


Section V: Recidivism Rates



Artwork created by DJS youth on display at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center's new art studio. A Mother's Love Foundation in conjunction with the Department of Juvenile Services and The Circuit Court of Baltimore City Juvenile Division made the opening of this studio possible.

Measuring Recidivism Rates

Measuring recidivism for juvenile offenders is a vital part of program evaluation, and is an essential part of understanding the effectiveness of interventions to address delinquency. Though other outcomes are also important to study, including education, employment, substance abuse, and other non-offense-related outcomes, recidivism remains a key measure of success for juvenile justice programs.

POST-COMMITMENT RECIDIVISM

DJS has undertaken and published annual recidivism reports for many years, which focused on youth returning from committed out-of-home placements. These studies have been refined and expanded over the years to encompass all out-of-home committed programs (from foster placements to secure confinement), to include information on both juvenile and adult reoffending, and to show three levels of recidivating: a new alleged offense, whether that new offense resulted in conviction, and whether a new out-of-home placement resulted. Youth are followed for three years from release, and results are shown by year, level of recidivism, demographics, county, program type, and by individual program. It is important to note that although rates are presented by committed program, recidivism is affected by more than just the quality of the program. The quality of aftercare supervision after a youth is released, the community and/or family to which youth return, local economic opportunities, and other factors beyond the Department's control can all affect outcomes.

PROBATION RECIDIVISM

Committed out-of-home placements make up a relatively small portion of the cases managed by the Department, so in recent years, DJS expanded the annual recidivism study to capture youth on probation. This cohort includes all youth newly placed under DJS supervision by a juvenile court, most often under a probation order, though occasionally youth are placed under DJS in-home supervision under a commitment order. These cases are included in the study. Recidivism events are tracked from the date of the start of supervision for up to three years, regardless of how long a youth remains under supervision. Cases supervised in-home under the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) program are included in this study group.

RECIDIVISM DEFINITION

The juvenile justice community has not reached a consensus on how best to define recidivism with one measure. Therefore, consistent with other studies, DJS focuses on several measures, including subsequent juvenile and/or criminal involvement of youth. Because some youth may age out of juvenile court jurisdiction during the recidivism follow-up period, it is important to include information from the adult criminal justice system, and to report both juvenile and adult recidivism rates. For purposes of these recidivism studies, a new arrest includes all new delinquent or criminal offenses. This would be either a felony or a misdemeanor offense. Status offenses, traffic citations, ordinance, and violations of probation not including a new delinquent offense are not counted in recidivism rates. It is important to note that only those new adjudications, convictions, commitments, and incarcerations that stem from a new arrest are included. Those stemming from offenses that occurred prior to the probation or commitment episode studied would not be counted. All recidivism rates are calculated at the youth level, rather than the case level. If a youth is found to have recidivated in both the juvenile and adult systems, the recidivism event is counted once. It is, however, possible for a youth to show up in both the probation and committed study cohorts. The following chart includes data definitions used to analyze recidivism rates.

Cohort	Definition	Clock Starts	Assigned to	Further Breakdown/Summary
Probation	Youth with a first-time probation disposition in FY, and youth committed to in-home supervision in FY, who had not previously been placed on probation or committed to DJS	Date of Probation Disposition or Probation Start Date	Region of Jurisdiction of Case Manager	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, VPI, Assessed Risk
Committed	Youth released from committed program in FY	Date of final release, excluding transfers	Final program from which youth was released	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Program Type, Program, Assessed Risk

Revised Recidivism Methodology

Beginning with this Guide, recidivism methodology has been refined in two ways: 1. The date used to report the event is now based on the date of offense (for juvenile offenses) or arrest (for adult charges), rather than the date of any resulting court decision or placement. For example: a new offense in the first year after release, where the charge was not adjudicated until the second year, is counted as a first-year adjudication. In prior editions, the date of the court action or placement was used for reporting recidivism beyond the offense level. 2. Only misdemeanor and felony offenses are now counted for recidivism. Technical violations, citations or other non-delinquent referrals are not counted. This Guide includes a five-year chart using the revised methodology for all years to show the long-term trend.

Recidivism	Definition	Date Used	Includes	Excludes	Data Source
Juvenile Arrest	Subsequent offense referred to DJS	Offense date within follow-up period	Felony or misdemeanor referred to DJS	Excludes violations of probation or aftercare not involving a new delinquent offense. Excludes CINS referrals, citations, local ordinance violations, arrests in other states, arrests for offenses occurring prior to release, and arrests diverted by police and not referred to DJS.	DJS ASSIST
Adult Arrest	Adult arrest	Arrest date within follow-up period	All Maryland arrests under adult court jurisdiction	Excludes violations of probation or parole, alcohol citation, civil citations, arrests outside of Maryland, and Federal holds.	CJIS
Juvenile Adjudication	Sustained delinquent adjudication included in reoffense	Offense date within follow-up period	Sustained and adjudicated offenses	Excludes continued cases	DJS ASSIST
Adult Conviction	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count	Arrest date within follow-up period	Guilty verdict	Same as adult arrest	CJIS
Juvenile Commitment	Commitment stemming from offense included in reoffense and readjudication	Offense date within follow-up period	New committed admission stemming from reoffense and readjudication, even if commitment order already existed from a prior commitment	Excludes commitments not resulting in out of home placement	DJS ASSIST
Adult Incarceration	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest resulting in a sentence of commitment	Arrest date within follow-up period	Guilty verdict resulting in a sentence of confinement	Same as adult arrest	CJIS

For purposes of this *Data Resource Guide*, rates will be combined for the juvenile and adult system into three overall categories using the below mentioned Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism measures and labeled as:

1. Rearrest
2. Reconviction
3. Reincarceration

DATA SOURCES

Information from two different databases (juvenile and adult) is retrieved, processed, and compiled for each of the selected released cohorts. Data gathering involved the following procedures:

- A list of all youths newly assigned to probation, or released from the DJS committed residential programs is obtained from the Department's ASSIST management information system.
- Master cohort files are created containing gender, race, date of birth, county of jurisdiction, region of jurisdiction, county of residence, and region of residence. For committed youth, the last program name and program type from which youth was released during the release cohort is also included. Risk level is added using the MCASP assessment completed closest to the committed release date for committed youth and disposition date for probation youth.
- For both committed and probation cohort files, juvenile recidivism events are added from the ASSIST database, including subsequent arrests, adjudications, and commitments. Dates of offense are used to code recidivism events as occurring one, two, and three years after release.
- Adult arrest and court disposition information is obtained from the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), using DJS youth name, date of birth, race, and gender as identification or index fields. These records are added to a text file and returned to DJS with the rest of the response data. Name match is as follows: Smith, Gregory will match with Smith, Gregory and Smith Greg. Smith, Greg will match with Smith, Greg and Smith, Gr, but not with Smith, Gregory. DJS youth names are given in full and checked for any abbreviation as Gr or Greg for example.
- Youth who recidivate in both systems are counted only once by the first offense or arrest date during the follow-up period.

Overall Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases

6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2012-2014 RELEASES, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2015

Follow-up Period	FY2012			FY2013			FY2014		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration
6 Months	33.8%	12.4%	9.4%	29.9%	12.3%	9.2%	31.3%	12.0%	9.3%
12 Months	47.8%	21.0%	16.3%	46.9%	21.5%	16.8%	45.8%	19.1%	14.7%
24 Months	64.1%	33.9%	28.1%	62.2%	31.9%	25.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	71.4%	40.4%	34.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2014 releases at 12-months were:
 - Rearrest: 45.8%
 - Reconviction: 19.1%
 - Reincarceration: 14.7%
- When comparing the reoffending pattern at 12-months, rates were lowest for FY 2014 releases for all three recidivism measures.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014 RELEASES

Demographics	FY2014 Releases						
	Total	Rearrests	Reconvictions	Reincarcerations			
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	921	462	50.2%	194	21.1%	152	16.5%
White	345	126	36.5%	52	15.1%	37	10.7%
Hispanic/Other	78	27	34.6%	11	14.1%	8	10.3%
Sex							
Male	1,128	544	48.2%	241	21.4%	188	16.7%
Female	216	71	32.9%	16	7.4%	9	4.2%
Age at Release							
11 and Under	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
12	6	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%
13	15	9	60.0%	3	20.0%	2	13.3%
14	63	33	52.4%	16	25.4%	14	22.2%
15	181	102	56.4%	47	26.0%	34	18.8%
16	306	155	50.7%	71	23.2%	41	13.4%
17	361	152	42.1%	64	17.7%	55	15.2%
18 or older	412	160	38.8%	55	13.3%	51	12.4%
Total	1,344	615	45.8%	257	19.1%	197	14.7%

Note to Readers:

Throughout this recidivism section, analysis of trends information appearing in bullets is based on the actual data and not the rounded figures presented in the graphs/tables.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
 - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
 - Black youth had the highest rates for all recidivism measures.
 - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2012-2014 RELEASES, BY REGION AND COUNTY

Region/ County	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
R-I - Balt. City	353	56.4%	24.1%	19.8%	325	60.6%	25.5%	23.1%	258	63.6%	26.0%	20.2%
Baltimore City	353	56.4%	24.1%	19.8%	325	60.6%	25.5%	23.1%	258	63.6%	26.0%	20.2%
R-II - Central	258	50.0%	24.0%	16.7%	212	51.9%	26.4%	15.6%	192	51.6%	24.5%	16.7%
Baltimore Co.	160	51.9%	23.1%	15.6%	120	60.8%	30.0%	15.0%	100	57.0%	25.0%	19.0%
Carroll	41	48.8%	31.7%	24.4%	44	34.1%	25.0%	13.6%	26	50.0%	26.9%	19.2%
Harford	35	31.4%	11.4%	11.4%	29	51.7%	24.1%	24.1%	43	37.2%	20.9%	9.3%
Howard	22	68.2%	36.4%	18.2%	19	36.8%	10.5%	10.5%	23	56.5%	26.1%	17.4%
R-III - Western	132	44.7%	21.2%	16.7%	124	47.6%	23.4%	15.3%	116	42.2%	19.0%	11.2%
Allegany	28	57.1%	21.4%	14.3%	21	33.3%	23.8%	23.8%	26	42.3%	19.2%	15.4%
Frederick	44	47.7%	25.0%	18.2%	42	57.1%	21.4%	11.9%	35	28.6%	5.7%	2.9%
Garrett	4	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13	30.8%	30.8%	23.1%	12	66.7%	33.3%	25.0%
Washington	56	37.5%	19.6%	17.9%	48	50.0%	22.9%	12.5%	43	46.5%	25.6%	11.6%
R-IV - Eastern	159	40.9%	15.1%	13.2%	171	39.8%	16.4%	15.8%	141	45.4%	23.4%	20.6%
Caroline	9	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	7	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%
Cecil	24	50.0%	20.8%	20.8%	13	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%	20	50.0%	30.0%	25.0%
Dorchester	6	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	18	27.8%	16.7%	16.7%	14	57.1%	42.9%	35.7%
Kent	5	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	3	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	6	50.0%	50.0%	33.3%
Queen Anne's	9	66.7%	22.2%	22.2%	9	55.6%	11.1%	11.1%	9	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%
Somerset	7	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	14	42.9%	14.3%	7.1%	7	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%
Talbot	6	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10	70.0%	30.0%	30.0%	3	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Wicomico	78	43.6%	12.8%	10.3%	85	35.3%	16.5%	16.5%	56	51.8%	23.2%	21.4%
Worcester	15	33.3%	13.3%	6.7%	14	42.9%	21.4%	21.4%	19	36.8%	10.5%	10.5%
R-V - Southern	237	41.4%	17.3%	13.1%	247	46.2%	22.3%	17.0%	244	39.3%	14.3%	10.7%
Anne Arundel	136	43.4%	18.4%	11.8%	162	46.9%	22.2%	18.5%	150	38.7%	14.0%	8.7%
Calvert	27	33.3%	14.8%	14.8%	17	35.3%	11.8%	0.0%	15	40.0%	13.3%	6.7%
Charles	42	45.2%	16.7%	16.7%	33	51.5%	30.3%	21.2%	41	36.6%	12.2%	12.2%
St. Mary's	32	34.4%	15.6%	12.5%	35	42.9%	20.0%	14.3%	38	44.7%	18.4%	18.4%
R-VI - Metro	391	48.6%	21.7%	16.4%	420	39.3%	17.9%	14.3%	369	37.1%	13.8%	11.9%
Montgomery	144	46.5%	31.3%	23.6%	135	41.5%	23.7%	16.3%	103	50.5%	25.2%	20.4%
Prince George's	247	49.8%	16.2%	12.1%	285	38.2%	15.1%	13.3%	266	32.0%	9.4%	8.6%
Out-of-State	40	27.5%	12.5%	12.5%	29	13.8%	6.9%	3.4%	24	25.0%	8.3%	4.2%
Statewide Total	1,570	47.8%	21.0%	16.3%	1,528	46.9%	21.5%	16.8%	1,344	45.8%	19.1%	14.7%

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore, if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL¹, FY 2014 RELEASES



¹There were 17 youth who did not have MCASP Risk Levels.

- Youth with a high risk level had higher recidivism rates for all three measures.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2010-2014 RELEASES, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2015



- For all three measures, recidivism rates were lowest in FY 2014.

Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases by Program Type

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR RELEASES¹ BY PROGRAM TYPE SUMMARY, FY 2012 - 2014

Total for Each Program Type	FY 2012				FY 2013				FY 2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Foster Care	78	43.6%	16.7%	14.1%	78	44.9%	21.8%	17.9%	79	36.7%	12.7%	8.9%
Group Home	359	45.7%	19.2%	14.5%	331	41.1%	16.6%	12.4%	334	38.0%	19.2%	15.6%
ICFA	183	45.9%	17.5%	13.7%	175	48.0%	21.7%	16.0%	107	40.2%	14.0%	8.4%
Independent Living	38	39.5%	18.4%	15.8%	45	42.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33	33.3%	6.1%	3.0%
RTC	220	42.7%	15.0%	10.9%	200	39.0%	18.5%	16.0%	191	48.7%	18.3%	15.7%
Out-of-State	140	51.4%	26.4%	23.6%	129	56.6%	31.0%	24.0%	112	49.1%	20.5%	18.8%
State-Operated	552	52.2%	25.2%	19.0%	570	51.2%	23.0%	17.7%	488	52.7%	22.1%	15.8%

¹Totals presented in the table above include each type of facility reported in that broad category. For example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as In-Home Foster Care. State-Operated includes Silver Oak Academy (a privately-operated facility in Maryland).

- Analyses of trends are presented on the following pages for each specific program type.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR FOSTER CARE RELEASES, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

Treatment Foster Care	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	5	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Board of Child Care	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Children's Home	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Concern	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Foundations for Home & Community	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Greenleaf	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Hearts & Homes for Youth - Family Ties	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Maple Shade Youth and Family Services	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD - Baltimore	32	19	8	7	24	13	7	7	29	11	3	3
Mentor MD - Comm. Based Services	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD - Lanham	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD - Salisbury Teens	15	4	1	1	31	14	7	7	23	9	3	3
Multi-Dimensional TFC (Baltimore County)	3	2	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Multi-Dimensional TFC (Montgomery Co.)	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Presley Ridge	9	3	2	1	8	3	1	0	13	3	1	0
PSI Services III	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	5	2	0	0
San Mar	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
Woodbourne Center	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	2	1	1
Treatment Foster Care Total	75	45.3%	17.3%	14.7%	75	46.7%	22.7%	18.7%	75	36.0%	10.7%	9.3%
In-Home Foster Care												
<i>In-Home Foster Care</i>	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Foster Care Total	78	43.6%	16.7%	14.1%	78	44.9%	21.8%	17.9%	79	36.7%	12.7%	8.9%

- For total Foster Care releases (including Treatment and In-Home Foster Care) between FY 2012 and FY 2014, rearrest rates decreased by 6.9%, reconviction rates decreased by 4.0%, and reincarceration rates decreased by 5.2%.

Note: Throughout this section, programs that had no releases within a fiscal year will have N/A reported for all recidivism measures.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

Group Home	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
ARC of Washington	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	1	1	1	3	1	1	1
Balt. Adoles. Treatment Guidance Organization	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Board of Child Care	7	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Catoctin Summit Adolescent Program	24	5	1	1	21	5	1	0	30	10	6	6
Cedar Ridge Ministries	18	10	5	2	19	11	3	2	15	7	5	5
Children's Home (GH & Tran.)	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	4	1	1	10	7	6	6
Greentree Adolescent	15	8	2	2	22	12	2	2	13	8	4	3
Hearts and Homes	37	16	8	8	41	11	7	6	51	15	8	5
Karma Academy for Boys	11	4	1	0	9	4	2	0	7	3	3	3
Kent Youth Boys	11	6	4	4	12	5	4	3	11	3	3	2
KEYS	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
MAGIC	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
MD Sheriff's Youth Ranch	9	6	2	0	5	4	2	2	4	2	1	1
Morning Star Youth	49	24	11	7	40	19	9	7	35	13	7	6
Oak Hill House	17	12	6	6	13	3	1	1	12	2	1	1
One Love Group	4	1	1	0	6	1	1	1	7	3	1	1
Our House Youth	22	6	3	3	16	9	4	4	14	5	2	2
Potomac Ridge Crownsville	21	9	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Salem Trust	9	4	1	1	13	6	2	1	17	7	3	2
San Mar - Graff Acad. for Life Readiness	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
San Mar - Jone Bowman	14	3	1	1	4	1	0	0	9	4	0	0
Shining Tree	10	2	0	0	7	4	2	1	7	2	1	1
St Ann's Infant & Maternity Program	1	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	5	1	0	0
TuTTie's Place	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
Way Home - Mt Manor	11	6	3	2	16	5	0	0	14	3	1	1
Group Home Total	291	43.0%	18.2%	13.7%	271	39.9%	15.5%	11.8%	266	36.8%	19.9%	17.3%

- The Group Home Releases table is continued on the next page and trend analyses are presented there.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2012 - FY 2014* (CONTINUED)

Therapeutic Group Home (TGH)	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Board of Child Care	13	9	3	3	20	12	4	3	33	14	4	2
Cedar Ridge	7	5	2	2	5	1	0	0	8	3	3	2
Guide	11	7	4	3	10	4	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maple Shade	1	0	0	0	3	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mary's Mount Manor*	14	8	4	2	9	2	1	1	10	4	0	0
Redl House	10	7	2	1	9	5	3	1	8	6	4	2
San Mar - Allegany Girls	9	3	1	1	4	2	1	0	8	2	0	0
San Mar Jack E. Barr	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Therapeutic Group	68	57.4%	23.5%	17.6%	60	46.7%	21.7%	15.0%	68	42.6%	16.2%	8.8%
Total Group Home and TGH	359	45.7%	19.2%	14.5%	331	41.1%	16.6%	12.4%	334	38.0%	19.2%	15.6%

*Hearts and Homes

For total Group Home (including Therapeutic Group Home) releases:

- Between FY 2012 and FY 2014:
 - Rearrest rates decreased 7.7% and reconviction rates remained relatively stable (decreased 0.1%).
 - Reincarceration rates increased 1.1%.
- Between FY 2013 and FY 2014:
 - Rearrest rates decreased 3.1%.
 - Reconviction rates increased 2.5% and reincarceration rates increased 3.2%.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INTERMEDIATE CARE FOR ADDICTIONS FACILITY (ICFA) RELEASES, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

ICFA	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Lois E. Jackson Unit	89	41	16	12	91	37	14	8	78	28	9	7
MTC - Mountain Manor	94	43	16	13	84	47	24	20	29	15	6	2
Total ICFA	183	45.9%	17.5%	13.7%	175	48.0%	21.7%	16.0%	107	40.2%	14.0%	8.4%

For total ICFA releases:

- Between FY 2012 and FY 2014:
 - All measures of recidivism decreased.
 - Rearrest rates decreased 5.7%, reconviction rates decreased 3.5%, and reincarceration rates decreased 5.2%.
- Between FY 2013 and FY 2014:
 - All measures of recidivism decreased.
 - Rearrest rates decreased 7.8%, reconviction rates decreased 7.7%, and reincarceration rates decreased 7.6%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING RELEASES, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

Independent Living	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Bay Shore Services, Inc	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Board of Child Care	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Damamli Independent	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Future Bound	2	1	0	0	5	2	2	2	2	0	0	0
Jumoke	1	0	0	0	11	6	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD Baltimore	6	2	1	1	8	3	1	1	3	0	0	0
Mentor MD Salisbury	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	1	0	0	4	2	0	0
New Pathways-Independ.	2	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
San Mar - Anderson	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0	0	0
Independent Living Total	15	20.0%	6.7%	6.7%	32	37.5%	18.8%	18.8%	12	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alternative Living Units												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	3	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
NCIA -Youth in Transition Program	20	11	6	5	10	7	4	4	21	9	2	1
Alternative Living Units Total	23	52.2%	26.1%	21.7%	13	53.8%	30.8%	30.8%	21	42.9%	9.5%	4.8%
Total Independent Living & Alternative Living Units	38	39.5%	18.4%	15.8%	45	42.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33	33.3%	6.1%	3.0%

For total Independent Living releases including Alternative Living Units:

- Between FY 2012 and FY 2014, all measures decreased:
 - Rearrest rates decreased 6.1%.
 - Reconviction rates decreased 12.4%.
 - Reincarceration rates decreased 12.8%.
- Between FY 2013 and FY 2014, all measures decreased:
 - Rearrest rates decreased 8.9%.
 - Reconviction rates decreased 16.2%.
 - Reincarceration rates decreased 19.2%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR RTC RELEASES, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

Residential Treatment Centers (RTC)	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Behav. Hlth-Anne Arundel	3	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Behav. Hlth-East. Shore*	23	10	5	4	14	11	4	3	9	5	4	4
Good Shepherd Center Females	30	11	7	4	22	4	2	2	10	4	1	0
Good Shepherd Center Males	4	1	0	0	6	5	3	3	4	4	1	1
Jefferson School	13	5	1	0	14	8	5	4	5	3	1	1
New Directions Chesapeake	10	2	1	1	6	3	2	2	14	4	2	2
Potomac Ridge	10	4	0	0	9	3	1	0	14	8	3	2
RICA Baltimore	9	3	1	1	7	0	0	0	5	2	1	0
RICA Rockville	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	9	6	3	3	9	2	1	0	11	5	1	1
Villa Maria	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	2	1	0
Woodbourne	19	9	3	3	15	5	1	1	18	7	5	4
Residential Treat. Total	134	41.0%	16.4%	12.7%	103	40.8%	18.4%	14.6%	93	47.3%	21.5%	16.1%
Psychiatric Hospitals												
Behavioral Health Hospital Rockville	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
East. Shore Acute Unit*	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Shepherd Pratt Ellicott City-Adolescent Prog.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Southern MD Hospital Ctr	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spring Grove Hospital Ctr	44	20	5	3	50	18	9	9	39	18	7	7
Springfield Adult	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0	3	1	0	0
Thomas Finan Center	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Psychiatric Hosp. Total	48	45.8%	12.5%	8.3%	56	35.7%	17.9%	17.9%	45	46.7%	17.8%	17.8%
Diagnostic Units / CEU												
Arrow Child & Family Ministries	19	8	1	0	18	8	5	4	21	13	4	4
Children's Home (Females)	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
RICA Rockville	8	3	1	1	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Woodbourne	11	6	3	2	20	7	3	3	29	15	3	3
Diag. Units/CEU Total	38	44.7%	13.2%	7.9%	41	39.0%	19.5%	17.1%	53	52.8%	13.2%	13.2%
Total RTC	220	42.7%	15.0%	10.9%	200	39.0%	18.5%	16.0%	191	48.7%	18.3%	15.7%

*Potomac Ridge

For RTC releases including Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Units/CEU between FY 2012 and FY 2014:

- Rearrest rates increased 6.0%, conviction rates increased 3.3%, and reincarceration rates increased 4.8%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

Residential Treatment Facility OOS	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
AdvoServ Programs	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Boys Town	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	0	4	3	2	1
Cottonwood Treatment Center	5	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Devereux - Florida	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Devereux - Georgia	4	3	1	1	5	2	2	2	2	0	0	0
Devereux - Pennsylvania	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Keystone Newport News	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Hope Carolinas	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	3	2	2
Palmetto Behav. Health	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Three Rivers - Midland Campus	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
UHS of DE, Inc - Laurel	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	3	2	2	4	1	1	1
Residential Treatment Facility	14	42.9%	21.4%	21.4%	16	56.3%	37.5%	31.3%	17	41.2%	29.4%	23.5%
Staff Secure - OOS												
Bennington School	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Canyon State Academy	10	5	1	1	6	3	1	1	4	1	0	0
Clarinda Academy	18	7	4	4	13	7	5	4	12	7	2	2
Cornell Abraxas	3	2	1	1	5	4	1	1	1	1	0	0
Glen Mills Schools	12	5	4	4	11	7	2	0	9	2	0	0
Kid Link - Coastal Harbor Treatment	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Kid Link Network - Foundations for Living	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0
Lakeside Academy	2	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lakeview Neuro Rehabilitation Center	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Natchez Trace Yth Acad.*	12	8	5	4	14	7	4	3	11	7	2	2
Summit Academy	6	4	3	3	9	5	2	1	4	1	0	0
Woodward Academy**	14	10	7	5	14	7	4	4	8	7	5	5
Staff Secure OOS	80	52.5%	31.3%	27.5%	77	58.4%	29.9%	22.1%	52	51.9%	17.3%	17.3%

*Keystone Continuum LLC

**Woodward Youth Corporation DBA

- The Out-of-State Facility Releases table is continued on the next page and trend analyses are presented there.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2012 - FY 2014* (CONTINUED)

Hardware Secure - OOS	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
CCS -Turning Point	12	7	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cornell Abraxas	8	2	0	0	16	8	4	2	16	8	5	4
Mid-Atlantic-Luzerne	14	7	4	4	4	1	1	1	12	7	2	2
Mid-Atlantic West.PA	12	8	4	4	10	8	6	6	8	5	2	2
NHS Yth Services, Inc Northwestern Acad	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	2	0	0	7	1	0	0
Hardware Secure OOS Total	46	52.2%	19.6%	17.4%	36	52.8%	30.6%	25.0%	43	48.8%	20.9%	18.6%
Out-of-State Total	140	51.4%	26.4%	23.6%	129	56.6%	31.0%	24.0%	112	49.1%	20.5%	18.8%

Between FY 2012 and FY 2014, for Out-of-State releases including Residential Treatment Facilities, Staff Secure, and Hardware Secure:

- Rearrest rates decreased by 2.3%, reconviction rates decreased 5.9%, and reincarceration rates decreased 4.8%.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR STATE-OPERATED AND PRIVATELY OPERATED FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

State-Operated Facilities	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Backbone Mtn Yth Ctr.	97	54	29	18	102	54	24	20	70	32	9	4
Green Ridge Yth Ctr.	53	30	21	18	52	26	17	10	48	21	15	12
Green Ridge - Mtn Quest	42	17	7	5	43	24	10	7	36	19	11	8
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr. ¹	12	4	1	0	16	7	2	2	18	7	1	0
Meadow Mountain Yth Ctr.	74	38	18	14	74	39	24	16	66	32	16	11
Savage Mountain Yth Ctr.	76	36	15	15	78	38	19	17	59	32	11	7
Victor Cullen Center	83	51	18	15	100	51	23	21	99	68	26	24
William Donald Schaefer House	52	28	15	11	46	26	8	6	32	19	7	4
Total State-Operated	489	52.8%	25.4%	19.6%	511	51.9%	24.9%	19.4%	428	53.7%	22.4%	16.4%
Privately Operated Facility												
Silver Oak Academy	63	30	15	9	59	27	4	2	60	27	12	7
Total Privately Operated	63	47.6%	23.8%	14.3%	59	45.8%	6.8%	3.4%	60	45.0%	20.0%	11.7%
Total State- and Privately Operated	552	52.2%	25.2%	19.0%	570	51.2%	23.0%	17.7%	488	52.7%	22.1%	15.8%

¹Includes youth in the committed programs at Waxter and Carter Center

Between FY 2012 and FY 2014, for State-Operated Facility releases including Silver Oak:

- Rearrest rates remained relatively stable (increased 0.5%).
- Reconviction rates decreased 3.1% and reincarceration rates decreased 3.2%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

ONE, TWO, AND THREE YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2012 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest			Reconviction			Reincarceration		
		1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr
Foster Care Total	78	43.6%	59.0%	60.3%	16.7%	25.6%	30.8%	14.1%	23.1%	28.2%
Treatment Foster Care	75	45.3%	58.7%	60.0%	17.3%	25.3%	30.7%	14.7%	22.7%	28.0%
In-Home Foster Care	3	0.0%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%
Group Home Total	359	45.7%	63.8%	70.5%	19.2%	29.8%	37.0%	14.5%	23.4%	29.5%
Group Home	291	43.0%	61.9%	68.7%	18.2%	28.9%	35.7%	13.7%	22.0%	28.2%
Therapeutic Group Home	68	57.4%	72.1%	77.9%	23.5%	33.8%	42.6%	17.6%	29.4%	35.3%
Independent Living Total	38	39.5%	50.0%	60.5%	18.4%	28.9%	34.2%	15.8%	28.9%	34.2%
Independent Living	15	20.0%	20.0%	33.3%	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%
Alternative Living Units	23	52.2%	69.6%	78.3%	26.1%	39.1%	43.5%	21.7%	39.1%	43.5%
IFCA Total	183	45.9%	60.7%	67.2%	17.5%	29.5%	35.0%	13.7%	23.5%	29.0%
RTC Total	220	42.7%	63.2%	72.3%	15.0%	30.0%	37.7%	10.9%	23.6%	31.8%
Residential Treatment Facility	134	41.0%	61.2%	70.9%	16.4%	30.6%	37.3%	12.7%	24.6%	32.1%
Psychiatric Hospital	48	45.8%	64.6%	75.0%	12.5%	31.3%	41.7%	8.3%	25.0%	33.3%
Diagnostic Units / CEU	38	44.7%	68.4%	73.7%	13.2%	26.3%	34.2%	7.9%	18.4%	28.9%
Out-of-State Total	140	51.4%	70.0%	75.7%	26.4%	37.9%	43.6%	23.6%	35.0%	40.0%
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	14	42.9%	50.0%	64.3%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%
Staff Secure - OOS	80	52.5%	76.3%	80.0%	31.3%	43.8%	48.8%	27.5%	40.0%	45.0%
Hardware Secure - OOS	46	52.2%	65.2%	71.7%	19.6%	28.3%	37.0%	17.4%	26.1%	32.6%
State-Operated Total	489	52.8%	66.5%	73.4%	25.4%	40.3%	45.8%	19.6%	33.3%	39.5%
Backbone Mountain Youth Center	97	55.7%	73.2%	77.3%	29.9%	43.3%	50.5%	18.6%	36.1%	47.4%
Green Ridge Youth Center	53	56.6%	64.2%	71.7%	39.6%	50.9%	52.8%	34.0%	47.2%	50.9%
Green Ridge Mountain Quest	42	40.5%	59.5%	66.7%	16.7%	35.7%	40.5%	11.9%	23.8%	28.6%
J. DeWeese Carter Center ¹	12	33.3%	50.0%	50.0%	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	8.3%	16.7%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	74	51.4%	63.5%	78.4%	24.3%	40.5%	45.9%	18.9%	33.8%	39.2%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	76	47.4%	63.2%	68.4%	19.7%	35.5%	43.4%	19.7%	31.6%	35.5%
Victor Cullen Center	83	61.4%	71.1%	74.7%	21.7%	37.3%	44.6%	18.1%	31.3%	38.6%
William Donald Schaefer House	52	53.8%	67.3%	76.9%	28.8%	42.3%	44.2%	21.2%	32.7%	34.6%
Silver Oak (Privately Operated DJS Youth Only)	63	47.6%	63.5%	81.0%	23.8%	39.7%	50.8%	14.3%	33.3%	44.4%
Statewide Total	1,570	47.8%	64.1%	71.4%	21.0%	33.9%	40.4%	16.3%	28.1%	34.5%

¹Includes youth in the committed programs at Waxter and Carter Center

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2012 releases at 1 year and 3 years post release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 47.8% and within 3 years it was 71.4%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 21.0% and within 3 years it was 40.4%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 16.3% and within 3 years it was 34.5%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

ONE AND TWO YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2013 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest		Reconviction		Reincarceration	
		1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr
Foster Care Total	78	44.9%	51.3%	21.8%	25.6%	17.9%	20.5%
Treatment Foster Care	75	46.7%	52.0%	22.7%	25.3%	18.7%	20.0%
In-Home Foster Care	3	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%
Group Home Total	331	41.1%	56.5%	16.6%	28.7%	12.4%	21.8%
Group Home	271	39.9%	54.6%	15.5%	26.6%	11.8%	21.0%
Therapeutic Group Home	60	46.7%	65.0%	21.7%	38.3%	15.0%	25.0%
Independent Living Total	45	42.2%	57.8%	22.2%	24.4%	22.2%	24.4%
Independent Living	32	37.5%	56.3%	18.8%	21.9%	18.8%	21.9%
Alternative Living Units	13	53.8%	61.5%	30.8%	30.8%	30.8%	30.8%
IFCA Total	175	48.0%	61.1%	21.7%	34.3%	16.0%	26.9%
RTC Total	200	39.0%	58.0%	18.5%	31.5%	16.0%	26.5%
Residential Treatment Facility	103	40.8%	58.3%	18.4%	30.1%	14.6%	23.3%
Psychiatric Hospital	56	35.7%	53.6%	17.9%	25.0%	17.9%	25.0%
Diagnostic Units / CEU	41	39.0%	63.4%	19.5%	43.9%	17.1%	36.6%
Out-of-State Total	129	56.6%	69.0%	31.0%	37.2%	24.0%	29.5%
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	16	56.3%	62.5%	37.5%	37.5%	31.3%	31.3%
Staff Secure - OOS	77	58.4%	72.7%	29.9%	36.4%	22.1%	27.3%
Hardware Secure - OOS	36	52.8%	63.9%	30.6%	38.9%	25.0%	33.3%
State-Operated Total	511	51.9%	67.3%	24.9%	34.8%	19.4%	28.4%
Backbone Mountain Youth Center	102	52.9%	73.5%	23.5%	32.4%	19.6%	26.5%
Green Ridge Youth Center	52	50.0%	57.7%	32.7%	38.5%	19.2%	25.0%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	43	55.8%	69.8%	23.3%	25.6%	16.3%	18.6%
J. DeWeese Carter Youth Center ¹	16	43.8%	43.8%	12.5%	18.8%	12.5%	12.5%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	74	52.7%	68.9%	32.4%	40.5%	21.6%	31.1%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	78	48.7%	69.2%	24.4%	38.5%	21.8%	34.6%
Victor Cullen Center	100	51.0%	68.0%	23.0%	41.0%	21.0%	38.0%
William Donald Schaefer House	46	56.5%	63.0%	17.4%	21.7%	13.0%	15.2%
Silver Oak (Privately Operated DJS Youth Only)	59	45.8%	69.5%	6.8%	22.0%	3.4%	15.3%
Statewide Total	1,528	46.9%	62.2%	21.5%	31.9%	16.8%	25.6%

¹Includes youth in the committed programs at Waxter and Carter Center

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2013 releases at 1-year with 2-years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 46.9% and within 2 years it was 62.2%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 21.5% and within 2 years it was 31.9%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 16.8% and within 2 years it was 25.6%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

Overall Recidivism Rates for Youth with First-Time Probation Dispositions

6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2012-2014 NEW PROBATION YOUTH, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2015*

Follow-up Period	FY 2012			FY 2013			FY 2014		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*
6 Months	25.7%	9.6%	4.4%	27.0%	12.1%	5.1%	28.6%	11.8%	4.2%
12 Months	38.1%	16.0%	7.8%	39.3%	18.5%	8.1%	41.2%	18.3%	7.1%
24 Months	52.2%	25.8%	14.6%	52.2%	25.6%	13.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	59.3%	30.9%	18.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2014 youth at 12-months were:
 - Rearrest: 41.2%
 - Reconviction: 18.3%
 - Incarceration: 7.1%
- Comparing the 12-month rates for FY 2012 and FY 2014, rearrest rates increased 3.1%, reconviction rates increased 2.3%, and incarceration rates remained relatively stable (decreased 0.7%).

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2014 NEW PROBATION YOUTH*

Demographics	FY 2014						
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*			
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	1,546	735	47.5%	339	21.9%	122	7.9%
White	666	194	29.1%	78	11.7%	36	5.4%
Hispanic/Other	127	34	26.8%	12	9.4%	7	5.5%
Sex							
Male	1,812	791	43.7%	361	19.9%	144	7.9%
Female	527	172	32.6%	68	12.9%	21	4.0%
Age at Disposition							
11 and Under	26	10	38.5%	5	19.2%	2	7.7%
12	61	31	50.8%	15	24.6%	7	11.5%
13	169	76	45.0%	35	20.7%	13	7.7%
14	301	139	46.2%	69	22.9%	24	8.0%
15	501	243	48.5%	115	23.0%	42	8.4%
16	559	249	44.5%	110	19.7%	38	6.8%
17	550	184	33.5%	74	13.5%	33	6.0%
18 or older	172	31	18.0%	6	3.5%	6	3.5%
Total	2,339	963	41.2%	429	18.3%	165	7.1%

Note: The probation cohort includes youth placed on probation (not youth released from probation) and committed community youth. Therefore, recidivism is measured starting at the disposition date.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
 - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
 - Black youth had the highest rates for all measures.
 - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system. Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2012-2014 NEW PROBATION YOUTH, BY REGION AND COUNTY*

Region/County	FY 2012				FY 2013				FY 2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*
R-I - Balt. City	562	51.4%	25.1%	11.9%	526	52.5%	26.6%	11.2%	554	56.9%	26.5%	9.7%
Baltimore City	562	51.4%	25.1%	11.9%	526	52.5%	26.6%	11.2%	554	56.9%	26.5%	9.7%
R-II - Central	735	37.0%	14.4%	4.5%	652	38.0%	20.9%	7.1%	614	40.1%	19.4%	5.9%
Baltimore County	415	39.8%	14.5%	2.9%	346	40.5%	21.4%	6.6%	367	42.5%	18.5%	4.4%
Carroll	56	32.1%	10.7%	7.1%	71	25.4%	12.7%	7.0%	59	22.0%	16.9%	8.5%
Harford	150	30.7%	14.7%	6.7%	120	34.2%	20.0%	5.8%	75	40.0%	16.0%	4.0%
Howard	114	37.7%	15.8%	6.1%	115	42.6%	25.2%	9.6%	113	41.6%	25.7%	10.6%
R-III - Western	260	33.8%	15.4%	7.3%	194	39.2%	16.5%	7.7%	192	40.1%	17.7%	7.3%
Allegany	44	50.0%	15.9%	13.6%	30	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%	38	44.7%	26.3%	13.2%
Frederick	60	40.0%	20.0%	6.7%	60	43.3%	20.0%	11.7%	55	30.9%	14.5%	7.3%
Garrett	29	17.2%	6.9%	6.9%	25	24.0%	8.0%	4.0%	10	40.0%	30.0%	30.0%
Washington	127	29.1%	15.0%	5.5%	79	40.5%	15.2%	5.1%	89	43.8%	14.6%	2.2%
R-IV - Eastern	310	32.9%	8.7%	7.4%	299	32.1%	10.4%	6.0%	199	37.2%	13.1%	5.0%
Caroline	13	46.2%	0.0%	0.0%	13	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%	24	41.7%	8.3%	0.0%
Cecil	76	32.9%	5.3%	5.3%	70	22.9%	8.6%	4.3%	44	27.3%	18.2%	4.5%
Dorchester	34	41.2%	17.6%	14.7%	19	42.1%	15.8%	10.5%	13	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%
Kent	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	11	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Queen Anne's	21	47.6%	14.3%	4.8%	14	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Somerset	7	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	6	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%	12	58.3%	25.0%	8.3%
Talbot	16	31.3%	12.5%	12.5%	17	41.2%	23.5%	11.8%	12	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%
Wicomico	75	37.3%	10.7%	9.3%	97	34.0%	7.2%	4.1%	49	46.9%	16.3%	8.2%
Worcester	64	14.1%	3.1%	3.1%	52	21.2%	7.7%	3.8%	33	24.2%	0.0%	0.0%
R-V - Southern	474	39.0%	17.9%	8.9%	397	38.8%	16.6%	8.3%	390	36.9%	15.4%	6.2%
Anne Arundel	254	44.5%	21.3%	10.6%	193	45.6%	20.2%	10.4%	169	36.7%	16.0%	6.5%
Calvert	53	26.4%	13.2%	9.4%	42	35.7%	14.3%	4.8%	38	50.0%	13.2%	7.9%
Charles	97	36.1%	14.4%	5.2%	83	36.1%	19.3%	10.8%	106	38.7%	19.8%	7.5%
St. Mary's	70	32.9%	14.3%	7.1%	79	26.6%	6.3%	2.5%	77	28.6%	9.1%	2.6%
R-VI - Metro	621	31.1%	12.1%	7.4%	499	32.1%	13.8%	7.6%	390	27.4%	11.0%	6.9%
Montgomery	222	33.3%	17.1%	10.4%	170	37.1%	18.2%	8.8%	108	30.6%	17.6%	12.0%
Prince George's	399	29.8%	9.3%	5.8%	329	29.5%	11.6%	7.0%	282	26.2%	8.5%	5.0%
Statewide Total	2,962	38.1%	16.0%	7.8%	2,567	39.3%	18.5%	8.1%	2,339	41.2%	18.3%	7.1%

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system. Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL¹, FY 2014 NEW PROBATION YOUTH*



¹There were 49 youth out of 2,339 who did not have MCASP risk levels. Percentages are calculated excluding these missing values.

- Youth with a high risk level had higher rearrest rates, though consideration needs to be given to the smaller number of youth with a high risk. At reconviction and incarceration, youth with moderate risk levels had the highest recidivism rates.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2010-2014 NEW PROBATION YOUTH, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2015*



- Rearrest rates and reconviction rates were lowest in FY 2012 and incarceration rates were lowest in FY 2014.

*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system. Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

NEW PROBATION YOUTH - VPI RECIDIVISM

The table below shows recidivism outcomes for a subset of the new probation youth cohort who were assigned to VPI community supervision during their probation term. These data should not be used to generalize the VPI program as a whole. The analysis excludes VPI participants in placement, under aftercare supervision as well as probation youth with a prior probation disposition. As in the overall probation cohort analysis, the follow-up period begins on the disposition date.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2012-2014 FOR NEW PROBATION YOUTH ON VPI*

Region	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	Probation Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	Probation Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	Probation Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*
Region I - Balt. City	52	57.7%	28.8%	13.5%	65	52.3%	21.5%	6.2%	85	52.9%	25.9%	15.3%
Region II - Central	56	46.4%	21.4%	12.5%	35	51.4%	22.9%	11.4%	50	40.0%	16.0%	8.0%
Region III - Western	7	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	2	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Region IV - Eastern	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	4	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6	66.7%	33.3%	16.7%
Region V - Southern	23	47.8%	13.0%	8.7%	34	52.9%	20.6%	14.7%	29	27.6%	10.3%	6.9%
Region VI - Metro	41	31.7%	14.6%	12.2%	58	36.2%	19.0%	8.6%	45	37.8%	13.3%	13.3%
Statewide Total	180	46.7%	20.6%	12.2%	203	46.8%	20.2%	9.4%	217	43.8%	19.4%	12.0%

- Some regions have a small number of youth in the analysis group. Therefore the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, it is not advisable to compare data across regions.
- Between FY 2012 and FY 2014, statewide:
 - Rearrest rates decreased 2.9%.
 - Reconviction rates decreased 1.2%.
 - Incarceration rates remained relatively stable (decreased by 0.2%).
- Between FY 2013 and FY 2014, statewide:
 - Rearrest rates decreased 3.0%.
 - Reconviction rates remained relatively stable (decreased by 0.8%).
 - Incarceration rates increased 2.6%.

*Since youth in VPI are part of the probation cohort that includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system. Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.