

Data  
Resource Guide  
Fiscal Year 2013



Successful Youth, Strong Leaders, Safer Communities



# **Data Resource Guide Fiscal Year 2013**

## **Maryland Department of Juvenile Services**

State of Maryland  
Martin O'Malley, Governor  
Sam Abed, Secretary  
December 2013

This guide fulfills the Statutory Reporting Requirements set forth in Md. Human Services Code Ann. §9-204 regarding the Agency's comprehensive juvenile services plan as well as reporting juvenile recidivism rates.

# Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

## EXECUTIVE STAFF

Sam Abed, Secretary

Jay Cleary, Chief of Staff

Linda McWilliams, Deputy Secretary for Operations

Lynette Holmes, Deputy Secretary for Support Services

## PROJECT LEADERS

Kingsley Ayuk

Lakshmi Iyengar

Lynette Holmes

Susan Nicely

John Irvine

## REVIEW TEAM

Kingsley Ayuk

Virginia Meyers

Patricia Elmore

Susan Nicely

Benjamin Falls

Falguni Patel

Lynette Holmes

Claire Souryal-Shriver

John Irvine

Marci Stables

Lakshmi Iyengar

Mussie Tewolde

Lavinia Jackson

Susan Russell Walters

## LAYOUT DESIGN

Susan Nicely

The DJS Executive Staff and Project Leaders would like to thank everyone who contributed to this document. Our appreciation goes to the members of the agency who contributed a wealth of information with special thanks to Scott Beal, Gilbert Becker, Richard Burton, Toni Christian, Michael DiBattista, Esther Diggs, Cory Fink, Patricia Flanigan, Reginald Garnett, Lisa Garry, Donna Hamilton, Michael Ito, Wallis Norman, Roxanne Parson, Nic Ryan, and Betsy Tolentino. We would also like to thank Jill Farrell at the University of Maryland, School of Social Work, The Institute for Innovation and Implementation, Jane Trainer at the Office of State Planning, Ida J. Williams at the Maryland State Police, Katharine Oliver and Beth Hart at the Maryland State Department of Education, and Boyce Williams at the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services. We are especially grateful to the field staff who gather the data necessary to complete the *Data Resource Guide*.



# Table of Contents

## INTRODUCTION

A Message from Governor Martin O’Malley ..... iv  
 A Word from the Secretary ..... v  
 Terms and Concepts ..... vi

## SECTION I: AN OVERVIEW OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) ..... 3  
 Organizational Chart and Agency Organization ..... 4  
 Agency Vision, Goals, and Values ..... 5  
 DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2013 ..... 6  
 DJS Historical Evolution and Timeline ..... 7  
 Maryland Juvenile Justice Services Process Flowchart ..... 10  
 Talent Management and Organizational Development ..... 12  
 Flow of FY 2013 Case Referrals ..... 13

## SECTION II: INTAKE AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision ..... 17  
 Statewide, Regional, and County Activity ..... 20  
 Trends ..... 84

## SECTION III: DETENTION

Introduction to Detention ..... 93  
 Statewide and Specific Detention Facility Information ..... 96  
 Alternatives to Detention (ATD) ..... 116

## SECTION IV: COMMITTED PROGRAMS

Introduction to Committed Programs ..... 121  
 Statewide and Committed Programs by Type ..... 126  
 State-Operated Facilities ..... 144  
 Silver Oak (Private Provider) ..... 158  
 Community-Based Family Therapy Programs for Committed & Probation Youth ..... 160

## SECTION V: RECIDIVISM RATES

Measuring Recidivism Rates ..... 165  
 Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases ..... 167  
 Recidivism Rates for Probation Placements ..... 179

## SECTION VI: APPENDICES

Appendix A: Community Services Staffing Distribution as of June 30, 2013 ..... 185  
 Appendix B: Detention Center Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2013 ..... 186  
 Appendix C: Committed Program Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2013 ..... 186  
 Appendix D: State Operated Facility Expenditures, FY 2013 ..... 187  
 Appendix E: State Operated Facility Per Diems & Average Annual Costs, FY 2013 ..... 187  
 Appendix F: Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) ..... 188  
 Appendix G: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Youth in Detention ..... 192  
 Appendix H: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Committed Youth ..... 194  
 Appendix I: Maryland Comprehensive Assessment & Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen ..... 196  
 Appendix J: Questions from the DJS MCASP Needs Assessment ..... 199  
 Appendix K: Crimes of Violence ..... 201  
 Appendix L: Maryland Citations Pertaining to DJS ..... 201  
 Appendix M: Alternatives to Detention and Shelter Care by Region and County ..... 203  
 Appendix N: Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Strategies and Contact Information ..... 205  
 Appendix O: Relative Rate Index (RRI) ..... 206  
 Appendix P: Census Information by Race, 2012 ..... 209  
 Appendix Q: Number and Ratio of Youth, Cases, and Placements by County, FY 2013 ..... 210  
 Appendix R: Classification of Offenses ..... 211  
 Appendix S: Commonly Used Acronyms ..... 215

## DJS STATISTICAL SUMMARY INFORMATION SHEET (PULLOUT) ..... 217



**STATE OF MARYLAND**  
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR



**MARTIN O'MALLEY**  
GOVERNOR

STATE HOUSE  
100 STATE Circle  
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401-1925  
(410) 974-3901  
(TOLL FREE) 1-800-811-8336

TTY USERS CALL VIA MD RELAY

***A MESSAGE FROM GOVERNOR MARTIN O'MALLEY***

Dear Friends:

I am happy to present the Department of Juvenile Services' (DJS) FY2013 Data Resource Guide.

In Maryland, we continue making better choices that are delivering better results for our youth. DJS is making great strides in promoting public safety and reforming our detention practices by building a continuum of care to a diverse group of youth. This success is attributable, in part, to the strong partnerships and collaboration DJS has developed with other agencies such as the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services and the Department of Human Resources.

In particular, I am proud to highlight DJS's implementation of a screening tool to identify victims of human sex trafficking. DJS commenced screening young women at the Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center in 2012 and, in 2013, expanded the use of the screening to other DJS facilities and community offices. Additionally, DJS is working to expand its network of service providers who can provide counseling and support to DJS youth who are identified as victims of human sex trafficking. For his agency's efforts to identify and support victims, DJS Secretary Sam Abed was honored by the Maryland Human Trafficking Task Force in May 2013.

Public safety continues to be a priority for the O'Malley-Brown Administration. With the help of DJS and other State and local agencies, we will continue our hard work to keep communities safe and give every youth the opportunity to succeed. Thank you for helping move Maryland forward.

Sincerely,

Governor



## A Word from the Secretary...

Welcome to the third edition of the Data Resource Guide. This past year has been one that has led to great accomplishments at the Department of Juvenile Services and thoughtful planning for the future. With the addition of new technology and continuing innovative strategies we have succeeded in strengthening our services and targeting resources that meet the diverse needs of the youth we serve. As we move the agency forward I am continually amazed by the outstanding level of dedication our staff continue to exhibit.

It is exciting to embark on a new edition of the DRG that builds upon previous versions and expands the information available to our staff, stakeholders, and constituents. This edition of the DRG includes the data that has been reported in previous versions but also includes additional information that reflects new initiatives forwarded by the Department. Specifically, this year's edition includes breakouts of data for youth under the age of 13. This additional data supports the Under-13 (U-13) Initiative which became operational in Baltimore City on May 1, 2013. The U-13 Initiative brings together state and local agencies that have a vested interest in the well-being of Baltimore City youth. The goal is to work with all of the various agencies and the school system in order to offer multidisciplinary services to eligible youth and their families. DJS is looking forward to expanding this collaborative approach to other Maryland jurisdictions.

As the DRG continues to evolve, it is the dedicated staff who work countless hours to analyze and compile data to create a book that meets the data needs of DJS staff and stakeholders, who merit special recognition. I acknowledge all the effort that is reflected in the DRG and my genuine thanks is extended to the many that have contributed to making the DRG a valuable resource.

Sincerely,

Sam Abed



Sam Abed



## Terms and Concepts

**Absent Without Leave (AWOL):** A youth who absconds from a non-secure residential program.

**Adjudicatory Hearing:** Proceeding before a juvenile judge or master to determine the truth of allegations made against a youth. If the allegations concerning the commitment of a delinquent act are found to be true, the youth may be adjudicated delinquent.

**Aftercare:** Supervision and individualized treatment services provided to youth in the community following discharge from a residential program.

**Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST):** DJS client database.

**Average Daily Population (ADP):** Daily population of youth in residential placement (state or privately owned) averaged over the number of days in the year.

**Average Length of Stay (ALOS):** Average total number of days in residential placement between admission and release. Youth detained in more than one facility during a contiguous stay are counted as a single placement.

**Case Management Specialist (CMS):** DJS staff who provide case management services to youth in community and residential settings. Case managers provide supervision, develop treatment plans, link youth with necessary resources and services, monitor progress, and modify treatment plans as needed.

**Certificate of Placement (COP):** The document which reflects a youth's placement location, services, and authorizes payment for services.

**CHALLENGE:** A behavioral management program implemented in DJS' residential facilities with the goal of developing pro-social behavior and individual accountability/responsibility using a token economy and social skills education to incentivize positive behavior.

**Child In Need of Assistance (CINA):** A youth who has been physically, sexually, or emotionally abused or neglected by a parent or other person responsible for the youth's care.

**Child In Need of Supervision (CINS):** A youth who commits an offense that, if committed by an adult, would not be a crime (e.g. truancy, run-away or "ungovernable").

**Commitment versus Admission:** A commitment is a court order placing a delinquent youth in DJS' care. The youth is usually placed into an out-of-home program, but may also be provided services at home. An admission occurs when a juvenile physically arrives at a facility and is officially entered into the facility's rolls. An admission may occur days/weeks after the juvenile is committed to DJS (in the interim, a youth is considered to be on "pending placement" status – see Pending Placement). A single admission to an out-of-home program could be the result of multiple commitments (e.g. a juvenile may be committed by more than one court, or have multiple charges with "committed" dispositions). Thus, the number of commitments will not equal the number of admissions to committed programs.

**Community Detention (CD):** A program monitored by the Department in which a delinquent child or a child alleged to be delinquent is placed in the home of a parent, guardian, custodian, or other fit person, or in shelter care, as a condition of probation or as an alternative to detention (ATD). Community detention includes electronic monitoring.

**Complaint:** A written statement made by any person or agency to a DJS intake officer, which if true would support allegations of a juvenile petition.

**Continuum of Care:** The continuum of care spans in-home probation supervision with services, community-based out of home treatment, and state and privately-operated secure programs, all designed to address youth needs, and the factors that led the youth to delinquent behavior. Legislation passed in 2012 authorized DJS to transfer youth directly from one facility/program to another facility/program (of equal or higher security level) without first asking the court to modify the commitment order.

**Day/Evening Reporting Center (D/ERC):** A program that serves youth as an alternative to detention. Youth are required to report daily to ensure the youth is monitored and gets back to court for hearings.

**Delinquent:** A youth who has been adjudicated for an act which would be a crime if committed by an adult and who requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation.

**Detention:** Temporary, short-term (1-30 days) physically secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition and require secure custody for the protection of themselves or the community and/or to ensure court appearance.

**Detention Hearing:** A court proceeding to determine whether a youth shall be placed in or continued in detention.



**Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):** An assessment of a youth's risk to reoffend and/or fail to appear for future court dates used to guide whether the youth should be detained, placed in a detention alternative, or released to a parent/guardian.

**Direct Care Staff:** An employee whose primary duty is to provide direct supervision of youth.

**Disposition:** The action taken by the juvenile court that outlines whether the youth requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation and, if so, the nature of such assistance that an adjudicated youth will receive. (Note: In adult courts, this is known as a "sentence.")

**Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC):** A rate of contact with the juvenile justice system among youth of a specific minority group that is significantly different than the rate of contact for whites (i.e., non-Hispanic Caucasians) or for other minority groups (See RRI definition).

**Ejection from Committed Placement:** A youth's return to secure detention from an out-of-home placement upon determination that he/she failed to comply with the rules and conditions of the program. These cases generally require a new committed placement.

**Electronic Monitoring (EM):** A statewide program providing close monitoring of youth in the community as an alternative to residential placement/detention. Youth wear an ankle bracelet that electronically monitors their movement and compliance to established location parameters. This may involve the use of global positioning systems (GPS).

**Escape:** Absconding from a residential program, which can include Community Detention.

**Evidence Based Services (EBS):** Programs that have been found to be effective based on the results of rigorous evaluations.

**Family Centered Treatment (FCT):** A family preservation model of in-home treatment provided by Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc (IFCS). The IFCS team utilizes FCT to help families learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns.

**Felony vs. Misdemeanor:** In Maryland a crime is either a felony or a misdemeanor. Generally, felonies are the more serious of these two types of crimes. However, there is no clear line for determining whether a crime is a felony or misdemeanor based on the statutory maximum penalty associated with the offense. Unless specified in a statute or the offense was a felony at common law, a crime is considered a misdemeanor. Most statutes specify whether a crime is a misdemeanor or a felony. Common law crimes retain common law grades as either felonies or misdemeanors unless changed through the legislative process. The General Assembly may choose to label a statutory crime a felony or misdemeanor independent of the amount of punishment the statute provides. The General Assembly may also choose to change the status of a crime from a misdemeanor to a felony or a felony to a misdemeanor.

**Fiscal Year (FY):** The time period measured from July 1<sup>st</sup> of one year to June 30<sup>th</sup> of the following year. FY 2013 runs from July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013.

**For Authorization of Formal Petition (FAFP):** DJS has statutory authority to screen all juvenile complaints referred. A case not resolved or diverted through an informal agreement by DJS intake officers will result in an FAFP that requests the juvenile court take action on the complaint.

**Functional Family Therapy (FFT - an EBS):** An outcome-driven prevention/intervention program for youth demonstrating the entire range of maladaptive behaviors such as delinquency, violence, substance use, Conduct Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, or Disruptive Behavior Disorder. Flexible delivery of service is provided by one or two person teams to clients in home, clinic, school, juvenile court, community-based programs, and at re-entry from institutional placement.

**Global Positioning System (GPS):** A global navigation satellite system that provides location and time information for youth monitored with a global positioning system receiver.

**Group Home:** A residential program licensed by DHR, DJS or MHA/DHMH to provide 24-hour supervised out-of-home care for 4 or more youth providing a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services.

**Hardware Secure Facility:** A facility that relies primarily on the use of construction and hardware such as locks, bars, and fences to restrict freedom.

**Informal (or "Pre-Court") Supervision:** An agreement between DJS and a youth and family to enter into counseling and/or DJS monitoring without court involvement.

**Intake:** The process for reviewing a complaint against a youth and determining whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public and/or the youth. During intake, youth and their family may be offered services.



**Juvenile Court:** A division of the Circuit Court in Maryland.

**Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI):** A best practice model that addresses inappropriate and unnecessary use of detention and reduces the failures of juveniles to appear in court. A primary goal of JDAI is to reduce overcrowding in detention centers by safely maintaining youth in the community in detention alternatives without jeopardizing public safety or increasing the number of youth who fail to appear for court.

**Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit (JJMU):** The Unit, administratively housed in the Office of the Attorney General, investigates the needs of children under the jurisdiction of DJS and determines whether their needs are being met in compliance with State law. This includes evaluating conditions of facilities housing youth, reporting on treatment of and services to youth, and investigating allegations of child abuse.

**Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP):** MCASP uses integrated case management to assess youths' risks and needs throughout their DJS involvement and develop interventions to accomplish the goals of public safety and youth rehabilitation. MCASP enables DJS to strengthen individualized service plans for youth and families and match them with appropriate services/programs; to track youth progress; and to ensure that each youth receives the level of supervision consistent with his or her risk to public safety.

**Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC):** Under DPSCS, MPCTC is vested with the authority to set standards of initial selection and training for all governmental law enforcement, correctional, parole and probation, and juvenile services employees in the State of Maryland, and to otherwise upgrade the professionalism of these officers. MPCTC provides certification and on-going training to DJS staff.

**Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care (MTFC - an EBS):** A cost-effective alternative to group or residential treatment, committed placements, and hospitalization for youth with chronic anti-social behavior, emotional disturbance, and delinquency. Community families are recruited, trained, and closely supervised to provide youth with treatment and intensive supervision at home, in school, and in the community; clear and consistent limits with follow-through on consequences; positive reinforcement for appropriate behavior; a relationship with a mentoring adult; and separation from delinquent peers.

**Multisystemic Therapy (MST - an EBS):** An intensive family- and community-based treatment program that addresses the serious anti-social behavior of juvenile offenders. The major goal of MST is to empower parents and youth with the skills and resources needed to independently resolve the difficulties that arise in coping with family, peer, school, and neighborhood problems. Intervention strategies include family therapy, structural family therapy, behavioral parent training, and cognitive behavior therapies. MST is a home-based model of service delivery.

**Operation Safe Kids (OSK):** A collaboration between the Baltimore City Health Department, DJS, and other state and city agencies to provide intensive community-based case management and monitoring of high-risk juvenile offenders to prevent them from becoming victims/perpetrators of violent crimes and to ensure they have tools to become productive adults.

**Pending Placement:** A temporary placement status for youth who have been committed for placement in an out-of-home residential facility and are awaiting placement. Youth may be pending placement in a variety of settings including: detention; home; home with additional services; home under community detention; and/or electronic monitoring; family shelter care; structured shelter care; acute care hospitals; or psychiatric respite care programs.

**Petition:** A formal written request filed with the juvenile court alleging that a child is delinquent, in need of supervision (CINS) or in need of assistance (CINA).

**Placement versus Admission:** A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention or a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Thus, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

**Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Training (PACT):** PACT Evening Reporting Center serves youth 14 to 17 years of age in Baltimore City as an alternative to detention. It utilizes a youth development model and works to collaborate with participants, their families, DJS, and other partners to develop a plan to address the underlying issues which lead to anti-social or delinquent behavior.

**Probation:** Court-ordered supervision of youth in the community requiring youth to meet court-ordered probation conditions (general and case specific), including, for example, school attendance, employment, community service, restitution, counseling, or participation in substance abuse treatment.



**Recidivism:** Subsequent juvenile/adult criminal involvement of youths released from committed residential programs/placed on probation.

**Relative Rate Index (RRI):** A measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool for measuring disproportionality at the various contact points (arrest, court referral, etc.) that youth have with the police and DJS. The Relative Rate Index compares the arrest rate for White youth with the arrest rate for youth of a specific minority group. To calculate the RRI, the arrest rate for a specific minority youth group is divided by the arrest rate for White youth. The arrest rate is calculated by dividing the number of arrests for each group by the population of that group.

**Resident Advisor:** DJS staff position that provides supervision, support, care and monitoring of youth placed in DJS-operated facilities.

**Residential Treatment Center (RTC):** A mental health facility for children and adolescents with long-term serious emotional, behavioral, and psychological problems. RTCs provide intensive services and should only be considered when therapeutic services available in the community are insufficient to address a youth's needs. In addition to Maryland RTCs, DJS uses a variety of out-of-state providers including RTCs funded through Medical Assistance, with rates set by the Maryland Interagency Rates Committee, and facilities that are not RTCs and serve moderate-to-high-risk multi-problem youth. These are youth who may be exhibiting moderate psychiatric symptomatology and aggressive behavior, or who have histories of unsuccessful/repeated placements and/or hospitalizations. Treatment models vary depending on the client focus of the program but all provide individualized treatment plans, are comprehensive in services, highly structured, treatment oriented and behaviorally focused.

**Resolved at Intake:** A determination that furthering the case by forwarding it to the State's Attorney's Office for formal processing would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety.

**Shelter Care:** Temporary, short-term (1-30 days), non-secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition. Shelter beds serve as an alternative to detention or other short-term circumstance where family or other housing is not available.

**Social History Investigation (SHI - formerly PDI):** The written study of a youth and his/her family that is presented to the juvenile court. A Social History Investigation emphasizes social and legal histories as well as the domain areas of: family functioning, substance abuse, mental health, somatic health, education, employment, and life skills.

**Spotlight on Schools (SOS) Program:** An initiative to place case managers on-site at certain key schools across the state. DJS Spotlight workers monitor and respond immediately to attendance issues, referrals for disruptive behavior, suspensions, and drop-out issues for youth under DJS supervision, and offer prevention and early intervention services to other at-risk students. In addition, SOS workers provide immediate, on-site intake services for students involved in delinquent activity.

**Staff Secure:** Residential programs where youth movement is controlled by staff supervision rather than by restrictive architectural features.

**State Advisory Board:** The Board consists of 19 members appointed by the Governor for three-year terms. The Board recommends to the Secretary of Juvenile Services policies and programs to improve State juvenile services. The Board helps plan development, use of resources, and helps inform the public of the Department's work.

**Statewide Maryland Automated Records Tracking (SMART):** A web-based tool used to provide a consent-driven client tracking system for both state agencies and private treatment providers.


**Treatment Service Plan (TSP):** A written document identifying treatment objectives, services, and service linkages that address the needs of the youth and family. It also examines the safety and appropriateness of the youth's placement, guides DJS's recommendations to the juvenile court for permanency planning (where appropriate), and monitors level of supervision and services required.

**Ungovernable:** Defined by the Juvenile Court Statistics as being beyond the control of parents, guardians, or custodians or being disobedient of parental authority (i.e. CINS juveniles who are truants from school, violate curfew laws, or run away are ungovernable).

**Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI):** Implemented in January 2008, VPI provides intensified levels of supervision and targeted services for youth at highest risk of being victims/perpetrators of crimes of violence. This Initiative incorporates a level system and continuum of graduated responses to ensure that immediate and appropriate actions are consistently applied when youth are non-compliant.

**Youth:** The preferred term for individuals under 18 years of age as used by DJS.



A photograph of a juvenile courtroom. The room features wood-paneled walls and a high ceiling with recessed lighting. In the center, there is a judge's bench with a black office chair. To the left of the bench, an American flag stands on a pole. To the right, a Maryland state flag is visible. In the foreground, there are several rows of wooden benches with black office chairs, arranged for an audience. A single pendant light hangs from the ceiling in the center of the room.

# Section I: An Overview of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

Juvenile Courtroom at the Baltimore City  
Juvenile Justice Center





## Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

The Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) is an executive agency whose primary task is to appropriately manage, supervise and treat youth who are involved in the juvenile justice system in Maryland. DJS provides individualized care and treatment to youth who have violated the law or who are a danger to themselves or others. Objective screening and assessment tools are utilized to manage youth with the guidance of the data collected, and DJS works with partners in the community to achieve meaningful improvements to the outcomes of the youth we serve.

### OBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT TOOLS

Objective screening and assessment tools are used to guide key decision points. The Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) evaluates whether a youth should be held in secure detention or a supervised detention alternative while awaiting a hearing on the merits of a juvenile complaint. This tool helps the Department improve its detention practices by taking subjectivity out of the critical detention decision, with a goal of detaining only those youth who pose a risk to public safety, to themselves, or who would not otherwise appear in court.

### MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES (DJS)

*By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.*

*DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.*

DJS Intake Officers are also statutorily empowered to screen juvenile offenses and determine which complaints should be referred to the State's Attorney's Office for formal court petitioning. This decision is guided by a risk assessment tool that is part of the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) process. It factors in a youth's history of delinquency, history of social risk factors, and the seriousness of the current offense(s) to identify those cases requiring court action.

If a youth has been adjudicated delinquent, the Department then utilizes the MCASP Needs Assessment to identify the youth's treatment and security needs, and serves as the basis for the Treatment Service Plan (TSP) development. Using an objective instrument allows the Department to make better matches between youth and the course of treatment available within the continuum of care. The continuum of care spans in-home probation supervision with services, community-based out-of-home treatment, and state and privately-operated secure programs, all designed to address youth needs, and the factors that led the youth to delinquent behavior.

### MANAGING WITH DATA


The information developed from assessment tools as well as information collected by caseworkers, investigators, auditors, and facility staff is used in all levels of DJS management. Data reports are available to field staff and managers through the ASSIST and SafeMeasures reporting systems. Data is also regularly analyzed by the Office of Research and Evaluation, generating reports for agency decision makers, the Governor's Office (through the StateStat process), and reports to the Maryland Legislature. This *Data Resource Guide* represents the most comprehensive report of the Department's data. Locally specific information is now readily available to all. This is crucial for practitioners because statewide trends could mask individual local trends that run counter to conventional wisdom. As innovative new ways of examining our information are uncovered, they will be incorporated into this publication.

### COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS

DJS's partnerships start in the community at the field offices. In each locality throughout the state, DJS employs staff who work with the courts to provide intake services, supervision of youth, and recommendations to the court on detention as well as placement into care. Field staff work with community-based treatment providers to develop a range of offerings that meet the needs of youth in that community. State partners, such as the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), the Department of Human Resources (DHR) and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) collaborate at many levels to deliver services in the most effective manner. DJS also works closely with the Maryland State Police, local law enforcement agencies in Maryland and in surrounding jurisdictions, and the Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention (GOCCP) to ensure information is shared across agencies, and public safety goals are met.



## Organizational Chart AS OF NOVEMBER, 2012



## Agency Organization

The Department of Juvenile Services serves the entire state by providing support for community programs and services, community supervision and case management, and custody and care of committed juveniles.

Headquarters, located in Baltimore City, houses the Office of the Secretary and functions as the hub for all support services.

Administered by the Secretary, agency functions are divided among the following:

- The Secretary oversees the Chief of Staff, Deputy Secretaries, Office of the Inspector General, Offices of Legislation, Policy, and Communication.
- The Chief of Staff oversees the office of fair practice/equal employment divisions.
- The Deputy Secretary for Support Services manages support functions including budgeting, capital planning, general services, human resources, information technology, Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) and Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) functions (Systems Reform), research and evaluation, and talent management and organizational development.
- The Deputy Secretary for Operations oversees operations that provide community-based services to youth and families, including community detention, field offices, and Statewide Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) efforts. She also oversees residential operations, including education, managing director of BCJJC/transportation services, as well as somatic health, victim, substance abuse, and mental health services.



## Vision Statement


**Successful Youth, Strong Leaders, Safer Communities**

### DJS Goals

1. Reduce violence against children through collaboration with law enforcement and other agency partners.
2. Reduce recidivism for supervised or committed youth.
3. Keep supervised and committed youth safe while holding youth accountable for their actions.
4. Promote continuums of care for referred and delinquent youth.
5. Build, maintain and empower a diverse, competent, and professional workforce.
6. Enhance the quality, availability and use of technology.

### DJS Values

- We value the safety of the citizens of Maryland and the fair, safe, and humane treatment for all youth in our care.
- We value the families, communities, stakeholders, and staff who support positive change in our youth.
- We value the experience, expertise, diversity, and integrity of our staff.
- We value fairness and cultural competence regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual identity, or religion.
- We value creating opportunities for youth and families to promote positive growth and development.
- We value a community-based approach with the least restrictive appropriate interventions.
- We value continuous improvement and learning in all individuals.
- We value excellence, innovation, and quality practices based on data and research.
- We value change for the growth opportunities it brings.
- We value accountability.
- We value collaboration and teamwork.





Youth at J. Deweese Carter Center in a computer class



## DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2013

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, the Department expended a total of \$281.9 Million. The first pie chart reflects the major cost centers for which these funds were expended and the second shows the expenditures by major object group.



Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.



## Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution

### 1800s

- Maryland Legislature passes “An Act to Establish a House of Refuge for Juvenile Delinquents” creating for the first time an authority to provide troubled children with homes, education, and job training (1830).
- House of Refuge opens (1850).
- Maryland builds four “reform schools” for young people, governed by private boards and segregated by race and sex (1850-1882)
- Maryland Industrial School for Girls opens (1866), and is operated under various names, finally as the Montrose School, and closing in 1988.
- House of Reformation & Instruction for Colored Children opens (1870). It operated under various names, renamed to the Cheltenham Youth Facility in 1992.
- Industrial Home for Colored Girls opens (1882). Merged with Montrose School for Girls in 1962.

### 1910-1918

- House of Refuge (opened in 1850) becomes Maryland School for Boys (1910) and in 1918 becomes the Maryland Training School for Boys.

### 1922

- State Department of Education operates the training schools.

### 1943

- State Department of Public Welfare, Bureau of Child Welfare, Division of Institutions.

### 1966-1969

- State Department of Juvenile Services becomes the central coordinating agency for juvenile investigation, probation and aftercare services, and for State juvenile, diagnostic, training, detention, and rehabilitation institutions.

### 1967

- State Department of Juvenile Services assumes administrative responsibilities for all State children’s centers and boys’ forestry camps.

### 1968

- Patterson House (Group Home for Girls) opens. It closes in 1992.

### 1969

- Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, organizes the Juvenile Services Administration to administer all schools, youth detention centers, forestry camps, and probation/aftercare programs.

### 1970

- Alfred D. Noyes Children’s Center opens in Montgomery County.

### 1972

- Group Home for Boys opens, becoming the William Donald Schaefer House in 1992.
- Maryland Youth Residence Center opens.

### 1982

- J. DeWeese Carter Center opens.

### 1985

- Maryland Training School for Boys becomes the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School.

### 1987

- Juvenile Services Administration becomes an independent agency.

### 1989

- The Department of Juvenile Services becomes a cabinet-level department.

### 1992

- Victor Cullen Academy, which was a former tuberculosis sanatorium, opens.
- Boys’ Village of Maryland renamed to Cheltenham Youth Facility.

### 1999

- In November, DJS replaces its existing mainframe application, Information System for Youth Services (ISYS), with a client-server system referred to as the Automated Statewide Support and Information Systems Tools (ASSIST).



Historical photo from Green Ridge Youth Center.



## Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution (continued)

### 2002

- Victor Cullen Academy closes.
- HB 1081 - Requires the establishment of a community detention program.
- HB 1011 - Requires the Department to operate the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center as a centralized regional juvenile justice intake, assessment, court, and detention facility for Baltimore City and specified the powers and duties related to the Center.
- HB 962 - Authorizes the juvenile court to adopt a treatment service plan recommended by the Department in making a disposition on a specified petition. It also requires the Department to ensure that a treatment service plan adopted by the court is implemented within 25 days after disposition.

### 2003

- Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC) opens in October.

### 2004

- Day/Evening Center in Baltimore City opens in December.
- SB 767 / HB 1141 - Requires a child discharged from a committed residential placement receive step-down aftercare according to specified parameters.

### 2005

- Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School Committed and Impact Programs closes in July.
- HB 1339 - Requires the Secretary of Juvenile Services to establish a Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program in Baltimore City and County.

### 2007

- Victor Cullen Center reopens in July.
- Maryland Youth Residence Center (MYRC) closes in October.
- SB 359 - Reorganization and Regionalization requires DJS to serve youth with specified programming that delivers services on a regional basis.
- Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center closes in December.
- SB 175 - Requires the Secretary of Juvenile Services to establish Maryland Rising, a statewide program of volunteer mentors for children who have spent a specified amount of time in a committed placement.

### 2008

- Group Home Reform - Keeping Maryland Youth in Maryland Per Diem Reduction Initiative.
- Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) begins in Baltimore City in January and statewide in November.
- Opens Day/Evening Reporting Center in Prince George's County in November.
- SB 742 - Provides that all contracted residential child care programs must post a "Residents' Bill of Rights" in the facility and provide residents and their parents/guardians a handbook of the policies of the provider.

### 2009

- Collaboration with Operation Safe Kids to serve VPI youth in Baltimore City and Prince George's County.
- Silver Oak Academy opens (private provider serving only DJS youth).

### 2010

- Child Safety Net Dashboard launches in February.
- Murphy Shelter at Cheltenham closes in March.
- HB 1382 - Increases the ability to share information and collaborate with the District of Columbia or a state agency in Virginia regarding juveniles.

### 2011

- SB 62 - Authorizes the State Department of Education and the Department of Juvenile Services to share educational records when necessary to ensure the appropriate delivery of services.
- HB 1190 - Expands the Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program to include Cecil County, Montgomery County, and Prince George's County.
- The committed female population moves from Waxter Facility to J. Dewese Carter Center in November and CHALLENGE, a new behavioral management program, is implemented.


### 2012

- SB 245 - Allows the Department to facilitate youth movement between committed facilities to develop a continuum of care.
- CHALLENGE Program begins at Victor Cullen Center in March and in all facilities by October 2012.
- Central Review Committee (CRC) implemented in July 2012.

### 2013

- HB 245 - Increases the ability to share information and collaborate with state agencies in Delaware, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia regarding juveniles.
- Approval of Silver Oak capacity expansion from 48 to 96.

# Department of Juvenile Services Historical Evolution Highlights Since 2000




# Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

## Process Flowchart

**Key:**

- Enter system
- Process for regular intake referral
- Referral involving detention request
- Court process for all youth
- Referral to outside services
- Exit system

Oval: End point  
 Rectangle: Process  
 Diamond: Non-DJS decision point  
 Starburst: Assessment tool





## STEPS IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM

1. Youth can be referred to DJS by law enforcement, schools, and parents. Some police departments run diversion programs, and only those youth who fail out of the program would be referred to DJS.
2. DJS makes an emergency detention decision to determine if a youth requires secure detention until the next court day. This decision is guided by a Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI).
3. The intake complaint is assessed by an intake officer, who has statutory authority to determine how the case should be handled. The options are:
  - Resolved, when it is determined that furthering the case would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety.
  - Informal adjustment, where the family signs a 90-day agreement to certain conditions without court involvement. This is the main DJS court diversion program.
  - Formally authorize the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court for a hearing.
4. At the detention hearing, the juvenile court determines if detention is required until the adjudicatory or dispositional hearing. Youth may also be detained directly by the juvenile court in cases where the youth is presented during court hours, either on a new charge, a writ or warrant, or due to a violation or sanction of a supervision order (probation, community detention, drug court, etc). All secure detention centers are operated by DJS.
5. Youth who present a lower risk may also be supervised in programs providing alternatives to secure detention. These programs ensure that the youth is available to attend the adjudicatory hearing, and must comply with certain restrictions, which include house arrest, electronic monitoring, or day and/or evening reporting centers. Structured shelter is also used for cases where the youth cannot return home after arrest but otherwise represents a lower risk.
6. DJS formally authorizes the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court. The State's Attorney then reviews the complaint, and may dismiss it, or file a petition to the juvenile court for an adjudicatory hearing.
7. At the adjudicatory hearing, the juvenile court determines the outcome of the petitioned charges, which can be sustained or not sustained.
8. While a youth is awaiting adjudication, disposition and/or supervision or placement, DJS conducts a series of assessments and investigations which will guide the DJS recommendation to the court on how the case should be handled.
9. For cases where the charges are sustained, a dispositional hearing is held to determine if the youth requires supervision by DJS under a probation order, or will be committed to DJS' care which usually indicates an out-of-home placement.
10. For youth whose disposition is probation, DJS case managers provide supervision and services while the youth resides at home. Depending on the risk-level of the youth, supervision may be moderate, or may be intensive, including high intensive under the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI).
11. Youth who are committed to the Department for out-of-home placement often continue to wait in detention "pending placement" for an appropriate placement to become available.
12. Youth who are committed to an out-of-home placement may be placed in a broad variety of programs (state-run or private, secure or non-secure) depending on the risk-level and treatment needs of the youth.
13. DJS has committed diversion programs for youth who might otherwise be placed out-of-home. These evidence-based in-home programs provide services and treatment to the youth and family, including Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), and Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care - Adolescent (MTFC-A).
14. Youth returning home from a committed placement are supervised by DJS case managers. This supervision is at various intensity levels, and case managers also assist youth with school re-entry, employment, and other services.



## Talent Management and Organizational Development

The Talent Management and Organizational Development unit (TMOD) supports DJS and its employees through strategic processes and procedures that promote and support effective practices in juvenile justice. TMOD provides leadership and strategic direction for organizational development, which includes management of the following processes: strategic planning; and a training continuum from Entrance Level Training (ELT) to Executive Training.

An organization's backbone is the people and the talents they bring to the job. TMOD assists in the design of employee engagement strategies to motivate, manage, retain, and develop DJS' workforce to its full potential in alignment with the vision, mission, values, and goals.

As its ultimate goal, Talent Management strives to create a work environment that finds, retains, and engages the best talent. The key to success for any organization is the ability to attract and retain skilled and talented people. Talent management is the process of training, developing, and keeping a workforce who accomplishes the goals of the organization by providing continuous learning opportunities to enhance the knowledge, skills, and abilities of all DJS employees.

TMOD conducts 12 ELT sessions per year for newly hired employees. ELT is to be completed within the first year of their employment with the agency. TMOD also conducts four Supervisor Training sessions per year for those employees who have been promoted to supervisory positions. Supervisor training must be completed within one year of the promotion date.

DJS has initiated a Training Resource Officer model that will enhance the delivery of required annual in-service training and provide direct continuing training support for staff. The Training Resource Officer's responsibilities includes: ensuring 100% compliance with in-service training requirements for current staff within their purview; coordinating, in conjunction with facility or regional scheduler, a comprehensive in-service training program annually; ensuring that the training schedule will exact compliance with the Maryland Correctional Training Commission regulations (minimum of 18 hours of in-service training); ensuring that the training schedule will exact compliance with national standards for juvenile justice training of 40 hours in-service training; tracking and ensuring accurate data-entry in the Skills Manager database for all staff within their purview; managing course registration and administration for the assigned facility; and serving as the lead role model in their regional office or facility for new staff. The Training Resource Officer provides technical guidance, advice and instruction to new DJS staff; determines individual organizational needs for their region or facility; coordinates all aspects of training for the facility; plans employee development strategies and interventions; correctly applies concepts learned in the classroom to field training operations; monitors the progress of trainees; makes recommendations for improvement; and ensures trainees are current on all qualifications.

DJS employees who provide direct care to youth under the Department's supervision are required by the Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC) to be certified. TMOD is responsible for ensuring that all DJS employees complete their required annual training to maintain their certifications.

**In-Service Training:** TMOD has initiated the development of a comprehensive in-service training structure that will provide intermediate and advanced training in some content areas. Additionally, TMOD initiated the development of opportunities to engage technology in training through webinars, videoconferencing, and teleconferencing.

**Specialized Training/Initiatives:** TMOD continues to partner with other organizations that develop training to meet the needs of staff. The Family Tree received a grant to conduct Child Sexual Abuse Training for facility staff. This training has been aligned with the requirements of the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA).

**Statewide Initiatives:** Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) Unit and the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP - the DJS case management model).

**Skills Manager Training Database:** MPCTC requires all public safety agencies across the State to maintain all training data in the Skills Manager Training Database. Skills Manager is a robust system for collecting, managing, and reporting of records related to the development of DJS employees for the duration of their career. It monitors personnel training and certifications in many categories including ELT, in-service training, and instructor training. This training management system has a component entitled VISTA that will allow staff to provide employees with convenient access to their own professional development and training records.


**Leadership Development Institute (LDI):** DJS continues to evaluate the most effective methodology for the development of leadership. We still support and encourage participation in the Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions' Leadership Development Institute, which offers a variety of courses in management and leadership development.



Jennifer Beard instructing in the Entrance Level Training Program on Juvenile Mental Health




## Flow of FY 2013 Case Referrals



In FY 2013:

- There were a total of 27,510 juvenile complaints processed by DJS.
- 51.5% of those juvenile complaints were referred to the State's Attorney for the authorization of a formal petition (as opposed to being resolved at intake or juvenile receiving 90-days of pre-court supervision).
- Of the 14,170 juvenile complaints referred to the State's Attorney, 86.0% were petitioned and resulted in a court disposition. The remaining 14.0% were either denied by the State's Attorney or the initial petition withdrawn.
- Of the 12,196 court dispositions:
  - 27.8% received probation.
  - 11.4% were committed to DJS.
  - The remaining 60.8% were dismissed/closed, continued, transferred, nolle pros., pending disposition, jurisdiction waived, writ pending, inter-region/state, or other.



A photograph of a harbor scene. In the foreground, several dragon-shaped paddle boats are on the water. The dragons are green with red spikes along their backs and heads. People are visible inside the boats. In the background, there are modern buildings with large glass windows and a red building. A large blue boat is docked at the pier. The sky is clear and blue.

## Section II: Intake and Community Supervision

Fresh Start is a 40-week program providing services through vocational, academic, and social skills development training to high-risk, out of school males ages 16-19. This experience and knowledge has allowed students to gain employment with many different employers including the Paddle Boat Dock at the Inner Harbor.





## Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision

The DJS Operations Division - Community Services subdivision provides a continuum of services to youth across multiple stages of the juvenile justice system. The provision of community services begins at DJS intake where DJS intake officers receive complaints from persons or agencies – for example, private citizens, schools, victims, or law enforcement agencies – and assess whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is warranted. In addition to screening complaints received at intake, Community Services staff directly supervise youth placed on Informal (or Pre-Court) Supervision, Community Detention and Electronic Monitoring (CD/EM), Probation, Aftercare, and/or Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) program supervision. Case supervision and management consists of a number of tasks which will be described in more detail below.

### Juvenile Intake

DJS intake officers review all delinquent and Child in Need of Supervision (CINS) complaints, citations, referrals for service, and peace order requests. Intake officers are directed to make a determination within 25 days as to whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction, and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public or the child. DJS intake officers are authorized to either: (a) disapprove a complaint as legally insufficient; (b) resolve the matter at intake; (c) propose an informal adjustment period (also called pre-court supervision); or (d) authorize the filing of a petition by the State's Attorney's Office.

The intake decision-making process may involve an interview with the youth, parent and/or guardian, and where applicable, the victim(s). The intake decision is also guided by the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen (See Appendix I). The MCASP Intake Risk Screen is completed at intake for all alleged offenses except alcohol and tobacco citations and status offenses (e.g., curfew violations and runaway complaints). MCASP Intake Risk Screen items create a delinquency history score and a social history score. The instrument generates a recommended intake decision based on the intersection of these two scores and the nature of the most serious alleged offense (whether it is a felony or misdemeanor). If a youth is referred for a violent felony offense, the instrument recommends that the case be handled formally by authorizing the filing of a petition.

In addition to assessing the merit of complaints received at intake and making the case forwarding decision, DJS intake officers are responsible for determining whether juveniles who have been taken into custody require secure detention or may be released to a parent, guardian, or other responsible adult and, if so, under what conditions. Some youth are released to a caregiver without any additional restrictions or conditions. Other youth are released to a caregiver and required to participate in an alternative to detention (ATD) program such as CD/EM. Lastly, some youth are detained or placed in shelter care pending a court hearing which is held no later than the next court date.

Intake officers are directed by statute (Md. Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings, section 3-8A-15) to authorize detention if detention is deemed necessary to protect the youth or others, or if the youth is deemed likely to leave the jurisdiction of the court. Shelter care may be authorized for the same reasons as detention. Additionally, shelter care can be utilized if a parent, guardian, or custodian is not available to provide supervision and care until the child returns to court.

The decision to authorize detention is guided by a decision-making tool called the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI). The DRAI is designed to provide an objective assessment of a youth's risk to the community as well as the probability that the youth will fail to appear for future court dates. The DRAI in use in FY 2013 was implemented in 2005 and validated in 2006.

Intake services are provided by DJS regions during normal working hours (i.e., Mon-Fri., 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.). The Baltimore City Region provides 24/7 intake services and houses a statewide call center at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center. The statewide call center handles all emergency intake services during non-traditional work hours and/or days for all regions across the state.

### Community Supervision - Informal Adjustment (or Pre-Court Supervision)

As discussed above, one option for a case received at DJS intake is to handle the case informally without involving the juvenile court through an Informal Adjustment/Pre-Court Supervision period of up to 90 days. Pre-Court Supervision is an agreement executed by the DJS intake officer that stipulates conditions of the supervision period. The agreement requires consent by the youth, parent/guardian, and victim (where applicable). Agreements are tailored to the individual circumstances of the case and may include the payment of restitution, the completion of community service hours, as well as participation in specialized counseling or treatment programs such as substance abuse treatment. In some circumstances, the period of informal supervision may be extended to 180 days to allow for the completion of a substance abuse treatment program. Note that if a youth fails to meet the conditions of the agreement, the DJS intake officer may elect to forward the case to the State's Attorney's Office for review.



### **Community Supervision – Community Detention**

DJS provides regional community detention and electronic monitoring services (CD/EM) typically for youth whose cases have been forwarded to the juvenile court at intake and are pending adjudication or disposition. The program operates as the primary state-run ATD, allowing youth to continue participating in community activities such as school or work, and helping maintain community ties and support systems. Note that CD/EM may also be used as a condition of probation or aftercare supervision or as a component of the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) to provide intensive community supervision (see Community Supervision – Violence Prevention Initiative).

Community Detention supervision includes face-to-face and telephone contacts as well as random unannounced visits at home, school, and work. The level of supervision depends on the assessed supervision needs of each youth and the court-ordered release conditions. Community Detention officers are trained to detect violations of court-ordered release conditions and report any changes in a youth's home or school situation. Youth who violate the conditions of release may be withdrawn from the program and placed in secure detention.

The electronic monitoring component provides an additional layer of supervision. Compliance is monitored electronically through an ankle bracelet placed on the youth by a Community Detention officer. A transmitting unit is placed in the family home. A daily report is generated by the central computer and transmitted to an EM coordinator. This information is examined and any violations of the authorized schedule are transmitted for further consideration.

The CD/EM command center is located in Baltimore City. A centrally-operated command center is most efficient given the need for 24/7 staffing.

### **Community Supervision – Probation**

Youth who are adjudicated and found delinquent by the juvenile court may be placed on probation under the supervision of a DJS case management specialist (CMS). Probation is the most frequently used juvenile court disposition. A probation term requires youth to abide by general supervision conditions, as well as any special conditions imposed by the court.

At the start of probation supervision (or in some instances, prior to disposition if ordered by the juvenile court), a Social History Investigation and Report is completed by the assigned CMS. This report describes the social adjustment and circumstances of the youth and their family.

In addition, the CMS completes the MCASP Needs Assessment that includes components of the MCASP Intake Risk Screen completed at intake but provides a much broader and more comprehensive assessment of individual need. The MCASP Needs Assessment categorizes youth as either low, moderate or high on each of the following criminogenic need domains (i.e., needs related to re-offending risk): (a) School; (b) Use of Free Time; (c) Employment; (d) Community Relationships; (e) Family; (f) Alcohol and Drugs; (g) Mental Health; (h) Attitudes and Aggression; and (i) Neighborhood Safety. (See Appendix J for a list of MCASP Needs Assessment items).

The CMS uses the social history and MCASP Needs Assessment data to develop recommendations to the juvenile court and to create the Treatment Service Plan (TSP). A TSP is completed for each youth under court ordered supervision. A TSP includes the recommended supervision level for the youth, specific goals for the youth and family to meet, and a statement of services to be provided to the youth and family. In developing the TSP, input from youth, parents and/or guardians, and service providers (as appropriate) is also solicited.

### **Community Supervision – Aftercare and Re-entry**

Aftercare supervision is similar to probation supervision in that the CMS performs many of the same supervisory tasks and relies on the same tools for decision-making (e.g., the MCASP Needs Assessment). Aftercare services are provided for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS for placement outside the home, or occasionally for the receipt of in-home services in some jurisdictions. A CMS is assigned to the case upon commitment; planning for aftercare starts before the youth is placed in a residential program.

Aftercare case management is comprehensive, beginning with assessments of the youth's progress while in residential placement to the provision of services intended to facilitate community reintegration upon release. Building on the services provided in the residential placement, the CMS is responsible for linking services for the youth and family, monitoring the youth's adjustment back to the community, and ensuring compliance with any court directive. The MCASP Needs Assessment provides guidance to case managers as they coordinate and plan for services.

A variety of programs is used to assist youth in the re-entry process, including independent living programs, transitional educational services, employment programs – such as, job readiness training, career exploration and vocational training – and, continued substance abuse and mental health treatment.

One example of a comprehensive re-entry program is the Continuum of Opportunity Re-entry Program and Services (CORPS) initiative. The U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) awarded DJS funding in 2009 to implement CORPS in Baltimore City through June 2013. The initiative involves multiple partners (e.g., the Baltimore City Public Schools, the Community College of Baltimore County, and the Baltimore City



Mayor's Office on Criminal Justice) and is characterized by intensive case management, educational and employment opportunities, career training, mentoring, and community service opportunities.


**Community Supervision - Violence Prevention Initiative**

The Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) is an intensive supervision program intended to reduce the number of juvenile homicides and non-fatal shootings, both offending and victimization. The VPI program focuses on youth under supervision (either probation or aftercare) who are believed to be at high-risk of either violent offending or violent victimization. The program combines intensive surveillance (e.g., frequent contact with youth during non-traditional hours such as evenings and weekends, electronic monitoring) with enhanced service delivery (e.g., drug treatment, employment training). Youth are sanctioned swiftly upon evidence of non-compliance in order to ensure the earliest possible intervention.

**Interstate Compact on Juveniles (ICJ)**

The ICJ unit provides for the cooperative supervision of probation and aftercare youth moving from state to state and the return of runaways, absconders, and escapees. In 2008, a new compact was enacted forming the Interstate Commission for Juveniles. Maryland and 40 other states are currently members. The ICJ governs each member state as to the provision of proper supervision or return of juveniles, delinquents, and status offenders on probation or aftercare who have absconded, escaped, or runaway and in so doing have endangered their own safety or the safety of others. It is the responsibility of each member state to provide supervision and services to ICJ cases using the same standards that prevail for its own juveniles placed on probation or aftercare supervision.

**DJS Offices by County of Jurisdiction and Region**



Region I Baltimore City	Region II Central	Region III Western	Region IV Eastern	Region V Southern	Region VI Metro
HQ - MD State DJS 1 - Central 2 - Southern 3 - Plaza 4 - MYRC	5 - Hunt Valley 6 - Dundalk/Essex 7 - Arbutus 8 - Garrison 9 - Westminster 10 - Bel Air 11 - Ellicott City	12 - Allegany 13 - Frederick 14 - Oakland 15 - Hagerstown	16 - Denton 17 - Cambridge 18 - Centreville 19 - Easton 20 - Snow Hill 21 - Elkton 22 - Chestertown 23 - Princess Anne 24 - Salisbury	25 - Annapolis 26 - Glen Burnie 27 - Prince Frederick 28 - La Plata 29 - Leonardtown	30 - Rockville 31 - Silver Spring 32 - Largo 33 - Upper Marlboro



## Total Statewide Activity

There are six regions across the State that encompass 24 jurisdictions.

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	3.2%	3.4%	3.1%
Police	91.4%	90.3%	88.5%
School Referrals*	1.7%	1.8%	2.5%
Violation of Probation**	3.2%	3.8%	5.1%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.5%	0.7%	0.7%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>35,871</b>	<b>33,006</b>	<b>27,510</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	44.8%	47.9%	51.5%
Informaled	17.1%	17.1%	17.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	38.1%	35.0%	30.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>35,871</b>	<b>33,006</b>	<b>27,510</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.6%	8.6%	9.8%
Continued/Stet	8.4%	9.8%	12.9%
Dismissed/Closed	30.6%	33.0%	27.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.4%	1.0%	0.6%
Nolle Pros.	3.8%	3.7%	4.4%
Other**	9.3%	8.7%	7.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.9%	1.5%	5.6%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.2%	3.8%	3.5%
Probation	26.9%	24.6%	23.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.3%	2.0%	1.5%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	3.7%	3.2%	2.7%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>16,058</b>	<b>15,814</b>	<b>14,170</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	778	N/A	778
Pre-Court	1,212	N/A	1,212
Probation	2,977	N/A	2,977
VPI*	745	289	1,035
Aftercare	993	657	1,650

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 1.4% (from 528,311 to 535,970).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 11.5% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 51.5% of complaints were formaled while 17.8% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 8.6% to 9.8%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 26.9% to 23.9%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.7 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 77.7 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in Confinement in a Secure Correctional Facility (4.24), Secure Detention (2.81), and Arrests (2.17).
- See Appendix O for detailed information on the Relative Rate Index (RRI).

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 14.7 days
- Pending Placement - 32.4 days
- State-Operated Committed - 136.1 days

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	58.8%	59.9%	60.5%
White	34.9%	33.5%	33.0%
Hispanic/Other	6.3%	6.6%	6.5%
Sex			
Male	73.3%	72.8%	72.9%
Female	26.7%	27.2%	27.1%
Age			
11 and under	2.9%	3.5%	3.4%
12	3.9%	3.6%	3.6%
13	6.7%	7.1%	7.2%
14	12.1%	12.7%	13.0%
15	18.7%	18.6%	19.0%
16	23.9%	23.1%	22.5%
17	29.0%	28.7%	28.1%
18-20	2.8%	2.8%	3.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>35,871</b>	<b>33,006</b>	<b>27,510</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	23.4%	30.2%	27.2%	33.7%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
First Degree Assault	1.1%	3.8%	0.5%	1.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Murder	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
Robbery	3.1%	9.0%	2.8%	9.7%
Second Degree Assault	17.5%	15.0%	20.3%	18.1%
Sex Offense	1.6%	2.0%	3.5%	4.0%
Property Offenses	33.4%	33.5%	33.5%	32.1%
Arson	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%	0.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.5%	3.7%	2.8%	1.8%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.6%	7.3%	7.2%	8.4%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%
Malicious Destruction	5.6%	4.6%	4.8%	4.6%
Tampering	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Theft	16.6%	14.2%	15.8%	15.4%
Trespassing	3.2%	2.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Drug Related Offenses	14.9%	15.1%	15.8%	12.4%
Narcotics Distribution	2.4%	5.7%	2.4%	2.2%
Narcotics Possession	12.5%	9.4%	13.5%	10.2%
Status Offenses	6.9%	3.1%	0.5%	0.2%
Alcohol Violation	3.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%
Runaway	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.3%	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%
Truancy	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	1.2%	1.3%	0.1%	0.1%
Uncategorized Offenses	21.4%	18.2%	23.1%	21.6%
Bomb Threat	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.2%	1.3%	5.0%	6.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%
Disturbing the Peace	6.2%	3.9%	4.4%	3.7%
False Report	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%	0.1%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	1.1%	0.6%	0.9%
Harassment	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%	1.7%	4.7%	2.9%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.9%	2.5%	1.0%	1.7%
Reckless Endangerment	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%	0.5%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%	1.4%	1.0%	0.8%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.7%	3.3%	3.5%	2.8%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>39,856</b>	<b>9,836**</b>	<b>2,849</b>	<b>1,388</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, harassment, fireworks viol., forgery, tampering, loitering, unspec. felony, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion  
<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes 46 out-of-state placements

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>†</sup></b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>27.2%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.1%	1.5%	1.8%
- Property	3.5%	7.5%	3.0%	3.6%
- Drugs	3.2%	9.1%	2.5%	2.7%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.3%	2.8%	4.9%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>74.8%</b>	<b>62.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.5%	24.5%	28.8%	27.1%
- Property	26.9%	16.1%	27.0%	20.4%
- Drugs	10.9%	8.2%	14.2%	11.6%
- Unspecified	1.9%	1.3%	4.8%	3.6%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</b>	<b>27,510</b>	<b>5,790</b>	<b>2,496</b>	<b>1,023</b>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>†</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Female Statewide Activity

Data presented on this spread reflects only the female population.

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	5.4%	5.7%	5.2%
Police	90.8%	89.9%	89.0%
School Referrals*	1.7%	2.1%	2.7%
Violation of Probation**	1.9%	2.0%	2.9%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>9,563</b>	<b>8,981</b>	<b>7,455</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	30.6%	34.0%	38.2%
Informaled	18.6%	20.4%	20.9%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	50.7%	45.5%	40.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>9,563</b>	<b>8,981</b>	<b>7,455</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	5.6%	5.6%	6.0%
Continued/Stet	10.0%	11.3%	15.8%
Dismissed/Closed	32.3%	32.6%	26.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.6%	0.6%	0.3%
Nolle Pros.	6.5%	5.9%	5.5%
Other**	8.8%	8.7%	7.4%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.9%	1.6%	6.7%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.4%	4.4%	4.2%
Probation	24.8%	22.8%	22.1%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.1%	2.5%	1.8%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	3.8%	4.0%	4.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>2,931</b>	<b>3,058</b>	<b>2,848</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	155	N/A	155
Pre-Court	388	N/A	388
Probation	626	N/A	626
VPI*	48	21	69
Aftercare	145	126	271

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### • U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile female population (ages 11-17) increased 1.8% (from 257,771 to 262,318).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 11.0% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 38.2% of complaints were formaled while 20.9% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 5.6% to 6.0%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 24.8% to 22.1%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 83.5 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Data on racial and ethnic disparity is not available by sex.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 14.9 days versus 14.6 for males.
- Pending Placement (including ejections) - 28.4 days versus 32.9 for males.
- State-Operated Committed - 188.7 days versus 190.4 for males.

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	57.3%	59.0%	59.7%
White	37.3%	36.0%	34.3%
Hispanic/Other	5.4%	5.0%	5.9%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	2.4%	3.0%	2.8%
12	4.1%	4.0%	4.0%
13	7.5%	8.3%	8.2%
14	13.7%	14.4%	14.2%
15	18.9%	19.8%	20.7%
16	22.6%	22.0%	22.6%
17	28.0%	26.2%	25.1%
18-20	2.7%	2.3%	2.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>9,563</b>	<b>8,981</b>	<b>7,455</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	27.5%	34.1%	31.8%	36.4%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.0%	6.5%	0.3%	2.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%
Robbery	1.0%	6.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Second Degree Assault	25.1%	21.0%	29.5%	31.8%
Sex Offense	0.4%	0.3%	0.8%	1.0%
Property Offenses	30.8%	30.2%	32.8%	26.7%
Arson	0.6%	0.9%	0.7%	0.5%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	2.7%	1.5%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	2.8%	3.6%	4.1%	3.6%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%
Malicious Destruction	3.8%	4.7%	3.1%	4.6%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	20.6%	16.4%	21.6%	15.4%
Trespassing	2.2%	1.8%	1.8%	2.1%
Drug Related Offenses	8.8%	9.6%	8.7%	10.3%
Narcotics Distribution	0.7%	1.8%	0.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	8.1%	7.9%	8.4%	10.3%
Status Offenses	10.4%	5.4%	0.5%	0.5%
Alcohol Violation	5.2%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%
Runaway	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.9%	0.9%	0.2%	0.0%
Ungovernable	1.9%	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
Uncategorized Offenses	22.5%	20.7%	26.2%	26.2%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.6%	0.8%	3.4%	5.6%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	1.2%	1.0%	0.5%
Disturbing the Peace	8.5%	5.3%	9.0%	7.7%
False Report	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%	0.5%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.4%	0.7%	0.5%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.4%	2.5%	5.7%	5.1%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.5%	2.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	1.8%	0.7%	1.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.6%	3.3%	3.3%	5.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>9,888</b>	<b>1,286**</b>	<b>610</b>	<b>195</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, harassment, fireworks viol., forgery, tampering, loitering, unspec. felony, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion  
<sup>\*\*</sup> Include 9 out-of-state placements

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	2.1%	0.2%	0.6%
- Property	1.9%	5.8%	2.7%	1.9%
- Drugs	0.9%	2.8%	0.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.2%	2.0%	5.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>79.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	37.5%	32.0%	38.0%	40.5%
- Property	30.0%	21.7%	29.1%	21.5%
- Drugs	6.5%	7.4%	8.0%	11.4%
- Unspecified	1.9%	0.6%	4.8%	5.7%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>
Missing	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>7,455</i>	<i>822</i>	<i>560</i>	<i>158</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>27.1%</i>	<i>14.2%</i>	<i>22.4%</i>	<i>15.4%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Statewide Activity for Youth Under Age 13

Data presented on this spread reflects only the population under age 13.

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	4.1%	5.7%	5.3%
Police	91.7%	90.6%	89.2%
School Referrals*	3.6%	3.5%	5.2%
Violation of Probation**	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,426</b>	<b>2,344</b>	<b>1,911</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	33.7%	33.8%	36.4%
Informaled	24.3%	23.8%	24.9%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	42.0%	42.4%	38.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>2,426</b>	<b>2,344</b>	<b>1,911</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	3.1%	3.2%	2.9%
Continued/Stet	14.1%	15.8%	19.7%
Dismissed/Closed	30.8%	36.2%	27.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	4.5%	6.7%	6.6%
Other**	8.7%	6.3%	7.8%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	1.5%	1.9%	7.2%
Petition Denied by SAO	7.1%	4.7%	6.5%
Probation	26.3%	20.2%	18.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.7%	1.4%	0.3%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	2.3%	3.7%	2.7%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>818</b>	<b>792</b>	<b>696</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	43	N/A	43
Pre-Court	114	N/A	114
Probation	152	N/A	152
VPI*	6	2	9
Aftercare	19	23	42

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the population (ages 11-12) decreased 3.3% (from 157,497 to 152,228).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 10.8% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 36.4% of complaints were formaled while 24.9% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 3.1% to 2.9%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 26.3% to 18.5%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 28.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 25.3 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 98.1 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Data on racial and ethnic disparity is not shown due to the small population size.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 12.5 days
- Pending Placement (including ejections) - 8.1 days
- State-Operated Committed - 0.0 days

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	64.2%	66.4%	65.1%
White	31.3%	29.2%	30.8%
Hispanic/Other	4.5%	4.4%	4.1%
Sex			
Male	74.2%	73.5%	73.3%
Female	25.8%	26.5%	26.7%
Age			
11 and under	43.0%	48.9%	48.4%
12	57.0%	51.1%	51.6%
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A
17	N/A	N/A	N/A
18-20	N/A	N/A	N/A
Error/Missing	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,426</b>	<b>2,344</b>	<b>1,911</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	38.6%	49.7%	43.9%	60.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	2.4%	12.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.6%	4.1%	35.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	29.7%	19.3%	0.0%	55.0%
Sex Offense	5.7%	13.1%	8.9%	5.0%
Property Offenses	37.6%	31.0%	36.6%	25.0%
Arson	2.0%	1.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.2%	2.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.2%	6.9%	16.3%	15.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.2%	2.8%	7.3%	10.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	15.0%	17.2%	8.9%	0.0%
Trespassing	2.9%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	1.6%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.2%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Status Offenses	3.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Truancy	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	19.3%	19.3%	17.1%	15.0%
Bomb Threat	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.6%	0.0%	3.3%	5.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.8%	1.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.8%	7.6%	7.3%	5.0%
False Report	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.9%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.4%	2.1%	2.4%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.4%	2.8%	0.8%	5.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.8%	3.4%	1.6%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>2,539</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>20</b>

\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses; <sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, harassment, fireworks viol., forgery, tampering, loitering, unspec. felony, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>†</sup></b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>38.1%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	1.0%	3.6%	5.6%
- Property	1.6%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>79.1%</b>	<b>53.6%</b>	<b>86.4%</b>	<b>77.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	47.3%	32.0%	48.2%	61.1%
- Property	29.1%	19.6%	30.9%	11.1%
- Drugs	0.8%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.9%	2.1%	4.5%	5.6%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,911</i>	<i>97</i>	<i>110</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>6.9%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>4.4%</i>	<i>1.8%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.


<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.



## Region I-Baltimore City Summary

Executive Director: Dwain Johnson  
 Assistant Regional Directors: Latonia Battle-White,  
 Yolanda Johnson and John Perrotta

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	5.8%	8.0%	8.1%
Police	78.3%	74.0%	69.1%
School Referrals*	11.2%	11.8%	15.6%
Violation of Probation**	3.6%	5.2%	5.7%
Waiver from Adult Court	1.1%	1.1%	1.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,881</b>	<b>4,419</b>	<b>3,977</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	74.0%	79.9%	78.9%
Informed	6.6%	7.9%	9.3%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	19.4%	12.3%	11.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>4,881</b>	<b>4,419</b>	<b>3,977</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	10.8%	9.2%	7.5%
Continued/Stet	6.1%	5.3%	6.3%
Dismissed/Closed	54.3%	58.7%	53.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	1.1%	0.9%	1.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.7%	2.5%	11.2%
Petition Denied by SAO	2.3%	2.9%	2.2%
Probation	23.5%	19.6%	17.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.7%	0.5%	0.2%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>3,613</b>	<b>3,529</b>	<b>3,137</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	177	N/A	177
Pre-Court	109	N/A	109
Probation	542	N/A	542
VPI*	298	78	376
Aftercare	200	102	301

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

3 Offices:

300 N. Gay St., Baltimore, MD 21202 (ph) 443-263-3926  
 6502 Reisterstown Rd., Baltimore, MD 21215 (ph) 410-585-2100  
 530 N. Hilton St., Baltimore, MD 21229 (ph) 410-362-4400

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.0% (from 62,624 to 60,124).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 30.9% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 78.9% of complaints were formaled while 9.3% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 10.8% to 7.5%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 23.5% to 17.8%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 13.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 12.4 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 70.5 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (13.40), Secure Detention (1.61), and Probation (1.12).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 11.1 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 42.3 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 120.8 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	95.6%	95.1%	95.6%
White	3.5%	3.6%	3.6%
Hispanic/Other	0.9%	1.2%	0.8%
Sex			
Male	83.1%	79.5%	79.7%
Female	16.9%	20.5%	20.3%
Age			
11 and under	2.9%	3.4%	4.0%
12	2.7%	2.8%	3.2%
13	5.6%	6.8%	7.5%
14	11.2%	12.8%	13.6%
15	19.1%	19.4%	19.6%
16	25.8%	23.5%	23.8%
17	30.8%	29.4%	26.8%
18-20	1.9%	1.9%	1.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,881</b>	<b>4,419</b>	<b>3,977</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	35.2%	33.8%	33.9%	30.1%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	2.4%	4.2%	1.4%	1.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	8.0%	12.1%	7.4%	10.2%
Second Degree Assault	22.7%	15.2%	21.7%	13.3%
Sex Offense	1.9%	1.9%	3.3%	5.1%
Property Offenses	27.6%	26.6%	32.1%	22.4%
Arson	0.3%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	5.2%	6.7%	4.0%	3.1%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.0%	4.2%	5.3%	6.1%
Carjacking	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.5%	2.8%	3.1%	1.5%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	10.3%	9.4%	17.8%	11.2%
Trespassing	4.0%	2.3%	1.4%	0.5%
Drug Related Offenses	22.9%	27.2%	19.9%	29.6%
Narcotics Distribution	11.0%	16.0%	6.5%	11.7%
Narcotics Possession	11.9%	11.2%	13.4%	17.9%
Status Offenses	0.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	13.6%	12.3%	14.1%	17.9%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.8%	0.9%	3.4%	4.6%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	1.0%	1.1%	1.5%
Disturbing the Peace	3.7%	2.1%	0.5%	1.0%
False Report	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	1.6%	1.6%	2.6%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.8%	3.0%	1.4%	3.1%
Reckless Endangerment	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%
Resisting Arrest	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.5%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	0.8%	1.2%	4.3%	3.6%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>4,576</b>	<b>2,759</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>196</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>25.2%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>29.5%</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>26.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.6%	1.4%	2.4%
- Property	6.7%	8.8%	4.1%	5.4%
- Drugs	12.3%	19.9%	6.6%	13.1%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.2%	2.5%	6.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>60.6%</b>	<b>43.2%</b>	<b>71.0%</b>	<b>56.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	30.6%	20.3%	25.9%	16.1%
- Property	17.5%	12.4%	25.9%	16.7%
- Drugs	11.7%	9.7%	14.1%	19.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.8%	5.2%	4.8%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>3,977</i>	<i>2,155</i>	<i>518</i>	<i>168</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>14.5%</i>	<i>37.2%</i>	<i>20.8%</i>	<i>16.4%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Region II-Central Summary

Regional Director: Mathew Fonseca; Assistant Regional Director: Celena Falline  
 Counties of Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, and Howard

10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130  
 Hunt Valley, MD 21031  
 410-527-4300

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%
Police	94.5%	92.9%	92.7%
School Referrals*	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Violation of Probation**	4.6%	5.6%	6.1%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>9,029</b>	<b>7,936</b>	<b>7,230</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	45.8%	48.6%	53.9%
Informaled	13.5%	14.2%	14.3%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.6%	37.2%	31.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>9,029</b>	<b>7,936</b>	<b>7,230</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.6%	9.0%	9.0%
Continued/Stet	7.9%	12.0%	15.6%
Dismissed/Closed	18.8%	19.2%	15.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.9%	1.2%	0.8%
Nolle Pros.	11.6%	11.7%	13.7%
Other**	8.9%	8.4%	7.9%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	1.0%	1.1%	2.2%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.3%	1.0%	0.8%
Probation	37.4%	31.7%	31.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.5%	3.6%	2.0%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	1.2%	1.0%	0.8%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>4,138</b>	<b>3,854</b>	<b>3,899</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	132	N/A	132
Pre-Court	276	N/A	276
Probation	600	N/A	600
VPI*	91	29	120
Aftercare	147	96	243

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### • U.S. Census Data:

• Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.6% (from 139,012 to 136,757).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2013):

• 7.3% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

• 53.9% of complaints were formaled while 14.3% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

• Percent of committed dispositions increased from 7.6% to 9.0%.

• Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 37.4% to 31.2%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

• Time from offense to intake referral date was 25.7 days.

• Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.4 days.

• Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 88.6 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

• Since one region can include counties that are vastly different in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate would mask variations at the county level.

• See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

• Pre-Dispositional Detention - 17.0 days versus 14.7 statewide

• Pending Placement - 26.3 days versus 32.4 statewide

• State-Operated Committed - 140.3 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	55.1%	55.6%	58.4%
White	41.5%	41.1%	37.6%
Hispanic/Other	3.4%	3.3%	3.9%
Sex			
Male	70.5%	69.8%	69.5%
Female	29.5%	30.2%	30.5%
Age			
11 and under	2.4%	2.9%	2.7%
12	3.6%	3.9%	3.7%
13	6.9%	7.6%	7.6%
14	11.9%	11.8%	13.5%
15	18.5%	18.2%	18.9%
16	24.8%	24.4%	23.1%
17	29.3%	28.1%	27.5%
18-20	2.6%	3.1%	3.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>9,029</b>	<b>7,936</b>	<b>7,230</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	22.3%	30.9%	27.3%	32.7%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.9%	4.6%	0.3%	1.3%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%
Robbery	2.4%	8.9%	1.8%	12.1%
Second Degree Assault	17.1%	15.5%	20.6%	17.0%
Sex Offense	1.9%	1.5%	4.7%	1.8%
Property Offenses	41.3%	39.9%	38.0%	38.6%
Arson	0.9%	0.9%	1.0%	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	4.3%	2.6%	0.4%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.5%	9.8%	9.5%	17.9%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%
Malicious Destruction	6.2%	5.3%	6.0%	4.9%
Tampering	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	23.1%	17.7%	17.3%	14.3%
Trespassing	3.2%	1.7%	1.5%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	13.7%	13.1%	16.9%	15.7%
Narcotics Distribution	1.2%	2.6%	1.9%	1.3%
Narcotics Possession	12.5%	10.5%	15.0%	14.3%
Status Offenses	3.1%	1.0%	0.7%	0.4%
Alcohol Violation	1.8%	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%
Runaway	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.7%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Truancy	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	19.6%	15.2%	17.0%	12.6%
Bomb Threat	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.7%	0.2%	1.0%	0.4%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	1.7%	1.6%	1.8%
Disturbing the Peace	5.1%	2.3%	2.7%	2.2%
False Report	0.7%	0.6%	0.1%	0.4%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.8%	0.4%	0.9%
Harassment	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%	2.6%	6.0%	3.6%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.2%	2.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%
Resisting Arrest	1.5%	1.5%	1.4%	0.9%
Telephone Misuse	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.2%	2.2%	1.8%	1.8%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>10,588</b>	<b>1,234</b>	<b>728</b>	<b>223</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>30.6%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>26.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	2.1%	1.9%	1.2%
- Property	4.0%	7.8%	2.4%	1.2%
- Drugs	1.5%	3.3%	2.1%	0.6%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>51.8%</b>	<b>77.4%</b>	<b>67.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	28.7%	23.9%	30.2%	26.9%
- Property	35.0%	18.6%	30.3%	20.4%
- Drugs	10.8%	8.8%	15.2%	17.4%
- Unspecified	1.3%	0.4%	1.7%	2.4%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>7,230</i>	<i>703</i>	<i>633</i>	<i>167</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>26.3%</i>	<i>12.1%</i>	<i>25.4%</i>	<i>16.3%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Baltimore County

Case Management Specialist Supervisors: Tracy Whitaker (Arbutus), Rodney Izzard (Dundalk/Essex), Janica Cole (Garrison), Doug King and Connie Ridgely (Hunt Valley)

900 Walker Ave., Baltimore, MD 21228 (ph) 410-455-7800  
431 Eastern Blvd. Ste. 100, Baltimore, MD 21221 (ph) 410-780-1200  
10999 Red Run Blvd. Ste 115, Owings Mills, MD 21117 (ph) 410-356-5774  
10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130, Hunt Valley, MD 21031 (ph) 410-527-4300

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	94.1%	92.8%	93.0%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	5.6%	6.4%	6.5%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.3%	0.9%	0.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>5,530</b>	<b>4,810</b>	<b>4,533</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	51.1%	53.9%	57.1%
Informed	8.8%	8.8%	9.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.1%	37.3%	33.3%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>5,530</b>	<b>4,810</b>	<b>4,533</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.4%	8.2%	8.3%
Continued/Stet	9.3%	15.8%	18.7%
Dismissed/Closed	12.0%	13.5%	9.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.2%	1.0%	0.8%
Nolle Pros.	16.0%	16.0%	19.3%
Other**	7.3%	7.2%	6.4%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	1.3%	1.5%	3.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%
Probation	40.4%	31.8%	30.7%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	4.0%	4.1%	2.5%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>2,827</b>	<b>2,594</b>	<b>2,587</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	45	N/A	45
Pre-Court	107	N/A	107
Probation	357	N/A	357
VPI*	70	24	94
Aftercare	76	45	121

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Located in Region II - 4 Offices:

900 Walker Ave., Baltimore, MD 21228 (ph) 410-455-7800  
431 Eastern Blvd. Ste. 100, Baltimore, MD 21221 (ph) 410-780-1200  
10999 Red Run Blvd. Ste 115, Owings Mills, MD 21117 (ph) 410-356-5774  
10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130, Hunt Valley, MD 21031 (ph) 410-527-4300

• U.S. Census Data:

• Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 2.8% (from 71,782 to 73,817).

• Complaint Source (FY 2013):

• 7.0% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

• 57.1% of complaints were formaled while 9.7% were informed.

• Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

• Percent of committed dispositions increased from 7.4% to 8.3%.

• Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 40.4% to 30.7%.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

• Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.9 days.

• Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.0 days.

• Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 93.4 days.

• Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

• Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.08), Secure Detention (1.16), and Referral to Juvenile Court (1.10).

• See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

• Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

• Pre-Dispositional Detention - 16.8 days versus 14.7 statewide

• Pending Placement - 27.9 days versus 32.4 statewide

• State-Operated Committed - 129.3 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	66.5%	67.4%	70.0%
White	30.8%	30.2%	27.7%
Hispanic/Other	2.7%	2.3%	2.3%
Sex			
Male	71.2%	70.4%	70.7%
Female	28.8%	29.6%	29.3%
Age			
11 and under	2.6%	2.8%	2.7%
12	3.5%	4.9%	3.8%
13	7.1%	8.2%	8.6%
14	12.6%	12.0%	14.4%
15	19.9%	18.4%	19.1%
16	24.3%	25.3%	22.6%
17	27.6%	25.1%	26.1%
18-20	2.2%	3.2%	2.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>5,530</b>	<b>4,810</b>	<b>4,533</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	24.9%	32.5%	30.2%	36.7%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.0%	5.5%	0.0%	2.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.2%	0.5%	0.8%
Robbery	3.4%	11.6%	3.4%	15.0%
Second Degree Assault	18.7%	13.8%	22.2%	16.7%
Sex Offense	1.8%	1.3%	4.1%	1.7%
Property Offenses	45.6%	42.0%	38.8%	35.8%
Arson	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.9%	5.5%	3.6%	0.8%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.6%	9.7%	9.3%	10.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.8%
Malicious Destruction	5.7%	4.7%	5.4%	6.7%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	26.4%	18.8%	18.1%	17.5%
Trespassing	4.1%	2.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	12.1%	11.0%	16.5%	15.8%
Narcotics Distribution	1.1%	2.9%	1.6%	1.7%
Narcotics Possession	11.0%	8.1%	15.0%	14.2%
Status Offenses	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	16.5%	13.9%	14.5%	11.7%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.2%	1.9%	2.1%	2.5%
Disturbing the Peace	4.5%	1.7%	2.8%	1.7%
False Report	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	1.1%	0.5%	1.7%
Harassment	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	1.8%	2.6%	3.3%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.0%	2.6%	0.3%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.3%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.0%	1.6%	2.3%	1.7%
Telephone Misuse	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.6%	2.4%	2.3%	0.8%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>6,300</b>	<b>836</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>120</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>29.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	2.9%	2.4%	1.1%
- Property	4.1%	9.9%	3.2%	2.2%
- Drugs	1.5%	3.1%	1.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>79.0%</b>	<b>46.1%</b>	<b>79.7%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.6%	19.9%	31.8%	26.9%
- Property	38.7%	19.1%	29.7%	21.5%
- Drugs	9.6%	6.5%	15.6%	17.2%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.6%	2.6%	1.1%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>4,533</i>	<i>477</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>93</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>16.5%</i>	<i>8.2%</i>	<i>13.6%</i>	<i>9.1%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Carroll County

Acting Case Management Specialist Supervisor: Brian Gass

Located in Region II - 1 Office:

101 N. Court St., Westminster, MD 21157 (ph) 410-871-3600

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	4.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Police	88.2%	86.5%	86.1%
School Referrals*	3.7%	3.1%	3.8%
Violation of Probation**	3.9%	5.4%	4.6%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.3%	0.0%	0.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>752</b>	<b>780</b>	<b>634</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	34.8%	33.8%	47.3%
Informaled	32.6%	33.8%	33.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	32.6%	32.3%	19.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>752</b>	<b>780</b>	<b>634</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	21.0%	18.6%	9.3%
Continued/Stet	11.8%	11.4%	6.7%
Dismissed/Closed	9.9%	11.0%	30.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	1.9%	0.7%
Nolle Pros.	8.8%	11.7%	8.7%
Other**	8.8%	6.8%	11.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.9%	1.1%	0.3%
Probation	34.4%	34.5%	31.7%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.8%	2.3%	0.3%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	1.5%	0.8%	0.3%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>300</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	22	N/A	22
Pre-Court	61	N/A	61
Probation	50	N/A	50
VPI*	2	2	3
Aftercare	26	19	45

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 15.2% (from 16,857 to 14,291).
- **Complaint Source (FY 2013):**
  - 13.9% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- **Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):**
  - 47.3% of complaints were formaled while 33.0% were informaled.
- **Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):**
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 21.0% to 9.3%.
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 34.4% to 31.7%.
- **Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):**
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 32.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.5 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 69.9 days.
- **Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):**
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Secure Detention (2.13) and Juvenile Court (1.18).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):**
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 18.9 days versus 14.7 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 20.8 days versus 32.4 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 169.6 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	12.0%	7.9%	9.9%
White	85.9%	89.7%	86.9%
Hispanic/Other	2.1%	2.3%	3.2%
Sex			
Male	71.5%	72.3%	71.9%
Female	28.5%	27.7%	28.1%
Age			
11 and under	2.0%	3.6%	1.9%
12	3.2%	1.8%	4.4%
13	6.9%	6.2%	3.6%
14	13.0%	12.3%	9.8%
15	16.1%	18.7%	20.0%
16	25.1%	21.0%	27.1%
17	30.7%	33.6%	30.6%
18-20	2.9%	2.8%	2.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>752</b>	<b>780</b>	<b>634</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	12.3%	22.5%	13.8%	18.8%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.5%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	10.0%	16.7%	8.8%	18.8%
Sex Offense	1.6%	2.0%	5.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	34.6%	37.3%	41.2%	31.2%
Arson	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.8%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.6%	9.8%	12.5%	12.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.9%	9.8%	15.0%	0.0%
Tampering	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	15.1%	13.7%	11.2%	18.8%
Trespassing	1.5%	1.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	17.1%	18.6%	22.5%	18.8%
Narcotics Distribution	0.9%	2.9%	2.5%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	16.2%	15.7%	20.0%	18.8%
Status Offenses	8.5%	2.9%	1.2%	6.2%
Alcohol Violation	1.9%	0.0%	1.2%	6.2%
Runaway	2.3%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	27.5%	18.6%	21.2%	25.0%
Bomb Threat	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	5.1%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	1.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
False Report	0.8%	2.9%	0.0%	6.2%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	7.3%	4.9%	8.8%	12.5%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.4%	2.9%	2.5%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.7%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.3%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	5.9%	2.0%	1.2%	6.2%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,187</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>16</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.1%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%
- Property	8.7%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.6%	5.6%	2.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>62.8%</b>	<b>59.3%</b>	<b>73.5%</b>	<b>84.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	23.0%	35.2%	17.6%	23.1%
- Property	23.8%	20.4%	33.8%	38.5%
- Drugs	14.8%	3.7%	22.1%	15.4%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>634</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.3%</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>2.7%</i>	<i>1.3%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Harford County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Paul Bowden

Located in Region II - 1 Office:

2 South Bond Street, Bel Air, MD 21014 (ph) 410-836-4680

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	96.2%	94.6%	91.2%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.7%	5.4%	8.8%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,320</b>	<b>1,064</b>	<b>922</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	37.8%	38.5%	48.6%
Informaled	7.5%	9.5%	11.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	54.7%	52.0%	40.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,320</b>	<b>1,064</b>	<b>922</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.2%	14.4%	12.3%
Continued/Stet	2.0%	1.0%	5.6%
Dismissed/Closed	35.7%	25.9%	20.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.4%	1.7%	0.9%
Nolle Pros.	1.0%	0.2%	0.9%
Other**	22.0%	19.5%	17.2%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.2%	1.1%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.8%	0.7%	2.5%
Probation	29.9%	34.6%	38.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.8%	1.7%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>499</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>448</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	61	N/A	61
Pre-Court	26	N/A	26
Probation	100	N/A	100
VPI*	10	2	12
Aftercare	25	21	46

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### • U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 8.8% (from 23,936 to 21,841).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 8.8% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 48.6% of complaints were formaled while 11.0% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 6.2% to 12.3%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 29.9% to 38.8%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 12.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 77.5 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.52), Delinquent Finding (1.20), and Juvenile Court (1.09).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 18.2 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 25.5 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 154.0 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	31.7%	41.4%	41.2%
White	65.8%	55.6%	55.0%
Hispanic/Other	2.5%	2.9%	3.8%
Sex			
Male	67.0%	68.3%	69.0%
Female	33.0%	31.7%	31.0%
Age			
11 and under	2.4%	2.4%	3.9%
12	4.2%	3.0%	3.9%
13	6.7%	7.9%	5.7%
14	9.8%	12.7%	12.8%
15	17.3%	20.4%	19.2%
16	25.9%	22.7%	23.5%
17	31.5%	28.5%	27.7%
18-20	2.1%	2.4%	3.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,320</b>	<b>1,064</b>	<b>922</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	26.3%	29.3%	33.1%	42.1%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.4%	4.2%	0.0%	7.9%
Second Degree Assault	19.7%	20.5%	26.5%	31.6%
Sex Offense	3.9%	1.4%	6.6%	2.6%
Property Offenses	25.9%	29.3%	27.2%	26.3%
Arson	0.9%	1.4%	0.0%	2.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	1.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.8%	6.5%	13.2%	7.9%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.1%	7.0%	5.1%	7.9%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	11.7%	12.6%	6.6%	7.9%
Trespassing	1.8%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	18.1%	20.0%	19.9%	18.4%
Narcotics Distribution	2.0%	2.3%	4.4%	2.6%
Narcotics Possession	16.1%	17.7%	15.4%	15.8%
Status Offenses	3.9%	1.9%	1.5%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.7%	0.5%	1.5%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	25.8%	19.5%	18.4%	13.2%
Bomb Threat	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.6%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.6%	1.4%	1.5%	2.6%
Disturbing the Peace	10.8%	3.3%	3.7%	5.3%
False Report	0.7%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Harassment	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	4.2%	7.4%	2.6%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.4%	1.9%	0.7%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.3%	2.8%	0.7%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.6%	2.3%	2.2%	2.6%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,423</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>38</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	0.8%	1.8%	2.9%
- Property	2.3%	2.3%	1.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.5%	3.8%	5.3%	2.9%
- Unspecified	0.9%	1.5%	0.9%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>66.9%</b>	<b>71.1%</b>	<b>70.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	36.0%	33.1%	36.0%	38.2%
- Property	21.5%	15.4%	21.1%	11.8%
- Drugs	15.2%	18.5%	13.2%	17.6%
- Unspecified	1.4%	0.0%	0.9%	2.9%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	922	130	114	34
<i>% of Statewide</i>	3.4%	2.2%	4.6%	3.3%

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Howard County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Tim Madden

Located in Region II - 1 Office:

3451 Court House Dr., Ellicott City, MD 21043 (ph) 410-480-7878

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.1%	0.6%	0.4%
Police	97.8%	95.6%	96.3%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.8%	2.9%	3.0%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.3%	0.9%	0.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,427</b>	<b>1,282</b>	<b>1,141</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	38.5%	45.7%	49.4%
Informaled	27.3%	26.5%	25.2%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	34.1%	27.8%	25.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,427</b>	<b>1,282</b>	<b>1,141</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	3.3%	4.4%	9.8%
Continued/Stet	3.6%	2.9%	14.2%
Dismissed/Closed	42.9%	43.7%	30.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.4%	1.5%	0.9%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.2%	1.2%
Other**	5.6%	6.1%	5.5%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.2%	0.7%	0.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	5.8%	5.1%	3.4%
Probation	30.5%	28.3%	27.7%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.5%	3.4%	2.1%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	4.2%	3.6%	3.5%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>564</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	4	N/A	4
Pre-Court	82	N/A	82
Probation	94	N/A	94
VPI*	9	2	10
Aftercare	20	11	31

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 1.4% (from 26,437 to 26,808).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 3.7% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 49.4% of complaints were formaled while 25.2% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 3.3% to 9.8%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 30.5% to 27.7%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 26.0 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.5 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 90.5 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Secure Detention (3.72), Arrest (1.80), and Petition (1.22).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 13.4 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 24.7 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 188.8 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	55.4%	52.2%	53.3%
White	36.8%	39.9%	35.6%
Hispanic/Other	7.8%	8.0%	11.1%
Sex			
Male	70.5%	67.1%	63.5%
Female	29.5%	32.9%	36.5%
Age			
11 and under	2.2%	2.9%	2.2%
12	3.5%	2.4%	3.2%
13	6.2%	5.8%	6.9%
14	10.1%	10.1%	12.6%
15	15.1%	15.1%	16.9%
16	25.2%	24.4%	22.2%
17	33.1%	35.9%	31.1%
18-20	4.5%	3.4%	4.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,427</b>	<b>1,282</b>	<b>1,141</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	16.0%	28.4%	20.8%	20.4%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.6%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.2%	3.7%	0.0%	12.2%
Second Degree Assault	13.6%	18.5%	16.8%	6.1%
Sex Offense	0.7%	3.7%	4.0%	2.0%
Property Offenses	43.0%	49.4%	45.6%	57.1%
Arson	0.7%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.8%	1.2%	2.4%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	8.0%	19.8%	4.0%	46.9%
Carjacking	0.1%	1.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.3%	2.5%	3.2%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	26.0%	24.7%	30.4%	10.2%
Trespassing	2.1%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	13.6%	9.9%	11.2%	12.2%
Narcotics Distribution	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	12.8%	9.9%	11.2%	12.2%
Status Offenses	6.9%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	6.6%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Runaway	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	20.4%	12.3%	20.8%	10.2%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.2%	0.0%	2.4%	2.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.9%	4.9%	3.2%	2.0%
False Report	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.4%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	7.4%	3.7%	13.6%	2.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.4%	1.2%	0.8%	2.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,678</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>49</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>31.0%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>40.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.5%	4.8%	1.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>59.5%</b>	<b>79.3%</b>	<b>55.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	22.7%	26.2%	27.0%	14.8%
- Property	37.6%	21.4%	39.6%	18.5%
- Drugs	9.8%	11.9%	11.7%	18.5%
- Unspecified	2.2%	0.0%	0.9%	3.7%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,141</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>111</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>4.1%</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>4.4%</i>	<i>2.6%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Region III-Western Summary

Regional Director: Robert McElvie; Assistant Regional Director: William Pickrel  
 Counties of Allegany, Frederick, Garrett, and Washington

1 James Day Drive  
 Cumberland, MD 21502  
 301-722-1600

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	5.3%	5.7%	6.1%
Police	87.9%	86.5%	81.9%
School Referrals*	0.7%	1.0%	1.7%
Violation of Probation**	6.0%	6.5%	9.7%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.2%	0.2%	0.6%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,540</b>	<b>3,172</b>	<b>2,469</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	43.3%	48.0%	52.2%
Informed	25.8%	21.7%	24.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	30.8%	30.3%	23.1%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>3,540</b>	<b>3,172</b>	<b>2,469</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.5%	5.7%	7.5%
Continued/Stet	7.4%	10.0%	10.5%
Dismissed/Closed	28.0%	26.8%	21.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%
Nolle Pros.	2.9%	2.8%	2.7%
Other**	12.3%	12.4%	12.4%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.6%	1.1%	3.1%
Petition Denied by SAO	14.4%	13.9%	11.3%
Probation	22.2%	23.6%	25.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.5%	2.1%	2.9%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	1.8%	1.1%	2.5%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,534</b>	<b>1,523</b>	<b>1,288</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	35	N/A	35
Pre-Court	125	N/A	125
Probation	232	N/A	232
VPI*	13	8	22
Aftercare	80	76	156

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.2% (from 42,961 to 42,880).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 18.1% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 52.2% of complaints were formaled while 24.7% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions remained at 7.5%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 22.2% to 25.5%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.9 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 53.7 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Since one region can include counties that are vastly different in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate would mask variations at the county level.
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 21.4 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 21.1 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 130.6 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	33.2%	35.9%	35.1%
White	63.3%	60.0%	60.6%
Hispanic/Other	3.5%	4.1%	4.3%
Sex			
Male	73.0%	72.2%	74.7%
Female	27.0%	27.8%	25.3%
Age			
11 and under	4.0%	4.6%	4.4%
12	5.6%	3.6%	4.3%
13	9.4%	10.5%	7.7%
14	15.3%	14.5%	15.6%
15	18.2%	18.4%	20.6%
16	22.2%	21.0%	20.3%
17	23.0%	25.2%	24.3%
18-20	2.4%	2.1%	2.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,540</b>	<b>3,172</b>	<b>2,469</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	24.9%	26.5%	25.2%	33.3%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.6%	1.6%	0.0%	2.3%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.2%	4.0%	0.9%	2.3%
Second Degree Assault	21.0%	15.9%	20.6%	25.3%
Sex Offense	2.1%	5.0%	3.7%	3.4%
Property Offenses	28.4%	30.5%	19.7%	33.3%
Arson	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	2.8%	0.5%	2.3%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.1%	6.4%	2.8%	9.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.9%	5.8%	1.8%	8.0%
Tampering	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	12.6%	12.6%	13.8%	13.8%
Trespassing	1.9%	1.6%	0.9%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	9.3%	10.9%	14.7%	8.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.0%	1.7%	1.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	8.3%	9.2%	12.8%	8.0%
Status Offenses	11.1%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	4.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	1.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	26.3%	29.4%	40.4%	25.3%
Bomb Threat	0.6%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.3%	2.2%	5.0%	3.4%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	1.1%	2.3%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	9.9%	8.1%	12.4%	4.6%
False Report	0.5%	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	1.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Harassment	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.8%	3.4%	11.0%	2.3%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.7%	4.0%	2.3%	1.1%
Reckless Endangerment	0.8%	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%
Resisting Arrest	1.0%	3.0%	1.4%	2.3%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.7%	4.7%	4.6%	10.3%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>3,572</b>	<b>642</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>87</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>18.9%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	1.6%	0.5%	1.4%
- Property	3.0%	7.7%	3.7%	2.7%
- Drugs	1.3%	3.5%	2.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.6%	3.2%	1.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>68.0%</b>	<b>61.2%</b>	<b>73.5%</b>	<b>78.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	37.2%	38.1%	35.4%	35.1%
- Property	22.3%	15.4%	16.9%	24.3%
- Drugs	6.5%	7.7%	13.8%	8.1%
- Unspecified	2.0%	0.0%	7.4%	10.8%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,469</i>	<i>312</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>74</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>9.0%</i>	<i>5.4%</i>	<i>7.6%</i>	<i>7.2%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Allegany County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Renee Page

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

1 James Day Dr., Cumberland, MD 21502 (ph) 301-722-1663

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	2.7%	1.9%	1.6%
Police	94.1%	93.8%	92.8%
School Referrals*	0.0%	2.0%	2.7%
Violation of Probation**	3.1%	2.3%	3.0%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>765</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>638</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	43.0%	36.7%	35.6%
Informaled	14.0%	18.8%	27.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	43.0%	44.5%	36.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>765</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>638</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	5.5%	4.2%	6.6%
Continued/Stet	0.0%	0.8%	2.6%
Dismissed/Closed	50.2%	43.7%	37.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	10.6%	7.1%	5.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Petition Denied by SAO	17.6%	26.5%	26.0%
Probation	13.7%	16.8%	18.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.9%	0.8%	1.8%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>227</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	1	N/A	1
Pre-Court	30	N/A	30
Probation	45	N/A	45
VPI*	1	3	4
Aftercare	15	13	29

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.6% (from 6,569 to 6,396).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 7.2% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 35.6% of complaints were formaled while 27.6% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 5.5% to 6.6%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 13.7% to 18.9%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 13.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 53.8 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (1.45).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 18.9 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 34.4 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 131.7 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	16.7%	16.8%	14.7%
White	82.5%	81.9%	82.8%
Hispanic/Other	0.8%	1.2%	2.5%
Sex			
Male	66.7%	68.4%	63.9%
Female	33.3%	31.6%	36.1%
Age			
11 and under	4.7%	8.8%	6.9%
12	6.7%	5.1%	5.6%
13	9.9%	11.1%	8.6%
14	15.0%	17.3%	13.9%
15	19.6%	16.4%	22.6%
16	20.3%	16.8%	17.4%
17	22.1%	23.8%	22.6%
18-20	1.7%	0.8%	2.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>765</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>638</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	26.0%	29.4%	10.7%	33.3%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.6%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	22.6%	16.5%	7.1%	16.7%
Sex Offense	2.3%	8.2%	3.6%	16.7%
Property Offenses	20.7%	40.0%	10.7%	33.3%
Arson	0.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.1%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	2.0%	5.9%	0.0%	16.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.7%	4.7%	0.0%	8.3%
Tampering	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	8.6%	20.0%	10.7%	8.3%
Trespassing	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	6.5%	7.1%	17.9%	8.3%
Narcotics Distribution	0.7%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	5.7%	4.7%	17.9%	8.3%
Status Offenses	23.8%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	2.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	23.1%	22.4%	60.7%	25.0%
Bomb Threat	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	14.0%	7.1%	50.0%	16.7%
False Report	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.7%	2.4%	3.6%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%	8.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.1%	1.2%	3.6%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.6%	7.1%	3.6%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>836</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>12</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.9%	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.9%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>59.6%</b>	<b>52.2%</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>72.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	35.6%	34.8%	50.0%	45.5%
- Property	18.7%	13.0%	14.3%	18.2%
- Drugs	4.5%	4.3%	17.9%	9.1%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>29.3%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Admissions/ Dispositions</i>	<i>638</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.3%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>1.1%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Frederick County

Case Management Program Supervisors: Singleton Golden and William Keefer

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

801 N. East St. Suite 3, Frederick, MD 21701 (ph) 240-629-3030

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	2.6%	3.5%	3.3%
Police	90.1%	87.5%	80.7%
School Referrals*	0.9%	0.9%	1.1%
Violation of Probation**	6.3%	7.9%	14.2%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,584</b>	<b>1,347</b>	<b>845</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	37.4%	42.2%	57.5%
Informaled	31.7%	25.8%	31.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	30.9%	32.0%	11.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,584</b>	<b>1,347</b>	<b>845</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	3.7%	4.4%	8.2%
Continued/Stet	6.9%	8.4%	12.1%
Dismissed/Closed	25.8%	28.1%	21.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.7%	1.4%	0.2%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	18.7%	20.4%	18.9%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.8%	1.1%	2.1%
Petition Denied by SAO	20.7%	15.6%	11.3%
Probation	16.7%	16.0%	18.1%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.2%	1.8%	1.6%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	3.7%	2.8%	6.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>593</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>486</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	11	N/A	11
Pre-Court	56	N/A	56
Probation	67	N/A	67
VPI*	9	4	13
Aftercare	29	24	53

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### • U.S. Census Data:

• Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.3% (from 20,979 to 20,914).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2013):

• 19.3% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

• 57.5% of complaints were formaled while 31.0% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

• Percent of committed dispositions increased from 3.7% to 8.2%.

• Percent of probation dispositions increased from 16.7% to 18.1%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

• Time from offense to intake referral date was 33.7 days.

• Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.4 days.

• Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 57.0 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

• Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest(3.20), Secure Detention (1.89), and Petition (1.23).

• See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

• Pre-Dispositional Detention - 20.5 days versus 14.7 statewide

• Pending Placement - 17.2 days versus 32.4 statewide

• State-Operated Committed - 146.2 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	39.8%	41.1%	46.3%
White	54.4%	52.0%	47.8%
Hispanic/Other	5.7%	6.8%	5.9%
Sex			
Male	74.4%	73.9%	80.6%
Female	25.6%	26.1%	19.4%
Age			
11 and under	3.3%	3.0%	2.8%
12	3.7%	2.2%	3.7%
13	8.8%	7.2%	4.4%
14	16.9%	12.8%	12.9%
15	19.0%	20.6%	19.5%
16	22.6%	23.2%	25.2%
17	23.3%	27.7%	26.7%
18-20	2.4%	3.1%	4.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,584</b>	<b>1,347</b>	<b>845</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	20.0%	18.0%	13.0%	25.7%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.5%	1.5%	0.0%	5.7%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.6%	4.0%	2.9%	5.7%
Second Degree Assault	16.2%	12.5%	8.7%	11.4%
Sex Offense	1.8%	0.0%	1.4%	2.9%
Property Offenses	33.7%	29.5%	24.6%	31.4%
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.5%	3.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.6%	8.0%	1.4%	5.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.6%	3.5%	1.4%	8.6%
Tampering	0.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	15.7%	13.0%	18.8%	17.1%
Trespassing	2.6%	1.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	13.6%	18.5%	29.0%	17.1%
Narcotics Distribution	1.7%	3.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	11.9%	15.0%	27.5%	17.1%
Status Offenses	6.3%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.1%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	1.9%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	26.4%	29.0%	33.3%	25.7%
Bomb Threat	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	2.1%	3.5%	4.3%	2.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.3%	4.5%	2.9%	2.9%
False Report	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Harassment	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	6.8%	2.0%	17.4%	2.9%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.3%	6.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%	4.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	4.6%	6.5%	1.4%	17.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>35</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%
- Property	3.6%	7.2%	3.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.1%	7.2%	1.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.7%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>69.0%</b>	<b>60.8%</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>80.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	28.8%	35.1%	15.4%	13.3%
- Property	26.7%	14.4%	23.1%	30.0%
- Drugs	10.3%	11.3%	27.7%	16.7%
- Unspecified	3.2%	2.1%	3.1%	20.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>
Missing	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Admissions/ Dispositions</i>	845	97	65	30
<i>% of Statewide</i>	3.1%	1.7%	2.6%	2.9%

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Garrett County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Robert Peters

Located in Region III - 1 Office:

7000 Thayer Center, Oakland, MD 21550 (ph) 301-334-8608

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	11.1%	9.5%	8.7%
Police	83.4%	84.1%	81.5%
School Referrals*	3.7%	3.4%	6.2%
Violation of Probation**	1.8%	3.0%	3.6%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>275</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	34.3%	39.7%	29.8%
Informaled	41.3%	33.6%	29.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	24.4%	26.7%	40.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>275</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	16.1%	8.7%	6.1%
Continued/Stet	1.1%	3.3%	2.4%
Dismissed/Closed	37.6%	28.3%	23.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	5.4%	10.9%	11.0%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	2.2%	5.4%	0.0%
Probation	35.5%	35.9%	41.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.2%	7.6%	15.9%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>82</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	8	N/A	8
Pre-Court	20	N/A	20
Probation	32	N/A	32
VPI*	1	0	1
Aftercare	10	8	18

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

- *U.S. Census Data:*
  - Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 18.5% (from 3,093 to 2,520).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2013):*
  - 18.5% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):*
  - 29.8% of complaints were formaled while 29.8% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 16.1% to 6.1%.
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 35.5% to 41.5%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 12.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.1 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 41.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (9.97).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 18.6 days versus 14.7 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 15.1 days versus 32.4 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 152.1 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	19.6%	15.9%	27.3%
White	77.5%	84.1%	70.2%
Hispanic/Other	3.0%	0.0%	2.5%
Sex			
Male	72.0%	71.6%	82.2%
Female	28.0%	28.4%	17.8%
Age			
11 and under	4.8%	3.4%	3.3%
12	4.4%	3.4%	4.4%
13	5.5%	3.4%	7.3%
14	12.5%	8.6%	16.0%
15	18.1%	18.1%	22.9%
16	27.7%	27.6%	21.8%
17	25.1%	33.2%	23.3%
18-20	1.8%	2.2%	1.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>275</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	36.6%	28.6%	39.4%	20.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.6%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	32.4%	11.4%	33.3%	20.0%
Sex Offense	3.6%	14.3%	6.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	19.0%	34.3%	27.3%	60.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.0%	17.1%	0.0%	60.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.6%	8.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	7.3%	8.6%	24.2%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	6.7%	8.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.4%	8.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Status Offenses	21.5%	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	7.5%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	16.2%	22.9%	30.3%	20.0%
Bomb Threat	1.4%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.7%	0.0%	12.1%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.6%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.9%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%
False Report	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%	2.9%	12.1%	20.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.4%	8.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>358</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>5</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.5%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	5.0%	9.5%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	19.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>58.5%</b>	<b>60.0%</b>	<b>38.1%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	44.4%	40.0%	28.6%	20.0%
- Property	8.7%	10.0%	9.5%	20.0%
- Drugs	5.1%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Admissions/ Dispositions</i>	<i>275</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.0%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Washington County

Case Management Program Supervisors: Stan Brown and Rick Growden

Located in Region III - 1 Office:  
 44 N. Potomac St. Suite 300, Hagerstown, MD 21740 (ph) 301-791-7171

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	10.3%	10.7%	12.4%
Police	80.3%	80.7%	73.8%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	8.9%	8.1%	12.7%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.4%	0.4%	1.1%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>920</b>	<b>945</b>	<b>711</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	56.4%	66.0%	69.3%
Informaled	21.1%	14.8%	12.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	22.5%	19.2%	17.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>920</b>	<b>945</b>	<b>711</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	11.6%	7.1%	7.5%
Continued/Stet	13.9%	15.9%	13.8%
Dismissed/Closed	14.6%	18.9%	13.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.2%	0.0%	0.6%
Nolle Pros.	8.7%	6.9%	7.1%
Other**	7.3%	7.4%	9.3%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.8%	1.8%	5.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	7.3%	8.8%	6.5%
Probation	31.6%	31.2%	33.1%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	4.0%	2.1%	2.4%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>624</b>	<b>493</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	14	N/A	14
Pre-Court	18	N/A	18
Probation	87	N/A	87
VPI*	2	2	4
Aftercare	26	31	57

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 5.9% (from 12,320 to 13,050).
- **Complaint Source (FY 2013):**
  - 26.2% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- **Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):**
  - 69.3% of complaints were formaled while 12.8% were informaled.
- **Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):**
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 11.6% to 7.5%.
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 31.6% to 33.1%.
- **Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):**
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.0 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 10.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 53.6 days.
- **Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):**
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (4.75), Secure Detention (1.80), and Petition (1.28).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):**
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 23.2 days versus 14.7 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 20.8 days versus 32.4 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 106.5 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	39.3%	46.5%	43.2%
White	58.5%	50.5%	52.3%
Hispanic/Other	2.2%	3.1%	4.5%
Sex			
Male	76.1%	72.6%	74.5%
Female	23.9%	27.4%	25.5%
Age			
11 and under	4.1%	4.3%	4.5%
12	8.3%	4.6%	3.7%
13	10.9%	16.6%	11.1%
14	13.6%	16.4%	20.0%
15	15.9%	16.8%	19.1%
16	21.5%	19.0%	16.3%
17	22.5%	20.5%	23.5%
18-20	3.3%	1.7%	1.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>920</b>	<b>945</b>	<b>711</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	26.3%	30.7%	34.1%	42.9%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.8%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.6%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	21.9%	18.3%	29.5%	42.9%
Sex Offense	2.0%	6.2%	4.5%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.1%	28.3%	15.9%	31.4%
Arson	1.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	2.2%	0.0%	5.7%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	3.7%	4.3%	5.7%	2.9%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.4%	7.1%	2.3%	8.6%
Tampering	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	13.7%	10.9%	6.8%	14.3%
Trespassing	2.5%	2.5%	1.1%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	7.1%	7.5%	6.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.7%	0.6%	3.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.4%	6.8%	3.4%	0.0%
Status Offenses	3.4%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	32.1%	32.3%	43.2%	25.7%
Bomb Threat	0.5%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.0%	2.2%	4.5%	5.7%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	1.2%	4.5%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	13.0%	10.6%	12.5%	2.9%
False Report	0.3%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.2%	5.3%	9.1%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.8%	2.8%	0.0%	2.9%
Reckless Endangerment	0.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.6%	2.8%	1.1%	5.7%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	4.1%	3.4%	9.1%	8.6%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,073</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>35</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	4.6%	6.7%	4.0%	7.1%
- Drugs	1.1%	1.3%	4.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%	3.6%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>78.1%</b>	<b>64.4%</b>	<b>82.7%</b>	<b>85.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	46.0%	40.9%	49.3%	57.1%
- Property	25.6%	17.4%	14.7%	21.4%
- Drugs	4.2%	6.0%	4.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.3%	0.0%	14.7%	7.1%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Admissions/ Dispositions</i>	<i>711</i>	<i>149</i>	<i>75</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>3.0%</i>	<i>2.7%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Region IV-Eastern Shore Summary

Regional Director: Cory Fink

Counties of Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester

600 Dover Road, Suite 104

Easton, MD 21601

410-822-5010

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	1.3%	1.6%	2.1%
Police	96.5%	96.2%	95.1%
School Referrals*	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Violation of Probation**	1.8%	1.7%	2.4%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,564</b>	<b>4,314</b>	<b>3,581</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	35.0%	38.2%	37.3%
Informaled	21.3%	22.8%	23.1%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	43.6%	39.0%	39.6%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>4,564</b>	<b>4,314</b>	<b>3,581</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.4%	5.8%	8.7%
Continued/Stet	16.6%	16.0%	16.9%
Dismissed/Closed	23.5%	23.8%	24.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	5.7%	5.2%	3.1%
Nolle Pros.	2.8%	3.7%	2.2%
Other**	8.1%	9.4%	8.5%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.4%	0.1%	1.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	8.2%	7.2%	7.9%
Probation	22.1%	21.0%	19.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.3%	3.0%	2.7%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	3.9%	4.9%	3.4%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,599</b>	<b>1,646</b>	<b>1,335</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	86	N/A	86
Pre-Court	204	N/A	204
Probation	342	N/A	342
VPI*	12	17	29
Aftercare	149	106	255

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 2.7% (from 38,847 to 39,881).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 4.9% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 37.3% of complaints were formaled while 23.1% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 6.4% to 8.7%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 22.1% to 19.8%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 25.4 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.4 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 77.9 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Since one region can include counties that are vastly different in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate would mask variations at the county level.
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 16.9 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 25.6 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 144.1 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	40.6%	42.5%	42.7%
White	55.3%	53.2%	53.2%
Hispanic/Other	4.1%	4.3%	4.1%
Sex			
Male	70.4%	69.4%	70.9%
Female	29.6%	30.6%	29.1%
Age			
11 and under	4.0%	5.7%	5.5%
12	5.2%	5.2%	4.2%
13	7.6%	7.2%	7.9%
14	11.6%	12.4%	11.3%
15	16.5%	15.0%	15.2%
16	19.9%	20.0%	20.9%
17	32.3%	32.3%	32.3%
18-20	2.8%	2.3%	2.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,564</b>	<b>4,314</b>	<b>3,581</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	22.0%	32.3%	24.7%	33.5%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.6%	5.3%	0.3%	1.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.5%	2.4%	0.3%	4.0%
Second Degree Assault	19.2%	20.5%	20.4%	23.9%
Sex Offense	1.6%	3.8%	3.3%	4.5%
Property Offenses	26.7%	26.9%	30.4%	31.8%
Arson	1.0%	1.8%	1.0%	2.3%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	1.9%	0.7%	1.7%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.8%	5.0%	4.0%	2.8%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.6%	4.1%	9.7%	6.8%
Tampering	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	12.0%	11.9%	14.0%	16.5%
Trespassing	1.7%	2.3%	1.0%	1.7%
Drug Related Offenses	16.3%	12.0%	12.0%	8.5%
Narcotics Distribution	1.3%	1.9%	0.7%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	15.0%	10.1%	11.4%	8.5%
Status Offenses	15.2%	4.9%	0.7%	0.6%
Alcohol Violation	10.1%	0.8%	0.7%	0.6%
Runaway	1.8%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.5%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	19.7%	23.9%	32.1%	25.6%
Bomb Threat	0.3%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.5%	0.3%	1.7%	1.7%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	0.9%	2.0%	1.7%
Disturbing the Peace	7.5%	5.8%	12.0%	8.5%
False Report	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.6%
Harassment	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%	3.0%	7.4%	8.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.0%	4.3%	0.7%	0.6%
Reckless Endangerment	0.8%	3.1%	1.3%	1.1%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	2.2%	1.0%	1.1%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.5%	3.2%	4.7%	2.3%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>4,651</b>	<b>740</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>176</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	2.3%	1.8%	1.6%
- Property	2.0%	7.2%	1.1%	5.5%
- Drugs	1.6%	2.6%	0.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.9%	0.4%	0.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>65.5%</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>75.8%</b>	<b>71.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	30.5%	35.9%	31.0%	36.7%
- Property	21.4%	18.2%	27.8%	21.1%
- Drugs	12.4%	5.8%	11.4%	10.9%
- Unspecified	1.3%	2.6%	5.7%	2.3%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>3,581</i>	<i>429</i>	<i>281</i>	<i>128</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>13.0%</i>	<i>7.4%</i>	<i>11.3%</i>	<i>12.5%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Caroline County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Taneesha DeShields

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

317 Carter Ave. Suite 105, Denton, MD 21629 (ph) 410-819-6556

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.0%	1.6%	0.4%
Police	96.8%	96.9%	98.2%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.2%	1.6%	1.3%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>228</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	30.7%	34.8%	30.7%
Informaled	12.7%	19.9%	27.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	56.5%	45.3%	41.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>228</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	10.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Continued/Stet	10.3%	30.3%	17.1%
Dismissed/Closed	36.8%	37.1%	31.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	6.9%	5.6%	7.1%
Other**	12.6%	7.9%	21.4%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	18.4%	15.7%	18.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.1%	0.0%	2.9%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>70</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	9	N/A	9
Pre-Court	15	N/A	15
Probation	10	N/A	10
VPI*	1	0	1
Aftercare	2	5	7

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### • U.S. Census Data:

• Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 13.9% (from 3,335 to 2,872).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2013):

• 1.8% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

• 30.7% of complaints were formaled while 27.6% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

• Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 10.3% to 0.0%.

• Percent of probation dispositions increased from 18.4% to 18.6%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

• Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.4 days.

• Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.9 days.

• Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 67.8 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

• Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.35) and Secure Detention (1.91).

• See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

• Pre-Dispositional Detention - 9.3 days versus 14.7 statewide

• Pending Placement - 68.4 days versus 32.4 statewide

• State-Operated Committed - 211.9 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	25.1%	39.1%	18.9%
White	68.9%	55.1%	75.4%
Hispanic/Other	6.0%	5.9%	5.7%
Sex			
Male	70.0%	67.2%	66.2%
Female	30.0%	32.8%	33.8%
Age			
11 and under	3.5%	11.3%	4.8%
12	2.8%	4.3%	3.1%
13	8.1%	3.9%	4.8%
14	18.7%	14.1%	11.0%
15	16.3%	21.1%	23.7%
16	20.8%	20.7%	26.8%
17	26.9%	24.2%	23.2%
18-20	2.8%	0.4%	2.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>228</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	20.1%	15.0%	23.1%	100.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	19.5%	15.0%	23.1%	100.0%
Sex Offense	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	20.6%	40.0%	53.8%	0.0%
Arson	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	3.7%	5.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.5%	10.0%	30.8%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	6.2%	15.0%	15.4%	0.0%
Trespassing	2.3%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	15.5%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.7%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	13.8%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Status Offenses	16.4%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	5.6%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	7.9%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.8%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	27.4%	5.0%	23.1%	0.0%
Bomb Threat	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	17.8%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
False Report	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.5%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.4%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.1%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.6%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>62.3%</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	34.2%	25.0%	23.1%	100.0%
- Property	17.5%	16.7%	46.2%	0.0%
- Drugs	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Cecil County

Assistant Regional Director: Chris Dulik  
 Case Management Program Supervisor: Tyra Kenly

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.9%	0.5%	0.2%
Police	97.5%	99.1%	98.8%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.8%	0.0%	0.5%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.8%	0.4%	0.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>424</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	51.4%	55.2%	62.7%
Informaled	16.1%	19.0%	15.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	32.5%	25.8%	21.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>424</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.7%	4.1%	4.5%
Continued/Stet	8.1%	12.1%	15.8%
Dismissed/Closed	19.9%	22.0%	15.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	2.9%	4.1%	2.6%
Nolle Pros.	3.3%	1.9%	1.5%
Other**	4.0%	8.0%	5.6%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	2.6%	0.6%	4.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	14.0%	8.3%	16.9%
Probation	29.8%	36.9%	27.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	7.4%	1.9%	4.5%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>266</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	23	N/A	23
Pre-Court	16	N/A	16
Probation	84	N/A	84
VPI*	1	0	1
Aftercare	11	8	18

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

106 E. Main St. Suite 102, Elkton, MD 21921 (ph) 410-996-2800

#### • U.S. Census Data:

• Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 7.0% (from 9,448 to 8,787).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2013):

• 1.2% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

• 62.7% of complaints were formaled while 15.6% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

• Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 7.7% to 4.5%.

• Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 29.8% to 27.8%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

• Time from offense to intake referral date was 25.4 days.

• Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.1 days.

• Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 118.5 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

• Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest(2.71), Petition (1.32), and Juvenile Court (1.14).

• See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

• Pre-Dispositional Detention - 19.0 days versus 14.7 statewide

• Pending Placement - 23.6 days versus 32.4 statewide

• State-Operated Committed - 245.5 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	19.7%	29.9%	28.8%
White	76.4%	65.6%	68.2%
Hispanic/Other	4.0%	4.6%	3.1%
Sex			
Male	71.6%	69.1%	75.2%
Female	28.4%	30.9%	24.8%
Age			
11 and under	4.2%	3.3%	6.1%
12	6.4%	4.0%	4.0%
13	9.6%	7.2%	7.8%
14	11.3%	12.5%	17.5%
15	15.9%	15.6%	17.0%
16	21.4%	24.3%	19.8%
17	27.6%	30.8%	26.2%
18-20	3.6%	2.3%	1.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>424</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	34.9%	44.6%	30.6%	31.8%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.1%	5.4%	1.4%	4.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.5%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	30.6%	29.7%	22.2%	27.3%
Sex Offense	2.5%	6.8%	6.9%	0.0%
Property Offenses	32.2%	32.4%	27.8%	54.5%
Arson	1.6%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.6%	1.4%	0.0%	4.5%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.0%	4.1%	2.8%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.1%	6.8%	9.7%	9.1%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	16.3%	18.9%	13.9%	36.4%
Trespassing	1.6%	1.4%	0.0%	4.5%
Drug Related Offenses	11.3%	2.7%	11.1%	4.5%
Narcotics Distribution	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	9.3%	2.7%	11.1%	4.5%
Status Offenses	6.3%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	1.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	15.2%	17.6%	30.6%	9.1%
Bomb Threat	0.9%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.7%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.2%	4.1%	9.7%	9.1%
False Report	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	6.6%	8.1%	6.9%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.7%	2.7%	2.8%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	0.9%	2.7%	5.6%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>441</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>22</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.8%	6.8%	3.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.1%	5.1%	0.0%	8.3%
- Drugs	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>69.6%</b>	<b>62.7%</b>	<b>73.1%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	33.7%	37.3%	28.4%	41.7%
- Property	25.7%	20.3%	25.4%	33.3%
- Drugs	9.0%	3.4%	11.9%	8.3%
- Unspecified	1.2%	1.7%	7.5%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Admissions/ Dispositions</i>	<i>424</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.5%</i>	<i>1.0%</i>	<i>2.7%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Dorchester County

Acting Case Management Program Supervisor: Nicole Griffin-Winder

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:  
 310 Gay St., Cambridge, MD 21613 (ph) 410-228-6452

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	7.7%	12.7%	16.8%
Police	90.7%	83.5%	80.7%
School Referrals*	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.5%	1.8%	2.5%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>316</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	43.7%	35.9%	31.3%
Informaled	28.2%	26.6%	34.2%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	28.0%	37.5%	34.5%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>316</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	1.6%	6.5%	17.2%
Continued/Stet	14.1%	3.6%	17.2%
Dismissed/Closed	40.6%	39.6%	24.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	2.6%	0.7%	1.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	6.8%	16.5%	8.1%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	2.6%	3.6%	4.0%
Probation	27.1%	23.7%	22.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	4.7%	3.6%	6.1%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>99</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	4	N/A	4
Pre-Court	25	N/A	25
Probation	23	N/A	23
VPI*	2	3	5
Aftercare	5	11	16

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 3.1% (from 3,030 to 2,937).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 19.3% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 31.3% of complaints were formaled while 34.2% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 1.6% to 17.2%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 27.1% to 22.2%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 15.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 66.5 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.49).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 19.9 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 18.4 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 140.2 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.9%	56.1%	62.3%
White	33.5%	34.9%	33.5%
Hispanic/Other	3.6%	9.0%	4.1%
Sex			
Male	72.7%	75.5%	67.7%
Female	27.3%	24.5%	32.3%
Age			
11 and under	4.6%	11.1%	8.9%
12	7.1%	5.2%	4.4%
13	9.6%	7.0%	7.9%
14	14.8%	16.0%	12.3%
15	17.3%	16.5%	20.9%
16	20.0%	17.3%	25.3%
17	26.0%	25.1%	18.0%
18-20	0.7%	1.8%	2.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>316</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	30.8%	30.9%	47.6%	28.1%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.8%	5.9%	0.0%	3.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.5%	8.8%	4.8%	12.5%
Second Degree Assault	26.2%	16.2%	38.1%	12.5%
Sex Offense	2.3%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Property Offenses	24.5%	32.4%	23.8%	34.4%
Arson	2.3%	1.5%	0.0%	12.5%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	4.4%	4.8%	3.1%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.5%	8.8%	4.8%	3.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.8%	5.9%	0.0%	6.2%
Tampering	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	8.3%	10.3%	14.3%	6.2%
Trespassing	1.9%	1.5%	0.0%	3.1%
Drug Related Offenses	9.2%	13.2%	14.3%	6.2%
Narcotics Distribution	1.1%	4.4%	4.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	8.1%	8.8%	9.5%	6.2%
Status Offenses	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	28.7%	23.5%	14.3%	31.2%
Bomb Threat	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	14.5%	10.3%	4.8%	6.2%
False Report	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.5%	1.5%	0.0%	9.4%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.6%	5.9%	0.0%	3.1%
Reckless Endangerment	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%
Resisting Arrest	1.9%	2.9%	0.0%	3.1%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.7%	2.9%	9.5%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>32</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	3.6%	0.0%	5.9%
- Property	3.2%	17.9%	0.0%	11.8%
- Drugs	1.6%	7.1%	5.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.2%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>84.2%</b>	<b>58.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	48.7%	39.3%	47.4%	29.4%
- Property	16.1%	14.3%	21.1%	23.5%
- Drugs	5.4%	3.6%	10.5%	5.9%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Admissions/ Dispositions</i>	<i>316</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Kent County

Case Management Program Supervisor: William Clark

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

315 High St. Suite 202, Chestertown, MD 21620 (ph) 410-778-6103

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	1.9%	2.2%	2.0%
Police	90.2%	96.4%	87.2%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	7.9%	0.7%	10.8%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>148</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	29.0%	41.7%	43.2%
Informaled	7.0%	18.7%	14.2%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	64.0%	39.6%	42.6%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>148</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.1%	1.7%	7.8%
Continued/Stet	1.6%	0.0%	4.7%
Dismissed/Closed	30.6%	46.6%	21.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.6%	6.9%	6.2%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	22.6%	6.9%	29.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%
Petition Denied by SAO	8.1%	22.4%	3.1%
Probation	27.4%	15.5%	20.3%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>64</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	7	N/A	7
Pre-Court	8	N/A	8
Probation	8	N/A	8
VPI*	0	1	1
Aftercare	4	6	10

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 4.0% (from 1,701 to 1,770).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 12.8% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 43.2% of complaints were formaled while 14.2% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 8.1% to 7.8%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 27.4% to 20.3%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 16.7 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.2 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 67.5 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.85).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 11.1 days versus 14.7 statewide.
- Pending Placement - 13.0 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - There were no youth released from State-Operated Committed programs in FY 2013.

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	41.1%	46.0%	54.1%
White	55.1%	51.1%	43.9%
Hispanic/Other	3.7%	2.9%	2.0%
Sex			
Male	80.8%	84.2%	72.3%
Female	19.2%	15.8%	27.7%
Age			
11 and under	2.3%	4.3%	1.4%
12	0.0%	6.5%	1.4%
13	6.5%	10.1%	8.1%
14	12.1%	14.4%	10.1%
15	27.1%	16.5%	18.2%
16	26.6%	12.2%	15.5%
17	23.4%	34.5%	43.9%
18-20	1.9%	1.4%	1.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>148</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	21.1%	6.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	19.7%	6.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	23.5%	33.3%	45.5%	0.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.2%	6.7%	18.2%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.3%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	10.8%	26.7%	9.1%	0.0%
Trespassing	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	17.8%	20.0%	27.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	16.0%	20.0%	27.3%	0.0%
Status Offenses	11.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	25.8%	40.0%	27.3%	0.0%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.2%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
False Report	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.8%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	3.3%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>66.2%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	39.2%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%
- Property	14.9%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	11.5%	30.0%	33.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>148</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Queen Anne's County

Case Management Program Supervisor: K. Denise Whiteley

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

120 Broadway, Suite 9, Centreville, MD 21617 (ph) 410-819-4180

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.3%	0.4%	1.4%
Police	99.1%	98.0%	94.2%
School Referrals*	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.3%	1.2%	4.3%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>207</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	33.4%	28.9%	24.2%
Informaled	7.2%	4.3%	7.2%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	59.4%	66.8%	68.6%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>207</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	0.9%	0.0%	8.0%
Continued/Stet	29.0%	20.3%	18.0%
Dismissed/Closed	27.1%	35.1%	14.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	3.7%	0.0%	4.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Other**	11.2%	13.5%	10.0%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	6.5%	4.1%	20.0%
Probation	15.9%	21.6%	18.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	4.7%	4.1%	8.0%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>50</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	1	N/A	1
Pre-Court	2	N/A	2
Probation	20	N/A	20
VPI*	0	1	1
Aftercare	5	7	11

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 2.7% (from 4,079 to 4,190).
- **Complaint Source (FY 2013):**
  - 5.8% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- **Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):**
  - 24.2% of complaints were formaled while 7.2% were informaled.
- **Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):**
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 0.9% to 8.0%.
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 15.9% to 18.0%.
- **Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):**
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.1 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 44.1 days.
- **Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):**
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Secure Detention (2.89), Arrest (2.27), and Petition (1.61).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):**
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 18.9 days versus 14.7 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 14.0 days versus 32.4 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 215.7 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	18.1%	22.7%	21.3%
White	81.6%	76.2%	77.3%
Hispanic/Other	0.3%	1.2%	1.4%
Sex			
Male	68.4%	73.0%	80.2%
Female	31.6%	27.0%	19.8%
Age			
11 and under	2.5%	3.1%	1.0%
12	1.9%	2.3%	5.8%
13	1.9%	3.9%	2.9%
14	11.6%	9.4%	5.8%
15	21.9%	18.0%	16.9%
16	27.2%	23.0%	23.7%
17	31.6%	35.9%	40.1%
18-20	1.6%	4.3%	3.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>207</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	17.5%	15.4%	20.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	16.2%	15.4%	13.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.7%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Property Offenses	26.5%	46.2%	26.7%	20.0%
Arson	3.8%	19.2%	13.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	2.4%	7.7%	6.7%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.6%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	8.9%	15.4%	6.7%	20.0%
Trespassing	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	19.9%	15.4%	20.0%	60.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	3.8%	6.7%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	19.9%	11.5%	13.3%	60.0%
Status Offenses	18.9%	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	12.4%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	4.1%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	17.2%	11.5%	33.3%	20.0%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.3%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	8.6%	11.5%	6.7%	0.0%
False Report	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.3%	0.0%	6.7%	20.0%
Telephone Misuse	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
- Property	1.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>68.6%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	27.5%	22.2%	35.7%	20.0%
- Property	23.7%	27.8%	7.1%	20.0%
- Drugs	16.9%	0.0%	7.1%	60.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>207</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Somerset County

Acting Case Management Program Supervisor: Sheila Warner

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

12155 Elm St. Suite B, Princess Anne, MD 21853 (ph) 410-845-4680

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	99.2%	95.5%	97.7%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.4%	2.0%	1.8%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	2.0%	0.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>220</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	42.6%	51.0%	60.9%
Informaled	24.2%	24.5%	16.4%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	33.2%	24.5%	22.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>220</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	3.8%	6.9%	4.5%
Continued/Stet	22.1%	40.2%	24.6%
Dismissed/Closed	37.5%	23.5%	61.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	8.7%	3.9%	1.5%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	6.9%	1.5%
Other**	7.7%	11.8%	2.2%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	2.0%	0.7%
Probation	17.3%	2.9%	2.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	2.9%	1.0%	0.7%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>134</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	2	N/A	2
Pre-Court	12	N/A	12
Probation	4	N/A	4
VPI*	3	2	5
Aftercare	9	7	16

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 32.9% (from 1,833 to 2,436).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 2.3% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 60.9% of complaints were formaled while 16.4% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 3.8% to 4.5%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 17.3% to 2.2%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 75.8 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.2 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 54.0 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Secure Detention (2.09) and Arrest (1.75).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 14.6 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 22.4 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 119.2 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.2%	64.5%	72.3%
White	32.0%	33.0%	25.0%
Hispanic/Other	0.8%	2.5%	2.7%
Sex			
Male	73.0%	76.0%	80.5%
Female	27.0%	24.0%	19.5%
Age			
11 and under	9.0%	15.5%	12.3%
12	4.5%	7.5%	4.1%
13	9.4%	7.0%	22.7%
14	6.1%	16.5%	24.1%
15	24.2%	11.0%	11.8%
16	20.5%	22.0%	7.7%
17	23.4%	20.0%	15.5%
18-20	2.9%	0.5%	1.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>220</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	17.1%	37.9%	0.0%	18.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.6%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.3%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	14.9%	17.2%	0.0%	18.2%
Sex Offense	1.4%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	63.1%	25.0%	33.3%	45.5%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	18.7%	10.3%	16.7%	18.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	26.2%	1.7%	0.0%	18.2%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	13.5%	6.0%	16.7%	9.1%
Trespassing	4.4%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	4.7%	12.9%	0.0%	9.1%
Narcotics Distribution	0.8%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.9%	12.1%	0.0%	9.1%
Status Offenses	3.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.8%	22.4%	66.7%	27.3%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.3%	2.6%	33.3%	18.2%
False Report	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.6%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.7%	1.7%	33.3%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.3%	5.6%	0.0%	11.1%
- Drugs	0.9%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>55.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	25.5%	27.8%	0.0%	22.2%
- Property	45.5%	16.7%	33.3%	22.2%
- Drugs	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Admissions/ Dispositions</i>	220	36	6	9
<i>% of Statewide</i>	0.8%	0.6%	0.2%	0.9%

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Talbot County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Timothy Haynes

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

600 Dover Rd. Suite 104, Easton, MD 21601 (ph) 410-822-5010

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.7%	0.4%	0.5%
Police	97.8%	97.0%	95.6%
School Referrals*	1.5%	0.9%	3.4%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>203</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	24.3%	26.5%	32.0%
Informaled	27.9%	32.1%	30.5%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	47.8%	41.5%	37.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>203</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	10.6%	17.7%	11.1%
Continued/Stet	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed/Closed	45.5%	45.2%	39.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	4.8%	9.5%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
Petition Denied by SAO	7.6%	6.5%	7.9%
Probation	27.3%	24.2%	27.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	6.1%	0.0%	3.2%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>65</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	8	N/A	8
Pre-Court	10	N/A	10
Probation	17	N/A	17
VPI*	0	0	0
Aftercare	4	8	12

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### • U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 9.9% (from 3,024 to 3,323).

#### • Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 4.4% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### • Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 31.9% of complaints were formaled while 30.9% were informaled.

#### • Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 10.6% to 11.1%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 27.3% to 27.0%.

#### • Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 35.8 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 47.4 days.

#### • Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.07).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### • Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 10.5 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 25.3 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - There were no youth released from State-Operated Committed programs in FY 2013.

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	31.6%	31.2%	35.0%
White	61.0%	59.4%	58.6%
Hispanic/Other	7.4%	9.4%	6.4%
Sex			
Male	67.6%	67.5%	70.4%
Female	32.4%	32.5%	29.6%
Age			
11 and under	2.6%	2.6%	1.5%
12	4.0%	2.6%	4.4%
13	5.5%	5.6%	5.9%
14	13.2%	11.1%	8.9%
15	15.4%	12.4%	17.2%
16	19.9%	25.2%	22.2%
17	35.3%	36.3%	33.5%
18-20	4.0%	4.3%	6.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>203</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	25.2%	34.4%	10.5%	50.0%
Child Abuse	0.3%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.6%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	16.2%	15.6%	0.0%	33.3%
Sex Offense	7.3%	15.6%	5.3%	16.7%
Property Offenses	28.7%	18.8%	31.6%	16.7%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.1%	9.4%	5.3%	8.3%
Tampering	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	15.6%	9.4%	26.3%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	12.4%	3.1%	15.8%	8.3%
Narcotics Distribution	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	11.8%	3.1%	15.8%	8.3%
Status Offenses	9.6%	9.4%	5.3%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	5.4%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Runaway	1.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.9%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	24.2%	34.4%	36.8%	25.0%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.4%	3.1%	31.6%	0.0%
False Report	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	8.6%	3.1%	0.0%	16.7%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.0%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.6%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.9%	15.6%	0.0%	8.3%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>12</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	0.0%	5.9%	12.5%
- Property	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>76.5%</b>	<b>62.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	30.5%	41.7%	29.4%	50.0%
- Property	30.0%	25.0%	29.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	10.3%	8.3%	17.6%	12.5%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Admissions/ Dispositions</i>	<i>203</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Wicomico County

Assistant Regional Director: Jennifer Wimbrow-Jenkins  
 Case Management Program Supervisor: Chris Miele

201 Baptist St. Room 1134, Suite 21, Salisbury, MD 21801(ph) 410-713-3800  
*Located in Region IV - 1 Office:*

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.2%	0.3%	0.5%
Police	96.6%	95.8%	96.0%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	2.9%	3.6%	3.3%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,311</b>	<b>1,235</b>	<b>949</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers  
 \*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	40.0%	45.4%	42.4%
Informaled	20.7%	22.0%	26.9%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.3%	32.6%	30.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,311</b>	<b>1,235</b>	<b>949</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.6%	8.0%	13.4%
Continued/Stet	26.7%	24.2%	25.6%
Dismissed/Closed	7.8%	7.7%	12.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	6.1%	5.9%	3.0%
Nolle Pros.	5.7%	7.3%	4.5%
Other**	9.5%	10.0%	7.5%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Petition Denied by SAO	12.8%	10.9%	8.5%
Probation	16.6%	12.8%	16.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.1%	3.2%	2.2%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	5.1%	10.0%	6.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>525</b>	<b>561</b>	<b>402</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
 \*\* Includes interstate courtesies, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	14	N/A	14
Pre-Court	69	N/A	69
Probation	114	N/A	114
VPI*	6	8	14
Aftercare	98	46	145

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

- *U.S. Census Data:*
  - Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 7.1% (from 8,456 to 9,055).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2013):*
  - 4.0% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):*
  - 42.2% of complaints were formaled while 26.9% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 7.6% to 13.4%.
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 16.6% to 16.4%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.8 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 26.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 70.2 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (4.19), Secure Detention (2.10), and Petition (1.17).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 18.1 days versus 14.7 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 28.5 days versus 32.4 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 141.1 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	64.0%	67.0%	66.2%
White	31.7%	29.6%	30.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.3%	3.4%	3.7%
Sex			
Male	69.2%	62.3%	65.4%
Female	30.8%	37.7%	34.6%
Age			
11 and under	6.1%	7.4%	8.7%
12	9.8%	10.0%	7.7%
13	11.3%	13.0%	12.0%
14	13.9%	16.0%	14.2%
15	17.2%	17.4%	15.5%
16	17.6%	16.3%	20.1%
17	22.7%	19.1%	20.7%
18-20	1.3%	0.9%	1.1%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,311</b>	<b>1,235</b>	<b>949</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	32.0%	37.6%	28.6%	36.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.9%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%	3.8%
Second Degree Assault	29.4%	26.6%	27.6%	26.2%
Sex Offense	1.3%	3.3%	1.0%	6.2%
Property Offenses	27.8%	24.5%	24.5%	27.5%
Arson	0.7%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	1.1%	1.0%	1.2%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	1.7%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.6%	4.4%	6.1%	6.2%
Tampering	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	19.4%	10.6%	17.3%	18.8%
Trespassing	0.7%	2.2%	0.0%	1.2%
Drug Related Offenses	9.6%	10.9%	7.1%	8.8%
Narcotics Distribution	1.6%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	8.0%	9.5%	7.1%	8.8%
Status Offenses	12.4%	6.2%	1.0%	1.2%
Alcohol Violation	4.6%	1.1%	1.0%	1.2%
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	4.1%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	3.6%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	18.1%	20.8%	38.8%	26.2%
Bomb Threat	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.2%	0.0%	1.0%	1.2%
Deadly Weapon	2.6%	1.8%	5.1%	3.8%
Disturbing the Peace	7.4%	6.2%	15.3%	10.0%
False Report	0.1%	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	1.2%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	0.4%	8.2%	7.5%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.3%	3.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.6%	2.9%	2.0%	1.2%
Resisting Arrest	0.6%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.3%	2.9%	5.1%	1.2%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,021</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>80</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	2.1%	1.1%	0.0%
- Property	2.1%	3.6%	1.1%	4.8%
- Drugs	1.6%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	2.1%	0.0%	1.6%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>74.4%</b>	<b>71.0%</b>	<b>76.6%</b>	<b>73.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	39.4%	42.0%	37.2%	38.1%
- Property	25.3%	19.7%	25.5%	22.2%
- Drugs	7.7%	6.7%	7.4%	11.1%
- Unspecified	2.0%	2.6%	6.4%	1.6%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>949</i>	<i>193</i>	<i>94</i>	<i>63</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.4%</i>	<i>3.3%</i>	<i>3.8%</i>	<i>6.2%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Worcester County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Spencer Tracy

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:

301 C Commerce St., Snow Hill, MD 21863 (ph) 410-632-0206

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	1.1%	0.5%	0.9%
Police	98.0%	98.9%	97.5%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.9%	0.6%	1.4%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>952</b>	<b>1,038</b>	<b>886</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	19.3%	23.8%	20.9%
Informaled	29.8%	27.9%	22.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	50.8%	48.3%	56.4%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>952</b>	<b>1,038</b>	<b>886</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.5%	3.2%	5.9%
Continued/Stet	6.5%	0.8%	3.8%
Dismissed/Closed	28.8%	34.8%	35.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	15.8%	12.1%	7.6%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	5.4%	5.7%	7.0%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.6%	1.6%	2.2%
Probation	26.1%	27.1%	25.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.3%	9.3%	6.5%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	6.0%	5.3%	4.3%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>185</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	17	N/A	17
Pre-Court	45	N/A	45
Probation	61	N/A	61
VPI*	0	1	2
Aftercare	12	8	20

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 14.5% (from 3,941 to 4,511).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 2.5% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 20.9% of complaints were formaled while 22.7% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 6.5% to 5.9%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 26.1% to 25.4%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 19.4 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 25.5 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 68.1 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in Petitions (2.10), Transfers to Adult Court (1.69), and Secure Detention (1.57).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 13.5 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 17.0 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 123.2 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	17.6%	18.6%	21.0%
White	77.5%	78.1%	73.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.8%	3.3%	5.4%
Sex			
Male	69.1%	72.4%	72.5%
Female	30.9%	27.6%	27.5%
Age			
11 and under	0.8%	1.1%	1.8%
12	0.6%	1.1%	0.7%
13	2.8%	2.0%	2.1%
14	6.0%	6.2%	3.8%
15	9.9%	10.1%	9.1%
16	18.0%	21.8%	22.6%
17	56.3%	53.8%	55.3%
18-20	5.6%	4.0%	4.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>952</b>	<b>1,038</b>	<b>886</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	6.4%	18.0%	13.6%	27.3%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.4%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	5.2%	12.0%	11.4%	18.2%
Sex Offense	0.5%	1.0%	2.3%	9.1%
Property Offenses	14.9%	21.0%	40.9%	27.3%
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	3.2%	1.0%	9.1%	9.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.7%	1.0%	20.5%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	6.8%	13.0%	4.5%	18.2%
Trespassing	1.6%	1.0%	6.8%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	31.7%	18.0%	20.5%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.3%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	30.3%	15.0%	20.5%	0.0%
Status Offenses	30.1%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	27.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.8%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	16.9%	38.0%	25.0%	45.5%
Bomb Threat	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.6%	7.0%	6.8%	9.1%
False Report	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%	13.0%	11.4%	18.2%
Other <sup>+</sup>	4.4%	3.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.0%	3.0%	4.5%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.6%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.0%	5.0%	0.0%	18.2%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,124</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>11</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.1%	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.7%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>48.4%</b>	<b>55.7%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>63.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	12.4%	26.2%	26.2%	27.3%
- Property	10.5%	13.1%	38.1%	18.2%
- Drugs	24.0%	8.2%	19.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.5%	8.2%	0.0%	18.2%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>37.1%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>886</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.2%</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>1.1%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Region V-Southern Summary

Regional Director: Douglas Mohler  
 Counties of Anne Arundel, Calvert, Charles, and St. Mary's

49 Old Solomons Island Rd., Suite 300  
 Annapolis, MD 21401  
 410-295-5740

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Police	97.0%	95.9%	95.9%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	2.3%	3.4%	3.6%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.6%	0.6%	0.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,647</b>	<b>5,867</b>	<b>4,746</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers  
 \*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	33.5%	38.6%	39.1%
Informaled	20.4%	19.8%	20.9%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	46.1%	41.6%	39.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>6,647</b>	<b>5,867</b>	<b>4,746</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.9%	7.6%	10.8%
Continued/Stet	13.2%	14.5%	13.2%
Dismissed/Closed	15.2%	22.2%	15.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Nolle Pros.	1.3%	1.2%	1.6%
Other**	21.6%	17.9%	13.2%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	1.8%	2.7%	7.4%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.6%	4.2%	5.2%
Probation	27.8%	26.9%	29.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	4.2%	2.0%	1.8%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.9%	0.7%	1.5%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>2,227</b>	<b>2,263</b>	<b>1,856</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
 \*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	227	N/A	227
Pre-Court	228	N/A	228
Probation	523	N/A	523
VPI*	75	35	110
Aftercare	127	128	255

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes.

- *U.S. Census Data:*
  - Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 0.2% (from 80,441 to 80,580).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2013):*
  - 4.1% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):*
  - 39.1% of complaints were formaled while 20.9% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 7.9% to 10.8%.
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 27.8% to 29.6%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 25.7 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.2 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 77.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):*
  - Since one region can include counties that are vastly different in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate would mask variations at the county level.
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 8.9 days versus 14.7 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 27.1 days versus 32.4 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 153.2 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	49.8%	51.8%	51.6%
White	45.5%	43.3%	43.4%
Hispanic/Other	4.7%	4.9%	5.0%
Sex			
Male	69.2%	70.8%	68.9%
Female	30.8%	29.2%	31.1%
Age			
11 and under	3.7%	4.1%	3.6%
12	4.8%	4.1%	4.3%
13	7.9%	7.5%	7.8%
14	12.6%	14.4%	13.7%
15	18.8%	19.0%	19.0%
16	22.8%	21.6%	22.1%
17	26.8%	27.2%	27.1%
18-20	2.7%	2.1%	2.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>6,647</b>	<b>5,867</b>	<b>4,746</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	21.5%	20.7%	24.9%	27.8%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.9%	2.4%	0.4%	1.6%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%
Murder	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%
Robbery	1.3%	2.9%	1.0%	3.6%
Second Degree Assault	17.8%	13.3%	21.2%	16.9%
Sex Offense	1.3%	1.6%	2.3%	4.8%
Property Offenses	34.7%	41.1%	34.2%	39.1%
Arson	1.1%	2.4%	1.2%	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.3%	1.2%	1.4%	0.8%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.9%	9.9%	5.6%	6.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.3%	7.4%	5.6%	8.1%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	18.6%	16.5%	16.9%	21.0%
Trespassing	3.4%	3.5%	3.5%	2.4%
Drug Related Offenses	16.2%	16.7%	20.0%	12.9%
Narcotics Distribution	1.5%	2.1%	1.2%	1.2%
Narcotics Possession	14.7%	14.6%	18.7%	11.7%
Status Offenses	4.3%	2.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.2%	1.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Runaway	0.8%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.3%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	23.3%	19.0%	20.6%	20.2%
Bomb Threat	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.3%	0.7%	3.3%	3.6%
Deadly Weapon	2.4%	1.6%	1.0%	2.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.9%	3.5%	4.7%	5.2%
False Report	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%
Harassment	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.4%	2.6%	4.9%	3.6%
Other <sup>+</sup>	1.2%	1.7%	1.0%	0.8%
Reckless Endangerment	1.0%	1.0%	0.2%	0.4%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%	1.3%	1.0%	1.2%
Telephone Misuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	5.6%	4.7%	2.9%	1.6%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>7,165</b>	<b>1,157</b>	<b>486</b>	<b>248</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.3%	0.7%	1.0%	1.8%
- Property	2.1%	4.6%	1.8%	4.7%
- Drugs	1.9%	3.7%	1.2%	2.3%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.2%	3.0%	1.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>78.4%</b>	<b>62.7%</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>71.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.1%	26.2%	28.5%	29.8%
- Property	31.7%	23.6%	29.0%	26.3%
- Drugs	12.3%	11.2%	20.2%	13.5%
- Unspecified	3.2%	1.8%	2.2%	2.3%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>4.7%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>4,746</i>	<i>569</i>	<i>400</i>	<i>171</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>17.3%</i>	<i>9.8%</i>	<i>16.0%</i>	<i>16.7%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Anne Arundel County

Asst. Regional Director: Kimberly Atkinson (Annapolis) 49 Old Solomons Island Rd. Suite 300, Annapolis, MD 21401 (ph) 410-295-5740  
 Case Management Program Supervisor: Seamus Conlan 7500 Ritchie Hwy. Suite 306, Glen Burnie, MD 21061 (ph) 410-412-7115  
 (Glen Burnie)

Located in Region V - 2 Offices:

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%
Police	97.1%	95.3%	94.5%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	2.0%	3.8%	5.0%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.8%	0.6%	0.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,969</b>	<b>3,493</b>	<b>2,717</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	35.5%	40.4%	41.3%
Informed	13.0%	14.6%	18.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	51.5%	45.0%	40.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>3,969</b>	<b>3,493</b>	<b>2,717</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	9.3%	8.7%	13.9%
Continued/Stet	11.7%	11.8%	12.2%
Dismissed/Closed	15.4%	23.2%	18.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.8%	0.0%	0.1%
Nolle Pros.	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	22.0%	20.3%	15.5%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	2.9%	4.3%	11.1%
Petition Denied by SAO	5.2%	4.0%	3.3%
Probation	27.6%	25.4%	23.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.4%	1.9%	1.3%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,408</b>	<b>1,411</b>	<b>1,122</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	189	N/A	189
Pre-Court	124	N/A	124
Probation	265	N/A	265
VPI*	45	26	71
Aftercare	66	81	148

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 2.1% (from 47,858 to 48,847).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 5.5% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 41.3% of complaints were formaled while 18.0% were informed.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 9.3% to 13.9%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 27.6% to 23.9%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.6 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.4 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 83.6 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.78), Secure Detention (1.55), and Petition (1.18).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 9.8 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 28.9 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 142.9 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	47.8%	48.3%	47.2%
White	46.1%	44.5%	46.0%
Hispanic/Other	6.1%	7.1%	6.8%
Sex			
Male	68.5%	70.6%	70.0%
Female	31.5%	29.4%	30.0%
Age			
11 and under	3.7%	4.4%	3.6%
12	4.9%	4.1%	4.8%
13	8.3%	7.8%	7.4%
14	13.2%	14.6%	13.6%
15	18.7%	19.0%	19.2%
16	22.9%	21.5%	21.8%
17	25.6%	26.3%	27.7%
18-20	2.6%	2.3%	1.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>3,969</b>	<b>3,493</b>	<b>2,717</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	21.7%	18.7%	26.6%	27.5%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.8%	2.6%	0.4%	1.8%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Murder	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%
Robbery	1.7%	2.5%	1.2%	3.5%
Second Degree Assault	17.4%	11.0%	21.6%	15.2%
Sex Offense	1.6%	2.2%	3.3%	5.8%
Property Offenses	36.2%	45.5%	37.3%	43.3%
Arson	1.3%	3.8%	1.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.3%	0.6%	1.2%	1.2%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.0%	12.6%	7.5%	8.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.6%	7.5%	5.4%	8.8%
Tampering	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	19.5%	16.1%	19.9%	22.2%
Trespassing	4.5%	5.0%	1.7%	2.9%
Drug Related Offenses	15.6%	13.6%	19.5%	11.1%
Narcotics Distribution	1.8%	2.5%	0.8%	1.2%
Narcotics Possession	13.8%	11.1%	18.7%	9.9%
Status Offenses	3.1%	2.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.8%	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	23.4%	19.9%	15.8%	18.1%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.2%	0.4%	1.7%	3.5%
Deadly Weapon	2.7%	1.9%	1.2%	1.8%
Disturbing the Peace	6.2%	2.5%	5.0%	5.8%
False Report	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%	0.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.4%	2.8%	5.0%	2.9%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.5%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.6%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	1.2%	0.8%	1.2%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	6.6%	6.1%	1.7%	1.2%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>4,154</b>	<b>684</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>171</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>†</sup></b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.3%	0.6%	1.6%	2.5%
- Property	2.5%	4.6%	3.1%	6.8%
- Drugs	2.3%	4.3%	0.5%	2.5%
- Unspecified	0.1%	0.0%	2.1%	1.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>79.2%</b>	<b>58.9%</b>	<b>77.7%</b>	<b>66.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.2%	24.5%	30.6%	27.1%
- Property	32.8%	22.7%	27.5%	26.3%
- Drugs	11.6%	8.9%	18.7%	11.9%
- Unspecified	3.5%	2.8%	1.0%	1.7%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,717</i>	<i>326</i>	<i>193</i>	<i>118</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>9.9%</i>	<i>5.6%</i>	<i>7.7%</i>	<i>11.5%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Calvert County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Kimberly Atkinson

200 Duke St. Suite 2800, Prince Frederick, MD 20678 (ph) 443-550-6275  
*Located in Region V - 1 Office:*

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	99.7%	99.3%	99.4%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>538</b>	<b>472</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers  
 \*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	27.8%	29.2%	25.6%
Informaled	30.7%	29.6%	31.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	41.5%	41.3%	42.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>538</b>	<b>472</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	2.3%	2.5%	4.1%
Continued/Stet	29.1%	21.7%	16.5%
Dismissed/Closed	9.3%	6.4%	6.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	6.4%	10.2%	4.1%
Other**	20.3%	19.1%	9.9%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	0.6%	4.1%
Probation	23.8%	29.3%	43.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	7.6%	7.6%	5.8%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.6%	5.8%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>121</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions  
 \*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation  
<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	7	N/A	7
Pre-Court	33	N/A	33
Probation	76	N/A	76
VPI*	3	0	4
Aftercare	15	12	27

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

- *U.S. Census Data:*
  - Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) decreased 13.9% (from 9,178 to 7,901).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2013):*
  - 0.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):*
  - 25.6% of complaints were formaled while 31.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 2.3% to 4.1%.
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 23.8% to 43.0%.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 15.5 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 25.0 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 80.6 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrests (1.68).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 8.4 days versus 14.7 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 18.5 days versus 32.4 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 100.1 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	25.4%	26.2%	22.5%
White	73.5%	70.8%	73.3%
Hispanic/Other	1.1%	3.0%	4.2%
Sex			
Male	69.8%	69.3%	67.4%
Female	30.2%	30.7%	32.6%
Age			
11 and under	2.1%	3.2%	0.4%
12	3.4%	4.6%	3.2%
13	6.3%	10.0%	5.3%
14	11.6%	16.7%	12.3%
15	21.3%	19.1%	17.2%
16	24.9%	19.7%	28.0%
17	29.1%	25.7%	32.4%
18-20	1.3%	0.9%	1.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>538</b>	<b>472</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	16.0%	12.5%	24.5%	9.1%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	15.0%	11.4%	20.4%	9.1%
Sex Offense	0.7%	1.1%	4.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	19.3%	40.9%	30.6%	36.4%
Arson	1.0%	2.3%	4.1%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	1.7%	5.7%	2.0%	9.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.9%	9.1%	6.1%	18.2%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	9.9%	21.6%	12.2%	0.0%
Trespassing	1.4%	1.1%	6.1%	9.1%
Drug Related Offenses	25.6%	21.6%	18.4%	27.3%
Narcotics Distribution	0.6%	2.3%	4.1%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	25.1%	19.3%	14.3%	27.3%
Status Offenses	16.9%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	8.5%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	6.4%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	22.1%	18.2%	26.5%	27.3%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.3%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Disturbing the Peace	6.4%	8.0%	8.2%	0.0%
False Report	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.2%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	4.4%	2.3%	6.1%	9.1%
Reckless Endangerment	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.3%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.6%	8.0%	4.1%	9.1%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>698</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>11</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
- Property	1.7%	2.2%	2.2%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.1%	4.3%	4.4%	9.1%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>68.6%</b>	<b>71.7%</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>81.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	26.9%	21.7%	24.4%	18.2%
- Property	17.8%	37.0%	31.1%	27.3%
- Drugs	23.7%	13.0%	20.0%	27.3%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	2.2%	9.1%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Admissions/ Dispositions</i>	<i>472</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>1.8%</i>	<i>1.1%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Charles County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Mary Ann Kellstrom-Stewart

Located in Region V - 1 Office:  
200 Kent Ave., La Plata, MD 20646 (ph) 301-392-6900

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	95.7%	96.9%	98.3%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.7%	2.6%	1.2%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,423</b>	<b>1,078</b>	<b>967</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	32.7%	32.6%	33.2%
Informaled	31.8%	26.6%	18.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	35.5%	40.8%	48.1%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>1,423</b>	<b>1,078</b>	<b>967</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.7%	4.6%	5.9%
Continued/Stet	11.2%	21.9%	17.8%
Dismissed/Closed	18.7%	12.0%	8.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.6%	0.3%	0.6%
Nolle Pros.	0.9%	0.6%	1.2%
Other**	21.2%	18.8%	14.6%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.3%	3.1%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.3%	4.6%	3.1%
Probation	30.5%	32.5%	36.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	6.2%	1.7%	2.8%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	2.8%	2.8%	6.2%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>321</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	17	N/A	17
Pre-Court	29	N/A	29
Probation	100	N/A	100
VPI*	15	4	19
Aftercare	30	24	54

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 1.3% (from 13,989 to 14,176).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 1.7% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 33.2% of complaints were formaled while 18.7% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 6.7% to 5.9%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 30.5% to 36.4%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 35.5 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 66.7 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrests (3.06) and Delinquent Findings (1.41).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 8.0 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 21.5 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 163.6 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.6%	76.9%	77.0%
White	28.0%	21.7%	21.1%
Hispanic/Other	2.5%	1.4%	1.9%
Sex			
Male	70.1%	70.2%	64.0%
Female	29.9%	29.8%	36.0%
Age			
11 and under	3.3%	3.4%	4.2%
12	4.3%	3.9%	3.7%
13	6.7%	5.7%	10.0%
14	11.6%	13.6%	13.0%
15	19.2%	19.4%	20.5%
16	21.4%	21.6%	21.3%
17	29.8%	29.7%	24.2%
18-20	3.7%	2.7%	3.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>1,423</b>	<b>1,078</b>	<b>967</b>



## OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	23.5%	25.7%	24.6%	27.3%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.4%	6.5%	0.9%	2.3%
Second Degree Assault	19.1%	15.0%	21.9%	22.7%
Sex Offense	1.3%	0.9%	0.9%	2.3%
Property Offenses	36.6%	38.8%	33.3%	31.8%
Arson	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	2.8%	2.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.1%	8.4%	4.4%	2.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.9%	6.1%	7.9%	2.3%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	21.2%	19.2%	15.8%	25.0%
Trespassing	2.1%	2.3%	2.6%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	15.2%	18.7%	17.5%	20.5%
Narcotics Distribution	1.2%	1.4%	0.9%	2.3%
Narcotics Possession	13.9%	17.3%	16.7%	18.2%
Status Offenses	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	23.3%	15.4%	24.6%	20.5%
Bomb Threat	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.6%	2.3%	8.8%	4.5%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	0.9%	1.8%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.1%	2.8%	1.8%	4.5%
False Report	0.6%	1.4%	0.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.1%	3.7%	5.3%	6.8%
Other <sup>+</sup>	0.8%	0.9%	1.8%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.7%	1.4%	0.9%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.9%	1.4%	0.0%	2.3%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	6.0%	0.0%	3.5%	2.3%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>1,452</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>44</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

## OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>28.7%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.6%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.6%	3.0%	1.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	1.0%	5.9%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>81.2%</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>82.4%</b>	<b>88.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.3%	20.8%	28.2%	40.0%
- Property	33.8%	22.8%	30.6%	24.0%
- Drugs	10.5%	10.9%	21.2%	20.0%
- Unspecified	5.5%	0.0%	2.4%	4.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>967</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>85</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.5%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>3.4%</i>	<i>2.4%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

## AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



## DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## St. Mary's County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Daniel Schaidt

23110 Leonard Hall Dr. Suite 1040, Leonardtown MD 20650 (ph) 301-880-2850  
 Located in Region V - 1 Office:

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	96.5%	94.7%	95.4%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.1%	4.7%	3.6%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.3%	0.5%	1.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>636</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>590</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	28.5%	45.4%	49.5%
Informaled	30.8%	26.9%	29.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.7%	27.7%	20.8%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>636</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>590</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.1%	8.4%	6.8%
Continued/Stet	14.9%	14.8%	10.6%
Dismissed/Closed	10.5%	35.5%	16.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	7.2%	2.3%	6.8%
Other**	20.4%	6.7%	4.1%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	13.3%	6.1%	15.1%
Probation	26.0%	26.2%	38.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.7%	0.0%	0.7%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>292</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	14	N/A	14
Pre-Court	42	N/A	42
Probation	81	N/A	81
VPI*	12	4	16
Aftercare	16	11	26

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 2.5% (from 9,416 to 9,656).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 4.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 49.5% of complaints were formaled while 29.7% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 6.1% to 6.8%.
- Percent of probation dispositions increased from 26.0% to 38.4%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 32.0 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 63.6 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrests (3.75), Secure Detention (2.35), and Delinquent Findings (1.24).
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 6.8 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 19.7 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 181.8 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	42.1%	50.3%	53.4%
White	53.9%	48.4%	43.9%
Hispanic/Other	3.9%	1.3%	2.7%
Sex			
Male	71.4%	73.6%	72.9%
Female	28.6%	26.4%	27.1%
Age			
11 and under	6.0%	4.4%	4.9%
12	6.6%	4.4%	3.9%
13	9.1%	6.7%	8.0%
14	11.5%	12.9%	15.9%
15	15.6%	17.9%	17.1%
16	23.6%	23.2%	20.7%
17	25.2%	29.2%	24.9%
18-20	2.5%	1.3%	4.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>636</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>590</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	21.4%	26.3%	20.7%	40.9%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.5%	2.9%	0.0%	4.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.3%	1.2%	1.2%	9.1%
Second Degree Assault	20.0%	21.6%	19.5%	22.7%
Sex Offense	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	4.5%
Property Offenses	36.6%	26.3%	28.0%	22.7%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	1.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.7%	3.5%	3.7%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.8%	8.2%	2.4%	9.1%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	17.1%	12.3%	12.2%	13.6%
Trespassing	1.7%	0.6%	8.5%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	12.9%	24.0%	25.6%	4.5%
Narcotics Distribution	1.0%	1.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	11.8%	22.8%	24.4%	4.5%
Status Offenses	5.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	24.2%	20.5%	25.6%	31.8%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	1.8%	0.0%	4.5%
Disturbing the Peace	9.9%	5.8%	6.1%	4.5%
False Report	0.7%	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	1.8%	2.4%	4.5%
Harassment	0.3%	2.3%	0.0%	4.5%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%	1.8%	6.1%	4.5%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.8%	1.2%	0.0%	4.5%
Reckless Endangerment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	2.3%	3.7%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.8%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.3%	2.9%	4.9%	0.0%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>861</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>22</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeit and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.5%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	2.1%	1.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>80.2%</b>	<b>84.4%</b>	<b>76.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	33.6%	39.6%	26.0%	41.2%
- Property	34.2%	20.8%	29.9%	29.4%
- Drugs	9.2%	18.8%	23.4%	5.9%
- Unspecified	0.7%	1.0%	5.2%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>590</i>	<i>96</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.1%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>3.1%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Region VI-Metro Summary

Regional Director: Delmonica Hawkins  
 Counties of Montgomery and Prince George's

14735 Main Street, Suite MO400  
 Upper Marlboro, MD 20772  
 301-952-2580

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	7.9%	6.4%	4.8%
Police	89.8%	90.9%	89.4%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Violation of Probation**	1.5%	1.7%	4.5%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.8%	0.9%	1.3%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>7,210</b>	<b>7,298</b>	<b>5,507</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	40.9%	41.1%	48.2%
Informaled	18.7%	18.2%	19.2%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.4%	40.7%	32.6%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>7,210</b>	<b>7,298</b>	<b>5,507</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	9.4%	11.1%	14.7%
Continued/Stet	4.2%	5.5%	15.5%
Dismissed/Closed	34.8%	36.6%	26.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.6%	0.5%	0.3%
Nolle Pros.	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	9.6%	9.2%	8.4%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.6%	0.9%	5.6%
Petition Denied by SAO	3.0%	1.3%	1.8%
Probation	20.7%	22.3%	17.7%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.1%	1.1%	0.6%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	14.9%	11.4%	9.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>2,947</b>	<b>2,999</b>	<b>2,655</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	121	N/A	121
Pre-Court	270	N/A	270
Probation	738	N/A	738
VPI*	257	123	379
Aftercare	289	150	440

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 6.9% (from 164,426 to 175,748).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 10.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 48.2% of complaints were formaled while 19.2% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 9.4% to 14.7%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 20.7% to 17.7%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 44.5 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 27.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 77.4 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Since one region can include counties that are vastly different in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate would mask variations at the county level.
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 18.8 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 34.1 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 138.6 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	70.8%	70.5%	68.3%
White	11.2%	12.4%	13.7%
Hispanic/Other	18.0%	17.1%	18.0%
Sex			
Male	76.0%	75.8%	76.4%
Female	24.0%	24.2%	23.6%
Age			
11 and under	1.6%	1.9%	1.7%
12	2.4%	2.5%	2.3%
13	4.2%	4.9%	5.4%
14	11.6%	11.7%	11.6%
15	20.2%	20.3%	20.5%
16	25.9%	25.3%	23.3%
17	30.3%	29.4%	29.9%
18-20	3.9%	4.1%	5.2%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>7,210</b>	<b>7,298</b>	<b>5,507</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	20.5%	30.5%	24.4%	39.1%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%
First Degree Assault	1.2%	3.8%	0.4%	1.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Murder	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	4.8%	11.0%	3.2%	15.3%
Second Degree Assault	13.1%	13.9%	17.5%	17.7%
Sex Offense	1.4%	1.4%	3.4%	3.9%
Property Offenses	31.6%	36.2%	35.2%	29.0%
Arson	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.3%	2.5%	4.9%	2.4%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.0%	8.7%	11.1%	7.6%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%
Malicious Destruction	4.1%	4.6%	2.7%	2.4%
Tampering	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
Theft	14.8%	17.0%	12.9%	14.6%
Trespassing	3.9%	2.6%	3.0%	0.9%
Drug Related Offenses	12.8%	6.8%	9.4%	5.5%
Narcotics Distribution	1.2%	1.2%	0.9%	0.2%
Narcotics Possession	11.6%	5.6%	8.5%	5.2%
Status Offenses	10.4%	6.1%	0.9%	0.2%
Alcohol Violation	3.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%
Runaway	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.8%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Truancy	2.4%	1.4%	0.2%	0.0%
Unmanageable	3.6%	3.5%	0.4%	0.2%
Uncategorized Offenses	24.7%	20.4%	30.2%	26.2%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	2.8%	2.3%	14.8%	15.1%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	1.3%	1.2%	0.4%
Disturbing the Peace	6.0%	5.1%	3.0%	2.6%
False Report	0.5%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.8%	0.5%	0.9%
Harassment	0.5%	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.6%	1.1%	2.5%	1.3%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.9%	1.7%	0.9%	2.8%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	0.8%	0.2%	0.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	1.4%	1.2%	0.2%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	5.5%	4.9%	4.2%	2.4%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>9,304</b>	<b>3,304</b>	<b>566</b>	<b>458</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>†</sup></b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>28.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.0%	1.7%	1.9%
- Property	2.9%	6.6%	4.6%	2.9%
- Drugs	1.8%	2.0%	1.1%	0.3%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.1%	6.9%	11.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>70.7%</b>	<b>51.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	24.3%	24.3%	26.3%	25.7%
- Property	24.4%	16.7%	25.7%	18.1%
- Drugs	10.3%	5.5%	9.7%	4.8%
- Unspecified	2.8%	2.1%	9.1%	3.2%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>
Missing	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Admissions/ Dispositions</i>	<i>5,507</i>	<i>1,576</i>	<i>475</i>	<i>315</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>20.0%</i>	<i>27.0%</i>	<i>19.0%</i>	<i>30.8%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Montgomery County

Case Management Specialist Supervisors: Eric Gaines, Sean Murphy, George Proctor, and Mariam Veppumthara (Acting) (Rockville); Doug Powell (Silver Spring)

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	3.5%	2.5%	1.3%
Police	92.8%	93.4%	94.4%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.2%	3.4%	3.3%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.5%	0.7%	1.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,825</b>	<b>2,808</b>	<b>2,440</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	46.8%	45.4%	43.4%
Informaled	27.6%	27.5%	25.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	25.6%	27.1%	30.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>2,825</b>	<b>2,808</b>	<b>2,440</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	9.6%	10.0%	12.7%
Continued/Stet	2.0%	2.0%	5.5%
Dismissed/Closed	38.0%	34.8%	29.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.1%	0.6%	0.2%
Nolle Pros.	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	9.9%	12.5%	13.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.8%	0.3%	6.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	6.6%	2.9%	4.5%
Probation	27.7%	32.9%	24.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.7%	2.0%	1.5%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	2.6%	2.0%	1.5%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,323</b>	<b>1,274</b>	<b>1,060</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Data Resource Guide

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	43	N/A	43
Pre-Court	159	N/A	159
Probation	241	N/A	241
VPI*	48	20	69
Aftercare	78	55	133

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Located in Region VI - 2 Offices:

7300 Calhoun Pl. Suite 500, Rockville, MD 20850 (ph) 301- 610-8500  
 8905 Fairview Rd. 6th Floor, Silver Spring, MD 20910 (ph) 301- 650-6750

- **U.S. Census Data:**
  - Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 5.7% (from 84,893 to 89,763).
- **Complaint Source (FY 2013):**
  - 5.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- **Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):**
  - 43.4% of complaints were formaled while 25.7% were informaled.
- **Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):**
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 9.6% to 12.7%.
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 27.7% to 24.2%.
- **Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):**
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 43.1 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 81.9 days.
- **Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):**
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Secure Detention (3.53), Petitions (2.54), and Arrests (1.67)
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- **Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):**
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 22.4 days versus 14.7 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 28.1 days versus 32.4 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 126.1 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	49.2%	45.7%	46.6%
White	23.3%	26.4%	26.1%
Hispanic/Other	27.5%	27.9%	27.3%
Sex			
Male	77.0%	76.7%	75.0%
Female	23.0%	23.3%	25.0%
Age			
11 and under	1.9%	1.4%	1.8%
12	2.8%	2.1%	2.0%
13	4.4%	4.0%	4.9%
14	10.8%	10.0%	9.8%
15	19.1%	18.8%	18.8%
16	22.8%	25.2%	22.2%
17	32.7%	34.1%	34.7%
18-20	5.6%	4.4%	5.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>2,825</b>	<b>2,808</b>	<b>2,440</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	15.1%	27.5%	24.0%	30.6%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.9%	2.5%	0.9%	1.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Murder	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	4.2%	12.7%	6.9%	11.2%
Second Degree Assault	8.4%	10.0%	12.4%	12.7%
Sex Offense	1.6%	2.1%	3.9%	4.5%
Property Offenses	29.5%	37.5%	30.9%	26.9%
Arson	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%	0.7%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.6%	3.2%	6.0%	3.7%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.9%	8.2%	10.3%	9.7%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.7%	3.6%	0.4%	0.7%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	15.8%	20.3%	11.6%	11.2%
Trespassing	1.9%	1.4%	2.1%	0.7%
Drug Related Offenses	20.3%	10.0%	9.0%	12.7%
Narcotics Distribution	1.6%	1.4%	0.4%	0.7%
Narcotics Possession	18.6%	8.6%	8.6%	11.9%
Status Offenses	9.7%	3.6%	0.9%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	7.8%	1.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.4%	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Truancy	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ungovernable	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	25.4%	21.4%	35.2%	29.9%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	5.7%	7.1%	23.6%	17.2%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	1.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.7%	3.8%	2.6%	3.7%
False Report	0.7%	1.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	1.5%
Harassment	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.7%	1.4%	2.6%	3.0%
Other <sup>+</sup>	3.9%	0.7%	0.4%	2.2%
Reckless Endangerment	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.7%	1.6%	0.9%	0.0%
Telephone Misuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.3%	2.6%	3.0%	2.2%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>3,839</b>	<b>730</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>134</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>†</sup></b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>40.1%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	0.3%	4.1%	2.4%
- Property	3.0%	7.2%	3.5%	3.6%
- Drugs	2.3%	2.2%	0.6%	1.2%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	11.6%	8.4%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>55.0%</b>	<b>43.1%</b>	<b>61.0%</b>	<b>54.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	17.0%	18.0%	20.9%	22.9%
- Property	20.7%	17.4%	20.9%	13.3%
- Drugs	15.4%	6.4%	11.0%	13.3%
- Unspecified	1.9%	1.4%	8.1%	4.8%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>
Missing	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,440</i>	<i>362</i>	<i>172</i>	<i>83</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>8.9%</i>	<i>6.2%</i>	<i>6.9%</i>	<i>8.1%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## Prince George's County

Case Management Specialist Supervisors: Ronald Barnes and Andrea McKeon and Rukaiyah Tyler (Largo); Martin Johnson, Leslie Shell, and Katherine Ball (Upper Marlboro)

14735 Main St. Suite M0400, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (ph) 301-952-2580  
 99 Commerce Pl. 2nd Floor, Largo, MD 20774 (ph) 301-333-3310

*Located in Region VI - 2 Offices:*

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	10.8%	8.9%	7.6%
Police	87.8%	89.3%	85.4%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%
Violation of Probation**	0.4%	0.6%	5.4%
Waiver from Adult Court	1.0%	1.1%	1.4%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,385</b>	<b>4,490</b>	<b>3,067</b>

\* Includes School Resource Officers

\*\* Includes only technical violations

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	37.0%	38.4%	52.0%
Informaled	13.0%	12.3%	14.1%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	50.0%	49.2%	33.9%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>4,385</b>	<b>4,490</b>	<b>3,067</b>
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	9.3%	11.9%	16.0%
Continued/Stet	5.9%	8.1%	22.1%
Dismissed/Closed	32.3%	38.0%	24.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	2.1%	0.3%	0.4%
Nolle Pros.	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	9.4%	6.7%	4.8%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.6%	1.3%	4.7%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Probation	15.0%	14.5%	13.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.6%	0.5%	0.1%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	24.9%	18.4%	14.0%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>1,624</b>	<b>1,725</b>	<b>1,595</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesies, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment

rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	78	N/A	78
Pre-Court	111	N/A	111
Probation	497	N/A	497
VPI*	208	102	310
Aftercare	211	95	306

\* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

#### U.S. Census Data:

- Between calendar years 2000 and 2012, the juvenile population (ages 11-17) increased 8.1% (from 79,533 to 85,985).

#### Complaint Source (FY 2013):

- 14.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.

#### Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2013):

- 52.0% of complaints were formaled while 14.1% were informaled.

#### Intake Trends (FY 2011-2013):

- Percent of committed dispositions increased from 9.3% to 16.0%.
- Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 15.0% to 13.4%.

#### Time Frames - Averages (FY 2013):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 45.7 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 30.4 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 73.1 days.

#### Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2012):

- Youth of color are most over-represented in Arrests (2.09), Secure Detention (1.65), Petitions (1.30), and.
- See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.

#### Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):

- Pre-Dispositional Detention - 17.7 days versus 14.7 statewide
- Pending Placement - 36.9 days versus 32.4 statewide
- State-Operated Committed - 147.1 days versus 136.1 statewide

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	84.8%	86.1%	85.5%
White	3.3%	3.7%	3.9%
Hispanic/Other	11.9%	10.3%	10.6%
Sex			
Male	75.5%	75.3%	77.6%
Female	24.5%	24.7%	22.4%
Age			
11 and under	1.3%	2.2%	1.6%
12	2.2%	2.7%	2.6%
13	4.1%	5.4%	5.9%
14	12.1%	12.7%	13.0%
15	20.9%	21.3%	21.9%
16	27.9%	25.4%	24.1%
17	28.7%	26.5%	26.2%
18-20	2.7%	3.9%	4.6%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>4,385</b>	<b>4,490</b>	<b>3,067</b>



### OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
Person-to-Person	24.3%	31.3%	24.6%	42.6%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%
First Degree Assault	1.4%	4.2%	0.0%	1.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Murder	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	5.2%	10.5%	0.6%	17.0%
Second Degree Assault	16.4%	15.0%	21.0%	19.8%
Sex Offense	1.2%	1.2%	3.0%	3.7%
Property Offenses	33.0%	35.9%	38.1%	29.9%
Arson	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.0%	2.3%	4.2%	1.9%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.8%	8.8%	11.7%	6.8%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%
Malicious Destruction	4.4%	4.9%	4.2%	3.1%
Tampering	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%
Theft	14.0%	16.1%	13.8%	16.0%
Trespassing	5.3%	3.0%	3.6%	0.9%
Drug Related Offenses	7.5%	5.9%	9.6%	2.5%
Narcotics Distribution	0.9%	1.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.6%	4.7%	8.4%	2.5%
Status Offenses	10.9%	6.8%	0.9%	0.3%
Alcohol Violation	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Runaway	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Truancy	4.1%	1.7%	0.3%	0.0%
Ungovernable	5.8%	4.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Uncategorized Offenses	24.2%	20.1%	26.7%	24.7%
Bomb Threat	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.8%	1.0%	8.7%	14.2%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	1.2%	1.8%	0.6%
Disturbing the Peace	7.6%	5.4%	3.3%	2.2%
False Report	0.4%	0.7%	1.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	1.0%	0.6%	0.6%
Harassment	0.8%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.1%	1.0%	2.4%	0.6%
Other <sup>+</sup>	2.2%	1.9%	1.2%	3.1%
Reckless Endangerment	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.3%
Resisting Arrest	1.9%	1.3%	1.5%	0.3%
Telephone Misuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	7.0%	5.5%	5.1%	2.5%
<b>Total Offenses</b>	<b>5,465</b>	<b>2,574</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>324</b>


\* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed  
<sup>+</sup> Includes: counterfeiting and/or uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks violation, forgery, tampering, loitering, unspecified felony, and verbal threat-extortion.

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013


Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>†</sup></b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>35.0%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>29.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.2%	0.3%	1.7%
- Property	2.9%	6.4%	5.3%	2.6%
- Drugs	1.4%	1.9%	1.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.2%	4.3%	12.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>67.1%</b>	<b>50.2%</b>	<b>76.2%</b>	<b>50.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	30.1%	26.2%	29.4%	26.7%
- Property	27.3%	16.5%	28.4%	19.8%
- Drugs	6.2%	5.3%	8.9%	1.7%
- Unspecified	3.6%	2.3%	9.6%	2.6%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Admissions/Dispositions</i>	<i>3,067</i>	<i>1,214</i>	<i>303</i>	<i>232</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>11.1%</i>	<i>20.8%</i>	<i>12.1%</i>	<i>22.7%</i>

\* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.  
<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses  
<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

### AVERAGE LOS, FY 2013 RELEASES



### DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2013 RELEASES\*



\* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## County/Regional Trends

### INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY COUNTY/REGION FY 2011-2013

County/ Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention Placements*			ADP			Post-D Pending Placement Placements*			ADP**		
	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY11	FY12	FY13
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	4,881	4,419	3,977	2,243	2,291	2,155	75.5	82.7	64.3	619	452	282	88.7	62.8	30.9
Baltimore City	4,881	4,419	3,977	2,243	2,291	2,155	75.5	82.7	64.3	619	452	282	88.7	62.8	30.9
<b>R-II-Central</b>	9,029	7,936	7,230	731	687	703	41.4	36.1	33.1	189	224	191	21.7	18.8	13.8
Baltimore Co.	5,530	4,810	4,533	497	438	477	30.7	24.2	22.5	138	155	113	18.2	13.1	8.6
Carroll	752	780	634	71	85	54	5.0	4.2	2.7	16	25	26	1.2	1.8	1.5
Harford	1,320	1,064	922	111	113	130	3.9	4.8	6.5	25	31	33	1.7	2.3	2.4
Howard	1,427	1,282	1,141	52	51	42	1.8	2.8	1.3	10	13	19	0.6	1.6	1.3
<b>R-III-Western</b>	3,540	3,172	2,469	297	346	312	18.9	19.6	18.1	69	53	63	6.9	4.5	3.6
Allegany	765	648	638	51	52	46	2.1	2.0	2.3	7	11	11	0.9	0.7	1.1
Frederick	1,584	1,347	845	98	111	97	4.8	5.8	5.3	23	19	20	1.6	1.4	0.8
Garrett	271	232	275	8	17	20	0.7	1.2	1.0	4	6	9	0.5	0.4	0.4
Washington	920	945	711	140	166	149	11.2	10.6	9.6	35	17	23	4.0	2.0	1.3
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	4,564	4,314	3,581	386	438	429	22.1	26.1	19.7	131	148	113	9.6	10.0	8.4
Caroline	283	256	228	16	18	12	1.2	0.9	0.3	5	6	1	0.2	0.2	0.3
Cecil	529	569	424	55	77	59	4.2	4.0	3.4	17	13	6	1.5	0.7	0.4
Dorchester	439	387	316	36	21	28	1.5	1.2	1.5	11	15	12	0.6	0.6	0.7
Kent	214	139	148	11	6	10	0.7	0.2	0.3	4	1	1	0.4	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	320	256	207	12	13	18	0.9	1.2	0.8	3	6	3	0.1	0.2	0.1
Somerset	244	200	220	40	33	36	2.3	2.7	1.4	9	9	9	0.8	1.0	0.4
Talbot	272	234	203	13	12	12	0.5	0.5	0.3	2	2	3	0.3	0.2	0.1
Wicomico	1,311	1,235	949	156	192	193	8.4	12.7	9.3	67	88	67	4.4	6.5	5.9
Worcester	952	1,038	886	47	66	61	2.4	2.9	2.2	13	8	11	1.4	0.6	0.4
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	6,647	5,867	4,746	612	611	569	17.6	20.4	14.9	198	181	195	22.7	15.1	14.2
Anne Arundel	3,969	3,493	2,717	285	323	326	10.0	10.9	8.8	131	125	150	16.2	11.5	11.8
Calvert	619	538	472	70	58	46	1.1	2.0	1.3	9	12	7	0.5	0.8	0.4
Charles	1,423	1,078	967	158	116	101	4.2	3.7	2.8	45	31	22	5.3	2.1	1.2
St. Mary's	636	758	590	99	114	96	2.3	3.8	1.9	13	13	16	0.7	0.7	0.9
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	7,210	7,298	5,507	1,700	1,610	1,576	77.0	76.7	82.1	418	439	412	47.8	55.3	38.2
Montgomery	2,825	2,808	2,440	448	445	362	22.9	21.2	22.4	123	90	132	10.7	8.3	10.4
Prince George's	4,385	4,490	3,067	1,252	1,165	1,214	54.0	55.5	59.6	295	349	280	37.0	47.0	27.7
<b>Statewide</b>	35,871	33,006	27,510	6,046	6,030	5,790	255.7	264.0	234.5	1,627	1,501	1,265	198.3	167.6	110.4

\* Includes youth from out-of-state; \*\* ADP includes Ejection ADP

• Between FY 2011 and FY 2013:

- Intake Complaints decreased 23.3%
- Pre-Dispositional Detention Placements decreased 4.2% and ADP decreased 8.3%
- Post-Dispositional Pending Placements decreased 22.2% and ADP decreased 44.3%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



**EJECTION\* (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) AND FIRST TIME PROBATION DISPOSITIONS AND AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD BY COUNTY/REGION FY 2011-2013**

County/ Region	Ejection Placement						First Time Probation Dispositions			Average Supervised Caseload**		
	Placements			ADP			FY11	FY12	FY13	FY11	FY12	FY13
	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY11	FY12	FY13
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	N/A	84	87	N/A	14.6	10.4	749	556	518	1,656	1,192	1,039
Baltimore City	N/A	84	87	N/A	14.6	10.4	749	556	518	1,656	1,192	1,039
<b>R-II-Central</b>	N/A	38	38	N/A	4.2	3.9	808	725	633	1,108	949	843
Baltimore Co.	N/A	24	24	N/A	2.9	2.7	493	411	340	728	586	507
Carroll	N/A	9	6	N/A	0.7	0.6	100	55	68	112	98	77
Harford	N/A	3	4	N/A	0.2	0.4	101	147	114	135	143	137
Howard	N/A	2	4	N/A	0.5	0.2	114	112	111	132	122	122
<b>R-III-Western</b>	N/A	12	11	N/A	1.3	0.8	209	244	189	400	355	325
Allegany	N/A	2	3	N/A	0.2	0.4	44	40	28	77	70	62
Frederick	N/A	1	3	N/A	0.2	0.1	63	55	65	124	101	105
Garrett	N/A	0	3	N/A	0.0	0.2	24	28	21	40	39	43
Washington	N/A	9	2	N/A	0.9	0.1	78	121	75	159	145	115
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	N/A	31	20	N/A	3.2	2.6	288	294	281	487	471	505
Caroline	N/A	3	0	N/A	0.1	0.2	16	13	13	23	20	14
Cecil	N/A	4	0	N/A	0.3	0.0	75	72	67	100	83	89
Dorchester	N/A	1	1	N/A	0.0	0.0	35	33	19	36	44	29
Kent	N/A	0	0	N/A	0.0	0.0	6	4	9	20	14	16
Queen Anne's	N/A	1	1	N/A	0.1	0.1	19	20	14	32	34	27
Somerset	N/A	3	3	N/A	0.7	0.2	11	6	6	19	20	17
Talbot	N/A	1	1	N/A	0.0	0.1	14	16	17	34	22	21
Wicomico	N/A	18	14	N/A	2.0	2.1	84	73	94	164	182	219
Worcester	N/A	0	0	N/A	0.0	0.0	28	57	42	58	54	73
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	N/A	28	41	N/A	2.9	4.5	431	474	400	889	793	725
Anne Arundel	N/A	18	33	N/A	2.1	3.8	232	255	193	461	433	371
Calvert	N/A	3	0	N/A	0.3	0.0	57	52	45	122	97	96
Charles	N/A	5	4	N/A	0.2	0.4	96	96	85	210	165	150
St. Mary's	N/A	2	4	N/A	0.4	0.3	46	71	77	96	98	107
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	N/A	93	74	N/A	14.9	9.9	687	599	475	1,520	1,357	1,295
Montgomery	N/A	24	27	N/A	2.5	2.8	257	225	172	472	377	368
Prince George's	N/A	69	47	N/A	12.3	7.1	430	374	303	1,046	979	926
<b>Statewide</b>	N/A	289	272	N/A	41.9	32.3	3,172	2,892	2,496	6,040	5,092	4,710

\* Data is unavailable for FY 2011. Data includes youth from out-of-state. \*\*Includes all probation, aftercare, and VPI cases not in committed placements.

- Between FY 2012 and FY 2013, Ejection Placements decreased 5.9% and ADP decreased 22.9%.
- Between FY 2011 and FY 2013:
  - First Time Probation Dispositions decreased 21.3%
  - Average Supervised Caseload decreased 22.0%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.



## County/Regional Trends (cont.)

### COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS, PLACEMENTS, AND ADP\* BY COUNTY/REGION FY 2011-2013

County/ Region	Committed								
	First Time Comm Dispositions			Placements			ADP		
	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY11	FY12	FY13
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>358</b>	<b>244.8</b>	<b>210.4</b>	<b>180.8</b>
Baltimore City	263	204	168	439	410	358	244.8	210.4	180.8
<b>R-II-Central</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>116.4</b>	<b>131.0</b>	<b>120.0</b>
Baltimore Co.	99	126	93	141	147	139	74.3	79.4	64.5
Carroll	29	29	13	49	56	36	22.0	27.2	21.4
Harford	21	37	34	23	37	43	11.7	14.6	21.0
Howard	18	32	27	19	22	32	8.4	9.8	13.0
<b>R-III-Western</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>80.1</b>	<b>86.6</b>	<b>84.9</b>
Allegany	17	13	11	38	27	27	15.4	19.5	16.0
Frederick	24	38	30	40	57	50	23.8	25.7	28.2
Garrett	8	7	5	10	10	12	6.2	7.5	7.5
Washington	29	20	28	59	61	53	34.6	33.8	33.2
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>100.6</b>	<b>113.0</b>	<b>120.6</b>
Caroline	7	3	2	13	7	7	7.3	3.7	3.5
Cecil	13	9	12	29	17	16	14.6	15.8	14.0
Dorchester	8	13	17	10	12	27	4.7	5.2	15.4
Kent	4	1	1	6	3	5	5.9	1.1	2.9
Queen Anne's	5	4	5	10	11	10	8.0	6.7	5.1
Somerset	7	9	9	8	9	13	5.4	5.8	7.5
Talbot	6	5	8	8	10	12	3.4	5.3	7.9
Wicomico	74	81	63	90	95	99	39.1	57.7	54.4
Worcester	12	9	11	19	11	17	12.3	11.7	9.9
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>122.9</b>	<b>138.2</b>	<b>162.9</b>
Anne Arundel	94	95	118	136	167	222	66.4	82.0	112.5
Calvert	17	17	11	22	21	15	11.4	16.5	10.9
Charles	21	27	25	51	48	36	28.7	22.6	23.1
St. Mary's	21	21	17	39	42	37	16.3	17.1	16.4
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>315</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>459</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>248.0</b>	<b>254.2</b>	<b>262.0</b>
Montgomery	96	69	83	199	146	156	102.6	78.0	75.2
Prince George's	240	250	232	250	313	313	145.4	176.2	186.8
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>1,133</b>	<b>1,119</b>	<b>1,023</b>	<b>1,751</b>	<b>1,780</b>	<b>1,770</b>	<b>932.5</b>	<b>959.1</b>	<b>951.4</b>

\*"Disposition" counts all youth newly committed to the Department, not all of which end up placed into committed out of home placements. Those that are placed are reflected in the "Placements" and "ADP" figures. Includes youth from out-of-state.


- Between FY 2011 and FY 2013:
  - First Time Committed Dispositions decreased 9.7%
  - Committed Placements increased 1.1%
  - ADP increased 2.0%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.




## Long-Term Trends

### JUVENILE COMPLAINTS FY 2004-2013




- Juvenile complaints decreased 48.3% between FY 2004 and FY 2013 and 16.7% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

### JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY SEX FY 2004-2013



- Juvenile complaints decreased for both sexes between FY 2004 and FY 2013 though the percent reduction was greater for males than females (50.3% for males and 42.0% for females).

### JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY RACE FY 2004-2013




- Between FY 2004 and FY 2013, juvenile complaints decreased for all races: Blacks (43.0%), Whites (59.1%), and youth of other races (2.1%).

Data Sources: FY 2004-2012 data from revised ASSIST run in October 2013; FY 2013 data was run for this Guide. Updates made subsequent to data being run are not included.




## Long-Term Trends (cont.)

### PERCENT CHANGE IN JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY COMPLAINT TYPE FY 2004-2013




- Between FY 2004 and FY 2013, there was an overall decrease in all complaint types. The largest decrease was in Non-Violent Felonies (72.5%).

### PROPORTION OF JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY COMPLAINT TYPE FY 2004-2013



- Although the proportion of juvenile complaints in each category remained relatively stable between FY 2004 and FY 2013, the proportion of Crimes of Violence and Misdemeanors increased slightly and the proportion of Non-Violent Felonies and Other offenses decreased.


### DJS CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS FY 2004-2013



- Authorized formal petitions have consistently comprised the highest number of case forwarding decisions. Between FY 2004 and FY 2013, authorized formal petitions decreased 36.6%, informals decreased 64.6%, and the number of cases being resolved or dismissed decreased 50.4%.  
 Data Sources: FY 2004-2012 data from revised ASSIST run in October 2013; FY 2013 data was run for this Guide. Updates made subsequent to data being run are not included.




### COURT DISPOSITIONS OF PETITIONED CASES FY 2004-2013




• Probation dispositions have consistently been higher than committed dispositions. Between FY 2004 and FY 2013, probation dispositions have decreased 38.6% and committed dispositions decreased 34.3%.

### PERCENT CHANGE IN PRE-DISPOSITION DETENTION PLACEMENTS BY COMPLAINT TYPE FY 2004-2013



• Pre-disposition detention placements preceded by a Crime of Violence or Misdemeanor increased by 49.1% and 49.2%, respectively. Pre-disposition detention placements preceded by a Non-Violent Felony or Other offense decreased by 39.7% and 7.1% respectively. *Note that the most recent offense preceding the detention placement may not be the reason for detention.*

### PROPORTION OF PRE-DISPOSITION DETENTION PLACEMENTS BY COMPLAINT TYPE FY 2004-2013




• Between FY 2004 and FY 2013, the proportion of pre-dispositional detention placements for Non-Violent Felonies decreased from 34.4% to 18.0% and Misdemeanors increased from 38.7% to 50.1%.

Data Sources: FY 2004-2012 data from revised ASSIST run in October 2013; FY 2013 data was run for this Guide. Updates made subsequent to data being run are not included.




## Long-Term Trends (cont.)

### DETAINED AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2004-2013



- Between FY 2004 and FY 2013, ADP for the total detained population decreased 25.3%. Pre-Disposition ADP has consistently been higher than ADP for Pending Placement and both groups saw a decrease between FY 2004 and FY 2013 (19.2% decrease for Pre-Disposition and 35.7% for Pending Placement).

### COMMITTED RESIDENTIAL AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2004-2013



- Between FY 2004 and FY 2013, ADP for the total committed population decreased 38.7%. ADP decreased 46.4% for Private In-State facilities and 38.1% for DJS-Operated facilities while ADP for Private Out-of-State facilities increased 106.4%.

Data Sources: FY 2004-2012 data from revised ASSIST run in October 2013; FY 2013 data was run for this Guide. Updates made subsequent to data being run are not included.

## Section III: Detention



Youth at Charles H. Hickey Jr. School participating in a tug-of-war .





## Introduction to Detention (Pre-Disposition)

The juvenile court may detain youth on a temporary basis in a physically restricting (or secure) facility pending court disposition. Detention may be ordered if it is determined that the youth present a threat to themselves or to the community. (See Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision for an overview of detention authorized by intake officers at the point of intake.) Maryland youth are detained in one of seven detention facilities operated by DJS across the State.

### DETENTION ADMISSIONS

Each detention facility operates an admissions area (24 hours a day) where all youth admitted to detention are processed. An admissions officer interviews youth to collect and verify basic identifying information. The admissions officer also performs a search of the DJS information system, ASSIST, to obtain available information on a youth's delinquency and placement history. A photograph of each youth is taken and included in the case record for identification purposes. The rules of the detention facility, including the grievance procedure, are provided to each youth in the facility handbook. Youth are issued clothing to wear in the facility. Personal clothing is catalogued, packaged, and placed in secure storage.

The admissions process includes an initial health screening. It is performed by admissions nursing staff and consists of a review of physical health, mental health, and substance abuse needs. A full medical history and physical examination is conducted by a licensed physician or nurse practitioner within seven days of admission.

### DETENTION CASE MANAGEMENT

Youth who are detained by the juvenile court are assigned a DJS community case manager. The community case manager is based in the local DJS office within the youth's home jurisdiction. To complete a social history investigation, the community case manager visits the youth in detention, interviews the youth and family, completes the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Risk Screen and Needs Assessment (where applicable, post-adjudication), and provides recommendations to the juvenile court at disposition.

### DETENTION SERVICES

#### MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE SCREENING AND ASSESSMENT

DJS Behavioral Health Services provides mental health screening, assessment, and treatment services at all DJS detention facilities. Services may include suicide prevention, crisis intervention and stabilization, and medical evaluation and monitoring.

Upon admission, each youth is screened by a qualified mental health professional. The screening consists of an interview, the administration of tests as appropriate, and the review of any prior assessments or records (e.g., psychosocial or clinical assessments). If the initial mental health screen reveals symptoms of mental health issues, recommendations regarding the need for further services and/or the need for a more in-depth assessment are made. In-depth assessments are conducted by psychiatrists or licensed psychologists and provide an examination of emotional functioning, adaptive functioning, and cognitive functioning as well as recommendations for treatment.

Certified addictions counselors screen and assess youth in detention and determine appropriate levels of substance abuse treatment needed by youth. This information assists case managers in linking youth with appropriate community and residential services. Addictions counselors work closely with the Department's medical director for those youth requiring medication management as a result of their drug addiction.



Cheltenham Youth Facility exterior



## EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

The Maryland State Department of Education's (MSDE) Juvenile Services Education Program (JSE) provides educational programming to youth in DJS detention facilities consistent with the requirements of Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), Title 13A. The program includes instruction in Core Content subjects, Career and Technology Education, library/media services, life skills, and special education services. MSDE assumed educational programming for the final remaining DJS residential detention facilities including Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center and Alfred D. Noyes Center in FY 2013.

Students are pre-tested upon entry to the JSE program to assess baseline academic skills. Teachers use the results to design learning plans for each student that form the basis of daily instruction. After each 30-day period of enrollment, the student is post-tested to determine academic gains. Students in a JSE program can earn credit toward the Maryland High School Diploma that they may receive upon return to their home high school. Alternately, some students are enrolled in classes designed to prepare them to take their GED examination.



Youth at Waxter participating in DJS Facility Mentor Program.

## RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES

A structured recreation program is available to many youth in detention. The recreation program provides a variety of activities promoting physical and mental health. Youth participate in recreational activities for a minimum of one hour per day (two hours per day of recreational activity is encouraged).

## Introduction to Detention - Pending Placement (Post-Disposition)

Youth who have been committed to the custody of the Department for residential placement may be placed in detention on a temporary basis by the juvenile court. Youth detained post-disposition are considered to be pending placement. That is, they are waiting to be admitted or placed in one of many possible out-of-home treatment programs. Some programs are considered to be community-based (for example, foster care, treatment foster care, group homes, or therapeutic group homes). Other programs are not community-based (for example, in-patient substance abuse treatment, DJS-operated Youth Center, or Residential Treatment Center). Some youth may require placement into a secure facility. Secure placement options include the Victor Cullen Center (for males) and the J. DeWeese Carter Youth Center (for females) in Maryland or an out-of-state program contracted by DJS.

A review hearing is held in accordance with Md. Code and Judicial Proceedings, 3-8A-15(k) for any youth in detention 25 days after the court has made a disposition. At the hearing, DJS is required to explain the reasons for continued detention. The court will continue to conduct a hearing every 25 days thereafter until a youth has been placed.

Youth who are pending placement receive the same core services as youth detained pre-dispositionally, including educational services, screening, evaluation, and treatment for substance abuse, mental health, and somatic health (including dietary and dental).

Youth receive bi-weekly visits from their community case manager. Each facility also allows family visitation during the week or on weekends (the visitation schedule varies by facility).

During the pending placement period, the community case manager maintains contact with the youth's family. The community case manager is also responsible for reporting to the juvenile court regarding the youth's placement status.

In addition to court review, pending placement cases are reviewed by the Department on a weekly basis at facility management meetings. Regional-level meetings are held on a monthly basis to review placement statistics and identify barriers to placement and ways to expedite the process.



## Pending Placement (Post-Ejection from a Committed Program)

Youth ejected from a committed program, who require a new committed placement, and do not require a new court hearing due to a new offense may be placed in detention.

Some youth committed to the custody of the Department and placed in a residential program may not successfully complete the designated program. Some youth run away from their placement or are ejected due to misbehavior. For other youth, additional needs may become evident during the course of the program, thereby necessitating a different type of program.

In this event, youth may subsequently be placed in a detention facility. While in pending placement, the Department re-staffs the youth's case and works to secure acceptance to the most appropriate, alternative program. While these youth have not committed a new offense and will therefore not require a new court hearing, they are entitled to a court review hearing every 25 days while detained on pending placement status.


Note that effective June 1, 2012, the Department was authorized to transfer youth directly from one facility or program to another facility or program (of equal or higher security level) without first asking the court to modify the commitment order by means of a court review hearing. The Department expects that this legislative change will help reduce the pending placement population.

### A Note to Readers:

*It is strongly recommended that readers review the following distinction as it will assist in understanding data presented in this section:*

**Placements versus Admissions:** A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

## DJS Secure Detention Facilities by Region






## Total Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity: 454 beds

DJS owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility houses a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only two years of data is available. Statewide information includes J. DeWeese Carter Center for FY 2011 and FY 2012.

### PLACEMENTS\*, FY 2011-2013




- *Source of Placements (FY 2013):*
  - 36.7%, 27.1%, and 12.3% of placements were from Baltimore City, Metro, and Central Region respectively.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 63.8% were from Washington D.C., 8.5% each were from Delaware and Pennsylvania.

- *Placement Trends:*
  - Total placements decreased 11.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and 10.1% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 31.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and 15.7% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

### ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2013



- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 4.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 5.3% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
  - Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 21.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 13.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
  - Ejection releases decreased 3.8% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	80.2%	79.6%	78.9%
White	15.2%	15.3%	16.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.7%	5.1%	5.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	86.9%	85.6%	85.9%
Female	13.1%	14.4%	14.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.2%	0.3%	0.6%
12	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%
13	3.6%	4.4%	4.0%
14	8.4%	9.7%	9.8%
15	18.4%	16.9%	18.4%
16	25.7%	25.1%	23.0%
17	28.8%	28.2%	27.7%
18-20	13.9%	14.5%	15.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>6,892</b>	<b>6,776</b>	<b>6,095</b>

- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, ALOS decreased 5.2% for Pre-D, and decreased 26.4% for Post-D (including ejections).
  - ALOS for ejections decreased 25.2% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crimes of Violence Felony: 27.2% of Pre-D; 20.7% of Post-D; 15.8% of Ejection
  - Felony: 18.0% of Pre-D; 12.9% of Post-D; 11.8% of Ejection
  - Misdemeanor: 50.0% of Pre-D; 61.2% of Post-D; 68.4% of Ejection

- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 24.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 19.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
  - Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2013\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	5.4%	2.4%	1.5%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.7%	8.6%	10.3%
Carjacking	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	5.5%	1.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Disturbing the Peace	2.6%	4.0%	2.9%
First Degree Assault	5.1%	1.3%	1.5%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.3%	4.1%	4.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%
Murder	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%
Narcotics Distribution	9.1%	4.3%	2.9%
Narcotics Possession	8.2%	10.4%	14.3%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	5.8%	5.1%	2.6%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	1.4%	0.7%
Robbery	13.5%	10.8%	13.6%
Second Degree Assault	17.8%	18.0%	22.4%
Sex Offense	1.6%	1.5%	2.2%
Theft Felony	1.9%	1.3%	1.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.7%	12.4%	11.4%
Trespassing	2.3%	1.6%	0.7%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.3%	1.9%	2.2%
Offense Category			
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>27.2%</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>11.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.9%	0.4%
- Property	7.5%	3.3%	4.4%
- Drugs	9.1%	4.3%	2.9%
- Unspecified	0.3%	4.3%	4.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>61.2%</b>	<b>68.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	24.5%	25.9%	28.3%
- Property	16.1%	21.8%	22.8%
- Drugs	8.2%	10.7%	13.2%
- Unspecified	1.3%	2.9%	4.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>1.3%</i>	<i>0.7%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>5,790</i>	<i>993</i>	<i>272</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2011-2013\*



\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2011-2013\*

		Placements With DRAI	Placements No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2013	Pre-D	71.6%	28.4%	5,754	14.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,043	29.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	279	45.0
FY 2012	Pre-D	55.9%	44.1%	6,078	16.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,230	39.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	290	60.2
FY 2011	Pre-D	40.0%	60.0%	6,043	15.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,676	44.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.




## Female Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity for Females: 64 beds


DJS operates three detention facilities to serve girls: Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center, Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center, and Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center. Some youth are detained awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and other youth are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth who are now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only two years of data is available.

### PLACEMENTS\*, FY 2011-2013



- *Source of Placements (FY 2013):*
  - 25.8%, 25.3%, and 15.8% of placements were from Metro, Baltimore City, and Central Region, respectively.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 50.0% were from Washington D.C., and 8.3% each were from California, Delaware, Iowa, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania.
- *Placement Trends:*
  - Total placements decreased 4.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and 11.4% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 25.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and 10.1% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 0.5% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 11.7% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
  - Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 6.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and increased 5.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
  - Ejection releases decreased 2.4% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, ALOS decreased 6.3% for Pre-D and decreased 1.9% for Post-D (including ejections).
  - ALOS for ejections decreased 2.3% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.
- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crimes of Violence Felony: 20.0% of Pre-D; 18.3% of Post-D; 20.0% of Ejection
  - Felony: 10.9% of Pre-D; 15.0% of Post-D; 10.0% of Ejection
  - Misdemeanor: 61.7% of Pre-D; 61.7% of Post-D; 65.0% of Ejection
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 5.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 3.8% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
  - Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

### ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2013



### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	71.7%	71.6%	70.8%
White	24.0%	23.8%	25.2%
Hispanic/Other	4.3%	4.5%	4.1%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.3%	0.6%
12	1.4%	1.1%	1.7%
13	5.8%	7.6%	5.2%
14	10.3%	14.9%	11.5%
15	18.5%	19.2%	22.5%
16	24.7%	24.4%	23.3%
17	28.4%	21.8%	23.8%
18-20	11.0%	10.7%	11.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>904</b>	<b>973</b>	<b>862</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2013\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.4%	2.5%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	3.3%	5.8%	5.0%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	5.8%	2.5%
Deadly Weapon	0.2%	1.7%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.5%	4.2%	2.5%
First Degree Assault	9.1%	3.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.6%	3.3%	2.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.7%	0.0%	2.5%
Murder	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.8%	5.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	7.4%	7.5%	25.0%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	7.9%	4.2%	2.5%
Resisting Arrest	0.2%	0.0%	2.5%
Robbery	8.3%	8.3%	15.0%
Second Degree Assault	26.5%	30.0%	20.0%
Sex Offense	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.3%	0.8%	5.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.5%	11.7%	12.5%
Trespassing	1.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.6%	2.5%	2.5%
Offense Category			
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>18.3%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.1%	1.7%	0.0%
- Property	5.8%	3.3%	5.0%
- Drugs	2.8%	5.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	5.0%	5.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>65.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	32.0%	33.3%	22.5%
- Property	21.7%	17.5%	15.0%
- Drugs	7.4%	7.5%	25.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	3.3%	2.5%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.3%</i>	<i>1.7%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>822</i>	<i>120</i>	<i>40</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2011-2013\*



\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2011-2013\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2013	Pre-D	64.7%	35.3%	809	14.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	127	26.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	41	34.6
FY 2012	Pre-D	54.6%	45.4%	916	15.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	118	28.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	42	35.4
FY 2011	Pre-D	39.4%	60.6%	813	15.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	180	28.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.




## Under Age 13 Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Youth under 13 who are detained by the court represent very few of the youth detained by DJS. Rather than placing these youth in a detention facility, DJS most frequently uses an alternative to detention (ATD) with placement at home or in a shelter. However, if a youth must be in a detention center they are housed with youth closest in age and size. If deemed highly vulnerable they may be placed in the infirmary, with one-on-one staff supervision or sent to a smaller facility with a lower population. Wherever placed, youth under 13 receive all the same services that other detained youth receive.

### PLACEMENTS\*, FY 2011-2013



### ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2013



### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	85.7%	91.8%	76.5%
White	11.9%	4.7%	22.5%
Hispanic/Other	2.4%	3.5%	1.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	84.5%	83.5%	80.4%
Female	15.5%	16.5%	19.6%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	19.0%	24.7%	35.3%
12	81.0%	75.3%	64.7%
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A
17	N/A	N/A	N/A
18-20	N/A	N/A	N/A
Error/Missing	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>102</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Placements (FY 2013):

- 47.1%, 16.7%, and 13.7% of placements were from Baltimore City, Eastern, and Metro Region, respectively.
- None from Out-of-State.

#### Placement Trends:

- Total placements increased 21.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and 20.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 38.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and 14.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases increased 18.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and increased 18.8% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) increased 28.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and stayed the same between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Ejection releases decreased 100% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, ALOS decreased 14.5% for Pre-D and increased 63.7% for Post-D (including ejections).
- ALOS for ejections increased 15.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crimes of Violence Felony: 38.1% of Pre-D; 25.0% of Post-D; 0.0% of Ejection
- Felony: 6.2% of Pre-D; 0.0% of Post-D; 0.0% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 53.6% of Pre-D; 75.0% of Post-D; 0.0% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 43.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 87.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- There is no capacity specifically for the Under 13 age group.



### PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2013\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.0%	0.0%	N/A
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.1%	0.0%	N/A
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.3%	0.0%	N/A
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	0.0%	N/A
Disturbing the Peace	6.2%	12.5%	N/A
First Degree Assault	16.5%	0.0%	N/A
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Malicious Destruction	1.0%	12.5%	N/A
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Murder	1.0%	0.0%	N/A
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	12.5%	N/A
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	5.2%	12.5%	N/A
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Robbery	6.2%	25.0%	N/A
Second Degree Assault	21.6%	25.0%	N/A
Sex Offense	5.2%	0.0%	N/A
Theft Felony	1.0%	0.0%	N/A
Theft Misdemeanor	17.5%	0.0%	N/A
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.1%	0.0%	N/A
Offense Category			
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>38.1%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	0.0%	N/A
- Property	5.2%	0.0%	N/A
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>53.6%</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>
- Person-to-Person	32.0%	50.0%	N/A
- Property	19.6%	12.5%	N/A
- Drugs	0.0%	12.5%	N/A
- Unspecified	2.1%	0.0%	N/A
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>N/A</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	<i>97</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>0</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2011-2013\*



\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2011-2013\*

		Placements	Placements	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2013	Pre-D	84.5%	15.5%	95	13.6
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	9	12.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	0	27.7
FY 2012	Pre-D	69.6%	30.4%	80	8.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	7	8.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	2	23.9
FY 2011	Pre-D	45.7%	54.3%	80	15.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	7	16.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center


Superintendent: Antoinette McLeod  
 Rated Capacity: 41 Males and 16 Females

Region VI: Metro

9925 Blackwell Road  
 Rockville, MD 20850  
 301-315-1610


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only two years of data is available.

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2011-2013



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. † Includes ejections

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2013



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	70.1%	72.6%	67.0%
White	12.4%	10.1%	13.1%
Hispanic/Other	17.5%	17.3%	19.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	62.5%	61.9%	63.0%
Female	37.5%	38.1%	37.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.9%	0.5%	1.3%
13	2.2%	4.0%	3.7%
14	8.5%	9.4%	8.6%
15	22.9%	16.7%	17.0%
16	26.0%	30.6%	21.8%
17	27.3%	26.4%	32.0%
18-20	12.2%	12.5%	15.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>831</b>	<b>616</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2013):

- 60.6%, 18.0%, and 6.2% of admissions were from Montgomery County, Prince George's County, and Washington County, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 88.9% were from Washington D.C., and 11.1% were from Virginia.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 25.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 25.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 19.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, 8.2% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 26.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 25.7% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) increased 26.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and increased 21.5% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Ejection releases increased 7.5% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, ALOS increased 8.8% for Pre-D and decreased 1.9% for Post-D (including ejections).
- ALOS for ejections decreased 1.4% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crimes of Violence Felony: 32.3% of Pre-D; 24.8% of Post-D; 19.5% of Ejection
- Felony: 9.5% of Pre-D; 15.2% of Post-D; 4.9% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 50.5% of Pre-D; 56.6% of Post-D; 70.7% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 3.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 5.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2013\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.5%	2.1%	2.4%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.3%	11.7%	12.2%
Carjacking	0.6%	2.1%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	10.3%	9.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	0.7%	2.4%
Disturbing the Peace	3.5%	2.8%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	3.7%	2.1%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	1.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.2%	2.1%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	1.4%	4.9%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.2%	3.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	5.3%	9.7%	12.2%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	7.3%	3.4%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	2.1%	2.4%
Robbery	17.1%	9.7%	7.3%
Second Degree Assault	16.9%	19.3%	29.3%
Sex Offense	1.7%	2.1%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.4%	9.7%	17.1%
Trespassing	1.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>32.3%</b>	<b>24.8%</b>	<b>19.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.7%	0.0%
- Property	6.2%	2.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.2%	3.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	9.0%	4.9%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>56.6%</b>	<b>70.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	24.6%	22.8%	29.3%
- Property	19.8%	20.0%	24.4%
- Drugs	5.3%	10.3%	12.2%
- Unspecified	0.7%	3.4%	4.9%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.5%</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>545</i>	<i>145</i>	<i>41</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2011-2013\*



### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2011-2013\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2013	Pre-D	50.1%	49.9%	546	19.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	149	25.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	43	34.0
FY 2012	Pre-D	41.9%	58.1%	735	17.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	118	30.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	40	34.5
FY 2011	Pre-D	30.8%	69.2%	746	18.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	152	27.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center

Superintendent: Jeremy Smith


Rated Capacity: 120 Males

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only two years of data is available.

Region I: Baltimore City


300 North Gay Street  
 Baltimore, MD 21202  
 443-263-8976

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2011-2013



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. <sup>+</sup> Includes ejections

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2013



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	97.9%	97.5%	97.1%
White	1.5%	1.7%	2.1%
Hispanic/Other	0.6%	0.8%	0.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.1%	0.6%	1.0%
12	0.8%	0.4%	1.2%
13	2.5%	3.7%	4.1%
14	8.5%	7.8%	8.8%
15	17.0%	16.1%	17.3%
16	24.8%	24.0%	22.5%
17	29.6%	31.4%	29.7%
18-20	16.8%	15.9%	15.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>2,208</b>	<b>2,286</b>	<b>2,051</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2013):

- 96.6% and 0.9% of admissions were from Baltimore City and Baltimore County respectively, and 0.7% were from Prince George's County, and Anne Arundel County.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 50.0% were from Washington D.C. and 50.0% were from Pennsylvania.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 7.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and 10.3% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 35.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 18.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases increased 14.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and increased 0.4% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 48.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 30.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Ejection releases increased 18.7% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, ALOS decreased 1.9% for Pre-D and decreased 17.3% for Post-D (including ejections).
- ALOS for ejections decreased 27.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crimes of Violence Felony: 24.7% of Pre-D; 15.2% of Post-D; 14.0% of Ejection
- Felony: 30.5% of Pre-D; 30.3% of Post-D; 18.6% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 42.5% of Pre-D; 47.8% of Post-D; 64.0% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 30.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 30.2% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- ADP was below capacity in FY 2013, and was above capacity in FY 2012 and FY 2011.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2013\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	8.3%	2.8%	3.5%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	5.1%	5.6%	5.8%
Carjacking	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	4.5%	4.7%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%	2.8%	2.3%
Disturbing the Peace	1.8%	1.7%	1.2%
First Degree Assault	3.9%	1.1%	2.3%
Handgun Violation	1.2%	0.6%	1.2%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	1.6%	1.7%	3.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	20.9%	17.4%	8.1%
Narcotics Possession	9.9%	16.9%	17.4%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	3.7%	9.0%	9.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%	0.0%	2.3%
Robbery	14.3%	11.2%	5.8%
Second Degree Assault	14.1%	7.9%	16.3%
Sex Offense	1.4%	1.7%	1.2%
Theft Felony	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	5.7%	9.6%	10.5%
Trespassing	2.4%	1.7%	1.2%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.9%	2.2%	3.5%
Offense Category			
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>24.7%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>14.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>30.5%</b>	<b>30.3%</b>	<b>18.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.4%	2.8%	0.0%
- Property	9.0%	4.5%	4.7%
- Drugs	20.9%	16.9%	8.1%
- Unspecified	0.2%	6.2%	5.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>42.5%</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	<b>64.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	20.6%	11.2%	22.1%
- Property	11.1%	15.7%	19.8%
- Drugs	9.9%	17.4%	17.4%
- Unspecified	0.9%	3.4%	4.7%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	0.2%	2.8%	1.2%
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	1,960	178	86

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2011-2013\*



### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2011-2013\*

		Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2013	Pre-D	84.0%	16.0%	1,968	10.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	191	38.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	89	51.7
FY 2012	Pre-D	66.0%	34.0%	1,961	11.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	325	47.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	75	70.8
FY 2011	Pre-D	48.3%	51.7%	1,714	10.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	538	51.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School

Superintendent: Mark Hamlett


Rated Capacity: 72 Males

Region II: Central

9700 Old Harford Road  
 Parkville, MD 21234  
 410-663-7601


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only two years of data is available.

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2011-2013



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. <sup>+</sup> Includes ejections

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2013



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	73.1%	69.9%	67.3%
White	24.6%	27.7%	29.4%
Hispanic/Other	2.3%	2.4%	3.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%
12	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%
13	4.0%	4.4%	4.9%
14	7.6%	9.5%	13.2%
15	19.5%	16.6%	18.5%
16	26.3%	24.4%	23.8%
17	28.1%	31.2%	27.5%
18-20	13.2%	12.6%	11.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>1,078</b>	<b>999</b>	<b>810</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2013):

- 52.0%, 11.7%, and 11.2% of admissions were from Baltimore County, Baltimore City, and Harford County, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 50.0% were from Washington D.C., and 50.0% were from Georgia.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 24.9% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and 18.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 27.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 13.8% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 24.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 15.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 22.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 30.7% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Ejection releases decreased 32.4% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, ALOS decreased 9.9% for Pre-D and decreased 38.2% for Post-D (including ejections).
- ALOS for ejections decreased 27.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crimes of Violence Felony: 33.8% of Pre-D; 26.8% of Post-D; 14.9% of Ejection
- Felony: 13.8% of Pre-D; 6.0% of Post-D; 4.3% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 48.6% of Pre-D; 61.3% of Post-D; 78.7% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 41.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 32.8% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- ADP was above capacity in FY 2011, and was below capacity in FY 2012 and FY 2013.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2013\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.2%	1.8%	2.1%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.0%	13.1%	12.8%
Carjacking	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	1.8%	2.1%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	1.8%	6.4%
Disturbing the Peace	1.8%	4.8%	4.3%
First Degree Assault	6.9%	1.8%	2.1%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.6%	2.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.1%	7.1%	2.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Murder	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	4.0%	3.0%	2.1%
Narcotics Possession	8.2%	11.3%	14.9%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.6%	4.2%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.5%	1.2%	0.0%
Robbery	16.1%	14.3%	4.3%
Second Degree Assault	15.8%	17.3%	25.5%
Sex Offense	1.8%	1.2%	2.1%
Theft Felony	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.8%	13.1%	8.5%
Trespassing	1.9%	0.0%	4.3%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.8%	0.6%	4.3%
Offense Category			
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>33.8%</b>	<b>26.8%</b>	<b>14.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	7.8%	1.8%	2.1%
- Drugs	4.0%	2.4%	2.1%
- Unspecified	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>61.3%</b>	<b>78.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	21.7%	22.6%	34.0%
- Property	17.9%	24.4%	21.3%
- Drugs	8.2%	13.7%	19.1%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.6%	4.3%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>720</i>	<i>168</i>	<i>47</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2011-2013\*



### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2011-2013\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2013	Pre-D	68.8%	31.2%	713	16.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	171	24.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	48	33.1
FY 2012	Pre-D	55.0%	45.0%	839	19.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	245	28.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	71	45.9
FY 2011	Pre-D	41.6%	58.4%	939	18.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	281	42.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Cheltenham Youth Facility

Superintendent: Anthony Wynn


Rated Capacity: 115 Males

Region VI: Metro

11001 Frank Tippet Road  
 Cheltenham, MD 20623  
 301-396-4342


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only two years of data is available.

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2011-2013



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. † Includes ejections

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2013



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	80.9%	79.0%	79.3%
White	12.6%	12.9%	14.1%
Hispanic/Other	6.5%	8.1%	6.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
12	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
13	2.7%	3.1%	2.3%
14	6.6%	8.5%	7.5%
15	18.2%	16.5%	18.6%
16	28.4%	26.8%	22.6%
17	30.2%	27.1%	27.1%
18-20	13.3%	17.0%	21.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>1,865</b>	<b>1,714</b>	<b>1,601</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2013):

- 65.1%, 18.2%, and 5.6% of admissions were from Prince George's County, Anne Arundel County, and St. Mary's County, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 100.0% were from Washington D.C.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 14.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and 6.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 30.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 16.7% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases decreased 10.9% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 2.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 15.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 14.3% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Ejection releases decreased 34.5% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, ALOS increased 11.4% for Pre-D and decreased 21.0% for Post-D (including ejections).
- ALOS for ejections decreased 10.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crimes of Violence Felony: 32.1% of Pre-D; 28.0% of Post-D; 30.2% of Ejection
- Felony: 10.5% of Pre-D; 9.3% of Post-D; 9.4% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 52.6% of Pre-D; 58.1% of Post-D; 60.4% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 17.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 13.1% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- ADP was at capacity in FY 2011 and below capacity in FY 2012 and FY 2013.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2013\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.2%	1.8%	3.8%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	11.3%	7.2%	17.0%
Carjacking	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	5.4%	3.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	1.8%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.6%	3.3%	3.8%
First Degree Assault	4.1%	1.5%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	1.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.0%	5.7%	9.4%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Murder	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.9%	0.9%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	7.4%	7.5%	5.7%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	5.7%	5.1%	3.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.7%	1.2%	0.0%
Robbery	15.8%	19.6%	18.9%
Second Degree Assault	18.0%	14.8%	17.0%
Sex Offense	1.7%	3.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.9%	1.2%	1.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.9%	12.3%	11.3%
Trespassing	3.4%	1.8%	1.9%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.4%	1.8%	1.9%
Offense Category			
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>30.2%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	0.6%	1.9%
- Property	6.3%	3.0%	3.8%
- Drugs	2.9%	0.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	4.8%	3.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>52.6%</b>	<b>58.1%</b>	<b>60.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	24.3%	23.5%	26.4%
- Property	18.5%	23.8%	24.5%
- Drugs	7.4%	7.8%	7.5%
- Unspecified	2.4%	3.0%	1.9%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>1.5%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>1,521</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>53</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2011-2013\*



\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2011-2013\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2013	Pre-D	64.3%	35.7%	1,491	14.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	340	29.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	57	49.1
FY 2012	Pre-D	47.6%	52.4%	1,535	14.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	376	36.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	87	54.9
FY 2011	Pre-D	33.6%	66.4%	1,673	13.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	469	40.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center


Superintendent: Derrick Witherspoon  
 Rated Capacity: 18 Males and 6 Females

Region IV: Eastern Shore

405 Naylor Mill Road  
 Salisbury, MD 21801  
 443-523-1526


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only two years of data is available.

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2011-2013



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. † Includes ejections

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2013



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	68.0%	64.2%	63.3%
White	28.7%	32.7%	33.3%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	3.1%	3.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	78.4%	77.2%	78.4%
Female	21.6%	22.8%	21.6%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	1.3%	0.8%	1.9%
12	2.8%	3.7%	2.1%
13	6.9%	7.0%	6.7%
14	10.2%	13.8%	13.3%
15	20.8%	18.0%	19.1%
16	22.6%	22.0%	22.3%
17	27.4%	27.3%	24.0%
18-20	8.1%	7.3%	10.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>430</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2013):

- 50.5%, 14.0%, and 8.8% of admissions were from Wicomico County, Worcester County, and Somerset County, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 40.0% were from Delaware and 20.0% each were from District of Columbia, and Pennsylvania.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions increased 9.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and 21.1% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 28.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 16.3% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases increased 18.9% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and increased 33.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) increased 9.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and increased 21.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Ejection releases decreased 16.7% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, ALOS decreased 18.7% for Pre-D and decreased 20.5% for Post-D (including ejections).
- ALOS for ejections decreased 22.8% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crimes of Violence Felony: 15.3% of Pre-D; 4.3% of Post-D; 5.0% of Ejection
- Felony: 11.9% of Pre-D; 8.5% of Post-D; 0.0% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 63.9% of Pre-D; 74.5% of Post-D; 70.0% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 4.9% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 12.2% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- ADP was below capacity in FY 2013, slightly above capacity in FY 2012, and below capacity in FY 2011.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2013\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	2.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	1.8%	3.2%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.6%	2.1%	5.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	3.2%	5.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.5%	13.8%	15.0%
First Degree Assault	8.7%	1.1%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.6%	3.2%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%	1.1%	10.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.9%	18.1%	10.0%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	10.8%	3.2%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.3%	2.1%	0.0%
Robbery	1.8%	2.1%	5.0%
Second Degree Assault	27.2%	30.9%	35.0%
Sex Offense	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	4.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.5%	10.6%	5.0%
Trespassing	1.3%	0.0%	5.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.4%	1.1%	5.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	1.1%	0.0%
- Property	6.1%	6.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	3.2%	1.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>63.9%</b>	<b>74.5%</b>	<b>70.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	36.4%	40.4%	40.0%
- Property	18.2%	16.0%	15.0%
- Drugs	6.9%	17.0%	10.0%
- Unspecified	2.4%	1.1%	5.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>379</i>	<i>94</i>	<i>20</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2011-2013\*



\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2011-2013\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2013	Pre-D	63.1%	36.9%	378	14.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	98	17.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	20	30.2
FY 2012	Pre-D	46.8%	53.2%	283	22.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	73	21.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	24	39.1
FY 2011	Pre-D	34.7%	65.3%	318	18.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	108	25.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center

Superintendent: Terra Harris

Rated Capacity: 42 Females

Region V: Southern


375 Red Clay Road, S.W.

Laurel, MD 20724

301-362-6160


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only two years of data is available.

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2011-2013



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. † Includes ejections

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2013



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	73.0%	72.3%	73.2%
White	25.3%	26.0%	24.7%
Hispanic/Other	1.7%	1.7%	2.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%
12	1.9%	1.2%	1.5%
13	6.9%	7.9%	4.9%
14	11.1%	14.6%	12.4%
15	17.7%	20.9%	23.0%
16	22.4%	23.4%	24.5%
17	29.8%	22.3%	22.8%
18-20	10.1%	9.4%	10.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>593</b>	<b>646</b>	<b>596</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2013):

- 34.7%, 18.0% and 12.2% of admissions were from Baltimore City, Prince George's County, and Baltimore County, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 40.0% were from District of Columbia and 10.0% each were from California, Delaware, Iowa, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions increased 0.5% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 7.7% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 24.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 9.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases increased 8.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 5.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) decreased 15.9% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 1.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Ejection releases decreased 3.7% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, ALOS decreased 5.7% for Pre-D and increased 1.4% for Post-D (including ejections).
- ALOS for ejections increased 1.8% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crimes of Violence Felony: 21.7% of Pre-D; 10.4% of Post-D; 7.4% of Ejection
- Felony: 11.6% of Pre-D; 11.7% of Post-D; 18.5% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 59.4% of Pre-D; 75.3% of Post-D; 74.1% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 2.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 2.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2013\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.7%	2.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.9%	0.0%	7.4%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	2.8%	7.8%	11.1%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	5.2%	3.7%
Deadly Weapon	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.0%	3.9%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	10.4%	2.6%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.1%	2.6%	3.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	7.8%	2.6%	11.1%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	7.8%	0.0%	3.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.4%	1.3%	3.7%
Robbery	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	24.7%	37.7%	25.9%
Sex Offense	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.9%	7.8%	7.4%
Theft Misdemeanor	17.6%	20.8%	22.2%
Trespassing	0.9%	1.3%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	6.0%	7.8%	14.8%
- Drugs	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	3.9%	3.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	<b>75.3%</b>	<b>74.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.5%	41.6%	25.9%
- Property	21.5%	28.6%	29.6%
- Drugs	7.8%	3.9%	14.8%
- Unspecified	0.7%	1.3%	3.7%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.6%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>567</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>27</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2011-2013\*



### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2011-2013\*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2013	Pre-D	66.0%	34.0%	567	13.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	80	27.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	26	33.4
FY 2012	Pre-D	56.4%	43.6%	597	13.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	81	25.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	27	32.8
FY 2011	Pre-D	41.6%	58.4%	523	14.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	126	28.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Western Maryland Children's Center

Superintendent: Mark Bishop


Rated Capacity: 24 Males

Region III: Western

18420 Roxbury Road  
 Hagerstown, MD 21740  
 301-745-6071


Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. This information was acquired from case notes, thus only two years of data is available.

### ADMISSIONS\*, FY 2011-2013



\* Excludes transfers within and between programs. † Includes ejections

### ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2013



### ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	48.8%	48.3%	48.4%
White	49.1%	47.5%	47.0%
Hispanic/Other	2.1%	4.1%	4.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.3%	1.4%	3.7%
12	1.4%	1.4%	4.6%
13	6.3%	6.6%	3.7%
14	9.1%	12.7%	12.6%
15	18.8%	18.2%	17.2%
16	28.6%	20.7%	27.2%
17	23.0%	26.0%	22.3%
18-20	12.5%	13.0%	8.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>349</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

#### Source of Admissions (FY 2013):

- 32.7%, 20.3%, and 10.6% of admissions were from Washington County, Frederick County, and Baltimore City, respectively.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 66.7% were from District of Columbia, and 33.3% were from Tennessee.

#### Admission Trends:

- Total admissions increased 21.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 3.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):

- The percentage of Pre-D admissions with a DRAI increased 43.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 13.1% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### Release Trends:

- Pre-D releases increased 21.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 2.5% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Post-D releases (including ejections) increased 15.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and stayed the same between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- Ejection releases decreased 42.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, ALOS decreased 14.8% for Pre-D and decreased 51.3% for Post-D (including ejections).
- ALOS for ejections decreased 36.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013. Data is not available for years prior to FY 2012.

#### Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):

- Crimes of Violence Felony: 19.8% of Pre-D; 12.9% of Post-D; 15.4% of Ejection
- Felony: 13.3% of Pre-D; 4.8% of Post-D; 0.0% of Ejection
- Misdemeanor: 60.2% of Pre-D; 77.4% of Post-D; 76.9% of Ejection

#### Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 11.5% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 9.7% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- ADP has been at or close to capacity in FY 2011 and FY 2012, and was slightly below capacity in FY 2013.



### ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2013\*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.1%	8.1%	7.7%
Carjacking	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.8%	3.2%	7.7%
First Degree Assault	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.1%	1.6%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	1.6%	7.7%
Murder	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	5.9%	11.3%	15.4%
Other / Missing <sup>1</sup>	10.6%	4.8%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.5%	1.6%	0.0%
Robbery	6.5%	6.5%	15.4%
Second Degree Assault	26.3%	21.0%	38.5%
Sex Offense	3.8%	3.2%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.6%	19.4%	7.7%
Trespassing	2.4%	4.8%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%
Offense Category			
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.8%	1.6%	0.0%
- Property	8.3%	1.6%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	1.6%	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>60.2%</b>	<b>77.4%</b>	<b>76.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	38.3%	25.8%	46.2%
- Property	15.6%	30.6%	15.4%
- Drugs	6.2%	11.3%	15.4%
- Unspecified	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
<i>Total Offenses by Admission</i>	<i>339</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>13</i>

\* Offense may not be reason for admission. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.


<sup>1</sup> Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2011-2013\*



\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### ADMISSIONS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2011-2013\*

		Admissions	Admissions	Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2013	Pre-D	69.6%	30.4%	331	19.6
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	63	17.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	12	25.4
FY 2012	Pre-D	56.5%	43.5%	323	20.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	54	23.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	21	39.7
FY 2011	Pre-D	26.6%	73.4%	273	23.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	65	39.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Alternatives to Detention (ATD)

DJS has a variety of programs designed to safely supervise youth in the community as an alternative to secure detention pending adjudication. ATDs include Community Detention (CD) - with the possibility of electronic/GPS monitoring (EM), and Day & Evening Reporting Centers (ERC). DJS also collaborates with private providers in Baltimore City and Montgomery County to utilize additional alternative programs, such as the Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Transition Center (PACT) and the Detention Reduction Advocacy Program (DRAP) for Baltimore City youth, and the Montgomery County Community Collaboration Council to provide ERC Services. ATD programs are used either by DJS intake staff for medium risk youth presented for detention (placements must be reviewed by the juvenile court the next court day) or by the juvenile court directly. These alternatives allow youth to continue with school or work and maintain community ties, support systems, or alternative care. In addition, youth on ATD supervision are expected to appear for scheduled hearings and not have any new arrests.

### ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM TYPE AND REGION, FY 2013

Region of Residence	Adm.	ADP	ALOS*
<b>R-I - Baltimore City</b>			
CD	356	20.5	21.1
CD/EM	1,268	103.0	30.1
Day-Evening Rpt. Ctrs.	257	16.4	23.9
DRAP	61	10.1	54.7
PACT	159	13.8	30.8
Shelter Care	532	24.3	14.2
<b>R-II - Central</b>			
CD	57	4.4	27.7
CD/EM	779	70.3	33.0
Shelter Care	44	1.8	14.0
<b>R-III - Western</b>			
CD	21	2.8	56.9
CD/EM	224	16.8	26.7
Shelter Care	31	2.7	32.1
<b>R-IV - Eastern</b>			
CD	5	0.3	24.6
CD/EM	425	45.0	39.4
Shelter Care (Seasonal)	14	1.1	29.6
<b>R-V - Southern</b>			
CD	12	0.9	24.8
CD/EM	612	50.5	30.1
Shelter Care	30	2.4	24.3
<b>R-VI - Metro</b>			
CD	53	7.0	52.6
CD/EM	990	124.0	47.4
Day-Evening Rpt. Ctrs.	80	14.3	60.5
Shelter Care	63	3.9	23.7
<b>Total CD</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>35.9</b>	<b>26.2</b>
<b>Total CD/EM</b>	<b>4,346</b>	<b>417.0</b>	<b>35.6</b>
<b>Total Day-Evening Rpt. Ctr.</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>30.7</b>	<b>32.0</b>
<b>Total DRAP</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>10.1</b>	<b>54.7</b>
<b>Total PACT</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>13.8</b>	<b>30.8</b>
<b>Total Shelter Care</b>	<b>728</b>	<b>36.2</b>	<b>16.4</b>

\*ALOS is measured in days; totals include out-of-state youth (see Appendix M for breakdown)

- *Region of Residence (FY 2013):*
  - Nearly three-quarters (72.6%) of ATD program youth came from Baltimore City, Metro Region, and Central Regions combined.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) FY 2013:*
  - ALOS was longest for DRAP admissions (54.7 days) and shortest for Shelter Care admissions (16.4 days).
- *Average Daily Population (ADP) FY 2013:*
  - ADP was highest for CD/EM admissions (417.0) and lowest for DRAP admissions (10.1).
- *ATD Program Youth:*
  - The number of total ATD program youth decreased 15.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and 9.2% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
  - Since FY 2011, the typical ATD program youth has consistently been black, 17 years old, and male.
- *Most Common Alleged Offense Type (FY 2013):*
  - CD/EM: Second Degree Assault
  - Day/Evening Reporting Centers: Narcotics Distribution
  - DRAP: Robbery
  - PACT: Narcotics Distribution
  - Shelter Care: Second Degree Assault
- *Offense Category (FY 2013):*
  - Crimes of Violence - 25.3% of CD/EM; 29.1% of Day/Evening Reporting Centers; 29.5% of DRAP; 27.0% of PACT; 14.4% of Shelter Care
  - Felony - 18.9% of CD/EM; 28.8% of Day/Evening Reporting Centers; 27.9% of DRAP; 34.0% of PACT; 20.3% of Shelter Care
  - Misdemeanor - 50.4% of CD/EM; 38.6% of Day/Evening Reporting Centers; 41.0% of DRAP; 35.2% of PACT; 58.9% of Shelter Care
- See Appendix M for specific program names and locations as well as Admissions, ADP, and ALOS data by Program Type and County for FY 2013.

**Note: Data for all tables and bullets presented on the ATD pages comes from ASSIST records. For that reason, it may not be possible to compare data from this Data Resource Guide section to other published data on these programs.**

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.




### ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2013\*

Most Serious Alleged Offense	CD/EM	D/ERC	DRAP	PACT	Shelter Care
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.1%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	5.5%	8.0%	8.2%	10.7%	6.2%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	8.5%	7.1%	1.6%	2.5%	5.9%
Carjacking	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	1.3%	0.1%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offen	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	2.4%	1.6%	2.5%	1.2%
Disturbing the Peace	3.0%	0.6%	1.6%	0.6%	3.0%
First Degree Assault	4.2%	4.2%	13.1%	3.1%	2.7%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	1.3%	0.5%
Kidnapping <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Malicious Destruction	2.6%	1.8%	1.6%	1.9%	1.4%
Manslaughter <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	0.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	10.1%	19.0%	13.1%	22.0%	12.6%
Narcotics Possession	9.0%	8.0%	6.6%	8.8%	13.7%
Other <sup>2</sup>	5.3%	5.3%	4.9%	2.5%	7.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
Robbery	12.3%	16.3%	16.4%	20.8%	6.9%
Second Degree Assault	16.8%	12.5%	9.8%	6.9%	18.4%
Sex Offense	1.3%	2.1%	1.6%	0.6%	1.1%
Theft	11.8%	8.3%	13.1%	9.4%	14.3%
Trespassing	2.3%	2.1%	3.3%	1.9%	2.3%
Unspecified Misdem.	1.2%	0.6%	1.6%	1.3%	0.5%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>4,737</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>728</b>

\* A single admission may involve multiple offenses; <sup>1</sup> Offenses are listed because there was one (0.02%) CD/EM admission and two (.04%) CD/EM admissions respectively; <sup>2</sup> "Other" includes alcohol violation, BB/pellet gun, bomb threat, cruelty to animals, escape, false alarm, forgery, fraud, gambling, harassment, loitering, reckless endangerment, status offenses, tampering, tobacco violation, verbal threat, and violation of local ordinance

### YOUTH COUNT BY REGION OF RESIDENCE\*, FY 2013



\* Includes CD/EM, Day/Evening Reporting Centers, DRAP, and PACT

### ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013\*

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Alleged Offense)	CD/EM	D/ERC	DRAP	PACT	Shelter Care
Crimes of Violence Fel <sup>+</sup>	25.3%	29.1%	29.5%	27.0%	14.4%
Felony	18.9%	28.8%	27.9%	34.0%	20.3%
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	0.6%	4.9%	0.6%	0.5%
- Property	7.5%	9.2%	9.8%	11.3%	7.0%
- Drugs	10.1%	19.0%	13.1%	22.0%	12.6%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Misdemeanor	50.4%	38.6%	41.0%	35.2%	58.9%
- Person-to-Person	23.5%	17.5%	16.4%	12.6%	25.3%
- Property	16.8%	12.5%	16.4%	12.6%	19.2%
- Drugs	9.0%	8.0%	6.6%	8.8%	13.7%
- Unspecified	1.2%	0.6%	1.6%	1.3%	0.7%
Traffic Offenses	1.5%	0.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Status Offenses	3.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	1.8%	1.6%	1.9%	2.2%
Interstate/Missing	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>4,737</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>728</b>

\* A single admission may involve multiple offenses  
<sup>+</sup> See Appendix L for a description of Crimes of Violence.

### YOUTH COUNT BY DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	75.2%	72.9%	74.8%
White	19.9%	22.2%	20.3%
Hispanic/Other	4.9%	5.0%	4.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	85.3%	83.5%	83.7%
Female	14.7%	16.5%	16.3%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%
12	1.5%	1.7%	1.6%
13	3.8%	4.5%	4.5%
14	9.6%	9.7%	10.9%
15	18.8%	19.1%	19.0%
16	25.7%	25.2%	23.7%
17	31.4%	29.7%	28.6%
18-20	8.4%	9.5%	10.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Youth**</b>	<b>4,206</b>	<b>3,931</b>	<b>3,571</b>

\* Includes CD/EM, Day/Evening Reporting Centers, DRAP, and PACT

\*\* Total represents a count of youth served, since juveniles may be served by multiple ATD programs simultaneously.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Section IV: Committed Programs



Youth working on the ropes course at Savage Mountain Youth Center.





## Introduction to Committed Programs

The DJS Division of Operations is responsible for selecting the most appropriate out-of-home “placement” for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS by the juvenile court. Program placements vary based on the treatment services provided as well as by security level. The full range of DJS placement options include: (a) Foster Care Homes (traditional and treatment foster care); (b) Group Homes (general service, therapeutic, and high intensity); (c) Independent Living Programs (often used as a step-down from a more restrictive program placement); (d) Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs); (e) Intermediate Care Centers for Addictions (ICFAs); (f) DJS-operated Youth Centers; and (g) Treatment Facilities providing secure confinement (both DJS-operated and privately contracted).

### PLACEMENT PROCESS

The goal of the DJS placement process is to select the most appropriate program and treatment services for committed youth. The process begins with a comprehensive assessment of each youth. A staffing meeting is then held to bring together key staff members responsible for resource and treatment service planning. Principal participants include the youth’s case manager, case manager supervisor, and resource coordinator as well as parents and/or guardians who are invited to participate.

At the staffing meeting, all pertinent information collected as part of the assessment is reviewed, including the current offense, delinquency history, social history, MCASP recommended supervision level, MCASP assessment of need, educational records, clinical assessments, as well as the involvement of any other state agency. The staffing meeting culminates with recommendations for program participation and/or treatment services tailored to the circumstances of each youth. DJS then refers the youth’s case to the recommended programs for consideration. Programs may either accept or reject an applicant based on program eligibility criteria and capacity. Upon acceptance, program services are authorized by DJS prior to placement in the program.



**Meadow Mountain youth are learning the value of nutrition and healthy eating.**

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

### CAPACITY, ADP, AND SECURITY TYPE FOR STATE-OPERATED COMMITTED FACILITIES, FY 2013

Facility	Rated Capacity	ADP	Security Type
Backbone MountainYC	48	42.2	Staff
Green RidgeYC	40	38.7	Staff
J. DeWeese Carter Center	14	12.2	Hardware
Meadow MountainYC	40	38.6	Staff
Savage MountainYC	36	36.3	Staff
Victor Cullen Center	48	47.1	Hardware
Wm. Donald Schaefer House	19	15.1	Staff
<i>Total</i>	245	230.2*	N/A

\*Averages may not add to totals due to rounding.

**The utilization rate for State-Operated facilities was 94.0% in FY 2013.**

DJS contracts with private in-state as well as out-of-state vendors to provide services to committed youth. A Certificate of Placement (COP) database is used to manage the referral and placement of youth with private providers. The database facilitates the placement process, for example, by automatically pulling staffing information from the DJS information system (ASSIST), creating program referral letters, and tracking acceptances and rejections from potential programs.

**Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST):** DJS is augmenting the placement process for cases at risk of being committed to out-of-home placement by creating a specialized diagnostic team responsible for assessing youth, who are detained and at risk of placement, prior to court disposition. The new process began implementation in July 2013, and is expected to be in place statewide by December 2013. The MAST team assesses youth for whom DJS will recommend an out-of-home placement at disposition. The diagnostic team includes a psychologist, social worker, community case manager, detention facility case manager supervisor, resource specialist, Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) representative, and other disciplines as needed. Youth who require more specialized assessments (e.g., a neurological, psycho-sexual, or medical assessment) are referred for evaluation. Following this in-depth review, the team submits a report for the juvenile court to consider at disposition, including security and treatment recommendations.

**The Continuum of Care and Central Review Committee (CRC):** On occasion, the initial committed program placement may not be successful. Some youth run away from their placement or are ejected due to misbehavior. The behavioral, emotional, and/or medical needs of youth may also change. New Continuum of Care legislation was passed during the 2012



Legislative Session and became effective June 1, 2012; it was implemented by the Department in July 2012. The legislation authorizes the Department to transfer youth directly from one facility or program to another facility or program (of equal or higher security level) without first requesting the court to modify the commitment order. The flexibility to move youth from one program to another is expected to reduce the need for secure detention as youth will no longer be detained pending court review and the subsequent placement decision-making process. This legislation is a key component of a larger reform effort to improve the assessment of youth, create a continuum of care, and establish length of stay guidelines. To manage this process, the Department established the CRC chaired by the Director of Behavioral Health. The CRC conducts weekly case reviews of youth at risk of removal from a committed residential placement; directs changes in the provision of services; and makes placement transfer decisions.

**CHALLENGE Program:** DJS operates seven committed facilities in Maryland (see table on pg. 121). The CHALLENGE program, was implemented in all of these facilities as of October 1, 2012. CHALLENGE provides youth with clear behavioral expectations within a structured daily routine using positive reinforcers. The program is grounded in the principles of positive reinforcement and modeling and is designed to encourage youth to accept responsibility for their behavior and learn problem-solving and leadership skills. Staff members are trained to teach and model problem-solving and social skills. CHALLENGE facilitates order and security within the facility and promotes an environment characterized by respect and fairness that is conducive to treatment.

## EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

Educational services are provided to youth by Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) certified teachers while in placement. Educational programs seek to maximize their academic achievement as well as the probability that each youth will earn a high school diploma via the earning of credits or passage of the GED. The DJS school curriculum is currently based on the Maryland State Core Learning Goals which are being revised to reflect the National Education Standards. The curriculum includes grade level instruction in English, social studies, mathematics, and science. Middle school coursework and remediation for high school students is also offered in reading, written language, and math as appropriate. In addition to academics, the educational programs provide opportunities for each youth to develop career and technology skills. Eligible youth participate in mandated Maryland School Assessments (MSA) and High School Assessments (HSA). Required services are provided to special education youth per their Individualized Education Programs (IEP).



**Meadow Mountain youth participate in the STEM curriculum aimed to improve students competitiveness in technology development.**

MSDE/Juvenile Services Education (JSE) seeks to collaborate with Local Education Agencies (LEA) as well as non-public and out-of-state schools. MSDE staff at each detention facility help facilitate appropriate instruction to youth in credit-bearing coursework as identified by each LEA through the record transfer process; and facilitate the successful transition of each youth as they return to their respective communities to the local public school or other appropriate educational program. DJS has a School Transition and Reentry Unit comprised of case management staff who assist local case managers as committed youth transition from in-state and out-of-state programs back to community resources.

Community-based private programs serving committed youth achieve appropriate educational programming by sending students to the local public school in which the facility is located. Non-public programs in and out-of-state offer similar services to our students as those provided by the Department and the MSDE/JSE Program as described below; those in the state of Maryland must be approved to operate through the monitoring process of the MSDE Non-Public Approval Branch.

MSDE/JSE provides educational programming to youth at Victor Cullen and J. DeWeese Carter Center. Consistent with the requirements of Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), Title 13A, the program includes instruction in core content subjects, career and technology education (CTE), library/media services, life skills, and special education services. MSDE assumed responsibility for educational programming of the William Donald Schaefer House (October 6, 2012) and the Youth Centers (June 26, 2013) in FY 2013. Students are pre-tested upon entry to the JSE education program to assess baseline academic skills. Teachers use the results to design learning plans for each student which form the basis of daily instruction. After each 60-day period of enrollment, the student is



post-tested to determine academic gains. Students in a JSE program can earn credit toward their Maryland High School Diploma that they may receive upon return to their home high school. Alternately, some students are enrolled in advanced studies classes designed to prepare them to successfully obtain their diploma by examination using the GED tests. Students completing CTE course work receive appropriate industry certifications.

## BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

**Mental Health Services in Facilities:** DJS Behavioral Health Services provides mental health screening, assessment and treatment services at departmental detention and long-term treatment facilities or provides oversight of contracted vendors providing these services. Services include: suicide prevention; crisis intervention and stabilization; medication evaluation and monitoring; brief individual, group, and family therapy; and crisis counseling. As members of the facility team, behavioral health staff and contractors provide support, technical assistance, and education services to the other members of the team on topics related to mental health concerns and medication. In the detention centers, psychiatrists, social workers, and psychologists provide evaluations in order to assist the case management staff in identifying appropriate residential placements or community-based services. These decisions are based on the needs of the youth with public safety in mind.

**Mental Health Services in the Community:** Mental health treatment services received by youth on probation and aftercare are provided by local community providers. DJS Behavioral Health Services does have licensed social workers and licensed professional counselors as members of the regional resource staff and on the MAST teams to provide consultation and assistance to the DJS community staff. The behavioral health clinicians conduct psychosocial assessments for youth who are likely to be placed out-of-home and they also complete Determination of Need certificates for youth who will be placed in community-based placements including foster care, therapeutic foster care, group homes and independent living. Behavioral health clinicians provide a link with the local mental health Core Service Agencies (the local mental health authority) to ensure that appropriate community and residential services are available to DJS youth.

**Substance Abuse Services in Facilities:** DJS Behavioral Health Services provides screening, assessment, and treatment services to youth with substance abuse problems in each of the seven facilities. Certified addiction counselors screen and assess youth in detention and determine appropriate levels of substance abuse treatment needed by youth. These assessments assist case managers in linking youth with appropriate community and residential services. Counselors work closely with the Department's medical director for those youth requiring medication management as a result of their drug addiction. Each facility, with the exception of J. DeWeese Carter Center and Victor Cullen, has a substance abuse treatment component certified

by the Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH), Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ) under the authority of the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration (ADAA). All DJS committed facilities offer the *Seven Challenges* substance abuse treatment, an evidence-based program implemented in 2009. A description of the services provided by each DJS facility is provided below:

- Backbone Mountain Youth Center: Early intervention, outpatient, and intensive outpatient levels of treatment (Certified)
- Green Ridge Youth Center: Early intervention, outpatient, and intensive outpatient levels of treatment (Certified)
- J. DeWeese Carter Center: Early intervention and outpatient levels of treatment (Not Certified)
- Meadow Mountain Youth Center: Intensive outpatient level of treatment (Certified)
- Savage Mountain Youth Center: Early intervention and outpatient levels of treatment (Certified)
- Victor Cullen Center: Early intervention, outpatient, and intensive outpatient levels of treatment (Not Certified)
- William Donald Schaefer House: Long-term (4 months), residential, medium intensity program (Certified)

**Substance Abuse Services in the Community:** The majority of substance abuse services received by youth on probation and aftercare are provided by local community providers. DJS provides linkages to these services through Drug Court and regular probation services. DJS Behavioral Health does provide funding for some of these services, however, the majority of funding comes through the DHMH/ADAA.

In Baltimore County, DJS Behavioral Health does provide substance abuse assessment services at several local offices that assist case managers and the Resource staff in identifying appropriate community and residential services for youth with substance abuse and addiction problems.



Youth from Victor Cullen Center participating in a mentoring program.



History class at William Donald Schaefer House.

**Behavioral Health and Victim Services:** The Director of Behavioral Health and Victim Services has responsibility for establishing and implementing policies governing the delivery of mental health, substance abuse, evidenced-based and victim services, and for hiring licensed and certified staff and contractors. In addition, the Director is responsible for working with other state agencies, including DHMH and the Governor's Office for Children (GOC), to ensure that DJS youth have access to services governed by and/or funded by these agencies. Finally, the Department's headquarters staff provide technical assistance as well as direct intervention to resolve interagency issues for the benefit of DJS youth.

DJS offers assistance, support, and information to all victims of juvenile crime. DJS understands that the judicial process can seem overwhelming and complicated at times. DJS helps victims proceed through the judicial system by providing information and understanding of the juvenile court system, assuring that victims are informed and aware of the rights afforded them under Maryland law, and advocating for their rights. DJS provides assistance with the preparation of victim impact statements and the enforcement of court orders for restitution via the restitution collection process. DJS also informs victims on how to initiate civil action on delinquent restitution accounts.

Referral to appropriate services is also available for all victims of juvenile crime who request assistance. Services may include, but are not limited to, referral for crisis intervention, emergency services, information regarding financial assistance, and information on case status and outcomes. DJS is committed to ensuring that all victims of juvenile crime are treated with dignity, respect, and sensitivity throughout the juvenile justice process.

### **SOMATIC HEALTH**

The Division of Somatic Health Services provides comprehensive, quality health care and nutritional services to youth residing at DJS residential facilities. Its mission is to protect, promote, and

advance the health of all youth in the care of the Department. Medical, dental, and dietary services are provided in an inter-disciplinary fashion that is developmentally appropriate for youth, and are delivered in accordance with standards set forth by the National Commission on Correctional Health Care, the American Academy of Pediatrics, The Centers for Disease Control, and the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academy of Science.

Youth admitted to DJS residential facilities require on-going, routine preventative health care similar to other children their age. In addition, they may have unmet or chronic health care needs upon admission, or may develop an acute health condition that needs to be addressed. DJS ensures that each program has licensed nurses, physicians, dietitians, dental providers, and laboratory and pharmacy services to meet the health care needs of the youth. For specialty, surgical, emergency, or in-patient care that may be required, youth are transported to community providers as needed.

Each youth must have a current nursing assessment, history and physical examination, vision screening, and dental examination upon or soon after admission, as well as screening for tuberculosis, anemia, and sexually transmitted infections. Girls are provided comprehensive gynecological care either on-site or in the community in order to meet individual needs. Vaccine records are requested on all youth, and DJS makes every effort to fully immunize youth who are not up to date on recommended and required vaccinations. Youth are seen at regularly scheduled clinic times and as needed for "sick call" requests. In addition, they are seen at least monthly by nursing staff to check on their overall health status and weight.

In order to prepare youth for success upon re-entry into the community, nurses and other DJS staff provide youth with individual and/or group health education and coordinate discharge planning to ensure that youth have their medications or required follow-up medical appointments with community providers.

### **DJS YOUTH CENTERS - CAREER & TECHNOLOGY AND THE GARRETT COLLEGE PROGRAMS**

Youth Career and Technology programming at the DJS Youth Centers includes traditional building trades and aquaculture (an innovative green employment opportunity in Maryland). The Youth Centers developed an Aquaculture Technician Certificate that outlines necessary employment competencies and drives instruction. The aquaculture program is offered at the Meadow Mountain Youth Center. Successful youth earn an Aquaculture Technician I certificate. The other three Youth Centers also offer vocational programming on-site. Green Ridge and Backbone Mountain Youth Centers offer a 60-hour carpentry program. Students earn a certificate to document successful completion of the carpentry program and achievement of the program's competencies.

At Backbone Mountain Youth Center, there is an innovative program that provides students with the unique opportunity to be simultaneously enrolled in high school classes and introductory



college courses through Garrett College of Maryland. Students are screened through a referral, record review and interview process for acceptance into the program. The Garrett College initiative has been operational for eight years.

The Career and Technology programming has two goals for the youth – high school completion and job skill development. All students have opportunities to participate in multiple community projects in order to put into practice the skills learned in the classroom.

### CLASSIFICATION

All DJS-operated facilities utilize an objective internal classification system to assess a youth’s potential vulnerability and supervision needs. The results of the classification assessment are used to guide appropriate housing decisions and room assignments. The classification assessment is implemented for all youth upon admission to the facility, and allows for reclassification in response to circumstances or special needs that may require modification of housing or room assignment.

The Housing Classification Assessment considers the following factors when making housing and room assignments: (a) the severity of the current charge or adjudication; (b) the severity of the most serious


#### A Note to Readers:

*It is strongly recommended that readers review the following distinction as it will assist in understanding data presented in this section:*

**Placement versus Admission:** A placement is based on a decision made by the Department or a judge to place a youth into a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

prior adjudication; (c) the number of prior serious incidents in custody (youth-on-youth or youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes); (d) age, size, and offense history of youth, especially when assigning two or more youth to a room; and (e) special needs including suicide risk, mental health, or other concerns that may merit higher or special supervision.

## DJS State-Operated Committed Programs by Region






## Statewide Total

At disposition, the juvenile court may commit a youth to the care of DJS. Legal custody of the youth is thereby transferred to the Department. A range of out-of-home program options (or placements) have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operates seven facilities in Maryland and contracts with others both in-state and out-of-state.

*Note: Though Community-Based Family Therapy Programs are presented within this section (pages 160-161), this data is not included on the statewide tables as these programs are not solely for committed youth. Data on the statewide pages only includes youth in committed placements.*

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2011-2013




- **Trends for Committed Placements:**
  - Committed placements increased 1.1% between FY 2011 and FY 2012 and decreased 0.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **First Time Placements (FY 2013):**
  - Of the 1,770 committed placements, 41.5% were first time placements.
- **Juveniles Placed (FY 2013):**
  - 26.4% were from the Metro Region, 20.2% were from Baltimore City, and 17.6% were from the Southern Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 71.4% were from Washington D.C., and 8.6% were from Delaware.
- **Offense Type (FY 2013):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Second Degree Assault (20.5%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2013):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (26.7%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (25.2%).
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS for all committed placements was 172.5 days.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP for all committed placements in FY 2013 was 951.4.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 55.6% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
  - 17.3% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 18.0% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	70.1%	70.6%	68.5%
White	24.3%	24.5%	25.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.5%	4.9%	5.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	85.5%	85.8%	84.1%
Female	14.5%	14.2%	15.9%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
12	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%
13	2.3%	3.0%	3.1%
14	7.8%	7.4%	8.4%
15	17.3%	16.5%	17.9%
16	26.8%	27.0%	24.6%
17	31.8%	31.6%	31.2%
18-20	13.0%	13.7%	14.0%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>1,751</b>	<b>1,780</b>	<b>1,770</b>

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	0.5%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.3%
Carjacking	0.4%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%
Disturbing the Peace	4.4%
First Degree Assault	1.1%
Handgun Violation	1.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%
Manslaughter	0.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%
Murder	0.2%
Narcotics Distribution	3.3%
Narcotics Possession	11.5%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	3.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%
Robbery	9.2%
Second Degree Assault	20.5%
Sex Offense	2.7%
Theft Felony	1.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	12.9%
Trespassing	1.5%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.3%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>17.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.2%
- Property	4.1%
- Drugs	3.4%
- Unspecified	3.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>66.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	26.7%
- Property	25.2%
- Drugs	11.5%
- Unspecified	3.4%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.5%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.5%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>1,770</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

### COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS FOR FY 2011-2013, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2013


	Placements			FY 2013	
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	124	91	115	69.2	268.2
Group Home	454	453	450	262.1	206.2
Indep. Living	76	48	43	28.6	199.9
ICFA	251	249	237	34.7	48.1
RTC	286	275	298	160.1	204.3
State Operated	529	638	630	230.1	129.8
<i>Staff Secure<sup>1</sup></i>	<i>408</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>494</i>	<i>170.9</i>	<i>122.6</i>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>136</i>	<i>59.2</i>	<i>157.3</i>
Silver Oak	63	67	67	46.8	266.2
Out-of-State	139	169	126	119.7	288.5
<i>RTC</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>15.3</i>	<i>290.7</i>
<i>Staff Secure</i>	<i>83</i>	<i>98</i>	<i>69</i>	<i>60.3</i>	<i>274.7</i>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>44.1</i>	<i>316.0</i>
<b>Total*</b>	<b>1,751</b>	<b>1,780</b>	<b>1,770</b>	<b>951.4**</b>	<b>172.5</b>

<sup>1</sup> During FY 2013, Schaefer House was reclassified as a staff-secure facility therefore, the data reflects that classification.

\* Statewide total placement counts excludes transfers within and between program/program types, therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

\*\* Data in this section include some probation and pre-disposition cases placed in hospital or diagnostic residential placements ordered by juvenile court.

### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.


\* Juveniles transferred to another program



## Statewide Females Only

Female youth represent approximately 15% of the population of youth committed to the care of the Department. Generally, girls are less likely than boys to be committed by the court for the commission of a felony offense and are more likely to report physical abuse, sexual abuse, and higher levels of family dysfunction. With the exception of staff secure behavioral facilities such as the DJS-operated Youth Centers, the same continuum of placement options is available to both girls and boys. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), and the J. DeWeese Carter Center (a hardware secure, DJS-operated facility for girls). Out-of-state contracted programs are also available, however, girls are most likely to be placed in a group home or RTC. Placement in an out-of-state program is uncommon.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2011-2013





- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
  - Committed placements increased 11.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and increased 11.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- *First Time Placements (FY 2013):*
  - Of the 282 total committed placements, 44.0% were first time placements.
- *Juveniles Placed (FY 2013):*
  - 20.9% were from the Metro Region, 20.6% were from the Southern Region, and 16.7% were from the Central Region
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 50.0% were from Washington D.C., and 25.0% were from Delaware.
- *Offense Type (FY 2013):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Second Degree Assault (29.1%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2013):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (38.7%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (26.6%).
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS for all committed placements was 162.9 days.
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP for all committed placements in FY 2013 was 148.8.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 36.9% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
  - 23.1% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 20.0% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	58.3%	59.9%	56.7%
White	37.4%	36.9%	39.4%
Hispanic/Other	4.3%	3.2%	3.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
12	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
13	2.8%	5.2%	5.3%
14	9.4%	11.5%	9.6%
15	16.1%	19.8%	22.0%
16	26.8%	27.4%	26.2%
17	33.9%	24.2%	26.6%
18-20	10.6%	11.5%	8.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>282</b>

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.1%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.4%
Carjacking	0.4%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%
Disturbing the Peace	8.5%
First Degree Assault	1.4%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%
Murder	0.4%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	8.9%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.4%
Resisting Arrest	2.5%
Robbery	0.4%
Second Degree Assault	29.1%
Sex Offense	0.7%
Theft Felony	3.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	17.4%
Trespassing	1.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.5%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>6.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.4%
- Property	6.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.5%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>79.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	38.7%
- Property	26.6%
- Drugs	8.9%
- Unspecified	5.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.1%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.4%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>282</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses


\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

### FEMALE COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS FOR FY 2011-2013, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2013

	Placements			FY 2013	
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	43	23	30	17.3	281.9
Group Home	100	100	114	51.7	155.1
Indep. Living	21	13	9	7.8	174.7
ICFA	46	49	44	7.0	56.1
RTC	75	84	94	47.4	180.0
State Operated	12	19	25	12.2	188.7
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>12.2</i>	<i>188.7</i>
Out-of-State	1	3	6	5.5	321.1
<i>RTC</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2.8</i>	<i>295.9</i>
<i>Staff Secure</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>346.4</i>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
<b>Total*</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>148.8</b>	<b>162.9</b>

\*Total placement count excludes transfers within and between program and/or program types; therefore may not add up to the total program type provided in this table.

### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.


\* Juveniles transferred to another program



## Statewide Under Age 13 Only

Youth who are under 13 and committed to the care of DJS may be placed in a range of residential facilities. State owned and operated committed facilities are designed for youth age 13 years and older. Because of the needs of under age 13 youth, they are most frequently placed in treatment foster care, group homes, therapeutic group homes, diagnostic units, or Residential Treatment Centers. Wherever placed, youth under age 13 receive all the same services that other committed youth receive.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2011-2013




### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	81.3%	76.9%	68.8%
White	18.8%	23.1%	31.3%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	93.8%	92.3%	75.0%
Female	6.3%	7.7%	25.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	31.3%	30.8%	37.5%
12	68.8%	69.2%	62.5%
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A
17	N/A	N/A	N/A
18-20	N/A	N/A	N/A
Error/Missing	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>

- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
  - Committed placements did not change between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and increased 23.1% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- *First Time Placements (FY 2013):*
  - Of the 16 total committed placements, 68.8% were first time placements.
- *Juveniles Placed (FY 2013):*
  - 31.2% were from the Metro Region, 25.0% were from the Eastern Shore Region, and 18.8% were from Central Region.
  - There were no juveniles from out-of-state.
- *Offense Type (FY 2013):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Second Degree Assault (50.0%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2013):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (68.8%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (12.5%).
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS for all committed placements was 140.3 days.
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP for all committed placements in FY 2013 was 24.2.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 30.0% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
  - 20.0% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 20.0% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	6.2%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	18.8%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	18.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	50.0%
Sex Offense	6.2%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	6.2%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>81.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	68.8%
- Property	12.5%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>16</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


### UNDER 13 COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS FOR FY 2011-2013, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2013\*

	Placements			FY 2013	
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	7	1	3	10.1	158.5
Group Home	4	2	3	4.2	134.4
Indep. Living	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
ICFA	1	0	0	0.0	0.0
RTC	6	11	10	9.9	137.7
State Operated	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Staff Secure	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Hardware Sec.	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Silver Oak	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Out-of-State	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
RTC	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Staff Secure	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Hardware Sec.	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Total**</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>24.2</b>	<b>140.3</b>

\*ADP and ALOS reflect youth who were released in FY 2013 and were 13 years of age at the time of admission.

\*\*Total placement count excludes transfers within and between program and/or program types; therefore may not add up to the total program type provided in this table.

### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program



## Foster Care

Regular Family Foster Care (FC) is continuous 24-hour care and supportive services provided to a youth in a DJS-approved family home. The foster family serves low-risk youth who cannot be managed in their own homes. Youth served may be experiencing behavioral problems and need a respite from family/neighborhood issues. Foster Care also serves youth who need long-term placement, primarily because homes may not be appropriate for them to return. Treatment Foster Care (TFC) is continuous 24-hour care and intensive support services operated by a licensed child placement agency or local Department of Social Services in a family setting for children with serious emotional, behavioral, medical, and/or psychological conditions. The behaviors of the youth served are not so severe that removal from the community is necessary.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2013

Treatment Foster Care	County	Adm.	ADP
Arrow Child & Fam. Ministries	Balt. Co.	2	2.8
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.	0	N/A
Children's Home	Balt. Co.	2	0.9
Greenleaf	Mont.	1	0.3
Hearts & Homes - Family Ties	Mont.	1	1.6
Maple Shade After Care	Wicomico	0	0.2 <sup>+</sup>
Ment. MD-Balt Teens in Trans	Balt. Co.	36	17.0
Ment. MD-Lanham Childr. Ser.	Pr. George's	1	1.4
Ment. MD - Salisbury Teens	Wicomico	53	27.4
Multi-Dimen. Com. Solutions	Balt. Co.	1	0.6
New Pathways	Balt. Co.	0	N/A
Pressley Ridge	Allegany	8	8.0
PSI Services III	Balt. Co.	3	0.7
San Mar	Washington	2	1.8
Woodbourne Center	Balt. City	4	1.4
In-Home Foster Care			
Individual Families	N/A	4	5.2
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<b>118</b>	<b>69.2</b>


<sup>+</sup>Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2013, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.



Pressley Ridge Treatment Foster Care in Allegany County

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, overall placements to foster care decreased 7.3%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2013, Mentor Maryland - Salisbury Teens had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2013):**
  - 26.1% were from Baltimore City, 22.6% were from the Eastern Shore Region, and 16.5% were from the Southern Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 100.0% were from Delaware.
- **Offense Type (FY 2013):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Second Degree Assault (29.6%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2013):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (40.9%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (20.0%).
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 6.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 4.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 7.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 2.5% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 19.6% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
  - 38.1% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 24.7% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.8%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	1.7%
Deadly Weapon	2.6%
Disturbing the Peace	4.3%
First Degree Assault	1.7%
Handgun Violation	0.9%
Malicious Destruction	2.6%
Manslaughter	1.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.9%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.7%
Narcotics Possession	5.2%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.9%
Resisting Arrest	2.6%
Robbery	4.3%
Second Degree Assault	29.6%
Sex Offense	11.3%
Theft Felony	0.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.0%
Trespassing	0.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.6%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>15.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	6.1%
- Property	3.5%
- Drugs	1.7%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>70.4%</b>
- Person-to-Person	40.9%
- Property	20.0%
- Drugs	5.2%
- Unspecified	4.3%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.9%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.7%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>115</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	73.4%	61.5%	61.7%
White	22.6%	34.1%	33.9%
Hispanic/Other	4.0%	4.4%	4.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	65.3%	74.7%	73.9%
Female	34.7%	25.3%	26.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	2.4%	0.0%	0.9%
12	3.2%	1.1%	1.7%
13	0.0%	6.6%	4.3%
14	8.9%	5.5%	3.5%
15	17.7%	11.0%	9.6%
16	21.0%	13.2%	11.3%
17	25.0%	20.9%	24.3%
18-20	21.8%	41.8%	44.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>115</b>

### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Group Homes (General and Therapeutic)

General group homes are non-profit residential programs for youth in out-of-home placement licensed by DHR, DJS, or the Mental Hygiene Administration (MHA), part of DHMH. They provide out-of-home care for four or more youth who are moderate- to high-risk, and need more structure and supervision than a relative, foster parent, or treatment foster parent could offer. General group homes also provide a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services. Therapeutic group homes (TGH) are residential programs for youth in out-of-home care that are licensed by MHA and must be non-profit organizations. TGHs provide access to a range of diagnostic and therapeutic mental health services to youth who are moderate- to high-risk and are emotionally or developmentally disabled. Those TGHs that provide educational programs on their grounds are considered to be staff secure programs.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2013


Group Homes	County	Adm.	ADP
ARC of Washington Co.	Washington	7	2.2
Arrow Child & Family Ministr.	Harford	0	0.6 <sup>+</sup>
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.	2	2.5
Catocin Summit Adol. Prog	Frederick	26	9.4
Cedar Ridge	Washington	28	17.1
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	Balt. Co.	13	7.1
Greentree Adolescent	Mont.	28	16.1
Hearts and Homes	Mont/Pr. G	63	26.0
Karma Academy for Boys	Balt. Co.	11	7.3
Kent Youth Boys	Kent	15	9.0
KEYS	Balt. City	0	0.3 <sup>+</sup>
MAGIC	Balt. Co.	4	1.0
MD Salem Children's Trust	Garrett	23	8.3
MD Sheriff's Youth Ranch	Frederick	12	6.5
Morning Star Youth Academy	Dorchester	43	25.4
Oak Hill House	Washington	16	12.1
One Love	Balt. City	8	5.6
Our House	Mont.	13	10.5
Salem Residential	Allegany	3	4.7
San Mar - Anna Findlay	Washington	12	6.3
San Mar - Graff Academy	Washington	3	0.1
Shining Tree	Washington	8	10.2
St. Ann's Infant & Maternity Pr.	Pr. George's	6	3.8
TuTTie's Place	Balt. City	1	0.1
Way Home - Mt Manor	Balt. City	20	6.5
<b>Therapeutic Group Homes</b>			
Board of Child Care	Charl/Calv	38	25.5
Cedar Ridge	Washington	11	6.2
Guide	City/Pr. G	7	7.4
Maple Sh. Mardela Spec. Care	Wicomico	2	1.3
Mary's Mount Manor*	An. Arund	16	7.5
Redl House*	Mont.	15	6.7
San Mar - Allegany Girls	Allegany	10	7.0
San Mar - Jack E. Barr	Washington	2	1.7
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>262.1</b>

\*Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2013, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

\* Hearts and Homes

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, overall placements to group homes decreased 0.9%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2013, Hearts and Homes had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2013):**
  - 25.6% were from the Metro Region, 19.6% were from the Southern Region, and 15.1% were from Baltimore City.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 87.5% were from Washington D.C., and 12.5% were from Pennsylvania.
- **Offense Type (FY 2013):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Second Degree Assault (19.3%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2013):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (29.6%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (27.6%).
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 3.5% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 5.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP increased 11.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 0.5% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 40.7% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
  - 31.6% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 18.6% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	0.2%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.7%
Carjacking	0.2%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.3%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.1%
First Degree Assault	0.7%
Handgun Violation	0.4%
Malicious Destruction	5.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.9%
Narcotics Possession	10.9%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.7%
Resisting Arrest	1.6%
Robbery	6.0%
Second Degree Assault	19.3%
Sex Offense	5.3%
Theft Felony	2.2%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.3%
Trespassing	1.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>14.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	1.8%
- Property	4.7%
- Drugs	1.1%
- Unspecified	2.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.6%
- Property	27.6%
- Drugs	10.9%
- Unspecified	3.3%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.7%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>450</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	65.2%	70.0%	62.9%
White	28.2%	25.6%	31.8%
Hispanic/Other	6.6%	4.4%	5.3%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	78.0%	77.9%	74.7%
Female	22.0%	22.1%	25.3%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.7%	0.4%	0.7%
13	4.4%	5.5%	5.6%
14	9.7%	9.3%	11.1%
15	21.6%	19.6%	18.9%
16	22.7%	25.8%	24.7%
17	30.8%	29.8%	30.0%
18-20	9.9%	9.3%	9.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>454</i>	<i>453</i>	<i>450</i>

### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Independent Living Programs

Independent living programs are implemented by a child placement agency licensed by the Department of Human Resources (DHR) for youth ages 15 to 21 who need to become self-sufficient and learn responsible living as they are unlikely to return home. The youth reside in either group homes or supervised apartment units, and must enroll in high school, college, vocational training, or be gainfully employed. Services may include assisting youth to graduate from high school or complete vocational/job training; connecting youth to resources for somatic and mental health services; and, teaching interpersonal skills, money management, job readiness, conflict management and communication skills. Independent living programs also provide positive leisure opportunities. Older youth who are returning to the community from staff secure or hardware secure placements and who, for a variety of reasons, are not returning to family are transitioned to independent living programs.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2013


Independent Living	County	Adm.	ADP
Damamli	Balt. Co.	1	2.8
Future Bound	Mont.	4	2.4
Jumoke	Balt. City	10	5.2
Ment. MD - Balt Teens in Trans	Balt. Co.	5	3.2
Ment. MD - Salisbury	Wicomico	6	2.8
New Pathways - Independ Plus	Balt. City	2	1.7
San Mar - Anderson House	Washington	1	1.0
Alternative Living Units			
Arrow Child & Family Ministri.	Balt. Co.	3	0.5
NCIA Youth in Transition	Balt. Co.	11	9.1
Respite Care			
Sheppard Pratt Towson Respite	Balt. Co.	1	0.1
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<b>44</b>	<b>28.6</b>



The Spring Greenhouse from NCIA Youth in Transition's horticulture initiative for youth

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, overall placements to independent living programs decreased 43.4%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2013, NCIA Youth in Transition had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2013):**
  - 46.5% were from Baltimore City, 23.3% were from the Metro Region, and 9.3% were from the Central Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 100.0% were from Washington D.C.
- **Offense Type (FY 2013):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Sex offenses (18.6%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2013):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (20.9%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (18.6%).
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS increased 3.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 13.8% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 25.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 17.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 11.1% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
  - 55.6% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 16.7% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	4.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	2.3%
Carjacking	2.3%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	4.7%
Disturbing the Peace	4.7%
First Degree Assault	2.3%
Handgun Violation	2.3%
Malicious Destruction	4.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.7%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	9.3%
Narcotics Possession	9.3%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	2.3%
Second Degree Assault	9.3%
Sex Offense	18.6%
Theft Felony	7.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.3%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.3%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>11.6%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>30.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	9.3%
- Property	11.6%
- Drugs	9.3%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>51.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	20.9%
- Property	18.6%
- Drugs	9.3%
- Unspecified	2.3%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>4.7%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.3%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>43</b>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	84.2%	83.3%	72.1%
White	15.8%	12.5%	20.9%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	4.2%	7.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	72.4%	72.9%	79.1%
Female	27.6%	27.1%	20.9%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
15	5.3%	4.2%	0.0%
16	7.9%	16.7%	7.0%
17	36.8%	22.9%	25.6%
18-20	48.7%	56.2%	67.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>43</b>

### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.





## Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFAs)

An ICFA is the most intensive level for residential substance abuse services, providing drug and alcohol abuse assessment, treatment, and/or education for moderate- to high-risk youth. Youth served may have problems in other aspects of their lives, i.e., mental health, school, family, peer group, and/or community. These programs are intense, closed programs able to serve not only substance abusing youth, but also dually diagnosed youth, that is, youth who have both a psychiatric diagnosis and an assessed substance abuse problem. These programs are intended to stabilize youth, initiate drug treatment and/or counseling services, and develop recommendations for services upon discharge.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2013

ICFA	County	Adm.	ADP
Lois E. Jackson Unit	Allegany	124	19.7
Mountain Manor Drug Tr. Ctr.	Balt. City	113	15.0
<i>Total Admissions</i>	<i>All</i>	<i>237</i>	<i>34.7</i>

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



Lois E. Jackson Unit Courtyard

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
  - Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, overall placements to ICFAs decreased 5.6%.
- *Program Admissions:*
  - In FY 2013, The Jackson Unit had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2013):*
  - 26.6% were from the Southern Region, 21.1% were from the Central Region, and 16.9% were from Baltimore City.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 50.0% were from Texas, and 50.0% were from West Virginia.
- *Offense Type (FY 2013):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Narcotics Possession (27.8%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2013):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Drug offenses (27.8%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (21.1%).
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 3.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 4.4% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP increased 5.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 8.1% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 69.9% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
  - 7.7% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 15.4% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense Offense Type	FY 2013
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.9%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	2.1%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%
Disturbing the Peace	4.2%
First Degree Assault	0.4%
Handgun Violation	0.4%
Malicious Destruction	5.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	5.5%
Narcotics Possession	27.8%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.3%
Robbery	1.3%
Second Degree Assault	14.8%
Sex Offense	0.4%
Theft Felony	3.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.4%
Trespassing	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.1%
<b>Offense Category</b>	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>8.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.4%
- Property	4.6%
- Drugs	5.5%
- Unspecified	1.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	19.8%
- Property	21.1%
- Drugs	27.8%
- Unspecified	3.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.6%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>237</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	51.0%	40.2%	43.0%
White	45.8%	56.2%	55.3%
Hispanic/Other	3.2%	3.6%	1.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	81.7%	80.3%	81.4%
Female	18.3%	19.7%	18.6%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
14	4.0%	3.2%	3.0%
15	10.8%	9.6%	14.8%
16	24.7%	22.9%	23.6%
17	36.3%	42.6%	39.2%
18-20	23.1%	21.7%	19.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>249</i>	<i>237</i>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs)

RTCs are residential treatment facilities that provide more intensive psychiatric and psychological treatment services. They are required to have psychiatrists, psychologists and psychiatric nurses on staff to lead treatment. Maryland RTCs are approved for operation through the State Certificate of Need (CON) process and are licensed through the Mental Health Administration. Some RTCs concentrate on specific populations of youth. For example, locked RTCs focus on youth with behavioral problems and/or who are considered to be potentially harmful to self or others. The RTCs are intended to stabilize the youth's emotional condition; to provide services that increase the youth's ability to manage their mental illness as a potentially life-long challenge; to help the youth develop social skills for coping with both daily and difficult situations and interpersonal relationships; and, to transition the youth to a less restrictive environment or to home.

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2013

Residential Treatment Fac.	County	Adm.	ADP
Behav. Health - Anne Arundel*	An. Arund	0	N/A
Behav. Health - Eastern Shore*	Dorchester	25	12.9
Good Shepherd Ctr Female Prg	Balt. Co.	23	20.3
Good Shepherd Ctr Male Prg	Balt. Co.	6	6.6
Jefferson School	Frederick	13	17.3
New Dir. Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.	16	18.5
Potomac Ridge RTC	Mont.	15	11.6
RICA Baltimore RTC	Balt. City	9	4.8
RICA Rockville RTC	Mont.	2	1.0
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	Balt. Co.	15	8.0
Villa Maria	Balt. Co.	4	4.3
Woodbourne	Balt. City	20	27.2
<b>Psychiatric Hospitals<sup>1</sup></b>			
Behav. Health Hosp. Rockville	Mont.	4	1.0
Eastern Shore Acute Unit*	Dorchester	0	0.2 <sup>+</sup>
Sheppard Pratt Hosp. Towson	Balt. Co.	4	0.1
Southern MD Hospital Center	Pr. George's	1	0.0 <sup>**</sup>
Spring Grove Hospital Center	Balt. Co.	77	7.3
Springfield Adult Hospital Ctr.	Carroll	5	1.0
Thomas Finan Ctr Adult Psych Prg	Allegany	1	0.3
<b>Diagnostic Unit/Clinical Evaluation Unit (CEU)<sup>1</sup></b>			
Arrow Child & Fam Ministries	Balt. Co.	35	8.8
Children's Home Diag Shelter	Balt. Co.	3	0.9
RICA Rockville Diag Unit/CEU	Mont.	6	1.7
Woodbourne Child Diag Tr Ctr	Balt. City	29	6.2
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<b>313</b>	<b>160.1</b>

\*Potomac Ridge


\*\*ADP is 0.0 due to rounding- actual ADP is 0.024.

<sup>1</sup> Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Unit/CEUs are included on the RTC table because similar services are provided at these facilities.

\*Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2013, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, overall placements to residential treatment centers increased 4.2%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2013, Spring Grove Hospital Center had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2013):**
  - 27.5% were from the Southern Region, 19.5% were from the Eastern Shore Region, and 17.4% were from the Metro Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 50.0% were from Washington D.C., 25% were from Delaware, and 25% were from Pennsylvania.
- **Offense Type (FY 2013):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Second Degree Assault (33.2%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2013):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (43.6%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (23.2%).
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 8.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 1.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP decreased 2.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 3.3% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 43.0% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
  - 12.9% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 27.5% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013


Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	1.3%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.4%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	2.3%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.4%
First Degree Assault	0.3%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.4%
Manslaughter	0.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.7%
Murder	0.7%
Narcotics Distribution	1.0%
Narcotics Possession	7.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	3.7%
Resisting Arrest	2.0%
Robbery	3.4%
Second Degree Assault	33.2%
Sex Offense	5.7%
Theft Felony	1.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	12.4%
Trespassing	2.7%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.3%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>10.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
- Person-to-Person	2.7%
- Property	3.0%
- Drugs	1.3%
- Unspecified	2.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>75.5%</b>
- Person-to-Person	43.6%
- Property	23.2%
- Drugs	7.0%
- Unspecified	1.7%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>298</i>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses  
 \* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	55.9%	58.5%	58.7%
White	39.9%	38.2%	37.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.2%	3.3%	3.7%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	73.8%	69.5%	68.5%
Female	26.2%	30.5%	31.5%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.3%	1.5%	1.7%
12	1.7%	2.5%	1.7%
13	5.9%	8.0%	7.7%
14	15.4%	16.0%	13.8%
15	21.0%	19.6%	22.8%
16	26.2%	26.9%	23.8%
17	22.7%	18.9%	22.5%
18-20	6.6%	6.5%	6.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>286</i>	<i>275</i>	<i>298</i>

### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Out-of-State (OOS) Programs

DJS' policy states that youth may not be placed out-of-state without the approval of the Secretary or designee. The Department adheres to Interstate Compact requirements and agreements with other states regarding requests for permission and notifications when youth are placed in another state. Maryland Law includes specific criteria for out-of-state placement including the condition that a youth's individualized needs cannot be met through in-state resources. Youth placed in out-of-state facilities are visited by DJS staff at least quarterly, and parents/guardians are provided with opportunities to visit youth at least once per quarter. *Note: Although MD Law specifies numerous OOS program types (i.e. group homes, RTCs, hospitals, etc.), data will be presented on this page only for programs not already captured on a previous page in the Data Resource Guide.*

### ADMISSIONS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2013

Residential Treatment Facility	State	Adm.	ADP
AdvoServ	DE	0	0.4 <sup>+</sup>
Boys' Town	NE	1	2.7
Devereux (Florida)	FL	1	1.3
Devereux (Georgia)	GA	4	1.9
Devereux (Pennsylvania)	PA	1	0.4
Keystone Newport News	VA	0	1.3 <sup>+</sup>
New Hope Carolinas	SC	2	1.5
Three Rivers	SC	0	0.7 <sup>+</sup>
UHS of Del-Gulf Coast Treat Ctr	FL	1	1.3
UHS of Del-Laurel Oaks Behav. Hlth.	AL	4	3.8
<b>Staff Secure</b>			
Bennington School - Intens. Care	VT	0	0.1 <sup>+</sup>
Canyon State Academy	AZ	4	6.0
Clarinda Acad. Residen Treat Pr	IA	17	12.5
Cornell Abraxas	PA	1	0.9
Glen Mills School	PA	11	8.3
KidLink Netw-Found. for Living	OH	4	0.7
Lakeside Academy	MI	0	0.2 <sup>+</sup>
Natchez Trace Youth Academy*	TN	14	15.4
Summit Academy	PA	7	6.1
Woodward Academy**	IA	11	10.1
<b>Hardware Secure</b>			
Cornell Abraxas Academy	PA	11	20.8
Mid-Atlantic Yth Serv-Luzerne Co	PA	12	7.0
Mid-Atlantic Yth Serv - Western	PA	10	10.3
NHS Yth Services, Inc - NW Acad.	PA	8	3.6
Turning Point Youth Center***	MI	4	2.4
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<i>All</i>	<i>128</i>	<i>119.7</i>



<sup>+</sup>Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2013, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs; \* Keystone Continuum LLC; \*\* Woodward Youth Corporation DBA \*\*\*CCS of Lansing, Inc



Mid-Atlantic Youth Services -Western facility.

- **Trends for Program Placements:**
  - Between FY 2011 and FY 2013, overall placements to out-of-state programs decreased 9.4%.
- **Program Admissions:**
  - In FY 2013, Clarinda Academy Residential Treatment Program had the highest number of admissions.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2013):**
  - 38.1% were from Baltimore City, 28.6% were from the Metro Region, and 11.1% were from the Central Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 91.7% were from Washington D.C., and 8.3% were from Delaware.
- **Offense Type (FY 2013):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Second Degree Assault (16.7%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2013):**
  - The two most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (27.8%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (20.6%).
  - See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 3.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 1.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP increased 1.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 2.8% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 66.2% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
  - 18.3% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 10.6% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	1.6%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.8%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.6%
Carjacking	4.8%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	7.1%
Deadly Weapon	2.4%
Disturbing the Peace	0.8%
First Degree Assault	1.6%
Handgun Violation	2.4%
Malicious Destruction	2.4%
Manslaughter	0.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.8%
Murder	1.6%
Narcotics Distribution	4.8%
Narcotics Possession	7.9%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%
Robbery	14.3%
Second Degree Assault	16.7%
Sex Offense	2.4%
Theft Felony	2.4%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.3%
Trespassing	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.4%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>27.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>19.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	3.2%
- Property	4.0%
- Drugs	4.8%
- Unspecified	7.9%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>50.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	20.6%
- Property	19.0%
- Drugs	7.9%
- Unspecified	3.2%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>126</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	87.8%	89.3%	88.1%
White	7.2%	5.3%	7.1%
Hispanic/Other	5.0%	5.3%	4.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	99.3%	98.2%	95.2%
Female	0.7%	1.8%	4.8%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.7%	0.6%	2.4%
14	3.6%	3.6%	4.8%
15	12.9%	14.2%	10.3%
16	28.1%	26.6%	23.0%
17	38.1%	37.9%	28.6%
18-20	16.5%	17.2%	31.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>126</i>

### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Backbone Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Danjuma Gaskins  
Rated Capacity: 48 Males

**Staff Secure - Region III - Western**

Rte 1, 124 Camp 4 Road  
Swanton, MD 21562  
301-359-9190

The Youth Center opened in 1966 as a 35-bed forestry camp on Backbone Mountain in Garrett County with funds appropriated by the General Assembly and became a youth center in 1977. On June 26, 2013, the Maryland State Department of Education assumed responsibility for the educational program and began providing academic and vocational services. Previously, DJS had provided the educational program. The school offers a 12-month schedule with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, and individualized programs to prepare students for the GED exam. The College Program is offered in conjunction with Garrett College of Maryland. Students are screened through a referral, record review, and interview for acceptance into the program. Selected students are admitted for one college semester while in the DJS's care and earn a Maryland High School Diploma as well as up to fifteen college credits. Youth receive individual and group substance abuse interventions, individual therapy/counseling, and psychiatric services. Backbone Mountain also implements CHALLENGE, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2011-2013



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	81.1%	83.6%	76.7%
White	11.3%	10.2%	14.2%
Hispanic/Other	7.5%	6.2%	9.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.9%	4.7%	9.2%
15	13.2%	14.8%	16.7%
16	25.5%	28.9%	23.3%
17	37.7%	36.7%	39.2%
18-20	21.7%	14.8%	10.8%
Error/Missing	0.9%	0.0%	0.8%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>120</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 13.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 6.3% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2013):

- 30.0% were from the Metro Region, 22.5% were from Baltimore City, and 18.3% were from the Southern Region.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 25.0% were from each of the following: Washington D.C., Mississippi, Pennsylvania, & Virginia.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2013):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Second Degree Assault (15.8%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2013):

- The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Property offenses (27.5%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (21.7%).
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 23.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 11.5% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 11.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 10.4% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Completion Status:

- 52.9% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
- 7.4% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
- 37.5% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

#### • Utilization Rate:

- Backbone Mountain's utilization rate for FY 2013 was 87.9% (based on the facility's rated capacity).




## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	9.2%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%
Disturbing the Peace	5.0%
First Degree Assault	0.8%
Handgun Violation	0.8%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.8%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	6.7%
Narcotics Possession	9.2%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%
Robbery	15.0%
Second Degree Assault	15.8%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.3%
Trespassing	3.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.5%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	3.3%
- Drugs	6.7%
- Unspecified	6.7%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>60.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	21.7%
- Property	27.5%
- Drugs	9.2%
- Unspecified	2.5%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.7%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>120</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Green Ridge Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Judy Hodel  
Rated Capacity: 40 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road  
Flintstone, MD 21530  
301-478-2930

Built originally as a Civilian Conservation Corps Camp, Green Ridge Youth Center has had a variety of uses in its 90-year history. Currently, Green Ridge is a treatment center for males age 13-18. The facility offers three types of programming including drug treatment using the Seven Challenges program: (1) a 90-day Wilderness-based program for youth in their first commitment that provides time to assess current life situations and gives youth basic skills for successful social adjustment; (2) a 6-9 month Intensive Outpatient Program to help youth needing intensive drug treatment; and, (3) two 6-9 month groups for youth needing intensive behavior and social modification. On June 26, 2013, the Maryland State Department of Education assumed responsibility for educational programming and began providing academic and vocational services. Previously, DJS provided the educational program. The school offers a 12-month schedule including six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, and individualized programs to prepare students for the GED exam. Green Ridge also implements CHALLENGE, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2011-2013



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	61.1%	62.7%	66.4%
White	27.8%	30.9%	18.9%
Hispanic/Other	11.1%	6.4%	14.8%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	1.1%	1.8%	0.8%
14	10.0%	5.5%	8.2%
15	25.6%	19.1%	20.5%
16	27.8%	31.8%	30.3%
17	26.7%	31.8%	24.6%
18-20	8.9%	10.0%	15.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>122</b>

- **Placement Trends:**

- Placements increased 35.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and increased 10.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

- **Region of Residence (FY 2013):**

- 51.6% were from the Metro Region, 25.4% were from the Western Region, and 8.2% were from the Central Region.
- There were no placements from Out-of-State at this facility.

- **Offense Type (FY 2013):**

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Second Degree Assault (23.0%).

- **Offense Category (FY 2013):**

- The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (33.6%) and Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (22.1%).
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

- **Average Length of Stay:**

- The average LOS decreased 28.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 15.2% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

- **Average Daily Population:**

- ADP decreased 2.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 0.3% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

- **Completion Status:**

- 77.4% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
- 8.9% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
- 9.7% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

- **Utilization Rate:**

- Green Ridge Youth Center's utilization rate for FY 2013 was 96.8% (based on the facility's rated capacity).




## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013


Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	14.8%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.7%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%
Disturbing the Peace	4.9%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.8%
Malicious Destruction	2.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.6%
Narcotics Possession	4.9%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.6%
Resisting Arrest	2.5%
Robbery	9.8%
Second Degree Assault	23.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.6%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.9%
Trespassing	1.6%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.7%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>22.1%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	4.1%
- Drugs	1.6%
- Unspecified	3.3%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>67.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	33.6%
- Property	20.5%
- Drugs	4.9%
- Unspecified	8.2%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>122</i>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses  
 \* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## J. DeWeese Carter Center (FY 2012-2013) Waxter (FY 2011)


Superintendent: Annette Miller  
Rated Capacity: 14 Females

**Hardware Secure - Region IV - Eastern**

300 Scheeler Road  
Chestertown, MD 21620  
410-778-6444

J. DeWeese Carter Center opened as a secure residential facility in November 2011 to serve girls ages 14-18 who are committed to DJS. The program provides gender responsive, trauma-informed rehabilitation and treatment services. The Center implements CHALLENGE, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation. The program's goals for the girls include accepting responsibility for behavior, learning problem solving strategies and peer leadership skills, and developing/improving pro-social skills. Services include individual and group therapy, substance abuse education and treatment, health education, medication assessment and monitoring, and family therapy. The Maryland State Department of Education provides academic and vocational services. The school provides a 12-month schedule that includes six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, life skills, as well as individualized GED programs that prepare students for successful completion of a high school diploma examination. MSDE offers vocational programming in computer literacy and the ServSafe Program, both of which allow girls to earn certificates to help them secure employment at discharge.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2011-2013



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	100.0%	73.7%	76.0%
White	0.0%	21.1%	16.0%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	5.3%	8.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	10.5%	4.0%
15	8.3%	21.1%	20.0%
16	41.7%	26.3%	32.0%
17	33.3%	31.6%	28.0%
18-20	16.7%	10.5%	16.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>25</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 108.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and increased 31.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2013):

- 36.0% were from the Metro Region and 24.0% were from Baltimore City.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 100.0% were from Pennsylvania.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2013):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Second Degree Assault (32.0%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2013):

- The most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (36.0%), Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (16.0%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (16.0%).
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 19.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 8.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP increased 56.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 24.5% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Completion Status:

- 17.4% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
- 4.3% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
- 13.0% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

#### • Utilization Rate:

- J. DeWeese Carter Center's utilization rate for FY 2013 was 87.1% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

*Data on these pages reflect the Young Women's Program at Carter in FY 2012 and FY 2013 and Thomas J.S. Waxter's Committed Program for Girls during FY 2011.*




### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013


Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	8.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.0%
Carjacking	4.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	16.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	8.0%
First Degree Assault	8.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	4.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	4.0%
Second Degree Assault	32.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	4.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>16.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	8.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	12.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>60.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	36.0%
- Property	16.0%
- Drugs	4.0%
- Unspecified	4.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>25</i>

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses  
 \* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Meadow Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Leslie Wilhelm  
Rated Capacity: 40 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

234 Recovery Road  
Grantsville, MD 21535  
301-895-5669

Meadow Mountain Youth Center opened as forestry camp for boys in June, 1958 and reopened as Meadow Mountain Youth Center in October, 1984. The Center offers an intensive out-patient program providing youth with at least 9-12 hours of drug treatment weekly. Additionally, Meadow Mountain houses the Reflections Program which includes a high and low ropes challenge course, an aquatic challenge, and different outdoor activities. As of June 26, 2013, the Maryland State Department of Education assumed responsibility for the educational program and began providing academic and vocational services. Previously, DJS had provided the educational program including an aquaculture vocational program in which students earned a 60 hour certificate with competencies needed to be come an Aquaculture Technician I. The school offers a 12-month schedule that includes six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, as well as individualized programs to prepare students for the GED exam. The Center implements CHALLENGE, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation. Youth also receive individual counseling/therapy, and psychiatric services if needed.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2011-2013



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	73.4%	73.0%	70.1%
White	19.1%	21.6%	24.7%
Hispanic/Other	7.4%	5.4%	5.2%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	2.1%	6.3%	6.2%
15	9.6%	16.2%	14.4%
16	30.9%	18.9%	24.7%
17	42.6%	44.1%	44.3%
18-20	14.9%	14.4%	10.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>97</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 3.2% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 12.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2013):

- 29.9% were from the Metro Region, 21.6% were from Baltimore City, and 20.6% were from the Central Region.
- There were no placements from Out-of-State at this facility.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2013):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Theft Misdemeanor offenses (22.7%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2013):

- The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Property offenses (35.1%) and Misdemeanor Drug offenses (18.6%).
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 16.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 5.5% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 2.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 3.5% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Completion Status:

- 80.4% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
- 2.1% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
- 14.4% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

#### • Utilization Rate:

- Meadow Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate for FY 2013 was 96.5% (based on the facility's rated capacity).




### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013


Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.1%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	11.3%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.0%
Handgun Violation	1.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.2%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	6.2%
Narcotics Possession	18.6%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	2.1%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	5.2%
Second Degree Assault	14.4%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	22.7%
Trespassing	2.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.1%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>14.4%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.3%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	2.1%
- Drugs	6.2%
- Unspecified	3.1%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>73.2%</b>
- Person-to-Person	16.5%
- Property	35.1%
- Drugs	18.6%
- Unspecified	3.1%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	97

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses  
 \* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Savage Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Todd Foote  
Rated Capacity: 36 Males

**Staff Secure - Region III - Western**

164 Freedom Lane  
Lonaconing, MD 21539  
301-463-2244

The success of the first forestry camp for boys at Green Ridge prompted the General Assembly to appropriate funds for additional facilities in 1956 (Chapter 98, Acts of 1956). Lonaconing Forestry Camp for Boys opened in December 1957, and was charged with the rehabilitation of adjudicated males 14-18 years of age. The Camp was renamed Savage Mountain Youth Center in 1977. In December 1999, the Center closed but was reopened in April 2001. Until June 2013, DJS operated the Savage Mountain School that provided regular classroom instruction, GED preparation, and an automotive vocational program in which students earn a 60-hour certificate listing the automotive competencies learned. As of June 26, 2013, the Maryland State Department of Education assumed responsibility for the educational program and began providing academic and vocational services. The school offers a 12-month schedule that includes six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, as well as individualized programs that prepare students for successful completion of the GED. The Center also implements CHALLENGE, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2011-2013



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	93.6%	86.0%	86.7%
White	6.4%	14.0%	4.8%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	2.6%	7.0%	7.6%
15	19.2%	13.0%	24.8%
16	37.2%	31.0%	27.6%
17	34.6%	34.0%	29.5%
18-20	6.4%	15.0%	10.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>105</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 34.6% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and increased 5.0% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2013):

- 35.2% were from the Metro Region, 24.8% were from Baltimore City, and 19.0% were from the Southern Region.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 100.0% were from Washington D.C.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2013):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Robbery (19.0%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2013):

- The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Property offenses (29.5%) and Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (25.7%).
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 21.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 14.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP increased 2.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 1.4% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Completion Status:

- 55.5% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
- 6.4% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
- 32.7% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

#### • Utilization Rate:

- Savage Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate for FY 2013 was 100.8% (based on the facility's rated capacity).




## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	1.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.6%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.8%
Deadly Weapon	2.9%
Disturbing the Peace	1.0%
First Degree Assault	1.0%
Handgun Violation	1.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.9%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.9%
Narcotics Possession	8.6%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	19.0%
Second Degree Assault	17.1%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.2%
Trespassing	1.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.9%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>25.7%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>8.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	2.9%
- Drugs	2.9%
- Unspecified	2.9%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>63.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	21.9%
- Property	29.5%
- Drugs	8.6%
- Unspecified	3.8%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.9%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>105</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Victor Cullen Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: James Washington  
 Rated Capacity: 48 Males

**Hardware Secure - Region III - Western**

6000 Cullen Drive  
 Sabillasville, MD 21780  
 301-739-7543

In July 2007, the Victor Cullen Center (VCC) reopened under state administration as a regional committed treatment center. VCC is a secure facility for delinquent males ages 15-18 in a six- to nine-month treatment program for mental health issues and/or substance abuse. VCC was originally a sanatorium for tuberculosis patients named after the physician in charge of the institution, Dr. Victor F. Cullen. Presently, DJS oversees the treatment/residential programs while the Maryland State Board of Education oversees the education program. The Center implements CHALLENGE, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation. This includes reinforcing appropriate coping behaviors, providing group interventions in community problem-solving and pro-social skills, and offering opportunities to expand vocational and educational competencies. Behavioral health services include individual, group and family psychotherapy, psychiatric services including medication assessment and management, and emergency psychiatric/crisis intervention. Substance abuse services are also provided on multiple intensity levels dependent upon the youth's assessed need.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2011-2013



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	84.4%	90.6%	86.5%
White	8.3%	5.7%	3.6%
Hispanic/Other	7.3%	3.8%	9.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	6.4%	2.8%	5.4%
15	9.2%	13.2%	15.3%
16	26.6%	29.2%	20.7%
17	42.2%	33.0%	39.6%
18-20	15.6%	21.7%	18.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>111</b>

- **Placement Trends:**
  - Placements increased 1.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and increased 4.7% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2013):**
  - 39.6% were from the Metro Region and 30.6% were from Baltimore City.
  - There were no placements from Out-of-State at this facility.
- **Offense Type (FY 2013):**
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Robbery (29.7%).
- **Offense Category (FY 2013):**
  - The most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (37.8%), Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (21.6%).
  - See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
  - The average LOS decreased 9.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 6.1% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Average Daily Population:**
  - ADP increased 5.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 12.4% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- **Completion Status:**
  - 75.7% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
  - 18.9% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 1.8% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.
- **Utilization Rate:**
  - Victor Cullen Center's utilization rate for FY 2013 was 98.1% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.




## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	4.5%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	9.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%
Disturbing the Peace	2.7%
First Degree Assault	3.6%
Handgun Violation	0.9%
Malicious Destruction	1.8%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.9%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	5.4%
Narcotics Possession	7.2%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	1.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%
Robbery	29.7%
Second Degree Assault	17.1%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.1%
Trespassing	0.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.8%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>37.8%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>12.6%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	5.4%
- Drugs	5.4%
- Unspecified	1.8%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>46.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	21.6%
- Property	16.2%
- Drugs	7.2%
- Unspecified	1.8%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>0.9%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.8%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>111</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## William Donald Schaefer House (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Johnitha McNair  
Rated Capacity: 19 Males

**Staff Secure - Region I - Baltimore City**

907-909 Druid Park Lake Drive  
Baltimore, MD 21217  
410-230-3189

Opened in 1992, William Donald Schaefer House is situated across from Baltimore City's Druid Hill Park. It provides services to males ages 14-18 and is accredited by the Maryland Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration and certified by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. Substance abuse treatment using the evidence-based *Seven Challenges* program is provided in a small, nurturing environment with intensive individual and group counseling daily. Youth attend alcohol and drug education groups five days a week, and health education classes given by the program's registered nurse. They also attend school five days per week, six hours per day overseen by the Maryland State Board of Education. Youth may earn credits to be transferred to their home schools upon release or prepare for the GED exam. They participate in community service projects and work with the teachers on skill-building and job preparation. Once a youth has completed residential treatment, he graduates to an intensive community aftercare program to maintain the support network necessary for a drug and alcohol free life. Schaefer House also implements CHALLENGE, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2011-2013



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	77.5%	70.3%	52.0%
White	15.0%	14.1%	42.0%
Hispanic/Other	7.5%	15.6%	6.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	12.5%	1.6%	10.0%
15	15.0%	14.1%	20.0%
16	45.0%	32.8%	34.0%
17	22.5%	40.6%	36.0%
18-20	5.0%	10.9%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>50</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 25.0% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and decreased 21.9% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2013):

- 28.0% were from the Eastern Shore Region, 24.0% were from the Metro Region, and 16.0% were from Baltimore City.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 50.0% were from Washington D.C. and 50.0% were from Florida.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2013):

- The most common offense types for juveniles placed in FY 2013 were Narcotics Possession (26.0%) and Second Degree Assault (20.0%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2013):

- The most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (26.0%), Misdemeanor Drug offenses (26.0%), and Misdemeanor Property offenses (24.0%).
- See the *Terms and Concepts* section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS increased 36.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 32.8% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP increased 98.7% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and increased 15.3% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Completion Status:

- 87.8% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
- 8.2% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
- 2.0% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

#### • Utilization Rate:

- William Donald Schaefer House's utilization rate for FY 2013 was 79.5% (based on the facility's rated capacity).




## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	2.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	4.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	4.0%
Narcotics Possession	26.0%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	4.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	2.0%
Second Degree Assault	20.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	12.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>6.0%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>6.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	2.0%
- Drugs	4.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>80.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	26.0%
- Property	24.0%
- Drugs	26.0%
- Unspecified	4.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>50</i>


<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses

\* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


## PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



## RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider)

Program Director: Kevin McLeod


Staff Secure

Rated Capacity: 48 Males

999 Crouse Mill Road  
Keymar, Maryland 21757  
410-775-1745

Silver Oak Academy (SOA) is a private residential program owned and operated by Rite of Passage, Inc. SOA is an achievement-based program designed to highlight and develop positive pro-social skills and activities to assist students in transitioning to the community and be successful. The basis of achievement is measured through nine separate program elements. Silver Oak is privately owned and provides residential placement option for youth referred by the Maryland DJS. These students range in age from 14-18. Vocational training services include: food service & hospitality management, carpentry/electrical, construction/masonry, cosmetology/barbering, and electronics. SOA has a large campus that includes a year-round high school approved by Maryland State Department of Education, a competition gym (with wrestling and weight rooms), outdoor competition fields and a running track.

### PLACEMENTS, FY 2011-2013



### PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	88.9%	88.1%	89.6%
White	9.5%	10.4%	6.0%
Hispanic/Other	1.6%	1.5%	4.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	1.6%	4.5%	4.5%
15	17.5%	17.9%	19.4%
16	39.7%	38.8%	29.9%
17	39.7%	38.8%	44.8%
18-20	1.6%	0.0%	1.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>67</b>

#### • Placement Trends:

- Placements increased 6.3% between FY 2011 and FY 2013 and did not change between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Region of Residence (FY 2013):

- 32.8% were from Baltimore City, 31.3% were from the Metro Region, and 11.9% were from the Southern Region.
- Of those from Out-of-State, 100.0% were from Washington D.C.

#### • Offense Type (FY 2013):

- The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2013 was Robbery (23.9%).

#### • Offense Category (FY 2013):

- The two most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (31.3%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (19.4%).
- See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

#### • Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS increased 12.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 3.6% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 0.8% between FY 2011 and FY 2013, and decreased 2.1% between FY 2012 and FY 2013.

#### • Completion Status:

- 63.1% of releases in FY 2013 were considered successful.
- 23.1% of FY 2013 releases were considered unsuccessful.
- 6.2% of releases in FY 2013 were transferred to another program.

#### • Utilization Rate:

- Silver Oak's utilization rate for FY 2013 was 97.5% (based on the facility's rated capacity).




### PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013


Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2013
Offense Type	
Arson	1.5%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.5%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	10.4%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.5%
First Degree Assault	1.5%
Handgun Violation	3.0%
Malicious Destruction	1.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	4.5%
Narcotics Possession	11.9%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	6.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	23.9%
Second Degree Assault	13.4%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.5%
Trespassing	1.5%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.0%
Offense Category	
<b>Crimes of Violence Felony*</b>	<b>31.3%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>11.9%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	4.5%
- Drugs	4.5%
- Unspecified	3.0%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>50.7%</b>
- Person-to-Person	16.4%
- Property	19.4%
- Drugs	11.9%
- Unspecified	3.0%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>1.5%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>4.5%</b>
<i>Total Placements</i>	67

<sup>1</sup> Includes: Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, False Report, Reckless Endangerment, Tampering, and Status Offenses  
 \* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence


### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013



### AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2011-2013



### RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS<sup>1</sup>, FY 2013



<sup>1</sup> Percentages do not add to 100% as there are some releases for which the outcome is unknown due to data coding issues. Data issues are being addressed.

\* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Community-Based Family Therapy Programs for Committed & Probation Youth

In an effort to reduce out-of-home placements and provide empirically supported services to address the needs of delinquent juveniles on probation/commitment, DJS uses community-based family therapy programs. One type is Evidence-based Services (EBS) which are Model Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development. Current EBS include Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), and Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care - Adolescent (MTFC-A). These services are family-based therapeutic models shown to be effective with youth involved with the juvenile justice system. DJS primarily targets the FFT and MST services for juveniles on probation or under aftercare supervision in the community and targets MTFC-A services for committed youth. Another type of programming available is Family Centered Treatment (FCT), a family preservation model of in-home treatment provided by the Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc (IFCS). The IFCS team utilizes FCT to help families learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns.

### PLACEMENTS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2013

EBS Providers		County/ Region	Place.	ADP
FFT	Center for Children, Inc.	An. Arund.	90	27.5
		Calvert	20	7.2
		Charles	43	10.9
		St. Mary's	38	11.4
	VisionQuest National	Balt. City	183	56.1
		Balt. Co.	9	2.3
		Carroll	13	4.3
		Harford	14	4.1
		Howard	10	2.8
		East. Shore	49	14.3
		Mont.	45	13.1
		Pr. George's	72	23.2
		MST	Community Counseling and Mentoring Service, Inc.	Mont.
Pr. George's	49			15.6
Community Solutions, Inc.	Balt. Co.		44	15.1
	Way Station, Inc.		Frederick	13
		Washington	14	3.6
MTFC	Community Solutions, Inc.	Balt. Co.	2	1.0
	Northwestern Human	Mont.	0	0.0
FCT	Institute for Family Centered Services (IFCS), Inc.	Balt. City	43	13.2
		Balt. Co.	51	21.6
		Carroll	25	9.6
		Harford	0	0.4
		Howard	2	1.3
		Allegany	10	4.1
		Frederick	18	7.3
		Washington	19	6.3
		An. Arund.	17	8.0
		Calvert	17	9.7
		Charles	16	6.7
		St. Mary's	15	8.1
		Mont.	37	16.7
Pr. George's	47	21.4		
<i>Total Placements</i>		<i>All shown</i>	<i>1,035</i>	<i>353.9</i>

- *Community-Based Family Therapy Program Placements (FY 2013):*
    - 56.6% of placements were to FFT.
    - 12.6% of placements were to MST.
    - 0.2% of placements were to MTFC.
    - 30.6% of placements were to FCT.
  - *Juveniles Placed (FY 2013):*
    - At the time of placement to the Community-Based Family Therapy Programs, 61.0% of juveniles were under probation, 32.3% were on aftercare supervision, 5.3% were under pre-court supervision and the remaining 1.4% were administrative or interstate compact.
  - *Most Common Offense Type (FY 2013):*
    - FFT was Second Degree Assault (24.9%).
    - MST was Second Degree Assault (30.0%).
    - MTFC was Manslaughter (50.0%) Second Degree Assault (50.0%).
    - FCT was Second Degree Assault (19.9%).
  - *Average Length of Stay (FY 2013):*
    - ALOS was highest for MTFC and lowest for FFT.
  - *Average Daily Population (FY 2012):*
    - ADP was highest for FFT and lowest for MTFC.
  - *Completion Status According to Program Specific Criteria (FY 2013):*
    - 79.9% of juveniles discharged from FFT\* completed the program.
    - 82.6% of juveniles discharged from MST\* completed the program.
    - None of the juveniles discharged from MTFC\* completed the program.
    - 53.0% of juveniles discharged from FCT\* completed the program.
- \*Note that these percentages reflect cases discharged within therapist control.*

### PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2013

	FFT	MST	MTFC	FCT
Reg I-Balt. City	31.2%	0.0%	0.0%	13.6%
Reg II-Central	7.8%	33.8%	50.0%	24.6%
Reg III-Western	0.0%	20.8%	0.0%	14.8%
Reg IV-E. Shore	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg V-Southern	32.6%	0.0%	0.0%	20.5%
Reg VI-Metro	20.0%	45.4%	50.0%	26.5%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>586</i>	<i>130</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>317</i>

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE, FY 2013

Most Serious Offense	FFT	MST	MTFC	FCT
Offense Type				
Arson	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.3%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.5%	8.5%	0.0%	4.4%
Carjacking	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.9%	3.1%	0.0%	3.5%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	0.8%	0.0%	1.9%
Disturbing the Peace	3.2%	7.7%	0.0%	5.7%
First Degree Assault	1.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.9%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
Malicious Destruction	5.1%	3.1%	0.0%	6.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	0.8%	0.0%	2.2%
Narcotics Distribution	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%
Narcotics Possession	13.5%	9.2%	0.0%	9.1%
Other/Missing <sup>1</sup>	5.6%	4.6%	0.0%	4.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Robbery	7.2%	6.2%	0.0%	7.9%
Second Degree Assault	24.9%	30.0%	50.0%	19.9%
Sex Offense	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%
Theft Felony	2.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.7%	15.4%	0.0%	13.9%
Trespassing	2.0%	3.1%	0.0%	2.8%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.0%	4.6%	0.0%	3.8%
Offense Category				
<b>Crimes of Violence Fel.<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>11.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	2.2%
- Property	2.6%	2.3%	0.0%	1.9%
- Drugs	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%
- Unspecified	3.4%	1.5%	0.0%	3.5%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>71.2%</b>	<b>76.2%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>70.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	31.1%	36.2%	50.0%	30.3%
- Property	23.7%	24.6%	0.0%	25.9%
- Drugs	13.5%	9.2%	0.0%	9.1%
- Unspecified	2.9%	6.2%	0.0%	4.7%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>
<b>Interstate Compact Missing</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>317</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes False Report and Harassment


<sup>2</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Note: EBS data comes from the Institute for Innovation and Implementation's EBS Database, except for offense data that comes from ASSIST. The source for all FCT data is ASSIST.


## PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2013

Demographics	FFT	MST	MTFC	FCT
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>				
Black	67.1%	68.5%	100.0%	59.6%
White	24.2%	20.0%	0.0%	34.4%
Hispanic/Other	8.7%	11.5%	0.0%	6.0%
<b>Sex</b>				
Female	22.9%	23.1%	50.0%	23.3%
Male	77.1%	76.9%	50.0%	76.7%
<b>Age</b>				
11 and under	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
12	1.5%	3.8%	0.0%	1.3%
13	4.9%	4.6%	50.0%	5.0%
14	9.7%	17.7%	0.0%	8.5%
15	21.2%	21.5%	50.0%	18.9%
16	26.3%	31.5%	0.0%	24.6%
17	24.9%	20.0%	0.0%	27.4%
18-20	10.9%	0.8%	0.0%	13.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>317</b>

## AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2013



## SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS AT DISCHARGE\*, FY 2013



\* Each program has its own measure of completion.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.



## Section V: Recidivism Rates

CAUTION-AHEAD  
YOUNG — MEN  
MAKING  
POSITIVE  
CHANGES- IN  
THEIR-LIVES

Sign at Meadow Mountain Youth Center





## Measuring Recidivism Rates

Measuring recidivism for juvenile offenders is a vital part of program evaluation, and is an essential part of understanding the effectiveness of interventions to address delinquency. Though other outcomes are also important to study, including education, employment, substance abuse, and other non-offense-related outcomes, recidivism remains the key measure of success for juvenile justice programs.

### POST-COMMITMENT RECIDIVISM

DJS has undertaken and published annual recidivism reports for many years, which focused on youth returning from committed out-of-home placements. These studies have been refined and expanded over the years to encompass all out-of-home committed programs (from foster placements to secure confinement), to include information on both juvenile and adult reoffending, and to show three levels of recidivating: a new alleged offense, whether that new offense resulted in conviction, and whether a new out-of-home placement resulted. Presenting all three levels of recidivism provides a fuller picture of the level of new involvement, and is less influenced by police or court practices which can skew results if too narrow a focus is given. Youth are followed for three years from release, and results are shown by year, level of recidivism, demographics, county, program type, and by individual program. It is important to note that although rates are presented by committed program, recidivism is affected by more than just the quality of the program. The quality of aftercare supervision after a youth is released, the community and/or family to which youth return, local economic opportunities, and other factors beyond the Department's control can all affect outcomes.

### PROBATION RECIDIVISM

Committed out-of-home placements make up a relatively small portion of the cases managed by the Department. During the last fiscal year, DJS expanded the annual recidivism study to encompass youth on probation. This cohort includes all youth newly placed under DJS supervision by a juvenile court, most often under a probation order, though occasionally youth are placed under DJS in-home supervision under a commitment order. These cases are included in the study. Recidivism events are tracked from the date of the start of supervision for one, two, and three years, regardless of how long a youth remains under supervision. Cases supervised in-home under the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) program are included in this study group.

### RECIDIVISM DEFINITION

The juvenile justice community has not reached a consensus on how best to define recidivism with one measure. Therefore, consistent with other studies, DJS focuses on several measures, including subsequent juvenile and/or criminal involvement of youth. Many youth under supervision or released from committed residential programs are 17 years old, and it is therefore important to include information from the adult criminal justice system and report both juvenile and adult recidivism rates. For purposes of recidivism studies, all new offenses are included, which encompasses new delinquent offenses, new criminal offenses, status and traffic offenses, and technical violations of probation not resulting from a new offense. It is important to note that only those new adjudications, convictions, commitments, and incarcerations that stem from a new offense are included. All recidivism rates are youth counts, taking into consideration the juvenile entry first and then the adult entry. If a youth is found to have recidivated in both the juvenile and adult systems, the recidivism event is counted once. It is, however, possible for a youth to show up in both the probation and committed study cohorts. The following chart shows the two study groups, probation and committed, used to analyze the recidivism rates. It shows the cohort definition, date used to define the follow-up period, to what unit the case is assigned, and the summary data tables to be presented.

Cohort	Definition	Clock Starts	Assigned to	Data Tables Presented
Probation	Youth with new probation disposition in FY, who were not already on probation or aftercare, and youth with new committed disposition in FY, not already committed, and with no out-of-home placement	Date of Probation or Committed Disposition	Region of Jurisdiction of Case Manager	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, VPI
Committed	Youth released from committed program in FY	Date of final release, excluding transfers	Final program from which youth was released	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Program Type, Program

## A Note to Readers

For purposes of this *Data Resource Guide*, rates will be combined for the juvenile and adult system into three overall categories using the below mentioned Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism measures and labeled as:

1. Rearrest
2. Reconviction
3. Reincarceration



Recidivism	Definition	Date Used	Includes	Excludes	Data Source	Follow Up
Juvenile Arrest	Arrests referred to DJS	Complaint date within follow-up period	All complaints and violations of probation referred to DJS	Arrests in other states, arrests for charges occurring prior to release, arrests diverted by police and not referred to DJS	DJS ASSIST	12, 24, 36 Months
Adult Arrest	Adult arrest	Arrest date within follow-up period	All Maryland arrests under adult court jurisdiction	None	CJIS	12, 24, 36 Months
Juvenile Adjudication	Sustained delinquent adjudication of complaints included in re-referral count	Date of disposition within follow-up period	Sustained and adjudicated offenses and violations with delinquent findings	Continued cases	DJS ASSIST	12, 24, 36 Months
Adult Conviction	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count	Date of disposition within follow-up period	Guilty verdict with sustained charges	None	CJIS	12, 24, 36 Months
Juvenile Commitment	Commitment stemming from complaints included in referral and adjudication count	Date of admission to program within follow-up period	New committed admission stemming from re-referral and re-adjudication, even if commitment order already existed from a prior commitment	Commitments not resulting in out-of-home placement	DJS ASSIST	12, 24, 36 Months
Adult Incarceration	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count with jail time given either in the district or circuit court	Date of conviction within follow-up period	Guilty verdict with sustained charges and confinement in a local or district court	None	CJIS	12, 24, 36 Months

## DATA SOURCES

Information from two different databases (juvenile and adult) is retrieved, processed, and compiled for each of the selected released cohorts. Data gathering involved the following procedures:

- A list of all youths released from the DJS' committed residential programs is obtained from the Department's computerized system, known as ASSIST. In earlier years the Department used the then computerized system Information System for Youth Services (ISYS).
- A master file containing gender, race, date of birth, county of jurisdiction, region of jurisdiction, county of residence, region of residence, the last program name, and program type from which youth was released during the release cohort is created.
- Using the fields mentioned in the master file, sub-programs are created to obtain subsequent referrals, adjudications, and commitments with related fields. Each sub-program data set is compiled in such a way to help divide the follow-up time into one, two, and three years after release.
- To obtain the arrest and disposition information from the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), a list of all youth released from each year's cohort is arranged according to the specified data layout.
- CJIS uses DJS youth name, date of birth, race and gender as identification or index fields to match the data to provide criminal history record information. Before the program actually looks for the matches, it checks the input data for validity. A check is made if a valid match is found and no check is made for any invalid match against 'Identification /Index' field. These records are added to a text file and returned to DJS with the rest of the response data. This allows DJS to check the unmatched data and submit it for another match run.
  - Name match is as follows: Smith, Gregory will match with Smith, Gregory and Smith Greg. Smith, Greg will match with Smith, Greg and Smith, Gr, but not with Smith, Gregory. DJS youth names are given in full and checked for any abbreviation as Gr or Greg for example.
- Any youth who recidivated in both systems is included in the DJS recidivism and counted only once by discarding that youth in the adult system.



## Overall Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases

### 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2010-2012 RELEASES, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2013

Follow-up Period	FY 2010			FY 2011			FY 2012		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration
12 Months	56.1%	19.2%	13.3%	56.3%	20.5%	13.7%	52.9%	19.3%	14.7%
24 Months	68.9%	35.3%	27.8%	69.9%	36.4%	29.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	74.1%	45.5%	38.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2012 releases at 12-months were:
  - Rearrest - 52.9%
  - Reconviction - 19.3%
  - Reincarceration - 14.7%
- When comparing the reoffending pattern at 12-months, rearrest rates were lowest for FY 2012 releases while reincarceration rates were highest. Reconviction rates were similar in FY 2010 and FY 2012 with a slight increase during FY 2011.

### 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2012 RELEASES

Demographics	FY 2012 Releases						
	Total	Rearrests	Reconvictions	Reconvictions	Reconvictions	Reconvictions	Reconvictions
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Black	1,095	620	56.6%	212	19.4%	162	14.8%
White	404	182	45.0%	77	19.1%	58	14.4%
Hispanic/Other	73	29	39.7%	14	19.2%	11	15.1%
<b>Sex</b>							
Male	1,358	745	54.9%	272	20.0%	209	15.4%
Female	214	86	40.2%	31	14.5%	22	10.3%
<b>Age at Release</b>							
11 and Under	3	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
12	2	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
13	18	7	38.9%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%
14	82	42	51.2%	18	22.0%	14	17.1%
15	153	89	58.2%	37	24.2%	30	19.6%
16	325	207	63.7%	87	26.8%	59	18.2%
17	510	275	53.9%	85	16.7%	60	11.8%
18 or older	479	209	43.6%	72	15.0%	65	13.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,572</b>	<b>831</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>19.3%</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>14.7%</b>

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
  - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
  - At rearrest, Black youth had the highest rates. At reconviction and reincarceration, all racial/ethnic groups had similar rates.
  - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2010-2012 RELEASES, BY REGION AND COUNTY**

Region/ County	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
<b>R-I-Balt.City</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>65.0%</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>65.5%</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>58.8%</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>
Baltimore City	374	65.0%	19.5%	17.1%	391	65.5%	20.5%	16.4%	354	58.8%	17.8%	13.8%
<b>R-II-Central</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>62.6%</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>61.3%</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>61.1%</b>	<b>31.1%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>
Baltimore Co.	114	66.7%	23.7%	17.5%	126	60.3%	22.2%	15.9%	158	62.0%	29.7%	23.4%
Carroll	36	50.0%	22.2%	8.3%	40	60.0%	40.0%	17.5%	42	61.9%	38.1%	26.2%
Harford	38	57.9%	26.3%	10.5%	14	64.3%	7.1%	7.1%	35	45.7%	22.9%	11.4%
Howard	18	72.2%	16.7%	16.7%	14	71.4%	14.3%	7.1%	22	77.3%	40.9%	22.7%
<b>R-III-Western</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>58.8%</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>59.7%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>54.6%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>
Allegany	23	52.2%	26.1%	21.7%	23	56.5%	8.7%	8.7%	28	64.3%	21.4%	14.3%
Frederick	57	59.6%	29.8%	12.3%	38	65.8%	28.9%	7.9%	43	53.5%	20.9%	11.6%
Garrett	11	45.5%	9.1%	9.1%	10	60.0%	20.0%	10.0%	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Washington	62	62.9%	35.5%	17.7%	53	56.6%	34.0%	18.9%	55	52.7%	25.5%	21.8%
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>55.7%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>48.9%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>47.5%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>
Caroline	15	46.7%	20.0%	13.3%	13	46.2%	23.1%	7.7%	9	22.2%	55.6%	44.4%
Cecil	17	70.6%	29.4%	17.6%	13	46.2%	7.7%	0.0%	24	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dorchester	12	50.0%	8.3%	8.3%	9	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	6	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%
Kent	7	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%	5	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Queen Anne's	18	44.4%	11.1%	5.6%	7	57.1%	14.3%	0.0%	10	60.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Somerset	6	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Talbot	12	41.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8	50.0%	12.5%	0.0%	6	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Wicomico	65	61.5%	12.3%	12.3%	51	56.9%	13.7%	9.8%	79	51.9%	12.7%	7.6%
Worcester	24	50.0%	4.2%	4.2%	23	43.5%	21.7%	17.4%	14	50.0%	14.3%	14.3%
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>49.6%</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>
Anne Arundel	113	51.3%	16.8%	14.2%	126	52.4%	26.2%	16.7%	137	47.4%	20.4%	14.6%
Calvert	23	52.2%	13.0%	8.7%	13	61.5%	7.7%	0.0%	27	33.3%	11.1%	7.4%
Charles	68	38.2%	8.8%	7.4%	55	36.4%	12.7%	7.3%	41	48.8%	7.3%	7.3%
St. Mary's	33	45.5%	27.3%	15.2%	32	56.3%	28.1%	12.5%	32	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	<b>413</b>	<b>51.6%</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>52.5%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>51.0%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>14.0%</b>
Montgomery	159	47.8%	23.3%	11.9%	167	54.5%	26.9%	19.8%	145	51.7%	29.0%	23.4%
Prince George's	254	53.9%	15.7%	10.2%	199	50.8%	13.1%	10.1%	249	50.6%	10.0%	8.4%
<b>Out-of-State</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,580</b>	<b>56.1%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>1,470</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>1,572</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>19.3%</b>	<b>14.7%</b>

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.



## Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases by Program Type

### 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR RELEASES<sup>1</sup> BY PROGRAM TYPE SUMMARY, FY 2010 - FY 2012\*

Totals for Each Program Type	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Foster Care	93	41.9%	8.6%	6.5%	100	42.0%	15.0%	8.0%	77	49.4%	13.0%	10.4%
Group Home	424	55.7%	17.2%	11.6%	347	50.1%	15.9%	10.7%	360	49.4%	15.0%	11.7%
Independent Living	41	48.8%	9.8%	7.3%	49	57.1%	14.3%	12.2%	38	34.2%	10.5%	7.9%
ICFA	219	54.8%	20.1%	13.7%	176	57.4%	27.3%	16.5%	184	54.9%	21.7%	19.0%
RTC	152	48.7%	12.5%	7.9%	162	62.3%	21.0%	10.5%	219	46.6%	15.1%	11.9%
Out-of-State	99	54.5%	18.2%	16.2%	129	63.6%	20.2%	17.1%	142	55.6%	19.7%	17.6%
State-Operated	552	62.3%	25.0%	17.0%	507	59.2%	22.9%	16.4%	552	58.0%	24.3%	16.7%

<sup>1</sup>Totals presented in the table above include each type of facility reported in that broad category. (For example: "Total Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as In-Home Foster Care). State-Operated includes Silver Oak.

- Analysis of trends is presented on the specific program type's page.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR FOSTER CARE RELEASES,  
 FY 2010 - FY 2012\***

Treatment Foster Care	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	3	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	5	2	0	0
Board of Child Care	3	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Concern	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Contemporary Family Services, Inc	8	4	0	0	8	2	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Foundations for Home and Community	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
Greenleaf	3	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hearts & Homes for Youth - Family Ties	1	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Maple Shade Youth and Family Services	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0	0	0
Mentor Maryland - Baltimore	42	17	3	3	16	7	3	2	3	1	0	0
Mentor Maryland - Baltimore Teens	5	0	0	0	15	6	3	2	32	21	7	6
Mentor MD - Salisbury Teens	8	4	1	1	13	7	0	0	13	4	0	0
New Pathways - 2nd Gen. Teen Mother Program	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Multi-Dimensional TFC (Baltimore Co.)	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	2	0	0	3	2	0	0
Multi-Dimensional TFC (Mont. Co.)	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	4	3	2	1	0	0	0
Pressley Ridge	8	5	3	2	16	10	4	2	9	3	2	1
San Mar	1	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Woodbourne Center	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	2	2	1	1
<b>Treatment Foster Care Total</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>43.6%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>10.8%</b>
<b>In-Home Foster Care</b>												
<b>In-Home Foster Care Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total Foster Care</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>41.9%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>49.4%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>

- For Total Foster Care releases (including treatment and in-home foster care) between FY 2010 and FY 2012, all measures increased: rearrest by 7.4%, reconviction by 4.4%, and reincarceration by 3.9%.

Note: Throughout this section, programs that had no releases within a fiscal year will have N/A reported for all recidivism measures.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES,  
 FY 2010 - FY 2012\***

Group Home	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
ARC of Washington Co.	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Balt. Adoles. Treatment Guidance Organization	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Big Pine Children's Home	13	8	4	2	6	2	0	0	10	2	1	1
Board of Child Care	6	5	0	0	10	3	0	0	7	3	2	2
Catoctin Summit Adolescent Program	28	9	3	1	26	15	3	2	24	7	1	0
Cedar Ridge Ministries & Jordan House	32	24	10	5	24	18	8	5	19	12	2	1
Children's Home	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eastern Point	6	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Florence Crittenton	15	6	1	1	3	3	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Greentree Adolescent	11	6	0	0	7	2	2	1	15	10	4	4
Hearts and Homes	34	16	5	3	33	23	8	6	37	16	5	5
Home of New Beginnings Pregnant Teens & Teen Mothers	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Jane Egerton House	12	9	3	2	4	2	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Karma Academy for Boys	28	13	4	1	11	3	2	1	11	4	2	0
Kent Youth Boys	17	8	3	3	11	6	1	0	11	7	3	3
KEYS	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Koba Institute	18	17	5	5	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
MD Sheriff's Youth Ranch	7	5	0	0	7	2	0	0	9	7	0	0
Morning Star Youth Acad.	55	34	10	8	39	20	8	6	49	27	10	5
Oak Hill House	12	5	3	3	16	8	4	4	16	12	3	3
One Love	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0	5	1	1	1
Our House	21	14	3	2	23	9	3	3	22	6	1	1
Potomac Ridge Crownsville	21	14	4	4	21	10	5	4	21	8	0	0
Salem Residential	4	2	1	1	8	4	1	0	9	5	1	1
San Mar-Jone Bowman High Intensity	16	6	2	1	13	3	3	2	14	4	1	1
St Ann's Infant and Maternity Home	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
TuTtie's Place	4	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Way Home - Mt Manor	5	1	1	1	11	6	0	0	11	6	3	2
<b>Group Home Total</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>56.6%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>49.6%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>292</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2010 - FY 2012\* (CONTINUED)**

Therapeutic Group Home (TGH)	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
All That Matters, Inc	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Board of Child Care	6	2	1	0	3	2	0	0	13	11	5	4
Cedar Ridge Ministries Faith Cottage	2	1	1	0	3	1	0	0	7	5	2	2
Guide	10	7	2	1	13	8	2	2	11	7	3	2
Maple Shade	6	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Mary's Mount Manor	6	2	1	1	10	6	1	0	14	8	2	2
Mosaic	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Redl House	7	5	0	0	14	7	2	1	10	7	1	1
San Mar - Allegany Girls	14	6	1	0	13	8	2	0	9	3	1	1
San Mar-Jack E. Barr	2	1	1	1	5	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
<b>TGH Total</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>49.1%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>52.4%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>60.3%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>
<b>Total Group Home &amp; TGH</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>55.7%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>50.1%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>49.4%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>

For Total Group Home (including Therapeutic Group Home) releases between FY 2010 and FY 2012:

- The rearrest rate decreased 6.2%, the reconviction rate decreased 2.2%
- The reincarceration rates remained relatively stable.

**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INTERMEDIATE CARE FOR ADDICTIONS FACILITY (ICFA) RELEASES, FY 2010 - FY 2012\***

ICFA	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Lois E. Jackson Unit	77	44	17	11	73	42	19	8	90	49	22	19
MTC - Mountain Manor	142	76	27	19	103	59	29	21	94	52	18	16
<b>Total ICFA</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>54.8%</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>57.4%</b>	<b>27.3%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>54.9%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>

For Total ICFA releases between FY 2010 and FY 2012 all measures increased.

- The rearrest rate remained relatively stable, the reconviction rate increased 1.6% and the reincarceration rate increased 5.3%.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING RELEASES,  
 FY 2010 - FY 2012\***

Independent Living	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Bay Shore Services, Inc	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Board of Child Care	5	2	1	1	4	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Damamli	2	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
Future Bound	7	2	0	0	5	3	2	1	2	1	0	0
Jumoke	6	3	1	1	5	4	1	1	1	0	0	0
Mentor Clinical Care- Balt.	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD - Baltimore	1	1	0	0	5	1	1	1	7	2	0	0
New Pathways-Independ.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0	0	0
Transition Age Yth Prog.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Independent Living Total</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>40.9%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Alternative Living Units</b>												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	2	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1	0	0
NCIA -Youth in Transition Program	17	10	2	1	23	16	3	3	19	9	4	3
<b>Alternative Living Units Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>57.9%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>65.4%</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>
<i>Respite Care Total</i>	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total Independent Living, Alternative Living Units, and Respite Care</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>48.8%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34.2%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>

For Total Independent Living releases including Alternative Living Units and Respite Care:

- Between FY 2010 and FY 2012:
  - The rearrest rate decreased 14.6%.
  - The reconviction rate and the reincarceration rate remained relatively stable.
- Between FY 2011 and FY 2012, all measures decreased:
  - The rearrest rate decreased 22.9%.
  - The reconviction rate decreased 3.8%.
  - The reincarceration rate decreased 4.4%.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR RTC RELEASES,  
 FY 2010 - FY 2012\***

Residential Treatment Centers (RTC)	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Baltimore RTC	2	2	1	1	7	5	2	2	9	3	1	1
Behav. Hlth-Anne Arund.	5	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	3	1	1
Behav. Hlth-East. Shore	15	12	3	3	22	15	5	3	23	11	4	2
Good Shepherd Center Females Program	27	15	2	1	15	13	4	2	29	14	7	5
Good Shepherd Center Males Program	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	4	2	1	1
Jefferson School	6	2	1	1	7	5	1	0	13	4	1	1
New Directions Chesap. Treatment Ctr. Hickey	9	4	1	1	9	5	2	1	10	2	0	0
Potomac Ridge	12	5	1	1	11	6	2	2	11	5	0	0
Rockville RTC	2	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	2	1	0	0
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	4	0	0	0	8	7	1	1	9	6	3	3
Villa Maria	1	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	2	1	1	1
Woodbourne	18	10	3	1	13	6	3	2	18	9	3	3
<b>Residential Treatment Center Total</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>53.5%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>67.0%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>45.9%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>
<b>Psychiatric Hospitals</b>												
Behavioral Health Hospital Rockville	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Eastern Shore Acute Unit	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	2	1	0
Sheppard Pratt Hospital Towson	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spring Grove Hospital Ctr.	24	5	1	0	39	21	9	1	44	19	5	4
Thomas Finan Center	4	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Psychiatric Hospital Total</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>21.9%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>55.6%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>
<b>Diagnostic Units / CEU</b>												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	7	5	2	1	7	4	1	1	19	10	1	1
RICA Rockville	7	5	2	1	6	2	0	0	8	3	0	0
Woodbourne	5	3	0	0	4	3	2	1	12	7	4	3
<b>Diagnostic Units / CEU Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>68.4%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>51.3%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>
<b>Total RTC</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>48.7%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>62.3%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>46.6%</b>	<b>15.1%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>

For RTC releases including Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Units/CEU between FY 2010 and FY 2012:

- The rearrest rate decreased 2.1%, the reconviction rate increased 2.6%, and the reincarceration rate increased 4.0%.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2010 - FY 2012\***

Residential Treatment Facility OOS	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
AdvoServ Programs	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Cottonwood Treatment Center	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	5	2	1	1
Devereux - Florida	4	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Devereux - Georgia	2	2	2	2	2	1	0	0	4	2	1	1
Keystone Newport News	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	0	0	1	1	1	1
Laurel Heights Hospital	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Macon Behavioral Health	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Hope Carolinas	3	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
North Spring Behavioral Healthcare	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
PA Clinical Schools, Inc	2	1	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Palmetto Behav. Health	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Pines	4	2	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Three Rivers - Midland Campus	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Residential Treatment Facility Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>70.0%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>73.3%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>35.7%</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>21.4%</b>
<b>StaffSecure -OOS</b>												
Adelphoi Village Specialized	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
ARC'S York Special Needs Program	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bennington School	4	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
Canyon State Academy	6	4	0	0	14	8	3	2	10	7	1	1
Clarinda Academy	18	9	3	2	21	17	7	7	18	9	4	4
Cornell Abraxas Intens.	3	2	1	1	9	7	4	4	4	3	1	1
Glen Mills Schools (PA)	12	4	0	0	15	7	2	2	12	6	3	2
Lakeside Academy	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Lakeview Neuro Rehabilitation Center	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Natchez Trace Yth Acad.	9	9	2	2	5	4	1	1	12	9	5	5
Summit Academy	2	1	0	0	11	7	2	2	6	4	3	2
Woodward Youth Corporation	4	2	2	2	9	6	1	1	14	10	3	2
<b>StaffSecure OOS Total</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>55.9%</b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>64.8%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>60.5%</b>	<b>24.7%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>

• The Out-of-State table is continued on the next page and the trend analysis is presented there.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE FACILITY RELEASES (OOS),  
 FY 2010 - FY 2012\* (CONTINUED)**

Hardware Secure OOS	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
CCS -Turning Point	6	2	1	1	2	2	1	0	12	8	0	0
Cornell Abraxas Acad.	6	3	1	1	7	3	1	1	8	2	0	0
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	3	1	1	1	6	4	1	0	12	6	2	2
Mid Atlantic West. PA	4	1	1	1	11	5	0	0	15	9	3	3
Southwest Indiana Reg.	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Hardware Secure OOS Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>35.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>53.8%</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>53.2%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>
<b>Total Out-of-State</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>20.2%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>55.6%</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>

Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, for Out-of-State releases including Residential Treatment Facilities, Staff Secure, and Hardware Secure:

- Rearrest rates increased by 1.1%, reconviction rates increased 1.5% , and reincarceration rates increased 1.4%.

**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR STATE OPERATED AND PRIVATELY OPERATED FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2010 - FY 2012\***

State Operated Facilities	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Backbone Mtn Yth Ctr	89	54	17	12	92	49	17	11	97	57	24	17
Cheltenham ReDirect	121	63	28	20	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Green Ridge	82	57	32	20	82	51	24	14	95	58	36	25
Meadow Mtn Yth Ctr	70	49	19	14	77	48	12	8	74	44	12	8
Savage Mtn Yth Ctr	33	23	13	7	67	35	13	11	76	39	14	10
Victor Cullen Center	70	45	16	11	96	61	25	24	83	51	18	14
Waxter Children's Ctr <sup>1</sup>	10	4	1	1	10	5	3	1	12	6	3	2
William Donald Schaefer House	43	26	6	4	28	20	8	4	52	33	17	10
<b>Total State Operated</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>62.0%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>452</b>	<b>59.5%</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>489</b>	<b>58.9%</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>
<b>Privately Operated Facility</b>												
Silver Oak Academy	34	23	6	5	55	31	14	10	63	32	10	6
<b>Total Privately Operated</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>67.6%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>56.4%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>50.8%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>
<b>Total State and Privately Operated</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>62.3%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>59.2%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>58.0%</b>	<b>24.3%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>

<sup>1</sup>Includes youth in the committed programs at Waxter and Carter Center

Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, for State-Operated Facility releases including Privately-Operated Facilities:

- Rearrest rates decreased 4.3%, reincarceration and reconviction rates remained relatively stable.

\*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.



**ONE, TWO, AND THREE YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR  
 FY 2010 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE**

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrests			Reconvictions			Reincarcerations		
		1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr
<b>Foster Care Total</b>	93	41.9%	60.2%	66.7%	8.6%	22.6%	33.3%	6.5%	19.4%	28.0%
Treatment Foster Care	85	42.4%	60.0%	65.9%	8.2%	22.4%	34.1%	7.1%	20.0%	29.4%
In-Home Foster Care	8	37.5%	62.5%	75.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	12.5%	12.5%
<b>Group Homes Total</b>	424	55.7%	68.2%	73.6%	17.2%	32.5%	41.3%	11.6%	24.8%	34.2%
Group Home	368	56.5%	68.2%	74.2%	17.4%	33.7%	42.1%	12.2%	26.4%	35.3%
Therapeutic Group Home	56	50.0%	67.9%	69.6%	16.1%	25.0%	35.7%	7.1%	14.3%	26.8%
<b>Independent Living Total</b>	41	48.8%	63.4%	68.3%	9.8%	26.8%	43.9%	7.3%	26.8%	41.5%
Independent Living	22	40.9%	54.5%	63.6%	9.1%	31.8%	50.0%	9.1%	31.8%	45.5%
Alternative Living Units	19	57.9%	73.7%	73.7%	10.5%	21.1%	36.8%	5.3%	21.1%	36.8%
Respite Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>ICFA Total</b>	219	54.8%	67.1%	71.7%	20.1%	32.4%	40.6%	13.7%	24.7%	33.8%
<b>RTC Total</b>	152	48.7%	65.1%	72.4%	12.5%	30.3%	45.4%	7.9%	21.7%	35.5%
Residential Treatment Facility	101	53.5%	66.3%	70.3%	11.9%	28.7%	42.6%	8.9%	21.8%	33.7%
Psychiatric Hospital	32	21.9%	50.0%	65.6%	9.4%	28.1%	43.8%	3.1%	15.6%	28.1%
Diagnostic Units / CEU	19	68.4%	84.2%	94.7%	21.1%	42.1%	63.2%	10.5%	31.6%	57.9%
<b>Out-of-State Total</b>	99	54.5%	62.6%	69.7%	18.2%	33.3%	39.4%	16.2%	28.3%	37.4%
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	20	70.0%	75.0%	75.0%	25.0%	50.0%	55.0%	20.0%	45.0%	50.0%
Staff Secure - OOS	59	55.9%	62.7%	69.5%	15.3%	30.5%	35.6%	13.6%	23.7%	33.9%
Hardware Secure - OOS	20	35.0%	50.0%	65.0%	20.0%	25.0%	35.0%	20.0%	25.0%	35.0%
<b>State Operated Total</b>	518	62.0%	73.4%	78.0%	25.5%	43.1%	54.2%	17.2%	34.7%	46.5%
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr	89	60.7%	76.4%	79.8%	19.1%	41.6%	50.6%	13.5%	32.6%	42.7%
Cheltenham ReDirect	121	52.1%	65.3%	72.7%	23.1%	40.5%	48.8%	16.5%	35.5%	43.8%
Green Ridge	82	69.5%	78.0%	82.9%	39.0%	53.7%	67.1%	24.4%	42.7%	54.9%
Meadow Mountain Youth Ctr	70	70.0%	81.4%	82.9%	27.1%	48.6%	58.6%	20.0%	38.6%	50.0%
Savage Mountain Youth Ctr	33	69.7%	78.8%	81.8%	39.4%	57.6%	57.6%	21.2%	42.4%	45.5%
Victor Cullen Center	70	64.3%	77.1%	81.4%	22.9%	34.3%	54.3%	15.7%	27.1%	50.0%
Waxter Children's Center	10	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
William Donald Schaefer House	43	60.5%	65.1%	72.1%	14.0%	34.9%	53.5%	9.3%	27.9%	44.2%
<b>Silver Oak (Privately Operated - DJS Youth Only)</b>	34	67.6%	85.3%	85.3%	17.6%	44.1%	50.0%	14.7%	32.4%	44.1%
<b>Statewide Total</b>	1,580	56.1%	68.9%	74.1%	19.2%	35.3%	45.5%	13.3%	27.8%	38.5%

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2010 releases at 1 year and 3 years post release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 56.1% and within 3 years it was 74.1%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 19.2% and within 3 years it was 45.5%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 13.3% and within 3 years it was 38.5%.

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.



**ONE AND TWO YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2011 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE**

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrests		Reconvictions		Reincarcerations	
		1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr
<b>Foster Care Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>
Treatment Foster Care	94	43.6%	58.5%	16.0%	28.7%	8.5%	22.3%
In-Home Foster Care	6	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Group Homes Total</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>50.1%</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>33.1%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>27.7%</b>
Group Home	284	49.6%	62.7%	16.9%	33.1%	12.0%	28.5%
Therapeutic Group Home	63	52.4%	66.7%	11.1%	33.3%	4.8%	23.8%
<b>Independent Living Total</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>34.7%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>34.7%</b>
Independent Living	23	47.8%	60.9%	17.4%	30.4%	13.0%	30.4%
Alternative Living Units	26	65.4%	80.8%	11.5%	38.5%	11.5%	38.5%
Respite Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>ICFA Total</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>57.4%</b>	<b>71.0%</b>	<b>27.3%</b>	<b>35.2%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>27.8%</b>
<b>RTC Total</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>62.3%</b>	<b>75.3%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>36.4%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>27.8%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility	100	67.0%	77.0%	21.0%	36.0%	13.0%	28.0%
Psychiatric Hospital	45	55.6%	71.1%	22.2%	35.6%	4.4%	24.4%
Diagnostic Units / CEU	17	52.9%	76.5%	17.6%	41.2%	11.8%	35.3%
<b>Out-of-State Total</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>74.4%</b>	<b>20.2%</b>	<b>40.3%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>36.4%</b>
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	15	73.3%	80.0%	20.0%	53.3%	13.3%	46.7%
Staff Secure - OOS	88	64.8%	76.1%	22.7%	42.0%	21.6%	38.6%
Hardware Secure - OOS	26	53.8%	65.4%	11.5%	26.9%	3.8%	23.1%
<b>State Operated Total</b>	<b>452</b>	<b>59.5%</b>	<b>73.7%</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>40.5%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>33.0%</b>
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr	92	53.3%	70.7%	18.5%	45.7%	12.0%	34.8%
Green Ridge	82	62.2%	70.7%	29.3%	43.9%	17.1%	30.5%
Meadow Mountain Youth Ctr	77	62.3%	75.3%	15.6%	36.4%	10.4%	29.9%
Savage Mountain Youth Ctr	67	52.2%	73.1%	19.4%	35.8%	16.4%	29.9%
Victor Cullen Center	96	63.5%	76.0%	26.0%	40.6%	25.0%	39.6%
Waxter Children's Center	10	50.0%	90.0%	30.0%	40.0%	10.0%	20.0%
William Donald Schaefer House	28	71.4%	75.0%	28.6%	35.7%	14.3%	32.1%
<b>Silver Oak (Privately Operated - DJS Youth Only)</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>56.4%</b>	<b>72.7%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>36.4%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>29.1%</b>
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,470</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>69.9%</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>36.4%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>29.9%</b>

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2011 releases at 1-year with 2-years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 56.3% and within 2 years it was 69.9%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 20.5% and within 2 years it was 36.4%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 13.7% and within 2 years it was 29.9%.

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource



## Overall Recidivism Rates for Probation Placements

### 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2010-2012 NEW PROBATION YOUTH, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2013

Follow-up Period	FY 2010			FY 2011			FY 2012		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*
12 Months	49.0%	19.4%	8.9%	48.0%	21.1%	10.1%	45.6%	18.8%	9.8%
24 Months	61.1%	30.8%	17.5%	61.3%	32.7%	19.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	67.1%	36.8%	24.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2012 youth at 12-months were:
  - Rearrest - 45.6%
  - Reconviction - 18.8%
  - Incarceration - 9.8%
- The following reoffending patterns were noted at 12-months when comparing FY 2011 and FY 2012:
  - All measures decreased 2.5% or less (2.4%, 2.2%, 0.4% respectively).

### 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2012 NEW PROBATION YOUTH

Demographics	FY 2012							
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Black	1,761	861	48.9%	353	20.0%	178	10.1%	
White	923	368	39.9%	149	16.1%	78	8.5%	
Hispanic/Other	208	91	43.8%	42	20.2%	26	12.5%	
<b>Sex</b>								
Male	2,281	1,095	48.0%	464	20.3%	245	10.7%	
Female	611	225	36.8%	80	13.1%	37	6.1%	
<b>Age at Disposition</b>								
11 and Under	34	6	17.6%	3	8.8%	2	5.9%	
12	78	31	39.7%	11	14.1%	3	3.8%	
13	199	89	44.7%	44	22.1%	20	10.1%	
14	360	197	54.7%	92	25.6%	52	14.4%	
15	577	287	49.7%	135	23.4%	75	13.0%	
16	683	341	49.9%	132	19.3%	66	9.7%	
17	716	292	40.8%	108	15.1%	53	7.4%	
18 or older	245	77	31.4%	19	7.8%	11	4.5%	
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,892</b>	<b>1,320</b>	<b>45.6%</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	

Note: The probation cohort includes youth placed on probation (not youth released from probation) and committed community youth. Therefore, recidivism is measured starting at the disposition date.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
  - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
  - Black youth had the highest rearrest rates. Black youth and Hispanic/Other youth had similar rates at reconviction and at incarceration, Hispanic/Other youth had the highest rates.
  - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

\*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2010-2012 NEW PROBATION YOUTH, BY REGION AND COUNTY**

Region/ County	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	# of Youth	Rearrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration *	# of Youth	Rearrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration *	# of Youth	Rearrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration *
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	<b>874</b>	<b>61.3%</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>749</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>58.6%</b>	<b>27.2%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>
Baltimore City	874	61.3%	25.9%	10.5%	749	58.3%	26.6%	13.5%	556	58.6%	27.2%	14.2%
<b>R-II-Central</b>	<b>875</b>	<b>47.5%</b>	<b>19.3%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>47.6%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>725</b>	<b>45.1%</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>
Baltimore Co.	532	50.8%	21.8%	8.6%	493	48.3%	22.1%	9.1%	411	48.2%	18.2%	6.1%
Carroll	110	37.3%	15.5%	7.3%	100	41.0%	21.0%	13.0%	55	40.0%	14.5%	9.1%
Harford	116	46.6%	12.1%	7.8%	101	53.5%	20.8%	11.9%	147	39.5%	15.6%	8.2%
Howard	117	43.6%	18.8%	6.8%	114	45.6%	16.7%	7.0%	112	43.8%	17.0%	8.0%
<b>R-III-Western</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>47.5%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>53.6%</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
Allegany	29	37.9%	13.8%	10.3%	44	65.9%	29.5%	15.9%	40	57.5%	25.0%	15.0%
Frederick	92	55.4%	26.1%	7.6%	63	52.4%	36.5%	19.0%	55	67.3%	36.4%	16.4%
Garrett	23	26.1%	13.0%	8.7%	24	25.0%	8.3%	8.3%	28	25.0%	14.3%	10.7%
Washington	96	47.9%	30.2%	13.5%	78	56.4%	32.1%	11.5%	121	41.3%	19.0%	7.4%
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>50.3%</b>	<b>15.1%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>44.8%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>40.1%</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>
Caroline	19	57.9%	10.5%	5.3%	16	43.8%	18.8%	6.3%	13	53.8%	7.7%	7.7%
Cecil	73	45.2%	12.3%	4.1%	75	34.7%	10.7%	2.7%	72	40.3%	2.8%	2.8%
Dorchester	28	39.3%	17.9%	14.3%	35	51.4%	11.4%	5.7%	33	48.5%	21.2%	18.2%
Kent	12	75.0%	41.7%	25.0%	6	66.7%	50.0%	0.0%	4	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Queen Anne's	12	50.0%	16.7%	8.3%	19	42.1%	15.8%	0.0%	20	55.0%	20.0%	10.0%
Somerset	9	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	11	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%	6	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%
Talbot	27	25.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14	35.7%	7.1%	0.0%	16	31.3%	6.3%	6.3%
Wicomico	82	58.5%	19.5%	15.9%	84	52.4%	27.4%	21.4%	73	41.1%	12.3%	9.6%
Worcester	36	58.3%	16.7%	13.9%	28	50.0%	3.6%	0.0%	57	28.1%	12.3%	8.8%
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>43.5%</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>44.3%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>9.7%</b>
Anne Arundel	264	50.0%	18.2%	8.3%	232	47.0%	19.8%	7.3%	255	52.9%	25.5%	13.7%
Calvert	78	34.6%	9.0%	1.3%	57	33.3%	7.0%	5.3%	52	26.9%	7.7%	3.8%
Charles	96	35.4%	12.5%	3.1%	96	40.6%	20.8%	4.2%	96	35.4%	9.4%	3.1%
St. Mary's	45	37.8%	11.1%	6.7%	46	28.3%	13.0%	4.3%	71	38.0%	16.9%	8.5%
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	<b>837</b>	<b>40.6%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>40.9%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>37.1%</b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>
Montgomery	298	47.0%	25.2%	12.4%	257	51.0%	27.6%	15.2%	225	43.1%	24.0%	14.2%
Prince George's	539	37.1%	9.6%	6.9%	430	34.9%	9.3%	4.9%	374	33.4%	9.1%	5.9%
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>3,607</b>	<b>49.0%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>3,172</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>2,892</b>	<b>45.6%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

\*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.



## PROBATION - VPI RECIDIVISM

The table below shows recidivism outcomes for a subset of the probation cohort who were assigned to VPI community supervision during their probation term. These data should not be used to generalize to the VPI program as a whole. The analysis excludes VPI participants in placement, under aftercare supervision as well as probation youth with a prior probation disposition. As in the overall probation cohort analysis, the follow-up period begins on the disposition date.

### 12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2010-2012 FOR PROBATION YOUTH ON VPI

Region	FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2012			
	PB Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	PB Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*	PB Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*
Region I - Balt. City	65	69.2%	26.2%	18.5%	101	67.3%	30.7%	21.8%	72	69.4%	37.5%	23.6%
Region II - Central	44	54.5%	25.0%	13.6%	55	65.5%	32.7%	20.0%	60	55.0%	28.3%	13.3%
Region III - Western	7	71.4%	57.1%	14.3%	8	75.0%	62.5%	50.0%	8	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%
Region IV - Eastern	11	81.8%	18.2%	18.2%	7	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Region V - Southern	23	47.8%	17.4%	13.0%	41	61.0%	26.8%	7.3%	29	51.7%	20.7%	13.8%
Region VI - Metro	66	51.5%	21.2%	15.2%	66	40.9%	10.6%	4.5%	43	37.2%	16.3%	14.0%
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>59.3%</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>59.7%</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>

- Some regions have a small number of youth in the analysis group. Therefore the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, it is not advisable to compare data across regions.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2012, statewide:
  - Rearrest rates decreased 2.9%.
  - Reconviction rates increased 4.1%.
  - Incarceration rates increased by 1.6%.

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

\*Since youth in VPI are part of the probation cohort that includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.



## Section VI: Appendices

$$X - 4x$$

Youth from Victor Cullen Center working together with their teacher.





## Appendix A

### COMMUNITY SERVICES STAFFING DISTRIBUTION AS OF JUNE 30, 2013\*

Facility (By Region)	CMS <sup>+</sup>		Mgmt <sup>+</sup>	Admn	RA/CD		Res. Spec.		Services <sup>+</sup>	Int & Secur	Educ	Othr <sup>+</sup>	Total
	Spec	Sup			Offr	Sup	Spec	Sup					
<b>R-I-Baltimore City</b>													
CD - BCJJC	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
CD - MYRC	0	0	1	0	44	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	51
Evening Rep. Ctr Off.	2	1	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	14
Gay Street Office	61	11	4	15	7	0	2	1	0	6	0	1	108
Hilton St. Office	10	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Plaza Office	13	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Arbutus Office	11	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Bel Air Office	11	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
<b>R-II-Central</b>													
Ellicott City Office	10	2	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Essex Office	11	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	16
Garrison Office	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Towson Office	13	5	1	4	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	28
Westminster Office	6	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
<b>R-III-Western</b>													
Allegany Co Office	4	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	10
Frederick Office	11	2	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	18
Hagerstown Office	10	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	19
Oakland Office	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Cambridge Office	3	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
CD - LESCC	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Centreville	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Chestertown Office	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
Denton Office	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Easton Office	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Elkton Office	5	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	9
Ocean City Office**	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Princess Anne Off.	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
Salisbury Office	14	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
Snow Hill Office	5	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>													
Annapolis Office	22	4	0	4	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	34
Glen Burnie	11	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
LaPlata Office	13	3	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Leonardtown Office	7	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Prince Frederick Off.	6	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
<b>R-V-Southern</b>													
CD - Cheltenham	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Largo Office	40	7	1	3	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	57
Rockville Office	20	4	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Silver Spring Office	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
Upper Marlboro Office	18	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>													
<b>Total Filled</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>660</b>
<b>Total Vacancies</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>44</b>

\*Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

\*\*Ocean City Office is a seasonal office, staffed by contractual employees.

+“CMS” means Case Management Specialist; “Mgmt” includes management and assistant area directors; “Services” includes substance abuse and behavioral health; “Othr” includes transportation, recreation, and program specialists.



## Appendix B

### DETENTION CENTER STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2013

Facility (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services+	Educ <sup>1</sup>	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Othr+	Total
	Advis	Sup											
<b>R-I-Baltimore City</b>													
BCJJC**	104	8	8	11	2	0	7	20	19	12	3	1	195
<b>R-II-Central</b>													
Hickey School**	93	4	5	7	2	0	1	8	13	4	3	4	144
<b>R-III-Western</b>													
Headquarters	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	0	8
WMCC	25	3	2	1	2	0	1	2	5	6	1	0	48
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>													
LESCC	24	4	2	2	1	0	1	2	5	5	1	0	47
<b>R-V-Southern</b>													
Waxter Center	34	4	3	4	4	0	2	2	8	4	2	1	68
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>													
Cheltenham**	100	7	4	10	3	0	2	4	8	8	3	1	150
Noyes Children's Ctr.	42	5	3	4	4	0	0	2	0	3	1	0	64
<b>Total Filled</b>	<b>422</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>724</b>
Vacancies Available	24	3	8	1	10	0	3	4	1	2	2	5	63

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

\*"GLM" represents Group Life Manager; "CMS" represents Case Management Specialists; "Facil" represents Facility Maintenance.

+ "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Other" includes transportation and recreation.

\*\*Direct clinical services are provided by contracted vendors. For the MAST initiative, DJS added full-time, non-contractual psychologists and social work positions.

<sup>1</sup>MSDE assumed responsibility for all education positions that were previously under the auspices of DJS.

## Appendix C

### COMMITTED PROGRAM STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2013

Facility (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services+	Educ <sup>1</sup>	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Othr+	Total
	Advis	Sup											
<b>R-I-Baltimore City</b>													
Schaefer House	17	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	25
<b>R-III-Western</b>													
Backbone Mt. Yth Ctr	37	1	1	6	2	0	2	1	3	0	2	0	55
Green Ridge Yth Ctr	20	0	1	5	3	0	1	1	5	0	2	0	38
Meadow Mt. Yth Ctr	28	0	1	3	3	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	43
Savage Mt. Yth Ctr	18	1	0	4	2	0	2	1	3	0	2	0	33
Victor Cullen	48	6	4	5	1	0	3	5	6	7	3	5	93
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>													
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr	15	2	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	4	2	0	29
<b>Total Filled</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>316</b>
Vacancies Available	0	1	6	1	6	0	0	1	1	1	0	5	22

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

<sup>1</sup>J. DeWeese Carter Center became a program for committed youth during FY 2012.

\*"GLM" represents Group Life Manager; "CMS" represents Case Management Specialists; "Facil" represents Facility Maintenance.

+ "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Other" includes transportation and recreation.

<sup>1</sup>MSDE assumed responsibility for all education positions that were previously under the auspices of DJS.



## Appendix D STATE-OPERATED FACILITY EXPENDITURES, FY 2013

	General Admin	Mainten.	Education Services	Somatic Health	Direct Care	Dietary Services	Mental Health Services	Juvenile Incent.	Grand Total
Baltimore City	\$4,376,515	\$351,116	\$0	\$1,883,031	\$9,670,187	\$1,897,033	\$1,756,926	\$5,967	\$19,940,774
Carter	\$328,208	\$140,761	\$0	\$451,852	\$1,523,285	\$171,733	\$473,844	\$0	\$3,089,683
Cheltenham	\$2,304,819	\$1,442,842	\$523	\$1,781,317	\$8,971,790	\$961,896	\$1,874,808	\$0	\$17,337,994
Green Ridge	\$358,169	\$42,895	\$347,763	\$0	\$2,297,138	\$383,772	\$221,241	\$3,040	\$3,654,018
Hickey	\$1,319,860	\$1,243,963	\$0	\$1,279,499	\$7,538,199	\$1,194,790	\$1,297,059	\$0	\$13,873,370
Lower East Shore	\$481,636	\$147,913	\$0	\$596,800	\$2,417,102	\$371,325	\$273,981	\$0	\$4,288,757
Noyes	\$607,104	\$202,966	\$396,093	\$910,507	\$3,999,999	\$783,909	\$504,421	\$0	\$7,404,998
Schaefer	\$231,905	\$24,200	\$57,223	\$259,397	\$988,259	\$1,815	\$136,507	\$147	\$1,699,452
State-Wide Youth Centers*	\$693,962	\$1,077,776	\$2,427,219	\$1,105,524	\$7,336,224	\$1,141,486	\$774,961	\$2,712	\$14,559,862
Victor Cullen	\$1,128,745	\$464,191	\$0	\$914,208	\$4,854,989	\$553,934	\$421,892	\$722	\$8,338,681
Waxter	\$839,998	\$760,697	\$106,087	\$893,421	\$3,374,132	\$596,064	\$491,338	\$2,035	\$7,063,773
Western Maryland	\$397,403	\$230,335	\$0	\$681,802	\$2,048,097	\$426,226	\$221,116	\$217	\$4,005,195
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$13,068,322</b>	<b>\$6,129,653</b>	<b>\$3,334,907</b>	<b>\$10,757,359</b>	<b>\$55,019,401</b>	<b>\$8,483,982</b>	<b>\$8,448,093</b>	<b>\$14,839</b>	<b>\$105,256,558</b>

## Appendix E STATE-OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY13

	Per Diem Cost	Avg. Annual Cost
Baltimore City	\$568	\$207,726
Carter	\$705	\$257,474
Cheltenham	\$500	\$182,505
Green Ridge	\$257	\$93,693
Hickey	\$809	\$295,178
L. Eastern Shore	\$560	\$204,227
Noyes	\$441	\$160,978
Schaefer	\$310	\$113,297
Victor Cullen	\$486	\$177,419
State-Wide Youth Centers*	\$341	\$124,443
Waxter	\$645	\$235,459
Western Maryland	\$499	\$182,054

\*Does not include Green Ridge Youth Center which is budgeted separately as a regionalized Youth Center.

## FY13 COMMITTED PROGRAM PER DIEM RATES

Program Type	FY13 Per Diem Range*, ***,	Avg. FY13 Per Diem Rate**	
In-State	Treatment Foster Care	\$100.59 - \$274.10	\$148.75
	Group Home	\$174.12 - \$292.00	\$209.91
	Therapeutic Group Home	\$240.83 - \$257.51	\$247.65
	Independent Living	\$94.22 - \$166.00	\$119.85
	Alternative Living	\$244.69 - \$254.11	\$249.40
	Respite Care	419.58	\$419.58
	Residential Treatment Center	\$339.92 - \$430.97	\$393.88
	Diagnostic Unit / CEU	\$284.92 - \$309.03	\$294.98
	Out-of-State	Residential Treatment Center	\$254.35 - \$458.00
Staff Secure		\$114.08 - \$314.17	\$190.11
Hardware Secure		\$328.20 - \$378.00	\$351.18

\*\*Per Diem Rates\* are presented for privately-operated programs that DJS reimburses for service using a single rate. Rates are not presented for programs that are funded in whole or in part by other sources such as private health care insurance or Medicaid. IFCA and Psychiatric Hospitals are excluded as a class for this reason, and rates for Residential Treatment Centers are based on 6 of 11 programs utilized by DJS.

\*\*Average per diem rate should not be used to calculate the total cost by program type given the range of per diem rates. The average per diem rate does not take into account individual program utilization and the total cost may vary substantially based on the number of youth who actually participate in each program.

\*\*\*Educational costs are not included in per diem rates. Educational costs may vary within a program depending on the combination of services provided (e.g., regular education vs. special education) and the educational needs of youth placed.



## Appendix F

### DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 1

1 Maryland DJS Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Implemented 7/1/2013

Youth's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ DOB: \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_

ASSIST PID: \_\_\_\_\_ Complaint ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Jurisdiction (county): \_\_\_\_\_ Law Enf. Agency: \_\_\_\_\_

DRAI Completion Date: \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Completed by: \_\_\_\_\_

Completed Pre-Detention

Completed Post-Detention

#### Rationale

- New Complaint (including VOP/VOC)
- ATD Violation (NOT including VPI GPS violations)
- Writ – Mandatory Detention
- Warrant – Mandatory Detention
- Transfer from Adult Jurisdiction – Mandatory Detention
- Adult Detainer Concurrent Charge – Mandatory Detention
- Juvenile Detention Pending Adult Court Action – Mandatory Detention
- Court-Ordered Detention at Hearing – Mandatory Detention  
Drop-Down with Hearing type: Adjudication, Disposition, Review, Review - Drug Court
- Interstate Hold – Mandatory Detention
- Committed Youth Held for Court Hearing – Mandatory Detention
- Escape – Secure Facility – Mandatory Detention
- Ejected – Committed Placement – Mandatory Detention



## DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 2

2 Maryland DJS Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Implemented 7/1/2013

1. Most Serious New Alleged Offense (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

Category I.....	15	
Category II.....	12	
Category III.....	7	= <input type="text"/>
Category IV.....	5	
Category V.....	3	
No New Charge.....	0	

2. Additional Alleged Offenses: Include Charges Pending Adjudication and Charges Pending at Intake (Including Current Complaint)

Two or more additional Category I or II Offenses.....	10	
One additional Category I or II Offense.....	7	
One or more additional Category III Offense.....	5	= <input type="text"/>
One or more additional Category IV or V Offense.....	1	
No additional current or pending charges.....	0	

DETAIN = 15+

3. Current Supervision Status

Any VPI Supervision .....	8	
Aftercare Supervision .....	4	
Probation or ATD Supervision .....	3	= <input type="text"/>
Pre-Court Supervision or Investigation .....	2	
No current supervision.....	0	

ATD = 10 - 14

4. Prior Sustained Adjudications

One or more prior sustained adjudication(s) for a Category I or II Offense.....	8	
Two or more prior sustained adjudications for Category III or IV Offenses.....	6	
One prior sustained adjudication for a Category III or IV Offense.....	4	= <input type="text"/>
One or more prior sustained adjudication(s) for a Category V Offense.....	1	
No prior sustained adjudication.....	0	

RELEASE = 0 - 9

5. History of Failure to Appear (**within past 12 months**)

Two or more writs/warrants for failure to appear in past 12 months.....	3	
One writ/warrant for failure to appear in past 12 months.....	1	= <input type="text"/>
No warrants for failure to appear in past 12 months.....	0	

6. History of Escape/AWOL (**within past 12 months**)

One or more escapes from secure confinement or custody.....	4	
One or more instances of AWOL from non-secure, court-ordered placement.....	3	= <input type="text"/>
No escapes/ AWOLs in past 12 months.....	0	

INDICATED DRAI DECISION =  RISK SCORE =

MANDATORY DETENTION REASONS:



## Appendix F (cont.)

### DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 3

3 Maryland DJS Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Implemented 7/1/2013

**ACTUAL DECISION:**

RELEASE

ALTERNATIVE (ATD)

SECURE DETENTION

Please check the **primary** factor below that impacts your decision to override.

**OVERRIDE UP TO ATD OR SECURE DETENTION  
 REASON**

- Emergency Hold-Over - **Mandatory**
- Firearm use / possession (Excludes BB guns) – **Mandatory**
- Violation of ATD conditions of detention order – **Mandatory**
- VPI Violation
- Parent refusal
- Parent unavailable
- Juvenile has a history of violence in the home or victim resides in the home.
- ATD Refusal* (Dropdown to select program)
- Shelter unavailable
- Shelter refusal
- Victim / witness intimidation (with police intelligence)
- Absconded from non-secure placement
- Other (please specify, **required**): \_\_\_\_\_

**OVERRIDE DOWN TO ATD OR RELEASE  
 REASON**

- Age of youth.
- Parent willing/able to provide supervision.
- Juvenile has no prior record.
- Offense less serious than indicated by charge.
- New charge referred is not recent.
- Other (please specify, **required**): \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCRETIONARY OVERRIDE WITH SUPERVISORY APPROVAL ONLY:**

- Approved by: \_\_\_\_\_



## DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI), PAGE 4

4 Maryland DJS Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Implemented 7/1/2013

### FIRST COURT APPEARANCE:

<b>Current Detention Status (prior to Court action)</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Detained <input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/> CD <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter <input type="checkbox"/> DRAP <input type="checkbox"/> ERC <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Released
<b>DJS Recommendation to Court</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Detain <input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/> CD <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter <input type="checkbox"/> DRAP <input type="checkbox"/> ERC <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Release to: <input type="checkbox"/> Parent <input type="checkbox"/> Sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Grandparent <input type="checkbox"/> Aunt/Uncle <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
<b>Court Detention Decision</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Detain <input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/> CD <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter <input type="checkbox"/> DRAP <input type="checkbox"/> ERC <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Release to: <input type="checkbox"/> Parent <input type="checkbox"/> Sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Grandparent <input type="checkbox"/> Aunt/Uncle <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
<b>Special Circumstances Affecting Detention Decision:</b>



## Appendix G

### DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH IN DETENTION

DJS Housing Classification Assessment for Youth in Detention		
Name of Youth	_____ <i>first</i> <i>last</i> <i>MI</i>	Youth PID: _____ Youth DOB: _____
Date of Admission:	_____	Staff Name: _____
<b>1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Charge (for detained youth), or Adjudication (for pending placement youth):</b> <i>Category I = 4 points</i> <i>Category III = 2 points</i> <i>Category II = 3 points</i> <i>Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point</i> Points: _____		
<b>2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense:</b> _____ <i>Category I = 4 points</i> <i>Category II = 3 points</i> <i>Category III = 2 points</i> <i>Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point</i> <i>None = 0 points</i> Points: _____		
<b>3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody*</b> (See summary on Face Sheet) <i>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</i> <i>6 or more = 6 points</i> <i>2 or 3 = 2 points</i> <i>4 or 5 = 4 points</i> <i>0 or 1 = 0 points</i> Points: _____		
<b>4. Current Age of Youth:</b> _____ Points: _____ <i>Under 14 = 4 Points, 15-17 = 2 Points, 18 and Over = 0 Points.</i>		
Total Score: _____		
<b>Recommended Classification:</b> <i>Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i> _____		
<b>Special Housing Issues.</b> Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.		
Y/N	<b>Issue:</b>	<b>Details</b>
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____
<b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b> _____		
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>		
<b>Double Bunking Concerns.</b> Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions		
Y/N	<b>Issue:</b>	
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.	
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together	
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.	
_____	Other. <b>Details:</b>	_____
<b>Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):</b> _____		
<i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i>		
<b>Unit Assignment:</b>	_____	
<b>Room Number:</b>	_____	<b>Single Room Only? (Y/N)</b> _____



**DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH IN DETENTION**

**Housing Classification Re-Assessment for Youth in Detention**

Current Supervision Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Current Pod/Unit Assignment: \_\_\_\_\_

**1. Current Score - From Last Classification Assessment** **Current Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment**  
*1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points.* **Points:** \_\_\_\_\_

**3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment\***  
*\*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.*

<b>5 or more incidents</b>	<b>= 4 Points</b>	
<b>2 - 4 incidents</b>	<b>= 2 Points</b>	<b>Points:</b> _____
<b>0 - 1 incidents</b>	<b>= - 4 Points</b>	

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Recommended Supervision Level:** *Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above* \_\_\_\_\_

**Special Housing Issues.** *Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions*

Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____
<b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b> _____		
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>		

**Double Bunking Concerns.** *Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions*

Y/N	<u>Issue:</u>	<u>Details</u>
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.	
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together	
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.	
_____	Other. <b>Details:</b>	_____

**Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):** \_\_\_\_\_

**Unit Assignment:** \_\_\_\_\_ *If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve*

**Room Number:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Single Room Only? (Y/N)** \_\_\_\_\_



## Appendix H

### DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR COMMITTED YOUTH

DJS Housing Classification Assessment For Committed Youth			
Name of Youth	_____	_____	Youth PID: _____
	<i>first</i>	<i>last</i>	<i>MI</i>
			Youth DOB: _____
Date of Admission:	_____	Staff Name:	_____
<b>1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Committing Offense:</b>			
	Category I = 4 points	Category III = 2 points	
	Category II = 3 points	Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point	Points: _____
<b>2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense:</b>			
	Category I = 4 points	Category III = 2 points	
	Category II = 3 points	Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point	Points: _____
<b>3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody*</b> (See summary on Face Sheet)			
<i>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</i>			
	6 or more = 6 points	2 or 3 = 2 points	
	4 or 5 = 4 points	0 or 1 = 0 points	Points: _____
<b>4. Current Age of Youth:</b>			
	Under 14 = 4 Points,	15-17 = 2 Points,	18 and Over = 0 Points.
			Points: _____
			<b>Total Score:</b> _____
<b>Recommended Classification:</b> Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above _____			
<b>Special Housing Issues.</b> Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.			
Y/N	<b>Issue:</b>	<b>Details</b>	
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	
_____	Protective Custody	_____	
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____	
<b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b>			_____
			<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>
<b>Special Concerns.</b> Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.			
Y/N	<b>Issue:</b>		
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old		
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other		
_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth		
_____	Other. <b>Details:</b>	_____	
<b>Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):</b> _____			
<b>Unit Assignment:</b>	_____	<i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i>	
<b>Room Number:</b>	_____		



**DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR COMMITTED YOUTH**

<b>Housing Classification Re-Assessment For Committed Youth</b>																
<p><b>Current Supervision Level:</b> _____</p> <p><b>Current Unit Assignment:</b> _____</p>																
<p><b>1. Current Score - From last Classification Assessment</b></p>	<p><b>Current Score:</b> _____</p>															
<p><b>2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment</b>  <i>1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points.</i></p>	<p><b>Points:</b> _____</p>															
<p><b>3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment*</b></p> <p><i>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><i>5 or more incidents</i></td> <td style="padding: 5px; text-align: center;">= 4 Points</td> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><i>2 - 4 incidents</i></td> <td style="padding: 5px; text-align: center;">= 2 Points</td> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><i>0 - 1 incidents</i></td> <td style="padding: 5px; text-align: center;">= - 4 Points</td> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> </tr> </table>		<i>5 or more incidents</i>	= 4 Points		<i>2 - 4 incidents</i>	= 2 Points		<i>0 - 1 incidents</i>	= - 4 Points							
<i>5 or more incidents</i>	= 4 Points															
<i>2 - 4 incidents</i>	= 2 Points															
<i>0 - 1 incidents</i>	= - 4 Points															
<p><b>Points:</b> _____</p>																
<p><b>Total Score:</b> _____</p>																
<p><b>Recommended Supervision Level:</b> <i>Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">_____</p>																
<p><b>Special Housing Issues.</b> <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center; width: 10%;">Y/N</th> <th style="text-align: center; width: 45%;">Issue:</th> <th style="text-align: center; width: 45%;">Details</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Medical Condition/Injury</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Protective Custody</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;"><b>Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:</b> _____</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 5px;"><i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i></p>		Y/N	Issue:	Details	_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____	_____	Protective Custody	_____	_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____			
Y/N	Issue:	Details														
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____														
_____	Protective Custody	_____														
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____														
<p><b>Special Concerns.</b> <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.</i></p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center; width: 10%;">Y/N</th> <th style="text-align: center; width: 45%;">Issue:</th> <th style="text-align: center; width: 45%;">Details:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">_____</td> <td>Other.</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Y/N	Issue:	Details:	_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old		_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other		_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth		_____	Other.	_____
Y/N	Issue:	Details:														
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old															
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other															
_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth															
_____	Other.	_____														
<p><b>Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High):</b> _____</p>																
<p><b>Unit Assignment:</b> _____</p>	<p><i>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</i></p>															
<p><b>Room Number:</b> _____</p>																



## Appendix I

### MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 1

#### MCASP Intake Risk Screen

##### Part I: DELINQUENT HISTORY

ITEM	Scoring
<b>1. Age at First Offense:</b> The age at the time of the offense for which the youth was referred to DJS for the first time on a misdemeanor or felony.	<input type="checkbox"/> Over 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 16 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 13 to 14 <input type="checkbox"/> Under 13
<b>2. Misdemeanor Referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor.	<input type="checkbox"/> One or fewer <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or four <input type="checkbox"/> Five or more
<b>3. Felony Referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a felony.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
<b>4. Weapon Referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense includes the possession or use of a firearm or explosive.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One or more
<b>5. Against-person misdemeanor referrals:</b> Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was an against-person misdemeanor. An against-person misdemeanor involves threats, force, or physical harm to another person such as assault, sex, coercion, harassment, obscene phone call, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
<b>6. Against-person felony referrals:</b> Total number of referrals for an against-person felony. An against-person felony involves force or physical harm to another person such as homicide, murder, manslaughter, assault, rape, sex, robbery, kidnapping, domestic violence, harassment, criminal mistreatment, intimidation, coercion, obscene harassing phone call, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One or two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
<b>7. Sexual misconduct misdemeanor referrals:</b> Total number of referrals for which the most serious offense was a sexual misconduct 4 <sup>th</sup> degree misdemeanor.	
<b>8. Felony sex offense referrals:</b> Total number of referrals for a felony sex offense – first, second, or third degree.	
<b>9. Detention:</b> Number of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
<b>10. Placement:</b> Number of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJS (including pending placement in a detention facility).	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
<b>11. Escapes:</b> Total number of referrals for escape.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more
<b>12. Failure to appear in court warrants:</b> Total number of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-criminal matters.	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> One <input type="checkbox"/> Two or more



**MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 2**

**Part II - SOCIAL HISTORY**

ITEM	Responses
<p><b>1a. Youth's <u>current</u> school enrollment status, regardless of attendance.</b>            If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check <i>Expelled</i> or <i>Dropped out</i>; otherwise check <i>Enrolled full</i> or <i>part-time</i>.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Graduated/GED <input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled full-time <input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled part-time <input type="checkbox"/> Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Dropped out <input type="checkbox"/> Expelled <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>1b. Youth's school conduct, last 3 months. Check all that apply.</b>            Conduct problems include things like fighting or threatening students/teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; crimes (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying, cheating.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No school conduct problems <input type="checkbox"/> Conduct problems reported by teachers <input type="checkbox"/> Calls to parents about conduct <input type="checkbox"/> Calls to police about conduct <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>1c. Youth's attendance in last 3 months.</b>            Partial-day absence means missing less than half the school day.            Full-day absence means missing all or most of school day.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> No full-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Some full-day unexcused absences <input type="checkbox"/> Chronic full-day unexcused absences or youth is currently dropped out <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>1d. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> A average <input type="checkbox"/> B average <input type="checkbox"/> C average, no F's <input type="checkbox"/> C or D average, with one or more F's <input type="checkbox"/> Mostly D's and F's <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>2. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with—last 3 months. Check all that apply.</b>  <i>Anti-social:</i> Friends that are hostile to the social order, disdain authority, engage in criminal/delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No consistent friends or companions <input type="checkbox"/> Pro-social friends <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-social friends <input type="checkbox"/> Gang member/associate <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>3. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime).</b>            Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJS commitments.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No placements ever <input type="checkbox"/> 1 or more placements <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>4. Number of times youth has run away or gotten kicked out of home (youth's lifetime).</b>            Include <u>all</u> incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No incidents <input type="checkbox"/> 1 incident <input type="checkbox"/> 2 or more incidents <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>5. Current household members with history of jail/prison/detention. Check all members that apply or check "None".</b>            Mother and father refer to current parent, legal guardian or youth's primary female and male caretakers residing in household.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Mother/female caretaker <input type="checkbox"/> Father/male caretaker <input type="checkbox"/> Older sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Younger sibling <input type="checkbox"/> Other member <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>6. Youth's current compliance with guardian's/caretaker's rules—last 3 months.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth usually obeys rules <input type="checkbox"/> Youth sometimes obeys rules <input type="checkbox"/> Youth consistently disobeys, is hostile to parental authority <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown



## Appendix I (cont.)

### MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 3

<p><b>7. Current alcohol/drug use.</b> First assess whether the youth has used alcohol or drugs in the last 3 months. If there is an indication of recent use, then examine (1) whether drug use contributes or is related in some way to the youth's recent criminal behavior, and (2) whether the current/recent use is serious enough to disrupt the youth's life, causing problems related to education, family life, social life or health.</p>	
<p><b>7a. Current alcohol use—last 3 months.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>7b. Current drug use—last 3 months.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>7c. Alcohol or drug use contributes to current/recent criminal behavior.</b>          Substance use either motivates criminal behavior (e.g. stealing to support a habit) or is related to criminal behavior in some other way (e.g., substance use is connected with violent, risky or impulsive behaviors that get the youth into trouble).</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>7d. Alcohol or drug use disrupts other areas of youth's life.</b>          Substance use creates problems with any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>School</b> (e.g., problems with school attendance, conduct or grades);</li> <li>• <b>Family</b> (e.g., stealing at home to support use, withdrawing, arguing over use);</li> <li>• <b>Peers</b> (e.g., loss of pro-social friends, inability to form pro-social relationships);</li> <li>• <b>Health</b> (e.g., trips to emergency room, medical problems related to use).</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>8. Victim of physical or sexual abuse during lifetime.</b>  <b>Check all that apply.</b>          Include any history of suspected abuse, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of abuse proven to be false. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Physical abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>9. Victim of neglect during lifetime.</b>          Include any history of suspected neglect, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of neglect proven to be false. Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<p><b>10. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever during lifetime).</b>          Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, depression, personality and other diagnosed disorders. <u>Exclude</u> substance abuse, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, ADD/ADHD and special education needs. Confirm by a professional in the social service/healthcare field that the youth was diagnosed, prescribed medicine or treated for a mental health problem.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown



## Appendix J

### QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT

*[Domain I, the first section of the assessment is a copy of the auto-calculated delinquent history.]*

Domain 2: SCHOOL (HISTORY)
1. Youth is/was a special education student or has had a formal diagnosis of a special education need (in any grade).
2. Total number of times expelled or suspended for conduct problems. A. Since first grade B. In last 3 months
3. Age at first expulsion or suspension.
Domain 2: SCHOOL (CURRENT)
4. Youth has been enrolled in school during the last 3 months, regardless of attendance.
5. Youth's current school enrollment status, regardless of attendance.
6. Youth believes there is value in getting an education.
7. Youth believes school environment is encouraging.
8. Number of teachers or other school staff the youth likes/ feels comfortable with.
9. Youth's involvement in school activities, last 3 months.
10. Youth's school conduct, last 3 months.
11. Youth's attendance in last 3 months.
12. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.
13. Interviewer's assessment of likelihood the youth will stay in and graduate from high school or an equivalent vocational school.
Domain 3: USE OF FREE TIME
1. Current interest and involvement in <u>structured</u> recreational activities.
2. Current interest and involvement in <u>unstructured</u> recreational activities.
Domain 4: EMPLOYMENT (HISTORY AND CURRENT)
1. History of employment.
2. Youth's history of success on the job.
3. Current employment status.
4. Current interest in employment.
5. Current positive personal relationship(s) with employer(s) or adult coworker(s).
Domain 5: RELATIONSHIPS IN THE COMMUNITY (HISTORY AND CURRENT)
1. Current positive relationships with adults in the community.
2. History of anti-social friends/companions—last few years.
3. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with, last 3 months.
4. Currently in a "romantic" or sexual relationship.
5. Currently admires/emulates anti-social peers.
6. Current resistance to anti-social peer influence.
Domain 6: FAMILY (HISTORY)
1. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime).
2. Number of times youth has either run away or gotten kicked out of home: A. Incidents across lifetime: B. Any incidents in last 3 months? C. Currently a runaway or kicked out?
3. History of non-delinquency citations/petitions (lifetime).
4. Household members who ever lived with youth (at least 3 months) and had a history of jail/prison/detention.
Domain 6: FAMILY (CURRENT HOUSEHOLD)
5. Youth has been living under "adult supervision" during last three months.
6. Parents/parent figures currently living with youth.
7. Annual combined income of youth and family.
8. Current household members with history of jail/prison/detention.
9. Problem history of parents/caretakers who currently live with youth.
10. Problem history of siblings who currently live with youth.
11. Current support network for youth's family.
12. Current level of parental emotional support (affection, caring).
13. Parents provide opportunities to participate in family activities and decisions affecting the youth.
14. Family member(s) youth currently feels close to or has good relationship with.
15. Current level of conflict in youth's household between any members, last 3 months (most serious level).
16. Current parental supervision, last 3 months.



## Appendix J (cont.)

### QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT

17. Youth's current compliance with parent's rules.
18. Current parental approach to discipline.
19. Current parental approach to rewarding youth.
20. Current parental view of youth's anti-social behavior.
<b>Domain 7: ALCOHOL &amp; DRUGS</b>
1. History of alcohol use prior to the last 3 months. A. Past alcohol use B. Past alcohol use disrupted functioning.
2. History of drug use prior to the last 3 months. A. Past drug use B. Past drug use disrupted functioning.
3. History of alcohol/drug assessment/diagnosis.
4. History of attending alcohol/drug education classes.
5. History of participating in alcohol/drug treatment program.
6. Any drug or alcohol use within last 3 months.
7. Current alcohol use (within last 3 months). A. Any current alcohol use. B. Alcohol use disrupts current functioning.
8. Current drug use (within last 3 months). A. Any current drug use. B. Drug use disrupts current functioning.
9. Type of drugs currently used.
10. Current participation in alcohol/drug treatment, last 3 months.
<b>Domain 8: MENTAL HEALTH (HISTORY)</b>
1. Victim of physical abuse during lifetime.
2. Victim of sexual abuse during lifetime.
3. Victim of neglect during lifetime.
4. History of ADD/ADHD. Confirm by a professional.
5. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever in lifetime).
<b>Domain 8: MENTAL HEALTH (CURRENT)</b>
6. Current health insurance.
7. Current mental health status, last 3 months.
8. ADD/ADHD medication currently prescribed. Confirm by a professional.
9. Mental health treatment currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD treatment. Confirm by a professional.
10. Mental health medication currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD medication. Confirm by a professional.
11. Mental health problem(s) currently interferes in working with the youth.
<b>Domain 9: ATTITUDES &amp; AGGRESSION</b>
<b>PART A: ATTITUDES</b>
1. Primary emotion when committing delinquent act(s) within the last 3 months.
2. Optimism about future.
3. Impulsive; acts before thinking.
4. Youth's belief in control over his/her own anti-social behavior.
5. Youth's empathy, remorse, or sympathy for the victim(s).
6. Respect for authority figures.
7. Youth's attitude toward laws/social norms.
8. Youth's view of his/her anti-social behavior.
9. Youth's belief in successfully meeting conditions of court supervision.
<b>PART B: AGGRESSION</b>
10. Tolerance for frustration.
11. Interpretation of actions and intentions of others in common, non-confrontational settings.
12. Belief in yelling and verbal aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.
13. Belief in fighting and physical aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.
<b>Domain 10: NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY</b>
1. How safe is the youth's neighborhood?
2. Which of the following applies to youth's neighborhood?
3. Youth feels safe in the neighborhood.
4. Youth would like to move because of concerns about own or family's safety.
5. How safe is the youth's neighborhood school?



## Appendix K

### CRIMES OF VIOLENCE

The Maryland Sentencing Commission utilizes the definition of “crime of violence” found in the Md. Code, Correctional Services Article, Sect. 7-101(m), which states violent crime is a crime of violence as defined in section 14-101 of the Criminal Law Article, or burglary in the 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree. Md. Code, Criminal Law, Sect. 14-101 lists the crime of violence as:

(1) abduction; (2) arson in the first degree; (3) kidnapping; (4) manslaughter, except involuntary manslaughter; (5) mayhem; (6) maiming, as previously proscribed under former Article 27, §§ 385 and 386 of the Code; (7) murder; (8) rape; (9) robbery under § 3-402 or § 3-403 of this article; (10) carjacking; (11) armed carjacking; (12) sexual offense in the first degree; (13) sexual offense in the second degree; (14) use of a handgun in the commission of a felony or other crime of violence; (15) child abuse in the first degree under § 3-601 of this article; (16) sexual abuse of a minor under § 3-602 of this article if:

(i) the victim is under the age of 13 years and the offender is an adult at the time of the offense; and

(ii) the offense involved:

1. vaginal intercourse, as defined in § 3-301 of this article;
2. a sexual act, as defined in § 3-301 of this article;
3. an act in which a part of the offender’s body penetrates, however slightly, into the victim’s genital opening or anus; or
4. the intentional touching, not through the clothing, of the victim’s or the offender’s genital, anal, or other intimate area for sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse;

(17) an attempt to commit any of the crimes described in items (1) through (16) of this subsection; (18) continuing course of conduct with a child under § 3-315 of this article; (19) assault in the first degree; (20) assault with intent to murder; (21) assault with intent to rape; (22) assault with intent to rob; (23) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the first degree; and (24) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the second degree.

## Appendix L

### MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS

#### Human Services Article

##### • Title 9 - Juvenile Services

- Establishes the functions of the Department of Juvenile Services; enumerates the authority of the Secretary; details the organization and administration of DJS; establishes the operation of state facilities, advisory boards, juvenile care facilities, and regional services; and specifies the procedures for Interstate Compact and the Juvenile Services facility capital program.

#### Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article

##### • Title 3 - Courts of General Jurisdiction

- Establishes the jurisdiction and functions of the juvenile court.

##### • Subtitle 8 – Juvenile Causes – Children in Need of Assistance (CINA)

- § 3-823. **Permanency plan for out-of-home placement:** Requires the court to hold a permanency planning hearing to determine the permanency plan for a child no later than 11 months after a child is committed.

##### • Subtitle 8A - Juvenile Causes – Children Other than CINAs and Adults

- § 3-8A-01. **Definitions:** Provides the meanings of words commonly used in juvenile justice (e.g. adjudicatory hearing, community detention, detention, disposition, petition, violation.)
- §3-8A-02. **Purposes and construction of subtitle:** Establishes the goals of the Juvenile Justice System.
- §3-8A-03. **Jurisdiction of court:** Specifies the jurisdiction of the court over delinquent, child in need of supervision (CINS), Peace Order, and Interstate Compact juveniles; details exceptions to this jurisdiction.
- §3-8A-05. **Determination of Jurisdiction:** Specifies the age of the person at the time the alleged delinquent act was committed controls the determination of jurisdiction under this subtitle.
- §3-8A-06. **Waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes how the juvenile court may waive jurisdiction of a youth to the adult court.
- §3-8A-07. **Retention, termination or waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes when the court may terminate jurisdiction.
- §3-8A-08. **Venue:** Establishes the venue for a CINS petition, a petition concerning delinquency, a peace order request, and certain offenses.
- §3-8A-09. **Transfer of Proceedings:** Describes when the court may transfer certain proceedings to the youth’s county of residence or domicile.
- §3-8A-10. **Complaint; preliminary procedures:** Procedural requirements when an intake office receives a complaint from a person or agency, or certain citations issued by a police officer.
- §3-8A-10.1. **Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program:** Describes the CINS pilot program.



## Appendix L (cont.)

### MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS (CONT.)

- **§3-8A-12. Certain information inadmissible in subsequent proceedings:** Describes when certain statements or information are inadmissible in certain proceedings.
- **§3-8A-13. Petition; general procedures:** Describes the general procedures for filing a petition that alleges delinquency or CINS, and for filing peace order requests.
- **§3-8A-14. Taking child into custody:** Defines methods by which youth may be taken into custody (e.g. by court order/law enforcement officer.)
- **§3-8A-15. Detention and shelter care prior to hearing:** Provides the authorization for placing a youth in detention, community detention or shelter care for a child who may be in need of supervision or delinquent.
- **§3-8A-16.1. Blood lead level testing:** Authorizes a court to order a child to undergo blood lead level testing after a petition has been filed, but before an adjudication.
- **§3-8A-17. Study and Examination of child, etc:** Describes when the court may direct DJS or another qualified agency to make a study concerning the child, the child's family, the child's environment, and other matters relating to the disposition of the case.
- **§3-8A-17.1 – §3-8A-17.12. Juvenile Competency:** Procedural requirements regarding juvenile competency; including, evaluations, reports, hearings, services, court findings, and dismissal.
- **§3-8A-18. Adjudication:** Requires the court to hold an adjudicatory hearing; requires the allegations in the petition be proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the youth has committed a delinquent act.
- **§3-8A-19. Disposition; costs:** Requires the court to hold a separate disposition hearing after an adjudicatory hearing unless the court has dismissed/waived the petition/citation; allows disposition to be held on the day of the adjudicatory hearing; and describes permitted dispositions.
- **§3-8A-19.1 – §3-8A-19.5. Peace order request:** Describes procedures for an intake officer to file a peace order request, peace order hearings, forms of relief the order may include, service of a peace order; modification or recessions, and violations an order.
- **§3-8A-20. Right to counsel:** Specifies when a youth is entitled to the assistance of counsel.
- **§3-8A-20.1. Treatment service plan:** Defines "treatment service plan" and describes the procedures for development and implementation of a treatment service plan.
- **§3-8A-22. Limitations on place of commitment:** Specifies the limitations on where the court may detain, commit, or transfer a youth.
- **§3-8A-23. Effect of proceedings under subtitle:** Describes the effect of an adjudication and disposition of a child.
- **§3-8A-24. Effective period of order of commitment; renewal of order:** Specifies the length of time for an order of commitment, procedures for renewal of an order, and when the order is effective.
- **§3-8A-25. Progress reports:** Specifies requirements for visitation by a juvenile counselor of a child who is committed to an individual or to a public or private agency or institution. Authorizes the court to require the custodian to file periodic reports.
- **§3-8A-26. Order controlling conduct of a person before the court:** Authorizes the court to make an appropriate order controlling conduct under certain circumstances.
- **§3-8A-27. Confidentiality of records:** Describes confidentiality requirements, procedures, and exceptions for police and court records pertaining to or concerning a child.
- **§3-8A-28. Judgment of restitution:** Authorizes the court to enter a judgment of restitution against the parent of a child, the child, or both.
- **§3-8A-29. Parents liable for support after commitment:** Authorizes the court to order either parent/both parents to pay support of the child.
- **§3-8A-30. Contributing to certain conditions of a child:** Describes the offense of contributing to certain conditions of a child and provides for a penalty.
- **§3-8A-32. Appointment of attorney or advocate to represent child's interest:** Authorizes a court to appoint an attorney to represent a child's interest under certain conditions.
- **§3-8A-33. Citation for violation of certain alcoholic beverages law:** Authorizes a law enforcement officer to issue a citation to a youth if the officer has probable cause to believe that the child is violating certain alcoholic beverage, tobacco, or salvia divinorum possession laws.
- **§3-8A-34. Rights of victim or witness of delinquent act:** Refers to the rights of victims and witnesses of delinquent acts.



## Appendix M

### ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION AND SHELTER CARE BY REGION

Region I (Baltimore City)	Location
Aunt CC's Harbor House Shelter	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Community Detention at MYRC	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore City
Baltimore City PACT Center	Baltimore City
Detention Reduction Advocacy Program (DRAP)	Baltimore City
DJS Day/Evening Reporting Center	Baltimore City
TuTTie's Place Short Term (Group Home Setting)*	Baltimore City
Youth Enterprise Services - Liberty House Shel Care	Baltimore City
Region II (Central)	
Baltimore County Community Detention	Baltimore Co.
Baltimore County Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore Co.
Carroll County Community Detention	Carroll
Carroll County Electronic Monitoring	Carroll
Catholic Charities TASC (Shelter Home)	Harford
Harford County Community Detention	Harford
Harford County Electronic Monitoring	Harford
Howard County Community Detention	Howard
Howard County Electronic Monitoring	Howard
The Board of Child Care - Sh. Term High Inten. GH	Baltimore Co.
Region III (Western)	
Allegany County Community Detention	Allegany
Allegany County Electronic Monitoring	Allegany
Dallas and Mary Bunch Shelter Care	Allegany
Frederick County Electronic Monitoring	Frederick
Garrett County Community Detention	Garrett
Garrett County Electronic Monitoring	Garrett
John and Nancy Castle - Shelter	Washington
Joseph and Debra McCarney - Shelter	Washington
Pressley Ridge - Treatment Foster Care (Shelter)	Allegany
San Mar - Graff Shelter	Washington
The Maryland Salem Children's Trust Shelter, Inc	Garrett
Washington County Electronic Monitoring	Washington

\*This facility, which is a group home, is used for short-term or shelter placements as needed and based on bed availability.

Region IV (Eastern Shore)	Location
Caroline County Community Detention	Caroline
Caroline County Electronic Monitoring	Caroline
Cecil County Community Detention	Cecil
Cecil County Electronic Monitoring	Cecil
Dorchester County Community Detention	Dorchester
Dorchester County Electronic Monitoring	Dorchester
Hot Boards Shelter (Seasonal)	Worcester
Kent County Community Detention	Kent
Kent County Electronic Monitoring	Kent
Queen Anne's County Community Detention	Qn Anne's
Queen Anne's County Electronic Monitoring	Qn Anne's
Somerset County Community Detention	Somerset
Somerset County Electronic Monitoring	Somerset
Talbot County Community Detention	Talbot
Talbot County Electronic Monitoring	Talbot
Wicomico County Community Detention	Wicomico
Wicomico County Electronic Monitoring	Wicomico
Worcester County Community Detention	Worcester
Worcester County Electronic Monitoring	Worcester
Region V (Southern)	
Anne Arundel County Community Detention	An. Arundel
Anne Arundel County Electronic Monitoring	An. Arundel
Board of Child Care - Alt. for Yth - TRIAD Emer Pl. Pg	St. Mary's
Calvert County Electronic Monitoring	Calvert
Charles County Electronic Monitoring	Charles
St. Mary's County Electronic Monitoring	St. Mary's
Region VI (Metro)	
Montgomery County Community Detention	Montgomery
Montgomery County Electronic Monitoring	Montgomery
Montgomery County Evening Reporting Center	Montgomery
Prince George's County Community Detention	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Electronic Monitoring	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Evening Reporting Center	Pr. George's



## Appendix M (cont.)

### ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION BY REGION/COUNTY


Region/County	CD			CD/EM			D/ERC			DRAP			PACT			Shelter Care		
	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS
<b>R-I Balt. City</b>	356	21	21	1,268	103	30	257	16	24	61	10	55	159	14	31	532	24	14
Baltimore City	356	21	21	1,268	103	30	257	16	24	61	10	55	159	14	31	532	24	14
<b>R-II Central</b>	57	4	28	779	70	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	2	14
Baltimore Co.	29	2	21	527	51	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	8
Carroll	5	0	30	79	5	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	29
Harford	20	2	38	124	10	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	47
Howard	3	0	28	49	4	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	5
<b>R-III Western</b>	21	3	57	224	17	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	3	32
Allegany	17	1	34	16	2	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	1	34
Frederick	1	0	30	132	7	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	27
Garrett	3	1	150	8	1	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	15
Washington	0	0	0	68	7	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	37
<b>R-IV Eastern</b>	5	0	25	425	45	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	1	30
Caroline	1	0	63	9	1	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Cecil	3	0	9	76	9	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	1	0	33	32	3	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48
Kent	0	0	0	3	1	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Queen Anne's	0	0	0	15	2	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	0	0	0	27	4	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	22
Talbot	0	0	0	12	1	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	17
Wicomico	0	0	0	188	15	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	34
Worcester	0	0	0	63	8	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	40
<b>R-V Southern</b>	12	1	25	612	50	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	2	24
Anne Arundel	11	1	26	307	22	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	1	16
Calvert	0	0	0	61	5	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Charles	1	0	12	126	11	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	48
St. Mary's	0	0	0	118	13	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	16
<b>R-VI Metro</b>	53	7	53	990	124	47	80	14	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	63	4	24
Montgomery	15	2	70	141	19	51	15	2	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	1	15
Prince George	38	5	45	849	105	47	65	12	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	3	29
Out of State	0	0	0	48	7	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	4
<b>Statewide</b>	504	36	26	4,346	417	36	337	31	32	61	10	55	159	14	31	728	36	16



## Appendix N

### DISPROPORTIONATE MINORITY CONTACT (DMC) STRATEGIES AND CONTACT INFORMATION

#### DMC Strategies



Effective DMC reduction strategies prevent entry and further involvement of youth of color in the juvenile justice system and eliminate disparate treatment of these youth in system decision-making. Efforts that are most successful also include strategies that increase cultural competence and responsiveness to specific needs of system-involved youth and families of color. These strategies include the following:

- School and Community-Based Diversion
- Detention Risk Screening
- Alternatives to Secure Detention
- Community Alternatives to Placement
- Cultural and Linguistic Competence
- Community Engagement
- Family Involvement
- Graduated Sanctions

Jurisdiction	Implementing Agency	Address	Contact Information
Anne Arundel County	Anne Arundel County Partnership for Children, Youth and Families	1 Harry S. Truman Parkway, Suite 103 Annapolis, Maryland 21401	Phone: 410.222.7423
Baltimore City	The Family League of Baltimore City, Inc.	2305 N. Charles Street, Suite 200 Baltimore, Maryland 21218	Phone: 410.662.5500
Baltimore County	Baltimore County Local Management Board	6401 York Road, 3rd Floor Baltimore, MD 21212	Phone: 410.887.4254
Montgomery County	Montgomery County Collaboration Council for Children, Youth and Families, Inc.	12320 Parklawn Drive, Rockville, MD 20852	Phone: 301.610.0147 ext. 217
Charles County	Tri-County Youth Services Bureau	75 Industrial Park Drive Waldorf, MD 20602	Phone: 301.645.1837
Wicomico County	Wicomico County Board of Education	101 Long Avenue Salisbury, MD 21802	Phone: 410.677.4536



## Appendix O

### RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

The Relative Rate Index (RRI) is a measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool for measuring how ethnic minorities compare with white youth at various contact points (arrest, court referral, etc.) The RRI was developed by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. For more detailed information, see:  
<http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/dmcdcb/asp/whatis.asp>

#### DEFINITIONS FOR KEY CONTACT POINTS

The table below shows each key contact point included in the tables that follow along with a brief explanation of each point. Understanding each contact point and how it is defined in the calculations will provide the basis for proper interpretation of the rates.

Contact Point	Definition
<b>Population</b>	Population of youth ages 11 - 17. Data from MD Dept. of Planning. <i>Note: DJS uses 11-17 as a population denominator due to the low number of cases under 11</i>
<b>Arrests</b>	Arrests of juveniles by law enforcement. Data from MD State Police. Rate is based on youth in the general population.
<b>Referrals</b>	Juvenile complaints referred to DJS intake, from police or other sources, including delinquent and non-delinquent offenses, as recorded in ASSIST. Rate is per arrest.
<b>Diversion</b>	Complaints diverted, (resolved or informally adjusted to pre-court supervision), without formal petition. Rate is per referral.
<b>Detention</b>	Cases resulting in detention both pre-disposition or pending placement, but does not include youth ejected to detention from a committed placement. Rate is per referral.
<b>Petitioned</b>	Complaints resulting in juvenile court petitions. Rate is per referral.
<b>Delinquent</b>	Complaints resulting in delinquent findings. Rate is per petition.
<b>Probation</b>	Probation dispositions. Rate is per delinquent finding.
<b>Confinement</b>	Youth placed in Secure Committed program: Victor Cullen, Carter Center or secure private out of state programs. Rate is per delinquent finding.
<b>Transferred</b>	Juvenile petitions waived up to adult court. Does not include charges under original adult jurisdiction. Rate is per petition.

#### RRI TABLE FOR ALL MINORITY YOUTH

The data presented here shows the RRI for minority youth compared to white youth. An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disparity at that decision point. A higher value means more disparity (higher minority over-representation), and a lesser value means less disparity (under-representation). The RRI for each decision point is based on the number of youth of each race represented at that point compared with the number at the previous decision point.

#### RATES OF CONTACT FOR ALL YOUTH

This table shows the number and rate of representation for all youth, regardless of color, at each key juvenile justice decision point. The rates are based on the general population for this table, rather than based on the previous decision point.



## RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

### RRIs FOR ALL MINORITIES COMPARED WITH WHITE YOUTH, AT KEY CONTACT POINTS

Region/ County	Juvenile Arrests Adjusted*	Referrals to DJS Intake Adjusted*	Cases Diverted <sup>+</sup>	Cases Involving Secure Detention <sup>+</sup>	Cases Petitioned <sup>+</sup>	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings <sup>+</sup>	Cases resulting in Probation Placement <sup>+</sup>	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities <sup>+</sup>	Cases Transferred to Adult Court <sup>+</sup>
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>									
Baltimore City	13.40	0.63	0.88	1.61	1.10	1.10	1.12	**	**
<b>R-II-Central</b>									
Baltimore Co.	3.08	1.10	1.08	1.16	0.97	0.96	0.90	**	1.56
Carroll	0.92	1.18	0.93	2.13	1.18	**	**	**	**
Harford	2.52	1.09	0.95	0.85	0.99	1.20	0.60	**	**
Howard	1.80	1.08	0.87	3.72	1.22	1.11	0.87	**	**
<b>R-III-Western</b>									
Allegany	1.45	0.99	0.99	1.18	0.85	**	**	**	**
Frederick	3.20	1.05	0.88	1.89	1.23	0.97	1.06	**	**
Garrett	9.97	0.60	0.81	**	1.09	**	**	**	**
Washington	4.75	0.90	0.73	1.80	1.28	0.96	0.80	**	**
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>									
Caroline	2.35	1.09	0.88	1.91	0.94	**	**	**	**
Cecil	2.71	1.14	0.74	0.93	1.32	0.76	1.21	**	**
Dorchester	2.49	0.99	0.81	0.67	1.23	0.93	**	**	**
Kent	2.85	1.14	0.95	**	0.80	**	**	**	**
Queen Anne's	2.27	0.96	0.80	2.89	1.61	**	**	**	**
Somerset	1.75	1.10	0.71	2.09	1.08	**	**	**	**
Talbot	2.07	1.02	0.87	**	1.14	**	**	**	**
Wicomico	4.19	0.96	0.88	2.10	1.17	1.15	1.05	**	0.36
Worcester	1.41	0.70	0.79	1.57	2.10	0.84	**	**	1.69
<b>R-V-Southern</b>									
Anne Arundel	2.78	1.03	0.94	1.55	1.18	0.95	1.08	**	**
Calvert	1.68	0.82	0.95	1.37	0.88	0.73	**	**	**
Charles	3.06	0.98	0.99	0.75	1.03	1.41	0.84	**	**
St. Mary's	3.75	0.89	1.05	2.35	1.00	1.24	0.71	**	**
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>									
Montgomery	1.67	1.10	0.60	3.53	2.54	1.06	0.81	**	**
Prince George's	2.09	1.26	0.99	1.65	1.30	0.73	**	**	**
<b>State Total</b>	<b>2.17</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>2.81</b>	<b>1.30</b>	<b>0.91</b>	<b>0.91</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>0.78</b>

\* Minority youth includes: African American, Asian or Pacific Islander, American Indian, Alaskan Native, Other/Unknown. Hispanic youth are counted as White because Maryland state arrest data were collected as part of the FBI's Uniform Crime Report effort and utilize the FBI's racial designations (White, Black, American Indian or Alaskan Native, and Asian or Pacific Islander). Data on Hispanic origin are not collected.

<sup>+</sup> Hispanic youth are counted as minority youth in this column because Hispanic origin is included in the DJS ASSIST measure of race.

- Statistically significant results are shaded; Results that are not statistically significant are shown in regular font
- Insufficient number of cases for analysis are shown as \*\*; An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disproportional contact. Higher rates indicate greater disproportionality.



## Appendix O (cont.)

### RATE OF DJS CONTACT FOR ALL YOUTH PER 10,000 COMPARED WITH GENERAL POPULATION (AGES 11-17), AT KEY CONTACT POINTS, FY 2012

Region/ County	Population	Arrest	Refer to DJS Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	<b>60,124</b>	<b>714.2</b>	<b>734.0</b>	<b>154.7</b>	<b>458.9</b>	<b>388.7</b>	<b>173.6</b>	<b>105.8</b>	<b>9.6</b>	<b>0.3</b>
Baltimore City	60,124	714.2	734.0	154.7	458.9	388.7	173.6	105.8	9.6	0.3
<b>R-II-Central</b>	<b>136,757</b>	<b>668.6</b>	<b>580.1</b>	<b>300.0</b>	<b>60.3</b>	<b>257.3</b>	<b>143.0</b>	<b>87.4</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>3.4</b>
Baltimore Co.	73,817	808.1	650.9	304.7	70.7	324.7	174.8	106.5	1.1	3.7
Carroll	14,291	440.8	546.5	351.3	77.0	163.7	103.6	61.6	2.1	3.5
Harford	21,841	446.9	487.2	298.5	57.7	158.4	117.2	70.5	0.0	2.7
Howard	26,808	586.8	478.6	261.1	24.6	202.2	97.7	62.3	0.4	3.4
<b>R-III-Western</b>	<b>42,880</b>	<b>574.6</b>	<b>740.7</b>	<b>391.8</b>	<b>90.0</b>	<b>265.9</b>	<b>138.3</b>	<b>86.8</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>
Allegany	6,396	909.9	1,013.1	626.9	90.7	247.0	117.3	65.7	1.6	0.0
Frederick	20,914	536.0	645.0	386.3	58.8	207.5	94.2	49.7	0.0	3.8
Garrett	2,520	543.6	920.6	567.4	79.4	254.0	246.0	127.0	4.0	0.0
Washington	13,050	478.2	725.7	251.3	141.8	370.9	198.5	148.7	2.3	0.0
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	<b>39,881</b>	<b>962.4</b>	<b>1,082.7</b>	<b>672.7</b>	<b>152.0</b>	<b>335.2</b>	<b>173.3</b>	<b>82.5</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>20.6</b>
Caroline	2,872	1,034.0	891.3	584.9	80.1	208.9	90.5	45.3	0.0	0.0
Cecil	8,787	836.5	647.6	292.5	99.0	291.3	152.5	119.5	3.4	13.7
Dorchester	2,937	1,065.7	1,317.7	864.8	122.6	347.3	309.8	126.0	0.0	3.4
Kent	1,770	582.0	785.4	474.6	45.2	259.9	96.1	50.9	0.0	22.6
Queen Anne's	4,190	484.5	613.3	441.5	45.3	157.5	88.3	40.6	0.0	0.0
Somerset	2,436	681.3	820.9	402.2	172.4	340.7	98.5	12.3	0.0	16.4
Talbot	3,323	665.1	704.2	508.6	45.1	144.5	126.4	36.1	0.0	0.0
Wicomico	9,055	1,304.3	1,365.0	745.5	332.4	500.3	224.2	77.3	5.5	36.4
Worcester	4,511	1,372.1	2,305.3	1,757.8	166.3	494.3	259.4	139.7	0.0	62.1
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	<b>80,580</b>	<b>738.5</b>	<b>727.4</b>	<b>461.8</b>	<b>91.5</b>	<b>217.4</b>	<b>162.7</b>	<b>74.6</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>0.5</b>
Anne Arundel	48,847	744.8	713.4	433.6	82.7	217.4	173.2	71.9	1.6	0.0
Calvert	7,901	601.2	683.5	520.2	81.0	200.0	121.5	60.8	0.0	3.8
Charles	14,176	822.5	760.5	550.2	97.3	223.6	159.4	80.4	2.8	0.7
St. Mary's	9,656	696.0	785.0	426.7	135.7	222.7	148.1	91.1	3.1	0.0
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	<b>175,748</b>	<b>412.7</b>	<b>415.4</b>	<b>243.0</b>	<b>108.1</b>	<b>140.0</b>	<b>77.9</b>	<b>37.8</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>0.7</b>
Montgomery	89,763	417.7	312.8	170.3	57.3	116.6	76.6	46.5	2.2	0.8
Prince George's	85,985	407.5	522.5	318.9	161.1	164.3	79.2	28.8	7.7	0.7
<b>State Total</b>	<b>535,970</b>	<b>614.7</b>	<b>615.7</b>	<b>324.4</b>	<b>134.5</b>	<b>234.1</b>	<b>129.9</b>	<b>70.9</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>2.9</b>

• This table calculates the rate of DJS contact for all youth at each stage, compared with the general population (ages 11-17). For example, for every 10,000 youth in Baltimore City, there are 459 youth detained, and in Prince George's County it is 161 per 10,000 youth.



## Appendix P

### CENSUS INFORMATION, 2012\* POPULATION ESTIMATES BY RACE (AGE 11-17)<sup>1</sup>

Region / County	White <sup>2</sup>	Black <sup>2</sup>	American Indian/ Alaska <sup>2</sup>	Asian <sup>2</sup>	Hawaiian/ OPI <sup>2</sup>	Two or More <sup>2</sup>	Hispanic	Total
<b>R-I - Balt. City</b>	<b>14,321</b>	<b>39,714</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>1,283</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1,710</b>	<b>2,908</b>	<b>60,124</b>
Baltimore City	14,321	39,714	171	1,283	18	1,710	2,908	60,124
<b>R-II - Central</b>	<b>84,520</b>	<b>30,902</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>8,317</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>5,324</b>	<b>7,318</b>	<b>136,757</b>
Baltimore Co	41,509	21,877	197	3,656	24	2,644	3,910	73,817
Carroll	12,633	551	27	218	4	379	479	14,291
Harford	16,190	3,170	51	567	14	852	997	21,841
Howard	14,188	5,304	47	3,876	11	1,449	1,932	26,808
<b>R-III - Western</b>	<b>33,184</b>	<b>4,247</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>1,062</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1,629</b>	<b>2,658</b>	<b>42,880</b>
Allegany	5,421	610	9	50	2	182	121	6,396
Frederick	15,163	2,083	45	813	10	872	1,928	20,914
Garrett	2,418	32	4	9	0	32	24	2,520
Washington	10,181	1,521	23	189	6	543	585	13,050
<b>R-IV - Eastern</b>	<b>28,573</b>	<b>7,429</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>535</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1,247</b>	<b>1,984</b>	<b>39,881</b>
Caroline	2,106	462	8	18	1	87	190	2,872
Cecil	7,348	627	25	94	5	297	392	8,787
Dorchester	1,773	903	10	27	1	86	139	2,937
Kent	1,309	302	2	16	0	46	94	1,770
Queen Anne's	3,517	339	12	42	1	121	158	4,190
Somerset	1,129	1,112	9	16	0	70	100	2,436
Talbot	2,481	491	5	41	1	79	225	3,323
Wicomico	5,474	2,478	20	232	2	337	513	9,055
Worcester	3,437	714	11	50	1	124	175	4,511
<b>R-V - Southern</b>	<b>51,067</b>	<b>17,754</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>2,375</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>3,778</b>	<b>5,276</b>	<b>80,580</b>
Anne Arundel	32,447	8,673	130	1,644	34	2,162	3,757	48,847
Calvert	5,925	1,197	26	110	5	350	288	7,901
Charles	5,825	6,337	85	387	10	782	749	14,176
St Mary's	6,870	1,547	33	234	6	484	482	9,656
<b>R-VI - Metro</b>	<b>46,798</b>	<b>73,467</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>15,163</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>6,967</b>	<b>32,974</b>	<b>175,748</b>
Montgomery	37,656	17,247	140	12,059	40	4,096	18,525	89,763
Prince George's	9,142	56,220	169	3,104	30	2,872	14,449	85,985
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>258,463</b>	<b>173,513</b>	<b>1,257</b>	<b>28,737</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>20,655</b>	<b>53,118</b>	<b>535,970</b>

\* Data Sources: Maryland Department of Planning, Population Estimates for State of Maryland files: (1) Total Population Estimates by Single-Year Age, Race and Gender; (2) Hispanic Origin Population Estimates by Single-Year Age, Race and Gender; (3) Population [Estimates] by Race for Maryland's Jurisdictions. Available on-line: [http://planning.maryland.gov/msdc/S2\\_Estimate.shtml](http://planning.maryland.gov/msdc/S2_Estimate.shtml) (accessed in August and November, 2013).

<sup>1</sup> Methodology for calculation of census estimates is slightly different than prior year's, therefore data may not be directly comparable; <sup>2</sup> Excludes Hispanic and Latino



## Appendix Q

### NUMBER AND RATIO OF YOUTH, CASES, AND PLACEMENTS BY COUNTY\* FY 2013

County / Region	Complaints			Pre-D Detention Placements			Placements to Detention Pending Placement			Committed Placements		
	Cases	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Placements to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Placements to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Placements to Youth
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	<b>3,977</b>	<b>2,634</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2,155</b>	<b>1,161</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>358</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Baltimore City	3,977	2,634	1.5	2,155	1,161	1.9	282	216	1.3	358	279	1.3
<b>R-II-Central</b>	<b>7,230</b>	<b>5,098</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>703</b>	<b>520</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Baltimore County	4,533	3,084	1.5	477	350	1.4	113	94	1.2	139	119	1.2
Carroll	634	461	1.4	54	44	1.2	26	21	1.2	36	30	1.2
Harford	922	706	1.3	130	92	1.4	33	31	1.1	43	41	1.0
Howard	1,141	847	1.3	42	34	1.2	19	18	1.1	32	27	1.2
<b>R-III-Western</b>	<b>2,469</b>	<b>1,550</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Allegany	638	427	1.5	46	34	1.4	11	11	1.0	27	22	1.2
Frederick	845	476	1.8	97	62	1.6	20	18	1.1	50	44	1.1
Garrett	275	211	1.3	20	15	1.3	9	7	1.3	12	10	1.2
Washington	711	436	1.6	149	104	1.4	23	22	1.0	53	44	1.2
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	<b>3,581</b>	<b>2,742</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Caroline	228	161	1.4	12	12	1.0	1	1	1.0	7	6	1.2
Cecil	424	313	1.4	59	47	1.3	6	6	1.0	16	15	1.1
Dorchester	316	222	1.4	28	22	1.3	12	10	1.2	27	25	1.1
Kent	148	88	1.7	10	7	1.4	1	1	1.0	5	4	1.3
Queen Anne's	207	160	1.3	18	15	1.2	3	3	1.0	10	9	1.1
Somerset	220	122	1.8	36	21	1.7	9	7	1.3	13	10	1.3
Talbot	203	141	1.4	12	9	1.3	3	2	1.5	12	9	1.3
Wicomico	949	709	1.3	193	132	1.5	67	59	1.1	99	83	1.2
Worcester	886	826	1.1	61	45	1.4	11	9	1.2	17	16	1.1
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	<b>4,746</b>	<b>3,550</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>406</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Anne Arundel	2,717	2,035	1.3	326	239	1.4	150	125	1.2	222	174	1.3
Calvert	472	348	1.4	46	32	1.4	7	7	1.0	15	13	1.2
Charles	967	758	1.3	101	72	1.4	22	18	1.2	36	30	1.2
St. Mary's	590	409	1.4	96	63	1.5	16	14	1.1	37	32	1.2
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	<b>5,507</b>	<b>3,973</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1,576</b>	<b>1,053</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>1.1</b>
Montgomery	2,440	1,711	1.4	362	262	1.4	132	106	1.2	156	133	1.2
Prince George's	3,067	2,262	1.4	1,214	791	1.5	280	246	1.1	313	275	1.1
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>27,510</b>	<b>19,547</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>5,790</b>	<b>3,710</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1,265</b>	<b>1,061</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1,770</b>	<b>1,485</b>	<b>1.2</b>

\* Complaints and Committed Placements data is based on county of jurisdiction. Detention and Pending Placement data is based on county of residence.



## Appendix R

### CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES\*

Crimes of Violence	
	Category
Attempted Murder	1
Attempted Rape or Sex Offense	1
Carjacking - Armed	1
Child Abduction of Individual Under 16	1
Child Abuse 1st Degree	1
Kidnapping	1
Murder 1st Degree	1
Murder 2nd Degree	1
Rape 1st Degree	1
Rape 2nd Degree	1
Sex Offense 1st Degree	1
Sex Offense 2nd Degree	1
Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, Subsequent	1
Assault 1st Degree	2
Carjacking - Unarmed	2
In State Abduction of Child Under 12	2
Robbery with Deadly Weapon	2
Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, 1st Offense	2
Arson 1st Degree	3
Burglary 1st Degree	3
Burglary With Explosives	3
Manslaughter - Voluntary	3
Robbery	3
Burglary 2nd Degree	4
Burglary 3rd Degree	4

\* DJS regularly updates ASSIST offense categories to match statute and sentencing guideline severity categories. The most recent changes went into effect July 1, 2013. Offense data in the Data Resource Guide for FY13 and before will not reflect the latest changes.



## Appendix R (cont.)

### CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES\*

Person-to-Person Offenses			
Felony	Category	Misdemeanor	Category
Poisoning	1	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry with Intent to Injure, Kill	2
Prostitution- Human Trafficking	1	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry, Subsequent (incl. on school prop.)	2
Sex Abuse by Household Member	1	Prostitution, Abduction persuade entice	2
CDS - Weapons Use	2	Prostitution – Receive \$ for	3
Destructive Devices	2	Use of Machine Gun for Aggressive Purposes	3
Gang Offense - Commission of Crime	2	Assault 2nd Degree / Battery	4
Gang Offense - Manage, Fund Gang	2	Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor With Intent To Injure	4
Hate crime involving death of a victim	2	Deadly Weapon on Public School Property	4
Use of Machine Gun for a crime	2	Escape 2nd Degree	4
Child Abuse 2nd Degree	3	Failure to Appear - connection felony	4
Child Pornography	3	Gang Offense - School	4
Escape - 1st Degree	3	Hate crime other	4
Hate crime involving a separate felony crime	3	Indecent Exposure	4
Incest	3	Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle/ Vessel	4
Manslaughter - Involuntary	3	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering, Common Law	4
Manslaughter by Automobile	3	Reckless Endangerment	4
Removing Firearm from Possession of Law Enforcement Officer	3	Resisting Arrest	4
Sex Offense 3rd Degree (w/force or threat)	3	Retaliating against a Victim or Witness	4
Sodomy; Unnatural or Perverted Sexual Practice	3	Stalking	4
Assault on Police Officer	4	Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Pepper Spray	5
Manslaughter - Negligent Homicide by Automobile	4	Disturbing the Peace	5
Sex Offense 3rd Degree (No Force or Threat)	4	Electronic Mail Abuse	5
Out-Of-State Family Abduction	5	Failure to Appear – Connection Misdemeanor	5
		Gang Offense	5
		Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry 1st Offense (incl. on school prop.)	5
		Harassment	5
		In State Family Abduction	5
		Prostitution – Solicitation	5
		Sex Offense 4th Degree	5
		Visual Surveillance, Unlawful	5

\* DJS regularly updates ASSIST offense categories to match statute and sentencing guideline severity categories. The most recent changes went into effect July 1, 2013. Offense data in the Data Resource Guide for FY13 and before will not reflect the latest changes.



## CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES\*

<b>Property Offenses</b>			
<b>Felony</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>Category</b>
Theft Felony Over \$100,000	3	Arson - Threat	4
Arson 2nd Degree	4	False Alarm	4
Cloned Wireless Phone - Possession with Intent to Distribute, Distribution, Manufacturing or Sell	4	Arson Misdemeanor	5
False Statement - Fake Bomb	4	Attempted Arson	5
False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb	4	Breaking and Entering Motor Vehicles	5
Forgery, counterfeit &/or Uttering-Felony	4	Burglary 4th Degree	5
Fraud-Felony (Medicaid)	4	Code Grabbing Device	5
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	5
Railroad Obstructing	4	False Report	5
Theft Felony Under \$100,000	4	Forgery - prescription	5
Trademark Counterfeiting-Felony	4	Forgery, counterfeit &/or Uttering - Misd	5
Verbal Threat - Extortion	4	Fraud	5
Written Threat	4	Gambling	5
Breaking and Entering a Research Facility	5	Malicious Burning - Misdemeanor	5
Cruelty to Animals - Felony Racehorse	5	Malicious Destruction	5
Malicious Burning - Felony	5	Telephone Misuse	5
		Theft Misdemeanor	5
		Trademark Counterfeiting-Misdemeanor	5
		Transfer recorded Sound/Images w/o Consent	5
		Trespassing	5
		Unauthorized Removal of Property	5
<b>Drug Offenses</b>			
<b>Felony</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>Category</b>
CDS - Import Certain Amount into State	3	CDS - False Prescription	5
CDS - Using Minors for Manufacture or Distribution	3	CDS - Possession	5
CDS (Cocaine, Heroin, PCP, LSD, Oxy., Meth.)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	3	Distribution of Inhalants	5
CDS (Marijuana) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Drug Paraphernalia	5
CDS (Other) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Non-CDS, Possession	5
CDS - Distribution (Fake CDS)	4	Use of Inhalants	5
CDS (Marij/Amphet/Valium/Ecstasy < 750/Bup./Countrft)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	4		
Receipt of Proceeds From CDS Offenses	4		

\* DJS regularly updates ASSIST offense categories to match statute and sentencing guideline severity categories. The most recent changes went into effect July 1, 2013. Offense data in the Data Resource Guide for FY13 and before will not reflect the latest changes.



## Appendix R (cont.)

### CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES\*

<b>Unspecified Offenses</b>			
<b>Felony</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>Category</b>
Conspiracy to Commit Any (Felony)Offense	3	Conspiracy to Commit Any (Misdemeanor)Offense	5
Unspecified Felony	3	Unspecified Misdemeanor	5
<b>Other Offenses</b>			
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>Category</b>
Driving under Influence CDS	5	BB Gun / Pellet Gun	5
Driving under Influence Drugs and/or Alcohol	5	Discharging Firearms	5
Driving While Impaired	5	Disorderly Intoxication	5
Driving While Intoxicated	5	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	5
Traffic Violation Incarcerable	5	Failure to Appear - Citation	5
Traffic Violation Non-Incarcerable	5	Fireworks Violation	5
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>Category</b>	Loitering	5
Alcohol Possession on School Premises	5	Tampering	5
Alcoholic Bev. Violation	5	Violation of Local Ordinance	5
Pager at School	5	Violation of Natural Resources Article	5
Runaway	5	<b>Violation of Probation</b>	<b>Category</b>
Tobacco Violation	5	Violation of Felony Probation	3
Truant	5	Violation of Misdemeanor Probation	5
Ungovernable	5		

\* DJS regularly updates ASSIST offense categories to match statute and sentencing guideline severity categories. The most recent changes went into effect July 1, 2013. Offense data in the Data Resource Guide for FY13 and before will not reflect the latest changes.



## Appendix S

### COMMONLY USED ACRONYMS

ALOS	Average Length of Stay
ART®	Aggression Replacement Training
ASSIST	Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools
ATD	Alternatives to Detention
AWOL	Absent Without Leave
BCDC	Baltimore City Detention Center
BCJJC	Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center
BMHS	Baltimore Mental Health Systems
CD	Community Detention
CDS	Controlled Dangerous Substances
CHHS	Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School
CINA	Child In Need of Assistance
CINS	Child In Need of Supervision
CJCA	Council of Juvenile Correctional Administrators
CJIS	Criminal Justice Information System
CMS	Case Management Specialist
CMSS	Case Management Specialist Supervisor
COMAR	Code of Maryland Regulations
COP	Certificate of Placement
CRC	Central Review Committee
CYF	Cheltenham Youth Facility
DBM	Department of Budget and Management
DDA	Developmental Disabilities Administration
DHMH	Department of Health and Mental Hygiene
DHR	Department of Human Resources
DMC	Disproportionate Minority Contact
DPSCS	Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services
DRAI	Detention Risk Assessment Instrument
DRAP	Detention Reduction Advocacy Program
D/ERC	Day/Evening Reporting Center
EBP	Evidence Based Programs (or services - EBS)
EM	Electronic Monitoring
FAFP	For Authorization of Formal Petition
FFT	Functional Family Therapy (an EBS)
FY	Fiscal Year
GOC	Governor's Office for Children

GOCCP	Governor's Office of Crime Control & Prevention
GPS	Global Positioning System
ICFA	Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions
IRC	Interagency Rates Committee
JCR	Joint Chairmen's Report
JDAI	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative
JJDPA	Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act
JJMU	Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit
JPR	Judicial Proceedings Reports
LESCC	Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center
MAST	Multi-Disciplinary Assessment Staffing Team
MCASP	Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning
MHA	Mental Hygiene Administration
MSDE	Maryland State Department of Education
MST	Multisystemic Therapy
MTFC	Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care
MYRC	Maryland Youth Residence Center
NCCD	National Council on Crime and Delinquency
NFS	Non-Fatal Shooting
OJJDP	Office of Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention
PREA	Prison Rape Elimination Act
RA	Resident Advisor
RICA	Regional Institute for Children and Adolescents
RRI	Relative Rate Index
RTC	Residential Treatment Center
SAB	(DJS) State Advisory Board
SAO	State's Attorney's Office
SMART	Statewide Maryland Automated Records Tracking
SOS	Spotlight on Schools Program
TFC	Treatment Foster Care
TGH	Therapeutic Group Home
TSP	Treatment Service Plan
VOP	Violation of Probation
VPI	Violence Prevention Initiative
WMCC	Western Maryland Children's Center



# Maryland Department of Juvenile Services FY 2013 Statistical Information

## MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and after-care services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

## Intake & Community Supervision Information

### COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2011-2013

Complaint Source	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Citizen	3.2%	3.4%	3.1%
Police	91.4%	90.3%	88.5%
School Referrals*	1.7%	1.8%	2.5%
Violation of Probation**	3.2%	3.8%	5.1%
Waiver from Adult Court	0.5%	0.7%	0.7%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>35,871</b>	<b>33,006</b>	<b>27,510</b>

\*Includes School Resource Officers  
\*\*Includes only technical violations

### INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	58.8%	59.9%	60.5%
White	34.9%	33.5%	33.0%
Hispanic/Other	6.3%	6.6%	6.5%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	73.3%	72.8%	72.9%
Female	26.7%	27.2%	27.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	2.9%	3.5%	3.4%
12	3.9%	3.6%	3.6%
13	6.7%	7.1%	7.2%
14	12.1%	12.7%	13.0%
15	18.7%	18.6%	19.0%
16	23.9%	23.1%	22.5%
17	29.0%	28.7%	28.1%
18-20	2.8%	2.8%	3.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>35,871</b>	<b>33,006</b>	<b>27,510</b>

## Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

### CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS, FY 2011-2013

Case Forwarding Decision	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Authorized Formal Petition	44.8%	47.9%	51.5%
Informed	17.1%	17.1%	17.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	38.1%	35.0%	30.7%
<b>Total Complaints*</b>	<b>35,871</b>	<b>33,006</b>	<b>27,510</b>
<b>Court Disposition of Formaled Cases</b>			
Committed to DJS	8.6%	8.6%	9.8%
Continued/Stet	8.4%	9.8%	12.9%
Dismissed/Closed	30.6%	33.0%	27.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.4%	1.0%	0.6%
Nolle Pros.	3.8%	3.7%	4.4%
Other**	9.3%	8.7%	7.7%
Pending Disposition <sup>1</sup>	0.9%	1.5%	5.6%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.2%	3.8%	3.5%
Probation	26.9%	24.6%	23.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.3%	2.0%	1.5%
Writ Pending <sup>1</sup>	3.7%	3.2%	2.7%
<b>Total Court Disposition Complaints</b>	<b>16,058</b>	<b>15,814</b>	<b>14,170</b>

\* Includes cases missing decisions

\*\* Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, services not ordered and unsupervised probation

<sup>1</sup> Pending at the time of final data collection for this Guide

### OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2013

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases <sup>1</sup>	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements <sup>1</sup>	New Probation Cases <sup>2</sup>	New Commitment <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime of Violence Fel<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>27.2%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>18.5%</b>
<b>Felony</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.1%	1.5%	1.8%
- Property	3.5%	7.5%	3.0%	3.6%
- Drugs	3.2%	9.1%	2.5%	2.7%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.3%	2.8%	4.9%
<b>Misdemeanor</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>74.8%</b>	<b>62.8%</b>
- Person-to-Person	29.5%	24.5%	28.8%	27.1%
- Property	26.9%	16.1%	27.0%	20.4%
- Drugs	10.9%	8.2%	14.2%	11.6%
- Unspecified	1.9%	1.3%	4.8%	3.6%
<b>Traffic Offenses</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>
<b>Status Offenses</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Ordinance Offenses</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>
Missing	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions</b>	<b>27,510</b>	<b>5,790</b>	<b>2,496</b>	<b>1,023</b>

<sup>3</sup> See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

<sup>1</sup> Alleged offenses

<sup>2</sup> Adjudicated offenses

## Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

### WORKLOAD INFORMATION, FY 2013

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	778	N/A	778
Pre-Court	1,212	N/A	1,212
Probation	2,977	N/A	2,977
VPI*	745	289	1,035
Aftercare	993	657	1,650

\* Counts for Aftercare & Probation do not include VPI youth

### COMPLAINTS AND PLACEMENTS BY COUNTY/REGION, FY 2013

County/ Region	Intake Complaints	Pre-D Detention Placements*	Post-D Pending Placements*	Ejection Placements*	Committed Placements*
<b>R-I-Balt. City</b>	<b>3,977</b>	<b>2,155</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>358</b>
Baltimore City	3,977	2,155	282	87	358
<b>R-II-Central</b>	<b>7,230</b>	<b>703</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>250</b>
Baltimore Co.	4,533	477	113	24	139
Carroll	634	54	26	6	36
Harford	922	130	33	4	43
Howard	1,141	42	19	4	32
<b>R-III-Western</b>	<b>2,469</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>142</b>
Allegany	638	46	11	3	27
Frederick	845	97	20	3	50
Garrett	275	20	9	3	12
Washington	711	149	23	2	53
<b>R-IV-Eastern</b>	<b>3,581</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>206</b>
Caroline	228	12	1	0	7
Cecil	424	59	6	0	16
Dorchester	316	28	12	1	27
Kent	148	10	1	0	5
Queen Anne's	207	18	3	1	10
Somerset	220	36	9	3	13
Talbot	203	12	3	1	12
Wicomico	949	193	67	14	99
Worcester	886	61	11	0	17
<b>R-V-Southern</b>	<b>4,746</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>310</b>
Anne Arundel	2,717	326	150	33	222
Calvert	472	46	7	0	15
Charles	967	101	22	4	36
St. Mary's	590	96	16	4	37
<b>R-VI-Metro</b>	<b>5,507</b>	<b>1,576</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>469</b>
Montgomery	2,440	362	132	27	156
Prince George's	3,067	1,214	280	47	313
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>27,510</b>	<b>5,790</b>	<b>1,265</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>1,770</b>

\* Includes youth from out-of-state

## Secure Detention Information

The Maryland Department of Juvenile Services owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility serves a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are Post-Disposition awaiting placement in a committed program (pending).

### PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS\*, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	80.2%	79.6%	78.9%
White	15.2%	15.3%	16.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.7%	5.1%	5.0%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	86.9%	85.6%	85.9%
Female	13.1%	14.4%	14.1%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.2%	0.3%	0.6%
12	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%
13	3.6%	4.4%	4.0%
14	8.4%	9.7%	9.8%
15	18.4%	16.9%	18.4%
16	25.7%	25.1%	23.0%
17	28.8%	28.2%	27.7%
18-20	13.9%	14.5%	15.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total Admissions</b>	<b>6,892</b>	<b>6,776</b>	<b>6,095</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

### PLACEMENTS, DRAI, RELEASES, AND ALOS, FY 2011-2013\*

		Placements		Releases	ALOS
		With DRAI	No DRAI		
FY 2013	Pre-D	71.6%	28.4%	5,754	14.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,043	29.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	279	45.0
FY 2012	Pre-D	55.9%	44.1%	6,078	16.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,230	39.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	290	60.2
FY 2011	Pre-D	40.0%	60.0%	6,043	15.5
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,676	44.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D

### CAPACITY, ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND UTILIZATION, FY 2013

Facility	Capacity	Admissions*	ADP	Utilization
Alfred D. Noyes	57	616	45.7	80.2%
Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Ctr	120	2,051	84.4	70.3%
Charles H. Hickey School	72	810	47.0	65.3%
Cheltenham Youth Facility	115	1,601	94.8	82.4%
Lower Eastern Shore Children's Ctr	24	430	21.5	89.6%
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr	42	596	30.0	71.4%
Western MD Children's Center	24	349	21.5	89.6%
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>6,095</b>	<b>344.9</b>	<b>76.0%</b>

\* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

## Committed Placement Information

A range of out-of-home program options (or placements) have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a Foster Home, Group Home, or Independent Living Program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operates seven facilities in Maryland and contracts with others both in-state and out-of-state.

### COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2011-2013

Demographics	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Black	70.1%	70.6%	68.5%
White	24.3%	24.5%	25.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.5%	4.9%	5.9%
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	85.5%	85.8%	84.1%
Female	14.5%	14.2%	15.9%
<b>Age</b>			
11 and under	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
12	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%
13	2.3%	3.0%	3.1%
14	7.8%	7.4%	8.4%
15	17.3%	16.5%	17.9%
16	26.8%	27.0%	24.6%
17	31.8%	31.6%	31.2%
18-20	13.0%	13.7%	14.0%
Error/Missing	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
<b>Total Placements</b>	<b>1,751</b>	<b>1,780</b>	<b>1,770</b>

### COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS\* FOR FY 2011-2013, ADP AND ALOS, FY 2013

	Placements			FY 2013	
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	124	91	115	69.2	268.2
Group Home	454	453	450	262.1	206.2
Indep. Living	76	48	43	28.6	199.9
ICFA	251	249	237	34.7	48.1
RTC	286	275	298	160.1	204.3
State Operated	529	638	630	230.1	129.8
<i>Staff Secure<sup>1</sup></i>	408	513	494	170.9	122.6
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	121	125	136	59.2	157.3
Silver Oak	63	67	67	46.8	266.2
Out-of-State	139	169	126	119.7	288.5
RTC	22	18	13	15.3	290.7
<i>Staff Secure</i>	83	98	69	60.3	274.7
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	34	53	44	44.1	316.0
<b>Total*</b>	<b>1,751</b>	<b>1,780</b>	<b>1,770</b>	<b>951.4**</b>	<b>172.5</b>

<sup>1</sup> During FY 2013, Schaefer House was reclassified as a staff-secure facility therefore, the data reflects that classification.


\* Statewide total placement counts excludes transfers within and between program/program types, therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

\*\* Data in this section include some probation and pre-disposition cases placed in hospital or diagnostic residential placements ordered by juvenile court.

## Financial Information

### FY 2013 OPERATING EXPENDITURES\*

**TOTAL:**  
**\$281.9 MILLION**



\* Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

### STATE OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY 2013

Facility	Per Diem Cost	Avg. Annual Cost
Baltimore City	\$568	\$207,726
Carter	\$705	\$257,474
Cheltenham	\$500	\$182,505
Green Ridge	\$257	\$93,693
Hickey	\$809	\$295,178
L. Eastern Shore	\$560	\$204,227
Noyes	\$441	\$160,978
Schaefer	\$310	\$113,297
Victor Cullen	\$486	\$177,419
State-Wide Youth Centers*	\$341	\$124,443
Waxter	\$645	\$235,459
Western Maryland	\$499	\$182,054

\* Does not include Green Ridge Youth Center which is budgeted separately as a regionalized Youth Center.

**Maryland Department of Juvenile Services**  
**Division of Support Services**  
**One Center Plaza**  
**120 West Fayette Street**  
**Baltimore, MD 21201**  
**www.djs.state.md.us**

**Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Bennisville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ilchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill Cheverly Chillum Clinton College Park College Park Woods Colmar Manor Coral Hills Cottage City Croom Daniels Park District Heights Eagle Harbor East Riverdale Edmonston Fairmount Heights Forest Heights Forestville Fort Washington Friendly Glassmanor Glenarden Glenn Dale Goddard Greenbelt Hardesty Hillcrest Heights Hollywood Hyattsville Kaywood Gardens Kettering Lake Arbor Landover Landover Hills Landover Park Langley Park Lanham Largo Laurel Marlboro Meadows Marlow Heights Marlyon Mitchellville Morningside Mount Rainier New Carrollton New Hampshire Gardens North Brentwood Oxon Hill Peppermill Village Queenstown Riverdale Park Rosaryville Seabrook Seat Pleasant South Laurel Springbrook Terrace Springdale Springhill Lake Suitland Temple Hills University Park Upper Marlboro Walker Mill West Laurel Westphalia Woodlawn Woodmore **Queen Anne's County** Barclay Centreville Chester Church Hill Grasonville Kent Narrows Kingstown Queen Anne Queenstown Stevensville Sudlersville **Somerset County** Chance Crisfield Dames Quarter Deal Island Eden Fairmount Frenchtown Mount Purnon Princess Anne Rumbley Smith Island West Pocomoke **St. Mary's County** California Charlotte Hall Golden Beach Leonardtown Lexington Park Mechanicsville Piney Point Saint George Island Tall Timbers **Talbot County** Cordova Easton Oxford Saint Aubins Heights Saint Michaels Tilghman Island Trappe **Washington County** Antietam Bagtown Bakersville Beaver Creek Big Pool Big Spring Blue Hill Boonsboro Breathedsville Brownsville Cascade Cavetown Cearfoss Charlton Chewsville Clear Spring Conococheague Dargan Downsview Eakles Mills Edgemont Ernstville Fairplay Fairview Fountain Head Funkstown Gapland Garretts Mill Greensburg Hagerstown Halfway Hancock Highfield Indian Springs Jugtown Keedysville Leitersburg Long Meadow Mapleview Mercersville Mount Aetna Mount Briar Mount Lena Myersdale Orchard Hills Paramount Pecktonville Pinesburg Pondsville Reid Ringgold Robinwood Rohrsersville Rohrsersville Station Saint James San Mar Sandy Hook Sharpsburg Smithsburg Tilghmanton Trego Williamsport Wilson Yarrowsburg **Wicomico County** Allen Bivalve Delmar Fruitland Hebron Jestersville Lincoln Heights Mardela Springs Nanticoke Nanticoke Acres Parsonsburg Pittsville Powellville Quantico Salisbury Sharptown Spring Grove Tyaskin Waterview Whitehaven Willards **Worcester County** Berlin Bishopville Girdletree Newark North Ocean City Ocean City Ocean Pines Pocomoke City Snow Hill Stockton West Ocean City Whaleyville **Allegany County** Barreilville Barton Bel Air Bier Bowling Green Bowmans Addition Carlos Clarysville Corriganville Cresaptown Cumberland Danville Dawson Detmold Eckhart Mines Eilerslie Flintstone Franklin Frostburg Gilmore Grahamtown Klondike La Vale Little Orleans Lonaconing Luke McCoole Midland Midlothian Moscow Mount Savage National Nikep Ocean Oldtown Pleasant Grove Potomac Park Rawlings South Cumberland Spring Gap Vale Summit Westernport Woodland Zihlman **Anne Arundel County** Admiral Heights Annapolis Arden on the Severn Arnold Brooklyn Park Cape Saint Claire Crofton Crownsville Deale Eastport Edgewater Ferndale Forest Villa Friendship Galesville Gambrells Germantown Glen Burnie Green Haven Herald Harbor Highland Beach Hillsmere Shores Jessup Lake Shore Linthicum Londontowne Maryland City Mayo Odenton Parole Pasadena Primrose Acres Pumphrey Riva Riviera Beach Selby-on-the-Bay Severn Severna Park Shady Side South Gate Truxton Heights Tyler Heights Victor Haven Wardour West Annapolis **Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Presto Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Bennisville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ilchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Fores Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill