	224.7	119.0	62.8	0.0	3.3
Cecil	9,794.36	479.9	356.3	165.4	48.0	190.9	112.3	64.3	0.0	4.1
Dorchester	2,464.95	2499.0	2,146.1	1,010.2	129.8	1,135.9	259.6	142.0	8.1	8.1
Kent	1,581.99	227.6	455.1	271.8	6.3	183.3	107.5	50.6	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	4,461.86	228.6	257.7	159.1	6.7	98.6	51.5	24.7	0.0	0.0
Somerset	2,145.22	755.2	853.1	470.8	65.3	382.2	69.9	55.9	0.0	0.0
Talbot	2,720.53	463.1	481.5	374.9	18.4	106.6	84.5	29.4	0.0	3.7
Wicomico	10,347.01	964.5	1,050.5	668.8	189.4	381.8	133.4	74.4	2.9	11.6
Worcester	3,687.18	840.8	1,470.0	1,063.1	111.2	406.8	249.5	132.9	2.7	65.1
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	84,050.80	489.7	551.1	367.8	47.4	183.3	88.2	54.1	2.1	2.1
Anne Arundel	48,182.36	477.8	569.5	390.4	32.8	179.1	79.3	40.3	2.1	0.2
Calvert	9,270.27	401.3	388.3	279.4	34.5	109.0	59.3	48.5	2.2	1.1
Charles	15,746.05	533.5	554.4	334.1	67.3	220.4	104.8	73.7	1.3	10.2
St. Mary's	10,852.12	554.7	603.6	391.6	94.0	211.9	128.1	92.1	3.7	0.0
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	170,414.71	219.2	230.1	111.7	40.0	118.4	52.1	23.1	1.8	1.1
Montgomery	91,698.19	217.7	237.5	106.8	21.3	130.8	48.5	23.8	0.8	2.0
Prince George's	78,716.53	221.0	221.4	117.4	61.7	104.0	56.3	22.2	2.9	0.1
<b>State Total</b>	530,081.00	426.2	423.1	218.5	61.4	204.6	88.6	53.3	2.2	2.1

\*Rates are calculated for each decision point based on general population data.

- This table calculates the rate of DJS contact for all youth at each stage, compared with the general population (ages 11-17). For example, for every 10,000 youth in Baltimore City, there are 222.7 youth detained, and in Prince George's County it is 61.7 per 10,000 youth.

## Appendix P

### CENSUS INFORMATION, 2015\* POPULATION ESTIMATES BY RACE (AGE 11-17)<sup>1</sup>

Region / County	White <sup>2</sup>	Black <sup>2</sup>	American Indian/ Alaska <sup>2</sup>	Asian <sup>2</sup>	Hawaiian/ OPI <sup>2</sup>	Two or More <sup>2</sup>	Hispanic	Total
<b>R-I - Balt. City</b>	8,656	34,550	132	1,080	13	1,318	2,517	48,267
Baltimore City	8,656	34,550	132	1,080	13	1,318	2,517	48,267
<b>R-II - Central</b>	80,434	34,707	318	10,895	58	6,487	9,158	142,058
Baltimore Co	35,005	23,704	194	4,417	25	2,944	4,722	71,011
Carroll	14,279	606	20	321	2	525	692	16,446
Harford	16,287	3,768	43	800	16	1,091	1,379	23,384
Howard	14,863	6,628	61	5,357	16	1,928	2,364	31,217
<b>R-III - Western</b>	32,893	4,675	86	1,570	23	2,200	3,614	45,061
Allegany	4,949	445	7	75	4	268	110	5,859
Frederick	16,116	2,560	54	1,190	11	1,167	2,671	23,769
Garrett	2,214	79	1	14	0	34	27	2,370
Washington	9,614	1,591	23	291	9	731	805	13,064
<b>R-IV - Eastern</b>	27,651	7,601	78	714	15	1,634	2,536	40,229
Caroline	2,163	456	9	24	2	110	262	3,026
Cecil	7,846	769	18	119	6	420	615	9,794
Dorchester	1,382	817	4	24	2	98	138	2,465
Kent	1,199	209	2	13	0	70	90	1,582
Queen Anne's	3,751	276	9	62	1	162	202	4,462
Somerset	848	1,075	4	19	0	90	111	2,145
Talbot	1,920	393	4	60	2	90	251	2,721
Wicomico	5,930	2,940	18	327	1	451	681	10,347
Worcester	2,612	667	10	68	2	143	185	3,687
<b>R-V - Southern</b>	50,526	19,780	249	2,484	58	4,564	6,391	84,051
Anne Arundel	30,592	9,019	112	1,577	35	2,480	4,368	48,182
Calvert	6,969	1,153	36	139	3	503	466	9,270
Charles	5,296	7,977	74	509	13	969	907	15,746
St Mary's	7,668	1,629	27	259	6	612	650	10,852
<b>R-VI - Metro</b>	45,242	66,732	338	15,881	45	6,667	35,508	170,415
Montgomery	36,530	18,022	159	12,674	21	4,385	19,908	91,698
Prince George's	8,713	48,711	179	3,207	25	2,282	15,600	78,717
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>245,403</b>	<b>168,045</b>	<b>1,201</b>	<b>32,625</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>22,870</b>	<b>59,724</b>	<b>530,081</b>

\* Data Sources: Maryland Department of Planning, Population Estimation for State of Maryland. Files used: (1) July 1, 2015 Population by Age (single year), Race, Sex and Hispanic Origin for State of Maryland; (2) July 1, 2015 Population by Age, Sex, Race and Hispanic/Latino Origin for Maryland's Jurisdictions. Available on-line: [http://planning.maryland.gov/msdc/Pop\\_estimate/ARS/CensPopEst.shtml](http://planning.maryland.gov/msdc/Pop_estimate/ARS/CensPopEst.shtml) (accessed July 2016).

<sup>1</sup> Methodology for calculation of census estimates (same since the FY 2013 Data Resource Guide): The proportions of age ranges 11-14 and 15-17 have been computed from the population by age (single year), race, and ethnicity for State of Maryland. The proportions were then applied to estimate each Jurisdiction/County population, from the population by age (5-year age group), race, and ethnicity of Maryland Jurisdictions.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes Hispanic and Latino

Note: estimates with fractional values are rounded to whole numbers, therefore totals may not sum exactly.

## Appendix Q

### NUMBER AND RATIO OF YOUTH, CASES, AND PLACEMENTS BY COUNTY\* FY 2016

County/Region	Complaints			Pre-D Detention Placements			Placements to Detention Pending Placement			Committed Placements		
	Cases	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth
<b>R-I - Balt. City</b>	<b>2,490</b>	<b>1,447</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1,075</b>	<b>572</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Baltimore City	2,490	1,447	1.7	1,075	572	1.9	213	159	1.3	207	176	1.2
<b>R-II - Central</b>	<b>5,949</b>	<b>3,827</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Baltimore Co.	3,725	2,298	1.6	250	176	1.4	79	58	1.4	79	59	1.3
Carroll	363	251	1.4	41	25	1.6	17	14	1.2	26	21	1.2
Harford	850	599	1.4	58	51	1.1	28	24	1.2	26	25	1.0
Howard	1,011	679	1.5	63	42	1.5	17	15	1.1	20	19	1.1
<b>R-III (Western)</b>	<b>2,145</b>	<b>1,274</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Allegany	438	280	1.6	22	16	1.4	12	10	1.2	18	15	1.2
Frederick	622	392	1.6	88	65	1.4	35	26	1.3	42	32	1.3
Garrett	157	102	1.5	4	4	1.0	1	1	1.0	3	2	1.5
Washington	928	500	1.9	163	88	1.9	30	25	1.2	44	36	1.2
<b>R-IV (Eastern)</b>	<b>3,292</b>	<b>2,136</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Caroline	284	186	1.5	4	4	1.0	3	2	1.5	3	2	1.5
Cecil	349	234	1.5	48	34	1.4	10	10	1.0	10	9	1.1
Dorchester	529	245	2.2	32	19	1.7	12	11	1.1	15	14	1.1
Kent	72	52	1.4	1	1	1.0	1	1	1.0	1	1	1.0
Queen Anne's	115	83	1.4	3	1	3.0	1	1	1.0	3	3	1.0
Somerset	183	120	1.5	14	8	1.8	5	3	1.7	7	3	2.3
Talbot	131	90	1.5	5	5	1.0	2	2	1.0	5	3	1.7
Wicomico	1,087	672	1.6	197	114	1.7	50	41	1.2	51	45	1.1
Worcester	542	454	1.2	41	28	1.5	8	8	1.0	10	10	1.0
<b>R-V (Southern)</b>	<b>4,632</b>	<b>3,132</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Anne Arundel	2,744	1,804	1.5	158	101	1.6	86	71	1.2	112	88	1.3
Calvert	360	275	1.3	33	26	1.3	11	9	1.2	11	10	1.1
Charles	873	616	1.4	107	63	1.7	46	39	1.2	58	50	1.2
St. Mary's	655	437	1.5	102	54	1.9	25	18	1.4	35	26	1.3
<b>R-VI (Metro)</b>	<b>3,921</b>	<b>2,691</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>689</b>	<b>488</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Montgomery	2,178	1,378	1.6	197	141	1.4	83	68	1.2	95	75	1.3
Prince George's	1,743	1,313	1.3	492	347	1.4	147	119	1.2	162	131	1.2
<b>Out-of-State Resident</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1.6</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>22,429</b>	<b>14,507</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>3,256</b>	<b>2,033</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>932</b>	<b>742</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1,059</b>	<b>865</b>	<b>1.2</b>

\* Complaint data are based on county of jurisdiction. Detention, Pending Placement, and Committed Placements data are based on county of residence.

## Appendix R

### CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES\*

<b>Crimes of Violence (COV)</b>			
	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>		Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>
Arson 1st Degree	3	Kidnapping	1
Assault 1st Degree	2	Manslaughter - Voluntary	3
Attempted Murder	1	Murder 1st Degree	1
Attempted Rape or Sex Offense	1	Murder 2nd Degree	1
Burglary 1st Degree	3	Prostitution - Abduct, Persuade, Entice for Prostitution or Certain Sex Crimes	1
Burglary 2nd Degree	4	Rape 1st Degree	1
Burglary 3rd Degree	4	Rape 2nd Degree	1
Burglary With Explosives	3	Robbery	3
Carjacking - Armed	1	Robbery with Deadly Weapon	2
Carjacking - Unarmed	2	Sex Offense 1st Degree	1
Child Abduction of Individual Under 16	1	Sex Offense 2nd Degree	1
Child Abuse 1st Degree	1	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, 1st Offense	2
In State Abduction of Child Under 12	2	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, Subsequent	1
<b>Person-to-Person Offenses</b>			
Felony	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>	Felony	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>
Assault on Police Officer	4	Manslaughter - Negligent Homicide by Automobile	4
Burglary - Home Invasion	2	Manslaughter by Automobile	3
CDS - Weapons Use	2	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc. Subsequent Offense	3
Child Abuse 2nd Degree	3	Out-Of-State Family Abduction	5
Child Pornography	3	Poisoning	1
Destructive Devices	2	Prostitution- Human Trafficking	1
Escape - 1st Degree	3	Removing Firearm from Possession of Law Enforcement Officer	3
Gang Offense - Commission of Crime	2	Sex Abuse by Household Member	1
Gang Offense - Manage, Fund Gang	2	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (no force or threat)	4
Hate Crime Involving a Separate Felony Crime	3	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (w/ force or threat)	3
Hate Crime Involving Death of a Victim	2	Sex Offense - Use of Personal Identifying Information of an Individual to Invite another to Commit Sexual Crime	3
Incest	3	Sodomy; Unnatural or Perverted Sexual Practice	3
Manslaughter - Involuntary	3	Use of Machine Gun for a crime	2

<sup>^</sup>The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

\* DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.



## Appendix R (cont.)

### CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES\*

Person-to-Person Offenses			
Misdemeanor	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>
Assault 2nd Degree / Battery	4	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry 1st Offense (incl. on school prop.)	5
Committing a Crime of Violence in Presence of Minor	4	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry, Subsequent (incl. on school prop.)	2
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Concealed Dangerous Weapon - Wear or Carry	5	Handgun Violation - Wear, Carry with Intent to Injure, Kill	5
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Openly with Intent to Injure - Dangerous Weapon, Mace/ Chemical Device, Wear or Carry	5	Harassment	5
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Certain Ordinance	5	Harassment - Revenge Porn	5
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Pepper Spray <sup>2</sup>	5	Hate Crime Other	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor with Intent To Injure <sup>2</sup>	4	In State Family Abduction	5
Deadly Weapon on Public School Property	4	Indecent Exposure	4
Disorderly Intoxication	5	Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle/ Vessel	4
Disturbing the Peace	5	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering, Common Law	4
Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	5	Prostitution – Receive money for	3
Driving under Influence CDS	5	Prostitution – Solicitation	5
Driving under Influence Drugs and/or Alcohol	5	Prostitution, Abduction Persuade Entice <sup>1</sup>	2
Driving While Impaired	5	Obstructing Justice - Tamper with or Fabricate Physical Evidence	4
Driving While Intoxicated	5	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc.	4
Driving While Using Text Messaging Device, etc. that Causes Accident Resulting Death, Serious Bodily Injury	5	Reckless Endangerment	4
Electronic Mail Abuse	5	Resisting Arrest	4
Escape 2nd Degree - Remove Monitoring Device	4	Retaliating Against a Victim or Witness	4
Escape 2nd Degree - Secure Facility	4	Sex Offense 4th Degree	5
Failure to Appear - Connection Felony	4	Stalking	4
Failure to Appear – Connection Misdemeanor	5	Threat of Mass Violence	4
Failure to Appear - Citation	5	Use of Machine Gun for Aggressive Purposes	3
Gang Offense	5	Visual Surveillance, Unlawful	5
Gang Offense - School	4		

<sup>^</sup>The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

<sup>1</sup> Inactive as of 10/1/2014; <sup>2</sup> Inactive as of 3/1/2015

<sup>\*</sup> DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

## CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES\*

Property Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>
Arson 2nd Degree	4	Arson Misdemeanor	5
Breaking and Entering a Research Facility	5	Arson - Threat	4
Cloned Wireless Phone - Possession with Intent to Distribute, Distribution, Manufacturing or Sell	4	Attempted Arson	5
Cruelty to Animals - Felony Racehorse	5	Breaking and Entering Motor Vehicles	5
False Statement - Fake Bomb	4	Burglary 4th Degree	5
False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb	4	Code Grabbing Device	5
Forgery, Counterfeit &/ or Uttering-Felony	4	Contraband - Possess, Possess with Intent to Deliver, Receive Telecommunications Device, Accessories	5
Fraud-Felony (Medicaid)	4	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	5
Malicious Burning - Felony	5	Cruelty to Animals - Surgery on Dogs; Unauthorized Surgical Devocalization	5
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	False Alarm	4
Railroad Obstructing	4	False Report	5
Theft Felony Over \$100,000	3	Forgery - Prescription	5
Theft Felony Under \$100,000	4	Forgery, Counterfeit &/ or Uttering - Misd	5
Trademark Counterfeiting-Felony	4	Fraud	5
Verbal Threat - Extortion	4	Gambling	5
Written Threat	4	Harassment - Use of Interactive Computer Service to Disclose Personal Identifying Information to Annoy, Threaten, Harass	5
		Malicious Burning - Misdemeanor	5
		Malicious Destruction	5
		Possession of Dangerous or Wild Animals	5
		Telephone Misuse	5
		Theft Misdemeanor	5
		Trademark Counterfeiting-Misdemeanor	5
		Transfer Recorded Sound/Images w/o Consent	5
		Trespassing	5
		Unauthorized Removal of Property	5

<sup>^</sup> The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

\* DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

## Appendix R (cont.)

### CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES\*

Drug Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>
CDS - Import Certain Amount into State	3	CDS - False Prescription	5
CDS - Using Minors for Manufacture or Distribution	3	CDS - Possession Marijuana <sup>1</sup>	5
CDS (Cocaine, Heroin, PCP, LSD, Oxy., Meth.)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	3	CDS - Possession Marijuana > 10 Grams	5
CDS (Marijuana) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	CDS - Possession Other	5
CDS (Other) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Distribution of Inhalants	5
CDS - Distribution (Fake CDS)	4	Drug Paraphernalia	5
CDS (Marij/ Amphet/ Valium/ Ecstasy < 750 grams/ Bup./ Countrft)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	4	Non-CDS, Possession	5
Receipt of Proceeds From CDS Offenses	4	Use of Inhalants	5
Unspecified Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>
Conspiracy to Commit Any (Felony) Offense	3	Conspiracy to Commit Any (Misdemeanor) Offense	5
Unspecified Felony	3	Traffic Violation Incarcerable	5
		Unspecified Misdemeanor	5
Other Offenses			
Citation Offenses	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>	Ordinance Offenses	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>
Alcohol Possession on School Premises	5	BB Gun/ Pellet Gun	5
Alcoholic Beverage Violation	5	Discharging Firearms	5
CDS - Possession Marijuana < 10 Grams	5	Fireworks Violation	5
Lottery Restrictions (Tables Games or Video Lottery Terminals, Facility) Less than 21 Years	5	Loitering	5
Pager at School	5	Tampering	5
Tobacco Violation	5	Violation of Local Ordinance	5
Traffic Violation Non-Incarcerable	5	Violation of Natural Resources Article	5
Child in Need of Supervision (CINS)	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>	Violation of Probation	Offense Severity <sup>^</sup>
Runaway	5	Violation of Felony Probation	3
Truant	5	Violation of Misdemeanor Probation	5
Ungovernable	5		

<sup>^</sup>The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

<sup>1</sup> Inactive as of 10/1/2014

\* DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

## OFFENSES INCLUDED IN THE INTAKE & COMMUNITY SUPERVISION OFFENSE TYPE TABLES AS OTHER PERSON, OTHER PROPERTY, AND ORDINANCE. THE OFFENSES ARE LISTED BASED ON FY 2016 INTAKE CASES.

The following information references back to the Intake and Community Supervision Section's Offense Type tables. Space was limited on those pages and these caveats were not able to be placed directly under those tables. They provide a detailed list of the specific offenses that were categorized under "Other Person", "Other Property" and "Ordinance Offenses" respectively.

<sup>+</sup>Other Person includes: Burglary Home Invasion, Destructive Devices, Disturbing School Activities or Personnel, Disturbing the Peace, Electronic Email Abuse, Escape 2nd Degree Monitoring Device, Escape from Secure Facility, Failure to Appear, Gang Offense, Hate Crime, Obstructing Justice, Poisoning, Reckless Endangerment, Resisting Arrest, Retaliating against a Victim/Witness, Stalking, Unlawful, & Visual Surveillance.

<sup>++</sup>Other Property includes: Cloned Wireless Phone-Possession w/ intent to Distribute, Counterfeit or Uttering Felony, Cruelty to Animals-Felony Racehorse, Cruelty to Animals-Misd, False Alarm Statement, Forgery, Forgery-Prescription, Fraud, Gambling, Telephone Misuse, Trademark Counterfeiting Felony, Trespassing, Trademark Counterfeiting Misd, Transfer Recorded Sound/Images w/o Consent, Verbal Threat Extortion, & Written Threat.

<sup>+++</sup>Ordinance Offenses category includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Discharging Firearms, Fireworks Violation, Loitering, Tampering, Violation of Local Ordinance, & Violation of Natural Resources Article.

## Appendix S

### OFFENSES RELATED TO YOUTH DETAINED PENDING ADULT CRIMINAL CHARGES

Youth charged with the following offenses, and who are eligible to request transfer of jurisdiction, generally are placed in juvenile detention pending the determination of jurisdiction in adult court. (See Md. Code. Ann., Cts. and Jud. Proc. §3-8A-03)

- Age 14 years old and up will be automatically charged as an adult for:
  - First Degree Murder (ineligible for transfer)
  - First Degree Rape
  - First Degree Sex Offense
  - Any Attempts or Conspiracies to commit the listed offenses
- Age 16 years old and up will be automatically charged as an adult for:
  - Serious/Violent Offenses:
    - Abduction, Kidnapping
    - Second Degree Murder (and Attempt thereof)
    - Manslaughter (except Involuntary Manslaughter)
    - Second Degree Rape (and Attempt thereof)
    - Armed Robbery (and Attempt thereof)
    - First Degree Assault
    - Second Degree Sex Offense (by force, or the threat of force, without consent)(and Attempt thereof)
    - Third Degree Sex Offense (If force, a weapon, or threat of force is used, without consent)
    - Carjacking or Armed Carjacking
  - Firearm Offenses:
    - Wear, Carry, Transport Handgun
    - Use of a Handgun or Antique Firearm in Commission of a Crime
    - Use of a Machine Gun in a Crime of Violence
    - Use of a Machine Gun for Aggressive Purpose
    - Possession of Unregistered Short-barreled Shotgun or Short-barreled Rifle
    - Restrictions on Possession of a Regulated Firearm
    - Restrictions on Sale, Rental, or Transfer of Regulated Firearms
    - Sale, Transfer, or Disposal of Stolen Regulated Firearm Prohibited
  - Miscellaneous Offenses:
    - Any felony IF prior adult felony conviction
    - Non-incarcerable Traffic and Boating Offenses





Maryland Department of Juvenile Services  
FY 2016 Statistical Information

MISSION OF THE MARYLAND  
DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

Intake & Community Supervision Information

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2014-2016

Complaint Source	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Adult Court Transfers	0.9%	0.9%	1.3%
Citizen	2.9%	3.0%	2.5%
Police*	90.6%	90.6%	92.3%
Violation of Probation**	5.6%	5.4%	4.0%
Total Complaints	25,135	23,473	22,429

\* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS,  
FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.3%	63.2%	63.8%
White	31.3%	29.7%	29.1%
Hispanic/Other	6.4%	7.1%	7.2%
Sex			
Male	72.4%	72.5%	73.8%
Female	27.6%	27.5%	26.2%
Age			
11 and under	3.5%	3.7%	3.6%
12	3.9%	4.5%	4.7%
13	7.6%	7.9%	7.9%
14	13.0%	13.6%	12.9%
15	19.2%	19.2%	19.8%
16	22.5%	22.7%	23.4%
17	26.8%	25.5%	25.0%
18-20	3.5%	3.0%	2.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	25,135	23,473	22,429

Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT  
DISPOSITIONS\*, FY 2014-2016

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Authorized Formal Petition	53.4%	51.1%	48.4%
Informed	17.2%	16.5%	15.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	29.4%	32.4%	36.0%
Total Complaints*	25,135	23,473	22,429
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	10.3%	8.4%	8.3%
Continued/Stet	9.4%	9.7%	12.2%
Dismissed/ Closed	31.4%	31.1%	26.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.6%	0.9%	1.0%
Nolle Pros.	5.8%	5.1%	5.4%
Other**	5.9%	6.0%	7.2%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	2.0%	3.3%	5.8%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.0%	5.2%	5.3%
Probation	27.5%	27.7%	26.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.5%	0.3%	0.5%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	2.8%	2.3%	2.0%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	13,417	12,001	10,846

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

<sup>+</sup> Court disposition reflects the initial (most serious) disposition at court. Stet/Continued cases that ultimately end up with a probation or commitment order are counted as such.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2016

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Crime of Violence*	12.4%	31.7%	10.2%	27.6%
Felony	9.4%	17.9%	11.9%	14.3%
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	1.6%	2.6%	2.2%
- Property	5.3%	8.8%	3.0%	4.8%
- Drugs	2.8%	6.9%	2.3%	2.1%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.6%	4.0%	5.2%
Misdemeanor	68.0%	47.4%	75.7%	56.8%
- Person-to-Person	38.5%	26.9%	40.3%	32.3%
- Property	25.0%	16.7%	26.5%	16.2%
- Drugs	2.6%	2.5%	3.5%	4.3%
- Unspecified	1.9%	1.2%	5.4%	4.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%
Citations	7.6%	1.7%	1.7%	1.0%
CINS	2.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	22,429	3,256 <sup>++</sup>	1,837	579

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

<sup>++</sup> Includes 56 placements of youth from out-of-state

Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2016

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	581.1	N/A	581.1
Pre-Court	816.8	N/A	816.8
Probation	2,257.5	N/A	2,257.5
VPI*	403.3	115.9	519.2
Aftercare	586.5	457.9	1,044.4

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

COMPLAINTS AND PLACEMENTS BY COUNTY/REGION,  
FY 2016

County / Region	Intake Complaints	Pre-D Detention Placements	Post-D Pending Placements*	Post- Ejection Placements	Committed Placements
R-I-Balt. City	2,490	1,075	213	38	207
Baltimore City	2,490	1,075	213	38	207
R-II-Central	5,949	412	141	10	151
Baltimore Co.	3,725	250	79	9	79
Carroll	363	41	17	0	26
Harford	850	58	28	1	26
Howard	1,011	63	17	0	20
R-III-Western	2,145	277	78	13	107
Allegany	438	22	12	1	17
Frederick	622	88	35	5	42
Garrett	157	4	1	0	3
Washington	928	163	30	7	45
R-IV-Eastern	3,292	345	92	11	105
Caroline	284	4	3	1	3
Cecil	349	48	10	0	10
Dorchester	529	32	12	3	15
Kent	72	1	1	0	1
Queen Anne's	115	3	1	0	3
Somerset	183	14	5	1	7
Talbot	131	5	2	0	5
Wicomico	1,087	197	50	5	51
Worcester	542	41	8	1	10
R-V-Southern	4,632	400	168	28	216
Anne Arundel	2,744	158	86	17	112
Calvert	360	33	11	0	11
Charles	873	107	46	6	58
St. Mary's	655	102	25	5	35
R-VI-Metro	3,921	691	228	39	257
Montgomery	2,178	197	82	10	95
Prince George's	1,743	494	146	29	162
Out-of-State	N/A	56	12	4	16
Statewide	22,429	3,256	932	143	1,059

\* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP.

## Secure Detention Information

The Maryland Department of Juvenile Services owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility serves a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are Post-Disposition awaiting placement in a committed program (pending).

### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	78.7%	79.3%	79.8%
White	16.1%	15.6%	14.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.1%	5.1%	5.7%
Sex			
Male	84.6%	83.2%	85.6%
Female	15.4%	16.8%	14.4%
Age			
11 and under	0.7%	0.6%	0.2%
12	0.8%	1.7%	1.9%
13	3.6%	4.6%	4.7%
14	10.2%	10.1%	9.6%
15	19.6%	18.7%	18.8%
16	23.8%	25.1%	24.9%
17	25.7%	25.6%	27.9%
18-20	15.6%	13.6%	12.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	4,781	4,054	3,615

### PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2014-2016\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	# of Releases	ALOS
FY 2016	Pre-D	82.2%	17.8%	3,277	16.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	776	22.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	143	30.1
FY 2015	Pre-D	80.3%	19.7%	3,734	16.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	760	23.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	197	36.8
FY 2014	Pre-D	74.8%	25.2%	4,419	15.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	953	29.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	261	40.9

\* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

### CAPACITY, ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND UTILIZATION, FY 2016

Facility	Capacity	Admissions*	ADP	Utilization
Alfred D. Noyes	57	257	12.0	21.1%
Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Ctr	120	1,092	44.5	37.1%
Charles H. Hickey Jr. School	72	628	41.2	57.2%
Cheltenham Youth Facility	115	907	50.8	44.2%
Lower Eastern Shore Children's Ctr	24	369	16.2	67.5%
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr	42	420	24.4	58.1%
Western MD Children's Center	24	369	16.4	68.3%
Statewide Total	454	3,615**	205.6	45.3%

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

\*\*Total represents placements not admissions

## Committed Placement Information

A range of out-of-home program options (or placements) have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a Foster Home, Group Home, or Independent Living Program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operates seven facilities in Maryland and contracts with others both in-state and out-of-state.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014-2016

Demographics	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	70.5%	70.3%	72.7%
White	24.3%	23.3%	20.8%
Hispanic/Other	5.2%	6.5%	6.5%
Sex			
Male	83.4%	82.9%	84.2%
Female	16.6%	17.1%	15.8%
Age			
11 and under	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
12	0.7%	1.2%	0.9%
13	3.1%	3.4%	4.6%
14	9.6%	7.0%	9.3%
15	19.9%	16.9%	16.8%
16	26.8%	27.9%	27.0%
17	26.6%	29.2%	29.4%
18-20	13.2%	14.0%	11.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	1,494	1,160	1,059

### COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS+ FOR FY 2014-2016, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2016

	Placements			FY2016	
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	117	74	59	45.5	292.3
Group Home	397	309	237	137.1	191.8
Indep. Living	35	15	20	12.5	304.2
ICFA	163	117	84	11.2	50.0
RTC	287	241	224	111.0	154.2
State Operated	572	421	493	150.2	125.0
Staff Secure	438	323	394	111.8	122.0
Hardware Sec.	134	98	99	38.4	133.9
Silver Oak	82	94	53	49.8	287.0
Out-of-State	109	90	51	56.6	345.2
RTC	23	10	6	6.2	341.8
Staff Secure	50	50	25	30.4	302.4
Hardware Sec.	36	30	20	20.0	327.1
Total*	1,494	1,160	1,059	573.9	213.2


+ Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category (For example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care).

\* Statewide total placement counts excludes transfers within and between program/program types, therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

## Financial Information

### FY 2016 OPERATING EXPENDITURES\*

TOTAL:  
\$278.5 MILLION



\* Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

### STATE OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY 2016

	Per Diem Cost	Avg. Annual Cost
Baltimore City	\$640	\$234,261
Carter	\$1,034	\$378,356
Cheltenham	\$825	\$301,012
Green Ridge	\$389	\$142,306
Hickey	\$846	\$309,492
L. Eastern Shore	\$776	\$283,989
Noyes	\$804	\$294,161
Schaefer	\$630	\$230,533
State-Wide Youth Centers*	\$707	\$265,928
Victor Cullen	\$908	\$332,151
Waxter	\$776	\$283,937
Western Maryland	\$721	\$263,848

\* Does not include Green Ridge Youth Center which is budgeted separately as a regionalized Youth Center.

**Maryland Department of Juvenile Services**  
**Division of Support Services**  
**One Center Plaza**  
**120 West Fayette Street**  
**Baltimore, MD 21201**  
**www.djs.maryland.gov**

**Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Bennisville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ichester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill Cheverly Chillum Clinton College Park College Park Woods Colmar Manor Coral Hills Cottage City Croom Daniels Park District Heights Eagle Harbor East Riverdale Edmonston Fairmount Heights Forest Heights Forestville Fort Washington Friendly Glassmanor Glenarden Glenn Dale Goddard Greenbelt Hardesty Hillcrest Heights Hollywood Hyattsville Kaywood Gardens Kettering Lake Arbor Landover Landover Hills Landover Park Langley Park Lanham Largo Laurel Marlboro Meadows Marlow Heights Marlyon Mitchellville Morningside Mount Rainier New Carrollton New Hampshire Gardens North Brentwood Oxon Hill Peppermill Village Queenstown Riverdale Park Rosaryville Seabrook Seat Pleasant South Laurel Springbrook Terrace Springdale Springhill Lake Suitland Temple Hills University Park Upper Marlboro Walker Mill West Laurel Westphalia Woodlawn Woodmore **Queen Anne's County** Barclay Centreville Chester Church Hill Grasonville Kent Narrows Kingstown Queen Anne Queenstown Stevensville Sudlersville **Somerset County** Chance Crisfield Dames Quarter Deal Island Eden Fairmount Frenchtown Mount Vernon Princess Anne Rumbley Smith Island West Pocomoke **St. Mary's County** California Charlotte Hall Golden Beach Leonardtown Lexington Park Mechanicsville Piney Point Saint George Island Tall Timbers **Talbot County** Cordova Easton Oxford Saint Aubins Heights Saint Michaels Tilghman Island Trappe **Washington County** Antietam Bagtown Bakersville Beaver Creek Big Pool Big Spring Blue Hill Boonsboro Breathedsville Brownsville Cascade Cavetown Cearfoss Charlton Chewsville Clear Spring Conococheague Dargan Downsview Eakles Mills Edgemont Ernstville Fairplay Fairview Fountain Head Funkstown Gapland Garretts Mill Greensburg Hagerstown Halfway Hancock Highfield Indian Springs Juxtown Keedysville Leitersburg Long Meadow Mapleville Maugansville Mercersville Mount Aetna Mount Briar Mount Lena Myersdale Orchard Hills Paramount Pecktonville Pinesburg Pondsview Reid Ringgold Robinwood Rohrsersville Rohrsersville Station Saint James San Mar Sandy Hook Sharpsburg Smithsburg Tilghmanton Trego Williamsport Wilson Yarrowsburg **Wicomico County** Allen Bivalve Delmar Fruitland Hebron Jesterville Lincoln Heights Mardela Springs Nanticoke Nanticoke Acres Parsonsburg Pittsville Powellville Quantico Salisbury Sharptown Spring Grove Tyaskin Waterview Whitehaven Willards **Worcester County** Berlin Bishopville Girdletree Newark North Ocean City Ocean City Ocean Pines Pocomoke City Snow Hill Stockton West Ocean City Whaleyville **Allegany County** Barreilville Barton Bel Air Bier Bowling Green Bowmans Addition Carlos Clarysville Corriganville Cresaptown Cumberland Danville Dawson Detmold Eckhart Mines Ellerslie Flintstone Franklin Frostburg Gilmore Grahamtown Klondike La Vale Little Orleans Lonaconing Luke McCoole Midland Midlothian Moscow Mount Savage National Nikep Ocean Oldtown Pleasant Grove Potomac Park Rawlings South Cumberland Spring Gap Vale Summit Westernport Woodland Zihlman **Anne Arundel County** Admiral Heights Annapolis Arden on the Severn Arnold Brooklyn Park Cape Saint Claire Crofton Crownsville Deale Eastport Edgewater Ferndale Forest Villa Friendship Galesville Gambrells Germantown Glen Burnie Green Haven Herald Harbor Highland Beach Hillsmere Shores Jessup Lake Shore Linthicum Londontowne Maryland City Mayo Odenton Parole Pasadena Primrose Acres Pumphrey Riva Riviera Beach Selby-on-the-Bay Severn Severna Park Shady Side South Gate Truxton Heights Tyler Heights Victor Haven Wardour West Annapolis **Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Presto Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Bennisville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ichester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Fores Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill